Identifying the energy release site in a solar microflare with a jet
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\section*{ABSTRACT}

\textbf{Context.} One of the main science questions of the Solar Orbiter and Parker Solar Probe missions deals with understanding how electrons in the lower solar corona are accelerated and how they subsequently access interplanetary space.

\textbf{Aims.} We aim to investigate the electron acceleration and energy release sites as well as the manner in which accelerated electrons access the interplanetary space in the case of the SOL2021-02-18T18:05 event, a GOES A8 class microflare associated with a coronal jet.

\textbf{Methods.} This study takes advantage of three different vantage points, Solar Orbiter, STEREO-A, and Earth, with observations drawn from eight different instruments, ranging from radio to X-ray. Multi-wavelength timing analysis combined with UV/EUV imagery and X-ray spectroscopy by Solar Orbiter/STIX (Spectrometer/Telescope for Imaging X-rays) is used to investigate the origin of the observed emission during different flare phases.

\textbf{Results.} The event under investigation satisfies the classical picture of the onset time of the acceleration of electrons coinciding with the jet and the radio type III bursts. This microflare features prominent hard X-ray (HXR) nonthermal emission down to at least 10 keV and a spectrum that is much harder than usual for a microflare with a flare loop corresponds to the electron acceleration site, corroborating that interchange reconnection is a viable candidate for particle acceleration in the low corona on field lines open to interplanetary space.

\textbf{Conclusions.} Within the framework of the interchange reconnection model, we conclude that the energy release site observed above-the-loop corresponds to the electron acceleration site, corroborating that interchange reconnection is a viable candidate for particle acceleration in the low corona on field lines open to interplanetary space.
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\section*{1. Introduction}

Understanding the mechanisms that underlie the acceleration of electrons in the lower solar corona and their subsequent access to interplanetary space is essential to answering unsolved questions in heliophysics that form part of the main science questions of the Solar Orbiter and Parker Solar Probe missions. Plasma ejections are continuously observed in different forms, such as coronal mass ejections (CMEs), filament eruptions, or plasma jets. Among all these phenomena, plasma jets, defined as collimated plasma beams (for a review, see Raouafi et al. 2016), are of a particular interest since they are ubiquitous on the Sun. Indeed, they can be observed in active regions (ARs; e.g., Liu et al. 2004; Bain & Fletcher 2009; Krucker et al. 2011; Glesener et al. 2012; Odermatt et al. 2022), coronal holes (CHs; e.g., Savcheva et al. 2007; Subramanian et al. 2010; Sako et al. 2013) or even in the quiet Sun (e.g., Hou et al. 2021). Because of the nature of the jets, they are thought to be an important contributor in continuously supplying mass and energy to the upper solar atmosphere and being at the origin of solar winds (e.g., De Pontieu et al. 2011). Corona jets, which are plasma jets occurring in the corona, can be associated with solar flares and they can be observed
in extreme ultraviolet (EUV) as well as in X-rays (e.g., Krucker et al. 2011; Glesener et al. 2012; Musset et al. 2020). Despite coronal jets being widely observed, their formation mechanism is still under debate. Indeed, in recent decades, different triggering processes have been proposed. Initially, the interchange reconnection model based on emerging flux came into the limelight (Heyvaerts et al. 1977; Shibata et al. 1989, 1992; Yokoyama & Shibata 1996), in which open magnetic field lines reconnect with closed, emerging magnetic field lines. Herein, the hot jet, which has a temperature of the order of several MK, results from a fast shock produced near the reconnection site. As well as a hot jet, chromospheric ejections can be observed as cool jets or surges, often observed in Hα (Canfield et al. 1996). More recently, in contrast to the emerging flux model, minifilament eruption events have been proposed as drivers of the jet-producing reconnection (e.g., Moore et al. 2010; Sterling et al. 2015). Minifilament eruptions are the small scale versions of filament eruptions that initiate CMEs.

