ABSTRACT

Context. The early-type galaxy SDSS J133519.91+072807.4 (hereafter SDSS1335+0728), which had exhibited no prior optical variations during the preceding two decades, began showing significant nuclear variability in the Zwicky Transient Facility (ZTF) alert stream from December 2019 (as ZTF19acnskyy). This variability behaviour, coupled with the host-galaxy properties, suggests that SDSS1335+0728 hosts a \( \sim 10^6 M_\odot \) black hole (BH) that is currently in the process of ‘turning on’.

Aims. We present a multi-wavelength photometric analysis and spectroscopic follow-up performed with the aim of better understanding the origin of the nuclear variations detected in SDSS1335+0728.

Methods. We used archival photometry (from WISE, 2MASS, SDSS, GALEX, CROSITA) and spectroscopic data (from SDSS and LAMOST) to study the state of SDSS1335+0728 prior to December 2019, and new observations from Swift, SOAR/Goodman, VLT/X-shooter, and Keck/LRIS taken after its turn-on to characterise its current state. We analysed the variability of SDSS1335+0728 in the X-ray/UV/optical/mid-infrared range, modelled its spectral energy distribution prior to and after December 2019, and studied the evolution of its UV/optical spectra.

Results. From our multi-wavelength photometric analysis, we find that: (a) since 2021, the UV flux (from Swift/UVOT observations) is four times brighter than the flux reported by GALEX in 2004; (b) since June 2022, the mid-infrared flux has risen more than two times, and the W2 WISE colour has become redder; and (c) since February 2024, the source has begun showing X-ray emission. From our spectroscopic follow-up, we see that (i) the narrow emission line ratios are now consistent with a more energetic ionising continuum; (ii) broad emission lines are not detected; and (iii) the [OIII] line increased its flux \( \sim 3.6 \) years after the first ZTF alert, which implies a relatively compact narrow-line-emitting region.

Conclusions. We conclude that the variations observed in SDSS1335+0728 could be either explained by a \( \sim 10^6 M_\odot \) AGN that is just turning on or by an exotic tidal disruption event (TDE). If the former is true, SDSS1335+0728 is one of the strongest cases of an AGN observed in the process of activating. If the latter were found to be the case, it would correspond to the longest and faintest TDE ever observed (or another class of still unknown nuclear transient). Future observations of SDSS1335+0728 are crucial to further understand its behaviour.
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1. Introduction

All-sky time-domain surveys, such as the Zwicky Transient Facility (ZTF; Bellm et al. 2019) and the upcoming Vera C. Rubin Observatory Legacy Survey of Space and Time (LSST; Ivezic et al. 2019), which are generating alert streams in real time, are facilitating the identification of nuclear transients in galaxies hosting supermassive black holes (SMBHs). These events include tidal disruption events (TDEs); the disruption of a star when it approximates a black hole (BH) with a mass of $\lesssim 10^6 M_\odot$ (Rees 1988; van Velzen et al. 2020); active galactic nuclei (AGN) with changing-state events (CSAGNs, also known as changing-look AGNs); sources that drastically change their accretion state, which could lead to changes in their classification as type 1 or type 2 AGN (with or without broad emission lines) within a timescale of months or years (Ricci & Trakhtenbrot 2023); AGNs with anomalous flaring activity (e.g. Trakhtenbrot et al. 2019b; Frederick et al. 2021); and the recently discovered ambiguous nuclear transients (ANTs; e.g. Hinkle et al. 2022 and references therein).

Nuclear transients could also correspond to AGN ignition events in formerly quiescent galaxies (e.g. Arévalo et al. 2024). The duty cycle, meaning the time an AGN is active, is estimated to range between $10^4$ and $10^7$ years (for classical AGNs), and during this period, while a SMBH is switching on and off (i.e., going through episodes of lower and higher activity), the expected brightness change can be of several orders of magnitude (Hickox et al. 2014). However, from the Soltan argument (Soltan 1982), we know most of the mass of the SMBHs we observe has already been obtained through accretion, and hence we do not expect to see many AGNs today in the process of turning on. However, intermediate-mass BH (IMBHs) with masses of $10^4 \sim 10^5 M_\odot$ or low-mass SMBHs with masses of $10^5 \sim 10^6 M_\odot$ (Greene et al. 2020) may still have masses close to those of their seeds (with masses of $M \approx 10^2 M_\odot$ for light seeds, and masses of $M \approx 10^3 \sim 10^5 M_\odot$ for heavy seeds) and, if so, they must not have gone through many duty cycles and their AGN activity may be incipient. Accordingly, we would expect to find IMBHs and low-mass SMBHs today that are just starting to become active, and that will eventually grow to become SMBHs.

Deciphering whether a nuclear transient corresponds to a TDE, a CSAGN, a flaring AGN, or a turning-on AGN event could be challenging, as the number of known sources presenting these behaviours is still low, and the timescales of these processes are still not well understood. There are only a few dozen TDEs known to date (e.g. van Velzen et al. 2020, 2021a; Hammerstein et al. 2023), and a few hundred confirmed CSAGNs (e.g. MacLeod et al. 2019; Graham et al. 2020; López-Navas et al. 2022, 2023). Moreover, the identification of these events could be contaminated by circumnuclear supernovae (SNe; e.g. Drake et al. 2011). Zabludoff et al. (2021) proposed a comprehensive list of photometric and spectroscopic properties that may separate TDEs from classical AGNs and flaring AGNs, but these authors also note that no single TDE has been known to present all of the described features.

In this work, we present the discovery and follow-up of a $\sim 10^6 M_\odot$ BH candidate that started showing optical variations in December 2019. The candidate was selected from the public ZTF alert stream by making use of the classifications provided by the ALeRCE (Automatic Learning for the Rapid Classification of Events) broker (Förster et al. 2021). The source, SDSS J133519.91+072807.4 (hereafter SDSS1335+0728), presented a strong jump in its optical emission, and was denoted ZTF object ID ‘ZTF19acnskyy’ from December 2019, but importantly exhibited no evidence of activity during the preceding two decades. From December 2019 to present, SDSS1335+0728 has shown stochastic optical variations. Based on our variability analysis and photometric and spectroscopic follow up, we discuss different scenarios that could explain the sudden flux jump and subsequent variability, and propose that an AGN in the process of turning on or a TDE in a $\sim 10^6 M_\odot$ BH could explain the observations. The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we present the identification of the nuclear variations in SDSS1335+0728. In Section 3 we present the archival and follow-up data used to study the source. In Section 4, we present the variability, photometric, and spectroscopic analysis performed to understand the origin of the variations observed in SDSS1335+0728. Finally, in Section 5, we conclude and summarise our findings.

2. Identification of the nuclear transient

ZTF19acnskyy in SDSS1335+0728

The ALeRCE broker is actively processing the public ZTF alert stream (alerts are generated when a 5σ detection is obtained in the difference image of a source), providing light curve and stamp classifications of different variable and transient objects. The ALeRCE light curve classifier (LCC; Sánchez-Sáez et al. 2021) uses 152 variability features computed from the ZTF alert stream, as well as average colours, to classify objects among 15 periodic, stochastic, and transient classes with high accuracy. Among the stochastic classes, there are three distinct AGN classes: core-dominated, host-dominated and blazars.

