Models of quasi-discontinuous solar-wind streams

Steady-state and time-dependent numerical solutions
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ABSTRACT

Context. The modeling of the solar-wind outflow patterns is addressed in terms of local transient distortions of the flow, temperature, and density profiles due to the presence of local energy sources. A recently introduced new class of analytically derived quasi-discontinuous solar-wind solutions is numerically approached.

Aims. The analytical discontinuous solutions can asymptotically obtained from steady-state and time-dependent models in the limit of very localized external heating. The aim of the current study is to develop a numerical confirmation for the presence of quasi-discontinuous distortions of the wind profiles by mimicking the local energy sources with additional source terms in the governing equations of the numerical models.

Methods. Corresponding systems of ordinary and partial differential equations, respectively, are formulated employing prescribed heating functions. After a comparison of sequences of numerically obtained steady-state solutions with the analytical one, the stability of the former is tested with a time-dependent simulation.

Results. The analytical discontinuous solutions are asymptotically reproduced with the quasi-discontinuous steady-state and time-dependent numerical solutions in the limit of vanishingly small width (compared to the other characteristic length scales of the system) of the heating function.

Conclusions. The interpretation that such solutions result from strongly localized heating has been confirmed both qualitatively and quantitatively. The applied numerical approach enables the building of more complex, multidimensional counterpart models and local profiles of typical local energy sources that are presumably responsible for the dynamical properties of the solar-wind patterns found.
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1. Introduction

A new class of solar-wind models was recently introduced by Shergelashvili et al. (2020). In this study, the quasi-adiabatic radial expansion of the solar wind with a strongly localized heating due to an external source of energy in the vicinity of the critical point was considered. The derived analytical solutions are characterized by a continuous Mach number across the entire radial domain while exhibiting discontinuous jumps in the velocity, (number) density, and temperature.

This model class is substantially distinct from the standard Parker solar-wind model and other earlier work, where such discontinuous solutions are not permissible. This distinction results from the consideration of additional, strongly localized heating of the solar wind, which is different from the previously considered extended heating via the assumption of a constant temperature independent of the heliocentric distance (Parker 1958), a monotonously decreasing temperature profile (e.g., Parker 1965), a polytropic index slightly above unity (e.g., Steinolfson et al. 1982), or via more explicitly modeled heating processes due to Alfvén waves (e.g., Tu & Marsch 1995; Shergelashvili & Fichtner 2012) or turbulence (e.g., Usmanov et al. 2018).

In order to allow for an entirely analytical treatment, in Shergelashvili et al. (2020) the heating was not considered explicitly but implicitly by matching two solution branches upstream and downstream of the critical point such that the Mach number profile remains continuous. As discussed in that paper, this is consistent with strong heating exactly at the critical point, that is the derived analytical solutions result from delta-function-like heating producing a jump in temperature and, in turn, in velocity and (number) density. Despite these jumps in the plasma variables, the discontinuities cannot be interpreted as shocks (which were considered with an equivalent modeling approach by McCrea 1956, but for accretion flows) as the upstream and downstream values of the latter two quantities behave oppositely to what one expects from the Rankine–Hugoniot relations.

The new solution class was already applied by Shergelashvili et al. (2020) to explain unusual type III bursts exhibiting sharp (i.e., abrupt) breaks in the frequency drift (Melnik et al. 2014). It was first demonstrated that the ranges of the local electron plasma frequency produced by the new solar-wind profiles are in good agreement with observed spectra. Second, it was shown that the jump regions can indeed be interpreted as the sources of a rather unusual behavior of the frequency drift rates in type III radio bursts, namely sharp drift
breaks. The existence of such probably – disturbance-triggered transient – solar-wind profiles may be demonstrated more directly with data from the Parker Solar Probe (PSP, Fox et al. 2016) and from the Solar Orbiter (SOLO, Müller & Marsden 2013), both probing the solar-wind source region.

