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ABSTRACT

Context. Observations of the redshifted 21-cm line of neutral hydrogen (H\textsc{i}) are a new and powerful window of observation that offers us the possibility to map the spatial distribution of cosmic H\textsc{i} and learn about cosmology. Baryon Acoustic Oscillations from Integrated Neutral Gas Observations (BINGO) is a new unique radio telescope designed to be one of the first to probe baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO) at radio frequencies.

Aims. BINGO has two science goals: cosmology and astrophysics. Cosmology is the main science goal and the driver for BINGO’s design and strategy. The key of BINGO is to detect the low redshift BAO to put strong constraints on the dark sector models and test the ΛCDM (cold dark matter) model. Given the versatility of the BINGO telescope, a secondary goal is astrophysics, where BINGO can help discover and study fast radio bursts (FRB) and other transients, as well as study Galactic and extragalactic science. In this paper, we introduce the latest progress of the BINGO project, its science goals, describing the scientific potential of the project for each goal and the new developments obtained by the collaboration.

Methods. BINGO is a single dish transit telescope that will measure the BAO at low-$z$ by making a 3D map of the H\textsc{i} distribution through the technique of intensity mapping over a large area of the sky. In order to achieve the project’s goals, a science strategy and a specific pipeline for cleaning and analyzing the produced maps and mock maps was developed by the BINGO team, which we generally summarize here.

Results. We introduce the BINGO project and its science goals and give a general summary of recent developments in construction, science potential, and pipeline development obtained by the BINGO Collaboration in the past few years. We show that BINGO will be able to obtain competitive constraints for the dark sector. It also has the potential to discover several FRBs in the southern hemisphere. The capacity of BINGO in obtaining information from 21-cm is also tested in the pipeline introduced here. Following these developments, the construction and observational strategies of BINGO have been defined.

Conclusions. There is still no measurement of the BAO in radio, and studying cosmology in this new window of observations is one of the most promising advances in the field. The BINGO project is a radio telescope that has the goal to be one of the first to perform this measurement and it is currently being built in the northeast of Brazil. This paper is the first of a series of papers that describe in detail each part of the development of the BINGO project.
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1. Introduction

This is the first of a series of papers presenting the Baryon Acoustic Oscillations [BAO] from Integrated Neutral Gas Observations (BINGO) project. Here the project is presented from a theoretical point of view, showing the idea behind it as well as the plan of the whole construction of the BINGO radio telescope, which evolved from ideas previously discussed elsewhere (Battye et al. 2012, 2016; Wuenesco 2019; Wuenesco et al. 2020; Peel et al. 2019). We also outline the structure of the project as a whole. The companion Papers II through VII show the instrument (Wuenesco et al. 2022), the optics design (Abdalla et al. 2022), the mission simulation (Liccardo et al. 2022), the component separation analysis (Fornazier et al. 2022), the mock (Zhang et al. 2022), and forecasts on several models (Costa et al. 2022), respectively. The BINGO concept was presented in Battye et al. (2013) with later updates presented in Dickinson (2014), Bigot-Sazy et al. (2015), Battye et al. (2016), and Wuenesco (2019).

The BINGO project is a single dish (strictly, it revolves around a single dish telescope, see Paper II (Wuenesco et al. 2022) and Paper III (Abdalla et al. 2022) for further details) radio telescope aiming at the observation of the 21-cm line, corresponding to a hyperfine interaction of the atomic hydrogen. It will survey a sky area of 6000 square degrees in a redshift range from 0.127 to 0.449 (corresponding to a frequency span of 980 to 1260 MHz) with an angular resolution of ∼40 arcmin. The phase 1 of the project will have 28 feed horns and receivers...
with dual polarization. It is designed to have a system temperature of \( \approx 70 \) K (see, e.g., Battye et al. 2013; Bigot-Sazy et al. 2015). These numbers will be finalized depending on financial and technical achievements. We expect to increase the number of feed horns, up to 56, in phase 2, also updating the receivers aiming at a smaller system temperature.

Hydrogen is the most common element of the Universe. We assume that, barring bias, a hydrogen map is representative of the full matter content in the Universe. BINGO will be able to deliver detailed maps of the matter distribution in its redshift range. We can thus extract BAO measurements comparable to those in the optical observations (Eisenstein et al. 2005). Moreover, in view of the telescope characteristics, it will be able to describe phenomena at very short time scales, being thus an interesting device to study pulsars and fast radio bursts (FRBs; Lorimer et al. 2007, 2012).

The standard approach to probe the large scale structure (LSS) is to perform a large redshift survey. This yields the positions and redshifts of a large number of galaxies, that can be used to infer their density contrasts and two-point correlation functions. Essentially, galaxies are being used as tracers of the underlying total matter distribution. This approach has been successfully carried out in the optical band (e.g., SDSS, Blanton 2003), cluster counts (He et al. 2010) and supernova’s luminosity distance inference (Feng et al. 2008; He et al. 2011). To date, BAO have only been detected by performing large galaxy redshift surveys in the optical waveband. However, given the profound implications of these measurements, it is important that they are confirmed in other wavebands, where systematic effects are different, and measured over a wide range of redshifts. The radio band provides a unique and complementary observational window for the understanding of DE via the redshifted 21-cm H\( \text{I} \) emission line from distant galaxies (Abdalla et al. 2010).

Following the first detection of BAO using the 2dF and SDSS surveys (e.g., Eisenstein et al. 2005; Percival et al. 2010), BAO have been measured with several new generation galaxy and quasar redshift surveys. In particular, the 10 recent measurements with the BOSS survey achieved a precision in the BAO scale of a few percent in the redshift range \( z = [0, 2] \) (Anderson et al. 2014; Alam et al. 2017)\(^{1}\).

In the future, several large experiments designed to measure BAO’s in the optical band, such as DESI (DESI Collaboration 2016a,b), Euclid (Refregier et al. 2010), J-PAS (Benitez et al. 2009, 2014; Bonoli et al. 2021) and WFIRST (Levi et al. 2011; Green et al. 2011) (as well as LSST for imaging; Tyson et al. 2002), aim to achieve a precision below the percent level at higher redshifts. These experiments are large undertakings and will have their first light during the following decade or are already under commission, like the J-PAS telescope.

Radio H\( \text{I} \) IM experiments such as BINGO are very promising complementary routes to study BAO. Indeed, optical experiments are required to gather data with very high angular resolution (about an arcsecond) to detect individual galaxies, while the BAO scale is typically of the order of a degree, depending on the redshift in question. Radio BAO experiments on the other hand have angular resolutions that are well matched to the BAO scale performing the above mentioned experiments in a very cost-effective way. Also, an experiment such as BINGO will pave the way to the extension of the use of H\( \text{I} \) IM to high redshifts and open a new window on cosmological epochs, which cannot be probed in the optical or near-infrared bands. Moreover, since radio experiments use H\( \text{I} \) as opposed to stellar light as a tracer of the LSS, they are subject to different astrophysical effects.

IM experiments are more direct tracers of DM, being less sensitive to “peak statistics” bias and thus complementary to optical surveys, with which they can be combined to reduce systematic errors in both.

The main goal in the present project is to detect the BAO present in the maps as well as to map the 3D distribution of H\( \text{I} \), with observations spanning a few years. Extensive simulations of the expected BAO signal and of how it can be extracted from the observations are presented in the companion Papers IV and V (Liccardo et al. 2022; Fornasier et al. 2022). At frequencies below a few GHz the future of radio astronomy will be dominated by the ambitious SKA project that will constrain'

\(^{1}\) For a compilation of all the results from BOSS, see https://www.sdss.org/science/final-bao-and-rsd-measurements/
cosmological models (Maartens et al. 2015) with unprecedented accuracy. Its design has been driven by the aim to probe the HI 21-cm line over a broad range of radio frequencies. The high importance of the IM technique is highlighted by the decision to change the baseline design of the first phase of the SKA instrument to enable it to do IM (Square Kilometre Array Cosmology Science Working Group 2020). The SKA will use very similar techniques to ours and having developed bespoke analysis techniques, the knowledge involved in BINGO will be in a perfect tandem to our techniques, as land cleaning and topography, have already been carried out in the current site. The current design contemplates a control building located under the deck.

BINGO is a fixed transit telescope, employing the rotation of the Earth to observe the sky as it drifts across the instrument’s field of view (FoV), in the frequency band 980–1260 MHz. In doing so it meets the criterion of maximizing the observation time by revisiting the same patch of the sky every day. The BINGO optical design follows a crossed-Dragone configuration (Dragone 1978), with an instantaneous FoV of ~14.75° × 6.0° (Dec, RA), covered by 28 horns in a double rectangular, non-redundant array. The telescope structure is aligned north-south and the primary reflector points to 6° < δ < 15°. The final studies produced very clean optics, with low sidelobes and good cross-polarization rejection. The telescope focal length is 63 m, with a full beam of 0.67°, achieved with slight under-illumination of the secondary mirror to avoid stray radiation. Table 1 contains a summary of the BINGO main parameters, being a subset of Table 1 of Wuensche et al. (2022).

The engineering project for the focal plane allows the vertical, elevation and azimuth, and longitudinal displacement of all feed horns aiming toward a complete and more uniform coverage of the observed sky area. This focal arrangement and clean beam are essential for the full success of the BINGO experiment, as they allow the instrument to resolve structures corresponding to a linear scale of around 150 Mpc in the BINGO redshift range. The chosen declination strip also allows for overlapping with important optical surveys, such as DES, Pan-Starrs, LSST and 6dF. The details of the optical design are reported in Abdalla et al. (2022).

BINGO receivers will operate at room temperature in a correlation receiver (CR) mode to avoid gain variations and 1/f noise levels that might hamper its capability to detect the HI signal. The CR radiometer chains were initially measured in Manchester and later at INPE, and we expect to operate at nominal $T_{sys} \lesssim 70$ K. The prototypes for the front end, including feed horns, polarizers and magic tees were fully manufactured and successfully tested in Brazil. The design, construction and testing of the prototype corrugated horn and polarizer are described in Wuensche et al. (2022).

The telescope is being built in a very isolated area in Aguiar, Paraíba, northeastern Brazil (Lat: 7° 2′ 29″ S Long: 38° 16′ 5″ W), in an area surrounded by hills, with very small nearby population and hardly any mobile signal in the surroundings. A request for a “radio-quiet” zone has been placed to the local authorities and to ANATEL, the Brazilian regulator agency for telecommunications. The site selection process and RFI measurements are described in Peel et al. (2019). Figure 1 presents an artist’s view of the telescope. The picture displays a west-east view (left-right) of the site, with the sheltering hill in the background and the access road ending in a service deck. The current design contemplates a control building located under the deck.

BINGO is funded by several Brazilian and Chinese agencies. From the Brazilian side, the major supporter is FAPESP, with 60% of the funds. China and the UK have also contributed in terms of parts and components.

As far as the project timeline is concerned, soil moving services, as land cleaning and topography, have already been carried out. The project received a green light to go ahead after a major project review in July 2019. We had a positive report from five panels, including two foreign specialists. The engineering project for the telescope structure, as well as optical project, have been completed, along the main receiver prototype. Some of the construction aspects were impacted in 2020 due to the Covid-19 pandemic, but we had a major advance in early 2021 regarding terrain prospection, roadwork, and the area cleaning.
3. Scientific goals I: cosmology

In this section we describe the HI IM signal for cosmology and how it can be used as a tool to measure BAO and RSDs. As a new observation, plenty of work has to be done to understand the foregrounds and systematics involved in the experiment, leading to the development of simulation and analysis pipelines. This work is important to guarantee that BINGO measurements can indeed place competitive constraints on cosmological parameters. With these tools, we show how the BAO and RSD measured from BINGO can be used to constrain cosmological models and test extensions of the $\Lambda$CDM model (Costa et al. 2022).