During the development of the ALeRCE LCC, we started to test its efficiency in identifying IMBHs and low-mass SMBH candidates from the ZTF alert stream. Specifically, we selected all the sources in the NASA-Sloan Atlas (NSA) catalogue\(^1\) $v_{J0,1,1}$ (Blanton et al. 2011) with stellar mass (from K-correction fit for Sersic fluxes) below $10^{10} M_\odot$, and searched in the ALeRCE database for cross-matches to LCC objects with a classification of AGN (including the three AGN classes), using a radius of 1″.5. We found 45 candidates, of which 12 were previously identified as IMBHs or low-mass SMBH candidates from Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000) spectroscopy (Greene & Ho 2004, 2007; Dong et al. 2012; Chilingarian et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2018). Among the remaining 33 sources, we found a very particular source, ZTF19acnskyy\(^2\), classified as core-dominated AGN by the LCC, and coincident with the nucleus of SDSS1335+0728 ($\alpha_{J2000}=20^h32^m00^s$, $\delta_{J2000}=7^\circ46^\prime879^\prime$, SDSS spectroscopic redshift 0.024, and stellar mass of $\sim 6 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ from the NSA catalogue), which started producing alerts (with stochastic variations) in the public ZTF stream since December 13th 2019 (MJD: 58830.567). SDSS1335+0728 was previously observed by ZTF; and it has observations in the ZTF data releases since March 2018 (MJD: 58198), but did not present 5σ detections in the ZTF difference images (and therefore did not produced alerts) until December 2019.

From the stellar mass of SDSS1335+0728 reported in the NSA catalogue, the predicted BH mass would be $\sim 1.5 \times 10^5 M_\odot$.

\(^1\) [http://nsatlas.org]
\(^2\) SDSS1335+0728 was associated later in 2022 with the ZTF source ZTF22abyyhat, also detected in the nucleus of the galaxy.
assuming the scaling relations presented in Equations 4 and 5 of Reines & Volonte”ri (2015), for local AGNs. SDSS DRS provide stellar velocity dispersions, stellar masses, and star formation rates (SFRs), obtained from the MPA-JHU catalogue (after the Max Planck Institute for Astrophysics and the Johns Hopkins University; Kaufmann et al. 2003b; Brinchmann et al. 2004; Tremonti et al. 2004). For SDSS1335+0728 the reported stellar velocity dispersion is 74.71 km/s. Using the $M - \sigma$ relation of McConnell & Ma (2013) for early type galaxies, we derive a BH mass of $\sim 1.5 \times 10^6 M_\odot$, consistent with the previous estimated value. While the reported stellar mass and SFR are $1.3 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ and 0.228 $M_\odot$/yr, respectively. From this stellar mass, we can predict a BH mass of $3.3 \times 10^6 M_\odot$. These predicted masses are right at the limit of what can be considered a low-mass SMBH, and are within the limits of BH masses expected for TDE hosts (Wevers et al. 2017).

The left panel of Figure 1 shows the difference-flux light curve at the position of SDSS1335+0728, obtained by using the ZTF forced photometry service (Masci et al. 2023), in the ZTF $g$ and $r$ bands. This corresponds to the point-spread-function (PSF)-fit flux measured over all the difference images of the target, which are constructed by subtracting a reference image from the single.epoch science images of the source. The figure shows binned light curves, constructed by measuring the median MJ and fluxes in bins of three days. For the errors we assumed that they combine as the root-mean-square. From the left panel of Figure 1, we can see that prior to December 2019, the flux detected in the difference images was consistent with being non-variable (difference-flux around zero), since the first observation of the source in March 2018. In December 2019, SDSS1335+0728 increased its flux, reached its peak in MJ 58991 (May 2020), and has been slowly decaying since, showing stochastic variation for more than 1550 days. The brightest absolute magnitudes (AB) observed in the difference images were $\sim -16.9$, $-16.8$, and $-16.4$ in the ZTF $g$, $r$, and $i$ band, respectively.

Considering the temporal behaviour and the properties of SDSS1335+0728, we hypothesised two possible scenarios for its origin: (a) it corresponds to a $10^6 M_\odot$ BH that was either not accreting or was accreting at very low rates (a low-luminosity AGN; LLAGN; e.g. Ho 2008, 2009) that turned on (i.e. a BH that increases its accretion rate enough as to form an accretion disc, potentially for the first time); or (b) it corresponds to a TDE (or another class of still unknown nuclear transient) in a $10^6 M_\odot$ BH.

The decay timescale and maximum brightness of ZTF19acsnyy are much slower and fainter than other known classical SNe events, respectively (e.g. Perley et al. 2020; Grayling et al. 2023; Chen et al. 2023), and thus we rule out a classical SN to explain the variations observed in the nucleus of SDSS1335+0728. However, a potential origin for the behaviour of SDSS1335+0728 would be an exotic circumnuclear SN, or iPTF14hls (Arcavi et al. 2017) and SN2018ibb (Schulze et al. 2024) are two examples of SNe that evolve extremely slowly compared to normal SNe events, with electromagnetic emission detected during $\sim 1000$ days, similar to what we observe in ZTF19acsnyy. However, the absolute magnitudes at the peak for iPTF14hls and SN2018ibb ($\sim -18.2$ and $-21.8$ in the $g$ band, respectively) are much brighter than that observed for ZTF19acsnyy, and they decayed more than five magnitudes after $\sim 1000$ days, while ZTF19acsnyy has only faded by $\sim 0.82$ magnitudes in the $g$ band (in the difference-magnitude light curve), after 1550 days. Hence, we ruled out the hypothesis of a potential circumnuclear SN to explain the behaviour at the nucleus of SDSS1335+0728.

In case we confirm SDSS1335+0728 as a turning-on AGN, this source will allow us to observe an AGN as it becomes active, which will be extremely relevant for the understanding of the physics behind BH accretion, as well as AGN formation and evolution. Recently, Arévalo et al. (2024) reported the discovery of new AGN activity in a former quiescent galaxy. However, the source was discovered several years after the transition event, and thus, it was not possible to follow up the source while the broad line region (BLR) was forming. Their work, though, provides an upper limit for the timescale of the appearance of broad emission lines (BELs) in AGN ignition events ($\sim 18$ years for a $10^6 M_\odot$ BH).

Transitions from quiescent states to active AGNs have also been observed in Low Ionization Nuclear Emission Line Regions (LINERs). For instance, Yan et al. (2019) and Frederick et al. (2019) reported the discovery of LINERs that transitioned into type 1 AGNs. SDSS1335+0728 is classified as composite (i.e. a galaxy whose emission lines could be explained by both AGN and/or SF star formation activity; also known as transition objects) in the MPA-JHU catalogue. Composite galaxies are at the limit of what can be considered a pure star-forming galaxy and galaxies with AGN activity (Ho et al. 1993; Veron et al. 1997). Their origin is still a matter of debate, although it has been proposed that some of them could host LLAGNs (e.g. Ho 2008). If we confirm the AGN nature of the variations in SDSS1335+0728, it will correspond to the first direct observation of a composite galaxy that transitioned into a classical AGN.

On the other hand, the faintest TDE known up to date corresponds to eRASS J074426.3+291606 (Malalyi et al. 2023), with an absolute magnitude in the $g$-band peak of $-16.8$ (very close to the value observed for ZTF19acsnyy), and an exponential decay timescale of $\sim 120$ days in the optical range. Optically faint TDEs are normally observed to fade faster than their brighter counterparts (van Velzen et al. 2020), and thus Malalyi et al. (2023) proposed that eRASS J074426.3+291606 corresponds to the first example of a potential new class of ‘faint and slow’ TDEs. ZTF19acsnyy has been showing stable variations during more than 1550 days, and thus, if we confirm its TDE nature, this will correspond to the slowest and faintest optical TDE yet observed.

3. Data collection for SDSS1335+0728

3.1. Archival data

To better understand the origin variations observed in ZTF19acsnyy and the properties of SDSS1335+0728, we collected single epoch UV/optical/infrared photometry for SDSS1335+0728 (including the whole galaxy), obtained prior to December 2019 from the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED; Helou et al. 1991), obtaining detections from the Galaxy Evolution Explorer (GALEX; Martin et al. 2005; Kron flux in elliptical aperture), SDSS (Model flux), the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS; Skrutskie et al. 2006; extended object magnitude), and the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE; Wright et al. 2010; Mainzer et al. 2014; profile-fit/extended object magnitude).

