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Observational signature of continuously operating drivers of decayless kink oscillation
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ABSTRACT

Context. Decayless kink oscillations, which are nearly omnipresent in the solar corona, are believed to be driven by continuously operating energy supply.

Aims. In this Letter, we investigate an external continuous excitation of an apparent decayless oscillation during an X1.1 flare on June 20, 2023 (SOL2023-06-20T16:42).

Methods. The decayless kink oscillation was identified in the coronal loop at extreme ultraviolet (EUV) wavelengths and the associated flare quasi-periodic pulsations (QPPs) were simultaneously observed in passbands of hard X-ray (HXR), microwave, and ultraviolet (UV) emissions.

Results. The kink oscillation is detected as a transverse oscillation of the coronal loop, which reveals five apparent cycles with an average period of about 130 ± 10 s. The oscillation amplitude does not show any significantly decay, suggesting a decayless oscillation. At the same time, the solar flare occurs in the vicinity of the oscillating loop and exhibits five main pulses in HXR, microwave, and UV emissions, which could be regarded as flare QPPs. They have similar periods of about 100–130 s, which may indicate successive and repetitive energy releases during the flare impulsive phase. The peak of each loop oscillation cycle appears to follow the pulse of the QPPs, suggesting that the transverse oscillation is closely associated with flare QPPs.

Conclusions. Our observations support the scenario where the repetitive energy released following flare QPPs could be invoked as external, continuously operating drivers of the apparent decayless kink oscillation.
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1. Introduction

Kink-mode oscillations are usually identified as transverse oscillations of loop-like structures and they are always characterized by non-axisymmetric and weakly compressive in the long-wavelength regime (see Nakariakov et al. 2021, for a recent review). Kink oscillations are well studied magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) waves, since they play a crucial role in diagnosing the solar magnetic field and measuring plasma parameters, namely, “MHD coronal seismology” (e.g., Yuan & Van Doorsselaere 2016; Yang et al. 2020; Chelpanov et al. 2022). They are generally manifested as one of two forms: decaying and decayless oscillations, which are usually dependent on their oscillation amplitude. The decaying oscillation always reveals a large displacement amplitude, namely, at ≳1 Mm, it decays fast and only persists for several oscillatory cycles. (Nakariakov et al. 1999; Su et al. 2018; Kumar et al. 2022; Li et al. 2023a,b; Zhang et al. 2023). For the large-amplitude oscillation, the decay time is about 1.79 times larger than the oscillation period on average (Nechaeva et al. 2019). Conversely, the decayless oscillation usually shows small but weakly-decay displacement amplitude, which is less than the minor radius of the oscillating loop (Wang et al. 2012; Anfinogentov et al. 2013; Duckenfield et al. 2018; Mandal et al. 2022). The oscillation periods of standing kink waves are measured from sub-minute to dozens of minutes, and they are linearly increasing with the loop lengths (e.g., Anfinogentov et al. 2015; Nechaeva et al. 2019; Zhang et al. 2022; Petrova et al. 2023; Zhong et al. 2023).

It is well accepted that the kink oscillation should be associated with some external eruptions on the Sun (Nakariakov et al. 2021). The decaying kink oscillation is easily found to be driven by an impulsive driver, for instance, an extreme-ultraviolet (EUV) wave, a solar flare, a flux rope, and a coronal jet (e.g., Zimovets & Nakariakov 2015; Nechaeva et al. 2019; Shen et al. 2018, 2019a,b; Reeves et al. 2020). However, the decayless kink oscillation appears to show no apparent association with the solar transient (e.g., Gao et al. 2022; Zhong et al. 2022a; Li & Long 2023). On the other hand, the decayless kink oscillation is nearly omnipresent in the solar atmosphere (Tian et al. 2012; Li et al. 2022a),
namely, they are frequently observed in the coronal loop (e.g., Li et al. 2020; Safna Banu et al. 2022), the prominence thread (e.g., Arregui et al. 2018; Li et al. 2018a), the hot flare loop (e.g., Li et al. 2018b; Shi et al. 2023), and the coronal bright point (e.g., Gao et al. 2022). Therefore, they could provide ongoing energy to support the energy loss of the solar corona, which are believed to be crucial for the coronal heating (Van Doorsselaere et al. 2020; Yuan et al. 2023). Obviously, the decayless kink oscillation should also have continuous external drivers to supply that counteracts damping (Zhong et al. 2022a; Nakariakov et al. 2021). In order to answer this issue, several models or mechanisms have been proposed, such as the self-oscillatory model (Nakariakov et al. 2016; Karampelas & Van Doorsselaere 2020), random-motion excitation (Ruderman & Petrukhin 2021), or $\rho$-modes exciter (Gao et al. 2023). A series of magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulations and theoretical calculations have demonstrated that these models can lead to decayless kink oscillations (Nakariakov et al. 2016; Karampelas & Van Doorsselaere 2020; Ruderman & Petrukhin 2021; Gao et al. 2023). However, capturing observational evidence of continuously operating drivers of decayless kink oscillations is still rare.

