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ABSTRACT

Context. Extreme ultraviolet (EUV) observations of the quiet solar atmosphere reveal extended regions of weak emission compared to the ambient quiescent corona. The magnetic nature of these coronal features is not well understood.

Aims. We study the magnetic properties of the weakly emitting extended regions, which we name coronal voids. In particular, we aim to understand whether these voids result from a reduced heat input into the corona or if they are associated with mainly unipolar and possibly open magnetic fields, similar to coronal holes.

Methods. We defined the coronal voids via an intensity threshold of 75% of the mean quiet-Sun (QS) EUV intensity observed by the high-resolution EUV channel (HRI) of the Extreme Ultraviolet Imager on Solar Orbiter. The line-of-sight magnetograms of the same solar region recorded by the High Resolution Telescope of the Polarimetric and Helioseismic Imager allowed us to compare the photospheric magnetic field beneath the coronal voids with that in other parts of the QS.

Results. The coronal voids studied here range in size from a few granules to a few supergranules and on average exhibit a reduced intensity of 67% of the mean value of the entire field of view. The magnetic flux density in the photosphere below the voids is 76% (or more) lower than in the surrounding QS. Specifically, the coronal voids show much weaker or no network structures. The detected flux imbalances fall in the range of imbalances found in QS areas of the same size.

Conclusions. We conclude that coronal voids form because of locally reduced heating of the corona due to reduced magnetic flux density in the photosphere. This makes them a distinct class of (dark) structure, different from coronal holes.
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1. Introduction

Coronal holes (CHs; Waldmeier 1956, 1957) appear dark in ultraviolet (UV) and X-ray observations of the solar corona. They are cooler and less dense than the rest of the corona (Munro & Withbroe 1972; Cranmer 2009). During solar activity minima, large CHs form at the solar poles. These polar CHs disappear during solar activity maxima, while smaller CHs appear at lower latitudes. In the photosphere, CHs are harder to distinguish from the quiet Sun (QS) in terms of the magnetic flux density, as the absolute magnetic density in CHs is comparable to that of the QS. However, photospheric magnetic fields underlying CHs are distributed into one dominant magnetic polarity (e.g., Altschuler et al. 1972). As a result of this, CHs are structured by open magnetic fields. Differently from the magnetically closed QS, this opens a channel for energy loss, namely the acceleration of gas that escapes the Sun’s gravitational field. This results in a reduced intensity in CHs at all coronal temperatures as compared to the QS.

In coronal observations in the extreme ultraviolet (EUV) around 174 Å by the high-resolution instrument of the Extreme Ultraviolet Imager (EUI; see Rochus et al. 2020) on board Solar Orbiter (Müller et al. 2020), dark areas embedded in the QS can be identified. These coronal voids, as we call them, cover a wide range of scales, comparable in size to a few granules all the way up to a few supergranules (i.e., up to some 70 Mm), making these features much smaller than typical CHs.

One possible interpretation of the voids could be that they are miniature CHs. Alternatively, the coronal voids could appear dark because they get less energy input from the magnetic structures at their base in the photosphere, and hence the plasma is less hot and less dense as compared to the surrounding QS. This could be the case if the magnetic field strength at their base is significantly lower than in the QS. Martínez González et al. (2012) report ‘dead calm’ areas with reduced magnetic activity, based on data obtained by the Imaging Magnetograph eXperiment (IMaX; Martínez Pillet et al. 2011) on the Sunrise observatory (Solanki et al. 2010; Barthol et al. 2011; Berkefeld et al. 2011;...
Hence, it is conceivable that the coronal voids are the coronal counterparts of such areas or generally of areas with reduced magnetic activity or magnetic flux density. To test both hypotheses, we studied the magnetic field in the photosphere underlying the coronal voids caught by the EUI instrument. We expected to find locally reduced magnetic activity, as in dead calm regions, and/or a net flux imbalance, as present in CHs.