To investigate if SDSS1335+0728 presented previous variable activity, we searched for archival light curves from the fol-
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3 https://www.sdss4.org/dr17/spectro/galaxy_mpajhu/

4 Following the implementation of Lightkurve v2.4. More details in https://docs.lightkurve.org/index.html
following datasets: ZTF $g$, $r$, and $i$ bands (as mentioned previously); Gaia DR3 (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2023), which includes data from July 2014 to May 2017; the Catalina Real-Time Transient Survey DR2 (CRTS; Drake et al. 2009), including data from April 2005 to April 2016; the All-Sky Automated Survey for Supernovae (ASASSN; Jayasinghe et al. 2018), using data from January 2012 to March 2024; and WISE, using observations from January 2014 to June 2023.

In terms of spectroscopic observations, SDSS1335+0728 was observed prior to December 2019 by SDSS on February 2nd, 2007 (MJD 54156), using a 3′′ fibre (R=1500 at 3800 Å, R=2500 at 9000 Å). More recently, the source was observed by the Large Sky Area Multi-Object Fiber Spectroscopic Telescope (LAMOST; Yan et al. 2022) on May 12th, 2015 (MJD 57155) using a 3′′ fibre (R=1800 at 5500 Å).

We did not find archival radio or X-ray detections for SDSS1335+0728. The source remained undetected by the Very Large Array Sky Survey in April 2019 and November 2021 (VLASS, RMS is 0.13 mJy/beam), and in the Rapid ASKAP Continuum Survey (RACS, RMS is 0.2 mJy/beam) in April 2019. Moreover, it was not detected by the German eROSITA (extended ROentgen Survey with an Imaging Telescope Array; Merloni et al. 2012; Predehl et al. 2021) All-Sky Survey (eRASS), including the five eRASS available observations (MJDs: 58848, 59030, 59214, 59399, and 59583) and the stacked images of the first four eRASSes.

### 3.2. Follow-up data

In mid-2021, we started a follow-up campaign to further understand the origin of the variation in the nucleus of SDSS1335+0728. In July 2021 (MJD 59426), July 2022 (MJD 59775), July 2023 (MJD 60139), and February 2024 (MJD 60344), we requested target of opportunity (ToO) observations of SDSS1335+0728 with Swift (Burrows et al. 2005), observing the source with exposure times of 3, 4.6, 1.1, and 2 ksec, respectively. The data reduction was performed following standard routines as described by the UK Swift Science Data Centre (UKSSDC), using the software in HEASoft version 6.30. In addition, a Chandra (Weisskopf et al. 2000) Director’s Discretionary Time (DDT) observation was obtained in April 2022 (MJD 60403). The data reduction was performed using CIAO v4.16 and CALDB v4.11.0.

Moreover, we performed a spectroscopic follow-up of SDSS1335+0728. First, in June 2021 (MJD 59372) and July 2021 (MJD 59396), we obtained optical spectra with the Goodman spectrograph at SOAR (Clemens et al. 2004), using the Red Camera with the 400M2 (total exposure time of 1800 seconds; wavelength range 5100Å − 8950Å) and 400M1 (total exposure time of 3000 seconds; wavelength range 3300Å−7030Å) configurations, respectively. In both observations, we used the 1′′ slit, with 2 × 2 binning (R~1270). The spectra were reduced using our own custom IRAF routines. Since the source did not vary drastically in the optical range between MJD 59372 and MJD 59396, we combined both observations, to improve the wavelength coverage. The signal-to-noise per resolution element below 3800Å was ~ 2, and thus we cut the spectrum at this wavelength.

In July 2022 (MJD 59789) we obtained 1.2 hours of DDT (programme ID 109.24F5.001) to observe SDSS1335+0728 with VLT/X-shooter (Vernet et al. 2011), using the following configuration: a) UBV arm with 0′′5 slit (R=9861), 1 × 1 binning, and total exposure time of 1500 seconds, b) VIS arm with 0′′4 slit (R=18340), 1 × 1 binning, and total exposure time of 1050 seconds, and c) NIR arm with 0′′4 slit (R=11424), 1 × 1 binning, and total exposure time of 1500 seconds. The observations were done using an OSSO pattern, with a fixed RA offset of 0′ and a Fixed DEC offset of 20″. The data were reduced using the standard ESO pipelines (Modigliani et al. 2010; version 4.4.0).
3.6.3.1) within the Reflex (Freudling et al. 2013) front end. Unfortunately, the NIR observation had an overall signal-to-noise of 4.9 and was dominated by telluric lines, and thus we did not use the NIR arm in this work. For the case of the UVB arm, the signal-to-noise at wavelengths shorter than 3800 Å was ≤ 5, and therefore, we cut this arm at 3800 Å. In the VIS arm, we cut the spectrum at 5500 Å (where the signal-to-noise was ≤ 3).

In July 2023 (MJD 60137), we obtained observations with the Low Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (LRIS) at Keck I (Oke et al. 1995), with the 600/4000 Blue grism (2 × 2 binning, R = 3495), and the 600/7500 Red grating (2 × 1 binning, R = 8835).

We obtained two observations, 300 second exposures each, with 1.5′ and 0.7′ slits, respectively. The two adopted slit widths will later allow us to compare aperture loss issues. The data were reduced using the standard Keck IRAF pipeline.6

Finally, in January 2024 (MJD 60337), we obtained a new spectrum with the Goodman spectrograph using the Red Camera with 400M1 (total exposure time of 3000 seconds). As for the 2021 observation, the spectrum was reduced using IRAF. A summary of all the available spectroscopic observations is presented in Table 1.

In the following sections, we use all the available photometric and spectroscopic data to disentangle the nature of the variations currently observed in SDSS1335+0728.

4. Understanding the nature of the variations in the nucleus of SDSS1335+0728

4.1. Optical variability

We used the ZTF optical light curves to explore the potential for ZTF19acnsky to be a TDE in the nucleus of SDSS1335+0728. We fitted the ZTF \( g \) and \( r \) difference light curves shown in the left panel of Figure 1 using the decaying power-law model presented in Equation 3 of van Velzen et al. (2021a), which has been successfully used to fit TDE light curves. TDEs are predicted to have light curves with a smooth power-law decay with \( p \) index of \( \sim -5/3 \) (Rees 1988; Phinney 1989), although some deviations from this value have been observed (\( -3.82 \leq p \leq -0.78 \); Hammerstein et al. 2023).

For the case of ZTF19acnsky, we obtain much flatter power-law indices \( p \) of \( -0.17 \pm 0.03 \) and \( -0.14 \pm 0.03 \) in the ZTF \( g \) and \( r \) bands respectively. These fits are shown in the left panel of Figure 1 (dashed lines).

The right panel of Figure 1 shows the ZTF difference light curves, but normalising them by the power-law decay fit obtained for each band. From this figure we can see that the variations of ZTF19acnsky differ from the smooth power-law decay expected for TDEs, showing stochastic variations similar to those observed in classical AGNs. The variations observed in both bands are consistent, although the \( r \)-band light curve shows more scatter due to its lower signal-to-noise. The excess variance \( \sigma_{rms}^2 \) of the normalised flux light curve in the \( g \) band is 0.017, while for the original difference-flux light curve (using epochs after MJD 58830) it is 0.039. Both values are in agreement with values measured for classical AGNs (Simm et al. 2016).

Figure 2 shows the ZTF Forced Photometry total magnitude light curve of SDSS1335+0728, obtained by correcting the difference-flux by the total flux measured on its respective reference image. It can be seen that the optical flux increased in all the ZTF bands in December 2019, with the largest variation being observed in the ZTF \( g \) band. After this increase in luminosity, the source has shown stochastic variations (according to the LCC top-level classification) for more than 1550 days (\( \sim 4.2 \) years), but its flux has declined by a factor of \( \sim 2 \) since its peak.