Before such analyses and applications, however, the modeling should be significantly improved. Most importantly, the local heating should be included explicitly and more realistically, i.e., not via a delta-function-like, but rather a continuous, sharply peaked profile. Secondly, the new solutions should not only be derived from a steady-state model, but also as stationary solutions of a corresponding time-dependent model. These improvements in the modeling are the focus of the study presented here; they thereby corroborate the principal existence of the new solution class by identifying the discontinuous solutions analytically derived in Shergelashvili et al. (2020) as asymptotic, idealized steady states obtainable as a limit of quasi-discontinuous solutions for a vanishing width of strongly localized heating.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, the model equations are described; in Sect. 3, their numerical solutions are presented. After a thorough discussion of these computed quasi-discontinuous solutions and of their sensitivity to effects caused by a strong temperature gradient in Sect. 4, the study is summarized in Sect. 5, where the conclusions are also drawn.

2. The modeling approach

As in Shergelashvili et al. (2020), we limited the modeling to a simple setup, thereby following a similar strategy to the original Parker model. Despite the various simplifying assumptions of the latter, it was sufficient not only to demonstrate the possibility of a solar wind, but also to describe its main characteristics. The numerous refinements later added by many authors made it possible to treat various physical processes more explicitly, but they did not change the fundamental characteristics discussed by Parker. Similarly, an explicit incorporation of more than one spatial dimension, of the heliospheric magnetic field, or of explicitly described heating (and related acceleration) processes on the basis of wave damping or turbulence are considered as future refinements that, however, are not expected to change the basic characteristics of the new quasi-discontinuous solutions.

As outlined above, three different solutions must be distinguished. First, there is the analytical solution (A) derived by Shergelashvili et al. (2020) with an implicitly assumed delta-function-like heating. Second, there is the solution (S) of a steady-state approach that allows for an explicit consideration of heating via a prescribed heating function. Third, there is a solution (T) of a time-dependent formulation also treating the heating explicitly. After a description of the latter two models in the following two subsections we demonstrate that with both approaches solution (A) can be reproduced asymptotically, namely in the limit of a heating function of vanishing width from (S) and, within the same limits, (T) if the time-asymptotic limit is also considered.

2.1. Steady-state model

Incorporating explicit heating in the modeling is straightforward. Introducing a heating function \( Q_{\text{HL}}(r) \) into a stationary, radially symmetric standard Parker model (e.g., Parker 1958; Shergelashvili et al. 2020) based on the equations of hydrodynamics results, after elimination of the (number) density profile by exploiting the continuity of mass flow, in the following two equations for the speed \( v \) and the temperature \( T \), respectively:

\[
\frac{dv}{dr} = \frac{v^2}{r^2} - \frac{2yC}{v^2} - \frac{GM_\odot}{r^2} - \frac{GM_\odot}{r^2} \gamma H T
\]

\[
\frac{dT}{dr} = -\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma(r^2 + \frac{1}{v^2})} + \frac{H T}{r^2} + \frac{Q_{\text{HL}}(r)}{v^2} + \frac{Q_{\text{HL}}(r)}{v^2} \gamma H T
\]

Both depending on heliocentric distance:

\[
Q_{\text{HL}}(r) = T_H \sqrt{\frac{2}{r^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(r - r_0)^2}{2\epsilon^2}\right)
\]

with \( T_H \) being the temperature heating strength, \( \epsilon \) the width, and \( r_0 \) the location of the maximum. Such a form was originally introduced by Hartle & Barnes (1970) and is still used in modern solar-wind models (see e.g., Manchester et al. 2004). In the formulation employed here, within the limit of a vanishing width (i.e., \( \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \)), this heating function becomes a delta function.

The numerical solution of Eqs. (1) and (2) was obtained as follows. We chose a critical distance \( r_c \) and calculated the temperature at the critical point from

\[
T_c = \frac{1}{2yC} \left(C Q_{\text{HL}}(r_c) r_c + \frac{GM_\odot}{r_c}\right)
\]

and the corresponding speed from

\[
v_c = \sqrt{\gamma C T_c}
\]

Subsequently, we integrated Eqs. (1) and (2) from this critical point using an explicit Runge-Kutta method of order 5 (4) (we chose scipy.integrate.solve_ivp based on Dormand & Prince 1980 and Lawrence 1986); this was done in both directions, i.e., upstream up to one solar radius and downstream up to the Earth orbit (1 AU). This way we obtained a steady-state, continuous solar-wind solution (S), which, in the limit of very low \( \epsilon \), has a “quasi-discontinuous” character. In order to obtain a certain coronal temperature, the chosen location of the critical point and the parameters of the heating function have to be adjusted iteratively. We note that this procedure is equivalent to other iteration schemes, such as those used, e.g., in Hartle & Sturrock (1968), Laitinen et al. (2003), and Fichtner et al. (2000).