2. Observations

During Solar Orbiter’s cruise phase on 2021 February 23 both the High Resolution Telescope (HRT; Gandorfer et al. 2018) of the Polarimetric and Helioseismic Imager (SO/PHI; Solanki et al. 2020) and the High Resolution Imagers (HRI) of EUI observed a QS region at approximately the same time. Then Solar Orbiter was at a distance of 0.53 AU to the Sun and the Solar-Orbiter–Sun–Earth angle measured 142°. The telescopes were pointed at solar disk centre. According to the FITS file headers the image rotation of HRI EUV channel (HRI\textsubscript{EUV}) and the HRI Ly-\alpha channel (HRI\textsubscript{Ly}\alpha) was 0.3°, 0.5°, and 0.6°, respectively. In all images we show here north is approximately up and west is to the right.

2.1. SO/PHI observation

SO/PHI is equipped with two telescopes, which can observe alternately. The Full Disc Telescope (FDT) maps the entire solar disk, while the SO/PHI-HRT has a smaller field of view (FOV) of 0.28° × 0.28°. The spatial resolution of SO/PHI (and EUI, too) depends on the distance of Solar Orbiter from the Sun. SO/PHI scans the Fe 16173 Å line at six different wavelengths and obtains a set of four polarisation states at each scan position. Hence, to obtain one set of data products, SO/PHI needs to record 24 images. After the subtraction of a dark and flat field correction and the de modulation of each image, the continuum intensity can be directly derived from the Stokes I parameter. Assuming a Milne–Eddington atmosphere, the radiative transfer equation is inverted. This provides the magnetic field vector \( \mathbf{B} = (B, \gamma, \phi) \) in the photosphere and the line-of-sight (LOS) velocity. The components \( B, \gamma, \phi \) of the magnetic field vector \( \mathbf{B} \) denote the magnetic field strength, the magnetic field inclination relative to the LOS, and the magnetic field azimuth, respectively.

The LOS magnetic field is defined as \( B_{\text{LOS}} = B \cos \gamma \). The uncertainties in both \( B \) and \( \gamma \) are significant in quiet regions, like those studied in this paper. However, the uncertainties in these parameters are coupled in such a way that \( B_{\text{LOS}} \) suffers from much lower uncertainties, which generally makes \( B_{\text{LOS}} \) the most reliable measure of the magnetic field derived from polarimetric data in Zeeman split spectral lines. Further details are available in Gandorfer et al. (2018), Solanki et al. (2020), and Sinjan et al. (2022).

SO/PHI-HRT took one full FOV observation of 2048 × 2048 pixels at the mid-observing time 17:00:45 UTC (all times will be given in UTC) with a duration of 86 s. The SO/PHI-HRT has a plate scale of 0.5°. At the observing distance of 0.53 AU this corresponds to 191 km pixel\(^{-1} \) on the Sun. The LOS magnetograph we used in this study is a level 2 data product. The \( B_{\text{LOS}} \) map has additionally been corrected for geometrical distortion due to the instrument across the image. Because the observations were carried out near the centre of the solar disk, for the stronger, nearly vertical fields, \( B_{\text{LOS}} \) is very similar to the field strength.

2.2. EUI observations

Like SO/PHI, EUI is equipped with a Full Sun Imager (FSI) and with two high-resolution instruments. EUI/HRI’s EUV channel, HRI\textsubscript{EUV}, is centred around 174 Å including a prominent Fe X line that samples gas at approximately 1 MK. The other channel, HRI\textsubscript{Ly}\alpha, records the solar scene in the Ly-\alpha line of hydrogen at 1216 Å originating from the chromosphere. Since the EUI/HRI channels employ separate telescopes, simultaneous observations are possible. The EUI/HRI detectors record images with a size of up to 2048 × 2048 pixels with a FOV comparable to SO/PHI-HRT.

Due to technical issues the two channels of EUI/HRI did not observe in parallel. For the Ly-\alpha channel a time series is available from 16:55:15 to 16:58:55 with a cadence of 5 s. The 174 Å channel started observing at 17:13:25. This time series with a 2-s cadence ended at 17:20:59. For our analysis, we chose the HRI\textsubscript{Ly}\alpha and HRI\textsubscript{EUV} observations closest to the selected SO/PHI-HRT observation, namely at 16:58:55 and 17:13:25, respectively. HRI\textsubscript{EUV} data have a plate scale of 0.492″, which corresponds to 188 km pixel\(^{-1} \) at the solar distance of 0.53 AU. The HRI\textsubscript{Ly}\alpha data were binned by a factor of four and have a plate scale of 1.028″ (392 km pixel\(^{-1} \) at the given solar distance). The EUI observations used in this study are level 2 data products1, Kahil et al. (2022) also used this HRI\textsubscript{EUV} observation as well as the SO/PHI \( B_{\text{LOS}} \) map.

