Diffuse GeV emission in the field of HESS J1912+101 revisited
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ABSTRACT

We have analyzed 12 years of Fermi Large Area Telescope data toward the HESS J1912+101 region. With the latest source catalog and diffuse background models, a γ-ray excess is detected with a significance of ~8σ in the energy range of above 10 GeV. It has been argued that the diffuse GeV emission in the vicinity of HESS J1912+101 are from an extended pulsar wind nebula powered by PSR J1913+101 and also that the hard GeV emission above 10 GeV stems from the shell-type supernova remnant and is connected with the TeV emissions. Different from previous works, our analysis indicates that the H\textsubscript{2} spatial template is preferred over the other spatial templates, suggesting that the diffuse emission component spatially correlates with the dense molecular gas. This spatial correlation favors a hadronic emission scenario, although a leptonic origin cannot be ruled out. In the hadronic scenario, the parent proton spectrum can be described by a power-law function with an index of α = 2.36 ± 0.16. Above 50 GeV, there is no emission, and the upper limits reveal a spectral cutoff or break in the parent proton spectrum that can be explained as propagation effects of cosmic rays. We argue that the parent protons may come from the candidate supernova remnant HESS J1912+101 or the young massive star cluster MC20.
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1. Introduction

Supernova remnants (SNRs) are some of the most likely candidates of cosmic ray (CR) accelerators. From an observational point of view, they are also the most prominent γ-ray sources. Dozens of SNRs have been detected in both high-energy (HE) and very high energy (VHE) bands. AGILE and Fermi-LAT collaboration have detected the pion-bump feature in the medium-age SNRs (Giuliani et al. 2011; Ackermann et al. 2013), which has been regarded as a direct proof that SNRs do accelerate CR protons. On the other hand, the Air Cherenkov Telescope Arrays also detected bright TeV γ-ray emission toward the young SNRs that revealed significant shell-like structures (Aharonian et al. 2007; Albert et al. 2007). This implies that the SNRs can accelerate particles to the VHE domain. The radiation mechanism of these young SNRs is still debated. Hadronic and leptonic scenarios can both provide a reasonable fit to the observed data (see, e.g., Zirakashvili & Aharonian 2010). Yuan et al. (2012) proposed that the γ-ray emissions from SNRs can be separated into two main classes: For the young SNRs, the shocks propagate in low-density environments and the leptonic process dominates. For the old SNRs, CRs have escaped and interacted with the dense molecular clouds, and γ-rays are produced in a hadronic process in this case. However, the recent Fermi-LAT observations of HESS J1731-347 (Cui et al. 2019) found indications for an SNR-cloud interaction for the young shell-like SNRs. Thus the radiation mechanism and particle propagation process near SNRs are far from being understood, even for these extensively studied sources. In this regard, detailed multiwavelength observations toward SNRs can always deepen our understanding of these questions.

We focus on the region surrounding HESS J1912+101. HESS J1912+101 is another shell-like SNR candidate discovered by the H.E.S.S. Collaboration (H.E.S.S. Collaboration 2018). Like HESS J1731-347, it lacks a radio counterpart, but the SNR interpretation is supported by Su et al. (2017) and Reich & Sun (2019) based on the identification of associated shocked molecular gas and polarized radio emission.