Hard X-ray (HXR) observations are of particular interest for investigating coronal jets associated with solar flares since they give a direct diagnosis of the acceleration of high-energy electrons via bremsstrahlung emissions. Magnetic reconnection, which releases free magnetic energy into various other forms of energy, is also responsible for the acceleration of high-energy electrons. Some accelerated electrons heat the ambient plasma to temperatures on the order of tens of MK and other electrons can escape along open magnetic field lines (for a review, see Fletcher et al. 2011; Benz 2017). Another interesting aspect of HXR observations is that it is possible to diagnose the efficiency of the mechanism for accelerating particles in terms of the energy transferred to nonthermal electrons. While microflares typically show steep HXR spectra, indicating that they are less efficient in accelerating high-energy electrons than larger flares (e.g., Stoißer et al. 2007; Hannah et al. 2008a; Inglis & Christie 2014; Warmuth & Mann 2016), there are also microflare observations showing significantly prominent nonthermal emission (e.g., Hannah et al. 2008b; Ishikawa et al. 2013). This suggests that (other than the dependency on flare size) there may be other factors that significantly influence the acceleration efficiency. Over the past two decades, several studies reported on the occurrence of flares exhibiting exceptionally prominent nonthermal emissions (Farnik et al. 1997; Sui et al. 2007; Lysenko et al. 2018), referred to as “early impulsive” flares. However, detailed studies on the morphologies of these events investigating the mechanism at the origin of the phenomenon are still lacking.

We report on the observations of the SOL2021-02-18T18:05 microflare of GOES A8 class above the pre-flare background that is associated with a coronal jet and prominent HXR nonthermal emission. In the following (Sect. 2), we describe the data analysis and the multi-instrument observations. The discussions of the observations in the framework of the interchange reconnection scenario are presented in Sect. 3. We give our summary and conclusions in Sect. 4.

2. Observations and data analysis

The Spectrometer/Telescope for Imaging X-rays (STIX, Krucker et al. 2020) aboard the Solar Orbiter spacecraft (Müller 2020) is designed to observe a wide range of solar flares in the energy range from 4 to 150 keV. While the diagnostic capabilities of STIX resemble those of its predecessor, namely, Reuven Ramaty High-Energy Solar Spectroscopic Imager (RHESSI, Lin et al. 2002), one of the advantages of STIX is the constant non-solar background during flaring timescales. This implies a more simplified detection and analysis of small events (Battaglia et al. 2021; Saqui et al. 2022), such as the microflare studied in the present work. Solar Orbiter’s deep-space trajectory results in STIX having a different view point of the Sun with respect to Earth, most of the time. During the observation of the SOL2021-02-18T18:05 microflare of GOES A8 class, Solar Orbiter was at a distance of 0.51 AU from the Sun with a separation angle to the Sun-Earth line of about 149° East. The different distance to the Sun of Solar Orbiter relative to Earth implies a different photon arrival time. Consequently, for all figures shown in this paper, the STIX times have been corrected by +239.9 s to take into account the shorter light travel time from the flare site to Solar Orbiter compared to Earth. As seen from Earth, the microflare was located close to the eastern limb, whereas from the Solar Orbiter vantage point, it was seen close to the western limb.

In addition to STIX observations, we also use data from the Extreme Ultraviolet Imager (EUI; Rochus et al. 2020) on board Solar Orbiter, the Solar X-ray Monitor (XSM; Shannya et al. 2020) on board the Chandrayaan-2 (Vanitha et al. 2020) satellite, the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AlA; Lemen et al. 2012) on board the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO; Pesnell et al. 2012), the Extreme Ultraviolet Imager (EUVI; Howard et al. 2008) on board the Solar Terrestrial Relations Observatory Ahead (STEREO-A; Kaiser et al. 2008), the X-ray Sensor (XRS; Hansen & Sellers 1996) of the Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES), the Greenland station of the Compound Astronomical Low frequency Low cost Instrument for Spectroscopy and Transportable Observatory (CALLISTO; Benz et al. 2009), and the telescope in Cerro Tololo, Chile, of the Global Oscillation Network Group (GONG; Harvey et al. 1996). In order to obtain the XSM time profiles at the nominal 1 s cadence, we used the XSM Data Analysis Software (XSM-DAS; Mithun et al. 2021) and we subsequently integrated them to reduce noise. We used L2 data products of the EU1/FSI (Full Sun Imager) 174 Å data, which were de-rotated by the roll angle in order to obtain the final image with solar north up. The XRS data are from GOES-17 and have been obtained through the GOES workbench within SSWIDL. The EUVI and AIA data have been calibrated using the standard software in SSWIDL secchi_prep.pro and aia_prep.pro, respectively. The AIA time profiles shown in Sect. 2.1 have been obtained by spatially integrating around the flare region only, excluding emission due to the propagating jet. The STIX light curves were instead derived from full-disk measurements. The GONG and CALLISTO data are available as standard data products, therefore, they have been applied as such.

