Helium stars exploding in circumstellar material and the origin of Type Ibn supernovae
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ABSTRACT

Type Ibn supernovae (SNe) are a mysterious class of transients whose spectra exhibit persistently narrow He I lines, and whose bolometric light curves are typically fast evolving and overluminous at peak relative to standard Type Ibc SNe. We explore the interaction scenario of such Type Ibn SNe by performing radiation-hydrodynamics and radiative-transfer calculations. We find that standard-energy helium-star explosions within dense wind-like circumstellar material (CSM) can reach a peak luminosity of a few $10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$ on day timescales, which is reminiscent of exceptional events such as AT 2018cow. Similar interactions but with weaker winds can lead to Type Ibc SNe with double-peak light curves and peak luminosities in the range $\sim 10^{42}$ to $10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$. In contrast, the narrow spectral lines and modest peak luminosities of most Type Ibn SNe are suggestive of a low-energy explosion in an initially $\lesssim 5 M_\odot$ helium star, most likely arising from interacting binaries and colliding with a massive helium-rich, probably ejecta-like, CSM at $\sim 10^{15}$ cm. Nonlocal thermodynamic equilibrium radiative-transfer simulations of a slow-moving dense shell born out and powered by the interaction compare favorably to Type Ibn SNe such as 2006jc, 2011hw, or 2018bcc at late times and suggest a composition made of about 50% helium, a solar metallicity, and a total ejecta and CSM mass of 1–2 $M_\odot$. A lower fractional helium abundance leads to weak or absent He I lines and thus excludes more massive configurations for observed Type Ibn SNe. Further, the dominance of Fe II emission below 5500 Å seen in Type Ibn SNe at late times is not predicted at low metallicity. Hence, despite their promising properties, Type Ibn SNe from a pulsational-pair instability in very massive stars, requiring low metallicity, probably have not been observed yet.
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1. Introduction

 Supernova (SN) 1999cq was the first reported occurrence exhibiting typical Type Ic spectral properties superimposed with the presence of narrow He I lines, suggestive of the interaction of a hydrogen-free ejecta with helium-rich hydrogen-free circumstellar material (hereafter CSM; Matheson et al. 2000). Type Ibn SNe discovered since then reveal a diversity in photometric and spectral properties. This includes events such as SN 2006jc (Foley et al. 2007; Pastorello et al. 2007), SN 2005fa (Pastorello et al. 2008b), SNe 2010al and 2011hw (Smith et al. 2012; Pastorello et al. 2015a), OGLE-2012-SN-006 (Pastorello et al. 2015c), SN 2014av (Pastorello et al. 2016), ASASSN-15ed (Pastorello et al. 2015b), or SN 2015U (Shivvers et al. 2016). The peak luminosity of Type Ibn SNe can reach values as high as in Type IIn SNe, covering the range $10^{42}$–$10^{43.5}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (corresponding to peak R-band magnitudes in the range $\sim 17$ to $\sim 20$ mag; Pastorello et al. 2008a, Hosseinzadeh et al. 2017). The rise time to maximum is usually short (much shorter than in Type Ibn SNe of similar maximum luminosity), ranging from a few days to $\sim 15$ d. A single light curve maximum is normally witnessed, but some events exhibit a double-peak light curve (e.g., SN 2011hw; Pastorello et al. 2015a). The post-maximum decline rates are usually high, although in some rare cases such as OGLE-2012-SN-006 the luminosity remains substantial out to late times (Pastorello et al. 2015c). Type Ibn SNe have blue spectra prior to and at maximum light, with lines of He I that greatly vary in shape (pure emission line or P-Cygni profile) and width to and at maximum light, with lines of He I between objects and between epochs. SN 2006jc exhibited He I line widths suggestive of an expansion speed of about 2000 km s$^{-1}$. SN 2010al and ASSAS-15ed showed He I lines that were narrow up to maximum (full-width-at-half-maximum, hereafter FWHM, of $\sim 1000$ km s$^{-1}$), and broadened with time (FWHM $\sim 5000$ km s$^{-1}$). Although not the norm, H I lines can be present (e.g., SN 2005fa; Pastorello et al. 2008b). Narrow lines with broad wings resulting from noncoherent scattering with free electrons are sometimes seen in Type Ibn SNe (e.g., SN 2010al; Pastorello et al. 2015a), although this seems much rarer than in Type IIn SNe (some representative Type Ibn spectra are reproduced in Sect. 5 of this paper).

The general consensus on the origin of Type Ibn SNe is a Wolf-Rayet star exploding within a helium-rich CSM (Matheson et al. 2000; Pastorello et al. 2007; Hosseinzadeh et al. 2017). Lacking a good theory for the pre-SN mass loss, it is not clear what progenitors produce these Type Ibn SNe. Theoretical models of high mass stars suggest that a pulsational-pair instability in very massive stars at low...
metallicity is an ideal scenario for Type Ibn SNe (Yoshida et al. 2016; Woosley 2017). However, observations of the explosion site and its environment suggest that in some cases, lower-mass massive stars are favored, for example for SN 2006jc or 2014C (Maund et al. 2016; Sun et al. 2020a,b). In some cases, the association of Type Ibn SNe with massive stars is even lacking (Hosseinzadeh et al. 2019). Support for the interaction scenario is in part given by the UV and X-ray detections, for example for SN 2006jc (Immler et al. 2008). Emission from a slow-moving dense shell yields a satisfactory explanation for the spectral characteristics of Type Ibn SNe (Chugai 2009). Numerous studies promote the interaction of an ejecta of $10^{51}$ to $10^{52}$ erg with CSM (as for SN 2006jc; Tominaga et al. 2008; Chugai 2009), but this scenario conflicts with the lack of broad spectral lines and the relatively modest peak luminosities of Type Ibn SNe.

To clarify the origin of Type Ibn SNe and investigate the physical conditions producing their spectral properties, we conduct a number of numerical simulations for the radiation hydrodynamics of ejecta/CSM interaction and for the nonlocal thermodynamic equilibrium (non-LTE) radiative-transfer in a hydrogen-free helium-rich dense shell powered by interaction. In the next section, we discuss the representative mass of helium-rich material present in massive stars at the end of their lives. This sets the stage for the potential ejecta/CSM configurations relevant for Type Ibn SNe. Section 3 presents results for a set of radiation-hydrodynamics simulations of the interaction between an ejecta and CSM either produced in a nonterminal explosion or in a super-wind phase. Section 4 presents non-LTE radiative transfer calculations for a slowly moving dense shell subject to a prescribed power. After presenting the numerical setup (Sect. 4.1), we discuss the basic properties for a representative configuration (Sect. 4.2), the dependency of radiative properties on the cold-dense shell (CDS) mass and composition (Sect. 4.3), and the impact of the iron abundance (Sect. 4.4). We present a few spectral comparisons to observed Type Ibn SNe in Sect. 5 and conclude in Sect. 6.