2.3. Alignment of PHI and EUI

Due to temperature changes within the spacecraft and instruments, the alignment between instruments and channels is expected to change over time. The EUV images therefore need to be aligned with the magnetogram used here. Because the magnetic network is apparent both in \( B_{\text{LOS}} \) and in HRI\textsubscript{Ly}\alpha, an alignment in sequence (\( B_{\text{LOS}} \) to HRI\textsubscript{Ly}\alpha to HRI\textsubscript{EUV}) yields the best result. We followed the procedure for the alignment described by Kahil et al. (2022), but we additionally adjusted the plate scale of the \( B_{\text{LOS}} \) and HRI\textsubscript{Ly}\alpha images by rescaling them to the HRI\textsubscript{EUV} plate scale of 0.492″ (188 km pixel\(^{-1} \) on the Sun at 0.53 AU). The \( B_{\text{LOS}} \) map is shifted southwards with respect to the HRI\textsubscript{EUV} observation since the overlap in FOV of both instruments is not exact. Consequently, there is no magnetic field measurement available for the upper part (ca. 10%) of the HRI\textsubscript{EUV} Image. The HRI\textsubscript{Ly}\alpha observation was noticed to be slightly shifted westwards during the alignment procedure.

3. Results

We first defined the coronal voids via an intensity threshold and subsequently tested both hypotheses for the formation of coronal voids by analysing the photospheric magnetic field.

3.1. Defining coronal voids

The coronal voids are easily picked out by eye in the HRI\textsubscript{EUV} images (Fig. 1, left panel). To capture their outline (i.e., shape and size), we used an iso-contour of the intensity in a smoothed image. We smoothed the image to avoid the outline appearing overly ragged. For this we convolved the HRI\textsubscript{EUV} image with a Gaussian kernel with a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of about 4 Mm that corresponds to the size of the network patches visible in the QS EUV data. We then used a threshold of 75% of

1 https://doi.org/10.24414/2qfw-tr95
Fig. 1. Overview of observations. These high-resolution images show the (almost) full FOV of EUV (left) and SO/PHI-HRT (right) and were taken on 2021 February 23 at 17:13:26 and 17:00:45, respectively. Disk centre (as seen from Solar Orbiter) is roughly in the centre of the images, and north is up. Coordinates are given in Mm on the Sun. The PHIF-HRT image around 174 Å is shown on a linear scale, and the SO/PHI-HRT map shows the LOS magnetogram saturated at ±50 G with white and black indicating positive and negative polarities, respectively. Because the FOV of PHI is shifted somewhat towards the south with respect to EUV, the top part of the magnetogram does not show data (flat grey). All white or grey contours show EUV intensity levels of 75% of the average intensity and highlight the dark coronal voids seen in the EUV. The six major voids are indicated by thick white outlines and numbered according to the list in Table 1 (see Sect. 3.1). The green contours mark the regions on the surface from where the open field lines originate. For the discussion, see Sect. 3.5.

Table 1. Properties of major coronal voids and QS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>+1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>+1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>−1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>−2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>773</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>−5.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>−1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surrounding QS</td>
<td>1122</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole FOV</td>
<td>1094</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. (a) The outlines of the major coronal voids are shown in Fig. 1. (b) The surrounding QS is the whole FOV displayed in Fig. 1 without the major coronal voids. (c) The size is the diameter of a circle covering the same area as the void (see Sect. 3.1). (d) Average intensity seen by HRI in the 174 Å channel of EUV. (e) For the definition of |B_{LOS}|, see Sect. 3.3.1. (f) Flux imbalances as defined in Eqs. (2) and (3) (see Sect. 3.4).

the mean intensity in the FOV. This captures the outline of the dark voids quite well, as visible in Fig. 1 (left panel) where we overplot this contour (based on the smoothed image) on top of the original HRI image. A coronal void is then the region enclosed by this intensity contour. We experimented with other values for the threshold, but found that the results did not change significantly, even though, naturally, the area of a void depends somewhat on the threshold (larger voids are found if the threshold is increased).

