Initiation of Alfvénic turbulence by Alfvén wave collisions: A numerical study
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ABSTRACT

In the framework of compressional magnetohydrodynamics (MHD), we numerically studied the commonly accepted presumption that the Alfvénic turbulence is generated by the collisions between counter-propagating Alfvén waves (AWs). In the conditions typical for the low-beta solar corona and inner solar wind, we launched two counter-propagating AWs in the three-dimensional simulation box and analyzed polarization and spectral properties of perturbations generated before and after AW collisions. The observed post-collisional perturbations have different polarizations and smaller cross-field scales than the original waves, which supports theoretical scenarios with direct turbulent cascades. However, contrary to theoretical expectations, the spectral transport is strongly suppressed at the scales satisfying the classic critical balance of incompressional MHD. Instead, a modified critical balance can be established by colliding AWs with significantly smaller perpendicular scales. We discuss consequences of these effects for the turbulence dynamics and turbulent heating of compressional plasmas. In particular, solar coronal loops can be heated by the strong turbulent cascade if the characteristic widths of the loop substructures are more than ten times smaller than the loop width. The revealed new properties of AW collisions have to be incorporated in the theoretical models of AW turbulence and related applications.
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1. Introduction

Recent studies have revealed that the turbulence in magnetized plasmas is greatly affected by the Alfvén wave effects. The well-documented example is the solar-wind turbulence, whose nature is essentially Alfvénic, and turbulent fluctuations can be approximately described as Alfvén waves (AWs) (Belcher & Davis 1971; Bruno & Carbone 2013). The standard magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) description of Alfvénic turbulence in astrophysical and laboratory plasmas is based on the interaction of oppositely propagating incompressible wave packets (Iroshnikov 1963; Kraichnan 1965).

Following significant previous work on the weak turbulence in incompressible MHD (Sridhar & Goldreich 1994; Montgomery & Matthaeus 1995; Ng & Bhattacharjee 1996; Galtier et al. 2000), more recent work (Howes & Nielson 2013) has described the mechanism of turbulent energy transfer via AW collisions in more detail. The authors showed analytically that two colliding counter-propagating AWs with wave vectors \( k_\parallel \) and \( k_\perp \) first produce a specific intermediate wave with \( k_0 = k_\parallel^* \hat{x} + k_\perp^* \hat{y} \) and then its interaction with the initial waves produces the tertiary waves with the following wave vectors: \( k_1^* = k_\parallel^* \hat{x} + 2k_\perp^* \hat{y} + k_0^* \hat{z} \) and \( k_2^* = 2k_\parallel^* \hat{x} + k_\perp^* \hat{y} - k_0^* \hat{z} \). Here, \( \hat{x} \), \( \hat{y} \), and \( \hat{z} \) are the unit Cartesian vectors, such that \( \hat{z} \) is parallel to the background magnetic field \( B_0 \). These analytical results have been confirmed by both gyrokinetic simulations in the MHD limit (Nielson et al. 2013) and experimentally in the laboratory (Drake et al. 2013, 2014, 2016). Since the energy is transferred to AWs with higher perpendicular wave numbers, this process represents an elementary step of the direct turbulent cascade in which energy is transferred from larger to smaller scales.

Goldreich & Sridhar (1995) introduced the critical balance conjecture and developed their famous model of strong anisotropic MHD turbulence. The critical balance assumes that the linear (wave-crossing) and nonlinear (eddy turnover) times are equal at each scale. Whereas the critical balance remains a physically reliable hypothesis not strictly derived from basic principles, it allows for a phenomenological prediction of turbulence properties, in particular the energy spectrum \( -k_{-5/3} \) and anisotropy of turbulent fluctuations. The Goldreich & Sridhar model gave rise to many important insights in the turbulence nature and resulted in many theoretical, numerical, and experimental studies (see, e.g., Verniero & Howes 2018; Verniero et al. 2018; Mallet et al. 2015, and references therein). It is worth noting that the critical balance conjecture is essentially a statement implying persistence of linear wave physics in the strongly turbulent plasma.

Despite extended investigations of the critically balanced turbulence, many current problems remain, such as the nonzero cross-helicity effects in the presence of shear plasma flows (Gogoberidze & Voitenko 2016), or nonlocal effects in AW collisions (Berensyak & Lazarian 2008). Also, the plasma compressibility can introduce surprising effects to the behavior of MHD waves (Magyar et al. 2019).

Numerical simulations of turbulence are usually done either via numerical codes for reduced MHD or using analytical (Berensyak 2014, 2015; Mallet et al. 2015; Perez et al. 2020), pseudo-spectral (Chandran & Perez 2019) and gyrokinetic (Verniero et al. 2018) frameworks. Pezzi et al. (2017a,b)
performed simulations using compressible MHD, Hall MHD, and hybrid Vlasov-Maxwell codes; the 2.5D geometry used in these works does not allow to take into account nonlinear terms \(-v^2 \cdot \nabla v^2\) and \(-b^2 \cdot \nabla b^2\) (v and b are velocity and magnetic fluctuations in \pm waves) for AWs with \(k_z^+ \times k_x^+ \neq 0\).