2. How much helium in massive stars at core collapse

The dominance and persistence of He I lines is a fundamental property of Type Ibn SNe. The absence of H I lines, as observed in Type IIn SNe, shows that the helium is not from the hydrogen-rich mixture encountered for example in the envelope of red-supergiant stars. Type Ibn SNe must arise from explosions or interactions in which helium dominates the composition. Since the mass of helium will be a fundamental parameter affecting the spectra of Type Ibn SNe, we quantified how much helium, free of hydrogen, is present in massive stars at the time of core collapse\(^1\).

\(^1\) Some stars may contain a lot of helium but much earlier in their evolution. For example, helium stars, formed after prompt removal of the hydrogen-rich envelope by Roche lobe overflow at the onset of core helium burning, are nearly pure helium (see, for example, the initial conditions for such helium stars in Woosley 2019). However, an eruption or an explosion is not expected in such objects at this phase of evolution.

Figure 1 is a compilation of results from single- and binary-star evolution calculations. The single-star models are from Sukhbold et al. (2016) and cover main-sequence masses between 9.0 and 26.5 $M_\odot$. These models reach core collapse with a massive residual hydrogen-rich envelope, an helium core unaffected by mass loss, and a combined He/C and He/N shell mass typically between 0.8 and 1.2 $M_\odot$ for 10–26.5 $M_\odot$ progenitor masses, and down to 0.2–0.4 $M_\odot$ for progenitors of 9.0 and 9.5 $M_\odot$. With the exception of the lightest massive stars undergoing core collapse, there is a narrow range of helium-shell masses, which results from the fact that higher mass massive stars have an ever growing CO core so that the He/C and He/N shell masses are similar in a 10 $M_\odot$ and a 26.5 $M_\odot$ star. The binary-star models in Fig. 1 are from Woosley (2019) and cover initial helium-shell masses between 2.6 and 22 $M_\odot$ (corresponding to main-sequence masses between ~14 and ~56 $M_\odot$). Three model subsets are shown that assume a nominal mass loss rate (x1p0), a 50% (x1p5) and a 100% (x2p0) enhanced mass loss rate during the helium-star evolution. Because of the effect of mass loss on the helium core and the lack of an hydrogen-burning shell adding helium to the helium core (as in single stars), the final helium yield is lower that in the single star set, and between 0.7–1.0 $M_\odot$ for models x2p6 to x5p0 and decreasing for higher initial helium-star masses. This reduction in helium mass is greater for higher adopted mass loss rates.

Figure 1 shows that no model, however massive, ejects more than about 1.2 $M_\odot$ of helium (unmixed with hydrogen), and that the models with the largest fractional helium abundance are lower mass helium stars in binaries (with helium-star masses between 2.6 and 5.0 $M_\odot$). This is a strong hint that the progenitors of Type Ibn SNe might be found in lower-mass massive stars evolving in interacting binaries.

At the high mass end, pulsational-pair instability models are predicted to eject shells that contain helium, but in these ejecta helium represents only a small fraction of the total mass, typically less than ~20% (Yoshida et al. 2016). This composition may not be suitable to explain the dominance and persistence of He I lines observed in Type Ibn SNe – we return to this point in Sect. 4 when discussing synthetic spectra from our radiative transfer simulations.

Another interesting aspect concerns the typical explosion energies estimated for the helium-star progenitors of Type Ibc
of standard Type Ibc SN ejecta, which, is intriguing.2 If the total kinetic energy of the inner shell before interaction was large, a strong deceleration would have resulted in a much larger time-integrated bolometric luminosity, of at least several $10^{50}$ erg, which is not the case. If both shells moved fast and resulted in a weak interaction, the deceleration could be small, but then the lines would be broad throughout the evolution, which is not the case. So, the initial ejecta kinetic energy of the inner shell must be small, atypical of a standard explosion. Further, the lack of hydrogen in Type Ibn SNe, the dominance of He I lines at all times, and the limited helium mass (free of hydrogen) in massive stars at around $M_\odot$ (see Sect. 2) suggest that the total mass involved in these interactions is small and on the order of a solar mass. Finally, there is documented evidence that in some Type Ibn SNe the outer shell was produced a few years before the progenitor star exploded (e.g., SN 2006jc; Pastorello et al. 2007), which suggests the interaction takes place at large distances. Guided by these characteristics, the aim of this section is to clarify what interaction configurations are compatible with Type Ibn SNe.

It is worthwhile to clarify what is meant by ejecta and wind, which we use in turn to parametrize the CSM. An ejecta is produced by a very short but large energy deposition at some depth in the stellar interior. This leads to the formation of a shock and its outward propagation through the star eventually causes the unbinding of a fraction or all of the overlying layers. The timescale for the energy injection is extremely small compared to the expansion time scale of the ejecta. In contrast, a wind is driven by the continuous supply of energy or momentum at and above the stellar surface. It is a surface phenomenon that peels off the outermost layer of the star. In the case of a radiation-driven wind, a large photon luminosity has to be provided for the entire duration of the wind phase. Hence, the phenomena at the origin of an ejecta or a wind are entirely different.

### 3.1. Numerical setup

We performed 1D multigroup radiation hydrodynamics simulations for a variety of interaction configurations involving an inner shell and an external shell. The inner shell was assumed to result from an explosion, most likely following core collapse. For the outer shell, we considered two possible scenarios. The material was either explosively ejected (as would occur, for example, in a nuclear flash; Woosley et al. 1980; Dessart et al. 2010; Woosley & Heger 2015), or driven out in the form of a super-Eddington wind (for example as a result of excitation by waves born in the core of the progenitor; Quataert & Shiode 2012; Fuller 2017; see also Quataert et al. 2016 and Owocki et al. 2017 for the mechanisms behind such winds). The distinction between these two cases is nontrivial. In SN ejecta, the bulk of the mass lies at low velocity and the velocity is a linear function of radius. In the case of a wind, the mass is more uniformly spread in radius (following a $1/r^2$ dependence) and the velocity is constant with radius (for a more detailed discussion of the implication of these two configurations, see discussion in Dessart et al. 2016).

The 1D multigroup radiation hydrodynamics simulations were carried out with HERACLES (González et al. 2007; Vaytet et al. 2011), using the approach discussed in Dessart et al. (2015). An ideal-gas equation of state was used for simplicity.

---

1 We note, however, that the Ca II near-infrared triplet exhibits a large width at late times in some Type Ibn SNe like 2006jc (Pastorello et al. 2007). Unfortunately, we have not been able to elucidate this property.
and also because the bulk of the internal energy in explosions and interactions is stored in radiation. For the present simulations on Type Ibn SNe, we considered only hydrogen-free material. The configurations for the inner and outer shells were taken from the helium-star progenitor and explosion models of Dessart et al. (2020b) – these models are very similar to those produced by Woosley (2019) and Ertl et al. (2020). The complex composition of these models was simplified so that HERACLES would only follow helium, oxygen, silicon, and iron (with a suitable renormalization of the sum of mass fractions to unity) in order to capture the basic chemical stratification of these ejecta. This was done for the purpose of using the adequate, composition-dependent, opacities at each location of the grid. In practice, opacities were calculated as a function of composition, density, temperature and energy group (for details, see Dessart et al. 2015). We used eight energy groups positioned at strategic locations to capture the strong variation in absorptive opacity with wavelength. One group covers the Lyman continuum, two groups sample the Balmer continuum, two other groups cover the Paschen continuum, and finally three groups sample the Brackett continuum and beyond. We include radioactive decay power from $^{56}$Ni and $^{56}$Co and assume that this power is deposited at the site of emission.