To investigate if SDSS1335+0728 presented previous optical variability, we used the archival CRTS and ASSASN light curves. These light curves are shown in Figure 2. We did not use the Gaia DR3 data since the source is classified as non-variable, and thus its time series is not currently available. These optical light curves cover almost 19 years of data, from April 2005 until March 2024, but have low signal-to-noise ratios, and therefore we binned them to have a better idea of any possible variations.

We used the same procedure described in Section 2. By visual inspection, we see that the source did not vary in the optical range before December 2019. The ASSASN_V band light curve shows modest evidence of an increase in the flux since December 2019, especially after binning the light curve, although this light curve remains quite noisy.

To constrain quantitatively the variability properties of the archival optical light curves, following Sánchez et al. (2017), we calculated the probability that the source is intrinsically variable (\( P_{var} \); see Paolillo et al. 2004 and references therein), and the excess variance \( \sigma_{rms}^2 \) (in magnitudes), and classified as variable the light curves showing \( P_{var} > 0.95 \) and \( \sigma_{rms}^2 - \text{err}(\sigma_{rms}^2) > 0 \). For this, we used the binned archival light curves shown in Figure 2. None of the CRTS and ASSASN light curves is classified as variable according to the criteria, with all having \( P_{var} \leq 0.5 \) and \( \sigma_{rms}^2 - \text{err}(\sigma_{rms}^2) < 0 \). On the other hand, all the ZTF light curves are classified as variable. Consequently, we can confirm that SDSS1335+0728 has not varied in the optical range in the last \( \sim 2 \) decades until it started showing variations in December 2019.

From the optical variability, we conclude that the turning-on AGN scenario is plausible, while the TDE scenario is less probable, especially considering the slow temporal evolution of ZTF19acnskyy. For the case of a potential TDE in an AGN, simulations still predict a smooth power-law decay (e.g. Chan et al. 2020), and available observations show that the timescales of these events are similar to those of classical TDEs (e.g. Merloni et al. 2015; Ricci et al. 2020; Homan et al. 2023). However, given the current declining trend of the optical light curve, it is still too soon to rule out a transient event. Future observations from ZTF and LSST will be key to further understanding the variability observed in SDSS1335+0728.

4.2. Infrared variability

To study the mid-infrared evolution of SDSS1335+0728, we used the archival WISE light curve, which is shown in Figure 2. The W1 and W2 light curves show a slow increase in their flux since \( \sim June 2022 \) (i.e. \( \sim 900 \) days since the first ZTF alert), which is more evident in the W2 band, where the flux increased more than two times in \( \sim one year \) (between June 2022 and June 2023).

As for the optical light curves (see Section 4.1), we binned the WISE light curves using the procedure presented in Section 2 and measured the \( P_{var} \) and \( \sigma_{rms}^2 \) from them. When using the full timespan of the WISE light curves, for both bands, we obtain \( P_{var} < 0.95 \) and \( \sigma_{rms}^2 - \text{err}(\sigma_{rms}^2) < 0 \). However, these full light curves cover almost 19 years of data, from April 2005 until March 2024, but have low signal-to-noise ratios, and therefore we binned them to have a better idea of any possible variations.
Table 1. Spectroscopic observations of SDSS1335+0728.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>MJD</th>
<th>Aperture</th>
<th>Seeing</th>
<th>Resolution (R)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDSS</td>
<td>54156</td>
<td>3′′</td>
<td>1′64</td>
<td>1500 at 3800 Å, 2500 at 9000 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMOST</td>
<td>57155</td>
<td>3′5</td>
<td>4′′</td>
<td>1800 at 5500 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOAR/Goodman</td>
<td>59396</td>
<td>1′′</td>
<td>1′5</td>
<td>~ 1270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLT/X-shooter</td>
<td>59789</td>
<td>0′′4 (0′′5 UBV)</td>
<td>0′′7</td>
<td>9861 in the UBV arm and 18340 in the VIS arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keck/LRIS</td>
<td>60137</td>
<td>0′′7 and 1′′5</td>
<td>0′′45</td>
<td>3495 in the Blue grism and 8835 in the Red grating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOAR/Goodman</td>
<td>60337</td>
<td>1′′</td>
<td>1′3</td>
<td>~ 1270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Total magnitude light curves of SDSS1335+0728 in the ZTF g (green), r (red), and i (yellow) bands, CRTS (blue), WISE W1 (gold), and W2 (light green) bands, and in the ASAS-SN V (pink) and g (brown) bands. The black circles show the binned light curves constructed using bins of 180 days. The black dashed line marks the day when ZTF19acnskyy started triggering alerts in the ZTF alert stream. The red dashed lines mark the dates with available spectra, and the grey dashed-dotted lines show the dates with available Swift/UVOT observations (more details on Section 3).

Fig. 3. W1-W2 WISE colour evolution for SDSS1335+0728. The red and black dashed lines show the mean and median W1-W2 colour, respectively.

curves are dominated by the observations prior to 2022. If we only include observations obtained after the first ZTF alert, the W2 light curve is classified as variable.

We show in Figure 3 the W1-W2 WISE colour evolution of SDSS1335+0728. From the figure, we can see that the W1-W2 colour changed from ~ 0.0 to ~ 0.14 at MJD 59958 (1128 days after the first ZTF alert).

The delayed response of the MIR emission is much larger than what is expected from the UV/optical emission reprocessing by a potential preexisting dusty torus around the SMBH (i.e. infrared dust echo). For classical AGNs, from previous dust reverberation mapping studies, we know that delays of the order of 900 days (or 879 days in rest frame for SDSS1335+0728) in the 3.6–4.5 µm wavelength range are expected for AGNs with bolometric luminosities larger than 10^{46} erg/s (Lyu et al. 2019; Yang et al. 2020). For instance, by using equation 16 from Lyu et al. (2019), a time delay of 879 days in W2, implies a bolometric luminosity of ~ 2.4×10^{46} erg/s, which is much brighter than the nuclear emission observed from SDSS1335+0728 (see Section 4.5). Moreover, TDEs with known MIR variations have shown much shorter MIR lags (e.g. van Velzen et al. 2016; Jiang et al. 2019; van Velzen et al. 2021b), with typical estimated timescales
of a few months, for the reprocesing of the X-ray/UV/optical signal by the dusty torus.

Evolution towards redder MIR colours has been observed before in CSAGNs (e.g. Lyu et al. 2022; López-Navas et al. 2023 and references therein) and in the newborn AGN reported by Arévalo et al. (2024), and it has been associated with reprocessed emission from the AGN dusty torus. Classical AGNs have values of W1-W2 larger than 0.6 (Wright et al. 2010; Comparat et al. 2020), while values lower than 0.4 are normally associated with quiescent or star-forming galaxies. However, low-luminosity AGNs (LLAGNs) can have W1-W2 colours of ~0.08 (Lyu et al. 2022), as the host galaxy dominates their MIR emission. For the case of SDSS1335+0728, the recent MIR flux and colour evolution could indicate that a new dusty torus is just being formed in an LLAGN, or that the recent reprocassing is happening in a much larger dusty structure than the ones typically observed in classical AGNs or TDEs, with a size of few light years.

4.3. Ultraviolet photometry

GALEX detected SDSS1335+0728 on April 16, 2004 (MJD 53111) in both NUV and FUV filter bands. Around 17 years later, we started observing the source with Swift/UVOT in the UVW1, UVW2, UVM2, U, B, and V bands. The UVRB source task was used to perform aperture photometry on the Swift/UVOT images, using a circular aperture radius of 5″ centred on the source. We excluded from the analysis the Swift/UVOT observation from MJD 60344, since the UVW1, UVW2, and UVM2 images presented tracking issues.