Using a compressible MHD model in 3D, we numerically studied the commonly accepted presumption that the AW turbulence is generated by the collisions between counter-propagating AWs, particularly the wave-number dependence of the amplitudes of induced waves. Our simulations reveal that the AW collisions can occur in two regimes, the first one corresponding to the case of strong turbulence, which follows theoretical explanation, and the second one corresponding to larger scales, which obviously is governed by a different mechanism.

2. Physical and numerical setup

The simulations were performed in 3D using the numerical code MPI-AMRVAC (Porth et al. 2014). The code applies the Eulerian approach for solving the compressible resistive MHD equations:

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho v) = 0, \quad (1)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho v)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho v v - B B) + \nabla p_{\text{tot}} = 0, \quad (2)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (v B - B v) = -\nabla \times (\eta J), \quad (3)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho v - B B \cdot v + p_{\text{tot}}) = \nabla \cdot (B \times \eta J), \quad (4)
\]

where \(\rho, v, B\) are the total energy density, mass density, velocity, and magnetic field, \(p = (\gamma - 1)(\rho - \rho v^2/2 - B^2/2)\) is the thermal pressure, \(p_{\text{tot}} = p + B^2/2\) is the total pressure, \(J = \nabla \times B\) is the electric current density, \(\eta\) is the electrical resistivity, and \(\gamma\) is the ratio of specific heats. The magnetic field is measured in units for which the magnetic permeability is 1. Since in this study we are not interested in dissipative processes, we take \(\gamma = 5/3, \eta = 0\). We used the following three normalization constants: the length \(L_N = 1\) Mm, the magnetic field \(B_N = 20\) G, and the density \(\rho_N = 1.67 \times 10^{18} \text{g cm}^{-3}\). This determined normalization for other physical quantities: electron concentration \(n_e = 10^8 \text{cm}^{-3}\), speed \(v_N = B_N / \sqrt{4\pi \rho_N} = 1380 \text{km s}^{-1}\), and time \(t_N = L_N/v_N = 0.7246 \text{s}\).

The simulations were performed in 3D in Cartesian geometry with a rectangular numerical box. The background magnetic field \(B_0 = 20\) G is directed along the \(z\)-axis. Equilibrium plasma parameters were set typical for the solar corona: \(n_e = 10^8 \text{cm}^{-3}\) (\(\rho_0 = 1.67 \times 10^{18} \text{g cm}^{-3}\) and temperature \(T = 1\) MK), which determines the plasma beta parameter \(\beta = 0.017\). The Alfvén speed in equilibrium plasma is \(v_A = B_0 / \sqrt{4\pi \rho_0} = 1380 \text{km s}^{-1}\) or \(v_A = 1\) in normalized units, and the sound speed is \(c_s = \sqrt{\gamma \beta / 2} v_A = 0.11 v_A\).

In order to induce counter-propagating Alfvén waves, we set the components of magnetic field and velocity at the \(z\) boundaries of the simulation box. The forward wave propagating in the \(+z\) direction along \(B_0\) is initiated at \(z = 0\) by the following forcing:

\[
b_z = b \sin(\omega t) \sin(k_z^+ y), \quad (5)
\]

\[
v_x = -u \sin(\omega t) \sin(k_x^+ y), \quad (6)
\]

\[
v_z = A_p [1 - \sin(2\omega t)] \sin(k_z^+ y), \quad (7)
\]

and the backward wave propagating in \(-z\) direction is initiated at \(z = z_{\text{max}}\):

\[
b_z = b \sin(\omega t) \sin(k_z^- y), \quad (8)
\]

\[
v_x = u \sin(\omega t) \sin(k_x^- y), \quad (9)
\]

\[
v_z = A_p [1 + \sin(2\omega t)] \sin(k_z^- y), \quad (10)
\]

where \(\omega = k_z v_A = 2\pi (\lambda_A)^{-1} v_A\) is the angular frequency, the parallel wavelength \(\lambda_c = 10\) Mm (always the same constant in all setups), the initial amplitudes of magnetic field \(b\), and velocity \(u = b / \sqrt{4\pi \rho}\) are set either 3.33% or 10% of \(B_0\) and \(v_A\), respectively, and \(v_\perp = A_p (v_A^2 - C_s^2)^{-1} u v_A\) represents the ponderomotive component of the speed (its order is \(10^{-9}\)). Boundary conditions at other boundaries were periodic. Introduction of \(A_p \neq 0\) insures a smooth solution of the MHD equations at the boundaries; its influence is studied in Sect. 3.1. The physical configuration is shown in Fig. 1.

The forcing described above was applied during one period for the forward wave and during three periods for the backward wave, which we call the main setups hereafter (see Table 1 for setup parameters). Beside the main setups, we ran several complementary simulations without backward wave, or with different amplitudes of counter-propagating waves, or setups with a single period in both waves.

