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ABSTRACT

Context. Growing evidence has indicated that the global composition distribution plays an indisputable role in interpreting observational data. Three-dimensional general circulation models (GCMs) with a reliable treatment of chemistry and clouds are particularly crucial in preparing for upcoming observations. In attempts to achieve 3D chemistry-climate modeling, the challenge mainly lies in the expensive computing power required for treating a large number of chemical species and reactions.

Aims. Motivated by the need for a robust and computationally efficient chemical scheme, we devise a mini-chemical network with a minimal number of species and reactions for $H_2$-dominated atmospheres.

Methods. We apply a novel technique to simplify the chemical network from a full kinetics model, VULCAN, by replacing a large number of intermediate reactions with net reactions. The number of chemical species is cut down from 67 to 12, with the major species of thermal and observational importance retained, including $H_2O$, $CH_4$, $CO$, $CO_2$, $C_2H_2$, $NH_3$, and HCN. The size of the total reactions is also greatly reduced, from 800 to 20. We validated the mini-chemical scheme by verifying the temporal evolution and benchmarking the predicted compositions in four exoplanet atmospheres (GJ 1214b, GJ 436b, HD 189733b, and HD 209458b) against the full kinetics of VULCAN.

Results. The mini-network reproduces the chemical timescales and composition distributions of the full kinetics well within an order of magnitude for the major species in the pressure range of 1 bar – 0.1 mbar across various metallicities and carbon-to-oxygen (C/O) ratios.

Conclusions. We have developed and validated a mini-chemical scheme using net reactions to significantly simplify a large chemical network. The small scale of the mini-chemical scheme permits simple use and fast computation, which is optimal for implementation in a 3D GCM or a retrieval framework. We focus on the thermochemical kinetics of net reactions in this paper and address photochemistry in a follow-up paper.
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1. Introduction

The field of exoplanet research is now entering the stage of probing the spatial distribution of atmospheric composition (Venot et al. 2018; Ehrenreich et al. 2020). Upcoming observatories, such as the James Webb Space Telescope (Venot et al. 2020; Drummond et al. 2020) and the Atmospheric Remote-sensing Infrared Exoplanet Large-survey (Moses et al. 2021; Tinetti et al. 2021), will have the ability to provide accurate spectral data and map out the compositional variation across the globe of the planet. Chemical kinetics models (e.g., Kasting et al. 1979; Yung et al. 1984; Moses et al. 2011; Venot et al. 2012; Hu et al. 2012; Miguel & Kaltenegger 2014; Molaverdikhani et al. 2019; Hobbs et al. 2019; Tsai et al. 2021) have played an instrumental role in understanding the fundamental processes that shape the atmospheric compositions. However, these models are commonly limited to a 1D column approach. Studies using 3D models, such as Drummond et al. (2018), Mendonça et al. (2018), and Drummond et al. (2020), have demonstrated the importance of horizontal transport on tidally locked exoplanets. Considering the effects of global circulation is critical to understanding the chemical and thermal feedbacks and interpreting phase-resolved observational data. In addition, retrieval works (Taylor et al. 2020; Feng et al. 2020; Irwin et al. 2020; Pluriel et al. 2020; Pluriel, William et al. 2022) have shown that atmospheric retrievals can suffer biases when neglecting the 3D nature of the planets. Pseudo-2D models that employ a rotating 1D column have started to emerge (Agúndez et al. 2014; Venot et al. 2020b; Baeyens et al. 2021; Moses et al. 2021; Roth et al. 2021) and have significantly improved 1D models by including horizontal interconnection; however, the circulation is considerably simplified, with a globally uniform jet and the chemical-radiative feedback excluded. In order to study their interactions in depth and to be in position for the prospective observations, a self-consistent 3D general circulation model (GCM) that couples chemistry, radiation, and circulation is desired.