The aim of this section is to present the 21-cm signal and the corresponding HI angular power spectrum. Then using the Fisher matrix formalism we obtain forecasts for several extensions of the $\Lambda$CDM model and alternative cosmologies, such as dynamical DE, interacting DE and modified gravity. Bounds on the sum of neutrino masses and on the 21-cm parameters are also presented. For further details interested readers can find more information in the companion Paper VII (Costa et al. 2022).

### 3.1. The HI intensity mapping signal and power spectrum

The 21-cm line emission from HI is a technique already used for many years in astronomy to measure the rotation curves of galaxies (Huchtmeier 1975; Bosma 1981; Corbelli et al. 1989; Puche et al. 1991; Olling 1996). Since hydrogen is the most abundant component in our Universe, measuring its 21-cm emission line can be used as a tracer of the LSS. This is the idea of the 21-cm HI as a cosmological probe. However, after reionization there is little hydrogen left in neutral form. HI is mostly hosted inside dense clouds in damped Ly-α (DLA) systems, that provides shielding to preserve the HI neutral, with optically-thin Ly-α absorbers in low-density regions being responsible for a small part of the signal (Prochaska et al. 2005; Curran & Webb 2006). Inside those hosting clouds, the excited energy level is more populated given that they have higher temperatures than the energy difference between the hyperfine lines, although below the DLA transition energy.

Since the wavelength is redshifted by the expansion of the Universe, we have a precise determination of the redshift of the emitting source. We can thus map the distribution of the HI in the Universe at different redshifts, performing a tomographic analysis that allows studying the evolution of the matter distribution. This precise and free measurement of the redshift allows for a precise determination of the RSD signal. These features make 21-cm IM surveys very efficient for determining RSDs.

The IM technique measures the full intensity field, the brightness temperature, from the 21-cm emission. In the Rayleigh-Jeans limit and at low redshifts, the brightness temperature can be calculated as the sum of all the emitted photons, received by an observer along the line of sight. In a Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) universe in the absence of perturbations, the brightness temperature is given by (Hall et al. 2013)

$$ T_b(z) = \frac{3}{2\pi k_B} \frac{(2\pi\hbar c)^3 n_{HI} A_{21}}{E_{21}^2(1+z)H(z)}, $$

where $E_{21} = 5.88 \mu$eV is the energy of a 21-cm photon, $A_{21} \approx 2.869 \times 10^{-15}$ s$^{-1}$ is the spontaneous emission coefficient, $n_{HI} = \rho_{HI}/m_H$ is the number density of HI atoms of mass $m_H$, $\rho_{HI}$ the HI average energy density. For a homogeneous and isotropic

![Fig. 1. BINGO telescope site – artist’s view. In this figure we show the hill and the use of the natural inclination of the terrain to place mirrors and horn structure. In this conception the control cabin is located under the service deck.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{pix}}$ (K)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower frequency cutoff (MHz) $- f_{\text{min}}$</td>
<td>980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher frequency cutoff (MHz) $- f_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>1260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency band – $B$ (MHz)</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of redshift bands $^{(a)}$</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated sensitivity $^{(b)}$ (µK)</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of circular polarizations</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal length (m)</td>
<td>63.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of feed horns (Phase 1)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary dish main diameter (m)</td>
<td>~40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary dish main diameter (m)</td>
<td>~35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal plane area (m$^2$)</td>
<td>18.6 × 7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instantaneous focal plane area (deg)</td>
<td>14.75 × 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optics FWHM (deg, at 1.1 GHz)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pixel solid angle (sq deg) – $\Omega_{\text{pix}}$</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telescope area (m$^2$) – $A_{\text{int}}$</td>
<td>1602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telescope effective area (m$^2$) – $A_{\text{eff}}$</td>
<td>~1120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telescope azimuth orientation (deg) – 0 (North)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary mirror declination pointing (deg) – 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declination strip – instantaneous (deg) – 14.75°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full survey area – 5 yr(square deg)</td>
<td>~5324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission duration (Phase 1) – 5 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duty cycle (estimated, Phase 1) – 60–90% of the time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes.** $^{(a)}$Assumed for science simulations, operational value will be downsampled from the digital backend output. $^{(b)}$Computed for 1 pixel, full 280 MHz band, 1 year of observations and a 60% duty cycle.
background energy density, we have \( \rho_{\text{HI}}(z) = \Omega_{\text{HI}}(z) \rho_{\text{crit}}(1 + z)^3 \), where \( \Omega_{\text{HI}} = \rho_{\text{HI}}/\rho_{\text{crit}} = 3M_0^2 H_0^2 \) is the critical energy density and \( M_0 \) is the reduced Planck mass. The brightness temperature is thus given by

\[
\tilde{T}_b(z) = 46 \mu K \left( \frac{\Omega_{\text{HI}}(z) H_0}{2.45 \times 10^{-4}} \right)^{(1 + z)^2} E(z),
\]

where \( H_0 = 100 \, h \, \text{km s}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}^{-1} \) and \( E(z) = H(z)/H_0 \). This expression describes the evolution of the brightness temperature with redshift, given a specified cosmology.

The perturbed regime can be obtained considering the metric expanded in the conformal Newtonian gauge,

\[
ds^2 = a^2(\eta) \left[ (1 + 2\Psi(\eta, x))d\eta^2 - (1 - 2\Phi(\eta, x))d\chi^2 \right],
\]

where the functions \( \Phi \) and \( \Psi \) determine the space-time gravitational potentials. In the presence of perturbations, the brightness temperature receives corrections coming from \( n_{\text{HI}}(z, \vec{n}) = \bar{n}_{\text{HI}}(1 + \delta_n) \), from the change in the redshift measured by the observer in this perturbed metric and the perturbed conformal time \( \delta \eta (z, \vec{n}) = \eta_i + \delta \eta \) around the unperturbed value \( \eta_i \). To quantify these changes to first order, we expand the observed brightness temperature,

\[
T_b(z, \vec{n}) = \bar{T}_b(1 + \Delta T_b(z, \vec{n})),
\]

where the mean brightness temperature \( \bar{T}_b \) is given in Eq. (2) and \( \Delta T_b(z, \vec{n}) \) is the first-order fractional perturbation to the brightness temperature. Taking into consideration all the corrections, the first-order fractional perturbation of \( T_b \) is given by

\[
\Delta T_b(z, \vec{n}) = \delta_n - \frac{1}{H} \hat{\eta} \cdot \nabla \eta + \left( \frac{d \ln(a^2 \bar{n}_{\text{HI}})}{d \eta} - \frac{\dot{H}}{H} - 2\dot{\chi} \right) \delta \eta + \frac{1}{H} \Phi + \Psi.
\]

This expression is fully relativistic and each term in this expression corresponds to a different physical effect (Hall et al. 2013). The first term is the perturbation of the HI density from discrete sources that host the HI in Newtonian gauge. The second term is the RSD term that comes from peculiar velocities of the sources; the third term encodes the effects of the zero-order brightness temperature calculated at the perturbed time of the observed redshift, and the last two terms are related to the integrated Sachs-Wolf (ISW) effect and conversion between increments in redshift to radial distances in the gas frame, respectively.

Now, we are ready to define the HI power spectrum. In many papers in the literature of 21-cm HI IM, the 3D k-space power spectrum is used (see e.g., Bull et al. 2015). However, there are many advantages of using a tomographic 2D angular power spectrum that are especially important for the BINGO science goals, survey strategy and the way the experiment is designed. Among those advantages is the fact that this approach is independent of the cosmological model, since it is not necessary to assume a cosmology to convert into distance. It also allows the inclusion of lensing effect and wide angle correlations, and it can be easily used to make cross correlations with other LSS tracers (for a discussion of the advantages see Shaw & Lewis 2008; Di Dio et al. 2014; Tansella et al. 2018; Camera et al. 2018). We evaluate the HI angular power spectra from the first order brightness temperature fluctuations. First, we decompose the brightness temperature fluctuation in spherical harmonics,

\[
\Delta T_b(z, \vec{n}) = \sum_{\ell m} \Delta T_{b, \ell m}(z) Y_{\ell m}(\vec{n}).
\]

We then Fourier transform the coefficient \( \Delta T_{b, \ell m}(z) = 4\pi i \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \Delta T_b(k, z) Y_{\ell m}^*(\vec{k}) \) and re-write the brightness temperature perturbation as (Hall et al. 2013)

\[
\Delta T_b(k, z) = \delta_n j_l(k\chi) + \frac{k v}{H} j_l' (k\chi) + \frac{1}{H} \Phi + \Psi j_l (k\chi)
\]

\[
- \left( \frac{1}{H} \frac{d \ln(a^2 \bar{n}_{\text{HI}})}{d \eta} - \frac{\dot{H}}{H} - 2 \right) \Psi j_l (k\chi) + v f_k (k\chi) + \int_0^\infty (\Psi + \Phi) f_j (k\chi') d\chi'.
\]

Here, \( \chi \) is the conformal distance to redshift \( z \), \((\cdot)'\) denotes the derivative with respect to \( k\chi \) and \( f_j \) is the spherical Bessel function, ignoring the monopole and dipole terms.

We thus define the tomographic angular power spectrum,

\[
C_l(z_i, z_j) = 4\pi \int d\ln k P_R(k) \Delta T_b^W(k, z_i) \Delta T_b^W(k, z_j),
\]

where in \( \Delta T_b^W(k, z) = \int d\chi T_b(k, z) W(z) \Delta T_b(k, z) \) we integrate the temperature fluctuation over the redshift bin with the normalized window function \( W(z) \). The angular power spectrum \( \Delta T_b^W(k, z) / R(k) \) is the transfer function divided by the primordial curvature perturbation \( R(k) \), with dimensionless power spectrum given by \( P_R(k) \).

### 3.3. Constraining cosmology

The BAO are signatures in the matter distribution from the recombination epoch and have become a prime cosmological probe currently in use. They are based on small oscillations in the photon baryon cosmic fluid that are imprinted on matter perturbations after matter and radiation decouple at \( z \approx 1090 \) and show up in the distribution of galaxies at all redshifts. The scale of these oscillations (\( \approx 150 \, \text{Mpc} \)) is fixed in coming coordinates and can be used as a standard ruler to measure the geometry of the Universe, thus probing the effects of DE (Percival et al. 2010; Beutler et al. 2011; Anderson et al. 2014; Delubac et al. 2015). In Fourier space the BAO feature appears as wiggles in the power spectrum. Figure 2 shows the BAO wiggles in the HI angular power spectra for the two redshift boundaries of BINGO.

The RSD is one of the most powerful probes of the rate of growth of structure (Costa et al. 2017). We have to consider \( f \sigma_8 \), where \( f = -d \ln(\delta) / d \ln(1 + z) \) is the growth rate and \( \sigma_8 \) measures the amplitude of the (linear) power spectrum on the scale of \( 8 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc} \). RSD effects are apparent when we probe observables in 3D space, where the radial (comoving) distance is determined by the observed redshift. It receives contributions from peculiar velocities and makes the redshift-space clustering anisotropic. 21-cm IM experiments are specially good to determine the RSD signal given its precise method for determining the redshift. Including RSD information leads to the breaking of degeneracies between parameters and the improvement in the constraint of many cosmological parameters, as we show below.
Alternative cosmological models are usually based on either introducing GR (Copeland et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2016). Each of those classes encompasses many different models with different properties and parameters. To distinguish between those models, new and precise measurements of the expansion history and structure growth are necessary, which is the aim of BINGO.

