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ABSTRACT

Context. X-ray surveys combined with optical follow-up observations are used to generate complete flux-limited samples of the main X-ray emitting source classes. eROSITA on the Spectrum-Roentgen-Gamma (SRG) mission provides sufficient sensitivity to build significantly enhanced samples of rare X-ray emitting sources.

Aims. We strive to identify and classify compact white dwarf binaries, cataclysmic variables (CVs), and related objects, which were detected in the sky area of eFEDS, the eROSITA Final Equatorial Depths Survey, and they were observed in the plate program of SDSS-V.

Methods. Compact white dwarf binaries were selected from spectra obtained in the early SDSS-V plate program. A dedicated set of SDSS plate observations were carried out in the eFEDS field, providing spectroscopic classifications for a significant fraction of the optically bright end (\(r < 22.5\)) of the X-ray sample. The identification and subclassification rests on visual inspections of the SDSS spectra, spectral variability, color-magnitude and color-color diagrams involving optical and X-ray fluxes, optical variability, and literature work.

Results. Upon visual inspection of SDSS spectra and various auxiliary data products, we have identified 26 accreting compact white dwarf binaries (aCWDBs) in eFEDS, of which 24 are proven X-ray emitters. Among those 26 objects, there are 12 dwarf novae, three WZ Sge-like disk-accreting nonmagnetic CVs with low accretion rates, five likely nonmagnetic high accretion rate nova-like CVs, two magnetic CVs of the polar subcategory, and three double degenerates (AM CVn objects). Period bouncing candidates and magnetic systems are rarer than expected in this sample, but it is too small for a thorough statistical analysis. Fourteen of the systems are new discoveries, of which five are fainter than the Gaia magnitude limit. Thirteen aCWDBs have measured or estimated orbital periods, of which five were presented here. Through a Zeeman analysis, we revise the magnetic field estimate of the polar system J0926+0105, which is likely a low-field polar at \(B = 16\) MG. We quantified the success of X-ray versus optical/UV selection of compact white dwarf binaries which will be relevant for the full SDSS-V survey. We also identified six white dwarf main sequence (WDMS) systems, among them there is one confirmed pre-CV at an orbital period of 17.6 hours and another pre-CV candidate.

Conclusions. This work presents successful initial work in building large samples of all kinds of accreting and X-ray emitting compact white dwarf binaries that will be continued over the full hemisphere in the years to come.
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1. Introduction

eROSITA on the SRG observatory was launched on July 13, 2019, from the Baikonur cosmodrome into space (Predehl et al. 2021; Sunyaev et al. 2021). In its main mission, which started in December 2019, it has performed an all-sky X-ray survey between 0.2 and 10 keV with unprecedented depth, spatial, and spectral resolution. To reach the depth that is needed to achieve its main cosmological goals, the exposure was planned to be built up sequentially through a number of subsequent all-sky surveys with a shorter exposure time. To get an idea about the source content and source density eventually achieved over the full sky, SRG/eROSITA performed a survey over a limited sky area down to its expected all-sky depth after several years. This survey, called eROSITA Final Equatorial Depth Survey (eFEDS), was performed in the Calibration and Performance Verification (CalPV) phase of the mission, prior to the start of the regular survey observations. With 140 deg², eFEDS is small compared to the whole sky, but the largest contiguous X-ray survey. All of its parameters – the special mode of observation, the construction of the source catalog, and the identification of likely optical counterparts – have been described in a number of papers accompanying the Early Data Release of all the CalPV observations performed with SRG/eROSITA (Brunner et al. 2022; Salvato et al. 2022; Boller et al. 2022; Liu et al. 2022a,b).

SRG/eROSITA is the mission to generate large samples of all classes of X-ray emitting objects (see Merloni et al. 2012, for a description of the expected impact on all source classes). While the survey will detect new objects as X-ray sources in large numbers, the nature of the new sources is seldomly revealed just from the X-ray data. Only exceptionally bright sources will be discovered with sufficient numbers of counts to be classified based on the X-ray morphology, the spectrum, and/or the light curve without reference to external data. For the overwhelming majority of weak sources, only follow-up observations will uncover their nature. To this end, comprehensive spectroscopic identifications of X-ray sources were performed in SDSS-IV (Menzel et al. 2016; Comparat et al. 2020; Abdurro’uf et al. 2022), they were continued in SDSS-V (Kollmeier et al. 2017) in its initial plate program (Almeida et al. 2023), and will further be continued with the robotic fiber positioning system (FPS). Here we report the results obtained in SDSS-IV and -V with special plates where holes were primarily drilled at positions of candidate optical counterparts of eFEDS-discovered X-ray sources.

Our focus lies on the class of (accreting) compact white dwarf binaries – (a)CWDBs –, which has a much lower sky density of sources compared to, for example, coronal emitters and the frequent active galactic nuclei (AGN). These may be addressed shortly as cataclysmic binaries or cataclysmic degenerates (DDs) and other related objects such as symbiotic binaries (SySts). A few of these objects were discovered by chance due to their pronounced variability pattern in the X-ray data. Only exceptionally bright sources will be discovered with sufficient numbers of counts to be classified based on the X-ray morphology, the spectrum, and/or the light curve without reference to external data. For the overwhelming majority of weak sources, only follow-up observations will uncover their nature. To this end, comprehensive spectroscopic identifications of X-ray sources were performed in SDSS-IV (Menzel et al. 2016; Comparat et al. 2020; Abdurro’uf et al. 2022), they were continued in SDSS-V (Kollmeier et al. 2017) in its initial plate program (Almeida et al. 2023), and will further be continued with the robotic fiber positioning system (FPS). Here we report the results obtained in SDSS-IV and -V with special plates where holes were primarily drilled at positions of candidate optical counterparts of eFEDS-discovered X-ray sources.

2. Observations and analysis

2.1. X-ray observations with SRG/eROSITA

The X-ray observations in the eFEDS field with SRG/eROSITA were performed during the CalPV phase of the mission in November 2019 in the so-called field scanning mode. The survey area is about 140 square degrees. All the details of the observa-
tions, the data processing, and the generation of a catalog are described in Brunner et al. (2022).

The X-ray information we give here is derived from the final catalog comprising 27910 unique sources, 542 of them being significantly extended. It is available from the web-page maintained by the eROSITA_DE collaboration as a fits file named eFEDS_c001_main_V7.4.fits. Additionally, also a supplementary table of X-ray sources was inspected called eFEDS_c001_supp_V7.4.fits, which lists sources with lower detection likelihood.

A word of caution on the utilized X-ray fluxes seems appropriate. Like any other X-ray observatory eROSITA cannot measure astrophysical fluxes directly. What eROSITA delivers through the detection process in a certain energy band is a count rate in this band. Only with the assumption of a spectral model can the rate be transformed into a flux, by folding a modeled photon distribution through the response of the X-ray optics and the detector. Pre-calculated energy conversion factors (ECFs in units of erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)/rate) are used for this purpose. Most of the X-ray sources are AGN which typically follow a power-law distribution of the photons. The ECF used in Brunner’s catalog also assumes a power-law spectrum for all the sources. aCWDs often have thermal or multi-component spectra, but in general not power-law spectra. It would thus be more appropriate to model individual sources and determine their best-fit spectral parameters to determine their fluxes or, given the typically low number of photons per source, use a more appropriate ECF. However, the expected deviations are small. The ECF-ratio between the standard power law ECF used for catalog production and that of the expected deviations are small. The ECF-ratio between the individual sources and determine their best-fit spectral parameters, it is in general not power-law spectra. It would thus be more appropriate to model individual sources and determine their best-fit spectral parameters to determine their fluxes or, given the typically low number of photons per source, use a more appropriate ECF. However, the expected deviations are small.

2.2. SDSS follow-up

Special plates were drilled for eFEDS follow-up, originally planned to be performed in the spring season of 2020 during SDSS-IV and continued in SDSS-V. More details are given by Almeida et al. (2023), who describe the 18th data release of the SDSS collaboration. All 13269 spectra from eFEDS science targets were released in DR18, and include spectra obtained in SDSS-V and in earlier phases of the SDSS. All of the eFEDS spectra having a stellar pipeline classification and many additional spectra were visually screened to check the pipeline classification and improve the redshifts. The results are published in a value-added-catalog (VAC) via the DR18 VAC web-site.

All SDSS spectra with low signal-to-noise were visually screened to check if the pipeline classification was correct. The spectra were initially sorted into three extragalactic (BLAZARS, AGN, GALAXY) and one galactic category (objects with or without emission lines at zero redshift). A few pipeline-classified extragalactic sources were reclassified as stars. In a second step, all galactic objects were further subclassified as being either likely single stars (coronal emitters, if found as X-ray sources) or binaries. For this, color-color and color-magnitude diagrams were used in addition to the spectra and finding charts. This exercise revealed the 26 aCWDBs and 6 WDMS binaries which form the backbone of this paper. The spectra of the more than 800 coronal X-emitters are analyzed separately and will be published elsewhere. Without going into any detail, only the careful visual inspection of the spectra led to the identification of the sample presented here. The currently available pipeline classification is rather easily confused to either miss aCWD objects or mistakenly assign other objects in the CV bin. The completeness of the spectroscopic identification program is discussed below in Sect. 3.3.