The endeavor of coupling the gaseous chemistry to a 3D GCM began with the chemical relaxation method (Cooper & Showman 2006) and was later followed by Drummond et al. (2018) and Mendonça et al. (2018). The relaxation method (Cooper & Showman 2006; Tsai et al. 2018) is analogous to Newtonian cooling as the radiative heating in idealized GCMs, which is the simplest and the least computationally demanding to implement in a 3D model. However, it is challenging to generalize the relaxation method to incorporate photochem-
chemistry since a predetermined photochemical equilibrium state is required in principle. Motivated by the need for a more efficient scheme, Venot et al. (2019) developed a reduced chemical scheme, cutting back from 105 species and about 2000 reactions in the original network (Venot et al. 2012) to 30 species and 362 reactions. The size of the scheme from Venot et al. (2019) is close to that in Tsai et al. (2017), which is probably the lower size limit needed to maintain accuracy for conventional kinetics. Venot et al. (2020) further updated the methanol (CH$_3$OH) chemistry and added acetylene (C$_2$H$_2$) to extend the valid domain for warm carbon-rich atmospheres. However, the C-H-O network in Tsai et al. (2017) does not include nitrogen chemistry, whereas the new reduced scheme in Venot et al. (2020) now involves 44 species and 582 reactions, and photochemistry is not considered in any of the above schemes. Chen et al. (2019, 2021) have recently applied an Earth-based chemistry-climate model (Marsh et al. 2013) that employs the MOZART chemical module (Kinnison et al. 2007) to explore the chemistry-climate interaction and the impact of stellar flares on exoplanets around M stars. The setup provides insights into potential Earth twins but is restricted to atmospheres with Earth-like compositions. Compared to the development of atmospheric chemistry modules for Earth-climate models (e.g., Kinnison et al. 2007; Derwent et al. 2021) and the progress of simulating aerosols (Lee et al. 2016; Lines et al. 2018; Steinrueck et al. 2021), a robust chemical scheme with photochemistry capacity is still lacking and urgently needed in exoplanet science.

In this work we present a novel design of the chemical scheme, aiming to tackle the aforementioned problems. The chemical network is composed of a few elementary reactions that treat radical species and a handful of net reactions that represent the chemistry-climate models (e.g., Kinnison et al. 2007; Derwent et al. 2021). The scheme is validated for a wide range of temperatures, pressures, and elemental abundances and has the capacity to include photochemistry. The scheme is suitable for applications that require minimal computing time, such as 3D GCMs and atmospheric retrievals. We focus on the method and validation of the net-reaction mechanisms in this paper and will address photochemistry in a follow-up paper.

2. Method

2.1. Making use of net reactions

The principal mechanisms governing chemical species are often understood by the associated cycles (also referred to as schemes, e.g., Moses et al. 2011, and pathways, e.g., Venot et al. 2020; Tsai et al. 2021). The chemical conversions generally consist of more than one intermediate reaction step, for example, the ozone cycle on Earth (e.g., Jacob 2011) and the CH$_4$–CO interconversion on Jupiter (Prinn & Barshay 1977; Visscher et al. 2010) and brown dwarfs (Zahnle & Marley 2014). It is essential in kinetics simulations to include all reactions relevant to the application, supplied with correct rate coefficients. The chemical cycles naturally emerge as an outcome of this bottom-up approach. In this work, we followed Tsai et al. (2018) and applied Dijkstra’s algorithm (Dijkstra et al. 1959) to identify the fastest conversion pathways for different atmospheric conditions systematically. Taking one of the CH$_4$–CO conversion pathways in a warm H$_2$ atmosphere as an example,

\[
\begin{align*}
CH_4 + H &\rightarrow CH_3 + H_2 \\
CH_3 + OH &\rightarrow CH_2OH \\
CH_2OH + H &\rightarrow CH_2O + H_2 \\
CH_2O &\rightarrow H_2CO + H \\
H_2CO + H &\rightarrow HCO + H_2 \\
HCO &\rightarrow H + CO \\
H + H_2O &\rightarrow OH + H_2 \\
H_2 &\rightarrow 2H
\end{align*}
\]