The coronal voids cover about 10% of the full FOV and exhibit a mean intensity of the order of 60–70% of the QS level. Table 1 lists details on the coronal voids identified (and numbered) in Fig. 1 and on the QS.

The coronal voids display a variety of sizes and shapes. For an easy comparison with, for example, the supergranular scale, we defined the linear dimension of a coronal void as the diameter of a circle that would cover the same area as the coronal void. For our study we concentrated on the larger coronal voids. We refer to these voids with a size of at least a supergranule (ca. 20 Mm) as major voids (Table 1), and identified five structures meeting this criterion (voids no. 1–5 and 6 as marked in Fig. 1). In addition, we considered a further void to be major (no. 5), which consists of two smaller voids very close to each other, almost touching (combined, the two parts have a size of just above 20 Mm). We did not consider a large void partially
visible in the upper right because it is at the edge of the EUV FOV (and would not be covered by SO/PHI-HRT at all).

Hence, we have six fully distinguishable major coronal voids whose magnetic properties we can study. Smaller dark structures that are below the supergranular scale are not considered further, as they may simply be a reflection of dark inter-network areas (small grey contours in Fig. 1).

In order to put the threshold used for the definition of coronal voids into context, we compared it to thresholds employed in studies of polar or equatorial CHs. For the detection of CHs, different values of the detection threshold are used, depending on the temperature of the plasma imaged by the respective observation. Currently, often the 193 Å passband of the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA; Lemen et al. 2011) on board the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO; Pesnell et al. 2012) is used to characterise the size and shape of CHs. The 193 Å band includes Fe X lines and has its peak response at around 1.6 MK (Lemen et al. 2011). For this channel often an intensity threshold of 35%–40% of the median solar disk intensity is applied (e.g., Hofmeister et al. 2017; Heinemann et al. 2019). This contrast is reduced in the emission below 1 MK that is sampled by the AIA 171 Å channel (Garton et al. 2018), that is, a larger threshold has to be applied when outlining a polar or equatorial CH. So overall, we consider the threshold we used for the coronal voids larger, but consistent with, the definition of CHs.

### 3.2. Chromospheric counterparts of coronal voids

The Ly-α channel of EUV/HRI enables us to look for chromospheric counterparts of coronal voids. The upper panel in Fig. 2 shows the HRILya image with the boundaries of the voids identified in the HRILya channel overplotted. In general, the Ly-α channel shows reduced intensity where we see coronal voids in the 174 Å channel. In the HRILya image inside the coronal voids only very faint structures are visible. To quantify the reduced emission in the voids of both HRILya and HRILya/EUV we show a cut along the y-direction through the largest of the coronal voids (red and orange curves, respectively, in the lower panel of Fig. 2). To reduce noise, we averaged the HRILya and HRILya/EUV intensities over 10 pixels in the y-direction before plotting. We also see similar behaviours for the other major voids.

In the void, the Ly-α emission is lower than in its surroundings. Small brightness fluctuations can be seen in the void in Ly-α, but these are much weaker than the large fluctuations in the QS. Outside the void, the brightest features in Ly-α are related to the magnetic network, in line with the expectation that the magnetic field and chromospheric emission in Ly-α are correlated. In the voids, however, we see little evidence for the presence of stronger patches of the magnetic network (see Sect. 3.3) and thus we consider it unlikely that these patches of very slightly enhanced Ly-α emission would be connected to the magnetic network.

### 3.3. Photospheric magnetic field

In order to determine whether the reduced EUV intensity inside the voids might be due to reduced heating related to weaker photospheric magnetic fields, we considered the SO/PHI-HRT BLOS map.