Quasi-periodic pulsations (QPPs) are frequently observed in the light curves of solar flares and often associated with MHD waves in solar atmospheres (see Zimovets et al. 2021a, for a recent review). These phenomena could correspond to a recent review). These phenomena could correspond to decayless kink oscillations (Zimovets et al. 2023a; Zimovets et al. 2021b; Li & Chen 2022). A typical QPP is generally characterized by a series of regular and repeated pulses and it could be observed in multiple wavelengths, such as radio, HXR, extreme-ultraviolet (EUV), soft and hard X-rays (SXR/HXR), and even $\gamma$-rays. The quasi-periods are measured from subseconds to several hundreds seconds (e.g., Nakariakov et al. 2010; Kolotkov et al. 2018; Kashapova et al. 2020; Li et al. 2021; Li 2022; Karlický et al. 2022; Kou et al. 2022; Zhao et al. 2023). The kink oscillation is often observed as the spatial displacement disturbance of loop-like structures, while the QPP refers to the periodic variation of light curves during a solar eruption. Therefore, the kink-mode wave is commonly used to interpret the observed QPP (e.g., Nakariakov et al. 2010; Li et al. 2022b). However, the loop oscillation that is strongly associated with flare QPPs is rarely reported. In this letter, we investigate the decayless kink oscillation of a coronal loop, which could be excited by the repetitive energy releases behind flare QPPs.

### 2. Observation

We analyzed a coronal loop that was associated with a solar flare occurred on 2023 June 20, which lied in the active region of NOAA 13234 near the solar east limb, namely, S17E3.7. They were simultaneously measured by the Hard X-ray Imager (HXI; Su et al. 2019; Zhang et al. 2019) on board the Advanced Space-based Solar Observatory (ASO-S: Gan et al. 2023), Konus-Wind (KW; Lysenko et al. 2022), Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES), Expanded Owens Valley Solar Array (EOVS; Gary et al. 2011), STEREO/WAVES (SWEPES), Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA; Lemen et al. 2012) and the Chinese Hα Solar Explorer (CHASE; Li et al. 2022c; Qiu et al. 2022). Figure 1 shows the light curves in multiple wavelengths during the solar flare. The SXR fluxes at 1–8 Å (red) and 0.5–4 Å (blue) are recorded by GOES-18, which has a time cadence of 1 s.

ASO-S/HXI is designed to image solar flares in the HXR energy range of about 15–300 keV. Its time cadence is 4 s in regular observation mode and can be as high as ~0.125 s in burst mode. In this study, we use the full-disk light curve of three total flux monitors (D92, D93, D94) in the range of 20–80 keV interpolated at a time cadence of 1 s from the full cadence light curve, as shown by the magenta line in Fig. 1b. KW is used for investigating $\gamma$-ray bursts and solar flares, which works in two modes: waiting and triggered modes. The count rate light curve has an accumulation time of 2.944 s in the waiting mode, while it has a varying time resolution (e.g., 2–256 ms) in the triggered mode. Therefore, we interpolate the KW flux at 20–80 keV into an uniform cadence of 2.944 s, as indicated by the green line in Fig. 1b. We also use the radio dynamic spectra measured by EOVS and SWAVES, as shown by the background images in Fig. 1. SWAVES acquires the radio spectrum with a time cadence of 60 s, and it covers a frequency range of roughly 0.05–16.025 MHz. EOVS is a microwave radiodometer, which provides the solar spectrum at frequencies of ~1–18 GHz, and the time cadence could be as high as 1 s. We note that some data gaps appear in the EOVS spectrum.