As suggested by the nonlinear term \((\nabla \cdot \nabla) z^2\) in the Elsässer form of MHD equations, in order to allow for effective interactions, the counter-propagating AWs should have different polarizations. In our setups, the forward wave was polarized along \(x\) and its wave vector \(k_x^+ \parallel \hat{x}\); the backward wave was polarized along the \(y\)-axis and \(k_x^- \parallel \hat{y}\). The numerical box has physical \(z\) length \(L_z\) of either 50 Mm (main setups) or 30 Mm (complementary setups). The sizes \(x\) and \(y\) are set as equal to the perpendicular wavelength \(\lambda_x\) (hence, they change from setup to setup). The numerical box for the main setups has either 256 \times 256 \times 512 pixels (high-resolution) or 128 \times 128 \times 256 pixels (low-resolution). We verified that the decrease of numerical resolution does affect the results: the waves start to decay during their propagation and...
profiles of AWs not caused by the numerical effects or boundary perturbations and its amplitude varies from 0 to 2 (McLaughlin et al. 2011; Zheng et al. 2016). We also observed a self-consistent generation of $v_y$ that appears only in oblique waves with $A_p \neq 0$ ($v_y = 0$ at $A_p = 0$). Our preliminary simulations (2D setups were sufficient there) show the following trend in the variation of $v_y$ with varying cross-field wavelength: the amplitude of $v_y$ grows proportionally to $1/A_\perp$ at the larger scales $A_\perp > \lambda_z$, this growth slows down at $A_\perp \sim \lambda_z$, and eventually $v_y$ becomes a constant independent on $A_\perp$ at smaller scales $A_\perp \ll \lambda_z$. The spatial extension of $v_y$ in both parallel and perpendicular directions is two times shorter than that of the mother wave. The amplitude of $v_y$ is always smaller than that of $v_z$. Similar perturbations of perpendicular velocity were also observed in torsional waves (Shestov et al. 2017). The observed perturbations of $v_x$ and $v_y$ propagate along the magnetic field with the Alfvén speed $v_A$ and are natural companions of AWs not caused by the numerical effects or boundary conditions for $v_z$. The perturbations always develop in AWs regardless of the how the waves are initiated – that is, by boundary or initial conditions, with or without boundary perturbations given by Eqs. (7) and (10). In other words, the observed propagating wave is the eigenmode of the compressible nonlinear MHD. We thus observe typical characteristics of AWs before they collide.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Nonlinear effects in a single AW

First, we verified the effect of nonlinear self-interaction within a single Alfvén wave. In Fig. 2, we show the longitudinal (along $z$) profiles of $v_x$, $v_y$, and $v_z$ of the forward Alfvén wave, initiated via boundary conditions described in Sect. 2. The instant shown corresponds to the developed phase of the wave but before the collision with the backward wave. For visualization, the quantities are normalized by the following constants: the mother wave $v_y$ by the initial amplitude $u = 0.10$, the horizontal component $v_y$ and the ponderomotive component $v_z$ by $A_p = 2.53 \cdot 10^{-3}$.

The amplitude and spatial structure of the ponderomotive component $v_z$ perfectly reproduce theoretical predictions: its wave numbers are two times larger than in the mother wave, and its amplitude varies from 0 to 2 (McLaughlin et al. 2011; Zheng et al. 2016). We also observed a self-consistent generation of $v_y$ that appears only in oblique waves with $A_p \neq 0$ ($v_y = 0$ at $A_p = 0$). Our preliminary simulations (2D setups were sufficient there) show the following trend in the variation of $v_y$ with varying cross-field wavelength: the amplitude of $v_y$ grows proportionally to $1/A_\perp$ at the larger scales $A_\perp > \lambda_z$, this growth slows down at $A_\perp \sim \lambda_z$, and eventually $v_y$ becomes a constant independent on $A_\perp$ at smaller scales $A_\perp \ll \lambda_z$. The spatial extension of $v_y$ in both parallel and perpendicular directions is two times shorter than that of the mother wave. The amplitude of $v_y$ is always smaller than that of $v_z$. Similar perturbations of perpendicular velocity were also observed in torsional waves (Shestov et al. 2017).

The observed perturbations of $v_x$ and $v_y$ propagate along the magnetic field with the Alfvén speed $v_A$ and are natural companions of AWs not caused by the numerical effects or boundary conditions for $v_z$. The perturbations always develop in AWs regardless of the how the waves are initiated – that is, by boundary or initial conditions, with or without boundary perturbations given by Eqs. (7) and (10). In other words, the observed propagating wave is the eigenmode of the compressible nonlinear MHD. We thus observe typical characteristics of AWs before they collide.
3.2. AW collision