All objects that were eventually classified as aCWDs are listed in Tab. A.1. Given are the coordinates of the SDSS-observed counterparts, the associated source ID in the eFEDS X-ray catalog, the X-ray flux in the energy band 0.2–2.3 keV, the SDSS g-band magnitude and the \( g - r \) color, and, if the object has a counterpart in Gaia DR3, the parallax. The table also lists the likely distance, the implied X-ray luminosity, \( L_X \geq 4\pi D^2 F_X \), the likely aCWD subcategory, the measured or estimated orbital period and a reference to previous work, if the object was mentioned in the literature earlier. The given luminosities might be regarded indicative only in cases. They are based on the X-ray flux which is some kind of average over a orbital phase interval and would be different if a different phase interval would have been covered. They are based on the nominal distance from Baier-Jones et al. (2021) and do not take distance uncertainties into account, and the assumed geometry factor to convert fluxes into luminosities.

We also list non-accreting or not yet accreting WDMS objects to complete the picture. These were selected from the pool of Galactic sources as objects whose spectra are in some sense symbiotic, i.e. show K- or M-star features with a a blue excess. Sometimes the extra blue component shows broad Balmer absorption lines and thus can easily be recognized as a white dwarf (WDMS object consisting of a white dwarf and a main sequence star), sometimes there is a blue featureless excess. The WDMS objects are listed in Tab. 1. Given are the coordinates of the SDSS object, the g-band magnitude and the \( g - r \) optical color from the legacy survey, the measured parallax from Gaia, \( H \alpha \) emission line parameter, the spectral type of the object and a key to a reference, which is given below the table.

2.3. Auxiliary data and data products: Profiles of individual objects

In this section we describe the data and derived data products that were used for further characterization and subclassification of the individual sources. For each of the spectroscopically identified aCWD or WDMS objects, graphical products were generated and arranged as a profile like the example shown in Fig. 1. Spectra and color-magnitude diagrams of all objects discussed in this paper are shown for completeness in the appendix.

- Finding chart
  The chart shows a 1 arcmin \( \times \) 1 arcmin \( g \)-band image from the PanSTARSS image server, centered on the position of the SDSS-target, with the eROSITA X-ray and Gaia source positions indicated. The 1 \( \sigma \) X-ray positional uncertainty is indicated with a circle.

- Spectrum plot
  The mean spectra were retrieved from the SDSS database. The thick black line indicates the measured flux, the gray line behind the 1 \( \sigma \) flux error. For aCWD objects, vertical color bars are highlighting the (expected) positions of the most important emission lines of hydrogen (light blue).
Fig. 1. Profile of eROSITA SrcID 4654 with SDSS coordinates 08:44:00.1−02:39:19.3, a dwarf nova CV. Top row: finding chart and SDSS spectrum; Middle row: color-magnitude diagram (CMD, if available) and X-ray-to-optical color-color diagram (CCD); bottom row: combined light curves from CRTS (magenta), ATLAS (black) and ZTF ($r$-band, blue)
(pink) and ionized helium (green) typically encountered in such systems. Inserts were made to illustrate the profiles of the \( \text{H}_\alpha, \text{H}_\beta, \) and He\textsc{ii}4686 emission lines. For WDMS objects, color bars illustrate just the positions of the H-Balmer lines (\( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \), in light blue), CaHK (pink), and the Na absorption doublet around 8200 Å (green). Inserts are showing the \( \text{H}_\alpha, \text{CaHK}, \) and the Na lines.

- **Color-magnitude diagram (CMD)**
  Gaia counterparts were searched within a radius of 2 arcsec around the given SDSS coordinate. If an entry was found, the absolute G-band magnitude was determined using the distance \( r_{\text{geo}} \) determined by Bailer-Jones et al. (2021). To better understand the location of the object in the CMD, a local sample of objects from Gaia DR3 was retrieved with parallax/parallax\_error > 100 and plotted as background.

- **X-ray optical color-color diagram (CCD)**
  The logarithm of the X-ray to optical flux ratio was computed as \( \log \frac{f_X}{f_{\text{opt}}} = \log f_X + \text{mag}/2.5 + 5.49 \). The X-ray flux in the detection band (0.2–2.3 keV) and the SDSS \( \gamma \)-band flux were used. Both, the measured flux and/or an upper limit X-ray flux were derived and shown graphically. The optical color used was \( g-i \). The values for the binaries studied here are put in perspective by plotting as background sources all photometrically selected eFEDS counterparts from Salvato et al. (2022). Many background sources have \( \log \frac{f_X}{f_{\text{opt}}} \) of order 0, hence similar fluxes at optical and X-ray wavelengths. These are typically AGN. The stellar branch at a flux ratio \( \log \frac{f_X}{f_{\text{opt}}} \) below –2 is not well populated in eFEDS due to the relatively small survey area (see, e.g., Schwote et al. 2022a, for a more comprehensive version of such a CCD, including stars with a low X-ray luminosity). Only the cloud at \( g-i \approx 2.8, \log \frac{f_X}{f_{\text{opt}}} \approx -1.5 \) is clearly dominated by coronal emitters at the bottom of the main sequence.

- **Optical light curves from CRTS, ZTF, and ATLAS**
  Photometric data were retrieved from the CRTS, ZTF, and ATLAS photometric surveys (Drake et al. 2009; Masci et al. 2019; Tonry et al. 2018, Catalina Real-Time Transient Survey, Zwicky Transient Facility, Asteroid Terrestrial-impact Last Alert System). By visually inspecting the light curves the nature of an object as a dwarf nova or an anti-dwarf nova, a VY Scii object, can easily be recognized if large-amplitude (several magnitudes) outbursts or long-lasting (several months) flux depressions are recorded.

In addition to this graphical profile, further analysis steps were undertaken per object for further subclassification and parameter determination.

- **Timing analysis** We investigated periodicity in all objects by performing a Lomb-Scargle periodicity analysis on available r and g band light-curves from the Zwicky Transient Facility Data Release 19 (ZTF DR19). ZTF is a photometric survey that uses a wide 47 deg\(^2\) field-of-view camera mounted on the Samuel Oschin 48-inch telescope at Palomar Observatory with \( g, r, \) and \( i \) filters (Bellm et al. 2019; Graham et al. 2019; Dekany et al. 2020; Masci et al. 2019). Since entering Phase II, ZTF is at a 2-day cadence, and the median delivered image quality is 2.0" at FWHM. We used the \texttt{gatspy} package to investigate whether sources showed periodicity in ZTF or not. To classify a source as periodic using ZTF data, we required a light-curve to show 1) quantitatively significant periodicity and 2) to pass a visual inspection. We define the significance of periodicity as the maximum Lomb-Scargle power subtracted by the median power, all divided by the median absolute deviation. We define “significant periodicity” as light-curves where the significance is in the 86\(^{\text{th}}\) quantile. Furthermore, we excluded periodicities that fell on the sidereal day or harmonics of it.

- **Sub-spectral analysis**
  A mean SDSS spectrum is composed of a minimum of three, sometimes many more individual spectra with exposure times of 15 min each. The multiple 15 min spectra for any target may have been accumulated over the space of several nights or weeks (and even from more than one plate). Although of lower signal-to-noise compared to the mean spectra and although sometimes sprinkled with cosmic rays these sub-spectra carry information about spectral line variability or photometric variability that happen on short time scales (see, e.g., Schwote et al. 2009, for a derivation of a binary orbital period based on just 7 SDSS sub-spectra). The individual spectra were initially visually inspected, if variability was found, a more thorough inspection was performed. The Na absorption line doublet at 8183/8194 of all WDMS systems was fitted with double Gaussians to search for spectral variability indicating a close binary. Graphical products were generated for all objects for visual inspection but not systematically included in an object’s graphical profile.

**Fig. 2.** Presentation of the ten sub-spectra of SDSS J092614.31+010557.3, a CV of polar type identified previously by Rodriguez et al. (2023). The upper panel shows the full spectral range, while the lower panel shows a zoom into the region with H\( \beta \) and He\textsc{ii} 4686. Flux units are 10–17 erg cm\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\) Å\(^{-1}\).
Table 1. WDMS objects found in the eFEDS area. Listed are the magnitude, an optical color, the measured parallax from Gaia DR3, Hα emission line parameters, the spectral type or classification of the object and a reference. Keys to references are given below the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SDSS J</th>
<th>g</th>
<th>g−r</th>
<th>π</th>
<th>Ha</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:32:22.6+03:31:02.2</td>
<td>19.88</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.886±0.205</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:43:23.6+01:08:05.1</td>
<td>19.59</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>1.575±0.334</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:47:50.7−00:23:44.1</td>
<td>20.05</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.737±0.298</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:04:12.8+03:12:34.5</td>
<td>19.07</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.265±0.671</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:08:24.1−01:25:21.8</td>
<td>17.37</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>1.323±0.102</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:36:57.1+02:53:42.5</td>
<td>19.84</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.245±0.312</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References: (1) this work, (2) Gentile Fusillo et al. (2019) (3) Culpan et al. (2022) (4) Geier et al. (2017)

As an example we show in Fig. 2, the ten sub-spectra obtained for the polar CV J0926+0105 (Rodriguez et al. 2023). The spectrum covering the full wavelength range in the upper panel displays strong variability toward short and long wavelengths. The infrared variability, red-ward of ~6500 Å, is explained due to cyclotron beaming while variability in the near UV appears likely due to fore-shortening of an accretion-heated spot on the WD plus, perhaps, variable stream emission. The zoom into the blue spectral range shown in the lower panel covering the region around Hβ and HeII 4686 shows pronounced line variability. The line profile, its radial velocity and its strength display pronounced changes typically found in polars.