For these radiation-hydrodynamics simulations, we were first interested in the bolometric light curve properties, in particular the rise time to peak, the peak luminosity, and the time-integrated bolometric luminosity over the high-luminosity phase. We also wanted to study the properties of the CDS that inevitably forms at the junction of the inner shell and outer shell, composed of swept-up CSM and decelerated ejecta material. The diversity of light curve and dynamical properties was generated by varying the properties of the inner and outer shells. We studied the configuration of a standard-energy core-collapse SN explosion of a Wolf-Rayet star interacting with a dense wind, but also explored other configurations that departed significantly from this.

The default ejecta model for the inner shell is the solar-metallicity model he4 from Dessart et al. (2020b) at 1 d after explosion (this model is a close analog of model he4p0 from Ertl et al. 2020, but differs slightly in ejecta mass and kinetic energy – to distinguish these two models, we use he4 to refer to the model from Dessart et al. 2020b and he4p0 to refer to the model from Ertl et al. 2020). We adopted the radius, velocity, density, temperature and composition from that model, including $^{56}$Ni. The ejecta is hot and optically thick at that time, with a significant storage of radiation energy so we start with the initial temperature of this ejecta at that time. For the outer shell, we assumed a composition identical to the outermost point in the he4 model (i.e., in the He/N shell), which is essentially pure helium and metals at their solar metallicity value (there is no $^{56}$Ni in the outer shell). For the outer shell, an analytical description of the fluid variables was used for both the ejecta case (for details, see Dessart & Audit 2019), or for the wind case (assum- ing a wind velocity $V_w$ and a density $\rho = M/4\pi R^2 V_w$, where $M$ is the wind mass loss rate and $R$ is the radius). The outer shell material was assumed to be cold initially, with a temperature of 2000 K.

We joined the inner and outer shells at a radius $R_t$ of about $10^{14}$ cm (see Fig. A.1). This corresponds to an age for the inner shell of about one day after core collapse, while the outer shell was produced months to years before core collapse (depending on its velocity). Different values of $R_t$ reflect different physical evolutions for the progenitor star but do not alter much the overall trends discussed here, as long as $R_t$ remains below a few $10^{15}$ cm (for larger values of $R_t$, the outer shell would be optically-thin, the thermalization would be weaker, the deceleration of the inner shell less efficient etc.)

For the inner shell, the default kinetic energy is $E_{kin} = 7 \times 10^{49}$ erg, $M_{ej} = 1.49 M_\odot$, and $M_{Ni} = 0.08 M_\odot$. Variants were produced in which we scaled the velocity by a factor $\sqrt{0.1}$ (corresponding to a scaling of $E_{kin}$ by 0.1) and others in which we scaled the density by a factor of 0.1 (corresponding to a scaling of both $E_{kin}$, $M_{ej}$, and $M_{Ni}$ by 0.1). These scaled variants are not fully consistent but they are useful to explore the outcome of interactions involving a less energetic or a less massive inner ejecta. For the outer shell, we considered either a ejecta of 1 $M_\odot$ with $E_{kin}$ of $10^{47}$ or $10^{49}$ erg (models E1 to E6), or winds with $V_w = 10^{3}$ km s$^{-1}$ and wind mass loss rates of 0.001, 0.01, or 0.1 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ (models W1 to W9).

Table 1 presents a summary of the HERACLES simulations, both for the initial interaction configurations and for the resulting light curve and CDS properties. We show the initial radial profile of the velocity, density, and temperature for all interaction configurations in Fig A.1.

### 3.2. Results

The resulting dynamical and radiative properties reflect how the kinetic energy stored in the outer parts of the inner shell is absorbed by the outer shell. In turn, the optical depth of the outer shell sets the typical diffusion time over which the bulk of this power is radiated (this timescale is also comparable to the rise time to maximum). When the configuration becomes optically thin, the model luminosity is equal to the shock luminosity $L_{shock} = \frac{2\pi R^2 \rho V_{shock}^3}{\tau_{shock}}$ (here, $R$ is the radius of the shock, $\rho$ is the CSM density ahead of the shock, and $V_{shock}$ is the shock velocity) together with the contribution from radioactive decay power.

In the next two sections, we present some results from radiation-hydrodynamics simulations. We do not intend in this work to be quantitative and to propose a specific model for any specific observation. Rather, we explore the systematics for various configurations, and give special attention to the salient features of transient light curves, namely the rise time to peak, the peak luminosity, and the time-integrated bolometric luminosity over the high-luminosity phase. Detailed comparisons of models and observations are deferred to a future study.

#### 3.2.1. Outer shell: The wind case

The left panel of Fig. 3 shows the bolometric light curves for the interaction of an ejecta with a wind. The wind mass loss rates cover the range from 0.001 to 0.1 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ and have at best a moderate optical depth. If the shock power is small relative to the decay power (models W1, W2, W3 with a scaled velocity), the rise to maximum and the luminosity at maximum are essentially unchanged from the case with no wind (for a $^{56}$Ni mass of 0.08 $M_\odot$, the peak bolometric luminosity is at about $10^{41.2}$ erg s$^{-1}$). However, the shock power boosts the model brightness before maximum, when it is otherwise low in such ejecta. This configuration does not directly apply to Type Ibn SNe like 2006jc but is important for early-time properties of Type Ibc SNe. It shows that wind interaction can produce a bolometric light curve analogous to that resulting from a progenitor star with an extended radius (see, for example, Bersten et al. 2012; Piro 2015; Dessart et al. 2018).
The modest boost due to interaction places model W4 among the brightest Type Ibc SNe that are neither broad lined nor associated with a GRB (Drout et al. 2011; Prentice et al. 2016; Dessart et al. 2020b; Woosley et al. 2021). Hence, wind interaction may be the currently missing power source for Type Ibc SNe observed in this range of peak luminosities. The impact on line profiles would be limited to differences in absorption and emission at the highest velocities, so that it may not be so evident from spectra taken around the time of the $^{56}$Ni-powered maximum or later.

For the simulation with the largest ejecta kinetic energy and the denser wind (model W6), the light curve rises in about one day to a bolometric maximum of $\sim 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$, followed by a steady decline until late times. The contribution from decay power is subdominant and leaves no clear signature on the light curve. Throughout most of this light curve evolution, the shock power is unaffected by optical depth effects, that is the instantaneous shock power sets the bolometric luminosity at all times.