To study effects of the AW collisions, we allowed the two counter-propagating waves to fully propagate through each other, and we analyzed perpendicular profiles of \( v_z \) of the formed co-propagating \( z \)-waves in the leading maximum \( x = y \) plane with \( z = 42.5 \) at instant \( t = 45 \) (see Fig. 1, bottom left panel; main setups with \( u = 0.1 \) are used). In Fig. 3, the panels show \( v_z \) of three different setups with \( \lambda_\perp = 0.5, 0.8, \) and \( 3.0 \) Mm. The perturbations of the wave profiles depend on the perpendicular scale: they are significant for the smallest \( \lambda_\perp = 0.5 \), moderate for \( \lambda_\perp = 0.8 \), and weak for the largest \( \lambda_\perp = 3.0 \). Similar perturbations are also observed in the \( \zeta^\perp \) wave. However, in setups with only one wave present, such perturbations do not appear, and hence their development can be attributed to AW collision. The appearance of such small-scale perturbations propagating with Alfvén velocity can be treated as a generation of new AWs at smaller perpendicular scales \( \lambda^\perp < \lambda_\perp \).

3.3. Dependence on perpendicular scales

In order to distinguish the nonlinearly generated waves from the mother wave, we further analyzed the wave profiles in the perpendicular cross-section of \( \zeta^\perp \) wave. We extracted the perturbed velocity \( \Delta v_z \) by subtracting the initial harmonic profile of \( v_z \),

\[
\Delta v_z = v_z - A \sin(k z y)
\]

where the amplitude \( A \) is adjusted to cancel the perturbation in the wave maximum. The results are shown in Fig. 4 for the setups with \( \lambda_\perp = 0.8 \) (top panels) and \( \lambda_\perp = 3.0 \) (bottom panels). The left panels show \( \Delta v_z \), middle \( \Delta v_\perp \), and right \( \Delta v_x \). The induced velocities \( \Delta v_\perp \) and \( \Delta v_x \) have amplitudes \( -0.02 \pm 0.03 \mu \text{Mm} \) and are nonuniform in both \( x \) and \( y \) directions.

To evaluate numerical effects, we made the similar analysis for \( \zeta^\perp \) wave in the absence of \( \zeta^\perp \) waves. Here, the perturbations \( \Delta v_z \) are observed as well, but they have an amplitude at least a factor of 10 smaller and are uniform along \( x \). It means that numerical effects produce significantly weaker perturbations with different spatial profiles. On the contrary, after collisions with counter-propagating \( z^\perp \) waves, the perturbations co-propagating with \( z^\perp \) waves have both \( v_z \) and \( v_y \) components, larger amplitudes, and profiles that are nonuniform along both \( y \) and \( x \), which cannot be ascribed to numerical effects. Furthermore, the perturbations of \( v_z \) generated by the AW collisions cannot be attributed solely to the single AW self-interaction where perturbations of the \( v_z \) are zero at the original wave maximum.

The spatial patterns of the induced velocities fall in two distinct groups: all spatial patterns at \( \lambda_\perp < \lambda^\perp \) are similar to that shown in the top panels of Fig. 4, and all patterns at \( \lambda_\perp \geq \lambda^\perp \) are similar to that shown in the bottom panels (the transition scale \( \lambda^\perp = 3.0 \) for \( u = 0.1 \) used in this figure). The perturbations in the former group have a current-sheet structuring, similar to that reported by Verniero et al. (2018) for the strong turbulence regime. The perturbations in the second group have a symmetric structure. The same two groups of spatial structures are also observed in the setups with different amplitudes \( u \), but with different transition scales, such that \( \lambda^\perp \) is larger for smaller \( u \) (for example, \( \lambda^\perp = 4.0 \) for \( u = 0.033 \)).

The dependence of the amplitudes of induced waves on the perpendicular scales is shown in Fig. 5. The diamonds correspond to \( \Delta v_z \) and asterisks correspond to \( \Delta v_x \). For \( u = 0.1 \) the symbols are blue and green, for \( u = 0.033 \) they are orange and red. Gray and pink regions indicate the wave number ranges where the wave collisions should generate the strong (critically balanced) turbulence with \( k_\perp \lambda_\perp \sim v_\perp / u \) for \( u = 0.1 \) and \( u = 0.033 \), respectively. In both these cases, the amplitude behavior is similar. At the largest \( \lambda_\perp \), the amplitudes of the induced waves are much smaller than the amplitudes of the original waves, and the resulting AW turbulence should be weak. As \( \lambda_\perp \) decreases, the induced amplitudes first increase slowly and reach a maximum. This maximum is still much smaller than the initial AW amplitude and is reached at \( \lambda_\perp \approx \lambda_{\perp\text{max}} \) that is still much larger than the perpendicular scale given by the critically balance condition, \( \lambda_{\perp\text{max}} \gg \lambda_\perp = \lambda_{\perp\text{min}} \), and other characteristic perpendicular scales are shown in Fig. 5). When \( \lambda_\perp \) decreases further beyond \( \lambda_{\perp\text{max}} \), the induced amplitudes decrease and reach a minimum at \( \lambda_\perp = \lambda_{\perp\text{min}} \), which is still larger than \( \lambda_\perp \). After this minimum, a strong increase of induced perturbations occurs in the region where \( \lambda_\perp \) becomes several times shorter than \( \lambda_{\perp\text{min}} \). Amplitudes of generated perturbations become there comparable to the amplitudes of initial waves and such collisions can generate strong turbulence.