where CH$_4$ + H$_2$O $\rightarrow$ CO + 3H$_2$ with an unspecified rate coefficient is simply a mathematical “summary” of the above eight reactions that compose the pathway sequence. An attractive property of the pathway is that the overall timescale of the
conversion is controlled by the slowest reaction, the rate-limiting step (Moses et al. 2011; Tsai et al. 2018), which is readily determined once the pathway is identified. The rate-limiting step per se contains sufficient information for computing the rate of change without getting into the details of each elementary reaction. We constructed the network with a top-down design, as opposed to the bottom-up structure used in conventional kinetics. The crux of the mini-network is to replace hundreds of elementary reactions in a full network with just a few net reactions. The effective rates of these net reactions are subsequently determined by the corresponding rate-limiting steps.

We emphasize that the pathways and their rate-limiting steps depend strongly on the atmospheric temperature, pressure, and elemental abundances. As a result, the rate coefficient of these net reactions can no longer be expressed by the modified Arrhenius equation, which is generally a function that depends solely on temperature\(^1\) (i.e., \(k = AT^b \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT}\right)\)). Instead, the effective rate coefficients of a schematic net reaction \(A + B \rightarrow C + D\) dictated by the rate-limiting step is expressed as

\[
k = \frac{\text{rate}_{\text{RLS}}}{[A][B]},
\]

where \(\text{rate}_{\text{RLS}}\) is the reaction rate (molecules cm\(^{-3}\) s\(^{-1}\)) of the rate-limited step in the entailed pathway, and \([A], [B]\) is the mole fraction of the reactants \(A, B\). All quantities in Eq. (2) are evaluated in chemical equilibrium for the given temperature, pressure, and elemental abundances (see the discussion regarding adopting equilibrium abundances in Tsai et al. 2018). Hence, the rate coefficient (Eq. (2)) is now a function of temperature, pressure, and elemental abundances. We then derived the rate coefficients of the backward net reactions by reversing those of the forward reactions to ensure thermochemical equilibrium can be consistently achieved (Tsai et al. 2017), the same way as with elementary reactions.

Following the same example, Pathway \((1)\) presents the pathway of \(\text{CH}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO} + 3\text{H}_2\) conversion at \(T = 1000\) K and \(P = 1\) bar, where \(\text{CH}_3 + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{OH}\) is the rate-limiting step. At the same pressure but with the temperature increased to 1500 K, the pathway switches to

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_4 + \text{H} &\rightarrow \text{CH}_3 + \text{H}_2 \\
\text{CH}_3 + \text{OH} &\rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{H} \\
\text{CH}_2\text{OH} &\rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{CO} + \text{H} \\
\text{H}_2\text{CO} + \text{H} &\rightarrow \text{HCO} + \text{H}_2 \\
\text{HCO} &\rightarrow \text{H} + \text{CO}_2 \\
\text{H} + \text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{H}_2
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\text{CH}_3 + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{H}\) is now the rate-limiting step. Accordingly, the rate coefficient of the net reaction \(\text{CH}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO} + 3\text{H}_2\) at \(T = 1000\) K and \(P = 1\) bar is

\[
k = \frac{k_1[[\text{CH}_4][\text{OH}]]}{[[\text{CH}_2\text{O}]][\text{H}]},
\]

while that at \(T = 1500\) K and \(P = 1\) bar is

\[
k = \frac{k_2[[\text{CH}_4][\text{OH}]]}{[[\text{CH}_2\text{O}]][\text{H}].}
\]