If the shock power is large and typically larger than the contribution from decay power, the peak luminosity is dominated by interaction power, and the rise time is set by the diffusion time through the CSM, which is on the order of a day (a longer rise time in the wind/CSM case requires a CSM closer to the star or a greater wind mass loss rate). One exception is model W4 in which the first peak due to interaction power is of comparable strength to the second peak, which is due to both decay power and interaction power. This is reminiscent of the double-peak light curve observed in SN 2011hw (Pastorello et al. 2015a). For the simulation with the largest ejecta kinetic energy and the denser wind (model W6), the light curve rises in about one day to a bolometric maximum of $\sim 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$, followed by a steady decline until late times. The contribution from decay power is subdominant and leaves no clear signature on the light curve. Throughout most of this light curve evolution, the shock power is unaffected by optical depth effects, that is the instantaneous shock power sets the bolometric luminosity at all times.

Of critical importance here is to study the velocity of the dense shell that forms in the interaction. For models with a
standard-energy ejecta, the asymptotic velocity of the CDS drops from \( \sim 19\,000 \) (W4) to \( \sim 14\,000 \) (W5) and \( \sim 9\,000 \) km s\(^{-1}\) (model W6), and the mass in the CDS increases, in the same order, from \( \sim 0.01 \) to \( \sim 0.25 \, M_\odot \). In these simulations, the deceleration of the ejecta by the CSM is small. The CDS contains little mass and moves very fast, much faster than inferred from observed Type Ibn SNe. In the presence of \( ^{56}\text{Ni} \), the model radiation would arise exclusively from the fast moving dense shell and one would observe very broad lines. In the presence of \( ^{56}\text{Ni} \), a significant fraction of the model radiation would arise from the inner ejecta and one would thus expect narrower lines but from metal species typical of the inner ejecta composition (hence not helium). Both options appear incompatible with the observations of Type Ibn SNe.

In models in which we scaled down the density or the velocity, the deceleration by the CSM is much greater. In model W3 in which we scaled the velocity, the CDS moves at about 3500 km s\(^{-1}\) and contains about 0.1 \( M_\odot \). However, the model luminosity is hardly affected by shock power, and thus much smaller than in garden-variety Type Ibn SNe.

### 3.2.2. Outer shell: The ejecta case

The right panel of Fig. 4 shows the bolometric light curves for the interaction of an ejecta with another ejecta — similar light curves have been shown in a similar context by Woosley et al. (2021). Out of a large parameter space, we selected configurations that would seem suitable for Type Ibn SNe. Since the representative helium mass in helium-star progenitors is about 1 \( M_\odot \), we chose an outer shell of 1 \( M_\odot \). To ensure interaction, the outer shell is given a modest representative velocity \( V_\text{m} = \sqrt{2E_\text{kin}/M_\text{ej}} \) of 100 or 1000 km s\(^{-1}\) \( (E_\text{kin} \sim 10^{47} \text{ or } 10^{49} \text{ erg}) \). At the onset of interaction when the inner ejecta is 1 d old, the age of the outer shell is 750 or 24 d, in the same order.

Because of the large CSM mass (mostly confined to the inner parts of the outer shell), the diffusion time through the outer shell is now much larger than in the wind case above. The rise time to peak is about 10 d in all cases, but with peak luminosities that extend to even greater values. In the case of a standard energy explosion for the inner ejecta, the peak luminosity reaches \( 3 - 5 \times 10^{49} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) \((\text{models E3 and E4})\), decreasing to \( 10^{48} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) at 20 d. These huge peak luminosities are comparable to those inferred for AT 2018cow (see, for example, Margutti et al. 2019), and arise because the CSM mass rivals the ejecta mass, leading to an efficient conversion of kinetic energy into radiation energy.

When the inner-shell velocity or density is scaled down, the peak luminosity is considerably reduced. In model E2 with an inner ejecta kinetic energy of only \( 7.5 \times 10^{49} \) erg, the peak luminosity is about \( 10^{49} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) and compatible to that inferred for SN 2006jc. Models E5 and E6 in which the inner ejecta is ten times lighter and has ten times less \( ^{56}\text{Ni} \), the peak luminosity is of \( \sim 10^{48} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) and the interaction power is the primary power source at all times. These ejecta/CSM configurations, in which the CSM is at least as heavy as the more energetic inner shell, are comparable to the one invoked for SN 1994W (Dessart et al. 2016), which is also relevant for producing other super-luminous events like the Type IIn SN 2006gy (Jerkstrand et al. 2020).

With all six configurations, the deceleration of the inner shell is very large. The asymptotic velocity of the CDS is in the range of \( \sim 1000 \) to \( \sim 5000 \) km s\(^{-1}\). In model E2, the CDS velocity is 2000 km s\(^{-1}\), comparable to the expansion speeds inferred for SN 2006jc at late times (Pastorello et al. 2007; Foley et al. 2007). Combined with the strong deceleration, nearly all the mass available ends up in the CDS.

This exploration reveals numerous problems with the general theory for Type Ibn SNe, which is that they would arise from a standard explosion of a Wolf-Rayet star into a dense environment. In that case, a modest peak luminosity of \( 10^{44} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) implies a very large CDS velocity and broad spectral lines. On the other hand, a modest CDS velocity of 2000 km s\(^{-1}\) would require a strong ejecta deceleration which would make the peak luminosity reach values in excess of \( 10^{44} \) erg s\(^{-1}\). To match both the peak luminosity and the expansion speeds inferred from Type Ibn SNe requires another configuration.

A configuration that seems to work both for the radiation and kinematic properties of Type Ibn SNe is a low-energy low-mass ejecta ramming into a massive outer shell (as in models E1, E2, E5 or E6), ensuring the persistence of narrow lines and a modest peak luminosity. One such configuration is met with a low-mass massive star evolved in a binary system, stripped of its hydrogen-rich envelope by mass transfer, undergoing a nuclear flash or a super-wind phase in the final stages of its evolution, and ejecting the remaining material in a weak core-collapse explosion. This is an analog in a Type Ibn of what has been proposed for the Type IIn SN 1994W (Dessart et al. 2016).

### 4. Non-LTE radiative-transfer calculations for Type Ibn supernovae at nebular times

#### 4.1. Approach and numerical setup

The results from the previous section indicate that a suitable configuration for Type Ibn SNe like 2006jc, 2011hw, or 2018bcc may be a \( 10^{50} \) erg ejecta with \( \lesssim 1 \, M_\odot \) ramming into a slowly moving \( 1 \, M_\odot \) outer shell. At late times, all the mass in the system has piled up into a dense shell moving at a velocity order \( 10 \) km s\(^{-1}\). There is little mass either below or above the dense shell, hence most of the absorption and emission arises from the dense shell. In this situation, when focusing on the low-energy radiation, it is no longer necessary to consider the global and complex structure of the interaction, and one can instead focus exclusively on the CDS.