While the observed strengthening of the nonlinear interaction with decreasing \( \lambda_\perp \) is expected when taking into account the fact that the responsible nonlinear term is \( - (\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{A} \sim \lambda_{\perp}^{-1} \), the depression observed at \( \lambda_\perp \geq \lambda_{\perp\text{min}} \), and its influence on the transition from weak to strong turbulence need further investigation. At present, we can only state that this depression should result in a shift of the weak-strong turbulence transition to the perpendicular scales significantly shorter than that prescribed by the standard critical balance condition.
3.4. Influence of several collisions

Since the initiated $z^-$ and $z^+$ waves contain one and three periods, respectively, the $z^-$ wave can interact with three periods of the counter-propagating wave, whereas each period of the $z^+$ wave can only interact with one period of $z^-$. We thus expect different amplitudes of the induced perturbation propagating in $z^-$ and $z^+$ directions. To verify this, we measured the perturbations accompanying the $z^+$ wave using the same technique as for $z^-$ wave (remember that in $z^+$ wave the roles of $\nu_x$ and $\nu_y$ are exchanged). A comparison of the corresponding perturbations in the $z^-$ and $z^+$ waves is given in Fig. 6. The top panel shows the amplitudes of perturbations accompanying $z^-$ (blue and green symbols) and $z^+$ (orange and red symbols) and the bottom panel shows the ratio $z^-/z^+$ of the perturbations with the corresponding (orthogonal) polarizations. In both panels, the diamonds denote perturbations with the same polarization as in the original waves ($\lambda_{\perp}$ in $z^-$, $\Delta v_y$ in $z^+$), and the asterisks denote the complimentary polarization.

The behavior of $z^+$ perturbations as a function of $\lambda_{\perp}$ is qualitatively similar to that of $z^-$ perturbations. At the smallest $\lambda_{\perp}$, the ratio of the $-+/+$ perturbations is about 2, and it then approaches 3 with the scale increase, before increasing significantly at $\lambda_{\perp}/\lambda_0 \sim 1$, and it finally drops again to 2 at large perpendicular scales $\lambda_{\perp}/\lambda_0 > 1$. In the region of (super-) strong turbulence, the observed ratio of $z^-/z^+ < 3$ denotes the inapplicability of the perturbation theory: after the first interaction, the wave profiles are already significantly distorted, and the following collisions do not add much.

3.5. Nonzero cross-helicity case

In this section, we analyze the effects of nonzero cross-helicity (imbalance) when the counter-propagating initial waves have different amplitudes. This situation is common in the fast solar wind (Tu et al. 1990; Lucek & Balogh 1998) and also occurs in numerical simulations in local subdomains of the simulation box (Perez & Boldyrev 2009).

We ran dedicated setups with initial amplitudes of $u^- = 0.1$ in the $z^-$ wave and $u^+ = 0.033$ in the $z^+$ wave; both waves have one period. We compare measured perturbations with our main setups in Fig. 7. The black symbols denote $z^-$ perturbation, and orange and red symbols denote $z^+$ perturbations in imbalanced setups, and blue and green symbols denote main setups ($u = 0.1, 1$ period in $z^-$ wave and 3 periods in $z^+$ wave).

The perturbations observed in imbalanced cases are smaller than in the main setups. At the same time, the perturbations (expressed in initial amplitudes $u$) in the $z^-$ wave are $\sim 3$ times smaller than in the $z^+$ wave.
3.6. Perpendicular Fourier spectra

In order to understand the spectral transport generated by the AW collisions, we analyzed the spatial Fourier spectra of the induced waves. The spectra of the \( v_x \) and \( v_y \) velocities at the leading maximum of the \( z \) wave are shown in Fig. 8 for \( \lambda_z = 0.8 \) in the top row, \( \lambda_z = 2.0 \) in the middle row, and \( \lambda_z = 3.0 \) in the bottom row. In the left panels, the spectra of \( v_x \) in a single \( z \) wave are shown, in the middle and right panels the spectra of \( v_x \) and \( v_y \) after the AW collision are shown. In each panel, the \((x,y)\)-coordinates represent corresponding Fourier wave numbers, and the color shows the intensity of a given spectral component. The quasi-logarithmic color table is normalized to the amplitude of a harmonic wave.

![Fig. 8. Perpendicular Fourier spectra of \( v_x \) and \( v_y \) velocities measured in the leading maximum of the \( z \) wave. Top row: \( \lambda_z = 0.8 \); middle row: \( \lambda_z = 2.0 \); bottom row: \( \lambda_z = 3.0 \). Left: \( v_x \) of the setup with the \( z \) wave only; middle and right denote \( v_x \) and \( v_y \) of the setups after AW collisions.](image)

The same Fourier components \((1,2)\) of \( v_x \) are also seen in the middle row of Fig. 8 in the case of intermediate scale \( \lambda_z = 2.0 \); in addition, the spectral components of \( v_x \) with coordinates \((1,1)\) corresponding to \( k = k_1 \hat{x} + k_2 \hat{y} \) are also significant.