#### 3.3.1. Magnetic field in coronal voids and in the QS

For each of the major voids and for the surrounding QS the mean of the unsigned LOS magnetic field was determined. We obtained this value by first projecting the contours of the coronal voids onto the BLOS map, then computing the absolute value of BLOS wherever it is above the noise level of 1σ = 6.3 G (Sinjan et al. 2022). Finally, we took the average of these values over a whole selected area (an individual void or the QS). This is illustrated in Fig. 3, where the respective mean value has been displayed in the entire area covered by the respective coronal void or the QS. The exact values are listed in Table 1.

The mean |BLOS| inside the voids ranges between 9.8 and 12.2 G, while it is 15.9 G in the QS; in other words, the field is weaker by a factor of 1.3–1.6 in the voids compared to the QS. Therefore, on average the coronal voids show a clearly weaker photospheric magnetic flux than the surrounding QS.

#### 3.3.2. Strong magnetic fields avoid coronal voids

The occurrence of pixels with stronger |BLOS| is quite different inside the coronal voids compared to the surrounding QS. Figure 4 illustrates this, where we mask the locations of strong fields with |BLOS| > 50 G for both magnetic polarities in the HRILya and the BLOS map. There are clearly fewer pixels with stronger BLOS per area inside the voids than outside. In fact, very few strong field regions can be found inside the voids. In addition, those few strong magnetic patches in the voids are on average
we created a histogram of a separate one for the surrounding QS (i.e., the full FOV except inside the coronal voids, while pixels with stronger area, in the QS there would be 5 times more pixels with \(|B|\) when comparing a region of a void and of QS covering the same to about 1 G.

We calculated this ratio for values of |B| above the noise level of 1 G inside each tile. To enhance statistics (i.e., the number of tiles), we allowed the tiles to overlap (by introducing a shift of 5 Mm between two tiles). We carried out this procedure for two tile sizes, one with an area corresponding to the smallest major void (20 Mm diameter, corresponding to a tile with \(\sqrt{2}/2 \times 20\) Mm side length) and another one corresponding to the 77 Mm sized void. This yields two histograms for the mean |B| in QS, which we compared to the values derived for the major coronal voids (Fig. 7). The values for the coronal voids clearly differ from the QS histograms. All of them exhibit weaker |B| values as expected from the QS histograms and are located at the lowest end of the QS distributions. This further supports the claim that strong magnetic fields avoid coronal voids.

3.3.3. Weak magnetic fields define coronal voids

After having demonstrated in Sect. 3.3.2 that coronal voids are areas of weak magnetic activity, we now address the question whether all regions of low magnetic field harbour coronal voids. The QS magnetic field mostly forms a small-scale salt and pepper pattern. We therefore considered the unsigned LOS magnetic field, |B|, and reduced the noisiness of the signal by spatially averaging the |B| map. Because the major voids we were looking for have sizes of 20 Mm or more (cf. Table 1), we smoothed the |B| map using a Gaussian kernel with a FWHM of approximately 20 Mm (115 pixels). The result is shown in Fig. 8. Of course, because of the smoothing the values in this map are lower than the values for |B| in the original maps used, for example in Figs. 5 or 6.

The smoothed |B| map shows that many of the weak-field regions are covered by coronal voids. In Fig. 8 the larger patches of weak field (in dark to light blue) are covered by the major voids. The additional smaller weak-field patches are mostly covered by smaller coronal voids (with sizes below 20 Mm; black contours).

There are some areas that exhibit weak magnetic field strength and at the same time do not harbour voids (either small or large). An example is found near \(x = 80\) Mm and \(y = 170\) Mm, another one at the bottom-left of the FOV shown in Fig. 8. In cases like this, it seems that coronal structures arch above the weak-field regions connecting patches of opposite magnetic polarity on either side of the weak-field region. Hence, in those weak-field regions we do not detect a small coronal void because QS coronal loops are crossing them (e.g., in the bottom-left part of the FOV).
Fig. 4. Strong-field regions in voids and QS. The background image is the same as in Fig. 1, i.e., the 174 Å image from HRI/EUV (left) and the magnetogram from SO/PHI-HRT (right). To highlight strong-field regions, we colour the regions of magnetic field with a strength above ±50 G in red and blue for positive and negative magnetic polarities, respectively. See Sect. 3.3.2.