We used the available photometry described in Section 3, obtained prior to and after the event (excluding the MJD 60344 Swift/UVOT observation), to construct a spectral energy distribution (SED) of SDSS1335+0728, which is shown in Figure 4. We show the four Swift/UVOT measurements independently and complement them with contemporaneous WISE data, except for the MJD 60139 observation, for which there is no contemporaneous data publicly available (but we show the most recent WISE observation).

From Figure 4, we can see that the UV flux increased by a factor of ~4 between archival GALEX observations (2004) and the first Swift/UVOT measurement (2021). It is worth remarking that this difference is still robust even if one takes into account the difference in the filters involved.

The Swift/UVOT measurements show that SDSS1335+0728 has been varying in the UV since its outburst, with the highest flux being detected in the July 2021 observation and the lowest one in the July 2023 observation. In the UVW2 band the source varied 0.43 magnitudes between the 2021 and the 2023 observations, while in the UVM2, the source varied 0.28 magnitudes in the same period. The recent UV variability exhibits a bluer when brighter behaviour, with observed Swift colour UVW2-UVM2 of ~0.208, ~0.047, and ~0.057, and UVM2-U of 0.484, 0.527, and 0.554, in July 2021, July 2022, and July 2023, respectively. In comparison, the g-r optical colour measured from the difference forced photometry has mean, median, and standard deviation values of ~0.54, ~0.52, and 0.25, respectively. This difference in the behaviour of the UV and optical colours of SDSS1335+0728 could indicate that a large fraction of the transient event energy is being released in the UV (presumably near to the SMBH).

These results support the hypothesis of a turning on BH in the nucleus of SDSS1335+0728, as a bright UV emission and a bluer when brighter behaviour is normally observed in accretion discs of classical AGNs, while for the case of TDEs, a rather constant colour is expected (Zabludoff et al. 2021).

4.4. X-ray photometry and spectroscopy

As mentioned in Section 3.1, eROSITA did not detect SDSS1335+0728 in any of the five available eRASS epochs in the same field of the source. We note that the first epoch was obtained on December 31, 2019, after the first ZTF alert. We obtained eROSITA upper limits from the stacked images (Tubin-Arenas et al. 2024), which are calculated using X-ray photometry on the eROSITA standard calibration data products (counts image, background image, and exposure time), following the Bayesian approach described by Kraft et al. (1991). We consider a circular aperture with a radius given by a PSF encircled energy fraction of EEF = 0.75 (~30′) and computed upper limits with a confidence interval of 99.87% (this corresponds to a one-sided 3σ level). To calculate energy conversion factors (ECFs), we assumed an absorbed power-law model with spectral index $\Gamma = 2$ and Galactic absorption $A_\text{V} = 3 \times 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$. We computed upper limits for the most sensitive eROSITA band with $0.2 - 2.3 \text{ keV}$, as well as in nominal soft (0.2–0.6 keV), medium (0.6–2.3 keV), and hard (2.3–5.0 keV), and total (0.2–5.0 keV) bands, obtaining values of $3.98 \times 10^{-14}$, $3.09 \times 10^{-14}$, $3.06 \times 10^{-14}$, $2.16 \times 10^{-13}$, and $4.00 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, respectively. From this, we estimate a $3\sigma$ upper limit in the luminosity of SDSS1335+0728 in the $0.2 - 2.3 \text{ keV}$ energy band of $5.17 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$.

Additionally, in the first three Swift/XRT observations (MJD 59426, 59775, and 60139), the source was not detected by the Swift/XRT instrument. We used the longest observation (4.6 ksec in MJD 59775) to get an idea of the potential 0.5-10 keV band flux limit. We used WebPIMMS9 and estimated a count-rate of $1.33 \times 10^3 \text{ counts s}^{-1}$ from a circular region of 20″ centred on the source. Then, assuming a power-law model with spectral index $\Gamma = 2$ and Galactic absorption $3 \times 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$, we obtain estimated flux limits of $4.76 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in the 0.5 – 10 keV band, and $3.08 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in the 0.2 – 2.3 keV band, consistent with the upper limits provided by the eROSITA ob-

9 https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/Tools/w3pimms/w3pimms.pl
swept-line analysis was used to adaptatively smooth the image, using a fast Fourier transform algorithm and a minimum and maximum significance level of the signal-to-noise of 3 and 4, respectively. The image shows a source located at RA: 13:35:19.93 DEC: +7:28:07.48, that is, coincident with the nucleus of SDSS1335+0728 and the position of ZTF19acnskyy. From this, we confirm that the previous Swift/XRT detection comes from the nucleus of the source. We used the routine SPECEXTRACT to extract the spectral products, using circles of 2” and 10” radii for the source and background, respectively. We modelled the spectrum with a black body model with kT = 80 ± 7 eV, obtaining a flux of 9.7×10⁻¹² erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² in the 0.3 – 2 keV band.

The Swift/XRT spectrum shows a shape similar to that observed in IES 1927+654 while its X-ray corona was being re-formed (Ricci et al. 2020), which could indicate that an AGN corona has started to form in the nucleus of SDSS1335+0728. Moreover, the spectra of X-ray-detected TDEs tend to show soft black body-like emission and temperatures of kT ≈ 0.04 – 0.12 keV (Zabludoff et al. 2021; Saxton et al. 2021), and thus, the recent Swift/XRT detection could point to a TDE origin. Delayed X-ray brightening has been observed in optically detected TDEs, but the observed timescales for these events are a few hundred days (Guolo et al. 2024; Wevers et al. 2024), thus much shorter than the ones observed for SDSS1335+0728. We have initiated a more intensive follow-up campaign with Swift/XRT observations to confirm which of these hypotheses is correct. The analysis of this campaign, including a more detailed assessment of the Chandra observation, will be presented in a forthcoming paper.

4.5. Modelling the spectral energy distribution

To better understand the properties of the galaxy SDSS1335+0728 prior to and after December 2019, we modelled the SED presented in Figure 4 using the Bayesian code AGNFITTER (Calistro Rivera et al. 2016). This code models the SED of AGNs by combining multiwavelength models of the host-galaxy and AGN emission. In particular, four components are included: AGN accretion disc emission (big blue bump or BBB), the hot dust emission from the AGN torus, the stellar population of the host-galaxy, and the emission from the cold dust in galactic star-forming regions. The model returns the following parameters for the host-galaxy: stellar mass (M*), age, SFR, star-formation history timescale (SFH τ), galaxy reddening [E(B - V)gal], among others. For the AGN component, the code returns the torus and the BBB emission. From this, we can obtain integrated luminosities for the accretion disc and the torus. We run AGNFITTER using 10,000 walkers. Following Calistro Rivera et al. (2021), we sampled each parameter from
the model using 100 random realisations from the posterior, and we measured the luminosity of the accretion disc \(L_{\text{BBB}}(0.05-1)\) by integrating the BBB emission in the wavelength range 0.05 – \(\mu\text{m}\).

We first used AGNFITTER to model the SED of SDSS1335+0728 prior to December 2019 (preSED-model), using the photometry obtained from WISE, 2MASS, SDSS, and GALEX. Then, to model the SED after December 2019 (afterSED-model), we combined the first Swift/UVOT observation obtained in July 2021 (MJD 59426), with the photometry from 2MASS and WISE obtained prior to December 2019, assuming that the source did not vary in the infrared range up to that date. This allowed us to cover a larger wavelength range.