BINGO will be particularly powerful to improve the constraints on current cosmological parameters in combination with other experiments, such as optical surveys and CMB measurements. This will allow to put tighter constraints on extensions of the ΛCDM model, which are competitive with current and future LSS experiments. We have forecast BINGO capacity in several different scenarios in the companion Paper VII (Costa et al. 2022). The forecast was done using the Fisher matrix formalism considering the instrumental setup as described in Table 1 but for an observational time of 1 year (and sky coverage with Galactic mask of 2900 deg) (Costa et al. 2022), and fiducial cosmological parameters listed in Table 2. In this section, we highlight the main results.

### 3.3.1. ΛCDM model

The ΛCDM model is the basis for the current description of the evolution and composition of our Universe. This phenomenological model is our concordance model in cosmology, exhibiting exquisite agreement with current precise large scale observations. We assume here that the Universe is spatially flat and seeded by adiabatic nearly scale invariant perturbations. This model is composed of baryons, radiation, and two unknown components that are responsible for the majority of the energy budget of the Universe today, DM and DE. In this model, DM is the component responsible for the clustering of perturbations and the formation of structures, and it is described in the hydrodynamical limit by a cold, pressureless fluid that is at most weakly interacting with baryons, the CDM. DE is the component responsible for the acceleration of the Universe and it is compatible, in the ΛCDM model, with a cosmological constant.

Such a simple and successful model is parametrized by only six parameters:

\[
\{ \Omega_b h^2, \Omega_c h^2, \tau, A_s, n_s, h \},
\]

where \( \Omega_i \) are the baryonic (\( i=b \)) and CDM (\( i=c \)) density parameters today, \( \tau \) is the reionization optical depth, \( A_s \) is the amplitude of the primordial curvature perturbation, \( n_s \) is the spectral index of scalar perturbations and \( h \) is the Hubble parameter, defined through the Hubble constant \( H_0 \) by \( H_0 = 100 h \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1} \). These parameters can be constrained at the level of percent to subpercent by current cosmological observations (Planck Collaboration VI 2020). For 21-cm probes, we have to consider two additional parameters in the analysis describing the H\( i \) signal: the H\( i \) density parameter and the bias, \( \Omega_{HI} \) and \( b_{HI} \), respectively.

Although BINGO alone does not provide competitive constraints on the ΛCDM parameters as compared to Planck (Planck Collaboration VI 2020), its combination with Planck measurements can improve the cosmological fits, especially in the Hubble constant and matter density (by \( \sim \)25%). This breaking of the degeneracy present in the Planck data is not new and has been discussed extensively in the data including in Planck Collaboration VI (2020) and the main reason for it is the presence of a distance indicator such as the BAOs in the nearby Universe. Besides, BINGO can help solving the tension in \( H_0 \) between Planck and low redshift measurements (Riess et al. 2019).

### 3.3.2. Dynamical dark energy

In the ΛCDM scenario, the current acceleration of the Universe is explained by the introduction of a cosmological constant, also interpreted as a component with equation of state equal to \( w = p/\rho = -1 \). Although simple and well motivated observationally, this description is challenging from a theoretical point of view.

Moreover, recent data from low redshift measurements present tensions when compared with Planck results under the ΛCDM scenario. This motivates the search for alternative models to explain the current acceleration (Copeland et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2016). Those models consider that DE can be a dynamical component. The simplest phenomenological modification from the cosmological constant is a dynamical model where the equation of state is a constant, \( w = w_0 \), which is now a free parameter, called the wCDM model. Our forecast

---

1 Spatial flatness can be relaxed.
2 Sometimes, instead of \( h \), the acoustic angular scale \( \theta \) is used.
shows that BINGO alone could already constrain this parameter with a better precision than Planck $(\sigma_{w_0}^{\text{BINGO}} = 17\%$ against $\sigma_{w_0}^{\text{Planck}} = 26\%)$. The combination of BINGO and Planck has shown to be very powerful to constrain $w_0$, with $\sigma_{w_0}^{\text{combined}} = 3.3\%$, an improvement of more than 87\% in the constraint of the DE equation of state.

In general, we can consider that the equation of state varies with time (or with the scale factor). Although there are more sophisticated models leading to that behavior, we can probe the evolution of $w(z)$ in a phenomenological way by parametrizing its evolution, as (Chevallier & Polarski 2001; Linder 2003)

$$w(z) = w_0 + w_a \frac{z}{1 + z},$$

known as the CPL parametrization. This model is also called the $w_0w_z$CDM model and has two extra free parameters, $w_0$ and $w_a$, changing the normalized Hubble parameter (see companion Paper VII, Costa et al. 2022). Even tough we introduce two new parameters, the model is well behaved for small redshifts and bounded for high redshifts, which allows a manageable exploration of its parameter space. One of its advantages is that it can be used in many scalar field models of DE and, in this sense, it is a quite general description of a dynamical DE component. Answering the question whether DE is evolving is one of the biggest questions in cosmology, thus testing these models with BINGO is an important goal.

In Figs. 3 and 4 we show the 68\% and 95\% confidence level constraints on the Hubble constant and DE equation of state parameters using BINGO, Planck and BINGO + Planck, for the dynamical DE model ($wCDM$).

3.3.3. Modified gravity

The two new components, DM and DE, have motivated extended versions of the standard theory, such as Brans-Dicke, Horndeski and $f(R)$ gravity. They generally predict a different perturbational dynamics. Scalar perturbations on the FLRW background are described in the Newtonian gauge by Eq. (3) with the Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2 \Psi = -4\pi G a^2 \mu(a,k) \rho \Delta.$$

The parameters $\mu(a,k)$ and $\gamma(a,k) = \frac{k}{a}$ parametrize deviations from GR, and are $\gamma = \mu = 1$ in GR.

To forecast constraints on modified gravity models, in the companion Paper VII (Costa et al. 2022) a specific form for the parametrization above is considered, which is related to $f(R)$ theories of gravity. The $B_\gamma$-parametrization of $f(R)$ gravity provides a good approximation on quasi-static scales (Hu & Sawicki 2007; Giannantonio et al. 2010; Hojjati et al. 2012) and is expressed as

$$\mu(a,k) = (1 - 1.4 \times 10^{-8}|\lambda|/\text{Mpc}^2 a^3)^{-1} \left(1 + 4.1k^2 a^4/3\right),$$

$$\gamma(a,k) = 1 + 2.4k^2 a^4/3,$$

where $B_\gamma \equiv 2H_0^2 k^2$. Considering the $\Lambda$CDM parameters plus $B_\gamma$, we obtained the constraints

$$\sigma_{B_\gamma} = 3.1 \times 10^{-5} \quad (\text{BINGO})$$
σ_{B_0} = 5.3 \times 10^{-2} \quad \text{(Planck)} \quad (15) \nonumber

σ_{B_0} = 1.1 \times 10^{-5} \quad \text{(BINGO + Planck)} \quad (16) \nonumber

BINGO alone can already put a better constraint on B_0 as compared to Planck and the combination of the two surveys can improve it by ~64%.

3.3.4. Interacting dark energy

It has also been proposed that DM and DE interact (Amendola 2000; Zimdahl & Pavon 2001; Chimento et al. 2003). Such interacting models are challenging because of the unknown nature of both components: it becomes hard to describe the origin of such an interaction from first principles. Despite that, many different models have been studied in the literature (for a review see Wang et al. 2016).

These models are generally described by the divergence of the energy-momentum tensor of the dark sector components, \( \nabla_{\mu} T^{\mu}_{\nu} = Q_{\nu}^{\mu} \). The quadri-vector \( Q_{\nu}^{\mu} \) provides the energy-momentum transfer between DM and DE, such that the total conservation law is still obeyed, \( \sum Q_{\nu}^{\mu} = 0 \).

In order to forecast the power of BINGO in constraining those kinds of models, we consider a phenomenological interaction described by the DM-DE energy transfer as

\[
Q = 3H(\xi_{\text{dm}} \rho_{\text{dm}} + \xi_{\text{de}} \rho_{\text{de}}),
\]

where \( \xi_{\text{dm}} \) and \( \xi_{\text{de}} \) are the coupling constants. Here we present the general results for these models, while we refer readers to the more detailed study of the BINGO forecasts in our companion Paper VII (Costa et al. 2022).

We can consider two distinct situations: an interaction proportional to the DM density or an interaction proportional to the DE density. Because of regions of instabilities, the last one should be analyzed in two different regions, \( w > -1 \) and \( w < -1 \) (He et al. 2009, 2011). BINGO will provide complementary constraints on the interacting DE parameters and the equation of state. This will help break the degeneracy between them and provide additional information to the CMB data. Considering the BINGO fiducial setup in combination with Planck data, we obtain

\[
- Q = 3H(\xi_{\text{dm}} \rho_{\text{dm}}),
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_w &= 0.078, \\
\sigma_{\xi_{\text{dm}}} &= 0.0007. 
\end{align*}
\]

\[
- Q = 3H(\xi_{\text{de}} \rho_{\text{de}}) \quad \text{and} \quad w > -1: 
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_w &= 0.047, \\
\sigma_{\xi_{\text{de}}} &= 0.018. 
\end{align*}
\]

\[
- Q = 3H(\xi_{\text{de}} \rho_{\text{de}}) \quad \text{and} \quad w < -1: 
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_w &= 0.056, \\
\sigma_{\xi_{\text{de}}} &= 0.015. 
\end{align*}
\]

The combination with BINGO improves the constraints from Planck by at most ~81% for the DE EoS \( Q \propto \rho_{\text{de}}, \rho \) and ~77% for the interacting parameter \( Q \propto \rho_{\text{de}}, \rho \). Recently, Bachega et al. (2020) have analyzed these models with the latest Planck data and in combination with current BAO and SNIa data. Our results from BINGO + Planck put competitive constraints to those obtained combining Planck with five optical BAO data from 6dFGS (\( z = 0.106 \)), SDSS-MGS (\( z = 0.15 \)), and BOSS data release 12 (\( z = 0.38, 0.51, 0.61 \)), or combining Planck with the Pantheon SNIa data.

3.3.5. Massive neutrinos

We know today that neutrinos leave detectable imprints on the LSS of our Universe. This knowledge comes from results on neutrino oscillations experiments that show that neutrinos are massive, as a consequence of their oscillation between flavors (Fukuda et al. 1998; Ahmad et al. 2002).

Given their small mass and large thermal velocities, neutrinos can free stream away from dense regions before recombination and wash away structures on small scales, which can be measured as a suppression in the matter power spectrum. Although this effect is small, it can already be measured by current experiments and nowadays, with the precision that cosmological experiments have, it is necessary to take into account the effect of massive neutrinos. The upper limit on the total neutrino mass from combining Planck temperature and polarization with BAO data is \( \sum m_{\nu} < 0.13 \text{eV} (95\% \text{CL}) \) (Planck Collaboration VI 2020). Future experiments have the goal to improve those bounds.