Fig. 5. Ratio, $R$, of areas of voids to QS with $|B_{\text{LOS}}|$ above a given threshold plotted vs. this threshold in $|B_{\text{LOS}}|$. The area ratio is normalised such that it reaches unity as $|B_{\text{LOS}}|$ goes to zero (see Eq. (1)). The vertical line indicates the 50 G pixel distribution shown in Fig. 4.

From this we conclude that coronal voids are found above many areas of low average magnetic field strength in the photosphere. In most of the exceptions where there is no coronal void above a weak-field region, larger overarching coronal loop structures are seen that connect stronger magnetic patches on either side of the weak-field region.

3.4. Flux imbalance

To test the hypothesis whether a coronal void could also be a miniature version of a CH, we checked the level of flux imbalance inside each coronal void and compared these values to actual CHs. The presence of a flux imbalance is a necessary condition for this analogy. Of course, a second step would then be to test if the voids are magnetically open or close back down to the solar surface into another void or QS patches.

We defined the relative imbalance in the magnetic flux as the absolute value of the average $B_{\text{LOS}}$ for a given area, divided by the average of the absolute value of $B_{\text{LOS}}$.

$$\delta F = \frac{|\langle B_{\text{LOS}} \rangle|}{\langle |B_{\text{LOS}}| \rangle},$$

where quantities between brackets $\langle \ldots \rangle$ are spatial averages and $B_{\text{LOS}}$ is the $B_{\text{LOS}}$ value above some threshold. Here we set the threshold to the noise level (6.3 G; Sinjan et al. 2022) to reduce spurious effect due to noise. We computed the relative imbalance, $\delta F$, separately for each major coronal void and for the QS (without major voids). This is illustrated in Fig. 9 and the respective values are listed in Table 1. The relative flux imbalance in the voids is in the range from about 10%–25%, with the only exception being the smallest void (number 5) showing about 40% (Table 1). Compared to this the QS imbalance is negligible (1% or less). While the flux imbalances for the major voids might seem significant, they are still much smaller than the corresponding values found in low-latitude CHs, where Wiegelmann & Solanki (2004) and Hofmeister et al. (2017) found net flux imbalances of 77%±14% and 49%±16%, respectively.

To better judge the significance of the relative flux imbalance, we also checked the absolute imbalance in the magnetic flux, that is, the mean $B_{\text{LOS}}$ of a given area above the noise threshold,

$$\Delta F = \langle B_{\text{LOS}} \rangle.$$
Fig. 6. Histograms of the absolute LOS magnetic field in coronal voids and the QS. The left panel shows the HI$_{\text{HRI}}$ image, similar to Fig. 1, along with the regions of interest, from which we derived the histograms of $|B_{\text{LOS}}|$ that are displayed in the right panel. The solid blue line shows the histogram for all major voids combined (thick white contours in the left panel). The red, orange, and brown lines show the histograms within the three squares in the left panel, all covering small parts of QS. The green histogram is for the whole FOV except for the major voids. See Sect. 3.3.2.

Fig. 7. Average $|B_{\text{LOS}}|$ in QS areas. The QS areas of the whole FOV are sub-divided into identical tiles. For each tile the mean $|B_{\text{LOS}}|$ is calculated. Histograms of the mean $|B_{\text{LOS}}|$ for tiles with a size corresponding to 77 and 20 Mm are shown in purple and olive, respectively. The red dots mark the mean $|B_{\text{LOS}}|$ values derived for the six major voids (cf. Table 1) as a function of their size (right axis). See Sect. 3.3.2.

FOV is almost balanced. The major voids, on the other hand, show absolute values of $\Delta F$ between about 1 and 2 G, again with only void 5 showing a larger value (of about 5 G). Just as for the relative imbalance, these values are significantly smaller than the corresponding values found in CHs (e.g., 7.6 G reported by Wiegelmann & Solanki 2004).

The magnetic polarity (i.e., the sign of $\Delta F$; cf. Table 1) is not the same for the major voids. Hence, we cannot consider that the magnetic field from these voids might join higher up in the corona, where it then, together, could be connected to the solar wind.