\(1\) Except for some reactions that require a third-body collision and hence have pressure dependence.
Table 1: Elementary and net reactions included in the C-H-N-O chemical kinetics. The backward reactions are reversed numerically with thermodynamic data (Tsai et al. 2017).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elementary reaction</th>
<th>Rate Coefficient (cm$^3$ molecules$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OH + H$_2$ $\rightarrow$ H$_2$O + H</td>
<td>$3.57 \times 10^{-10} T^{3.52} \exp(-1740/T)$</td>
<td>Lam et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH + CO $\rightarrow$ H + CO$_2$</td>
<td>$1.05 \times 10^{-17} T^{1.5} \exp(250/T)$</td>
<td>Baulch et al. (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O + H$_2$ $\rightarrow$ OH + H</td>
<td>$8.52 \times 10^{-20} T^{2.67} \exp(-3160/T)$</td>
<td>Baulch et al. (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H + H $\rightarrow$ H$_2$</td>
<td>$k_0^{a,b} = 2.7 \times 10^{-31} T^{-0.6}$</td>
<td>Gardiner (1984)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k_o^{a,b} = 3.31 \times 10^{-6} T^{-1}$</td>
<td>Jacobs et al. (1965)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net reaction

CH$_4$ + H$_2$O $\rightarrow$ CO + 3H$_2$
2CH$_4$ $\rightarrow$ C$_2$H$_2$ + 3H$_2$
CO + CH$_4$ $\rightarrow$ C$_2$H$_2$ + H$_2$O
2NH$_3$ $\rightarrow$ N$_2$ + 3H$_2$
CH$_4$ + NH$_3$ $\rightarrow$ HCN + 3H$_2$
CO + NH$_3$ $\rightarrow$ HCN + H$_2$

$^a$ low-pressure limit (cm$^3$ molecules$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)
$^b$ high-pressure limit (cm$^3$ molecules$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)

librium, analogous to the experimental setup of a cell for monitoring the evolution of the gas mixture (Peng et al. 2014; Fleury et al. 2019). The initial gas mixtures are H$_2$, He, CH$_4$, H$_2$O, and NH$_3$, partitioned by solar elemental abundances, except that CH$_4$ and NH$_3$ are replaced by CO and N$_2$, respectively, in the C-H- and NH$_3$-dominated regime (low temperatures and high pressures) to clearly show the changes in time. The C-, O-, and N-bearing molecules are scaled accordingly when the metallicity varies, and we keep oxygen fixed when changing the C/O ratio.

2.2. Validation setup

2.2.1. 0D evolution in time

We set up a 0D kinetics model to compare the temporal evolution computed by the mini-network and that from the full C-H-N-O kinetics of VULCAN (Tsai et al. 2021)$^3$. The 0D model is initialized with prescribed gas mixtures at a fixed temperature and pressure, which evolve with time toward thermochemical equilibrium, analogous to the experimental setup of a cell for monitoring the evolution of the gas mixture (Peng et al. 2014; Fleury et al. 2019). The initial gas mixtures are H$_2$, He, CH$_4$, H$_2$O, and NH$_3$, partitioned by solar elemental abundances, except that CH$_4$ and NH$_3$ are replaced by CO and N$_2$, respectively, in the C-H- and NH$_3$-dominated regime (low temperatures and high pressures) to clearly show the changes in time. The C-, O-, and N-bearing molecules are scaled accordingly when the metallicity varies, and we keep oxygen fixed when changing the C/O ratio.

2.2.2. 1D vertical profiles

Since the observable abundances in planetary atmospheres are usually governed by the transport-induced quenching process (e.g., Baxter et al. 2021; Kawashima, Yui & Min, Michiel 2021), determining the quench levels (Visscher & Moses 2011; Moses 2014; Tsai et al. 2017) is the key aspect in 1D chemical kinetics modeling. To verify that our mini-chemical scheme can correctly reproduce the quenching behavior predicted in 1D mod-

---

$^2$ Please contact the author for a specific elemental abundance ratio (not provided here).