The region around HESS J1912+101 is complex and hosts several potential HE γ-ray emitters in addition to the SNR candidate HESS J1912+101. The HAWC collaboration has detected 2HWC J1912+099 (Abeysekara et al. 2017), which yields a tentative indication of the extent of 0.7° and might be associated with HESS J1912+101. The medium-age radio pulsar PSR J1913+1011 at (RA, Dec) = (288.335°, 10.19°) (Morris et al. 2002) is the most plausible counterpart candidate. It is slightly offset from HESS J1912+101 at (RA, Dec) = (288.21°, 10.15°) (Aharonian et al. 2008). It is a rather energetic pulsar with a spin-down luminosity of 2.9 × 10^{39} erg s\textsuperscript{-1}, and its distance was estimated from dispersion measurements to be 4.48 kpc (Manchester et al. 2005). This means that the pulsar is sufficiently energetic to power the HESS source (Aharonian et al. 2008). The young massive star cluster (YMC) Mc20, discovered by the GLIMPSE survey of the inner Galaxy (Mercer et al. 2005), is located within the region of the VHE γ-ray source HESS J1912+101 (Aharonian et al. 2008). It is located at (RA, Dec) = (288.10°, 9.95°) and appears as a concentration of bright stars with a radius of about 1' at both mid-infrared and near-infrared wavelengths.
It contains a yellow super giant (YSG), an early WC star, and several other massive stars (Messineo et al. 2009). The mass of the star cluster is a few $10^4 M_\odot$ and the age ranges from 3 to 8 Myr (Messineo et al. 2009). The distance to the Galactic star cluster Mc20 is most likely 3.8 and 5.1 kpc (Messineo et al. 2009). The luminosity of $4 \times 10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$ for the YSG star in the M20 agrees well with that of RSGC 1 (Messineo et al. 2009). The interstellar extinction and spectrophotometric distances of the YSG, the Wolf–Rayet (WR) stars, blue supergiants (BSGs), and the early-type stars are consistent, which confirms that the stellar cluster is real (Messineo et al. 2009). The mid-infrared bubble N91, which has an average radius of 5.08' and a kinematic distance of 4.9 $\pm$ 1.1 kpc (near) or 7.3 $\pm$ 1.1 kpc (far) (Churchwell et al. 2006), is centered on the border of Mc20 in projection on the sky. Churchwell et al. (2006) suggested that dynamically formed bright bubbles N91 at mid-infrared wavelengths but not coincident with SNRs, planetary nebulae, and radio H II region probably require the massive stars to excite the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) bands and a strong enough wind to evacuate a dust-free cavity.

The recent γ-ray detection of other YMCs (Ackermann et al. 2011; Yang & Aharonian 2017; Aharonian et al. 2019) makes Mc20 also a potential γ-ray source and CR accelerator. We note that Mc20 is only about 0.34° away from PSR J1913+1011. The close spatial distribution, the strong contamination from the background, and the limited resolution of γ-ray telescopes make it hard to resolve the origins of the overlapping γ-ray emissions. In the GeV energy band, Zhang et al. (2020) argued that the diffuse GeV emission in the vicinity of the HESS J1912+101 stems from an extended PWN powered by PSR J1913+1011. Zeng et al. (2021) reanalyzed the Fermi-LAT observations of it and found an extended source with a hard spectrum. They suggested that HESS J1912+101 may be in a peculiar stage of SNR evolution that dominates the acceleration of TeV cosmic rays.

In this work, we reanalyze this region with publicly available Fermi-LAT data. The paper is structured as follows. In Sect. 2 we present the data analysis for Fermi-LAT observations. In Sect. 3 we describe the gas distribution in the vicinity of HESS J1912+101. In Sect. 4 we discuss the possible radiation mechanisms of the γ-ray emission. Section 5 contains the discussion and conclusion.

2. Fermi-LAT data analysis

We used the Fermi-LAT Pass 8 database from August 4, 2008 (MET 239557417), to August 31, 2020 (MET 620554508), to study the GeV γ-ray emission in the region around HESS J1912+101. We considered a region of interest (ROI) with a radius of 10° centered at the position of (RA = 288.104°, Dec = 9.961°) and selected the “source” event class in an energy range from 316 MeV to 230 GeV. Both front- and back-converted photons were included. To exclude time periods in which some spacecraft event affected the data quality, we used the recommended expression (DATA_QUAL > 0) & (&LAT_CONFIG == 1). We applied a maximum zenith angle of 90° to reduce the background contamination from the Earth limb photons. We processed the data using the standard binned likelihood framework provided by the current Fermi tools from the conda distribution together with the latest instrument response functions (IRFs) P8R3_SOURCE_V3.