2.1. Timing analysis

In order to understand the overall evolution of the microflare and the related coronal jet, the time profiles at different wavelengths are presented in Fig. 1. The dynamic radio spectrum in panel (a) shows type III radio bursts, which are a signature of propagating nonthermal electron beams that generate Langmuir waves at the local plasma frequency (for a review, see Reid & Ratcliffe 2014). The timing of the type III radio bursts correlates with the peak time that is observed in the STIX time profile of the 12–16 keV energy band in panel (d). This motivates the interpretation of the presence of a nonthermal component in the higher energies of STIX. The black dashed line indicates the profile used to deduce the drift rate, which is on the order of ∼20 MHz s⁻¹. Assuming the Newkirk density model profile (Newkirk 1961), where we accounted for the enhanced densities within ARs with
respect to the quiet Sun, we derived the speed of the radio burst source. For a burst observed at fundamental plasma emission, we estimated the upwardly directed speed to be of the order of \( \sim 70'000-90'000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) (or \( \sim 0.23-0.30 \text{ c} \)), which corresponds respectively to about 14 and 24 keV in electron energies, and the emission height to range from \( \sim 0.3 \) (at 100 MHz) to \( \sim 0.8 \) (at 70 MHz) \( R_\odot \) above the Sun surface. This confirms the type III nature of the radio burst. It is worth mentioning that the type III burst is seen to continue into interplanetary space, up to about 0.1 MHz, as observed by the Radio and Plasma Wave Investigation (WAVES; Bougeret et al. 1995) experiment aboard the WIND spacecraft. This confirms that the accelerated electrons escape into interplanetary space. No CME was reported by the LASCO CME catalog.

Another interesting aspect of the nonthermal observation resides in the similar peak time of the AIA 1600 Å emission with the STIX 12–16 keV time profiles. In the standard flare scenario, this is consistent with the accelerated electrons colliding with the much denser chromosphere. The interpretation of the slower decay of the AIA 1600 Å light curve with respect to STIX hard X-rays is that the decay of the AIA 1600 Å emission is dominated by the cooling of the plasma, whereas for STIX, as soon as the electron acceleration process and the almost instantaneous interaction with the ambient protons (producing nonthermal bremsstrahlung) ceases, the 12–16 keV light curve drops.

The time evolution of the microflare associated with the jet and the type III radio burst is shown in panel (b) with the GOES/XRS light curves and in panel (c) with STIX 6–7 keV, XSM and SDO/AIA. The lack of signal in the GOES 0.5–4 Å band may be due to the relatively high background during the flare interval. The difference in the peak time between the STIX low energy band, GOES and AIA is due to the sensitivity of the instruments to different plasma temperatures (Battaglia et al. 2021). Interestingly, all time profiles show pre-flare plasma heating (at about 18:03:30 UT), which indicates that the energy dissipation already started before the main nonthermal peak observed by STIX in the 12–16 keV emission profile.