− X-ray variability

The X-ray detection in the eFEDS survey provided the starting point of our investigation. All our objects are (possible) close binaries and hence may undergo sometimes dramatic brightness changes through variability of their accretion rates. After the CALPV phase, SRG/eROSITA completed four X-ray all-sky surveys called eRASS1 to eRASS4. The catalogs are available to the eROSITA collaboration. We thus generated low-cadence X-ray light curves which compare the eFEDS brightness with those of eRASS1 to eRASS4. Such light curves with five data points were visually inspected. The type of variability could also give a hint to the underlying nature of the source. An example for the light curve of the polar SDSS J085037.20+044357.0 with detections in eFEDS and in the consecutive four eRASS scans is shown in Fig. 3.

− Emission line parameters For all aCWDBs listed in Tab. A.1 we measured parameters of the main emission lines, Hα, Hβ, HeI 4471 and HeII 4686 for the CVs and HeI 4471 and HeII 4686 for the AM CVn objects. The full width at half maximum (FWHM), the line flux F, and the equivalent width (EW) for the Balmer lines in the mean co-added were determined by Gaussian fits superposed on a local continuum. The latter was approximated by a 1st- or 2nd-order polynomial to adjacent wavelength ranges. For the weaker helium lines, a local continuum was determined and the line flux measured by integrating the continuum-subtracted spectrum over a suitably chosen wavelength interval. The results are listed in Tab. 2, contrary to practice in stellar astrophysics, a positive value for the EW indicates an emission line. Line parameters for the Hα emission line were determined in the same way also for the WDMS objects and listed in Tab. 1. In addition, we searched for radial velocity shifts of the Na absorption lines at 8183/8192 Å among the sub-spectra. The Na-lines were fitted with a double Gaussian in absorption with fixed separation and assuming the same width of both lines.

3. Results

This section is divided into three parts. In the first two subsections we describe the main properties of the individual objects that led to the classification as documented in Tables A.1 and 1. When describing the main and unique properties of each source we take into account previous knowledge from e.g. the CDS. We address the objects by using abbreviated coordinates (JHHmMsdMMm) and firstly discuss aCWDBs followed by WDMS objects. The main aim of this exercise is to determine, if possible, the class and the subclass for each system. The scheme we are following is similar to that of Ingh et al. (2023a), who order the nonmagnetic CVs according to increasing mass accretion rate and the magnetic systems according to increasing magnetic field strength. The nonmagnetic low mass-transfer objects are the dwarf novae (DN, which show dwarf nova outbursts) and the nova-like (NL) high M-systems. The magnetic systems are the intermediate polars (IPs), the polars, and the pre-polars. The latter are accreting from a stellar wind instead of Roche-lobe overflow (RLOF). All RLOF-magnetic CVs are also often referred to as NLs. If the classification is uncertain or remains ambiguous, we add the letter c (candidate) to the tentatively assigned class. A somewhat separate class are the AM CVn systems, which
are double-degenerate objects well below the CV orbital period minimum. In the following subsections we discuss the whole of the sample and give an outlook for the identification of more aCWDBs systems in the SDSS-V program.

3.1. Notes on individual objects: aCWDBs

3.1.1. J0840+0005

The object was mentioned as a CB candidate from the CRTS in Drake et al. (2014), a spectroscopic identification was provided by Breedt et al. (2014), who classify the object as DN. The classification rests on one recorded outburst in the CRTS with peak magnitude at ~16 (outburst amplitude >4 mag). While their light curve plot looks convincing, it cannot be reproduced from public CRTS data\(^3\). One thus might regard the given identification as tentative.

The spectrum displays a pronounced H Balmer series, has weaker HeI, and no HeII lines. The lines have symmetric profiles. The continuum appears to be flat and rather blue, there is a strong Balmer jump in emission. No signatures of the donor star are recognized. The sub-spectra do not show variability, neither in the line shapes nor in the continuum brightness.

3.1.2. J0843–0148

The object is beyond the Gaia limit, hence no CMD was generated. The CCD shows an extremely blue color (in accord with the spectral shape) and a high \( f_x / f_{\text{opt}} \). ZTF and ATLAS show some apparently irregular variability with 0.5 mag amplitude but no outburst.

There is some weak evidence for X-ray variability. The eRASS1,3,4 fluxes are compatible with those of the eFEDS survey, only the eRASS2 flux was enhanced at \((1.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}, a 2\sigma \) result.

The object can certainly be classified as a nonmagnetic CV. If the outburst reported by Breedt et al. (2014) could be confirmed, it is a DN with long recurrence time. This would imply a short orbital period and a very low mass donor, in line with its non-detection in the SDSS spectrum. Finding an orbital period could be difficult, because the inclination seems to be rather low from the line profile shape.

3.1.3. J0843–0148

The object is new to the literature. It has a \( G = 17.9 \) mag Gaia counterpart at a distance \( r_{\text{geo}} = 2050^{+350}_{-150} \) pc (Bailer-Jones et al. 2021). The SDSS spectrum has a smoothly variable continuum with a very broad spectral maximum at 6500 Å, Balmer emission lines, and Na5890 and Mg5175 absorption lines from the donor star. HeI lines are present but weak, HeII lines are absent. The sub-spectra do not show variability. Using template spectra from

---

\(^3\) [http://numuku.caltech.edu/cgi-bin/getcsscondb\_release\_img.cgi]
Kesseli et al. (2017), the spectral type of the donor is determined as K4. For an RL-filling donor the implied orbital period is longer than 6 hours (Knigge et al. 2011). A period search on the ZTF data revealed a best period at 4.154 hours, at odds with the spectral type with an RL-filling donor. We thus choose as likely orbital period twice the value at 8.31 hours. The phase-folded light curve from the ZTF in g and r is shown in Fig. 4 and displays a double-humped structure with unequal minima which is interpreted as ellipsoidal modulation from the secondary. The full amplitude of the optical variation is about 0.3 mag.

At the nominal distance, the X-ray luminosity is \( L_X = 6 \times 10^{31} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \), the flux ratio \( f_X/f_{\text{opt}} \) is rather low. X-ray variability is not significant. The object is classified as a nonmagnetic NL.

### 3.1.4. J0844–0128

The object was selected as a CB candidate by Drake et al. (2014) and identified as an AM CVn type object by Breedt et al. (2014) through GMOS spectroscopy. The initial selection was based on several outbursts with amplitude 2 mag in CRTS data. ZTF and ATLAS have meanwhile recorded 4 mag outbursts on a quiescence level of about \( r \approx 21.3 \). The BOSS spectrum confirms the AM CVn identification by Breedt et al. (2014). It has a very blue continuum, no hydrogen lines, but pronounced HeI and HeII emission lines. No significant sub-spectral variability was found and no significant X-ray variability.

While the object was known to belong to the AM CVn class previously, the current work reports its first X-ray detection. For the nominal distance of \( 2150^{+3100}_{-1500} \) pc, one derives \( L_X \approx 1 \times 10^{31} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \). It thus seems to belong to the more X-ray luminous fraction of the known X-ray emitting AM CVns, which mostly have a factor 2 – 3 lower luminosity. Following Levitan et al. (2015), the outburst amplitude of about 4 mag and the outburst recurrence time of about 225 days from ZTF suggest an orbital period of about 32 min.

### 3.1.5. J0845+0339

V498 Hya was classified as an SU UMa type DN, discovered by Kato et al. (2009), that was recently studied by Vogt et al. (2021) to characterize the superoutburst cycle length. Breedt et al. (2014) derive an orbital period of 85.18 min that is implied from the measured superhump period. It was reobserved in SDSS-V and while belonging to the general CV carton (see Inight et al. 2023b, for the various ways in which CVs were selected for the plate program in SDSS-V), it was not X-ray selected. The SDSS/BOSS spectrum is similar to the GMOS spectrum by Breedt et al. (2014), but has slightly lower overall flux and weaker hydrogen emission lines.

Its distance is rather uncertain; Bailier-Jones et al. (2021) give a range between 550 pc and 4100 pc. At the nominal value of 1635 pc, the object has at \( G_{\text{abs}} \approx 10 \), right in the middle between the WD sequence and the ZAMS. The object was also discussed in the recent paper by Inight et al. (2023b) who reclassify it as a WZ Sge-type CV by using the earlier distance estimate from Bailier-Jones et al. (2018) or the inverted parallax at 302 pc. Both distance estimates put the object on the WD sequence and thus suggest a WD-dominated or WZ Sge-type CV. This is adopted here.

The object is not in the officially released eFEDS X-ray catalog. The upper-limit tool (Tubín-Arenas et al. 2024) reveals an upper limit flux of \( 2 \times 10^{34} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) at the given position. Taking the upper limit at face value, one gets \( \log L_X(\text{erg s}^{-1}) = 29.3 \) at the revised short distance (\( \log L_X(\text{erg s}^{-1}) = 30.8 \) at the old long distance).

### 3.1.6. J0846+0218

A reasonably bright, \( g \approx 18 \), yet un-noticed DN. The SDSS spectrum has great similarity to that of 0843–0148, it has a smoothly variable continuum with a very broad spectral maximum at \( \sim 6000 \AA \), Balmer emission lines, and Na5890 and Mg5175 absorption lines from the donor star. HeI lines are present but weak, and we might see some weak HeII 4686 emission. The sub-spectra do not show variability. Using templates from Kesseli et al. (2017), the spectral type of the donor is determined as K4 implying an orbital period longer than 6 hours (Knigge et al. 2011).