SDO/AIA takes full-disk solar maps in multiple EUV/UV passbands, and the time cadence of seven EUV passbands is 12 s, while that of two UV passbands is 24 s (Lemen et al. 2012). In this study, we analyze AIA maps in seven passbands of 131 Å (~10 MK), 94 Å (~6.3 MK), 193 Å (~20 MK and ~1.6 MK), 211 Å (~2.0 MK), 171 Å (~0.63 MK), 1600 Å (~0.1 MK), and 1700 Å (~0.005 MK), as shown in Fig. 2. All the AIA maps are pre-processed by aia_prep.pro, and have a same spatial resolution of 1′.2″. CHASE provides the spectroscopic observation of the full Sun in wavebands of Hα and FeI (Li et al. 2022c). Here, we use the spectral images at channels of Hα 6562.8 Å and FeI 6569.2 Å, which mainly form in the solar chromosphere and photosphere, respectively. Each spatial pixel corresponds to ~1.04″, and the time cadence is about 71 s.

### 3. Results

Figure 1 presents the solar flare observed in passbands of SXR, HXR and radio and microwave emissions. Panel a shows full-disk light curves at GOES 1–8 Å (red) and 0.5–4.0 Å (blue) from 16:41 UT to 17:30 UT. The GOES flux indicates an X1.1-class flare, which begins at 16:42 UT, and reach its maximum at 17:09 UT. The orange line represents the local EUV flux in the wavelength of AIA 131 Å, which is integrated over the flare region. Figure 1b shows the HXR and microwave fluxes during 16:56–17:15 UT measured by ASO-S/HXI (magenta), KW (green), and EOVS (cyan), respectively. Those light curves match well with each other, and they all reveal at least five pulses, which could be regarded as flare QPPs. The background images are radio dynamic spectra observed by SWAVES a and EOVS b, which show type III radio bursts at the lower and higher frequency ranges, suggesting that nonthermal electrons are accelerated via magnetic reconnections during the flare impulsive phase.

Figure 2 shows the multi-wavelength images with a field of view (FOV) of ~240” × 240”. Panels a–c plot EUV maps in high-temperature wavelengths of AIA 131 Å, 94 Å, and 193 Å, which display some hot flare loops. The gold rectangle outlines the flare region used to integrate the local flux at AIA 131 Å in Fig. 1a. While panels d–h illustrate the EUV/UV and Hα
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maps in passbands of AIA 171 Å, 211 Å, 1600 Å, 1700 Å, and CHASE 6562.8 Å, they all exhibit double flare ribbons and are spatially correlated with two footpoints seen in the HXR emission, as outlined by the green and magenta contours. The HXR map during 17:04:07–17:04:37 UT is reconstructed by CHASE. An animation that shows the evolution of the solar flare and coronal loop is available online.

The online animation (anim.mp4) shows the evolution of the solar flare and the associated coronal loops. It can be seen that the coronal loops appear transverse oscillations follow the flare eruption. In order to capture the appearance of transverse oscillations, one artificial straight slit (S1), which is nearly perpendicular to the loop axis, is selected to generate the time–distance (TD) maps. The cut slit is chosen at the position that is close to the apparent loop apex, where is less overlapping with the neighboring loops, as marked by the cyan arrow in Fig. 2. Figure 3 presents the TD maps at slit S1 in passbands of AIA 171 Å, 193 Å, and 211 Å. We can immediately notice that several transverse oscillations appear in these TD maps. Herein, there is only one transverse oscillation that shows five apparent peaks analyzed, since it can be clearly seen in three AIA passbands. Here,