The flux imbalances we see in the major voids could also be an artefact of their (small) size and the correspondingly poor statistics of the magnetic patches. The whole QS region (without the major voids) is essentially flux balanced, but it is also more than a factor of 10 larger in area than all the major voids together. To check the significance of the flux imbalance in the major voids, we had to do a test that checks the flux imbalance of the QS in smaller regions that are comparable in area to the major voids.

To conduct this test, similarly to the procedure described in Sect. 3.3.2, we tiled the QS areas of the whole FOV into squares with an area similar to that of a coronal void. We then calculated

Fig. 8. Weak magnetic fields and coronal voids. To highlight the regions of weaker magnetic field, we smoothed the LOS magnetic field strength $|B_{\text{LOS}}|$ using a Gaussian kernel of 20 Mm FWHM. To emphasise the structuring, we use a discrete colour table. The weak-field regions are found in areas displayed mainly in blue. The contours of coronal voids are overplotted (the six major voids listed in Table 1 in thick white, smaller voids in black; same contours as in Fig. 1). See Sect. 3.3.3.
The relative flux imbalance in each tile, and from all tiles we derived a histogram of (relative) flux imbalances for the given size of the tiles. As before, we allowed the tiles to overlap (in that each tile is shifted by 5 Mm relative to the adjacent one). The procedure was carried out for tile sizes corresponding to both the smallest major void (diameter of 20 Mm) and the largest one (77 Mm).

The relative flux imbalance in the tiled QS region reaches up to 0.8 in the case of the small tiles (corresponding to a size of 20 Mm), but only up to 0.2 in the case of the larger tiles (77 Mm). This is illustrated by the histograms in Fig. 10 and is consistent with the expectation that the larger the (QS) region under consideration the smaller the flux imbalance. The flux imbalance of the major voids lies mostly within the range covered by the histogram formed by the 77 Mm tiles, except for the two smallest of the major voids, which, however, lie within the range covered by the histogram formed by the 20 Mm tiles (Fig. 10).

This result leads us to conclude that the flux imbalance we find for the major voids is consistent with QS regions of comparable size. Hence, based on our data, we do not find a significant flux imbalance in the major voids, at least the flux imbalance in the major voids is fully consistent with the QS.

### 3.5. Magnetic field extrapolation

To better distinguish coronal voids from CHs, we computed a potential field using the original magnetogram as boundary conditions. The upper boundary of the extrapolations volume is placed at 195 Mm, which corresponds to the length covered by 1023 horizontal pixels. Field lines reaching this upper boundary are considered to be open within the limitations of our extrapolation model. We solved the potential field equations with the help of a fast Fourier transform method as described in Alissandrakis (1981). Since the original HRT magnetogram is not globally flux-balanced, we applied a similar technique as in Seehafer (1978), namely we extended the magnetogram by its three-point mirror images to ensure flux balance, and then applied the fast Fourier method.

We traced field lines starting from seed points at a height of 2 Mm, but only above the voids (at every second horizontal grid point in both x and y directions) and then traced the field lines
upwards. In total, nearly 75 000 field lines were obtained this way.

Only 0.007% of all traced field lines (i.e., five field lines in total) reach the upper simulation box boundary at 195 Mm (see Fig. 11). Most of the field lines are closed within one void or connect from the voids to the photosphere in QS areas outside the voids. There are no field lines connecting from one void to another void of opposite net magnetic polarity. Some field lines leave the simulation box sideways. However, because this behaviour may be influenced by the mirroring applied to the boundary conditions, we cannot draw conclusions about these field lines. This would require a magnetogram with a much larger FOV.

We took a closer look at the origin of field lines reaching the upper boundary at 195 Mm (originating from the voids and the QS alike). Though field lines that reach this boundary are considered open in our simulation, they do not necessarily indicate real open fields because they could close outside the simulation box. We find that there is an upper limit to the coronal grid pitch (i.e., less strong the whole upper boundary) and traced field lines from this upper boundary downwards to the photosphere to investigate the connectivity of the ‘open’ field lines. More than 83% of these open field lines originate from a strong flux concentration at y = 280 in the middle of the FOV (see green contours in Fig. 1). 15% are from QS fields in the upper right area on the magnetogram. Less than 2% can be traced back to an area in the largest coronal void (at x = 160, y = 140 in Fig. 1). However, these field lines have their foot points in a stronger flux concentration that is next to an EUV-brightness surrounded by the void. Therefore, we conclude that the coronal voids are magnetically closed and hence distinct from CHs, which are typically dominated by open fields at coronal heights.