To simplify further the approach, we may no longer distinguish between inner-shell and outer-shell material within the CDS. Weeks after the bolometric maximum, fluid instabilities will probably have had sufficient time to produce a mixed composition of both shells. Furthermore, the pre-SN ejecta occurs just months to years before core collapse, so one can assume that the inner shell and the outer shell material involved in the interaction arise from the envelope above the iron core in the progenitor. For our radiative-transfer calculations, we can therefore use a helium-star explosion model, and assume that at late times, this ejecta is a good representation of the combined inner and outer shells ejected in two consecutive events. In this work, we thus use the helium-star explosion models originally presented by Ertl et al. (2020). We enforce a chemical mixing by running four times a boxcar width of 0.06 \( M_\odot \) through the ejecta. In our calculations, we consider solar-metalllicity progenitor models with an initial helium-star mass between 2.9 and 12 \( M_\odot \) (for details on these models, see Dessart et al. 2021). Following our assumption for the late-time conditions, we put the total mass of each model into the dense shell. The density structure adopted follows a Gaussian with a central density of \( \sim 10^{14} \) cm\(^{-3}\) and a standard deviation of 70 km s\(^{-1}\). With this choice, the CDS density is comparable to that inferred for AT 2018cow (see, for example, Margutti et al. 2019), and arise because the CSM mass rivals the ejecta mass, leading to an efficient conversion of kinetic energy into radiation energy.

When the inner-shell velocity or density is scaled down, the peak luminosity is considerably reduced. In model E2 with an inner ejecta kinetic energy of only \( 7.5 \times 10^{49} \) erg, the peak luminosity is about \( 10^{49} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) and compatible to that inferred for SN 2006jc. Models E5 and E6 in which the inner ejecta is ten times lighter and has ten times less \( ^{56}\text{Ni} \), the peak luminosity is of \( \sim 10^{48} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) and the interaction power is the primary power source at all times. These ejecta/CSM configurations, in which the CSM is at least as heavy as the more energetic inner shell, are comparable to the one invoked for SN 1994W (Dessart et al. 2016), which is also relevant for producing other super-luminous events like the Type IIn SN 2006gy (Jerkstrand et al. 2020).

With all six configurations, the deceleration of the inner shell is very large. The asymptotic velocity of the CDS is in the range of \( \sim 1000 \) to \( \sim 5000 \) km s\(^{-1}\). In model E2, the CDS velocity is 2000 km s\(^{-1}\), comparable to the expansion speeds inferred for SN 2006jc at late times (Pastorello et al. 2007; Foley et al. 2007). Combined with the strong deceleration, nearly all the mass available ends up in the CDS.

---

4 A forecast of such instabilities in interacting SNe can be gleaned from the study of Blondin et al. (1996). More work, in 3D, including radiation transport, with realistic progenitors, and in the parameter space relevant for Type Ibn SNe, is needed to determine the level of mixing and clumping in the CDS.
adopted structure, we rescale the density to match the ejecta mass of each helium-star explosion model – the density in the dense shell is 100–1000 times greater than in the inner ejecta of the he4p0 model without interaction.

We vary the age of the configuration by adopting different radii for the CDS, here chosen to be at 2, 3, or 6 $\times 10^{15}$ cm – an example for the model he4p0 is shown in Fig. 4. For reference, a shell moving at 500 km s$^{-1}$ for two years would reach a radius of 3.15 $\times 10^{15}$ cm, which gives an idea of how far the 2004 pre-SN outburst of SN 2006jc may have travelled (Pastorello et al. 2007). Because the spectrum formation occurs locally within the CDS, homologous expansion may be assumed. We no longer capture the nonmonotonic velocity structure like in Dessart et al. (2015), but this allows us to run CMFGEN in standard, steady-state, line-blanketed mode, with a proper account of the effect of lines on the temperature and ionization structure within the CDS.

Finally, we inject power to mimic the contributions from the interaction and radioactive decay. We choose a Gaussian power profile whose volume integral yields a prescribed total power – we explore with values of 2–10 $\times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$, which are typical of the high-luminosity phase of Type Ibn SNe like 2006jc. For the decay power, we adopt the same initial $^{56}$Ni mass as given in each helium-star explosion model of Dessart et al. (2021), and we assume that at the time of the computation, the $^{56}$Ni has entirely decayed into a mixture composed of 50% $^{56}$Co and 50% $^{56}$Fe, as expected for a post-explosion epoch of about three months, roughly representative of the nebular epochs that we aim to study here$^5$. When comparing to specific observations, using the inferred post-explosion time (and the adequate mixture of $^{56}$Co and $^{56}$Fe – only traces of $^{56}$Ni would remain) would have been superior but it is not essential for this first exploration on the basic properties of Type Ibn SNe. It would also imply rerunning a model for each observation, while there still remains much ambiguity on the actual $^{56}$Ni mass produced in Type Ibn SNe in the first place.

4.2. Basic spectral properties

Figure 5 shows the resulting CMFGEN spectrum for a CDS composed of the entire he4p0 ejecta material (see composition and density profiles in Fig. 4), centered around a radius of 3 $\times 10^{15}$ cm, with a velocity of 2000 km s$^{-1}$, and subject to a total power of 2 $\times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$. This model exhibits a very peculiar spectrum by SN standards, but quite typical of Type Ibn SNe several weeks after bolometric maximum. With its strong Fe II emission between 3000 and 5500 Å it is in fact reminiscent of the predicted nebular-phase models obtained for helium-star explosions in the mass range 2.6–4.0 $M_\odot$ (see Dessart et al. 2021). The bottom panel of Fig. 5 shows that the model spectrum is nearly entirely an Fe II spectrum (with some contribution from Fe I), although the Fe abundance is only 1.6% of the total mass. The spectrum also exhibits a myriad of He I lines at 3889, 3965, 4026, 4471, 5016, 5876, 6678, 7065, and 7281 (note that the feature around 3900 Å is He I 3889 Å and not Ca II H&K), something that is not expected in nebular phase spectra of Type Ib SNe. In the red part of the optical range, the model exhibits lines of O I (multiplets around 8446 Å), O II (multiplets

---

$^5$ The time when the number of $^{56}$Co and $^{56}$Fe nuclei are equal is given by $t_{\text{cog}} \log(2t_{\text{cog}}/(t_{\text{cog}} - t_{\text{SG}}))$, where $t_{\text{cog}}$ and $t_{\text{SG}}$ are the lifetimes of $^{56}$Co and $^{56}$Ni nuclei. This time corresponds to 86.4 d.
around 7320 Å), Si II (around 5041–5056, 5958–5979 and 6347–6371 Å) and Mg II (at 4481, 7896, 8234, 9218, and 9244 Å).

Although a Gaussian smoothing has been applied to match the typical resolution of Type Ibn SN spectra, the He I line profiles predicted by the model exhibit a red deficit (Fig. 6) – the blue emission is typically three times stronger than the red emission. This asymmetry is caused by optical depth effects. This feature may not persist in a 3D model of the interaction since the CDS would be strongly clumped, with both radial and lateral compression, thereby reducing the effective radial optical depth of the region. The blue-red asymmetry is greater for He I lines in the blue part of the spectrum (e.g., He I 3889 Å) and weaker for those in the red part of the spectrum (e.g., He I 5875 Å). This difference arises from the fact that lines are not only affected by the continuum optical depth, which is essentially gray, but also by other lines, especially in the blue part of the optical where the forest of Fe II lines have a strong blanketing effect. He I lines that form further out in the ejecta are less affected (see Fig. 7). This result is reminiscent of the differential optical depth effects affecting Hβ (which overlaps with the Fe II line forest) and Hα (which sits in an opacity hole) in models of SNe Ia that contain in their innermost ejecta layers stripped material from a companion (Dessart et al. 2020a).