In order to obtain the initial jet speed (see overview of the jet in Sect. 2.4) in the plane of the sky and estimate its onset time, we constructed a distance-time plot. To do so, we extracted the intensity along a straight line on the running difference maps (the location of the straight line is represented by the dashed segment in Fig. 2) and stacked together the intensities at different times. The distance-time plot shown in panel (e) has been obtained with AIA 304 Å running difference maps. The red line shows the linear fit to the peak emission of the outward moving jet and its slope corresponds to a linear speed of the jet in the SDO plane-of-sky of \( 247 \pm 26 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). The confidence interval of the speed, is represented with the red horizontal line at \( y = 0 \). We can observe that the confidence interval is consistent with the peak time in the STIX nonthermal profile. This suggests that particle acceleration and the creation of the jet are closely linked.

### 2.2. UV/EUV imaging analysis

The overall evolution of the EUV and UV images obtained with the SDO/AIA telescope is depicted in Fig. 2, where (as seen from Earth) the event occurs near the eastern limb. From left to right, we show the time evolution of the event, whereas from top to bottom we show the different selected wavelengths. Initially, from the first column, which represents a time frame approximately two minutes before the STIX nonthermal peak, no clear flare-related signal is observable in any of the maps. Afterwards, about 45 s before the STIX nonthermal peak, pre-flare heating occurs. This is consistent with the time profiles in Fig. 1, where signal is detected in the XSM, AIA, GOES and both

### Fig. 1. Time profiles of the event emissions at different wavelengths. Type III radio bursts observations of the CALLISTO station in Kangerlussuaq, Greenland, are given in panel (a), where the black dashed line represents the profile used to derive the drift rate. GOES/XRS temporal profiles are represented in panel (b). Panel (c) includes the light curves of the thermal emission observed by STIX (6–7 keV, black) and XSM (3–4 keV, orange), whereas in green and red the light curves of the AIA 94 Å and 304 Å, respectively. Panel (d) shows the nonthermal emission detected by STIX (12–16 keV, black) and the emission mostly coming from the chromosphere observable in the AIA 1600 Å band (light green). Finally, panel (e) represents the distance-time plot of the initial phase of the jet obtained from the running difference of the AIA 304 Å maps. The red line indicates the peak emission of the outward moving jet, corresponding to a speed in the plane-of-sky of \( 247 \pm 26 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). The vertical blue line, which indicates the peak time of the STIX nonthermal emission, nicely correlates with the origin of the jet that is indicated by the horizontal red segment at \( y = 0 \).
STIX light curves before the main nonthermal peak of the STIX 12–16 keV.

At the time of the STIX nonthermal peak, the AIA 1600 Å map shows the peak intensity on-disk, most likely coinciding with chromospheric heights. This is in agreement with the accelerated electrons depositing energy in the lower part of the atmosphere. However, since the event is observed near the limb, some of the emission could be blocked by absorbing foreground plasma. All other wavelengths reveal emission from higher altitudes indicating that the chromospheric heated plasma has expanded along the magnetic field lines. Since the EUV limb extends higher up with respect to the UV limb, it is expected that most of the chromospheric EUV emission is occulted. In addition, we note that at this time there is a secondary region peaking in the AIA 1600 Å map, at (x, y) ≈ (−885″, 385″). A possible interpretation may be that some upwardly accelerated electrons gained access to open field lines and escaped towards interplanetary space (see Sect. 2.1), whereas some upwardly propagating electrons turned back toward the solar surface due to the propagation along closed field lines. The latter would explain the appearance of this secondary source in the AIA 1600 Å maps. We refer to Sect. 2.4 for more details regarding the propagating electrons.

After the STIX thermal peak occurring at 18:04:40 UT, the top of the flare loop can be observed in the AIA 94 Å map. Again, here the occultation is likely to play a role, blocking the emission coming from the lower part of the loop. Since the AIA 94 Å filter has two temperature peaks, at ~1 MK and at ~7 MK, we extracted the emission from the hotter peak by approximating the Fe XVIII emission, as described in Del Zanna (2013). The fact that the 50% contours of the Fe XVIII line complex coincide with the 94 Å emission indicates that the temperature of the plasma mostly originates from the hotter peak in the response of the 94 Å band. Similarly, the loop-top can be observed in the 131 Å, 193 Å and 304 Å maps.