The distance to the object is large, \( D = 3580 \) pc, but rather uncertain, within \( 2780–5280 \) pc. At the nominal distance the absolute magnitude is \( G_{\text{abs}} = 5.3 \), such that the object lies along the main sequence, and the X-ray luminosity is \( L_X \approx 3 \times 10^{31} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \). The flux ratio \( \log f_X/f_{\text{opt}} \approx -1 \) is rather low compared to other objects studied here, which is due to its high optical brightness. There are short-term optical brightness changes with an amplitude of about 0.3 mag. X-ray variability is suggestively apparent but was not found to be significant.

![Fig. 4. ZTF g (blue symbols) and r (red symbols) band light curves of J0843–0148 folded on the likely period of 8.31 hours.](image-url)
3.1.7. J0847+0119

The SDSS spectrum shows a very blue continuum with HeI and HeII emission lines superposed, but no apparent Balmer emission lines. The object can safely be identified as an AM CVn binary. The object is faint, has no parallax, and hence no X-ray luminosity. Given the optical faintness, g = 21.9, the flux ratio \( f_{\text{opt}} = 0.5 \) is rather high compared to other aCWDBs studied here. The source was discovered only in eFEDS, not in the individual eRASS, and not in the stack of the first three eRASS (see Tab. B.1), indicating X-ray variability by \( \Delta \sim 30\% \) or larger. The ZTF data show variability with an amplitude of almost one magnitude, promising to search for orbital-period variations. No significant sub-spectral variability is observed.

3.1.8. J0847+0145

An optically very faint and very blue object with a very high flux ratio \( f_{\text{opt}} \approx 0.8 \). Superposed on the blue continuum are strong emission lines of the H-Balmer series and those of neutral helium. The object was discovered in eFEDS, not in the individual eRASS but in eRASS:3, where it was found at 60\% of its flux in eFEDS. Since no outburst was recorded, the object is tentatively classified as NL, although from the spectral shape (optically thin gas) a DN classification seems possible.

3.1.9. J0850+0443

The object was selected as the counterpart of the X-ray source but the fiber remained unplugged, hence no SDSS spectrum was taken. The identification as a polar was made by Rodriguez et al. (2023), who selected the object as a likely CV from a correlation of eFEDS X-ray sources with ZTF variability. They present a comprehensive follow-up study of the new polar. The implied X-ray luminosity of \( 3.4 \times 10^{31} (D/1000\text{pc})^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) is typical for this class of source.

The X-ray flux is apparently variable at about 2 \( \sigma \) between 1 (eRASS3) and \( 3 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) (eRASS4) (see Fig. 3). An X-ray spectrum was extracted from eFEDS and fitted initially with a thermal plasma model modified by some amount of cold interstellar matter using XSPEC. Such a fit left systematic residuals at the lowest energies. An additional blackbody component yielded a successful fit to the data. Given the low total number of photons in the spectrum, the temperatures of the two emission models could not be determined and were thus fixed at typical values, \( kT_{\text{BB}} = 30 \text{ eV} \), \( kT_{\text{plasma}} = 10 \text{ keV} \). The implied cold interstellar column density was \( N_{\text{H}} = (2 \pm 1) \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) and the bolometric fluxes in the two emission components \( F_{\text{BB}} = 3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) (at 90\% confidence) and \( F_{\text{plasma}} = 1 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \). The latter flux has an uncertainty of about 20\%. Hence, formally the source might display a soft excess, \( F_{\text{BB}}/F_{\text{plasma}} > 1 \) but the large uncertainties make us believe that the soft excess is mild or even insignificant. Nevertheless, this object is the first X-ray detected polar with a soft component after a long series of X-ray detected polars without soft emission at all (Vogel et al. 2008; Ramsay et al. 2009; Webb et al. 2018; Schwope et al. 2020, 2022b; Ok et al. 2023).

3.1.10. J0851+0308

A well-studied SU UMa type DN, CT Hya, originally discovered by Nogami et al. (1996). A first SDSS spectrum obtained in November 2001 was presented by Szkody et al. (2003). The new BOSS spectrum was obtained when the source was twice as bright, but its spectral features (blue continuum, Balmer lines, HeI lines) remain unchanged. CRTS, ZTF and ATLAS recorded many DN outbursts with amplitudes up to 4 mag. The X-ray luminosity is \( 4.0 \times 10^{30} (d/580\text{pc})^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1} \).

3.1.11. J0853+0204

A very faint DN, \( g = 21.4 \) in quiescence, with one ZTF-recorded outburst with an amplitude of \( -5.5 \) mag. Due to its optical faintness, the flux ratio \( f_{\text{opt}} \approx -1.8 \) is unusually low. The source was detected only in eFEDS, not in the individual surveys, nor in eRASS:3. The spectrum shows the typical DN behavior, a blue continuum, H-Balmer and HeI emission lines. A spectrum of the source was taken in March 2008 in SEGUE (S5452-2888-252), but the extraction of the spectrum was faulty (flux always below zero), so that the object was mis-classified as an A0 star despite weak Hα and Hβ in emission.

3.1.12. J0855−0154

The object has a typical dwarf nova spectrum in quiescence with a blue continuum, double-peaked H-Balmer emission lines from the disk and some weak He lines, including HeII 4686. It is located on the WD sequence in the CMD, but WD features cannot be recognized in the spectrum, likely due to its faintness. The outburst amplitude from ATLAS is \( \Delta m > 3 \) mag. It was discovered in eFEDS and is detected in all 4 surveys individually. It was also covered by XMM-Newton observations and is listed in 4XMM (Webb et al. 2020; Traulsen et al. 2020). The SED from XMM-Newton, GALEX, and the SDSS. It was not detected with the OM on the XMM-Newton observatory. The system was about a factor 2 fainter in X-rays when observed with XMM-Newton compared to eFEDS. A total of 133 ± 16 photons were collected which is insufficient to obtain a robust spectral fit. We do not find sub-spectral variability within the SDSS.

3.1.13. J0902−0142

Another typical faint DN with blue continuum and double-peaked H-Balmer emission lines from the disk. Only the HeI 5875 line can be recognized clearly, while all other HeI and HeII lines remain undetected. Its position in the CMD is between the
WD sequence and the ZAMS. Outburst magnitudes as high as 4 mag are observed in ATLAS, ZTF, and CRTS. It was discovered only in eFEDS, but remains undetected in all of the eRASS visits and in the stack of the first three, eRASS:3, indicating a dimming by at least a factor 2. We could not find sub-spectral variability among the SDSS sub-spectra.

3.1.14. J0903−0133

A very blue spectrum with emission lines of neutral and ionized helium, clearly an AM CVn object. It was listed as an AM CVn candidate in the extended list of Carter et al. (2013) and confirmed being a DD by Inight et al. (2023b). It lies on the WD sequence in the extended list of Carter et al. (2013) and confirmed being a DD by Inight et al. (2023b). It lies on the WD sequence and is one of the closest of its class at 279 pc. Optical variability is observed, ranging between 19.1 and 20.1 mag. Whether the object is out-bursting or not is difficult to determine. The few optical data points at brighter magnitudes are not unique indicators of outbursts and could be outliers as well. The X-ray luminosity is quite low, \( L_X (\text{erg s}^{-1}) = 29.8 \).

The most prominent line is that of HeI 5875 which was used to search for RV shifts among the sub-spectra. A template for a cross-correlation analysis was generated from the mean of the sub-spectra after continuum subtraction. All spectra were put on the same logarithmically equidistant wavelength grid. Peaks in the CCF were determined via Gaussian fits and the resulting RV curve is shown in Fig. 6. Some weak RV variability seems to be present perhaps with a timescale of about 1 hour but evidence remains weak. X-ray variability is apparent, but not significant.

3.1.15. J0904+0355

A white-dwarf dominated nonmagnetic CV with typical double-peaked Balmer emission lines originating from an accretion disk. No obvious outbursts are found, although optical variability with an amplitude of about 1 mag is observed. The object was discovered by Szkody et al. (2004) and later studied with high-speed photometry by Woudt et al. (2012), who determined a precise eclipse ephemeris. As such, this is a DN candidate. It is nearby, with a distance of 295 ± 35 pc.

The object was not listed in the eFEDS X-ray catalog, but the upper limit server (Tubin-Arenas et al. 2024) applied to the eFEDS imaging data suggests an upper limit flux of \( f_X (0.2-2.3) \text{keV} = 2.9 \times 10^{-14} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \). Taking the limit at face value this gives a luminosity below \( 10^{30} \text{erg s}^{-1} \), hence it is a low-luminosity object at optical and X-ray wavelengths and thus classified as a WZ Sge-type dwarf nova.

3.1.16. J0912−0007

The SDSS spectrum and color of this faint object are mildly red. Symmetric, single peaked emission lines of the H-Balmer series and HeI are observed. HeII seems to be weakly present. The object is located halfway between the ZAMS and the WD sequences in the CMD. Optical variability of about 1 mag is observed, but no outbursts were recorded. There is an X-ray detection in eFEDS, but not in any eRASS, nor in eRASS:3. No sub-spectral variability is seen. From its general spectral appearance, the object could be a low-inclination, weakly accreting polar, but the constancy of the emission lines in the sub-spectra argues against this. From its position in the CMD, compared to Abril et al. (2020), it is a DN candidate or a low-luminosity NL.