The model predicts a number of lines from O I, Mg II, and Ca II. All these metals have super-solar abundance in the mixed composition of model he4p0. Oxygen and magnesium are overabundant in the O Ne Mg shell of the progenitor, while Ca is overabundant in the Si/S and Fe/He shells, both explosively produced. Hence, the abundance of these metals and the strengths of these O I, Mg II, and Ca II lines are strongly model dependent (see Sect. 4.3). All these lines are permitted transitions and are expected given the high density of the emitting region. This is a critical difference with standard Type Ibc SNe unaffected by interaction.

The present model has a total Rosseland-mean optical depth of 1.42 and a total electron-scattering optical depth of 0.85. A higher optical depth would have been achieved with a higher ejecta ionization: here helium (the most abundant element in this model with 0.92 $M_\odot$) is partially ionized, O (the second most abundant element in this model with 0.31 $M_\odot$) is once ionized, Mg is a mix of Mg$^+$ and Mg$^{2+}$, Ca is mostly Ca$^{2+}$, and Fe is present in part as Fe$^{+}$ but mostly Fe$^{2+}$. These ionizations explain the weak strength of O I and Ca II lines. The high ejecta density also inhibits the formation of [O I] $\lambda\lambda$ 6300, 6364 and [Ca II] $\lambda\lambda$ 7291, 7323 that are normally seen in nebular phase spectra of Type Ibc SNe. However, the epochs and the power differ. Standard nebular-phase Type Ibc SNe are usually studied at late times of at least 150 d past explosion, when the absorbed power, from radioactive decay, is much smaller.

4.3. Dependence on progenitor mass and the strength of He I lines

In the preceding section, the CDS was filled with the ejecta from the helium-star explosion model he4p0, assuming that at the late
times considered here, the ejecta and CSM had “reunited” following the interaction. We now use the same approach and investigate the spectral properties obtained for interactions involving ejecta and CSM from a wide range of helium-star progenitor masses.

Figure 8 shows the UV and optical spectra for a CDS filled with the ejecta from the helium-star explosion models he2p9 to he12p0. The CDS radius is 3 × 10^{15} cm, its mean velocity is 2000 km s^{-1}, and the deposited power is 2 × 10^{42} erg s^{-1}. As the initial helium-star mass is increased from 2.9 to 12.0 M_{⊙}, the fractional helium mass (M(He)/M_{ej}) decreases from 83% down to 4%, in the same order. The CDS is at a radius of 3 × 10^{15} cm, has a velocity of 2000 km s^{-1}, and is powered at a rate of 2 × 10^{42} erg s^{-1}. For each model, we include the spectrum corresponding to continuum processes and He i bound-bound processes (thin line). He i lines weaken as the helium-star initial mass is increased and the mass of helium reduced due to wind mass loss and burning to heavier elements.

![Fig. 8. Spectral montage for models in which the CDS is composed of the material from the helium-star explosion models of Ertl et al. (2020), increasing in initial mass (he2p9 to he12p0) from top to bottom (thick line). The sequence corresponds to a decreasing fractional helium mass (M(He)/M_{ej}) varies from 83% down to 4%, in the same order. The CDS is at a radius of 3 × 10^{15} cm, has a velocity of 2000 km s^{-1}, and is powered at a rate of 2 × 10^{42} erg s^{-1}. For each model, we include the spectrum corresponding to continuum processes and He i bound-bound processes (thin line). He i lines weaken as the helium-star initial mass is increased and the mass of helium reduced due to wind mass loss and burning to heavier elements.](image)

For a smaller CDS radius, the CDS density goes up at fixed mass and the ionization goes down. A greater power yields a higher ionization and a bluer optical spectrum. The rise in temperature can also boost the continuum flux, strong in the blue, and make the CDS completely optically thick in the continuum. Conversely, for larger radii, the optical depth is lower and the spectrum is more nebular (i.e., lines sit on a weaker continuum). Clumping can reduce the ionization and influence the spectral signatures at any epoch but it does not change the optical depth. Exploring this parameter space in detail is left to a future study, but one can envision that much diversity can arise from these different interaction configurations.

4.4. Influence of the metal content: Iron from $^{56}\text{Ni}$ decay and metallicity

In this section, we present a criterion that may help distinguish Type Ibn SNe occurring in lower-mass massive stars in binaries from those that may result from pair-instability pulsations in a super massive star. The latter offer very attractive properties for Type Ibn SNe (Yoshida et al. 2016; Woosley 2017), although the lower temperatures and lower ionization. As we progress toward a higher initial helium-star mass, the spectral energy distribution shifts to the red, He i lines weaken, and lines from metals (e.g., O i, Mg ii, or Ca ii) appear. This results from the combined effect of a lower fractional helium abundance and a lower ionization. In this sequence, we see that progenitors with an initial helium-star mass greater than 6 M_{⊙} have weak He i lines that are essentially impossible to discern. This suggests that Type Ibn SNe require a high fractional helium abundance of about 50%, but not too high otherwise the spectrum is too blue (see Sect. 5), therefore favoring a low-mass massive star progenitor in a binary and having lost its hydrogen-rich envelope through Roche-lobe overflow.

The evolution of the strength of the He i 5875 Å line (given as a percentage of the optical flux) is illustrated for a larger grid of models in Fig. 9. Here, we considered a CDS radius of 2, 3, or 6 × 10^{15} cm, and deposited powers of 2 and 4 × 10^{42} erg s^{-1} (assuming a CDS radius of 2 × 10^{15} cm). We see that only the composition and mass of the lighter models are compatible with a strong He i 5875 Å. This supports further the notion that Type Ibn SNe are connected with weak eruptions and explosions taking place in low-mass helium stars (but massive enough to undergo core collapse).

For a smaller CDS radius, the CDS density goes up at fixed mass and the ionization goes down. A greater power yields a higher ionization and a bluer optical spectrum. The rise in temperature can also boost the continuum flux, strong in the blue, and make the CDS completely optically thick in the continuum. Conversely, for larger radii, the optical depth is lower and the spectrum is more nebular (i.e., lines sit on a weaker continuum). Clumping can reduce the ionization and influence the spectral signatures at any epoch but it does not change the optical depth. Exploring this parameter space in detail is left to a future study, but one can envision that much diversity can arise from these different interaction configurations.
5. Comparison to observations

We now compare the models discussed in the previous sections with the observations of a few Type Ibn SNe. No model was tailored to any specific Type Ibn SN. The choice is vast and the scenario explored here may not apply to all observed Type Ibn SNe. In particular, one critical feature predicted in our models (excluding those with a nearly pure helium composition) is strong Fe II emission below 5500 Å so Type Ibn SNe with no such emission cannot be satisfactorily matched by our models. Our models seem to capture some essential features of the prototypical Type Ibn SN 2006jc (Pastorello et al. 2007), as well as the Type Ibn SNe with relatively weak nar-

As we emphasize in Sect. 4, different model parameters can yield similar spectra. For example, a higher CDS mass at a larger radius may yield a similar spectrum to that from a lower CDS mass at a smaller radius. A spectrum may remain unchanged if the shock power is reduced as the CDS radius is increased. In general, a combination of effects at work and spectral properties tend to be similar when the ionization is the same. There are thus many degeneracies. The goal here was to identify what CDS properties were necessary to produce a spectrum that resembled Type Ibn SNe like 2006jc and analogs. Developing a global model for such events (i.e., matching the multiband light curves and multiepoch spectra) is left to future work.