The most interesting (and never before reported) feature in the EUV observation is indicated by the black arrow. First of all, this corresponds to a location that lies above the top of the flare loop. Secondly, the coronal jet originates from this location and, indeed, it has been chosen to be the origin of the distance-time plot shown in Fig. 1. Moreover, this source is already present in the pre-flare heating phase and moves away from the solar surface in the course of time, with an average projected bulk speed of about 30 km s⁻¹. In the magnetic reconnection scenario, this is consistent with emerging closed field lines reconnecting with open field lines or large-scale loops (see Sect. 3), at
higher altitudes in the course of the time. Therefore, the source most likely outlines the location of the magnetic reconnection region.

A word of caution is in order here. We see no hints in the observations of the presence of a small-scale erupting filament as the trigger of the magnetic reconnection, as described by the mini-CME scenario (e.g., Moore et al. 2010; Sterling et al. 2015). This may be due to unresolved structures.

2.3. Spectroscopic analysis

The spectroscopic analysis for this small STIX flare is challenging. The detected flare signal is fainter than the instrumental background and contains only slightly over a thousand counts in total. The STIX science data used for the spectral fitting are the compressed pixel data (Krucker et al. 2020) that allows for detailed corrections to be applied to each pixel and detector separately. The software to convert the STIX data to a format readable by OSPEX is still under development and the version used here is from March 2022. In order to account for currently unknown systematic effects in the calibration, we assumed the existence of an additional source of error and added a 5% systematic uncertainty in quadrature to the errors from photon counting statistics.

In Fig. 3, we present a spectral fitting during the three phases, the pre-flare phase, the impulsive phase, and the time of the peak of the thermal emission.

During the pre-flare phase, counting statistics are extremely low, and the error bars are very large, accordingly. Nevertheless, a thermal fit gives a temperature of 12.6 ± 1.6 MK and an EM of (1.6±1.4)×10^{45} \text{ cm}^{-3}. The derived temperature is consistent with the detection of the pre-flare source in the AIA 131 Å image.

Around the nonthermal peak, different fit models have been checked. A purely isothermal fit does not represent the data reasonably well ($\chi^2 = 14.7$) and the resulting temperature is very hot (~36 MK). Using a double thermal fit, instead, results in a much better fit ($\chi^2 = 2.8$), but the temperature of the hotter component is extremely high and not plausible for an event of this size (~79 MK, i.e., an average electron energy of ~7 keV). An isothermal and standard thick-target fit model represents the data equally well ($\chi^2 = 3.0$) and gives more reasonable parameters, as shown in the following. Moreover, this model is consistent with the time correlation of the STIX higher energies with type III radio bursts, which are signatures of propagating electron beams (see Sect. 2.1). This motivates the interpretation of the presence of a nonthermal component in the higher energies of STIX. Such a model results in a temperature of 10.5 ± 0.7 MK and an EM of (1.3 ± 0.6)×10^{46} \text{ cm}^{-3}. We find that counts above 10 keV are mainly nonthermal and that the electron spectral index corresponds to $\delta = 3.9 ± 0.3$, which in the photon space corresponds to $\gamma = 2.9 ± 0.3$. Compared to the statistical microflare study by Hannah et al. (2008a), which shows a median photon index of 6.9, the presented slope is extremely flat, but not outside the previously published range. This microflare compares to the hard microflares reported by Hannah et al. (2008b) and Ishikawa et al. (2013), indicating that the process of accelerating particles in this event was particularly efficient. The low-energy cutoff in the thick target fit is only very poorly constrained, and the nonthermal energy content was thus calculated for a cutoff fixed at 10 keV. Consequently, the resulting electron flux of $F_0 = (1.9 ± 0.7) \times 10^{13} \text{ s}^{-1}$ has to be interpreted as a lower limit. The resulting lower limit of the nonthermal energy, obtained by integrating the nonthermal power over 33 s, namely, the duration of the nonthermal spectral interval, corresponds to (1.6±0.5)×10^{32} \text{ erg}. For the sake of completeness, we also tried to fit the spectrum with the warm thick-target fit and a similar power law and $\chi^2$ are obtained. However, the errors on the fitted warm target parameters are huge, that is, larger than the values themselves, since we are trying to constrain six parameters with 14 energy bins and with limited statistics.
Around the STIX thermal peak, an isothermal model with a temperature of $11.7 \pm 0.7$ MK and an EM of $(1.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{30} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ fits the data satisfactory, without the need for a nonthermal component.