$^3$ https://github.com/exoclime/VULCAN/blob/master/thermo/NCHO_thermo_network.txt
els, we adopted the pressure–temperature \((P–T)\) profiles of the planets GJ 1214b, GJ 436b, HD 189733b, and HD 209458b as inputs to validate the mini-network. These chosen atmospheres have equilibrium temperatures from about 500 K to 1700 K, representative of the vertical-mixing-dominated regime. We computed the radiative-convective equilibrium temperature profiles of GJ 1214b and GJ 436b using the radiative-transfer model HELIOS (Malik et al. 2019a), while those of HD 189733b and HD 209458b are taken from Moses et al. (2011). The inverted temperature profile of HD 209458b is adopted for validating the scheme with a thermal inversion and for comparison with previous works (Tsai et al. 2017; Venot et al. 2019), but we note that emission observations show no evidence of a thermal inversion on HD 209458b (e.g., Diamond-Lowe et al. 2014; Schwarz et al. 2015; Line et al. 2016). All the \(P–T\) profiles are shown in Fig. 3. We varied the uniform eddy diffusion coefficients \((K_{zz})\) from \(10^{5}\) to \(10^{11}\) cm\(^2\)/s, even spaced on a log10 scale, to explore diverse quench levels for each planet.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. 0D validation: The same chemical timescales produced even with different paths

Figure 4 compares the temporal evolution of the major species computed by the mini-networks and full chemical kinetics (VULCAN). In most cases, the mini-network manifests the same temporal path as the full kinetics. At high temperatures and low pressures \((T \geq 1500 \text{ K and } P \leq 1 \text{ mbar})\), the evolution from the mini-network can start to take somewhat different paths (e.g., CH\(_2\) and NH\(_3\) in the upper-right panel of Fig. 4). This is likely due to more participation of small molecules and atoms in this regime, such as C and CN, that are not included in the mini-network. Despite different paths, these species still achieve the equilibrium state around the same time as those in the full kinetics. Defining the timescale as the time it takes for the composition to approach the equilibrium value within 0.1\%, we evaluated the relative errors \(|\text{relerr}–\text{full}|/\text{full} \times 100\%\) of the chemical timescales for the main species (H\(_2\)O, CH\(_4\), CO, CO\(_2\), NH\(_3\), HCN, and N\(_2\)) in solar metallicity. We find a maximum relative error of 135\% and a mean relative error of 43\% in the most relevant range of 1000 K \(\leq T \leq 2500\) K and \(10^{-16}\) bar \(\leq P \leq 10000\) bar. The 0D tests show that the mini-network scheme can successfully reproduce the chemical timescale from the full kinetics.

#### 3.2. 1D validation: Reproducing correct quench levels

The vertical distributions of the main compositions computed by the two chemical networks of each planet with different vertical mixing for solar metallicity are summarized in Fig. 5. Among the explored eddy diffusion coefficients from \(10^{5}\) to \(10^{11}\) cm\(^2\)/s, we present the resulting profiles that are sensitive to the change for clarity. Specifically, \(K_{zz} = 10^{5}, 10^{7}, 10^{9}\) (cm\(^2\)/s) are shown for GJ 1214b, \(K_{zz} = 10^{6}, 10^{7}, 10^{9}\) (cm\(^2\)/s) for GJ 436b, \(K_{zz} = 10^{5}, 10^{7}, 10^{9}\) (cm\(^2\)/s) for HD 189733b, and \(K_{zz} = 10^{6}, 10^{8}, 10^{10}, 10^{11}\) (cm\(^2\)/s) for HD 209458b. First of all, transport-induced quenching is correctly reproduced by the mini-network, that is, the quench levels of CO on the cooler planets GJ 1214b and GJ 436b and those of CH\(_4\) on the hotter planets HD 189733b and HD 209458b agree well between two networks. For species that react fast with the major species, such as C\(_2\)H\(_2\) and HCN, the nonconstant mixing ratio profiles, as they follow their parent molecules before they themselves are quenched at lower pressures (e.g., Moses et al. 2011; Tsai et al. 2017), are also well captured by the mini-network.