In our background model, we include the sources in the Fermi-LAT eight-year catalog (4FGL, Abdollahi et al. 2020) within the ROI enlarged by 5°. We left the normalizations and spectral indices free for all sources within a distance of 5° from Mc20. For the diffuse background components, we used the latest Galactic diffuse model gll_iem_v07.fits and isotropic emission model iso_P8R3_SOURCE_V3_v1.txt and left their normalization parameters free. The complex region is located along the Galactic plane, therefore we reoptimized for the test statistic (TS) fits by setting the keyword tsmin = true.

We used the events above 10 GeV to study the spatial distribution of the γ-ray emission in the region around HESS J1912+101. All the assumed components hereafter have a power-law spectral shape. The count map in the 2.5° × 2.5° region is shown in Fig. 1, and the pixel size corresponds to 0.05° × 0.05°, smoothed with a Gaussian filter of 0.25°. Hereafter all the sky maps smoothed with this degree are only for visualization and have no effect on the analysis. The green crosses and circles show the positions of the sources from 4FGL within the region. The region around Mc20 and PSR J1913+1011, marked with black crosses, is characterized by two emission peaks in the count map. The white contours show the VHE γ-ray excess HESS J1912+101 as seen by HESS (H.E.S.S. Collaboration 2018). The tentative extension of 2HWC J1912+099 (Abeysekara et al. 2017) is marked with the yellow circle.

The distance in projection on the sky between Mc20 and PSR J1913+1011 is only 0.33°. It is rather difficult to distinguish the origin of the GeV γ-ray emission in this direction. First, we excluded the unidentified sources 4FGL J1912.7+0957, 4FGL J1913.3+1019, 4FGL J1914.7+1012, and 4FGL J1911.7+1014 from the background model and performed a background-only fitting. The corresponding background-subtracted TS image is shown in Fig. 2. Here the TS value for each pixel is defined as $TS = -2 \ln (L_s - \ln L)$, where $L_s$ is the likelihood value of the background (null hypothesis) and $L_1$ is the likelihood value of the hypothesis for adding a source (alternative hypothesis) located in this pixel. It is obvious that both regions around

---

1. https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/lat/BackgroundModels.html
2. https://github.com/fermi-lat/Fermitools-conda/
Mc20 and PSR J1913+1011 have GeV γ-ray emission. We find that the most significant emission arises near PSR J1913+1011, which was studied extensively in Zhang et al. (2020). We first tested the extension of this source by replacing the point source 4FGL J1913.3+1019 with Gaussian disks, but found no improvement of the likelihood fitting. This is consistent with the results in Zhang et al. (2020).

2.1. Two-point source model

We note that the background point sources 4FGL J1912.7+0957 and 4FGL J1913.3+1019 are located close to HESS J1912+101 in projection on the sky. 4FGL J1913.3+1019 is reported to be associated with the PSR J1913+1011, which is only ∼0.14° away, therefore we used the gtfindsrc tool to reoptimize the positions of the 4FGL J1912.7+0957 and 4FGL J1913.3+1019. The best-fit position is (RA = 287.9°, Dec = 9.9°) (best 1) for the first and (RA = 288.3°, Dec = 10.3°) (best 2) for the second. They are marked with crosses in Fig. 2. We hereafter relocated the two 4FGL sources in the primary background model at their best-fit positions (two-point source model). We performed a binned likelihood analysis. The derived likelihood values from the alternative hypothesis – in \( \mathcal{L} \) and the Akaike information criterion (AIC, Akaike 1974) for the two-point source model are 101954 and 204068, respectively. Here the AIC is defined as

\[
\text{AIC} = 2\mathcal{L} + 2k,
\]

where \( k \) is the number of free parameters in the model, and \( \mathcal{L} \) is the likelihood value of the corresponding model.

2.2. Multi-point source model

We subtracted the source 4FGL J1913.3+1019 from the above two-point source model and derived the residual map shown in Fig. 3. The map revealed significant diffuse residuals in this region. We test different spatial models of these diffuse emissions in the following subsections.