We assume throughout that the host-galaxy properties are constant, and fixed the stellar age, SFH \(\tau\), and \(E(B-V)_{\text{gal}}\) parameters, using the output of the preSED-model within 1\(\sigma\). The most recent WISE observations show a new MIR component, which could be associated with dust echo (torus reprocessing). Unfortunately, we do not have access to recent near-infrared (NIR) observations, and we cannot assume anymore that the source does not vary in this range. Thus, we cannot properly model an SED that includes this new component. Therefore, we just modelled the SED for the July 2021 observation.

The results of the SED fitting are shown in Figure 7. The top panel shows the results obtained for the preSED-model, while the bottom panel shows the results for the afterSED-model. From the figure, we note that none of the SED models require a torus component. Interestingly, we also note that most of the realisations of the preSED-model require a BBB component, although much fainter than the one required for the afterSED-model; however, we note that some realisations do not include this component, and instead, a younger stellar population is required. The obtained BBB components for the preSED-model and the afterSED-model are more dominant in the UV. According to the standard thin disc model (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973), accretion discs around BHs are expected to have maximum effective temperatures proportional to \(R_{\text{edd}}/M_{\text{BH}}^{0.25}\) (where \(R_{\text{edd}}\) is the Eddington rate of the source, and therefore for IMBHs and low-mass SMBHs, the accretion disc emission should peak in the far/extreme UV, and should contribute less flux in the optical range, compared to more massive BHs (see for instance Figure 18 in Zou et al. 2023). This is consistent with what we observe in the modelled SEDs of SDSS1335+0728.

Table 2 shows the preSED-model and afterSED-model output parameters, including SFH \(\tau\), age, \(E(B-V)_{\text{gal}}\), SFR, the stellar mass \(M_{*}\), and \(L_{\text{BBB}}(0.05-1)\). The table shows the median and the 2\(\sigma\) errors (computed using the 2.5 and 97.5 percentiles of the different SED realisations) for each parameter. The obtained stellar masses are in agreement with the value reported in the MPA-JHU catalogue. The median BBB luminosity in the preSED-model is \(1.62 \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\) and one order of magnitude smaller than the one obtained for the afterSED-model \((1.23 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1})\). However, we note that the measured BBB luminosity in the preSED-model for a younger stellar population is \(7.84 \times 10^{37} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\) (which corresponds to the percentile 2.5 of the preSED-model realisations). If we assume that \(L_{\text{BBB}}(0.05-1)\) is a good representation of the bolometric luminosity (since the upper limit of the X-ray emission is much fainter than the accretion disc luminosity), we can see that the bolometric luminosities measured from both SED models are consistent with previous observations of LLAGNs, including composite (or transitioning) galaxies, LINERs, and Seyfert 1s (e.g. Ho 2009).

Assuming the BH masses derived in Section 2, we can estimate the Eddington rate \(R_{\text{edd}}\) of the source, using the definition presented in Netzer (2013). By assuming a BH mass of \(3.3 \times 10^{9} M_{\odot}\), prior to December 2019 we obtain \(R_{\text{edd}}\) of 0.003 \((1 \times 10^{-7} \text{ if we assume the lowest BBB luminosity})\), and after a value of 0.025, while if we assume a BH mass of \(1.5 \times 10^{9} M_{\odot}\), we obtain \(R_{\text{edd}}\) of 0.007 \((3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ if we assume the lowest BBB luminosity})\) and 0.055 before and after December 2019. These \(R_{\text{edd}}\) estimated values are also consistent with previous observations of LLAGNs (e.g. Ho 2009). Moreover, the difference among these values is similar to what has been recently observed for the transition of CSAGNs (Ruan et al. 2019; Graham et al. 2020; Guolo et al. 2021; Temple et al. 2022; López-Navas et al. 2023), and in the differences between LINERs and Seyfert 2s (e.g. Hernández-García et al. 2016).

The potential detection of a BBB component prior to December 2019, and the SED and \(R_{\text{edd}}\) evolution of SDSS1335+0728, support the hypothesis of an SMBH that was accreting at very low accretion rates and that is currently turning on (AGN ignition event). However, the BBB luminosity measured in the afterSED-model is consistent with previous observations of TDEs (Hammerstein et al. 2023), and thus the TDE scenario cannot be discarded.

### 4.6. Spectroscopic analysis

SDSS1335+0728 was observed prior to December 2019 by SDSS in MJD 54156. According to the SDSS pipeline classification, the source corresponds to a star-forming galaxy. From its emission line ratios, the source was classified as composite in the MPA-JHU catalogue and by Toba et al. (2014). Moreover, this spectrum has no coronal lines detected in the Coronal Line Activity Spectroscopic Survey (CLASS; Reefe et al. 2023) catalogue. More recently, the source was observed by LAMOST-Min MJD 57155. The Reference Catalogue of Spectral Energy Distributions version 2 (RCSED2)\(^{11}\) classifies this spectrum as star-forming galaxy. From these observations, we can conclude that SDSS1335+0728 had either no nuclear activity or very low AGN activity before it started showing variations in late 2019.

As mentioned in Section 3.2, in mid-2021 we performed a spectroscopic follow-up of SDSS1335+0728, observing the source with SOAR/Goodman (MJD 59372 and 60337), VLT/X-shooter (MJD 59789), and Keck/LRIS (MJD 60137).

Six of the seven available spectra are shown in Figure 8 (the LRIS 1’5 slit spectrum is not presented, but is similar to the 0’7 slit observation). The top panel shows the full spectra, while the bottom left and right panels show a zoom around the H\(_{\beta}\) and H\(_{\alpha}\) lines, respectively.

From a visual inspection of Figure 8, we note that most of the observations are dominated by the host-galaxy component of SDSS1335+0728 in the optical range, while the Keck LRIS spectrum shows a much more prominent UV emission (the same is observed in the 1’5 slit spectrum), which is consistent with the observations obtained from Swift/UVOT. The X-shooter and Goodman 2021 observations do not allow us to study wavelengths shorter than 3800 Å (because of the low signal-to-noise ratio at this wavelength range), and thus we cannot confirm spectroscopically if this UV emission was also present in 2021 and 2022; however, this is confirmed by the Swift/UVOT observations. Moreover, we note that the relative strength of the [OIII] and [NII] forbidden lines with respect to the Balmer lines has changed with time. This change in the line fluxes could be due to...

\(^{11}\) https://rcsed2.voxastro.org; Chilingarian & Zolotukhin 2012; Chilingarian et al. 2017
to changes in the fibre/slit sizes or to the evolution of the source (this is further discussed in Section 4.6.2).

### 4.6.1. Spectral modelling

We modelled each spectrum using the Penalized PiXel-Fitting (pPXF) software (Cappellari & Emsellem 2004, Cappellari 2017). Each model includes stellar populations with a wide range of metallicity and age (Vazdekis et al. 2016), emission lines (narrow and broad) and a set of power laws of the form \((\lambda/\lambda_0)^\alpha\), where \(\lambda\) is the wavelength, \(\lambda_0 = 5000\text{Å}\) is a normalization factor and \(\alpha\) the slope, that goes from -4 to 0 in steps of 0.1. All the spectra, except that obtained by X-shooter, were fitted over the whole wavelength range that includes the H\(_2\) and H\(_\beta\) regions. For the case of the X-shooter spectrum, to reduce the noise (see grey spectrum in the top panel of Figure 8), we used a box smooth\(^{12}\) with a window of 10 pixels (equivalent to ~5 resolution elements at 7750 Å). This smoothed spectrum is shown in green in Figure 8. Then, we fitted the optical range that includes the H-\(\alpha\) region and used these results to constrain the range of slopes for the set of power laws to fit the UV spectrum with the H-\(\beta\) region. The range of slopes was limited to values from \(-1.1\) to 0 and no other constraints were applied. The results of the pPXF modelling for all the spectra are shown in Appendix A.