The presence of massive neutrinos affects cosmology, which is sensitive to its mass and number of massive species. This change in the components of our cosmology modifies the angular diameter distance to last scattering. This can be probed using the angular scale of the CMB first peak. However, this measurement is degenerate with the density of DE and \( H_0 \) (Oliivi et al. 2018). Using LSS data, as the BAO peaks of the HI power spectrum, can help reducing this degeneracy and improve the limits on the total mass of neutrinos.

Including massive neutrino seems to be a necessary extension to ΛCDM. In this way we include the \( \sum m_{\nu} \) as an extra free parameter and forecast the improvement BINGO will bring to the bounds of such a parameter. We estimate that BINGO in combination with Planck data will be able to further constrain the total neutrino mass as

\[
\sigma_{\sum m_{\nu}} < 0.14 \text{eV} \quad (95\% \text{CL}).
\]

This upper bound is slightly higher than the one obtained by the Planck Collaboration VI (2020). Therefore, BINGO will provide data through a different tracer and can impose competitive constraints on the sum of the neutrino masses.

3.3.6. Redshift space distortions

In this work, we have not considered disentangling the contribution of RSD from the HI power spectrum and extracted the growth rate yet, nor its subsequent performance to constrain the cosmological parameters. However, in the companion Paper VII (Costa et al. 2022), we have analyzed the impact RSD has on the total angular power spectra and how this affects our final constraints. First, including RSD information leads to the breaking of degeneracies between the primordial scalar amplitude \( A_s \) and the HI bias. Second, Fig. 5 shows how the constraints on several cosmological parameters improve adding information from RSD as a function of the number of bins.

3.3.7. HI history

The BINGO telescope will not only constrain the cosmological parameters as described in the previous sections, but it will also help shed light on the HI evolution and distribution. Using the 21-cm line of neutral hydrogen as a tracer of the underlying matter distribution requires the knowledge of the HI mean density and its bias. The simplest model assumes that they are constant, parametrized by \( \Omega_{\text{HI}} \) and \( b_{\text{HI}} \). Therefore, together with
the cosmological parameters, BINGO will constrain these two additional H\textsubscript{i} parameters. However, \( \Omega_{\text{HI}} \) and \( b_{\text{HI}} \) are strongly correlated. As we discuss above, we also have a complete degeneracy between \( A_{\text{s}} \) and \( \Omega_{\text{HI}} \) and \( b_{\text{HI}} \). For this reason, in order to constrain these quantities independently we need external information. We show the constraints in \( \Omega_{\text{HI}} \) and \( b_{\text{HI}} \) in Fig. 6, where we used BINGO in combination with Planck. RSDs information can also help break this degeneracy, not only between \( A_{\text{s}} \) and \( \Omega_{\text{HI}} \), but also between \( \Omega_{\text{HI}} \) and \( b_{\text{HI}} \). In general, those parameters evolve with time and their measurement describes the \( \text{HI} \) history.

In the companion Paper VII, the ability of BINGO to constrain the \( \text{HI} \) density and bias is considered under several circumstances. In Fig. 6 the marginalized constraints on these two parameters is presented assuming they are constant over the BINGO redshift range.

3.3.8. Systematic effects

One of the main challenges of 21-cm experiments and of the BINGO project are astrophysical contamination and systematic effects. Systematic effects, present in the observed \( \text{HI} \) signal might affect the constraints on cosmological parameters that the BINGO telescope can obtain. The main systematics affecting BINGO and any single-dish 21-cm experiment are 1/f noise, standing waves, atmospheric effects and RFI. Residuals from foreground removal will also be present and can strongly impact the measured \( \text{HI} \) signal. These systematic and foreground effects are explained in Sect. 5 in detail, together with the work made in the BINGO pipeline to mitigate them and their impact in recovering the \( \text{HI} \) signal (see also Olivari et al. 2018).

4. Scientific goals II: astrophysics

Although the BINGO telescope is mainly designed to characterize BAO, it is an experiment that is versatile enough to allow for further scientific goals. The exceptionally long integration times and spectral stability will enable it to make important contributions in other fields, such as transient objects science, with the newly discovered and exciting FRBs and pulsars, as well as Galactic science.

4.1. Transient objects science

The dynamic radio sky includes astrophysical phenomena that emit pulses in the radio frequency with a large variety of temporal and spectral features. The period range is from microseconds to a few minutes. Sometimes they can repeat, sometimes they are single events. The spectral index may show wide variations. Also diverse are the source objects, from neutron stars to magnetars (basically highly magnetized and rotating neutron stars) and flare stars. A better understanding of these phenomena will be profitable to many astrophysical and cosmological research fields, since they are related to non conventional emission mechanisms. They could be used as probes of the intergalactic medium (IGM), indicating the presence of matter and magnetic fields along the line of sight. The new member of this family, the most recently discovered, are the so called FRBs. We present here the capabilities of the BINGO telescope in studying such transients.

4.1.1. Fast radio bursts

Discovered in 2007 (Lorimer et al. 2007), FRBs are bright bursts (0.05–100 Jy) of radio photons of unknown origin (see Pen 2018; Platt et al. 2019; Popov et al. 2018; Petroff et al. 2019 for recent reviews), lasting from some microseconds to a few seconds (80 µs–5 s). The radio waves are dispersed by free electrons along the line-of-sight between the source and the observer, thus the time delay of photons with different frequencies can be measured. This time delay is proportional to the dispersion measure \( \int n_e dl \) (where \( n_e \) is the number of electrons per volume and \( l \) is the distance along the line-of-sight), and it depends on the observed frequencies \( \nu_1 \) and \( \nu_2 \) as \( \Delta t = e^2/(2\pi n_e c)(\nu_2^2-\nu_1^2) \int n_e dl \), where \( m_e \) is the electron mass. The dispersion measure may have contributions from the vicinity of the FRBs source, the host galaxy and its halo, the IGM, and the Milky Way and its halo.

There are so far over 100 detected FRBs in a frequency range between 300 MHz and 8 GHz (Gajjar et al. 2018; Chawla et al. 2020) with dispersion measure approximately ranging from 100 pc cm\(^{-3}\) to 2600 pc cm\(^{-3}\), where a few of them...
showed repeated bursts (Spitler et al. 2016; CHIME/FRB Collaboration 2019; Kumar et al. 2019) and two appear to be periodic (Amiri et al. 2020; Rajwade et al. 2020). Although their origin is still a mystery, most of them are extragalactic, with only one having been produced within the Milky Way by a magnetar (CHIME/FRB Collaboration 2020). Due to their still uncertain origin, several explanations have been proposed (Platts et al. 2019), including, but not limited to, mergers and interactions between compact objects (such as neutron stars, black holes and white dwarfs), active galactic nuclei and supernovae remnants. Due to the distinct repeating feature of some FRBs, it is possible that non repeating and repeating FRBs do not share the same common progenitor.

Additionally, FRBs can be very useful to various applications in cosmology and astrophysics, such as constraining cosmological parameters (Zhou et al. 2014; Yang & Zhang 2016; Walters et al. 2018; Li et al. 2018; Yu & Wang 2017; Wei et al. 2018; Zitrin & Eichler 2018), testing the Weak Equivalence Principle (Wei et al. 2015; Tingay et al. 2015; Shao & Zhang 2017; Yu et al. 2018; Bertolami & Landim 2018; Yu & Wang 2018; Spitler et al. 2016; Wu et al. 2018; Spitler et al. 2013), estimating the photon mass (Xing et al. 2019; Wu et al. 2016; Wei et al. 2017; Bonetti et al. 2016, 2017; Wei & Wu 2018), studying the properties of the IGM (Deng & Zhang Wu 2016; Wei et al. 2015; Tingay et al. 2015; Shao & Zhang 2017; Wei et al. 2014; Zhang 2014; Akahori et al. 2016; Fujita et al. 2017; Shull & Danforth 2018; Ravi 2019), and compact DM (Muñoz et al. 2016; Wang & Wang 2018), among others (Linder 2020; Landim 2020).

Several telescopes have been detecting FRBs, such as the Parkes Radio Telescope (Lorimer et al. 2007), the Australian Square Kilometre Array Pathfinder (ASKAP; Bannister et al. 2017), the Green Bank Telescope (GBT; Masui et al. 2015), the UTMOST telescope (Caleb et al. 2017), the Arecibo Observatory (Spitler et al. 2014), the Canadian Hydrogen Intensity Mapping Experiment (CHIME; CHIME/FRB Collaboration 2018), the Apertif on the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (Connor et al. 2020), among others.

BINGO has the potential to detect FRBs. Here we show an estimate of the detection rate for the BINGO telescope. The flux density depends on the frequency as \( S(\nu) = S_0 \nu^{\alpha} \), where \( \alpha \) is the spectral index and can be either positive or negative. The minimum detectable flux density for a flat spectrum (\( \alpha = 0 \)) is

\[
S_{\text{rms}} = \frac{S}{N} \frac{K T_{\text{sys}}}{G \sqrt{n_p \Delta \nu \tau}}. \tag{25}
\]

where \( T_{\text{sys}} \) is the system temperature, \( G \) is the forward gain (in units of K Jy\(^{-1}\)), \( K = \sqrt{2} \) for a correlation receiver, \( n_p \) is the number of polarizations, \( \Delta \nu \) is the bandwidth, \( \tau \) is the sampling time and \( S/N \) is the signal-to-noise ratio.

For a general spectral index the detectable flux density \( S_{\text{peak}} \) is the peak flux density \( S/N T_{\text{sys}}/(G \sqrt{n_p \Delta \nu \tau}) \), which is written in terms of the bolometric luminosity \( L_{\text{bol}} \) as (Lorimer et al. 2013)

\[
S_{\text{peak}} = \frac{1}{4 \pi d_L(z)^2} \frac{L_{\text{bol}}}{v_2 - v_1} \left( \frac{v_1^{1+\alpha} - v_2^{1+\alpha}}{v_2 - v_1} \right). \tag{26}
\]

where \( d_L(z) \) is the luminosity distance, \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) are the observed frequencies, and \( v_{\text{high}} \) and \( v_{\text{low}} \) are the highest and lowest frequencies, respectively, in which the source emits, as seen by the observer.