the peak of loop oscillation refers to the maximum displacement of transverse oscillations in the TD map. Similarly to previous studies (e.g., Mandal et al. 2021; Zhong et al. 2022b), the oscillation positions are determined from the bright centers of the coronal loop at AIA 171 Å by using the Gaussian fitting method, as marked by the black pluses (‘+’) in panel a. The transverse oscillation does not show any apparent decay, but it drifts nonlinearly in the plane-of-sky. Therefore, the combination of a sine function and a nonlinear trend is applied to fit the loop oscillation (e.g., Anfinogentov et al. 2015; Li et al. 2020; Gao et al. 2022; Li & Long 2023), as shown by Eq. (1):

$$A(t) = A_0 \cdot \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{P} t + \psi \right) + f(t),$$

where $A_0$ represents the displacement amplitude, $P$ is the oscillation period, and $\psi$ refers to the initial phase, while $f(t)$ stands for the second-order polynomial approximation. Next, the velocity amplitude ($v_0$) is obtained by the derivative of the displacement amplitude (cf. Li et al. 2022a, 2023a; Petrova et al. 2023). The overplotted magenta curve in Fig. 3 is the best-fitting result with Eq. (1) and it appears to agree with the oscillation positions (‘+’). The green line in panel a represents a nonlinear trend of the oscillating loop, which is derived from a second-order polynomial approximation. We want to state that the magenta curve in panels b and c is exactly the same as that in panel a and it seems to be not a good fit in passbands of AIA 193 Å and 211 Å. On the other hand, they appear to match with each other when we consider the fitting uncertainty, as shown by the cyan error bars.
Figure 3. Time-distance maps show the transverse oscillation of the coronal loop at slit S1 in passbands of AIA 171 Å (a), 193 Å (b), and 211 Å (c). The pluses (+) outline bright centers of the oscillating loop. The magenta curve and the cyan error bars represent the best-fitting result and their fitting uncertainties, whereas the green line indicates the background trend. The Arabic numerals mark five cycles of the loop oscillation. The cyan arrow indicates the slit direction.

Figure 4a presents the oscillating positions (+) of the coronal loop and the best-fitting result (cyan) after removing the nonlinear trend (f(t)), the fitting parameters and their uncertainties such as the oscillation period, displacement and velocity amplitudes are also labeled. The normalized HXR flux at HXI 20–80 keV is also drawn, as shown by the magenta curve. One can immediately notice that both the loop oscillation and the HXR flux reveal at least 5 cycles, as marked by the Arabic and English numbers. The cycle of each HXR pulse appears earlier than that of the loop oscillation, and a time difference of about 110 s was estimated via cross correlation. Those observational facts imply that the transverse oscillation of the coronal loop could be strongly associated with the flare QPP in the HXR channel. We also notice that the HXR flux appears much more small sub-peaks, for instance, the HXR pulse “V” contains three sub-peaks, which might be due to the high time resolution of ASO-S/HXI. We then plot local light curves integrated over the flare region (gold rectangle in Fig. 2), as shown in Fig. 4b. Obviously, the light curves in passbands of AIA 1700 Å (black) and 1600 Å (green) display five apparent peaks, which are almost synchronous with the HXR pulses, as indicated by the dashed vertical lines. On the other hand, the light curves at AIA 171 Å (black) and 211 Å (green) also show five main peaks, while some main peaks also contain sub-peaks, similarly to what has observed in the HXR flux; this suggests the coexistence of multiple periodicities in the flare emission.

In order to identify the quasi-period of flare QPPs, the wavelet transform with the “Morlet” mother function (Torrence & Compo 1998) was applied for the detrended light curves after removing a ~180 s running average (Tian et al. 2012; Li et al. 2018b), since we want to enhance the short-period oscillation and suppress the long-period trend. Figure 5 shows the Morlet wavelet power spectra in passbands of HXI 20–80 keV a, KW 20–80 keV b, AIA 1700 Å c, 1600 Å d, 171 Å e, and 211 Å f. They all show an enhanced power over a broad range of quasi-periods, namely, a periodicity range of about 100–130 s. We want to state that the quasi-periods refer to the enhanced power range inside the 99% significance level. The quasi-periods agree with the average period (i.e., ~130±10 s) of the loop oscillation, confirming that the transverse oscillation of the coronal loop could be strongly associated the flare QPPs. We did not perform the wavelet transform for the radio data measured by EOVSA because it has some data gaps, resulting into a discontinuous microwave flux.