As the errors on the fit thermal parameters are rather large, it is difficult to draw any meaningful conclusions on the temperature evolution in time. However, it is clear that pre-flare heating is significant since it heats plasma to roughly the same temperature as during the flare, but at a lower EM.

### 2.4. 3D properties of the propagating jet

By taking advantage of the different viewpoint of STEREO-A with respect to SDO, we combined the multi-vantage point observations to derive the 3D reconstructions of the propagating jet path and heights as well as its velocity using the tie-pointing and triangulation techniques (Thompson 2009; Inhester 2006). We identified identical features in SDO and STEREO-A images and used an algorithm of 3D reconstructions based on epipolar geometry, as described in detail in Podladchikova et al. (2019).

Figure 4 shows a sequence of AIA 171 Å (top) and STEREO-A/EUVI 171 Å (middle) running difference maps, where the colored triangles highlight the propagation of the coronal jet based on matching the same features on both AIA and EUVI images. The same triangles are plotted on top of three EUV maps (bottom), from left to right: STEREO-A/EUVI 171 Å, SDO/AIA 171 Å, and Solar Orbiter/EUI 174 Å, respectively. The bottom-right panel depicts the location of the three spacecraft at the time of the flare. The STIX reconstructed image has been over-plotted on the EUI map. For more details, we refer to Sect. 2.5.

What is striking about the visual representation of the jet trajectory is how different it appears from the different viewpoints. As seen from SDO, the collimated plasma beam appears to occur behind the loop and roughly along a straight line, whereas when it is observed by STEREO-A and Solar Orbiter, its trajectory is clearly curved. This highlights the significant influence of a certain viewpoint when analyzing 3D structures with 2D images. To gain a better perception of the trajectory of the propagating jet, Fig. 5 reports the jet characteristics identified from the 3D reconstructions. Panel (a) shows the evolution of the jet height above the solar surface, which sharply rises from around 18 to 82 Mm from 18:04:45 to 18:09:09 UT (264 s). Data taken at later times do not allow us to trace the leading edge of the jet reliably; thus, the corresponding estimations at times from 18:09:57 UT to 18:13:09 reflect the increase of inner parts of the jet from 87 to 106 Mm.

Panel (b) in Fig. 5 shows the estimated length of the jet determined from the base to the topmost part, along the jet trajectory. From 18:04:45 to 18:09:09 UT the jet length rises from around 30 to 113 Mm with a further gradual increase to 138 Mm reached at 18:13:15. Starting around 18:09:09 UT the intensity and signal-to-noise ratio of the jet front becomes weaker. As a consequence, at later stages, we might not identify the outermost part of the jet front, but parts that are located further inner of the jet instead. Therefore, at these later times the derived heights, lengths, and velocities may only be lower estimates of the jet front kinematics. For this reason, we derived the mean velocity of the jet only

---

**Fig. 4.** 3D reconstruction of the propagating coronal jet. The top and middle row show the running difference maps of the SDO/AIA 171 Å and the STEREO-A/EUVI 171 Å maps, respectively. The colored triangles indicate the same features seen from the two look directions for different times. The bottom row includes three EUV maps and one insert: from left to right, respectively, the maps of STEREO-A/EUVI 171 Å, SDO/AIA 171 Å and Solar Orbiter/EUI 174 Å, and a scheme depicting the locations of the three spacecrafts. On top of the EUV images, the same colored triangles represent the different line of sights (LoS) as seen from each individual vantage point. The black contours on top of the EUI map show the STIX thermal image. The magenta dot pointed by the arrow on top of the SDO/AIA map indicates the location of the remote enhancement.
over the time range 18:04:45–18:09:09 UT, where the jet front is identified clearly in the different instruments and the measurement values are thus more certain. We obtained the 3D velocity of the jet as $v \approx 312 \pm 40$ km s$^{-1}$, which is faster than the speed in the plane of sky deduced in Fig. 1. This is consistent with the fact that the projected 2D speed is only a lower limit for the true 3D velocity (Podladchikova et al. 2019).