We correct the spectra for reddening and redshift, as described in those papers. The power adopted in our models is not adjusted to match the inferred SN flux, although it does not differ by much, so we normalize our model spectra to the observed flux, typically at 5500 Å. In general, the model spectra are smoothed with a Gaussian Kernel (FWHM of 23.5 Å) in order to reduce the offset with the spectral resolution of the observations, to mimic the potentially large turbulence within the

Fig. 10. Illustration of the influence of metals on the emergent spectrum for a Type Ibn model derived from model he4p0. The default model (see also Fig. 5) is shown in blue. The same model in which we excluded the Fe/He shell (hence no $^{56}$Ni and associated decay products) is shown in red. Finally, the model in which we excluded the Fe/He shell and scaled by a factor of 0.1 the abundance of all metals heavier than Ar is shown in yellow.

Fig. 11. Spectral comparison for a CDS based on model he4p0 with mild mixing (blue; label he4p0) and the model counterpart in which the composition is homogeneous throughout (red; label he4p0/uni). This raises the iron abundance to a value that is ten times solar at every depth and the strength of Fe II emission is consequently strongly enhanced in the optical but reduced in the UV.
CDS (our simulations adopt a turbulent velocity of 50 km s$^{-1}$), and to smoothen the sometime noisy observed spectra.

5.1. SN 2006jc

Figure 12 presents a comparison of our CDS models, based on the composition and mass of model he4p0, with the observations of SN 2006jc at 14.0 d (left panel) and 54.6 d (right panel) after the time of maximum. In the context of interaction, the shock decelerates as material piles up in the CDS (see, for example, the illustration in Fig. A.2 for model E5). So, as the shock power drops in time (the more so for a stronger deceleration), the CDS mass grows, and the CDS velocity drops (see also discussion for a large set of simulations for Type Ibn SNe in Dessart et al. 2015, 2016). Because the CDS moves, the CDS radius increases in time. So, when studying the properties of Type Ibn SNe are different epochs, it is natural to invoke different powers and CDS properties. The values used here for SN 2006jc may not be strictly correct since they are taken from the grid of models presented in Sect. 4, which were performed with no specific SN in mind.

A greater power and a smaller radius is used for the first epoch, leading to a bluer optical spectrum, a stronger continuum flux, and weaker lines. The model reproduces the overall shape and a number of observed spectral signatures are also predicted by the model. The overall shape of the spectrum is due to Fe II emission already at that time, although most of the Fe II emission occurs below 4000 Å – we are mostly seeing the tail of the emission in the optical. The main He I lines predicted by the model and in general also observed are at 3889, 3965, 4026, 4471, 5016, 5876, 6678, 7065, and 7281 Å. The He I 3889 Å line is not observed while the model predicts it. This may be due to the blanketing effect of Fe II on this He I line, which is weak in the model because He I 3889 Å is predicted to form in a large part in the outer regions of the emitting region. In reality, chemical mixing may cause a more intertwined emission of He I and Fe II lines, causing a greater blanketing of He I lines by Fe II lines.

The strongest predicted O I lines are multiplets around 8446 Å, and there are also O II multiplets around 7320 Å. Two sets of strong Si II lines are present at 5041–5056, 5958–5979, and 6347–6371 Å. Strong Mg II lines are predicted at 4481, 7896, 8234, 9218, and 9244 Å, but these are observed with a much lower strength. Overall, the model understimates the width of line profiles (this does not seem to be a resolution issue since the observed spectral lines in this early-time spectrum are indeed broader than at later times, with the exception of the Ca II near-infrared triplet), probably because the spectrum forms over a range of velocities and densities rather than in a narrow CDS as assumed. This assumption holds best at late times when ejecta and CSM have all been swept up into a dense confined region.

The right panel of Fig. 12 shows a comparison of SN 2006jc at 54.6 d after maximum with the CDS now at a larger radius of 6 rather than 2 × 10$^{15}$ cm and powered at a rate of 2 rather than...
The observed flux in the blue is well matched by the Fe\textsc{ii} SN 2011hw shows stronger Mg\textsc{ii} to the 54.6 d spectrum of SN 2006jc, the 46.0 d spectrum of MJD\textsubscript{E} adopting a velocity of 2000 km s\textsuperscript{-1} and powered at a rate of 2 \times 10\textsuperscript{42} erg s\textsuperscript{-1}. The comparison is a close analog to that shown for SN 2011hw but serves to show that despite the diversity of Type Ibn SNe, there is also a strong similarity in spectral properties, in particular a few weeks after the light curve maximum.

Although the features are not always well matched in strength, most of the observed features are predicted in the model. Just as for SNe 2006jc and 2011hw, the Mg\textsc{ii} lines are overestimated and the Ca\textsc{ii} lines are too weak, suggesting an ionization offset in the spectrum formation region.

6. Conclusion

We have presented numerical simulations for Type Ibn SNe under the assumption that these events are the result of the interaction between the ejecta of an exploding star with the CSM produced in a pre-SN outburst either in the form of a dense wind or a nonterminal explosion unbinding only part of the star. Radiation-hydrodynamics simulations for such configurations suggest that a wide variety of light curves and CDS properties may be produced, spanning a large range of peak luminosities up to the most luminous SNe known. However, we find that in order to produce a peak luminosity and narrow spectral lines compatible with the observations of numerous Type Ibn SNe like 2006jc, one requires a low-energy low-mass ejecta ramming into a massive slow-moving outer shell (a similar conclusion is proposed by Moriya & Maeda 2016 based on the study of Type Ibn SN bolometric light curves). This contrasts with the general consensus on Type Ibn SNe, which invokes an energetic Wolf-Rayet star explosion embedded in a dense CSM. Such a configuration conflicts with the modest peak luminosity and the absence of broad lines at all epochs in events like SN 2006jc.

A physically consistent model for a Type Ibn SN like 2006jc could be a helium star in the initial mass range between 2.6 and 3.3 M\textsubscript{\odot} (Ertl et al. 2020). Such progenitors have attractive properties. Their envelope is significantly expanded to 10\textsuperscript{12} cm at the end of the star life so that the outer 1 M\textsubscript{\odot} is weakly bound. They burn oxygen and silicon via convectively bounded flames that might potentially be more unstable. Such progenitors can have silicon flashes and eject an unknown amount of mass. Following the flash, the remaining star explodes and produces a low-mass ejecta, potentially endowed with a few 0.01 M\textsubscript{\odot} of 56Ni (Stan Woosley, priv. comm.).