As shown in Fig. 3, the white contours represent the \( \text{H}_2 \) distribution derived from Sect. 3. We find another six peaks in the residual map. We therefore placed the additional six point sources, labeled ps1 to ps6 in Fig. 3, in the background model. Each component has a power-law spectral shape. We performed the binned likelihood analysis. The derived – in \( \mathcal{L} \) and AIC for the multi-point source model are 101906 and 204008, respectively.

2.3. Spatial model for the elliptical disk

We further researched the morphology and extension of the GeV emission. We produced a series of uniform elliptical disks centered at the center of the red ellipse in Fig. 3 in the residual map with various semi-major and semi-minor axes from 0.4° to 1.0° in steps of 0.05°. We used these elliptical disks to replace the spatial components of 4FGL J1912.7+0957, ps2, ps5, and ps6 in the multi-point source model. We find that an elliptical disk with a size of 0.8° × 0.6° such as the red ellipse shown in Fig. 3 can

Fig. 2. Left: Fermi-LAT TS residual map above 10 GeV around HESS J1912+101 after subtracting the sources 4FGL J1912.7+0957, 4FGL J1913.3+1019, 4FGL J1914.7+1012, and 4FGL J1911.7+1014 from the primary model. The size is that of the 2.5° × 2.5° region smoothed with a Gaussian filter of 0.25°, and each pixel is 0.05° × 0.05°. The pluses shows the positions of PSR J1913+1011, Mc20, and N91. The crosses indicate the two best-fit emission peaks for 4FGL J1912.7+0957 and 4FGL J1913.3+1019. The VHE γ-ray excess as seen by HESS is shown as white contours. We removed the six candidate sources labeled ps1 to ps6 from the TS map. The white contours show the TeV γ-ray emission of HESS J1912+101. Right: same TS residual map as in left panel, but the contours of the \( \text{H}_2 \) column density derived in Sect. 3 are overlaid.

Fig. 3. Residual map above 10 GeV near the HESS J1912+101 region after subtracting the background sources and 4FGL J1913.3+1019. The red ellipse with a size of 0.8° × 0.6° represents the spatial model that replaces the components of 4FGL J1912.7+0957, ps2, ps5, and ps6. The white contours represent the \( \text{H}_2 \) distribution.
Table 1. Spatial analysis results (>10 GeV) for different templates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spatial model</th>
<th>Size (°) (a)</th>
<th>$\Delta$S$_{ext}$ (b)</th>
<th>$-\log(L_{\text{ext}})$ (c)</th>
<th>d.o.f. (d)</th>
<th>$\Delta$AIC (e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Two-point source</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>101 954</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-point source</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>101 906</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>–60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elliptical disk</td>
<td>0.8° $\times$ 0.6°</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>101 912</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>–72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HESS template</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>101 920</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>–56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$ template</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>101 909</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>–78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. (a) The size of the extension of the assumed $\gamma$-ray emission. (b) $\Delta$S$_{ext}$ value of the extended source model. (c) Likelihood value of the corresponding model. (d) Degrees of freedom. (e) Calculated with respect to the two-point source model. See Sects. 2.1 and 2.5 for details.

To study the origin of the GeV emission and the underlying energy distribution of the parent particles in the region around HESS J1912+101, we derived the spectral energy distribution (SED) of the extended source 4FGL J1912.7+0957. As described in Sect. 2.5, the consistency of the $\gamma$-ray extension above 10 GeV with the derived H$_2$ distribution shown in Fig. 3 is preferred. We therefore selected the spatial template for H$_2$ and assumed a power-law spectral shape to extract the spectrum. As shown in Figs. 4 and 6 with red points, we derived the SED via the maximum likelihood estimation in eight logarithmically spaced bins for the $\gamma$-ray emission in the energy range of 316 MeV–230 GeV. The significance of the signal detection for each energy bin exceeds 2\$sigma$. 3\$sigma$ upper limits were calculated for the energy bins with a significance lower than 2\$sigma$. The uncertainties of the diffuse emission may have some influence in the low-energy range. We tested these uncertainties by artificially varying the normalization of the Galactic diffuse emission by 6% from the best-fit value for each energy bin (Abdo et al. 2009). We estimate the possible systematic error to be 8–18%. Statistical and systematic errors are included in the error bars shown in Figs. 4 and 6. For comparison, we also derived the SED of the point source 4FGL J1913.3+1019, shown in Fig. 4 in blue. In Fig. 4, the black data are the HESS energy flux spectra taken from HESS J1912+101 (HESS Collaboration 2018), and the green butterfly shows the best-fit power-law model with $\Gamma = 2.64 \pm 0.06$ of 2HWC J1912+099.