For a general spectral index the received power delivered by an antenna is

\[
P_{\text{rec}} = \frac{1}{2} A e \int v_1^{\nu_2} S_0 d\nu, \]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} A e S_0 \frac{\nu_1^{1+\alpha} - \nu_2^{1+\alpha}}{1 + \alpha}, \tag{27}
\]

while the Johnson–Nyquist noise power remains the same as in the case of flat spectrum

\[
P_{\text{noise}} = k_B T_A (\nu_2 - \nu_1), \tag{28}
\]

where \( T_A \) is the antenna temperature. Using the radiometer equation

\[
S/N = \frac{T_A}{T_{\text{sys}}} \frac{\Delta \nu}{\nu}, \tag{29}
\]

the total flux density for \( n \) channels is

\[
S_{\text{tot}} = \frac{S/N_{\text{tot}} K T_{\text{sys}}}{G \sqrt{n_p \Delta \nu \tau}}, \tag{30}
\]

where the total \( S/N \) is given by

\[
S/N_{\text{tot}} = S/N \frac{v_2 - v_1}{v_1^{1+\alpha} - v_2^{1+\alpha}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{p=1}^{n} \nu_p^{2\alpha}. \tag{31}
\]

The factor \( \sqrt{n} \) comes from the division of bandwidth by the number of channels \( n \) and

\[
\nu_p \equiv v_1 + \left( p - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta \nu. \tag{32}
\]

The behavior of \( S/N_{\text{tot}}/S/N \), from Eq. (31), is shown in Fig. 7 for the BINGO telescope. Since the peak flux density is also given by Eq. (25) the relation between \( S_{\text{peak}} \) and \( S_{\text{tot}} \) is

\[
S_{\text{tot}} = \frac{S/N_{\text{tot}} S_{\text{peak}}}{S/N}. \tag{33}
\]

Having determined the flux density for a given survey through Eq. (25), the detection rate of FRBs can be calculated using the same approach as Luo et al. (2018, 2020), where the

\[
\text{Fig. 7. Deviation of Eq. (31) from S/N (for a flat spectrum) as a function of the spectral index } \alpha, \text{ for different number of channels } n. \text{ It can be seen that the total S/N is only } \sim 1.5 \text{ times larger for very large spectral index } [\alpha].
\]
Fig. 8. FRB detection rate per day (34) as a function of the FoV and the minimum detectable flux density. Following Luo et al. (2020) we show the BINGO detection rate along with other surveys: Arecibo (Spitler et al. 2014), ASKAP (Shannon et al. 2018), CHIME (CHIME/FRB Collaboration 2018), FAST (Jiang et al. 2020), GBT (Masui et al. 2015), MeerKAT (Bailes et al. 2020), Parkes (Keith et al. 2010), UTMOST (Caleb et al. 2016) and Tianlai (Li et al. 2020). The BINGO detection rate is shown by the dot within its logo. The minimum flux density (corresponding to $S/N = 1$) is 0.41 Jy and the FoV is 10.5 deg$^2$.

Schechter function (Schechter 1976) was assumed as the distribution of the FRBs luminosity and the intrinsic FRB width distribution is given by a log-normal function (Connor 2019)

$$\lambda(\Omega, S_{\text{peak}}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\Omega \int_{0}^{\infty} dz_{\text{c}} \frac{r(z)}{1 + z} E(z) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\log(S_{\text{peak}}) - \log(S_{\text{min}})}{\sigma_{S}}} \frac{d\log w_i}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_S^2}}$$

$$\times \int_{\min}^{\infty} \phi^*(\frac{L}{L^*}) e^{\frac{L - L^*}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_L^2}}} d\log L_i,$$

(34)

where $r(z)$ is the comoving distance, $w_i = w_0/(1 + z)$, $w_0$ is the observed pulse width, and the upper cut-off luminosity $L^*$ and the normalization $\phi_*$ are parameters constrained in Luo et al. (2020). The integral of the Schechter function gives the complete gamma function $\phi^*(1 + \gamma, L_{\text{min}}/L^*)$, where a (Gaussian) beam response has already been integrated out (Luo et al. 2020). The minimum luminosity is given by the $L_{\text{min}} = \max(L_0, L_{\text{bol}})$, where the bolometric luminosity is given by Eq. (26) and we take the cut-off luminosity to be $L_0 = 9.1 \times 10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Luo et al. 2020).

Using the constrained parameters found in Luo et al. (2020) ($L^* = 2.9 \times 10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$, $\phi_* = 339$ Gpc$^{-3}$ yr$^{-1}$, $\gamma = -1.79$, $\mu_{\text{ho}} = 0.13$ and $\sigma_r = 0.33$), $\gamma_{\text{max}} = 6$, $w_0 = 1$ ms and $S/N = 5$ we show the detection rate for a flat spectrum for the BINGO telescope in Fig. 8, along with the corresponding rates for other surveys. Those rates are also given in Table 3 with different S/Ns.

In Fig. 9 we estimate the detection rate for BINGO, for a range of spectral indices between $-5 \leq \alpha \leq +15$ for three values of S/N. The highest and lowest frequencies ($v_{\text{high}}$ and $v_{\text{low}}$) are chosen as $v_{\text{high}} = 1400$ MHz and $v_{\text{low}} = 400$ MHz to give illustrative results of the impact of the spectral index on the detection rate. The case $\alpha = 0$ recovers the results presented in Fig. 8 (Luo et al. 2020). According to the Schechter luminosity function, populations with larger luminosities are more suppressed than the ones with smaller luminosities, thus the detection rate (as seen in Fig. 9) is smaller for more negative spectral indices, since they lead to larger luminosities. In order to investigate the impact of different S/Ns on the detection rate, we calculated the rate $\lambda_{\text{high}}/\lambda_0$ for two other frequency ranges, such that their differences are still 1 GHz: $v_{\text{high}} = 1260$ MHz and $v_{\text{low}} = 260$ MHz, and $v_{\text{high}} = 1980$ MHz and $v_{\text{low}} = 980$ MHz. For the lower frequency band ($v_{\text{high}} = 1260$ MHz and $v_{\text{low}} = 260$ MHz) the peak flux density (26) is higher for positive spectral indices than its corresponding value for the flat spectrum ($S_{\text{peak},\alpha<0} < S_{\text{peak},\alpha=0}$), while for the higher frequency band ($v_{\text{high}} = 1980$ MHz and $v_{\text{low}} = 980$ MHz) the peak flux density is higher for negative spectral indices ($S_{\text{peak},\alpha>0} > S_{\text{peak},\alpha=0}$). Increasing the peak flux density increases the detection rate as well because given a S/N threshold a signal that would be smaller than this threshold now becomes detectable.

Table 3. Mean time elapsed between two detections (in hours), for the surveys presented in Fig. 8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey</th>
<th>$S/N \geq 3$</th>
<th>$S/N \geq 5$</th>
<th>$S/N \geq 10$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHIME</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeerKAT</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>61.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTMOST</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>135.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASKAP</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>210.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBT</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>112.2</td>
<td>207.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BINGO</td>
<td>112.4</td>
<td>189.8</td>
<td>398.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAST</td>
<td>133.5</td>
<td>201.2</td>
<td>352.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkes</td>
<td>223.3</td>
<td>351.4</td>
<td>662.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tianlai</td>
<td>245.1</td>
<td>463.9</td>
<td>1139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arecibo</td>
<td>799.1</td>
<td>1212.3</td>
<td>2150.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9. Detection rate for the BINGO telescope for different spectral indices and S/Ns, normalized to the corresponding values for $\alpha = 0$.  

In order to achieve the capability of resolving FRB spectral signatures, BINGO will need a complementary setup in the digital backend setup with an integration time of $0.05-0.1$ ms (much shorter than what is needed for intensity mapping) and 1024–2048 output channels. This fine resolution is needed for RFI flagging and data reduction. After the data cleaning and FRB searching process, data channels can be binned in the nominal value of 30, enough for BAO data analysis. The localization of FRBs on the sky will be possible using outrigger telescopes and it will be explored in future work.
4.1.2. Pulsars

Pulsars are rapidly spinning highly magnetized neutron stars that emit beams of electromagnetic waves. They take their name from their periodic emission caused by the rotation frequency of the radiation beam in our line of sight, like a lighthouse. In most cases, the pulse profile is extremely stable, both in form and in the arrival phase at the rotational frequency. This stability allows for the precision timing of pulsars, with remarkable applications (Beskin et al. 2015).

A fixed position in the sky will take ~28 min to pass over the focal plane of BINGO. However, due to the sparse configuration of the horns (see Figs. 4 and 5 of Wuenhse et al. 2022), considering the areas with responses higher than 50% of the peak gain, a fixed position will pass over for ~40 arcmin accumulated, which corresponds to ~3 min and is taken as the integration time for one-day observation in the following estimations.

Assuming that BINGO will have buffers large enough to store the pulsar data within 3 min, the sensitivity of pulsar detection (S_{pulsar}) can be estimated via

\[ S_{pulsar} = \frac{S}{N} \frac{\sqrt{2T_{sys}}}{G \sqrt{n_p \Delta \nu \tau}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (35)

where \( T_{sys} = 70 \text{ K} \) is the system temperature, \( G = 0.32 \text{ K Jy}^{-1} \) is the gain, \( n_p = 2 \) is the polarization number, \( \Delta \nu = 280 \text{ MHz} \) is the bandwidth, and \( \tau = 3 \text{ min} \) is the integration time. This gives a sensitivity of 2.92 and 4.87 mJy with \( S/N \) equals 3 and 5, respectively. In the BINGO FoV (strip between Dec = 0 deg to Dec = -25 deg), there are 372 known pulsars in the Australia Telescope National Facility (ATNF) pulsar catalog\(^5\) (Manchester et al. 2005). We estimated their fluxes at 1.1 GHz (the mid-frequency of BINGO) using a power-law fitting to their existing flux data in other frequencies, or a spectral index of \(-0.6\). The observational result from BINGO will help to improve the sensitivity of pulsar detection of 103 and 207 known pulsars in the FoV, respectively. We could at least study their pulse shape and polarization, although flux estimation would be difficult. With the hydrogen maser, precise pulsar timing could be done with the brightest pulsars as well. The millisecond pulsars among them would contribute to the pulsar timing array for the detection of the gravitational waves at nHz, which might be generated from supermassive black-hole binaries at a cosmological distance (Wang & Mohanty 2017), and measure the gravitational constant as well as examine the gravitational theory (e.g., Hobbs & Dai 2017).

4.2. Galactic science

Four satellite missions were designed to map CMB fluctuations: Relikt (1983) (Strukov & Skulachev 1984; Strukov et al. 1993), COBE (1989–1993) (Bennett et al. 1992), WMAP (2001–2010) (Gold et al. 2011) and Planck (2009–2013) (Planck Collaboration IV 2020). Three of them (COBE, WMAP, Planck) produced, as part of their ancillary science data, sets of maps with Galactic synchrotron, bremsstrahlung, and dust emission (unpolarized and some polarized). The lower frequencies where these satellites operated (22, 30, 37, 40, 44, 53, 70 GHz) cover the frequency band of minimum Galactic contribution to CMB measurements.

However, around 1 GHz, where BINGO will operate, there will be a clear predominance of synchrotron emission dominating the Galactic foreground contribution (Berkhuijsen 1972; Reich & Reich 1986; Reich et al. 2001), seconded by free-free and then, depending on the chosen model, by Anomalous Microwave emission (AME) (Dickinson et al. 2018). Although BINGO will not map a vast area of the sky, we expect to collect data that can contribute to cross-check observations of ongoing sky mapping experiments.

For instance, at 1465 MHz, a frequency close to the BINGO band, the total sky brightness has been mapped in two adjacent 60-degree declination bands with the Galactic emission mapping (GEM) experiment, which employs a portable 5.5-m parabolic reflector to survey the radio continuum of the sky in decimeter and centimeter wavelengths (Tello et al. 2000). The GEM experiment also mapped the sky at 2.3 GHz (Tello et al. 2013). BINGO will add well-calibrated data to the Galactic microwave spectrum, contributing to a better determination of the spectral index of the synchrotron emission at the low-frequency microwave region.

\(^5\) https://www.atnf.csiro.au/research/pulsar/psrcat/
4.3. Extragalactic science – associated H\text{I} absorption

Associated H\text{I} absorption is detected against bright radio continuum emission sources with a compact core, like active galactic nuclei (AGNs) and nuclear starbursts, due to absorption by H\text{I} clouds within the continuum sources themselves. They are some of the best objects to study the interplay of AGN and stellar feedback on the interstellar medium of galaxies (Morganti & Oosterloo 2018). There are less than 100 known detections of associated H\text{I} absorption even with targeted searches, especially at $z > 0.25$ (e.g., Roberts 1970; Dickey 1986; van Gorkom et al. 1989; Carilli et al. 1998; Gallimore et al. 1999; Moore et al. 1999; Pihlström et al. 2003; Vermeulen et al. 2003; Morganti et al. 2005; Gupta et al. 2006; Chandola et al. 2011, 2013; Darling et al. 2011; Allison et al. 2012, 2014; Geréb et al. 2015; Maccagni et al. 2017; Aditya & Kanekar 2018a,b; Aditya 2019).