2.2. Time-dependent model

A more general approach consists of considering time-asymptotic solutions of a time-dependent formulation of the
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following problem:
\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial (\rho vr^2)}{\partial r} = 0, \\
\frac{\partial (\rho v)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial (\rho v^2 r^2)}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} = - \frac{GM_\odot \rho}{r^2}, \\
\frac{\partial e}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial ((e + \rho) v r^2)}{\partial r} = \rho Q_{\text{HEF}}(r, t) - \frac{GM_\odot \rho v}{r^2},
\]
with \(e = \rho/(\gamma - 1) + \rho v^2/2\) and, in general, a time-dependent source function \(Q_{\text{HEF}}(r, t)\) quantifying the energy input due to heating. The analysis in the present paper is limited to a time-independent source function that is related to the heating function defined in Eq. (3) via
\[
Q_{\text{HEF}}(r, t) = \frac{C_0(r)}{\gamma - 1} Q_{\text{LT}}(r),
\]
where \(C_0(r)\) is the flow-speed profile determined with the steady-state model. This way, the steady-state solution \(S\) should be obtained from the time-dependent solution \(T\) as a time-asymptotic limit.

We chose a Parker-like solar-wind solution as an initial solar-wind configuration; as inner boundary conditions, we opted for the mass density and the total energy density obtained with the steady-state solution \(S\). The inner boundary condition for the flow speed was linearly extrapolated (as in, e.g., Keppens & Goedbloed 2000; Kleimann 2005). However, to damp oscillation, if the flow speed left the range from 0.5 to 2 of the steady state solution at very low flow speed, the latter would be set to this solution. The outer boundary conditions were also obtained from extrapolation and are consistent with a free outflow. The time-dependent solution \(T\) is then numerically computed using the magnetohydrodynamic code CRONOS (Kissmann et al. 2018).

### 3. The quasi-discontinuous solutions

First, we solved the steady-state equations for the discontinuous cases discussed in Shergelashvili et al. (2020), i.e., for a slow and a fast solar wind. For this purpose, we had to determine the critical distance, \(r_c\), and three parameters for the heating function in Eq. (3): the “heating strength” temperature \(T_h\), the width \(\varepsilon\), the position of the maximum \(r_0\), For the position of the maximum \(r_0\), we choose the position of the critical distance \(r_c\) of the discontinuous cases, so, we obtain \(r_0 = 6.97 R_\odot\) for the slow wind and \(r_0 = 5.95 R_\odot\) for the fast wind, where \(R_\odot\) denotes the solar radius. Furthermore, we can approximate the heating strength temperature \(T_h\) from the discontinuous cases as follows. Shergelashvili et al. (2020) derived an equation for...
the total energy densities $E_{1/2}$ at the critical distance $r_\ast$ on the upstream and downstream sides:

$$E_{1/2} = \rho_{1/2} \left( \frac{C_s^2(y + 1)}{2(y - 1)} - \frac{GM_\odot}{r_\ast(y - 1)} \right)$$

(10)

where the index $1/2$ denotes the values of the physical quantities at the critical distance upstream and downstream, respectively, and $C_s^2 = \gamma CT$ is the square of the sound speed. With that equation, we can define effective temperatures $T_{\text{eff},1/2} = (y - 1)E_{1/2}/(N_1/k_B)$ and approximate the heating strength temperature with $T_H = T_{\text{eff},2} - T_{\text{eff},1}$. Therefore, the temperature heating strength can be expressed as $T_H = \gamma(y + 1)(T_2 - T_1)/2$, resulting in $T_H = 7.2 \times 10^6$ K for the slow and $T_H = 1.7 \times 10^7$ K for the fast wind. This way, the first two parameters $r_0$ and $T_H$ are fixed. After choosing a value $\epsilon$ for the width of the heating function, the critical distance $r_\ast$ is chosen so that the resulting solution is best fit against the analytically obtained discontinuous cases.