3. Gas content around HESS J1912+101

To track the distribution of H$_2$ surrounding the HESS J1912+101 region, we used the CO composite survey (Dame et al. 2001). We used the standard assumption of a linear relation between the velocity-integrated brightness temperature of CO 2.6 mm line, $W_{\text{CO}}$, and the column density of molecular hydrogen, $N$(H$_2$), meaning $N$(H$_2$) = $X_{\text{CO}} \times W_{\text{CO}}$ (Lebrun et al. 1983). The value of the conversion factor $X_{\text{CO}}$ was chosen to be 2.0 × 10$^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ K$^{-1}$ km s$^{-1}$ s (Bolatto et al. 2013; Dame et al. 2001). We considered a radial velocity in the range of 50–70 km s$^{-1}$ for the column density calculations of H$_2$ (Su et al. 2017). The derived H$_2$ column density map is shown in Fig. 5.
calculated the total mass within the cloud in each pixel according to the following expression:

\[ M_{\text{HI}} = m_{\text{HI}} n_{\text{HI}} A_{\text{angular}} d^2, \]

where \( m_{\text{HI}} \) is the mass of the hydrogen atom, and \( n_{\text{HI}} = 2N_{\text{H}_2} \) is the total column density of the hydrogen atom in each pixel derived from the molecular clouds. \( A_{\text{angular}} \) refers to the angular area, and \( d \) is the distance of the objective region. We calculated the mass and number of the hydrogen atom in each pixel and then estimated the mass and number summations within the \( \text{H}_2 \) region. Because the \( \text{H}_2 \) distribution in projection on the sky is irregular, we replaced it with the elliptical disk used in Sect. 2.3 for the calculations. The total mass is estimated to be \( \sim 7.97 \times 10^5 M_\odot \). Assuming ellipsoidal geometries of the \( \text{H}_2 \) spatial distribution within the GeV \( \gamma \)-ray emission region, we estimate the volume of the ellipsoid to be \( V = \frac{4}{3} \pi r_1 r_2^2 \), with corresponding sizes of \((0.8', 0.6')\) for the ellipsoidal geometries. Here \( r_1, r_2 = d \times \theta_1, \theta_2 \) (rad) are the semi-major and semi-minor axes of the assumed ellipse. Then the average gas number densities over the ellipsoidal volumes of the extended region were calculated to be \( \sim 79 \text{ cm}^{-3} \). In addition, we calculated the \( \text{H}_1 \) and \( \text{H}_2 \) column densities using the same methods as Sun et al. (2020a, b). However, there is almost no \( \text{H}_1 \) and \( \text{H}_2 \) gas in the GeV excess region.

As shown in Fig. 3, the excess of the GeV \( \gamma \)-ray emission around HESS J1912+101 is spatially consistent with the high-density molecular gas distribution. This is marked with white contours. The consistency confirms the hadronic origin, that is, the emission comes from the decay of neutral pions produced by the interactions between the accelerated hadrons and the surrounding molecular gas. However, as shown in Fig. 5, the excess of the TeV \( \gamma \)-ray emission HESS J1912+101, marked with white contours, does not seem to be spatially consistent with the distribution of molecular gas.