In a H\text{I} IM survey such as BINGO, the bright radio continuum emission sources will typically be flagged out at the data reduction stage. But given the high sensitivity of such surveys, many of the radio continuum sources observed by them will have detectable associated H\text{I} absorption in them. Roychowdhury et al. (2019) specifically calculated the expected number of associated H\text{I} absorptions that will be detected with BINGO (see their Appendix B for details). For the full survey, they expect the total number of H\text{I} absorption detections will be almost twice the number known today. Given the low spatial resolution of BINGO, each such detection should ideally be followed-up by interferometric observations. Thus BINGO can provide targets to follow-up for the existing SKA precursors like ASKAP and MeerKAT, which are also observing the southern sky.

5. Simulations and data analysis pipeline

The BINGO simulation pipeline is an ongoing effort, being developed alongside the instrument construction, covering input modules for sky emission models and experiment characteristics, a mission simulation module, production of time ordered datasets (TOD), calibration and cleaning in the time domain, reduction stage. But given the high sensitivity of such surveys, the extragalactic, point source emission. Foregrounds removal and component separation methods have been explored by the Planck Collaboration (X 2016) and here we use some of these techniques.

The Galactic foreground is mainly constituted by four components. The main contribution comes from synchrotron emission from relativistic cosmic ray electrons interacting with the Galactic magnetic field, producing a power law that dominates the Galactic foreground at the BINGO frequencies. Results from the WMAP team present strong evidence of a flattter spectral index in the plane, steepening with Galactic latitude (Bennett et al. 2013). Typically, it is expected that the synchrotron spectral index varies from $\beta_s \approx 2.6$ in most of the galactic plane to $\beta \approx 3.1$ in the halo around 1 GHz (Bennett et al. 2003).

The second strongest contribution comes from free-free emission caused by electrons scattering off ions in the interstellar medium. The H\text{I} emission line is usually used to trace the free-free emission as they both depend on the emission measure $EM = \int n_e^2 dl$ (Dickinson et al. 2003). The free-free spectral index is a slowly varying function of frequency with a slight dependence on the local value of $T_e$ (Bennett et al. 1992). At 1 GHz and considering $T_e = 7000$ K as a typical value for the electron temperature, the spectrum has a temperature spectral index of $\beta_{\ell} - 2.1$. Both synchrotron ($C_{\ell}^{\text{synch}}$) and free-free emission ($C_{\ell}^{\text{free-free}}$) can be seen to dominate the signal power spectrum, together with the contribution from strong radio sources, in Fig. 11.

Anomalous microwave emission (AME) produced by the electric dipoles in the small spinning dust grains (Leitch et al. 1997; Draine & Lazarian 1999) is one of the dominant foregrounds in the frequency range of 10–60 GHz (Dickinson et al. 2018; Planck Collaboration X 2016). AME is not an important contaminant to the 21-cm in BINGO frequency range.

The observed brightness temperature of the sky, $T_{\text{sky}}(\nu, \mathbf{x})$, at frequency $\nu$ and direction $\mathbf{x}$, is composed by several different sources (Wilson et al. 2013; Condon & Ransom 2016)

$$
T_{\text{sky}}(\nu, \mathbf{x}) = T_{\text{gal}}(\nu, \mathbf{x}) + T_{\text{fg}}(\nu, \mathbf{x}) + T_{\text{CMB}}(\nu, \mathbf{x}) + T_{\text{adam}}(\nu, \mathbf{x}) + T_{\text{cosmo}}(\nu, \mathbf{x}),
$$

(36)

where $T_{\text{gal}}$ is the diffuse Galactic radiation, $T_{\text{fg}}$ is the emission from extragalactic sources, $T_{\text{CMB}}(\nu, \mathbf{x})$ is the CMB temperature, $T_{\text{adam}}(\nu, \mathbf{x})$ is the atmospheric emission, and $T_{\text{cosmo}}$ is the cosmological H\text{I} emission. We briefly describe their contributions to the sky module below.

5.1. Sky module

5.1.1. H\text{I} emission

The synthetic H\text{I} maps used in the BINGO simulation pipeline are produced in two ways. One of them is to use the FLASK (Full-sky Log-normal Astro-fields Simulation Kit) package (Xavier et al. 2016). FLASK uses as input the angular auto- and cross-power spectra, $C_{ij}(\ell)$, calculated for each of the $i$ and $j$ fields and redshifts, to produce two-dimensional tomographic realizations (spherical shells around the observer) of an arbitrary number of random astrophysical fields, reproducing the desired cross-correlations between them, derived either from a multivariate Gaussian distribution or a multivariate log-normal distribution.

An option to using FLASK as the H\text{I} input data is to simulate 21 cm IM mock maps, based upon $N$-body simulations and assuming all the H\text{I} gas is contained within galaxies or dark matter halos. We used the $N$-body simulation Horizon Run 4 (HR4; Kim et al. 2015), which consists of 6300$^3$ particles in a cubic box of volume 3150$^3$ Mpc$^3$ $h^{-3}$ to produce a full sky mock map using the halo and galaxy light cone catalog where the halo mass resolution is $2.7 \times 10^{11} M_h h^{-1}$. We use the HI HOD model and abundance matching to generate the full sky 21 cm IM mock map. The details of the mock catalog production are discussed in companion Paper VI (Zhang et al. 2022).

The project plans to combine the fast log-normal realization with FLASK and the more optimal $N$-body simulation mock for pipeline testing.

5.1.2. Galactic foregrounds

It is well known that the faint H\text{I} signal expected to be detected in IM experiments will be dominated by different astrophysical foregrounds, broadly classified in Galactic diffuse emission and the extragalactic, point source emission. Foregrounds removal and component separation methods have been explored by the Planck Collaboration X (2016) and here we use some of these techniques.

The Galactic foreground is mainly constituted by four components. The main contribution comes from synchrotron emission from relativistic cosmic ray electrons interacting with the Galactic magnetic field, producing a power law that dominates the Galactic foreground at the BINGO frequencies. Results from the WMAP team present strong evidence of a flattter spectral index in the plane, steepening with Galactic latitude (Bennett et al. 2013). Typically, it is expected that the synchrotron spectral index varies from $\beta_s \approx 2.6$ in most of the galactic plane to $\beta \approx 3.1$ in the halo around 1 GHz (Bennett et al. 2003).

The second strongest contribution comes from free-free emission caused by electrons scattering off ions in the interstellar medium. The H\text{I} emission line is usually used to trace the free-free emission as they both depend on the emission measure $EM = \int n_e^2 dl$ (Dickinson et al. 2003). The free-free spectral index is a slowly varying function of frequency with a slight dependence on the local value of $T_e$ (Bennett et al. 1992). At 1 GHz and considering $T_e = 7000$ K as a typical value for the electron temperature, the spectrum has a temperature spectral index of $\beta_{\ell} - 2.1$. Both synchrotron ($C_{\ell}^{\text{synch}}$) and free-free emission ($C_{\ell}^{\text{free-free}}$) can be seen to dominate the signal power spectrum, together with the contribution from strong radio sources, in Fig. 11.

Anomalous microwave emission (AME) produced by the electric dipoles in the small spinning dust grains (Leitch et al. 1997; Draine & Lazarian 1999) is one of the dominant foregrounds in the frequency range of 10–60 GHz (Dickinson et al. 2018; Planck Collaboration X 2016). AME is not an important contaminant to the 21-cm in BINGO frequency range.
Fig. 10. Flowchart of the BINGO simulation pipeline.

Fig. 11. Angular power spectra (up to $\ell = 10^3$) of the foregrounds described above, compared with the signal for the central BINGO frequency band (1125 MHz) ($C_{21\ell}^{40}$), considering a sky coverage of about 3500 square degrees ($f_{\text{sky}} \approx 8.7\%$).

being subdominant with power spectrum well-below the one from signal. The same applies for the thermal dust emission from dust grains heated by interstellar radiation field (Planck Collaboration XXV 2011). Between 980 and 1260 MHz, thermal dust and AME ($C_{AM}^{MA}$) contributions are well below the $H_i$ signal ($C_{21\ell}^{40}$), where the $H_i$ power spectrum was obtained from maps convolved with a 40 arcmin beam. Their contribution in the BINGO central frequency is also shown in Fig. 11.

5.1.3. Extragalactic point sources and CMB
Extragalactic emission in the BINGO band mainly comes from discrete radio point sources. They comprise all radio emissions from astrophysical objects, such as the inhomogeneous mix of radio galaxies, quasars, star-forming galaxies, etc. Strong sources whose emission can be well characterized by a power-law in the radio band can be identified and masked out during the analysis, essentially eliminating their contribution to the incoming signal.

There is also a diffuse contribution from weaker, unresolved, radio sources that is indistinguishable from the Galactic, spatially continuous, foreground. It contaminates the incoming signal to an intensity level slightly lower than the Galactic free-free emission for lower ($\ell \leq 100$) multipoles and overcoming the Galactic synchrotron emission for higher ($\ell \geq 300–400$) multipoles. It is expected to be significant at high Galactic latitudes, but can be simulated as another diffuse background and combined with the other continuous foreground emissions. The contribution of the faint radio point sources in the power spectrum ($C_{FRPS}^{\ell}$) can be seen in Fig. 11.

The CMB, with a mean temperature today of $T_{\text{CMB}} \approx 2.72548$ K (Fixsen 2009), contributes to the $H_i$ signal with its temperature fluctuations $\Delta T / T \sim 10^{-5}$, being a relevant foreground at $\approx 1$ GHz. Even though it is not the main contaminant for the 21-cm signal, its temperature power spectrum ($C_{CMB}^{\ell}$) is still higher than the 21-cm power spectrum ($C_{21\ell}^{40}$) for the multipole range up to $\ell \approx 300$ for the BINGO central frequency band as shown in Fig. 11.

A detailed description of the sky simulations, including the foreground models, can be found in the BINGO companion Papers IV and V (Liccardo et al. 2022; Fornazier et al. 2022).

5.2. Mission simulation
This module takes as input the simulated sky maps (HEALPix maps) described in Sect. 5.1 and reproduces the observation processes to create TODs. The mission simulation includes various instrument information, such as receiver temperature, focal length, horn coordinates in the focal plane, number of receivers, beam profile, number of frequency channels, thermal and 1/f noise, noise power amplitude, correlations among different channels etc. More details on the parameters used in the simulations can be found in the companion Paper II (Wuensche et al. 2022). Different horn configurations, mission duration and different foreground combinations have been tested and are discussed in the companion Paper IV (Liccardo et al. 2022).

5.2.1. Beam profiles
A useful simulated sky map is produced by convolving the frequency-dependent beam response pattern with the sky signal...
creating a TOD as similar to the real data as possible. The BINGO observing strategy of letting the sky drift across the beam makes the beam summations much simpler, effectively it occurs in only one direction. Frequency response is currently modeled with a Gaussian beam, with plans to use the beam profile measured for the prototype horn (Wuensche et al. 2020) in future works. At this point we have not implemented polarization effects yet (leakage etc.).