The numerical solution of Eqs. (1) and (2) for the parameters describing the slow and fast winds are shown in the left and right columns of Fig. 1. There, the slow-wind solutions for five different widths, $\epsilon = 2, 1, 1/2, 1/4, 1/8 R_\odot$, as well as critical distances, $r_\ast$, and the fast-wind solution for $\epsilon = 1/64 R_\odot$ are shown. The given precision level for the value of the critical distance is necessary because the corresponding heating function is spatially extremely narrow. Thus, a small shift of the critical point can lead to different effective heating and, subsequently, to different physical quantities in the upstream region. As you can see in the slow wind solutions of Fig. 1, the smaller the width, the more precise the critical distance has to be.

Evidently, solving the standard solar-wind equations with additional localized heating produces, however, quasi-discontinuous solutions that are close to the analytical solutions for a small width. As one can see at the example of the slow wind (left column), with decreasing width of the heating function the critical distances of the quasi-discontinuous ($S$) and discontinuous solar-wind solution ($A$) become nearer to each other and the agreement improves. Within the limit of vanishing width, $Q_H(r)$ becomes a delta function, with which the analytical solutions are formally obtained. This is illustrated with the plots for the fast wind solution (right column), where instead of the converging sequence of solutions, only one is shown for a very small width of the heating function: obviously, both solutions are in very good agreement.

Next, we confirmed that the quasi-discontinuous, fast solar-wind solution for $\epsilon = 0.5 R_\odot$ and $r_\ast = 6.8034 R_\odot$ in the steady-state model is truly stable by computing it with the time-asymptotic model. We chose this width as a representation of an acceptable spatial resolution of the heating function. The results can be seen in Fig. 2, where the analytical discontinuous solution ($A$) is indicated by the dotted black line, the steady-state model ($S$) by the solid red line, and the time-asymptotic model ($T$) by the 700 blue crosses between $1.3 R_\odot$ and $25.0 R_\odot$, which is the simulation range.

As is evident from Fig. 2, the time-asymptotic model ($T$) fits perfectly with the steady-state solution ($S$). The only deviation is in the upstream region, where the physical properties of the solar wind, which is derived time asymptotically, are weakly oscillating around the steady-state model. However, these deviations, which are due to the non-fixed (extrapolated) inner boundary condition for the flow velocity (see above), are very small.

![Fig. 2. Radial profiles of number density (top), radial speed (middle), and temperature (bottom) for a discontinuous and quasi-discontinuous fast solar wind solution in double logarithmic scaling to detect every deviation on all scales in the range from 1 \(R_\odot\) to 30 \(R_\odot\). The discontinuous solution (black dotted line) was analytically obtained by the procedure of Shergelashvili et al. (2020), the steady state solution (solid red line) was obtained numerically using a heating function as given in Eq. (3), and the time-asymptotic solution (blue crosses) was obtained numerically using the CRONOS code with the corresponding heating function as described with Eq. (9). The time-asymptotic solution gives the structure ten days after the switch to the quasi-discontinuous solar wind solution was set up.](image)

Obviously, the overall (time-averaged) structure is identical to the steady-state solution.

4. Sensitivity of the solutions to effects caused by a strong temperature gradient

In the previous section, we show that we can reconstruct the discontinuous analytic solutions as an idealized limit with a continuous solar-wind model explicitly containing a heating function. This way, we prove the validity and relevance of the new solutions introduced in an idealized setup analytically in Shergelashvili et al. (2020), which is the central result of the present study. While particular consequences of various possible and necessary refinements (see Sect. 5) will be subjects of forthcoming work, we briefly address potential impacts of the most obvious neglected processes that one could expect to change the solution due to a strong temperature gradient: namely heat conduction and the thermoelectric field.
The heat conduction “source” term $Q_{\text{con}}$ can then be formulated as

$$Q_{\text{con}} = \frac{1}{(\gamma - 1)k_B n_e r^2} \frac{d}{dr} q_e$$

and, subsequently, transformed into the SI system of units again.