4. Origin of the \( \gamma \)-ray emission

To fit the SEDs, we used Naima\(^4\) (Zabalza 2015), which is a numerical package that allows us to implement different functions and includes tools to perform an MCMC fitting of nonthermal radiative processes to the data. Because the extended

\(^4\) http://naima.readthedocs.org/en/latest/index.html#
5. Discussion and conclusion

The region surrounding HESS J1912+101 is very complex with the bright pulsar PSR J1913+1011, the SNR candidate, and the YMC Mc20. The crowded nature and our limited understanding of the interstellar medium in this region also introduce large uncertainties in the modeling of the Galactic diffuse $γ$-ray background. In this case, the residuals may be due to the imperfect modeling of the diffuse background, especially considering the spatial coincidence of $γ$-rays and molecular gas. However, as shown in Fig. 6, the hard spectrum with an index of 2.36 is not compatible with the Galactic diffuse $γ$-ray background, which has an index of 2.7. The PWNe and SNRs can also be natural $γ$-ray emitters, and as mentioned above, hadronic and leptonic scenarios can both account for the $γ$-ray emissions.

The PWN scenario of the GeV emission in this region was first proposed by Zhang et al. (2020) and is discussed in detail therein. We also found that such a point source spatially coincides with the position of the pulsar. After subtracting this point source, we found a diffuse emission component. At a distance of about 4 kpc, the physical size of this source is more than 50 pc, which is quite large for typical SNRs, although we cannot entirely exclude this possibility.

Zeng et al. (2021) argued that the diffuse GeV emission stems from the shell of the SNR. The detailed spatial analysis in this work found a better spatial correlation with the gas, implying an alternative explanation, such as CR escape from the SNR that interacts with the molecular cloud. Malkov et al. (2011) argued that in a dense environment near the interacting SNR, strong ion–neutral collisions in an adjacent molecular cloud leads to Alfvén wave evanescence, which introduces fractional particle losses and results in the steepening of the energy spectrum. Su et al. (2017) also found from molecular and atomic line observations that the SNRs already interact with the molecular cloud. This shows that this effect can also address the spectral cutoff or break in the $γ$-ray emissions detected here. The derived total CR proton energy of about $10^{39}$ erg is also consistent with the SNR scenarios.

We also note that the YMC Mc20 is in the vicinity as well. Another explanation may therefore be the CRs that are accelerated by the interaction of the YMC with the ambient gas, as is the case in Cygnus cocoon (Ackermann et al. 2011; Aharonian et al. 2019), NGC 3603 (Yang & Aharonian 2017), W40 (Sun et al. 2020a), and RSGC 1 (Sun et al. 2020b). The significant spatial extension and hard $γ$-ray emission (with an index of 2.36) also show similarities with those of other YMCs. However, the spectra reveal a significant break above 50 GeV, which is significantly different from that in other YMCs. This may be due to the different acceleration ability of these systems.

In conclusion, in the vicinity of HESS J1912+101, we found a diffuse GeV emission component that is spatially correlated with the dense molecular gas. The spectra of this component can be described by a power-law function with an index of 2.36. Above 50 GeV there is no emission, and the upper limit reveals a spectral cutoff or break. The $γ$-ray spectrum can be described by leptonic and hadronic models alike. However, the spatial correlation with gas favors a hadronic origin. The parent protons may come both from the SNR HESS J1912+101 and the YMC Mc20. The former case is similar to other interactions in systems of SNR and molecular clouds such as W44, and the spectral break can be explained as the propagation effects of CRs. If this case is confirmed, it will add another example of YMCs as the acceleration site of CRs. The derived spectral index of 2.36 is similar to other systems like this, but the spectral break of the parent protons at about 1 TeV is significantly smaller than in other observed systems. The difference may shed light on the acceleration and propagation mechanisms of CRs near YMCs. This requires further investigations.

Acknowledgements. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.21233001, 12033011, and 17312339), Guangxi Science Foundation (grant No. 2017AD22006, 2021AC19079). Rui-zhi Yang is supported by the NSFC under grants 11412303, 12041305 and the national youth thousand talents program in China.

References

Aharonian, F., Yang, R., & de Oña Wilhelmi, E. 2019, Nat. Astron., 3, 561
Zabalza, V. 2015, Int. Cosmic Ray Conf., 34, 922