Of all the species in the mini-network, C\(_2\)H\(_2\) appears to have the largest deviation, up to about a factor of three on GJ 1214b, which can be attributed to the combination of its low abundance and the simplification of hydrocarbon kinetics. The mini-network is able to correctly reproduce the vertical quenching of the main species and notably the abundance profiles, with the second equilibrium region present in the upper atmosphere due to thermal inversion on HD 209458b. The maximum errors\(^4\) of the main species with mixing ratios not lower than \(10^{-20}\) in the region of observational interest (1 bar – 0.1 mbar) computed by the mini-chemical scheme for the whole range of eddy diffusion coefficients are listed in Table 3. Errors greater than 100\% all occur with volume mixing ratios smaller than \(10^{-10}\). We find the discrepancies for the main species abundances between the mini-network and the full kinetics to always be less than an order of magnitude and rarely exceeding a factor of two, consistent with the 0D validation in Sect. 3.1.

A self-contained way to evaluate the errors with respect to the presumed uncertainty factors in the reaction rates of the full kinetics is to perform an uncertainty propagation analysis (Dobrijevic et al. 2010; Wakelam et al. 2010). Venot et al. (2019) determined a tighter constraint of 10\% for their GJ 436b model using the Monte Carlo uncertainty propagation (Hébrard et al. 2015), with the uncertainty factor derived from the combustion study. However, in practice, it is not uncommon to have models with different sets of kinetics data that differ by an order of magnitude (Moses 2014; Tsai et al. 2021) when the overall aspects of uncertainties are taken into account. Therefore, at least before the kinetics discrepancies are fully resolved, we consider an order of magnitude of error to be acceptable for exoplanet application.

#### 3.3. Varying metallicity and C/O ratio

While the rate coefficients of net reactions depend on the elemental abundances, the approach is general in principle. The same procedure may be applied to construct a mini-network with relevant elementary and net reactions for arbitrary elemental composition. Here, we vary the metallicity and C/O to test the validity of our mini-network designed for H\(_2\)-dominated composition. First, Fig. A.1 illustrates how the rate coefficients of CH\(_4\) + H\(_2\)O \(\rightarrow\) CO + 3H\(_2\) and 2NH\(_3\) \(\rightarrow\) N\(_2\) + 3H\(_2\) vary with metallicity and C/O ratio. The rate coefficients of CH\(_4\) \(\rightarrow\) CO and NH\(_3\) \(\rightarrow\) N\(_2\) generally increase with metallicity, while no consistent trends are found for the C/O ratio. Next, Figs. A.2 – A.5 of Appendix A showcase the same 0D and 1D validation with 500 times solar metallicity and solar composition but with C/O = 2. The maximum errors are also listed in Tables A.1 and A.2. We find the scheme to be less accurate with higher metallicity when the atmosphere becomes less H\(_2\)-dominated. Therefore, we restricted the valid range of our mini-network to not exceed 500 times solar metallicity. Of all the explored cases, C\(_2\)H\(_2\) remains associated with the largest error, and less abundant species tend to have larger errors too. For instance, CH\(_4\) and NH\(_3\) produce bigger errors in hotter planets, HD 189733b and HD 209458b, whereas C\(_2\)H\(_2\) is more accurate in warm conditions, where it is favored. Compared to the updated reduced chemical scheme in Venot et al. (2020), which has a new CH\(_3\)OH mechanism and includes C\(_2\)H\(_2\), the mini-network achieves comparable accuracy (\(\leq 10\%) \) for GJ 436b with a solar

---

\(^4\)\(|\text{relerr}–\text{full}|/\text{full} \times 100\%\)
metallicity, except for C$_2$H$_3$. The same trend of increased errors with higher metallicity is also found in the reduced chemical scheme of Venot et al. (2020). For the hot Jupiters HD 189733b and HD 209458b, the mini-network produces more significant errors in C$_2$H$_3$, while the reduced network in Venot et al. (2020) appears to produce larger errors in NH$_3$. Overall, the agreement between our mini-chemical scheme and the full kinetics remains well under an order of magnitude, similar to the accuracy of the reduced scheme (with 44 species and 582 reactions) from Venot et al. (2020).