4. Discussion and conclusions

We report EUV-dark areas present in HRI images (sampling the wavelengths around 174 Å) of the QS. We called these dark areas ‘coronal voids’. They are outlined very well by an iso-contour of 75% of the average QS intensity in the same 174 Å channel (Sect. 3.1). The chromospheric emission originating in the Ly-α line in the voids is also reduced (Sect. 3.2). In our dataset we find a range of such voids with sizes comparable to and larger than a supergranular cell. An earlier study found dark threads projected against coronal loops at the limb (November & Koutchmy 1996). It is, however, unclear whether and how those dark thread features might be related to the coronal voids that we study here, in particular because the dark threads appear to be significantly smaller than the coronal voids.

We start out with two hypotheses as to the origin of the reduced EUV emission in the coronal voids: (1) The photospheric magnetic field underlying the coronal voids is less strong and hence the magnetic heating there is reduced, leading to lower temperatures and densities and thus lower coronal emission as compared to the QS. (2) The voids are miniature versions of CHs with open field lines due to a significant flux imbalance in the photosphere.

We find that the mean absolute LOS magnetic field, |\(B_{\text{LOS}}\)|, inside the coronal voids is a factor of 1.3–1.6 lower than in the surrounding QS (Sect. 3.3.1). Equally important, the major voids are almost free of the patches of stronger magnetic fields that are abundantly found in the QS network (Sect. 3.3.2). Furthermore, many regions of weak averaged magnetic field coincide with (small or large) coronal voids (Sect. 3.3.3). Taken together, this shows that there is less magnetic activity below and in the coronal voids. This implies that there is a smaller flux of magnetic energy into the upper atmosphere of the voids, and hence the chromosphere and corona in such regions are heated significantly less than in the ambient QS. According to general scaling relations Rosner et al. (1978), this then implies that both the temperature and the density, and consequently also the coronal emission, should be reduced Zhulek et al. (2020).

We find some imbalance of the magnetic flux in the coronal voids. It is considerably lower than the imbalance found in CHs, but is still present (Sect. 3.4). Thus, one could argue that the reason for the reduced coronal emission in the voids is similar to the case for CHs: the flux imbalance could signal that some of the field is open such that energy is lost to the acceleration of gas away from the solar surface. Consequently, the energy available to heat the gas is reduced, and hence the coronal temperature, density, and emission are lower than in the QS. However, further tests showed that the flux imbalance in the voids is of the same order as comparably sized patches of QS. Hence, we conclude that the flux imbalance we find in the voids is not significant. Furthermore, a potential magnetic field extrapolation indicates that there are no open fields originating from within the void (Sect. 3.5). As a consequence of both findings, we consider it unlikely that coronal voids are essentially small CHs.

To further confirm our conclusions, it would be helpful to add coronal spectroscopic measurements to new observations of more voids, that is to say, to check the Doppler shifts in the voids and compare them to the ambient QS. This will show if an outflow as found in CHs is present in the voids or not. Also, it would be highly profitable to perform more QS observations to get better statistics of the properties of coronal voids, the reduction in magnetic flux density associated with them, and the flux imbalance distribution. A study following the evolution of coronal voids on timescales from hours to days could provide insight into their stability and possibly their lifetimes. In addition, further datasets that have co-observations with other instruments, for example SDO/AIA, would allow for a study of the coronal voids at other coronal temperatures.

In summary, via a comparison of EUV and SO/PHI data, we have uncovered the presence of coronal voids, particularly dark parts in the QS corona that are associated with weaker than normal magnetic flux densities. Consequently, a reduction in magnetic energy input into the coronal gas appears to be the major cause of these darker coronal regions. All in all, our results suggest that coronal voids are not just small CHs, but rather have a distinct physical origin and hence belong to a different class of coronal features.
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