4. Discussion

Transverse oscillations are commonly detected in loop-like structures, such as coronal and flare loops, prominence or filament threads, and even umbral fibrils (e.g., Anfinogentov et al. 2015; Nechaeva et al. 2019; Li et al. 2020, 2022a; Zhang et al. 2022; Yuan et al. 2023). In this letter, we study the transverse oscillation of a coronal loop, which is perpendicular to the loop axis at the apparent loop apex. It shows five significant cycles and reveals no apparent decay in the displacement amplitude, which could be regarded as the decayless kink oscillation (Tian et al. 2012; Anfinogentov et al. 2015; Li & Long 2023). The observed kink oscillation shows an average period of ~130 ±10 s, which is consistent with previous observations that were in the range of tens to hundreds of seconds (Anfinogentov et al. 2015; Li et al. 2020; Mandal et al. 2015; Shi et al. 2022; Zhong et al. 2023). While it has a large displacement amplitude, which is measured to be about 1.8 ±0.15 Mm. Such a large displacement amplitude is rarely reported in decayless oscillations. Previous observations found that their displacement amplitude have mostly been less than 0.5 Mm (Anfinogentov et al. 2015; Gao et al. 2022) and the maximal...
amplitude is estimated to be 87 km s\(^{-1}\) an amplitude of 0.8 Mm. On the other hand, our velocity amplitude is similar to those of decayless oscillations with shorter periods (e.g., Petrova et al. 2023; Li & Long 2023).

A solar flare occurs near the footpoint of the oscillating loop. It shows five repetitive and successive pulses at HXR 20–80 keV measured by ASO-S/HXI and KW, which could be regarded as the signature of flare QPPs. It has a broad range of quasi-periods, namely, ∼1–8 km s\(^{-1}\) (Tian et al. 2012; Gao et al. 2022). Our velocity amplitude is similar to those of decayless oscillations with shorter periods (e.g., Petrova et al. 2023; Li & Long 2023).

A solar flare occurs near the footpoint of the oscillating loop. It shows five repetitive and successive pulses at HXR 20–80 keV measured by ASO-S/HXI and KW, which could be regarded as the signature of flare QPPs. It has a broad range of quasi-periods, namely, ∼1–8 km s\(^{-1}\) (Tian et al. 2012; Gao et al. 2022). Our velocity amplitude is similar to those of decayless oscillations with shorter periods (e.g., Petrova et al. 2023; Li & Long 2023).

Displacement amplitude of 1.16 Mm was detected in decayless kink oscillations induced by a solar flare (cf. Mandal et al. 2021). Li et al. (2020) also reported a decayless kink oscillation with an amplitude of 0.8 Mm. On the other hand, our velocity amplitude is estimated to be 87 km s\(^{-1}\) and it is much larger than previous statistical studies for decayless oscillations within longer periods, namely, ∼1–8 km s\(^{-1}\) (Tian et al. 2012; Gao et al. 2022). Our velocity amplitude is similar to those of decayless oscillations with shorter periods (e.g., Petrova et al. 2023; Li & Long 2023).

oscillation period is measured to be about 130 ± 10 s and the displacement amplitude is as large as ~1.8 ± 0.15 Mm.

(2) The flare QPPs are simultaneously detected in passbands of HX1 20–80 keV, KW 20–80 keV, AIA 1700 Å, 1600 Å, 171 Å, and 211 Å during the flare impulsive phase, which might be caused by repetitive magnetic reconnections. The quasi-period is estimated to be ~100–130 s. The large period range implies the coexistence of multiple periodicities.

(3) The apparent decayless kink oscillation and flare QPPs share the same quasi-period and a significant time difference of about 110 s can be seen between them. Those observational facts provide sufficient evidences that the decayless kink oscillation could be driven by continuously operating energies released from the X1.1 flare.

(4) We propose that the repetitive energy releases behind the flare QPPs could trigger the kink oscillation intermittently for five times, making it apparent decayless. In this interpretation, each cycle of the kink oscillation is assumed to decay rapidly in less than one oscillation period.
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