Panel (c) in Fig. 5 shows the angle between the jet front and the radial direction determined at the base of the jet. As can be seen, during the jet evolution, the angle between the jet trajectory and the radial direction is continuously changing, indicating that the jet is not moving along a straight line. In panel (d), we visualize the change of direction of the jet trajectory with respect to the SDO viewpoint by calculating at each time step the angle between the vector formed from the jet front at time $t_i$ to the front at the following time step $t_{i+1}$ and the SDO plane of sky. It is seen that the angle between the local vector of the jet trajectory and SDO’s plane of sky changes steadily from $+41^\circ$ at 18:04 UT to $-43^\circ$ at 18:13 UT. This demonstrates that the jet is first moving outward across SDO’s plane-of-sky and then changing to moving inward. These findings suggest that the jet moves along a curved trajectory across SDO’s plane of sky. This behavior is not evident from the SDO observations in Fig. 4, as the curvature of the jet trajectory (which is clearly seen from the STEREO and Solar Orbiter view) is mostly perpendicular to the SDO plane of sky. However, as the highest measured point of the trajectory is still increasing in altitude, and factoring in that type III radio bursts indicate that some energetic electrons have escaped the Sun, it is most likely that the jet also escapes.

### 2.5. STIX HXR imaging

In the following, we introduce the STIX HXR imaging reconstruction of the microflare under investigation. For this event, we present an image in the thermal energy range only, since a robust nonthermal image reconstruction is not possible due to low counting statistics at higher energies.

On top of the EUI map in Fig. 4, the STIX reconstructed image in the energy range from 4 to 8 keV is shown with black contours (50, 70, and 90%). In order to have sufficient counts for the image reconstruction, we integrated in time for the entire flare duration, which resulted in a total of about 1100 counts above the non-solar background of about 2600 counts. For the reconstruction we only included the subcollimators 10 to 6 and we used the CLEAN algorithm (Högberg 1974), with a CLEAN beam width of 45 arcsec, which reflects the spatial resolution of subcollimators 6. In light blue, we indicate the error on the source location as deduced from the visibility forward fitting algorithm (VIS_FWDFIT, Volpara et al. 2022). A preliminary STIX aspect solution (Warmuth et al. 2020) has been applied, but the currently available accuracy is only accurate within about 30 arcsec. After the reconstruction of the STIX image, we co-aligned the STIX source with the brightest pixel, which should approximately indicate the location of the heated flare loop by a manual shift of 30 arcsec.

### 3. Interchange reconnection model

In the following, we discuss the interchange reconnection model in the framework of the observations reported in the previous section. The summary plot in Fig. 6 connects the cartoon in panel (g) describing the scenario with the actual observations of panels (a)–(f).

During the pre-flare phase, we observed enhanced emission at different wavelengths, which STIX showed to be hot at roughly the same temperature of the flare. This indicates the presence of heated plasma even before the main nonthermal peak at 18:04:07 UT. This heated plasma is likely not due to chromospheric evaporation, since in panels (d) and (e), it is clearly seen above the limb, unambiguously locating the source above the flare loop.

After the STIX thermal peak, it is possible to observe the flare loop-top (panel (f)) as well as the above-the-loop region of emitting plasma, as shown in panels (b) and (e). This above-the-loop region is in good agreement with the base of the coronal jet. Moreover, this region has moved with respect to its initial location, during the pre-flare heating phase in panels (a) and (d), towards higher altitudes by about 2600 km, which results in an average projected speed of about 30 km s$^{-1}$. In the case of the interchange reconnection model, the emerging closed field lines come into contact with open field lines first at a given altitude and afterwards, in the course of time, the reconnection occurs with field lines higher up in the atmosphere (e.g., Heyvaerts et al. 1977). Therefore, the emission that we observe above the flare loop may be the region where the electrons are accelerated, namely, at the reconnection site, as shown in panel (e). In such a case, the derived speed of the moving source is comparable to the values reported by previous studies (e.g., Krucker et al. 2003) for the coronal source detected in HXRs. However, for this event, nonthermal HXR imaging was not possible due to limited counting statistics. For the sake of completeness, in panel (c), the Hα map shows chromospheric plasma being ejected, which may be interpreted as cool jet or surge. This is also consistent with the interchange reconnection model.