The best-fits from pPXF yield consistent ages of the stellar populations in all the spectra, which are also consistent with what we obtain from the SED modelling. The SDSS, LAMOST and SOAR 2021 spectra do not require an AGN power-law component. For the LRIS spectrum a power-law component with a slope of \(-2.9\) is needed. For the case of the X-shooter data, we modelled the spectrum with and without a power-law component, resulting in similar stellar population ages, and with similar residual sum of squares (RSS), with RSS of 4.15 \(\times 10^{-3}\) for the model with a power-law component and 4.13 \(\times 10^{-3}\) for the model without a power-law component. This prevented us from identifying the best model for

---


---

**Table 2.** Output from AGNfitter for SDSS1335+0728 before (preSED-model) and after (afterSED-model) ZTF19acnskyy started producing alerts. The reported values correspond to the median and 2\(\sigma\) errors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SED model</th>
<th>SFH (\tau) [Gyr]</th>
<th>age [log yr]</th>
<th>(E(B-V)_{\text{gal}})</th>
<th>SFR [M(_\odot)/yr]</th>
<th>(M_*) [log10M(_\odot)]</th>
<th>(L_{\text{BBB}}(0.05-1)) [log10 erg s(^{-1})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>preSED-model</td>
<td>1.00(^{+0.96}_{-0.95})</td>
<td>10.02(^{+0.10}_{-0.32})</td>
<td>0.07(^{+0.07}_{-0.04})</td>
<td>0.34(^{+0.48}_{-0.13})</td>
<td>10.20(^{+0.14}_{-0.23})</td>
<td>42.21(^{+0.16}_{-4.37})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>afterSED-model</td>
<td>0.85(^{+0.78}_{-0.52})</td>
<td>10.05(^{+0.05}_{-0.17})</td>
<td>0.10(^{+0.01}_{-0.02})</td>
<td>0.38(^{+0.57}_{-0.19})</td>
<td>10.15(^{+0.07}_{-0.14})</td>
<td>43.09(^{+0.05}_{-0.09})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 8. Spectra of SDSS1335+0728. Top: Full spectra observed by SDSS (2007; blue), LAMOST (2015; red), Goodman (2021 and 2024; yellow and pink), X-shooter (2022; green), and LRIS (2023, 0.7″; purple). The original X-shooter spectrum is shown in grey and the box smooth (with a window of 10 pixels) binned spectrum is shown in green. Bottom: The left panel shows a zoom around the Hβ line region, and the right panel shows a zoom around the Hα line region.

the X-shooter spectrum. Thus, we decided to include the model with a power-law component in the analysis, as the Swift/UVOT contemporaneous image shows a strong UV emission. For the SOAR 2021 spectrum, the model requires a power-law component with a slope of −1.22.

We measured the uncertainties of the fits by performing Monte Carlo simulations. For this, we divided the best-fit residuals into five (six for the case of X-shooter) wavelength ranges limited by the Hβ and Hα regions. For each range, we compute the standard deviation and then use it to generate a set of random values from a Gaussian with the centre at zero, with these values we build a random simulated noise. Then, we added the simulated noise to the best-fit model and performed the fit with the same setting used to fit each spectrum. We used 1000 simulations and the 16 and 84 percentiles for the errors of measured values.

4.6.2. Emission line diagnostics

None of the available spectra of SDSS1335+0728 require a broad line emission component in the pPXF fits. Moreover, none of them require Bowen fluorescence (BF; Netzer et al. 1985) emission lines, which have recently been found in flaring AGNs (Trakhtenbrot et al. 2019b; Frederick et al. 2021) and TDEs (van Velzen et al. 2020; Zabludoff et al. 2021).

To have a better idea of any possible AGN-like activity in SDSS1335+0728, we constructed BPT diagrams (after Baldwin, Phillips, and Terlevich; Baldwin et al. 1981), consisting of indexes that relate the strengths of some narrow emission lines (NELs; Hβ, [O III]λ5007, Hα, [N II]λ6583, and [S II]λ6716,6730.85) as proxies of the state of ionization of a region. From the best-fit pPXF results and the Monte Carlo simulations, we obtained the emission lines intensities (with their respective errors) to compute the ratios [OIII]λ5007/Hβ, [N
...and [SII]λ6716.47−6730.85/H\alpha. These are shown in Figure 9. We used the theoretical relations from Kewley et al. (2001), Kauffmann et al. (2003a), Kewley et al. (2006) and Schawinski et al. (2007), to separate star-forming, composite and AGN (Seyfert and LINER) regions of the two diagrams.

For the SDSS and LAMOST spectra, we obtain results in agreement with RCSED2\(^1\). The LAMOST spectrum is classified as star-forming, and the SDSS spectrum as composite. Differences in the fibre aperture could explain this difference in the classifications of the SDSS and LAMOST spectra, and worse seeing conditions normally observed at the location of LAMOST. For Goodman 2021 and X-shooter, the results show a preferred position near the limit between composite-AGN and star-forming-AGN, indicating a more energetic ionization state than the SDSS and LAMOST results. While for the case of the LRIS observations, a drastic evolution towards the AGN region of the BPT diagram is observed for both slits. The same is observed for the Goodman 2024 spectrum, but we see an evolution in the BPT location with respect to the LRIS observations. This suggests that the Narrow Line Region (NLR) of SDSS1335+0728 has had enough time to react to the increased ionising continuum after more than 3.6 years of activity (the time between the first ZTF alert and the LRIS spectra).

Figure 10 shows the evolution of the [OIII] NEL after December 2019, which is the line that shows the most evident evolution among the different epochs. We include as a reference the flux level of the line in the SDSS and LAMOST spectra. These fluxes are highly dominated by the host-galaxy as they were obtained using 3” and 3.5” fibres, respectively. All the observations were obtained with different instruments, slit apertures, and different seeing qualities (see Table 1). Thus, the observed evolution should be considered with caution. We see a drastic increment in the [OIII] flux in both LRIS observations (of ~ two to four times the flux observed by Goodman 2021 and X-shooter) and in the Goodman 2024 spectrum. The level of the LRIS and Goodman 2024 [OIII] flux is also larger than the ones obtained from SDSS and LAMOST prior to 2019. Thus, this increase in the [OIII] flux is difficult to explain by variations in the slit size or by the use of a different instrument.

The evolution of the [OIII] line can be explained by the delayed reaction of the NLR to the increase in the ionising flux since December 2019. From the BPT diagram evolution, and the [OIII] line flux variability, we can estimate an upper limit for the innermost radius of the NLR of 3.6 light years (or 1.1 pc). This is consistent with the results of Peterson et al. (2013), who measured a radius of 1–3 pc for NGC 5548 (BH mass of 7×10\(^6\)M\(_\odot\); bolometric luminosity of 2.8×10\(^{44}\) erg s\(^{-1}\)) Ebrero et al. (2016). Generally, the NLR size is thought to span hundreds to thousands of parsecs (Netzer 2013). However, when a source is just activating, the inner parsec of ionised gas should react first. This would be possible if there is an extensive zone in the NLR of relatively low filling factor located close to the nucleus, from which most of the nuclear OIII emission of SDSS1335+0728 is coming from. Such inhomogeneous density in the NLR has been previously observed in other objects, like NGC1068 (Kraemer et al. 1998).

The spectral analysis supports the hypothesis of an AGN that is turning on, whose NLR is starting to react to the X-ray/UV/optical emission. However, significant NEL variability has also been detected in TDEs (e.g. Charalamopoulos et al. 2022). The lack of BELs, along with a strong rise in the UV, could be explained if there are few BLR clouds able to produce strong enough broad-emission lines (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006). As mentioned in Section 4.2, one of the possible scenarios to explain the MIR flux evolution is that a torus component is being formed. This implies that clouds may be falling in, populating the torus first and eventually the BLR; thus, we might detect BELs in the future. Further monitoring will be necessary to confirm this hypothesis.