3.1.17. J0914+0137

The object is an optically bright, \( G_{abs} \approx 7.6 \), DN with a rather early-type donor. Its nature as a DN was reported in VSNET 19440, the period was determined spectroscopically by Thorstensen et al. (2017), and Inight et al. (2023b) classify it as U Gem-type DN. The Balmer lines are symmetric and single-peaked, likely due to a low inclination. HeI lines and weak HeII lines can be recognized as well. Outbursts were recorded with CRTS, ZTF and ATLAS, with maximum outburst amplitude of about 3.5 mag. Apart from the outbursts there is additional continuum variability with an amplitude of about 0.5 mag. The object was detected in eFEDS and in eRASS:3 at a 20% higher flux, but not in any of the eRASS individually.

3.1.18. J0918+0436

A faint object beyond the Gaia limit that has limited time-domain coverage from the ZTF. The spectrum appears red and seems to show traces of a red M-type secondary star. The scarce data from ZTF imply variability by 1 mag. The forced-photometry light curve from ATLAS indicates constant brightness. The emission lines (prominent Balmer series and HeI 5875) are broad and have flat-topped symmetric profiles implying a disk origin. The object is tentatively classified as a DN candidate.

3.1.19. J0920+0042

V524 Hya was described in Szkody et al. (2003) as a high-inclination system showing eclipses, whose subclass could not firmly be determined. The derived orbital period is 3.6 hr. ZTF, CRTS, and ATLAS data reveal strong variability, consistent with the interpretation that these data were obtained during eclipses. No outbursts were recorded. The emission lines of the H-Balmer series, of HeI and HeII (4686 similarly bright as 4471) are skewed and single-peaked. No significant RV variations are seen in the sub-spectra. The object is tentatively classified as nonmagnetic NL.

3.1.20. J0926+0105

The object was classified as a polar by Rodriguez et al. (2023), who selected it for follow-up work after cross-matching the eFEDS X-ray catalog with photometry from the ZTF. Time-
resolved photometry and spectroscopy revealed the main binary parameters.

The X-ray luminosity is at the low end for polars and the flux ratio log \( f_\text{x}/f_\text{opt} \) is also about half a dex lower compared to other polars (Schwope et al., in preparation). Because polars may show strong orbital variability and strong long-term variability due to accretion-rate changes, the non-simultaneity of X-ray and optical catalog data can be misleading. The mean X-ray flux shows a decrease from the eFEDS epoch \( (27.9 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}) \) to eRASS4 \( (6.3 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}) \).

There is pronounced sub-spectral variability in the continuum and the emission lines. The object has a red cyclotron continuum, reminiscent to other low-field polars as presented and discussed by Schwope et al. (1997). In such low-field accretion plasmas, the individual high harmonics which fall into the optical window are smeared to a quasi-continuum as observed also in 0926+0105. If the magnetic field were as high as 36–42 MG as suggested by Rodriguez et al. (2023), one would not see a cyclotron quasi-continuum peaking at around 9000 Å which is steeply falling off toward shorter wavelengths. We find further support for a low magnetic field from a closer inspection of the mean SDSS spectrum, which, in particular in the Hβ region, shows some depressions which can be interpreted as Zeeman split Hβ absorption lines.

We tested this hypothesis by firstly normalizing the observed spectrum to a smoothly varying continuum and then by comparing it with Gaussian weighted absorption coefficients of all the Hβ transitions. The results are shown in Fig. 7. Transitions longer than the laboratory wavelength are \( \sigma^+ \)-transitions, those between 4700 and 4800 Å are \( \pi \)-transitions, and those at shorter wavelengths belong to \( \sigma^- \). We show two models, one centered at a magnetic field strength of \( B = 15.5 \text{ MG} \) (red line in Fig. 7), the other with \( B = 16.5 \text{ MG} \). While the former seems to better match with the \( \pi \)-components, the latter shows a better match with the \( \sigma^- \)-components, in particular that at 4907 Å; the line at 4966 Å is a stationary line with no dependence of the line position as a function of the magnetic field. Both model curves were computed for a Gaussian FWHM of \( \Delta B = 0.5 \text{ MG} \), and folded with another Gaussian with FWHM of 2 Å to match the observed spectral resolution.

Time-resolved photometry obtained by Rodriguez et al. (2023) shows the object to be variable by about 1 mag in the \( r \)-band. Such variability amplitudes are also seen mostly in the public ZTF data. However, there is a marked brightness change in the ATLAS data, an excursion from a mean level \( \alpha = 19 \) to \( \alpha = 16 \) for a short time in the beginning of 2017. This was followed by an excursion to \( \alpha \sim 17.5 \) about a year later lasting several weeks. We believe these episodes mark times of an extraordinarily high mass transfer rate between the two objects.

3.1.21. J0926+0345

The object was identified as a DN or DN candidate by Welsh et al. (2005) and Wils et al. (2010) based on variability patterns, an UV excess and SDSS colors, but remained without spectroscopic identification. All photometric surveys considered here, CRTS, ZTF, and ATLAS show DN outbursts with amplitudes of about 3 mag. Spectra in SDSS-V were obtained at two epochs, 2021-Mar-19 and 2021-Apr-12, i.e. separated by 24 days. In March, the object was in quiescence at \( g \approx 17.5 \), while in April it was observed during outburst at \( g \approx 15.1 \). As usual, the outburst spectrum shows broad absorption lines with emission cores from the optically thick disk, while the spectrum taken in quiescence just shows emission lines from the thin disk (see Fig. 8). The emission lines are double-peaked indicating a high orbital inclination. The line parameters listed in Tab. 2 refer to the quiescence spectrum.

3.1.22. J0929+0053

A faint, mildly blue object beyond the Gaia limit. The spectrum obtained in SDSS-V is the second of this object, the first was obtained in January 2001 but remained unnoticed (plate-mjd=475-51965-0217), probably due to its low signal-to-noise ratio. The spectrum shows an M-star donor and Balmer emission lines, and perhaps some weak HeI 4471. The spectrum is noisy but the strength of the TiO band at 7600 Å and the shape of the continuum allow us to constrain the spectral type to \( \sim \text{M5} \) with an uncertainty of one subclass. The implied V magnitude of a suitable scaled template star from Kesseli et al. (2017) is \( V = 21.1 \). According to the tables of Knigge et al. (2011) of the CV donor sequence, the object has a short orbital period below the period gap \( (P_{\text{orb}} = 1.6 – 2.1 \text{ h}) \). The distance is uncertain but on the order of 300 pc, which implies a very low X-ray luminosity of \( 3.2 \times 10^{29} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \).
The object was in the field of view of an XMM-Newton observation pointing to a nearby AGN, at an off-axis angle of about 15 arcmin at the same flux level as during the eFEDS observations. Due to the large off-axis angle and the corresponding large vignetting factor, only $68 \pm 11$ photons were collected. We made no attempt to fit the data with a spectral model, as the parameters would be largely unconstrained.

The ZTF light curve shows variability between 20.5 and 21.5, the ATLAS forced photometry light curve remained flat, no CRTS data are available. The object was detected in eFEDS and all eRASS without significant X-ray variability. Given the late spectral type of the donor, and its low luminosity, the object is tentatively classified as a DN candidate, a possible WZ Sge-type object. The lines appear symmetric; it could be a low-inclination system.

### 3.1.23. J0929+0401

A very peculiar object, that is difficult to classify. The SDSS pipeline classified the object as GALAXY, but the spectrum is clearly stellar. It is an M-type star with a large UV excess. It has stationary narrow Balmer emission lines. In the CMD it is located slightly above the ZAMS, while the log(f$_{\text{H}/f_{\text{opt}}}$) $= -0.3$ is higher than for coronal emitter at this spectral type but below that of typical accreting systems. The X-ray luminosity points to an accreting system. The object displays marginal optical variability with an amplitude of about 0.1 – 0.2 mag. It was detected in eFEDS and even brighter in eRASS:3 by 70% but not in the eRASS individually. We classify it as a CV candidate, although this is admittedly not fully convincing given the lack of pronounced typical emission lines.

### 3.1.24. J0932+0343

J0932+0343 was reported as being a CV by Necker et al. (2022) while searching for optical counterparts to IceCube neutrino alerts. It is a DN showing broad Balmer absorption lines from the WD and/or the disk and TiO absorption bands from the late-type donor. The object has frequent outbursts with outburst amplitudes as high as $\Delta m \approx 4$. There is additional ~0.5 mag level variability in the quiescence state. X-ray detections were made in eFEDS and all eRASS although the signal in eRASS2 is compatible with zero. A total of 10 sub-spectra are available which were obtained during five nights. They show pronounced radial velocity variability but due to the spread of the sub-spectra over several nights a search for periodicity is not feasible.