The result for the slow wind with the heat function width $\epsilon = 1 R_\odot$ can be seen in the upper panel of Fig. 3. In principle, one can see two different regions where heat conductivity is noticeably present. These are the innermost region (below two solar radii), where heat is conducted outwards, and the region around the maximum temperature. There, the energy is dispersed in both directions, which could influence the shape of the resulting steady-state solution. Therefore, we need an indication as to when the heat conduction is not negligible. We chose to calculate a term we named ‘ratio of absolute powers’, $\phi$, which is defined by

$$\phi := \frac{\int_{r_{\text{min}}}^{r_{\text{max}}} \frac{2CQ_{\text{con}}(r)}{(\gamma - 1)P} \rho v_c^2 r^2 dr}{\int_{r_{\text{min}}}^{r_{\text{max}}} \frac{2CQ_{\text{H,T}}(r)}{(\gamma - 1)P} \rho v_c^2 r^2 dr} \equiv \frac{\int_{r_{\text{min}}}^{r_{\text{max}}} |Q_{\text{con}}(r)|dr}{T_{\text{H}}},$$

with $Q_{\text{con}} = \rho v_c^2$ = const. being the mass flow constant. Here, $r_{\text{min}} = r_0 - 1.5\epsilon$ and $r_{\text{max}} = r_0 + 8.0\epsilon$ are defined in such a way that the whole dispersion region around the local temperature maximum is integrated, but not the region closer to the Sun. The resulting ratio for the example case given in the upper panel of Fig. 3 is $\phi = 0.11$, which fits well with the visual impression of a rather weak influence of heat conduction on the source terms. For the following, we assume that as long as $\phi < 0.5$, it is acceptable to neglect heat conduction in our solar-wind models.

In the bottom panel of Fig. 3, the ratio of absolute powers $\phi$ is shown in terms of the dependence of the heating function width $\epsilon$ both for the slow and fast solar-wind solutions. For the slow wind, widths greater than one third of a solar radius are acceptable. However, for the fast wind, only for widths above $\epsilon \approx 2 R_\odot$ is the heat conduction small enough. The reason for this difference is that, overall, the temperature is higher in the fast solar wind; additionally, the temperature heating strength $T_{\text{H}}$ and the temperature gradient in this region are larger. So, particularly for the slow wind, the effect of the heat conduction becomes negligible despite rather steep temperature gradients (see Fig. 1).

We note that for a lower threshold value for $\phi$, the minimum widths will of course increase, which may rule out the formation of such solutions in the fast solar wind. However, even when heat conduction is non-negligible but yet not dominant, it would lead to a further smoothing of the quasi-discontinuous solutions. While a heating function with a small width in combination with heat conduction would result in solar-wind solutions that do not have sufficient steep gradients (see Fig. 1), thus making it difficult to assume that the heat conduction self-consistently, which is, however, beyond the scope of the present paper; this work is mainly aimed at demonstrating that the idealized analytical solutions can be obtained as asymptotic cases of solutions derived for explicitly implemented heating functions.

### 4.2. Thermoelectric field

It has been argued in the literature (see, e.g., Landi & Pantellini 2001; Pantellini & Landi 2001) that conditions occur in the
The occurrence of such local spontaneous energy sources is naturally justified for the thermodynamically and statistically non-equilibrium solar atmosphere (Maes et al. 2009a,b). Subsequently, an application of the new solutions to recent solar-wind measurements taken with the Parker Solar Probe and Solar Orbiter may be helpful for their interpretation.
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5. Summary and conclusions

We present spherically symmetric, partially adiabatic solar-wind models explicitly containing a strongly localized heating source in order to asymptotically reproduce the analytically derived discontinuous solar-wind solutions introduced by Shergelashvili et al. (2020). We first demonstrated that the latter solutions can indeed be obtained in the limit of a vanishing width of the heating function from the solutions of a steady-state model. The fact that the steady-state solutions themselves can be obtained as time-asymptotic limits from those of a time-dependent model manifests the stability of the computed quasi-discontinuous solar wind profiles. Furthermore, it could be shown that heat conduction and the thermoelectric field are negligible up to a minimal heating function width. Therefore, the overall structure of the solutions persists, especially with the slow-wind solutions. Based on these findings, we expect to find the quasi-discontinuous solutions more likely in slow-wind regimes.

This simplified model, which has the main objective of shedding more light on the previously derived analytical solutions, provides a good starting point to investigate various topics. An extension of the model to more spatial dimensions; to two fluids (protons and electrons), which allows a better treatment of the heat conduction; or including magnetic fields and time-dependent heating sources will be subjects of forthcoming analyses, as will be the formulation of non ad hoc heating functions that are derived from explicit dissipation processes for example local wave energy sources (see, e.g., Ismayilli et al. 2018; Shergelashvili et al. 2007, 2005 and references therein).