5. Conclusions

SDSS1335+0728 started triggering alerts in the ZTF alert stream in December 2019 (object ID ZTF19acsnyy), and was classified as an AGN by the ALeRCE LCC. The source did not show variations in the UV/optical/infrared range for almost two decades before this date. From the behaviour of SDSS1335+0728, we considered two hypotheses for its origin: (a) a ~ 10\(^6\)M\(_\odot\) BH that has now turned on as an AGN; and (b) a TDE (or another class of still unknown nuclear transient) in a ~ 10\(^6\)M\(_\odot\) BH. In 2021, we started a photometric and spectroscopic follow-up campaign to better understand the origin of the observed variations.

The classical TDE scenario is less favourable to explain the origin of the nuclear variation in SDSS1335+0728 based on the following findings: (a) The light curve of ZTF19acsnyy does not follow the smooth power-law decay typically observed in TDEs; (b) ZTF19acsnyy had an absolute peak in the g band of -16.9, and has been varying stochastically for more than 1550 days, contrary to what is expected from faint TDEs; (c) the SED shows a bluer-when-brighter behaviour, while TDEs are expected to show no colour variations; (d) there is no evidence of broad emission lines; and (e) the [OIII] line flux is quite prominent, and has increased with time, contrary to other TDEs observed in the optical range.

Despite this, we cannot rule out the TDE hypothesis, as the variations observed in the nucleus of SDSS1335+0728 could have originated from an exotic TDE. In particular, some TDEs have shown a lack of broad emission lines, slow temporal evolution, and faint optical emission, such as eRASSt J074426.3+291606 (Malyali et al. 2023). Others have shown optical, UV, or X-ray rebrightening (e.g. Soriaisma et al. 2022; Malyali et al. 2023; Wevers et al. 2023; Liu et al. 2024). Delayed X-ray emission is also common in TDEs, although not with the same timescales observed in SDSS1335+0728 (Guolo et al. 2024; Wevers et al. 2024). Moreover, we still do not clearly understand the behaviour of TDEs in already active AGNs (Chan et al. 2019); however, in the last few years, some of these events have been discovered. For instance, Merloni et al. (2015) proposed that the CSAGN discovered by LaMassa et al. (2015) could be explained by a TDE in an accretion disc. Later, Traktienbrot et al. (2019a) and Ricci et al. (2020) presented the discovery of 1ES 1927+654, a CSAGN, and claimed that a TDE in a type 2 AGN provoked an increase in the accretion rate at the innermost regions of the accretion disc. More recently, Homan et al. (2023) presented the discovery of eRASSt J234402.9−352640 using eROSITA observations and concluded that a TDE within a turned-off AGN best matches their observations. All these examples, however, show temporal evolution similar to that observed in other TDEs.

We propose that a plausible origin for the nuclear activity observed in SDSS1335+0728 would be a ~ 10\(^6\)M\(_\odot\) BH that is now turning on as an AGN. This is supported by: (a) its stochastic variable emission; (b) the bluer-when-brighter behaviour; (c) the SED modelling, which is consistent with a BBB component that increased its flux by at least one order of magnitude, with a BBB luminosity of ~ 10\(^{42}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) after December 2019.

\(^1\) https://rcsed2.voxastro.org/data/obj/450785
Fig. 9. BPT diagrams for all the modelled spectra. The left panel shows the [OIII]/Hβ versus [N II]/Hβ diagnostic, while the right panel shows the [OIII]/Hβ versus [SII]/Hβ diagnostic. The solid black lines show the theoretical relations to separate the star-forming, composite and AGN (Seyfert and Liner) regions in both diagrams.

Fig. 10. Light curve of the [OIII] NEL of SDSS1335+0728. We include the measurements obtained from Goodman (yellow and pink stars), X-shooter (green square), and LRIS (magenta and brown diamonds). The horizontal dashed lines show the flux level of the SDSS and LAMOST observations.

consistent with an AGN; (d) the estimated $R_{	ext{fades}}$, which has varied from less than $10^{-3}$ to $10^{-2}$, consistent with previously observed CSAGNs; (e) the BPT diagram evolution from star-forming to AGN-like emission line ratios; (f) the [OIII] line evolution, which is responding to the UV/optical variations, and suggests that the NLR is starting to react to the increased ionising flux $\sim 3.6$ years after the first ZTF alert, which implies a compact NLR; (g) a delayed MIR flux increase from about June 2022, and the redder W1-W2 colour, which could imply that a dusty torus is now being formed; and (h) the recent X-ray detection, 1514 days after the first ZTF alert, which shows very soft emission, similar to that observed in IES 1927+654 when its X-ray corona began to reform (Ricci et al. 2020), which could imply that an X-ray corona is establishing itself in the nucleus of SDSS1335+0728.

The lack of BELs suggests that SDSS1335+0728 does not yet have a BLR, as we do see a strong new UV continuum and relatively rapid optical variability, and therefore cannot associate the lack of BELs with obscuration effects. The recent MIR evolution cannot be explained by a preexisting (AGN-like) dusty torus, which suggests that a torus could be under formation, implying that clouds are falling in from the outside, populating the torus first and then the BLR. If this hypothesis is correct, we may eventually see BELs. If the source forms these structures in the future, it would be a perfect laboratory with which to study and test the different physical mechanisms proposed to explain the origin and evolution of the BLR and the torus in AGNs (e.g. Czerny & Hryniewicz 2011; Elitzur et al. 2014; Czerny et al. 2017).

Unfortunately, disentangling the origin of the variability detected in the nucleus of SDSS1335+0728 is not yet possible. Future observations will allow us to understand whether SDSS1335+0728 will eventually fade or will continue evolving to become an AGN. In particular, multi-wavelength monitoring of the source is needed to see if a typical AGN corona, BELs, and a dusty torus are eventually established, and to rule out a potential TDE or nuclear transient. X-ray monitoring and millimetre observations will be crucial to understand whether or not the recently detected X-ray emission originates from an X-ray corona under formation (Ricci et al. 2020, 2023). If this is the case, we may expect to detect hard X-ray emission in the future. High-angular-resolution integral field unit (IFU) spectroscopy would be ideal for studying the evolution of AGN signatures in spatially resolved emission-line diagnostic diagrams (e.g. Mezcua & Domínguez Sánchez 2020) and for understanding the connection between the nuclear variations and the host properties. Moreover, observations in the $\sim 800$ to $\sim 3500$ Å UV range would help us to better understand the evolution of the ionising continuum and its connection with the evolution of the NELs. Finally, photometric and spectroscopic observations in the opti-
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Appendix A: Spectral modelling

The following figures (from A.1 to A.4) show the results of the pPXF spectral modelling. Each figure shows in the top panel the original spectrum in blue, the best fit (including all the components) in orange, the stellar component in green, the NELs in red, and the power law component in purple. The bottom panel of each figure shows the residual of the modelling (the difference between the original spectrum and the best fit).

Fig. A.1. Spectral modelling of the 2007 SDSS spectrum (MJD 54156; left) and of the 2015 LAMOST spectrum (MJD 57155; right). Top: The original spectrum is shown in blue, the best fit (including all the components) in orange, the stellar component in green, the NELs in red, and the power law component in purple. Bottom: The modelling residual is shown in grey. In both panels, the grey dashed lines show the location of the N III λ4640 and the He II λ4686 emission lines.

Fig. A.2. Same as Figure A.1 but for the 2021 Goodman spectrum (MJD 59396; left) and the 2022 X-shooter spectrum (MJD 59789; right).
Fig. A.3. Same as Figure A.1 but for the 2023 LRIS (MJD 60137) 0''7 slit spectrum (left) and the 1''5 slit spectrum.

Fig. A.4. Same as Figure A.1 but for the 2024 Goodman spectrum (MJD 60337).