### 3.1.25. J0932+0109

A first report of the object as a CV was given by Szkody et al. (2003). They mention a possible magnetic subclass due to the pronounced HeII emission, stronger than usual for nonmagnetic CVs. Southworth et al. (2006) took several VLT/FORS spectra revealing very symmetric H$\alpha$ lines, but a classification and period determination was not possible. We detected an X-ray signal in eFEDS and all eRASS with weak variability at the 2$\sigma$ level. The spectrum does not show any stellar features, neither from the WD nor from the donor. There is sub-spectral variability in line strength, but not in line position, which could indicate a low-inclination system. In the CMD it is located in the regime of NLs, while the optical light-curve exhibits variability by about 0.5 mag. No final classification is possible, it could be an IP but confirmation would need high-speed photometry and a dedicated X-ray observation to identify the spin period of the WD.

### 3.1.26. J0935+0429

On first inspection of its SDSS spectrum the object could be classified as a super-active coronal emitter, a flare star. The spectrum is dominated by an M-type star with intense H-Balmer and CaHK lines superposed. But it also has a remarkable blue component in its spectrum. In the CMD it is located slightly below the ZAMS. Its X-ray to optical flux ratio is about one dex and its X-ray luminosity is several dex above that of a coronal emitter. It was detected in eFEDS and in all individual eRASS and appears marginally variable at the 1$\sigma$ level. The emission lines show marked intensity variations between sub-spectra.

The ZTF data show clear variability with a best-fit period of 1.76 hours confirming the close binary nature.

### 3.2. Notes on individual objects: Detached compact binaries

#### 3.2.1. J0832+0331

A WD/MS system with a DA white dwarf and an active M star as companion. The object resides just below the ZAMS in the CMD and displays some insignificant optical variability with low amplitude around a mean of $r = 19.2$ and occasional enhancements to $r = 18.75$. The Na absorption lines measured in the four sub-spectra do not show radial velocity shifts. The object was selected as an UV-excess, i.e. candidate WDMS object, in the mwm_cb_gaiaalex carton.

#### 3.2.2. J0843+0108

A WD/MS system with a DB white dwarf and an M star as companion. The object resides just above the WD sequence in a Gaia-based CMD and was listed as WD candidate in the catalogs of Gentile Fusillo et al. (2019, 2021). It is also listed in the catalog of known hot subdwarf stars of Culpan et al. (2022). Given the absolute magnitude and the spectral shape determined here (see Appendix), this is obviously a misclassification, the object is a WD/MS system. The companion is rather inactive. There is some ZTF variability with an amplitude of 0.2 mag. Nine sub-spectra were obtained grouped in 4 and 5 obtained in two successive nights. A $\chi^2$ test revealed marginal evidence for radial velocity variability with $\chi^2_2 = 1.23$. The object was selected by the mwm_cb_gaiaalex carton as an UV-excess, i.e. candidate WDMS object.

#### 3.2.3. J0847+0023

A WD/MS system with a DA white dwarf and an active M star as companion. It was selected by the mwm_cb_gaiaalex carton as an UV-excess object, i.e. a candidate WDMS object. The object resides just below the ZAMS in a Gaia CMD and displays some insignificant optical variability with low amplitudes around a mean of $r = 19.4$, with occasional outliers to $r = 18.8$ or 18.9. The Na absorption lines measured in the seven available sub-spectra that were obtained subsequently do not show radial velocity shifts.
3.2.4. J0904+0312

A WD/MS system with a DA white dwarf and an active M star as companion. The object was selected as an UV-excess, i.e. candidate WDMS object, in the mwm_cb_gaiagalex carton. It resides just below the main sequence in a Gaia based CMD and displays optical variability with an amplitude of 0.3 to 0.4 mag, i.e. is a candidate for an interacting WD/MS pair. The five sub-spectra do not indicate RV variability on the timescale of one hour but the absolute mean value of about 100 km s$^{-1}$ implies a close binary nature. The object is thus regarded a Post Common Envelope (PCEB) or pre CV candidate.

3.2.5. J0908−0125

The object was selected as an UV-excess, i.e., candidate WDMS object, in the mwm_cb_gaiagalex carton and has no X-ray detection. It was previously recognized as a hot subdwarf (Pérez-Fernández et al. 2016) but reclassified by Geier (2020) as a WD. In the CMD it is located halfway between the WD and the main sequence. The SDSS spectrum reveals a very blue continuum with broad Balmer absorption lines (for lines above H$\alpha$) and narrow H Balmer and helium emission lines. The spectrum also reveals features of an M-type companion star. Nine consecutive sub-spectra were obtained and we searched for radial velocity variations of the H$\alpha$ emission line in each of those using a single Gaussian fit to the line. The result is shown in Fig. 9. It shows a continuous trend from 95 km s$^{-1}$ to 30 km s$^{-1}$ over a time interval of about 2 hours. This shows clearly that the object is a compact binary but the coverage was not sufficient to constrain the period spectroscopically.

The period search among the ZTF data revealed a best fit period of 17.6 hours. The phase-folded light curve is shown in Fig. 10. It has a smoothly varying single-humped shape with an amplitude of about 0.1 mag in the r-band. At this period the M-star companion is not Roche-lobe filling, explaining the lack of X-ray emission. The width of the Balmer lines is much narrower than in the accreting systems (see Table 2), with the exception of J0929+0401. We classify the object as a nonmagnetic pre-CV (or PCEB) with a hot white dwarf irradiating the companion, thus giving rise to the photometric variability through ellipsoidal modulations.

3.2.6. J0936+0253

A WD/MS system with a DA white dwarf and an active M star as companion. The object resides just below the ZAMS in the CMD and displays negligible optical variability around r = 19.5. No sub-spectral RV variability is seen. The object was selected by the mwm_cb_gaiagalex carton as an UV-excess, i.e. candidate WDMS object.

3.3. Identification overview

Upon visual inspection of SDSS spectra and various auxiliary data products, we have identified 26 aCWDBs in eFEDS, of which 24 are proven X-ray emitters. CV subclasses were determined for most of those 26, but for about one third of the the objects the subclass remains ambiguous. Six out of the 26 have no measured Gaia parallax. Their detection and identification was possible only through the depth of the eFEDS X-ray observations and the availability of archival deeper optical imaging data from, for example, the legacy survey. Tab. A.1 lists one apparent exception, J0853+0204, at magnitude g = 14.97. However, this magnitude was reached during a DN outburst, whereas the quiescence magnitude is r = 21.4, and hence also beyond the Gaia limit.

The publicly released eFEDS X-ray catalog lists 27,910 X-ray sources of which 27,369 are considered point-like, that is to say with an X-ray extent EXT < 6" (Brunner et al. 2022). A positional match between the 27,369 point-like X-ray sources (RA_corr, DEC_corr) and the fiber coordinates (plug_ra, plug_dec) in spAll_v6_0_4-eFEDS.fits within 60 arcsec reveals 9346 pairs. Hence, the identification fraction achieved through the plate program of eFEDS X-ray point sources is 34%. This means that the total numbers of aCWDB objects in eFEDS is certainly larger than the sample discussed here. Formally, this could be up to a factor of three, but the completeness fraction is not flat over magnitude. Furthermore, the SDSS-V completeness is also lower for samples that already had SDSS-IV and earlier spectroscopy (they had a lower priority when competing for SDSS-V fibers).

All but two of the 26 objects in Table A.1 have a measured X-ray flux, although one (J0920+0042) is not listed in the main eFEDS X-ray catalog and it is only in the supplement. The two remaining objects belong to the subclass of WZ Sge-type ob-
jectors with low X-ray luminosities. Their distance of about 300 pc indicates the radius up to which members of this class can successfully be discovered through their X-ray emission; this is dependent on course on their intrinsic mass transfer rates and accretion luminosities which have not been well determined yet. Objects that have been studied so far indicate X-ray luminosities in the range of \( \log L_X (\text{erg s}^{-1}) \approx 29 \ldots 30.4 \), but the sample is small, consisting of just six objects (Stelzer et al. 2017; Schwope et al. 2021; Muñoz-Giraldo et al. 2023).

The breakdown in CV subclasses of the 26 objects listed in Tab. A.1 is given in Tab. 3. The sample of aCWDBs presented here is not large, and thus caution should be exercised to not over-interpret the statistics based on it. Nevertheless a few features of the sample seem worth mentioning.

1. In this flux-limited sample, one finds less than 10% secure magnetic objects. This contrasts with the more than one-third fraction of magnetic CVs found by Pala et al. (2020) in their volume-limited sample. The determination of this ratio is relevant to further constrain CV evolution and angular momentum loss, and to test current scenarios for the generation and evolution of magnetic fields (Belloni et al. 2020; Schreiber et al. 2021). We might have missed the fraction of polars that were in a low state during eFEDS observations and thus escaped detection. The fraction of low-state MCVs is difficult to quantify but might be as large as 50% as estimated from the accretion duty cycle in the prototype AM Herculis (Hessman et al. 2000). Even when the sample presented here is corrected by a factor of two, the fractions of MCVs in our sample and that of Pala et al. (2020) seem to be discrepant. We conclude that the current samples, both volume- and flux-limited, which are used to constrain the fraction of magnetic CVs are too small to derive robust constraints.