The interaction model that seems most suitable for events like 2006jc eventually develops into a very simple structure where the bulk of the swept-up CSM and the decelerated ejecta material has piled up into a CDS. Such a configuration is amenable to a relatively local radiative transfer calculation that assumes that the bulk of the SN radiation arises from the CDS under the influence of some power (i.e., a mixture of shock interaction and radioactive decay). In the absence of a good model for the Type Ibn SN scenario, we further assumed that such a CDS has a composition and total mass similar to the helium-star explosions of Ertl et al. (2020). This approach is flexible and allows for broad investigations into the nature of Type Ibn SN progenitors and interaction properties.

Non-LTE radiative transfer calculations indicate that the late time spectra of Type Ibn SNe like 2006jc, 2011hw, or 2018bcc are dominated by Fe\textsc{ii} emission below 5500 Å, with strong isolated lines of He\textsc{i} if the fractional helium abundance is above about 50%. Our models tend to overestimate the ionization level of metals in the CDS, with Mg\textsc{ii} lines stronger than observed but Ca\textsc{ii} lines weaker than observed. A grid of simulations based on model he4p0, placed at a radius of 3 \times 10\textsuperscript{15} cm, moving at a velocity of 2000 km s\textsuperscript{-1} and powered at a rate of 2 \times 10\textsuperscript{42} erg s\textsuperscript{-1}.
2.9–12 $M_{\odot}$ helium-star models reveal the continuous weakening of He I lines, the progressive reddening of the spectra, and the ever strengthening Fe II emission. The best agreement to Type Ibn SNe like 2006jc, 2011hw, or 2018bcc is obtained for a CDS composition and mass representative of a relatively low-mass helium-star explosion model (here he4p0), with about 1 $M_{\odot}$ of helium and the rest being distributed amongst carbon, oxygen, neon, magnesium, and heavier metals.

The iron content of the CDS has a critical impact. We found that the iron from 56Ni decay plays a role, but more important is the metallicity of the progenitor star since that impacts all metals rather than just Co and Fe. At one tenth solar, the metal emission below 5500 Å disappears, producing a blue spectrum with strong lines of He I in the he4p0 model. Since this Fe II emission is a recurrent feature of Type Ibn SNe, it suggests that observed Type Ibn SNe arise from solar-metallicity stars. This seems to contrast with expectations for pulsational-pair instability in very massive stars, despite their promising properties. Hence, currently observed Type Ibn SNe compare favorably with the expectations for solar-metallicity lower-mass helium stars in binary systems and undergoing nonterminal eruptions a short while before core collapse.

All simulations presented here assume spherical symmetry. Departures from spherical symmetry may exist on both small and large scales and affect both the inner shell and outer shells. With the assumption of spherical symmetry, the parameter space is already vast. Allowing for asymmetry increases this parameter space dramatically. On small scales, the dense shell should break up and produce a complex, clumpy structure (see, for example, the exploratory simulations by Blondin et al. 1996). This could lead to the simultaneous presence of material with different temperatures, ionization, and composition within the CDS. In our study, we have assumed some chemical mixing but neglected clumping. Detailed 3D radiation-hydrodynamics simulations are necessary to explore this aspect. Asymmetries on large scales have already been studied, for example with the same HERACLES code by Vlasis et al. (2016). This does not lead to any dramatic differences unless the asymmetry is extreme. In the context of interactions, one should recall that the engine is only efficient if the CSM completely enshrouds the incoming ejecta. If interaction occurs only over a restricted solid angle, the interacting power and consequently the SN luminosity is reduced. Furthermore, one may obtain a hybrid spectrum with both narrow and broad lines. There does not seem to be strong evidence for large asymmetry in Type Ibn SNe so exploring spherical models remains a sensible first step.

The present work should not mislead the reader in thinking that all Type Ibn SNe arise from the helium-star progenitors studied here. The Type Ibn SN diversity is so vast that multiple formation channels are likely. With the composition of helium-star progenitors, our model spectra tend to overestimate (underestimate) the strength of Mg II (Ca II) lines compared to what is observed, for example, in SN 2006jc. While we cannot exclude that the discrepancy arises from an inadequate density structure in the CDS (for example, because of clumping, which we neglect), this discrepancy may indicate a configuration offset. A configuration more rich in calcium could perhaps resolve this issue. Helium detonations at the surface of white dwarfs produce a helium-rich and calcium-rich composition (Waldman et al. 2011) that may be more suitable for some Type Ibn SNe, in particular for events located in environments that lack any obvious star formation (Hosseinzadeh et al. 2019). A comprehensive and systematic modeling of the full diversity of Type Ibn SNe is needed.
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Appendix A: Additional information on the radiation-hydrodynamics simulations with HERACLES

Figure A.1 illustrates the various configurations for which we performed multigroup radiation-hydrodynamics simulations with HERACLES. The junction between inner shell and outer shell is easily seen in each panel as a sharp jump in velocity, density or temperature.

Figures A.2 illustrates the evolution of the interaction for model E5, whose initial configuration and bolometric light curve are shown in Fig. A.1 and Fig. 3, respectively. A velocity jump separates the inner and outer shells at all times, hence some shock power is injected in this configuration at all times. Because the density in the standard model he4p0 from Dessart et al. (2020b) was scaled by 0.1, there is only 0.008 $M_{\odot}$ of $^{56}$Ni so the decay power is a small power source at all times. Because of the mass contrast between inner and outer shells (0.15 versus 1 $M_{\odot}$), there is strong deceleration of the inner shell material, which leads to a substantial conversion of kinetic energy (initial budget was $7.5 \times 10^{49}$ erg) into radiative energy (the time-integrated bolometric luminosity is $5.7 \times 10^{49}$ erg). The peak luminosity reached by model E5 at 11.4 d is $4.2 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

In this model, the unshocked CSM stays optically thick for about 20 d. This probably means the emergent radiation would be reprocessed entirely by the unshocked CSM for about 10 d. After that, the radiation would form from both the unshocked CSM and the CDS, until a time when it would entirely form in the CDS. Indeed, at 50 d after the onset of the interaction, the photosphere is within the CDS and most of the inner-shell and outer-shell material has piled up inside the CDS, which is moving at about 1400 km s$^{-1}$. These properties are compatible with those inferred from the Type Ibn SNe 2006jc, 2011hw, or 2018bcc.

---

Fig. A.1. Illustration of the initial radial profile of the velocity, density, and temperature for all interaction configurations studied in Sect. 3 and described in Table 1. Three types of inner shell properties are considered, with the unadulterated model he4 and two scaled variants (in density, and in both density and velocity). For the outer shell, we consider an ejecta CSM with two different kinetic energies or a wind CSM with three different mass loss rates.
Fig. A.2. Radial profile of the gas temperature $T_{\text{gas}}$, the velocity $V$, the mass density $\rho$, the luminosity $L(r)$ for model E5 at 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 d after the onset of interaction. The black dot in the bottom left panel indicates the location of the electron-scattering photosphere (where the inward integrated electron-scattering optical depth is $2/3$).