The spatial spectra of \( v_x \) and \( v_y \) at the largest scale \( \lambda_z = 3.0 \) are qualitatively different: the strongest induced components have coordinates \((1,1)\), while the others are negligible. These spectral components might be formed by a different mechanism than in the \( \lambda_z = 0.8 \) case. In general, the spectral dynamics observed in our simulations, that is generation of higher wave number spectral components, supports scenarios with direct turbulent cascades generated by AW collisions.

3.7. Field-aligned structure of the induced Alfvén waves

Longitudinal behavior of the Fourier components of the induced Alfvén waves is studied using the following approach: we Fourier-analyzed perpendicular cross-sections at multiple \( z \) coordinates, covering the distance of slightly more than one full wavelength \( \lambda_z \) along \( z \) (see bottom right sketch in Fig. 1). In Fig. 9, we show longitudinal behavior of the spectral components of \( v_z \) with coordinates \((0,1)\), \((1,1)\), \((1,2)\), \((2,1)\), and \((2,2)\) with different colors. The mother wave with spectral coordinates \((0,1)\) is shown in black. The top panel shows the setups with \( \lambda_z = 0.5 \), and the bottom panel shows the setup with \( \lambda_z = 2.0 \). The intensity of the spectral components is multiplied by a factor of 10 in the top panel, and by a factor of 100 in the bottom panel.

We observe a drastically different behavior of the spectral components in different setups. While we do not see any regularity in the larger scale setup, in the setup with \( \lambda_z = 0.5 \) the growth of \((1,1)\) and \((1,2)\) components is highly correlated and their parallel scales are somehow shorter than in initial AWs. In addition, the energy of the induced waves tend to concentrate near the center of the mother wave.

4. Discussion and application

Results of our simulations revealed several new properties of AW collisions in compressional plasmas, which can affect Alfvénic turbulence and anisotropic energy deposition in plasma species. The most striking new property is the modified relation
between the parallel and perpendicular scales in the strong turbulence regime where the energy is efficiently transferred to the smaller scale during one collision.

The turbulence strength is usually characterized by the nonlinearity parameter \( \chi_k \equiv \frac{\tau_{NL}^2}{\tau_k^2} = \frac{(k_v/v_\perp)}{(k_\perp/v_\perp)} \), where \( \tau_{NL}^2 = \lambda_\perp v_\perp / \rho_\perp = 2\pi/ (k_\perp v_\perp) \) is the nonlinear mixing time, \( \tau_k^2 = \lambda_k / \rho_k \) is the linear (correlation) crossing time of colliding AWs, and \( v_\perp \) is the velocity amplitude of the colliding AWs. \( \delta_k \) denotes the velocity amplitude of generated waves. When the classic critical balance condition of incompressible MHD is satisfied, \[ \chi_k = 1, \] (11)
the nonlinear mixing becomes as fast as the linear crossing, and the turbulence is believed to be strong, \( \delta_k / v_\perp \sim 1 \) (Goldreich & Sridhar 1995).

However, as follows from our simulations (see, e.g., Fig. 5 showing \( \delta_k / v_\perp \) as a function of \( k_\perp / k_\parallel \) for two fixed amplitudes, \( u \equiv v_\perp / v_\parallel = 0.1 \) and 0.033, and \( \delta_k = \sqrt{\lambda_k^2 + v_\perp^2} \), the spectral transport in compressible MHD is strongly (about one order of magnitude) suppressed at \( k_\perp / k_\parallel \), satisfying Eq. (11). Namely, \( \delta_k / v_\perp \ll 1 \) at \( k_\perp / k_\parallel = 10 \) for \( u = 0.1 \) and at \( k_\perp / k_\parallel = 30 \) for \( u = 0.033 \). At \( k_\perp / k_\parallel \) increasing further, the spectral transport eventually becomes fast and the turbulence strong, \( \delta_k / v_\perp \sim 1 \), which happens at \( k_\perp / k_\parallel \) obeying the modified critical balance condition, \[ \chi_k = \alpha \chi_k = 1, \] (12)
where \( \alpha < 1 \) is the factor reducing efficiency of the nonlinear mixing (in other words, the effective nonlinear time increases by the factor \( 1/\alpha \)). Consequently, the turbulence becomes strong at perpendicular wave numbers that are larger than in the classic, critically balanced case.