2. We identified three WZ Sge-type CVs, that is, low-luminosity objects close to the white dwarf-sequence in the CMD, J0845+0339, J0929+0053, and J0904+0355. These are of interest because they might be period-bouncing systems – or period bouncers (PBs) –, that is to say systems that have passed the orbital period minimum and thus developed a degenerate donor star. They were predicted to make up a great portion of the cataclysmic variable population, between 40% and 84% (Goliasch & Nelson 2015; Belloni et al. 2020), but only a few are known (see Muñoz-Giraldo et al. 2024) for a census. Every new detection thus helps statistical uncertainties to be reduced and to provide input to theoretical models. One of those, J0929+0053, shows M-star features in its spectrum and is therefore not a bouncing candidate; J0845+0339 and J0904+0355 are the two remaining. Assuming an X-ray luminosity of \( \log L_X (\text{erg s}^{-1}) = 29.5 \), the maximum distance to detect PBs is \( \approx 500 \) pc, which gives a survey volume of about \( 0.0034 \times 5 \times 10^3 \) pc, where the factor 0.0034 corrects for the fractional area of eFEDS. If one assumes that the two objects are PBs, that these objects have a scale height of 450 pc (the mean Galactic latitude of eFEDS is about 30°), and that the spectroscopic identification fraction is say 0.6, then one may estimate a mid-plane space density of \( \rho_0 \lesssim 1.4 \times 10^{-3} \) pc\(^{-3}\). This is formally a factor of seven higher but still consistent with a recent estimate by Inight et al. (2023b), who analyzed 110 CVs that were observed in the SDSS-V plate program and derived \( 0.2 \times 10^{-6} \) pc\(^{-3}\), but more than a factor of ten lower than predicted recently (Belloni et al. 2020).

3. There are three DD systems (AM CVn objects) that have been found through their X-ray emission, two of them with Gaia information. One (J0903−0133) is at about 270 pc with a luminosity below \( 10^{30} \) erg s\(^{-1}\), and hence it is among the closest and least luminous X-ray detected AM CVns, while another, J0844−0128, is among the most distant and most X-ray luminous (Tab. A.1) among those. This is a promising start to establish a comprehensive sample of accreting DDs from identification work of the eROSITA all-sky survey and eventually to determine their unknown space density with high precision.

4. The identified aCWDB objects have Galactic latitudes between \( |b| = 23° \) and 38°. Those with reliable Gaia parallaxes \( \text{parallax} \geq 3 \) lie at distances above the plane between 130 pc and 820 pc, and hence they might extend to several scale heights above their likely parent distribution. The height above the plane will depend on the evolutionary state of the aCWDB. Long-period NLs are discussed to have scale heights of just 120 pc, while the old WZ-like objects might have scale heights of 450 pc. Thirteen of the 26 aCWDB objects have measured or estimated orbital periods, and five were added in this paper. The lack of orbital periods for half of the objects prevents a placement in an evolutionary context. Determining orbital periods of new aCWDBs is the next major task for eFEDS-CVs and the many other that will be unveiled through their X-ray emission and spectroscopic identification. A tremendous and important task ahead of us is the determination of orbital periods of complete samples, both nearby and distant, to constrain the evolutionary states of the objects and to measure the scale height of (sub)classes so that this no longer need to be assumed, as was done previously (e.g., Pala et al. 2020; Schwope 2018).

We also classified six WDMS systems through their symbiotic appearance in the SDSS spectra. We searched for spectral variability, in particular for radial velocity variations of the Hα emission or the Na absorption lines and found one object with pronounced radial velocity shifts, J0908−0125. Further inspection of its photometric variability in the ZTF revealed a clear periodicity of 17.6 hours. The object is thus classified as a PCEB or pre-CV. The period found is likely caused by the ellipsoidal shape of the donor and thus indicates the orbital period, which is on the long-period half of the sample presented by Nebot Gómez-Morán et al. (2011). A second such object, J0904+0312, was identified as a pre-CV candidate based on its high mean radial velocity and pronounced photometric variability, although sub-spectral variability has not been found yet.

In the final paragraphs, we briefly discuss the current targeting strategies and prospects of finding new aCWDBs in the upcoming SDSS-V all-sky survey. New aCWDBs might be expected to be found as counterparts of eROSITA X-ray sources, through their UV excess, or, as in the past, just serendipitously (see Inight et al. 2023a, for a comprehensive overview of aCWDBs observed in SDSS-I to -IV). Besides the genuine eROSITA identification programs, two further programs have

---

Table 3. CV subclasses of the 26 aCWDBs listed in Tab. A.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subclass</th>
<th>members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DN or DNe (incl 2 SU UMa)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WZ or WZc</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL or NLc (likely nonmagnetic)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polars</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMC</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV or CVc</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
been implemented to identify aCWDB candidates from a measured UV excess compared to main sequence stars (see Almeida et al. 2023, for a description of the various cartons of objects). Here we explore which of our 26 objects would have been selected for follow-up by any of those follow-up programs with the FPS. Section B gives all the details. The FPS identification work will be based on eRASS:3 which is shallower than eFEDS by about a factor of two. If the sources listed in Table A.1 were constant in flux, one could expect that 19 objects are detected in eRASS:3, that is, above a flux of 2×10^{-14} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1}. Column (4) in Tab. B.1 shows that a constant flux is the exception, not the rule. Likely, for this reason, the eRASS:3 catalog detects just 16 out of the expected 19 aCWDBs.

Of those 16, 15 have been selected by one of the eROSITA-centric follow-up programs with the correct counterpart as determined in this paper. The 16th object was missed because the target association procedures picked the wrong counterpart. A UV-excess criterion selected ten of the 16 X-ray detected sources for spectroscopy, and an additional three, which are not detected in eRASS:3. This illustrates the complementarity of the two approaches. The overall impression we gain from this exercise is that SDSS-V will run a highly successful and almost complete aCWDB identification program, that the X-ray centric cartons select most of the counterparts correctly, and that additional aCWDBs might be expected from UV selection. UV-based selection may add aCWDBs if they are brighter than the Gaia limit and have full astrometric information (position, proper motion, and parallax). It is somewhat unfortunate in this regard that the GALEX catalog omits most of the Galactic plane due to source confusion. In the plane, one is left with X-ray-selected aCWDB candidates. We expect a significant fraction of X-ray-detected aCWDBs to be fainter than the Gaia limit. These can be successfully targeted in sky areas surveyed by the Legacy Survey or other similarly deep surveys.

The 16 aCWDBs in eFEDS, corrected for an assumed identification fraction of 60%, imply a surface density of 0.2 deg^{-2} at a Galactic latitude of 30°. If one assumes this value to be representative of the extragalactic sky (10,000 deg^2), one may expect on the order of 2000 X-ray-selected CVs identified in SDSS-V at high latitudes. The surface density will increase if one approaches the galactic plane. It is dependent on the following: a) the luminosity function of the CVs and hence their mid-plane space density, which is different for each subclass; b) their scale height, which again is different for each subclass and depends on their evolutionary age; c) the relative fractions of the various subclasses; and d) on the exposure map. Only the latter of these is known with a sufficient accuracy; the others need to and will be determined as a result of the comprehensive identification programs that have just started. The mentioned several 1000 X-ray-selected CVs are consistent with a former prediction based on the integration of a luminosity function (Schwope 2012). However, the basic parameters that enter such forecasts were and are still unknown to a factor of two or more.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SDSS J</th>
<th>eFEDS J</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>X-ray flux</th>
<th>$g - r$</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>$\pi$</th>
<th>$D$</th>
<th>$L_X$</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:40:41.4+00:05:20.3</td>
<td>084041.3+000517</td>
<td>2343</td>
<td>5.31 ± 0.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:43:03.5–01:48:58.5</td>
<td>084303.5–014859</td>
<td>1445</td>
<td>11.94 ± 1.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:44:00.1+02:19:19.3</td>
<td>084400.1+021937</td>
<td>6564</td>
<td>3.76 ± 0.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:44:13.7–01:28:07.8</td>
<td>084413.7–012806</td>
<td>7747</td>
<td>1.81 ± 0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:46:41.0+02:18:23.1</td>
<td>084641.0+021826</td>
<td>7802</td>
<td>2.07 ± 0.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:47:08.3+01:19:39.5</td>
<td>084708.3+011942</td>
<td>13836</td>
<td>1.82 ± 0.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:47:35.4+01:53:33.9</td>
<td>084735.4+015345</td>
<td>7743</td>
<td>3.44 ± 0.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:50:37.2+04:43:57.0</td>
<td>085037.2+044359</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>17.96 ± 1.60</td>
<td>18.69</td>
<td>-5.33</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.33</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:51:07.4+03:08:34.4</td>
<td>085107.4+030835</td>
<td>1243</td>
<td>9.98 ± 1.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:53:00.6+02:45:27.8</td>
<td>085300.6+024524</td>
<td>6050</td>
<td>3.74 ± 0.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:55:50.9–01:54:28.6</td>
<td>085550.9–015431</td>
<td>3469</td>
<td>3.49 ± 0.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:02:46.5–01:42:01.8</td>
<td>090246.5–014203</td>
<td>10211</td>
<td>4.97 ± 1.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:03:44.3–01:33:26.2</td>
<td>090344.3–013323</td>
<td>2080</td>
<td>6.45 ± 0.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:12:48.2–00:07:21.3</td>
<td>091248.9–000717</td>
<td>12260</td>
<td>1.64 ± 0.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:14:10.7+01:37:33.0</td>
<td>091410.5+013733</td>
<td>2056</td>
<td>5.75 ± 0.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:18:15.8+04:36:11.0</td>
<td>091818.4+043611</td>
<td>15325</td>
<td>3.15 ± 0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:26:14.3+01:05:57.3</td>
<td>092614.1+015558</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>27.88 ± 1.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:26:20.4+03:45:42.2</td>
<td>092620.4+034531</td>
<td>14091</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:29:02.9+00:53:34.0</td>
<td>092902.8+005332</td>
<td>2919</td>
<td>2.96 ± 0.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:29:21.7+04:01:35.2</td>
<td>092921.8+040136</td>
<td>6109</td>
<td>4.15 ± 0.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:32:05.2+03:43:32.7</td>
<td>093205.1+034336</td>
<td>3911</td>
<td>3.88 ± 0.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:32:48.2+01:09:25.2</td>
<td>093248.2+010901</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>22.87 ± 1.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:35:55.4+02:19:59.1</td>
<td>093555.4+021949</td>
<td>10293</td>
<td>6.69 ± 0.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:45:35.1+03:39:29.3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:49:09.5+05:36:44.9</td>
<td>094909.5+053644</td>
<td>27233</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:58:00.5+01:07:29.2</td>
<td>095800.5+010730</td>
<td>1754</td>
<td>2.87 ± 0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix B: Follow-up of CWDBs in SDSS-V