5.2.2. Instrumental noise

Thermal noise in the TOD can be accurately modeled in the simulations from a Gaussian white noise distribution. The stochastic variations in the amplifier voltage are characterized by the receiver system temperature $T_{\text{sys}}$ using the radiometer equation (e.g., Wilson et al. 2013). BINGO receivers are also subject to gain variations, which, incorporated to the radiometer equation, is presented in the form (Burke et al. 2019)

$$\sigma_N = K T_{\text{sys}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau \Delta \nu} + \left(\frac{\Delta G}{G}\right)^2},$$

where $\Delta G$ describes the stochastic variations in the mean gain. $\sigma_N$ is the minimum noise variation that can be detected in the TOD, $\tau$ is the receiver sampling rate and $\Delta \nu$ is the receiver bandwidth. For the BINGO correlation receiver, $K = \sqrt{2}$.

The $1/f$ noise is a different phenomenon from thermal noise, being a form of correlated noise present in radio receiver systems, caused by small gain fluctuations (see, e.g., Maino et al. 1999; Seifert et al. 2002; Meinhold et al. 2009). It appears in the data as large scale spatial fluctuations that are not trivial to separate from the true underlying sky signal. The removal of $1/f$ noise has also become an area of research that has resulted in several advanced map-making methods, discussed in Sect. 5.3.

We model the $1/f$ contribution as (Harper et al. 2018)

$$P(\nu) = \sigma^2 \left[1 + \left(\frac{\nu}{\nu_k}\right)^{\nu_k}\right],$$

where $P(\nu)$ is the spectral power of each temporal mode, $\sigma$ is the radiometer sensitivity as described by Eq. (37), $\nu$ is the sampling frequency, $\nu_k$ is the temporal knee frequency, in which the correlated noise and white noise contribute to the signal with the same level of power, and $\nu$ is the spectral index of the correlated noise. Typically, the spectral index will vary between 1 (usually referred to as “pink noise”) and 2 (“brown noise”). Simulations allow the analysis of the effects of white noise, knee frequency and $1/f$ spectral index variations during a given mission length.

Atmosphere. Radio waves at ~1 GHz cross the atmosphere essentially without being absorbed. However, atmospheric emission, dominated by oxygen with a small fraction of precipitable water vapor (PWV), contributes with 1.81 K $\leq T_{\text{atm}} \leq 1.82$ K to the final system temperature (Bigot-Sazy et al. 2015). Atmospheric fluctuations around $T_{\text{atm}}$ can mimic a correlated noise, due to varying opacity from water, and can be treated as a residual $1/f$ in the later phases of the data analysis. These fluctuations depend on on-site atmospheric conditions, observing frequency band and also on the sky scan strategy (e.g., Church 1995; Lay & Halverson 2000), and are caused by the anisotropic distribution of water vapor molecules in the upper atmosphere. Bigot-Sazy et al. (2015) estimate the atmospheric fluctuations as seen by a telescope such as BINGO should be $\Delta T_{\text{atm}} = 0.01$ mK, below the instrumental noise in Table 1 of the companion paper II Wuensche et al. (2022). The atmosphere is not expected to have any intrinsic contribution to the polarization observations at BINGO frequencies (e.g., Hanany & Rosenkranz 2003), but it is still important as a contribution to $I$ into $Q/U$ leakage. The simulations generated for the companion Paper V (Liccardo et al. 2022) do not take the atmospheric contribution into account.

5.2.3. Radio frequency interference

RFI is the usual term to nominate the emission of radio waves by man-made sources, such as personal computers, cell phones, airplanes, power lines, and orbiting satellites, such as those used for radio-navigation transmissions (Harper & Dickinson 2018).

Removal of the transient RFI spikes requires the definition of a threshold for identifying spikes. The pixel that contains the brightest observed Galactic emission within the frequency band and the survey area is a convenient choice for this threshold. Higher intensity spikes in the TOD are then flagged by iteration, to check if they are astrophysical transient events. In negative cases, the data chunks are excised out from the next data analysis steps. Our simulations currently include the presence of ground transients appearing as an intense spike in the TOD data and contributions from geostationary satellites, for which orbit and transmitting power are well known.

5.3. Map-making

The map making step of the BINGO pipeline deals with the conversion from TOD to a coordinate-based, pixel-stacked data. Mathematically, the map-making equation, in its simplest linear form, is given by

$$m \approx \hat{s} = Wd,$$

where $m$ is the map, $\hat{s}$ is our estimate of the signal, $d$ is the data (the TOD) and $W$ is a matrix that when applied to the signal performs the required steps. The BINGO pipeline currently has three different map-making routines available: naïve filtering and binning, destripping, and maximum likelihood.

One of the implementations of the BINGO pipeline currently uses the simple averaging and binning routines, in a process that is usually referred to as “ naïve map-making”. This map-making technique performs no additional data-processing in the timelines. The data are simply re-mapped onto the image plane, by projecting the full power seen by a detector onto the nearest sky map pixel. The output is an image map, an error map (as the standard deviation of data samples divided by the square root of the number of data samples) and a coverage map, effectively a hit map for samples/pixel.

The naïve technique is the fastest of the three map-making schemes. It is relatively easy to implement but might yield crude maps due to the simplified noise estimation. When applying the naïve technique we assume that the filters in use in the pipeline manage to remove enough of the noise correlations, such that we can estimate the remaining data to be signal and white noise only. For the moment, we assume that all detectors read the same noise level. In this case the data can simply be written as

$$d = Ps + n_s,$$

where $P$ is a $(n_p, n_{pix})$-matrix taking the signal from the time-domain into the pixel-domain, $s$ is the signal of interest, $n_s$ is the uncorrelated white noise. Equation (40), when solved for the estimated signal $\hat{s}$, can be written as:

$$\hat{s} = \left(P^T P\right)^{-1} P^T d.$$
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The result is a simple average of all observations per pixel. This equation can be modified to account for individual weighting when calculating the bin-average by adding a diagonal matrix.

5.4. Component separation

The component separation module of the pipeline is a very important part of the data analysis, where the desired HI signal must be separated from all foregrounds and analyzed according to the BINGO noise model. The foreground power spectra presented in Sect. 5.1.2 can be approximated by power-laws, enabling their separation from the HI signal. There are several component separation methods to extract the target cosmological signal from the foregrounds that can be divided into parametric and “blind” techniques. The parametric methods assume each component is characterized by a specific independent emission law known a priori, whereas the “blind” techniques, as the name suggests, do not assume any specific parametric model for the foregrounds.

The pipeline work currently assumes a non parametric approach, using the Generalized Needlet Internal Linear Combination (GNILC) methodology as the standard component separation technique (Remazeilles et al. 2011; Olivari et al. 2016) to recover the 21-cm signal. GNILC takes into consideration both the frequency and spatial information of the observed (simulated) data in order to separate the components. A set of needlet windows is defined in harmonic space to isolate different ranges of angular scales in the input map. Each frequency map can be split in several needlet maps, allowing for a more localized analysis of the signal. Figure 12 shows maps covering the BINGO patch of the sky with three different signals: input HI plus noise, GNILC reconstructed HI plus noise, and residuals. The maps are produced at ≈1.1 GHz. It is clear how GNILC is able to reconstruct the main features of the input signal with a rms residual equal to 0.06 mK. For the scenarios considered we found values off by ≤10%. More details regarding this analysis can be be found in the companion Paper V (Fornazier et al. 2022).

We intend to use other foreground cleaning methods, along with GNILC, in our simulations in the future, as well as developing specific methods optimized for HI IM experiments. The GNILC algorithm can be improved to obtain a more efficient component separation. The BINGO Collaboration is working on the optimization of the code and on a more precise estimation of the errors arising during the HI recovery process. A detailed description of the current status of GNILC performance and the results of the sky simulations, including the foreground models, can be found in the companion Papers IV and V (Liccardo et al. 2022; Fornazier et al. 2022).

5.5. Cosmology modules

This final part of the pipeline is about obtaining the science products from the 21-cm HI IM data. These products are science-dependent. For cosmology, for instance, we would estimate the covariance, the HI power spectra, perform a Bayesian analysis to estimate the cosmological parameters of interest, and estimate the bispectrum.

5.5.1. Covariance estimation

Constructing a covariance matrix is a key part for estimating observable uncertainties and further constraining the parameter space of the cosmological parameters. In our case, the observable is the angular power spectra of the HI IM map. For constructing the covariance matrix of the angular power spectrum, a number of realizations are needed. However, a large scale N-body simulation is computationally very expensive. The Gaussian random realization using the calculated angular power spectra is applied in Asorey et al. (2020).

We use two methods to generate hundreds of realizations of the tomographic HI IM maps: the log-normal density field realization using the FLASK code (Xavier et al. 2016) as well as fast light cone halo catalog generation using the L-PICOLA code (Howlett et al. 2015). We can generate full-sky IM maps, despite BINGO actually observing only about 15% (6000 deg²) of the sky. Therefore, in every realization, we also mask the full-sky in different random directions to achieve about eight times more maps from each realization. This reduces significantly the computational cost for constructing the covariance matrix.

5.5.2. Bispectrum estimation

There is additional information in the 21-cm maps than the one that can be obtained by the angular power spectrum. Non-gaussianities (NG), which are deviations in our maps from Gaussian statistics, will be present in our data at all redshifts, but most notably in the low redshift 21-cm signal. They might come from early-times evolution of the Universe, what we call primordial NG; and/or will get imprinted on the 21-cm maps by gravity itself; and/or will get imprinted on the 21-cm maps when these maps are cleaned, by residuals from the cosmic foreground distribution. We use higher order statistics to characterize these NG.

We focus here on the three-point correlation function, or its Fourier transform, the bispectrum. The three-point correlation function in flat sky approximation is given by Liguori et al. (2010), Komatsu (2001), Smith & Zaldarriaga (2011)

\[
\langle \Delta_{l_{1}b_{1}} \Delta_{l_{2}b_{2}} \Delta_{l_{3}b_{3}} \rangle \equiv B_{l_{1}l_{2}l_{3}}^{m_{1}m_{2}m_{3}} \equiv \langle B_{l_{1}l_{2}l_{3}}^{m_{1}m_{2}m_{3}} \rangle \left( l_{1} \ m_{1} \ l_{2} \ m_{2} \ l_{3} \ m_{3} \right),
\]

where \( B_{l_{1}l_{2}l_{3}}^{m_{1}m_{2}m_{3}} \) is the angular bispectrum (\( B_{l} \) from now on), and \( B_{l_{1}l_{2}l_{3}} \) is the averaged angular bispectrum. The matrix denotes the Wigner-3j symbol, which is invariant under permutations. It describes three angular momenta that form a triangle \( l_{1} + l_{2} + l_{3} = 0 \), where \( m_{1} + m_{2} + m_{3} = 0 \).

The bispectrum can be written as

\[
B_{l} = G_{l_{1}l_{2}l_{3}}^{m_{1}m_{2}m_{3}} b_{l_{1}l_{2}l_{3}},
\]

where \( b_{l_{1}l_{2}l_{3}} \) is the reduced power spectrum and \( G_{l_{1}l_{2}l_{3}}^{m_{1}m_{2}m_{3}} \) is the Gaunt integral (for more details see Komatsu 2001;
5.5.3. Minkowski functionals estimation

A different and complementary tool to the previous optimal NG estimator based on the bispectrum is the Minkowski functionals (MF; Minkowski 1903; Novikov et al. 1999; Komatsu et al. 2003). Sensitive to weak and arbitrary NG signals, the MF not only provide information about the spatial correlation of a random field, but can also provide morphological information and map the shape of structures.