4. Conclusions

We have devised a novel chemical scheme that utilizes net reactions to significantly reduce the size of a chemical network. We have validated the new scheme across a wide range of temperatures and pressures by comparing the chemical timescales from the mini-network and the full kinetics model VULCAN (Tsai et al. 2017, 2021). The mini-network scheme is able to reproduce the quenching behavior of major species well under an order of magnitude in the benchmark exoplanet atmospheres (GJ 1214b, GJ 436b, HD 189733b, and HD 209458b). The tabulated rates of the net reactions from 300 K $\leq T \leq 3000$ K and $10^{-6}$ bar $\leq P \leq 10^3$ bar for the valid ranges of metallicities (0.1 – 500 times solar) and C/O (0.25 – 2 times solar) are available in the supplementary files. The presented scheme is robust yet sim-
We hope that it will encourage the field of research to incorporate a more realistic chemical mechanism in 3D models and retrieval frameworks.

We reiterate that, unlike the relaxation method (Cooper & Showman 2006; Drummond et al. 2018; Tsai et al. 2018), the mini-chemical network keeps the same form of rate equations as the standard kinetics. In addition to the major molecules of observational interest or radiative importance, key radical species are also included. This allows us to extend the scheme to incorporate photochemistry, where radical species are produced by

We performed the test with a C-H-O network of 34 species and 362 total reactions.

---

Fig. 5: Vertical composition distributions computed by the mini-chemical scheme (dashed) compared with those computed by the full chemical kinetics VULCAN (solid) for the four planets with temperature profiles in Fig. 3. The dark to light colors represent increasing vertical mixing (see the text for the specific values of $K_{zz}$ used).
photodissociation. We will present the detailed treatment of photochemistry in a follow-up paper.
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Fig. 5: (cont.)
Table 3: Maximum errors in percent of the compositions in the pressure range of 1 bar – 0.1 mbar computed by the mini-network for solar elemental abundances, with the largest errors among the four planets shown in bold. The compositions obtained by the full kinetics from VULCAN serve as the reference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>GJ 1214b</th>
<th>GJ 436b</th>
<th>HD 189733b</th>
<th>HD 209458b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂H₂</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCN</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix A: Validation for non-solar elemental abundances

Table A.1: Same as Table 3 but for 500 times solar metallicity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>GJ 1214b</th>
<th>GJ 436b</th>
<th>HD 189733b</th>
<th>HD 209458b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_2$H$_2$</td>
<td>972</td>
<td>972</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_3$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCN</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>916</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A.2: Same as Table 3 but for C raised to C/O = 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>GJ 1214b</th>
<th>GJ 436b</th>
<th>HD 189733b</th>
<th>HD 209458b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_2$H$_2$</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_3$</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCN</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. A.1: Rate coefficients of the net reactions $\text{CH}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO} + 3\text{H}_2$ and $2\text{NH}_3 \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + 3\text{H}_2$ at 2000 K and 0.01 bar as a function of metallicity (left) and C/O (right).

Fig. A.2: Same as Fig. 4 but for 500 times solar metallicity.
T = 1000 K, P = 1 mbar

T = 1000 K, P = 10 bar

T = 1500 K, P = 1 mbar

T = 1500 K, P = 10 bar

T = 2000 K, P = 1 mbar

T = 2000 K, P = 10 bar

Fig. A.3: Same as Fig. 4 but for solar metallicity and C raised to $C/O = 2$. 
Fig. A.4: Same as Fig. 5 but for 500 times solar metallicity.
Fig. A.4: (cont.)
Fig. A.5: Same as Fig. 5 but for solar metallicity with C/O = 2.
Fig. A.5: (cont.)