### 4. Summary and conclusions

In this paper, we study the microflare SOL2021-02-18T18:05 of A8 GOES class associated with a coronal jet. This compelling
event highlights the importance of the interchange reconnection model in the release of accelerated particles into interplanetary space. It is characterized by different key aspects that we summarize in the following:

- A spectroscopic analysis by Solar Orbiter/STIX shows that this microflare has a prominent nonthermal component, considering that is an A GOES class event. The spectral index of the nonthermal fit with a power law index of $\delta = 3.9 \pm 0.3$ is rather hard compared to what is usually observed in microflares (e.g., Hannah et al. 2008a). However, such intriguing microflares have been reported before (Hannah et al. 2008b and Ishikawa et al. 2013). Nevertheless, this event is an extreme case where particle acceleration works exceptionally well – not a typical case.

- The hard X-ray flare is temporally associated with a radio type III burst, which indicates that some of the accelerated electrons escape upwards from the acceleration site. As the type III burst is seen down to $\sim 1$ MHz, it is clear that there are open field lines that connect the flare site into interplanetary space.

- The nonthermal emission is also clearly correlated in time with the escape of a jet seen in EUV. The tie-pointing method has been used to track the trajectory of the jet revealing a curved trajectory away from the Sun. The jet could be followed up to an altitude of $0.15 R_\odot$ above the solar surface, at which point the jet is still moving upward and away from the Sun. As the interplanetary type III burst indicates that energetic electrons are escaping the Sun, it is most likely that the case that also the jet is escaping.

- The most important new finding that complements the interchange reconnection picture is the discovery of a hot ($\sim 12$ MK), compact source in EUV that is seen above the main flare loop. The source already appears in pre-flare phase, and it is also seen in X-rays. As the source is above the flare loop, it cannot be produced by heated evaporated plasma, but it is, rather, the result of heating in the corona associated with the reconnection process. The source moves toward higher altitudes in time with a velocity of $\sim 30$ km s$^{-1}$, reflecting successive interchange reconnection at higher and higher altitudes. Furthermore, the source corresponds to the starting point of the jet. All these observational findings indicate that the EUV source outlines the energy release region around the reconnection site within the interchange reconnection model (see cartoon in Fig. 6(g)). The detection of this source is made possible as AIA sees the flare at the limb and the hot source is clearly seen above the limb, unambiguously locating the source above the flare loop. An on-disk view of this flare, would have made identification of this source very difficult due to projection effects, if not impossible.

This single event study using multi-vantage point observations further corroborate that interchange reconnection indeed is a viable candidate for particle acceleration in the low corona on field lines open to interplanetary space. The event under discussion here, however, is an extreme case where electron acceleration works very efficiently and it might not be representative for events with less efficient magnetic energy conversion into non-thermal particles. A statistical analysis of jets and hard X-ray is needed to answer the question of whether such extreme events should be considered as proxy for less intense events happening all the time and everywhere on the Sun and for events with lower efficiency in the acceleration process. As the nonthermal counts are already low for this prominent flare, such a study would likely suffer from a sensitivity issue in hard X-rays. In any case, the best approach for such a study is to use STIX data taken a few days around Solar Orbiter perihelion. For future individual event studies, the next step is to find a similar event for which the escaping field lines intersect with Solar Orbiter or Parker Solar Probe. For such an event, the derived flare-accelerated electron spectrum can be compared with in situ measured spectra to further determine whether interchange reconnection is indeed a main contributor to the escape of energetic electrons from the Sun.
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