The origin and nature of \( \alpha \) need further clarification. Since \( \alpha \neq 1 \) arises when the plasma compressibility is taken into account, it should depend on the relative content of thermal energy, that is on the plasma \( \beta \). For parameters adopted in our simulations, \( \alpha = 0.3 \) in the critically-balanced state where the scale ratio \( k_\perp / k_\parallel \) obeys \( \chi_k \sim 1 \). Such departure from the classic critical balance affects dynamics of the strong AW turbulence (see below). In the general case of arbitrary scales, the functional dependence \( \alpha = \alpha (\beta, v_\perp, k_\perp / k_\parallel) \) is complex; in particular, \( \delta_k / v_\perp \) becomes a decreasing function of \( k_\perp / k_\parallel \) in some intervals (in Fig. 5, it happens at \( k_\perp / k_\parallel \leq 10 \)), which should greatly affect the weak AW turbulence. We do not exclude that \( \alpha \) may also depend on other parameters of plasma and waves.

Let us consider the Alfvénic turbulence driven by the fluctuating velocity \( v_\parallel \) at the wave number ratio \( k_\parallel / k_\perp \) obeying the critical balance condition \( \chi_{\parallel \perp} = 1 \), in which case the turbulence is already strong at the driving scales. The spectral energy flux in the inertial range is
\[ \epsilon_i = \frac{v_\parallel^2}{\rho} = \alpha \frac{v_\perp^2}{\rho} = \frac{v_\perp^2}{\rho} k_\parallel = \text{const} \equiv \alpha_0 \frac{v_\parallel^2}{\rho} k_\parallel, \] (13)
where \( \tau_k^\perp = \lambda_k / \rho \) is the AW collision time and \( \rho \approx n_0 m_i \) is the mass density. We note that the spectral flux \( \epsilon_i \) from Eq. (13) is reduced as compared to the incompressible strong turbulence driven at the same perpendicular scale, but it remains the same for the turbulence driven at the same parallel scale.

We assume that there is a weak dependence \( \alpha = \alpha_0 (v_\parallel / v_\parallel)^\delta \), where \( 0 < \delta < 3/4 \). Such dependence is suggested by the following semi-empirical considerations. As the observed spectra are power laws, the scaling of \( \alpha \) with \( v_\parallel \) should be a power law as well. Furthermore, the index \( \delta \) of the power-law dependence should be small and positive to reproduce the observed in simulation mismatches between the classic and real critical balances (which is larger for larger wave amplitude). Moreover, such positive values of \( \delta \) appear to be compatible with the observed spectral indexes of turbulence in the quasi-stationary solar wind, which are slightly larger than \(-5/3 \) (up to \(-3/2 \)).

The kinetic energy spectrum is then flatter than the Kolmogorov one:
\[ W_\perp \sim \frac{v_\perp^2}{k_\perp} \sim \sqrt{k_\perp^{-5/3} + 2\delta/9}, \] (14)
and its spectral index varies between \(-5/3 \) and \(-3/2 \), as is typically observed in the solar wind turbulence. In the case of \( \alpha \) constant along the critical balance path, \( \delta = 0 \), the spectrum reduces to the classic Kolmogorov \( W_\perp \sim \frac{v_\perp^2}{k_\perp} \sim k_\perp^{5/3} \). The parallel wave number spectrum is, as usual, \( W_\parallel \sim \frac{v_\parallel^2}{k_\parallel} \sim k_\parallel^2 \).

If the turbulence is weak at injection, \( \chi_{\parallel \perp} = \delta_\parallel / v_\parallel / v_\parallel < 1 \), the cascade time increases from the strong turbulence value \( \tau_{TC}^\parallel \sim \frac{v_\parallel^2}{k_\parallel} \) to the weak turbulence value \( \tau_{TC}^\parallel \sim \delta_\parallel / v_\parallel^2 / k_\parallel^2 \). The resulting weakly turbulent energy flux \( \epsilon_w \) decreases as compared to the strongly turbulent energy flux (13), \( \epsilon_w = \tilde{\chi}_k \epsilon_i \).
\[ \epsilon_w = \frac{\rho v_\parallel^2}{\tau_{TC}^\parallel} = \frac{v_\parallel^2}{k_\parallel} = \text{const} \equiv \frac{\rho v_\parallel^2}{\tau_{\parallel 0}^\parallel} \] (15)
The weakly turbulent spectrum is problematic to calculate because of a complex dependence of \( \delta_\parallel / v_\parallel / v_\parallel \) upon \( k_\parallel / k_\perp \). At present, we can only note that the complexity of the compression weak turbulence is much smaller (about one order, as is demonstrated by Fig. 5) than the incompressional one, \( \chi_{\parallel \perp} \ll \chi_{\parallel \perp} \), which drastically decreases the not very turbulent energy flux.

Although the large-scale MHD AWs do not dissipate directly, the turbulent cascade transfers their energy to small scales where dissipative effects come into play in heating plasma. MHD Alfvénic turbulence has been employed as the mechanism for plasma heating in the solar corona and solar wind, both from the theoretical/modeling perspective (Van Ballegooijen et al. 2011; Verdone et al. 2012) and based on experimental observations of quiescent (Morton et al. 2016; De Moortel et al. 2014; Xie et al. 2017) and flaring loops (Doschek et al. 2014; Kontar et al. 2017). Here, we discuss how the new properties of AW collisions observed in our simulations can affect models of quasi-steady turbulent plasma heating in coronal loops.