Optical counterparts for eRASS:3 X-ray sources were selected using different input imaging data and with different priors by the eROSITA-collaboration. They were designed to either find compact binaries (mwm_erosita_compact), to find coronal emitters (mwm_erosita_stars) or to find the most likely counterpart to an X-ray source without a particular focus on a source class (bhm_spiders_agn_gaiadr3, bhm_spiders_agn_lsd10). Their exact targeting strategies will be described elsewhere, only very cursory information can given here. erosita_compact tries to select aCWDBs using Gaia DR3 and a RF-trained prior. Training was performed on a sample of 642 cataclysmic binaries with complete Gaia (including parallax, proper motion, and a variability proxy) and X-ray information. X-ray information was collected from various input catalogs (ROSAT, XMM-Newton, Chandra) and fluxes transformed to the common eROSITA energy band. The erosita_stars carton tries to select stars (coronal emitters) as X-ray counterparts using Gaia DR3 (Schneider et al. 2022). Both, the spiders_agn_gaiadr3 and spiders_agn_lsd10 cartons are general purpose X-ray counterpart finders. They attempt to find the best optical counterpart not assuming a specific source class a priori. However, the training sample used is dominated by AGN and to a lesser extent by stars. The former carton is based on Gaia DR3, and the latter on DR10 of the legacy survey (legacysurvey.org), particularly designed for objects beyond the Gaia limit. Both SPIDERS cartons use NW AY as the tool to identify likely optical counterparts from the different input catalogs. The strategy is described in Salvato et al. (2022).

The mwmCb_galex_mag and mwmCb_galex_vol galex_mag cartons implemented in SDSS-V select likely CWDBs on the basis of a UV excess from matching Gaia-GALEX sources in either the FUV- (mag) or the NUV-channel (vol).

The results of the various targeting routes are summarized in Tab. B.1. The SDSS coordinates of the aCWDBs were used as input coordinates and a radius of 1 arcmin was chosen to search for matching sources in the mentioned target catalogs. Columns (2) and (3) give X-ray fluxes in the 0.2 – 2.3 keV band from eFEDS and eRASS:3. Column (4) gives the measured X-ray flux ratio between eFEDS and eRASS:3. One of the objects that was originally not X-ray selected, J0904+0345 (WZ Sge type), is discovered with high confidence in eRASS:3. Of those 16 X-ray detected aCWDBs in eRASS:3, 14 are in Gaia DR3, one of those has just photometric but no parallax information. The meaning of the numbers in columns (5) to (13) is described in the caption of the Table.

Matching stars (column 7) were tested here just for completeness, no matching source was expected because stars were selected (among other criteria) for their small X-ray to optical flux ratio. This explains the small number of only two matching sources. The stars carton would have selected one aCWDB correctly (J0851+0308) and would have chosen a nearby brighter star as a possible counterpart for J0932+0343 but with a small matching probability. This kind of contamination may of course occur more often among the selected targets. We do not derive a contamination fraction here given the small sample, but assume it being small.

The mwm_erosita_compact carton selects eight counterparts correctly. The relatively small number of correct counterparts (50%) is due to the chosen cut in the likelihood of association, \( p_{\text{any}} > 0.5 \). The eight chosen targets all have \( p_{\text{any}} > 0.9 \). Such a likelihood cut is not applied to the bhm_spiders_agn cartons, which both identify 16 possible counterparts of which each two were chosen incorrectly. Between bhm_spiders_agn_gdr3 and bhm_spiders_agn_lsd10, one incorrectly chosen object is the same and another has changed. The mwmCb_galex_mag carton (column 10) selects eight objects, of which four are also X-ray discovered in eRASS:3, a further three were not in eRASS:3 but in eFEDS only and one is incorrectly assigned to an eRASS:3 and eFEDS X-ray source. The mwmCb_galex_vol carton (column 11) selects 12 objects in total, 9 of them are in eRASS:3 and in Gaia DR3, a further two in eFEDS and Gaia DR3 and one object in the vicinity of J0932+0109 at a distance of 22 arcsec, i.e. it would have not picked the eFEDS discovered aCWDB. The same likely wrong association was made in galex_mag. In total, of the 26, three have a chance to be recovered in SDSS-V (FPS) despite their missing X-ray flux in eRASS:3 due to their UV-properties. These three, J0844+0128, J0926+0345, and J0920+0042, are not new discoveries from this paper but were known in the literature beforehand. Three further aCWDBs from eFEDS have no X-ray emission in eRASS:3 but are Gaia DR3 sources (J0846+0218, J0902−0142, J0912−0007), hence could have been picked by some other algorithm as interesting sources (e.g. through a UV excess or variability) but were not. The reasons are unknown. There is only one source, J0929+0053, detected in eFEDS and eRASS:3, at Gaia \( G = 20.88 \) and therefore not considered by CB, STA, FUV, and NUV, that would not have been picked for spectroscopic follow-up by either carton, either for being too faint or for choosing the wrong counterpart (bhm_spiders_agn). For reasons that are difficult if not impossible to understand retrospectively it was chosen for follow-up from the initial preliminary X-ray source lists.

In sum, of the 26 eFEDS-aCWDBs that were identified and discussed in this paper, 16 are re-covered as X-ray sources in eRASS:3. Of those, 15 are potential targets for spectroscopic follow-up in the SDSS-V fiber program through one of the X-ray centric cartons. UV-centric cartons select counterparts for ten of those 16 X-ray discovered, but identify a further three that are not X-ray discovered in eRASS:3.
Table B.1. Anticipated identifications on eFEDS aCWDBs in the SDSS-V with the FPS. X-ray sources are drawn from the eRASS:3 catalog. Columns have the following meaning: (2)– X-ray flux in eFEDS (0.2-2.3 keV); (3) – X-ray flux in eRASS:3 (0.2-2.3 keV); (4) X-ray flux ratio eRASS:3/eFEDS; (5) aCWDB object is in Gaia DR3 (1,0) = (Y, N); (6) to (9) X-ray centric cartons that selected the correct (=1) or the incorrect (=0) aCWDB object as counterpart to an eRASS:3 X-ray source; empty cells mean that no object was selected within 60 arcsec around the SDSS position of the given object; (10) and (11) UV centric cartons that selected the correct (=1) or the incorrect (=0) aCWDB object as counterpart to an eRASS:3 X-ray source; empty cell means that no object was selected within 60 arcsec around the SDSS position of the given object; Columns (12) and (13) give the number of X-ray or UV-based cartons that proposed to target the aCWDB object in SDSS-V; Column (14) indicates if an eRASS:3 detected aCWDB would be targeted by any of the discussed cartons. The ordering of the systems is the same as in Tab. A.1, the three objects at the bottom were not X-ray selected in eFEDS and were thus not foreseen for eFEDS follow-up in SDSS-V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>fXe</th>
<th>fX3</th>
<th>frat</th>
<th>GDR3</th>
<th>CB</th>
<th>STA</th>
<th>nw3</th>
<th>nw10</th>
<th>FUV</th>
<th>NUV</th>
<th>X-sel</th>
<th>UV-sel</th>
<th>eR3-XUV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J0840+0005</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0843-0148</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0844+0239</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0844-0128</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0846+0218</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0847+0119</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0847+0145</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0850+0443</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0851+0308</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0853+0204</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0855-0154</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0902-0142</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0903-0133</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0912-0007</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0914+0137</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0918+0436</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0926+0105</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0926+0345</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0929+0053</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0932+0343</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0932+0109</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0929+0401</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0935+0429</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0845+0339</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0904+0355</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0920+0042</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C: Spectra and color-magnitude diagrams of eFEDS CWDB objects

The following graphs show the SDSS-V spectra of all CWDB objects discussed in this paper. We firstly show the aCWDBs (and candidates) from Tab. A.1, then the CWDBs from Tab. 1. The order of appearance is the same as in the named tables.

Fig. C.1. Spectra of X-ray-selected aCWDBs and aCWDB candidates listed in Tab. A.1
Fig. C.2. Spectra of X-ray-selected aCWDBs and aCWDB candidates listed in Tab. A.1 (continued)
Fig. C.3. Spectra of X-ray-selected aCWDBs and aCWDB candidates listed in Tab. A.1 (continued)

Fig. C.4. Spectra of non-X-ray-selected aCWDBs listed in the lower part of Tab. A.1
Fig. C.5. Spectra of non-accreting CWDBs in the eFEDS field listed in Tab. 1