For the 2D HI brightness temperature field, defined on the sphere $S^2$, $T = T(\theta, \phi)$, with mean $T$ and variance $\sigma_T$, three MF are enough to provide a test of non-Gaussian features by assessing properties of connected regions in a map. The global MF are the total area $V_0(\nu_i) = (1/4\pi) \int d\Omega = \sum \alpha_i$, perimeter $V_1(\nu_i) = (1/8\pi) \int dL = \sum l_i$, and genus (number of holes) $V_2(\nu_i) = (1/8\pi^2) \int \kappa d\Sigma = \sum (1 - n_i) = N_{\text{total}} - N_{\text{isolated}}$ calculated over all the connected region $R_i$ in an excursion $\Sigma \subset S^2$ (Novikov et al. 1999; Komatsu et al. 2003; Novaes et al. 2018; Ducout et al. 2013), where $d\Omega$ and $dL$ are the elements of solid angle and line, respectively, $\kappa$ is the geodesic curvature, and $\alpha_i$, $l_i$ and $n_i$ are the area, perimeter and genus of one connected region $R_i$. This method can be efficiently applied to masked skies or in small regions of the sphere, being specially useful in the case of the HI measurements from BINGO, with a partial sky coverage. In fact, such versatility of the MF motivated their usage as one of the modules for HI IM data analysis.

The MF module is under development to work using two main approaches: (1) to access the cosmological information provided by the HI signal in constraining cosmological parameters; and (2) to evaluate the recovered HI signal by using different component separation methods, exploring the efficiency and robustness of each method and comparing their results, as a complement to the bispectrum module.

Using the algorithm provided by Gay et al. (2012), and Ducout et al. (2013) to calculate the MF, the first approach has been tested on simulated data produced by the FLASK code. In summary, the methodology of this approach concerns the calculation of the MF from a large set of synthetic HI maps, produced

\footnote{An excursion set is defined as the region of a sky patch where the HI field exceed a given threshold $\nu$, $\delta T(\theta, \phi)/\sigma_T \geq \nu$, with $\delta T = T(\theta, \phi) - \langle T \rangle$.}
assuming different cosmologies (varying a set of cosmological parameters) and redshift bins that will be observed by BINGO, and use a machine learning technique to map the MF features in terms of the cosmological parameters. As an illustrative example, Fig. 14 shows the evolution of the HI signal with redshift, as measured by BINGO, for a given fixed cosmology. After widely testing it in synthetic data, evaluating the impacts of residual contamination and instrumental effects, we will be able to apply the methodology to the BINGO data.

6. Scientific synergies with other instruments

6.1. BINGO in the wider context

There has been a recent burgeoning of interest in new radio astronomy instruments such as ALMA, LOFAR, and of course the SKA and its precursors MeerKAT (Booth et al. 2009) and ASKAP (Johnston et al. 2008; DeBoer et al. 2009). All these activities have been driven by the realization that radio astronomical observations have a unique contribution to make to cosmology, astrophysics and even fundamental physics.

For comparison, in Fig. 15 we show some of the IM experiments already in operation or planned for the near future, with covered area in the sky as a function of redshift. Albeit operating in the same redshift range of Tianlai, FAST and SKA telescopes, BINGO can still be a strong competitor, producing good quality data very early.

6.2. Square kilometer array

The SKA is a project aiming to look at the cosmos from 10 million years of age, when the first generation of stars lighted, up to the DE-dominated Universe, basically today. A telescope looking at these matters should have a total size of one square kilometer, hence its name and structure. Clearly, SKA has a tremendous scope: astrobiology, tests of gravity, exotic transient phenomena (pulsars, FRBs, etc.) planet observations, magnetic fields, interplanetary medium, DE and DM, among further more specific astrophysical and cosmological aims.

A new science book has been produced by the SKA consortium (Square Kilometre Array Cosmology Science Working Group 2020). The previously proposed SKA surveys, which were thought of as the main cosmology surveys to be carried out with the SKA, were the SKA continuum survey and the SKA galaxy redshift survey (Abdalla et al. 2015; Santos et al. 2015; Square Kilometre Array Cosmology Science Working Group 2020). In the recent science prioritization, three summary chapters were produced to outline the main SKA cosmology goals, two of them being those for the above mentioned surveys. An additional chapter has been produced by the SKA team to cover the science that can be done with IM (Bourke 2014). This shows the growing realization that IM is one of the most powerful cosmological tools available. The main summary chapter for cosmology with the SKA argues that without using techniques to obtain intensity maps, such as the ones produced by BINGO, the project will be severely hampered in its capability of producing cosmological transformational science in its first phase. BINGO will be an excellent pathfinder to investigate issues that will likely be faced with the SKA.

The main observations common to SKA and BINGO, actually the “raison d’être” of the BINGO project is the (indirect) observation of the dark sector, by means of the BAO, which can be observed by both projects, leading to a unique fingerprint on cosmological observations and in turn being used to constrain cosmological parameters.

Good observations by BINGO can give hints to what to look for in the case of SKA, which will observe again the BINGO volume and go much beyond into the cosmic dawn. Further aims of
SKA include search of protoplanetary disks and exoplanets as well as magnetic fields and other galaxy structures. In Galactic astrophysics, details of the synchrotron emission and Faraday rotation will be clearly observed.

6.3. MeerKAT

The MeerKAT is a project designed as a SKA pathfinder. Located in the Karoo region, South Africa, it consists in an array of 64 antennas and is very sensitive, with a resolution of circa 1 arcsec at 1420 MHz, seeking regions at \( z \sim 4 \) or even higher. A low frequency band, from 850 to 2500 MHz (which includes the BINGO band) will look at most epochs of modern Universe, and will be able to deeply map the \( \text{H}\alpha \) distribution. In particular, it covers the epoch of reionization, thus informing about DM evolution in the recent Universe. Galactic studies, magnetic fields and the tiny variations in matter distribution are also within the reach of low frequency band of MeerKAT. MeerKAT also operates in a high frequency band (8 to 14.5 GHz) searching for transients and other astrophysical objects.

6.4. CHIME

Another experiment aiming to measure BAO is the Canadian Hydrogen Intensity Mapping Experiment – CHIME, a radio interferometer located at the Dominion Radio Astrophysical Observatory (DRAO, CHIME; Bandura et al. 2014). CHIME operates in the frequency range of 400 MHz to 800 MHz, with a synthesized beam resolution between 20 and 40 arcmin, in order to produce 21-cm sky maps for about 50% of the sky, across the redshift range \( z = 0.8 \rightarrow 2.5 \) (Shaw et al. 2014).

CHIME is complementary to BINGO in the frequency and correspondingly redshift range (0.8 \( \leq z \leq 2.5 \)) as well as in the form, consisting of 4 adjacent cylindrical reflectors of 20 m diameter \( \times \)100 m length, oriented north-south. Similarly to BINGO, CHIME has no moving parts.

The main cosmological goal for CHIME is also measuring BAO using \( \text{H}\alpha \) IM. However, CHIME is a very efficient telescope to detect FRBs and, at the time of this writing, has already found dozens of them, with a predicted discovery rate of 2–50/day. Pulsars are also a strong target for CHIME, making it a partner for BINGO (CHIME/FRB Collaboration 2018; Amiri et al. 2019).

In CHIME a separate pipeline for FRBs has been constructed, with dedicated electronics, for identifying FRBs as well as storing the data. Smaller dispersion measures are easier to handle, and CHIME has a maximum capability of handling large dispersion measures with high resolution.

6.5. Five-hundred meter aperture spherical radio telescope (FAST)

The Five-hundred-meter Aperture Spherical radio Telescope (FAST) is currently the largest single dish radio telescope in the world. It is located in the Guizhou province, China (Nan et al. 2011). FAST is a spherical reflector with a diameter of 500 m, fit in the Dawodang depression. Because of its size, the FAST dish itself does not move. However, the telescope is able to observe sources within 40\(^\circ\) of the zenith because the shape of the dish’s surface made up of 4450 aluminum panels that are tilted and turned by about 2000 mechanical winches and the feed cabin, where the radio waves are focused, is suspended from six cables and moves around the surface of the dish. Inside the cabin, multibeam and multiband receivers are installed, covering a large frequency range of 70 MHz–3 GHz (Nan et al. 2011; Li 2016). Besides being a galaxy survey, FAST is also an IM survey (Smoot & Debono 2017; Bigot-Sazy et al. 2016; Hu et al. 2020). As it was pointed out in these references IM cannot be done in this entire frequency range. In Smoot & Debono (2017) for a 500 m aperture, they could do IM between redshifts 0.5–2.5, while in Bigot-Sazy et al. (2016) for the illuminated aperture of 300 m it is suggested a frequency range for IM of 350–1350 MHz. In Hu et al. (2020) the performance of IM with FAST is studied in details for a redshift range of 0.05 < \( z < 1.25 \). From this study, in this other mode of operation, FAST IM can provide good cosmological measurements, with forecasts showing that FAST can reach the constraining level of dark energy task force (DETF) stage IV experiments.

FAST is a Chinese contribution to the international efforts to build the SKA. It is the most sensitive single dish radio telescope ever built. FAST is expected to map the \( \text{H}\alpha \) gas in the Milky Way Galaxy at a very high resolution and to increase the number of known pulsars from almost 2085 to about 6000.

The FAST project took 5.5 years from the commencement of work in March of 2011 and was completed in September 2016. FAST has been in final tests for three years, and it has already spotted 102 brand new pulsars (half of the pulsars in the Galaxy are in the reach of FAST). It also detected a few FRBs. In September 2019, the telescope passed a series of performance assessments and was given the green light open to astronomers. The project was funded by the Chinese government, and FAST is operated by the National Astronomical Observatories of the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

We can see that the redshift range of FAST coincides with the one from BINGO, specially the frequency where it is possible to do IM, as shown in Fig. 15 where we used the IM redshift range from Hu et al. (2020). This makes FAST not only a good competitor of BINGO, but also complementary, since BINGO will be built in the southern hemisphere while FAST is in the northern hemisphere. Having different experiments measuring in the same frequency is also important to confirm the science explored by both projects.

6.6. The Tianlai project: a 21 cm radio telescope array

The Tianlai project (“Sounds from the Heaven”) is a similar project to survey the northern sky, making IM observations of the redshifted 21-cm line from the \( \text{H}\alpha \) below redshift 3, to measure the BAO signal of LSS and measure the DE equation of state so as to constrain DE models (Chen 2012). It is also a pathfinder for testing the basic principles and key technologies of 21-cm IM. The project is an international effort with participants from China, France, the USA and Canada and is being built in a very quiet radio site in Balkun, Xinjiang, China.

The Tianlai pathfinder includes a dish array with 16 dishes of 6 m diameter, each equipped with a dual linear-polarization feed, compactly arranged in two concentric rings. These dishes are equipped with electronically controlled motor drives in the altitude-azimuth mount, which allow people to steer the dishes to point to almost any desirable directions above the horizon. The dishes operate alongside a cylinder array with three 15-m diameter \( \times \)40-m length, north-south oriented, cylinders. The cylinders are equipped with 96 dual linear-polarization feeds that can be moved freely along the guide rail, which allows observers to change the separation between the feeds flexibly. Tianlai array receivers are designed to have broad band response (400–1500 MHz).

The construction of the two arrays was completed in 2015, and the first trial observations were done in September 2016. An initial report of observations was done by Wu et al. (2021).
7. Conclusions
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