Recently, Xie et al. (2017) analyzed as many as 50 loops in active regions using observations of the Extreme-ultraviolet Imaging Spectrometer (EIS) (Culhane et al. 2007) on board the Hinode satellite. They observed nonthermal widths of spectral lines and found corresponding nonthermal velocities in the \( v_\perp \sim 30 \pm 40 \text{ km} \text{ s}^{-1} \) range, magnetic field in the loop apexes up to 30 G, loop widths of \( L_\perp \sim 3 \pm 4 \text{ Mm} \), and loop lengths of \( L_\parallel \sim 100 \text{ Mm} \). Brooks & Warren (2016) also used spectroscopic data from EIS and evaluated nonthermal velocities in loops in 15 active regions. The typical values were somewhat smaller, with typical values of \( v_\perp \sim 20 \text{ km} \text{ s}^{-1} \); the authors did not, however, provide any other parameters. Furthermore, Gupta et al. (2019) analyzed nonthermal widths of spectral lines in high coronal loops (with heights up to 1.4 \( R_\odot \)) measured by EIS and found...
the nonthermal velocities to be in the $20 \div 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ range. The above values can be used to evaluate the turbulent heating of coronal loops.

We assume that there are AW sources at the loop footpoints. These sources can be due to magnetic reconnection and/or photospheric motion (we do not specify their origin in more detail here). The perpendicular AW wavelengths $\lambda_{\perp,0}$ are limited by the cross-$B_0$ scale $L_0$ of density filaments comprising the loops, $\lambda_{\perp,0} \leq L_0$ (wave number $k_{\perp,0} \geq 2\pi / L_0$). We note that $L_0$ can be significantly smaller than the visible loop width $r$. On the contrary, the coronal plasma is quite homogeneous along $B_0$ and the possible parallel wavelength $\lambda_{\parallel}$ are restricted by the loop length $L_c$, $\lambda_{\parallel} \approx 2\pi / k_{\parallel,0} \leq L_c$ (wave number $k_{\parallel,0} \geq 2\pi / L_c$).

For the wavelengths within the limits mentioned above, a large spectral flux, and hence a strong plasma heating, can be established by the strong turbulence driven at the critical balance anisotropy $\alpha k_{\parallel,0} / k_{\perp,0} = \alpha k_{\perp,0} / L_0 = v_{\alpha} / v_{\beta,0}$. The corresponding energy flux injected in the unit volume is $\epsilon_{\text{cor}} \sim \alpha_0 \rho v_{\alpha,0}^2 / L_0$. Assuming that the turbulent velocity at injection $v_{\alpha,0}$ is observed as the nonthermal velocity, $v_{\alpha,0} \approx v_{\alpha}$, and taking $v_{\beta,0} = 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, magnetic field $B = 30 \text{ G}$, and density $n_e = 2 \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ from Xie et al. (2017), we obtain the energy flux $\epsilon_{\text{cor}} \sim \alpha_0 \rho v_{\alpha,0}^2 / L_0 \sim 3 \times 10^{-4} (L_c / L_0)^3 \text{ erg cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$. For sufficiently small $L_c \lesssim 0.1 L_0$, the energy flux $\epsilon_{\text{cor}} \gtrsim 3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ erg cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is enough to heat typical coronal loops. The corresponding parallel wavelengths at injection are $\lambda_{\parallel} \sim (\alpha v_{\alpha} / v_{\beta})^{-1} \lambda_{\perp,0} \lesssim 0.5 L_c$. Therefore, the turbulent cascade and related plasma heating can be effective if the perpendicular length scales of the loop substructures are about ten times smaller than the loop length, which implies that the loops should be structured more than was required by previous turbulent heating models.

5. Conclusions

In the framework of compressional MHD, we studied the spectral transport produced by the collisions between counter-propagating Alfvén waves numerically. The initial two waves are linearly polarized in two orthogonal planes, and their cross-field profiles vary normally from their polarization planes. Polarization and spectral characteristics of the perturbations generated after single and multiple collisions between such AWs were analyzed in detail. The main properties of the resulting spectral transfer are as follows:

– The perturbations generated by AW collisions have smaller scales than the original waves, which supports turbulence scenarios based on the direct turbulent cascade generated by AW collisions;
– We observed two regimes of the AW interaction: the first one is typical for the case of strong turbulence, and the second one is governed by a different mechanism;
– The spectral transfer generated by the AW collisions is strongly suppressed at the scales satisfying the classic critical balance condition (11) of incompressible MHD, which makes the turbulence weak at these scales;
– The strong turbulence is reestablished at significantly smaller perpendicular scales satisfying the modified critical balance condition (12).

We used these properties to reevaluate the turbulent heating of the solar coronal loops. The main conclusion is that the turbulent cascade can heat the loop plasma, provided the loop is structured and the characteristic widths of the loop substructures are more than ten times smaller than the loop width.
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