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ABSTRACT

Context. Linking atmospheric characteristics of planets to their formation pathways is a central theme in the study of extrasolar planets. Although the $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C isotope ratio shows little variation in the Solar System, the atmosphere of a super-Jupiter was recently shown to be rich in $^{13}$CO, possibly as a result of dominant accretion beyond the CO snow line during its formation. Carbon isotope ratios are therefore suggested to be a potential tracer of formation pathways of planets.

Aims. In this work, we aim to measure the $^{12}$CO/$^{13}$CO isotopologue ratio of a young, isolated brown dwarf. While the general atmospheric characteristics of young, low-mass brown dwarfs are expected to be very similar to those of super-Jupiters, their formation pathways may be different, leading to distinct isotopologue ratios. In addition, such objects allow high-dispersion spectroscopy at high signal-to-noise ratios.

Methods. We analysed archival $K$-band spectra of the L dwarf 2MASS J03552337+1133437 taken with NIRSPEC at the Keck telescope. A free retrieval analysis was applied to the data using the radiative transfer code petitRADTRANS coupled with the nested sampling tool PyMultiNest to determine the isotopologue ratio $^{12}$CO/$^{13}$CO in its atmosphere.

Results. The isotopologue $^{13}$CO is detected in the atmosphere through the cross-correlation method at a signal-to-noise of $\sim 8.4$. The detection significance is determined to be $\sim 9.5\sigma$ using a Bayesian model comparison between two retrieval models (including or excluding $^{13}$CO). We retrieve an isotopologue $^{12}$CO/$^{13}$CO ratio of $97\pm 5$ (90% uncertainty), marginally higher than the local interstellar standard. Its C/O ratio of $0.56$ is consistent with the solar value.

Conclusions. Although only one super-Jupiter and one brown dwarf now have a measured $^{12}$CO/$^{13}$CO ratio, it is intriguing that they are different, possibly hinting to distinct formation pathways. Regardless of spectroscopic similarities, isolated brown dwarfs may experience a top-down formation via gravitational collapse, which resembles star formation, while giant exoplanets favourably form through core accretion, which potentially alters isotopologue ratios in their atmospheres depending on the material they accrete from protoplanetary disks. This further emphasises atmospheric carbon isotopologue ratio as a tracer of the formation history of exoplanets. In the future, analyses such as those presented here should be conducted on a wide range of exoplanets using medium-to-high-resolution spectroscopy to further assess planet formation processes.
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1. Introduction

Planet formation and evolution are expected to leave imprints on the observed spectra of exoplanets. In bridging the gap between the spectral characterisation and formation mechanisms, isotopologue ratios have been suggested as informative tracers of planet formation conditions and evolutionary history (Clayton & Nittler 2004; Zhang et al. 2021). In the Solar System, deuterium/hydrogen (D/H) ratios have been determined to show significant variations across the planets, comets, and meteorites (Altweig et al. 2015). While the D/H ratios in Jupiter and Saturn are consistent with the solar value, Uranus and Neptune are found to be enhanced beyond the water snow line. The terrestrial planets Earth, Mars, and Venus have higher D/H ratios (Drake 2005), indicating not only solid accretion, but also atmospheric loss. Therefore, isotopologue ratios in planetary atmospheres can reflect the material reservoir of the birth environment, the formation mechanism (via core accretion or gravitational collapse), the relative importance of gas or ice accretion, and the atmospheric evolution.

In contrast with D/H ratios, carbon isotope ratios are found to be roughly constant in the Solar System (Woods 2009), and therefore they are less effective diagnostic tools. However, the recent measurement of the $^{12}$CO/$^{13}$CO isotopologue abundance ratio in an exoplanet may require a reassessment of its diagnostic value. The $^{13}$CO isotopologue was detected at a significance of $6\sigma$ in the atmosphere of the super-Jupiter TYC 8998 b. Intriguingly, the atmosphere is reported to be $^{13}$CO-rich with a $^{12}$CO/$^{13}$CO abundance ratio of 31, which is significantly lower than the local interstellar standard at $\sim 68$ (Zhang et al. 2021). A formation outside the CO snow line with a large contribution from ice-accretion (as an analogy to the D-enrichment in Solar System planets) has been invoked to explain the enrichment. Since the Solar System planets are thought to be formed within the CO snow line, no substantial enrichment in $^{13}$C is expected, because the bulk of their carbon reservoir originates from CO gas in the inner disk, where $^{13}$C is not enhanced. It therefore suggests that the atmospheric carbon isotopologue ratios could also shed light on the formation history of exoplanets, especially for the directly imaged populations, which are observed at wide orbits beyond the CO snow line.
Several carbon fractionation processes in molecular clouds, young stellar objects (YSOs), and protoplanetary disks are suggested to alter the CO isotopologue ratios in the birth environment of planets, including isotopic ion exchange reactions (Langer et al. 1984; Milam et al. 2005), isotope-selective photodissociation (Bally & Langer 1982; van Dishoeck & Black 1988; Visser et al. 2009; Miotello et al. 2014), and gas-to-ice isotopologue partitioning (Acharyya et al. 2007; Smith et al. 2015). Depending on the location of the proto-planets and the material (gas or ice) they accrete from the environment, the isotopologue ratios in the atmospheres may deviate from the ISM standard. Therefore, detailed modelling of carbon fractionation in protoplanetary disks coupled with planet formation models have the potential to locate the birthplace and identify the formation mechanism of planets, like suggested for carbon-to-oxygen (C/O) ratios (Öberg et al. 2011; Madhusudhan et al. 2014; Mordasini et al. 2016; Cridland et al. 2020). Moreover, combining evidence from different observables, such as $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C, D/H, and C/O ratios, allows for a more comprehensive understanding of the formation process.

Compared to the D/H ratios ($\sim 10^{-5}$) which can potentially be probed via HDO/H$_2$O and CH$_3$D/CH$_4$ (Morley et al. 2019; Mollière & Snellen 2019), the $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C ratios ($\lesssim 100$) are more readily detectable and attained from the ground with high-resolution spectroscopy targeting $^{12}$CO/$^{13}$CO (Mollière & Snellen 2019). In addition to the recent result for a super-Jupiter, carbon isotopologue ratios have been measured toward various sources beyond the Solar System, including the interstellar medium (ISM) (Langer & Penzias 1993; Milam et al. 2005; Yan et al. 2019), YSOs and protostars in gas and ices (Bogert et al. 2000, 2002; Pontoppidan et al. 2005; Jørgensen et al. 2016, 2018; Smith et al. 2015), giant stars, solar-type stars, and M dwarfs (Sneden et al. 1986; Botelho et al. 2020; Tsuji 2016; Crossfield et al. 2019), but not yet towards brown dwarfs. In this paper, we carry out a similar analysis to Zhang et al. (2021) on archival high-resolution Keck/NIRSPEC data of a young, isolated brown dwarf. While its general atmospheric characteristics are expected to be very similar to those of super-Jupiters, its formation pathways may be different, leading to distinct isotopologue ratios. In addition, its spectrum can be studied at high signal-to-noise, and hence is more accessible.

We present the observations and spectrum extraction in Sect. 2, followed by a description of the retrieval model in Sect. 3. The retrieval results and the measurement of CO isotopologue ratio can be found in Sect. 4 and are discussed in Sect. 5.

### 2. Observations and spectrum extraction

2MASS J03552337+1133437 (hereafter 2M0355) is a free-floating, young brown dwarf discovered from 2MASS (Reid et al. 2008) and is likely a member of AB Doradus moving group (Liu et al. 2013). With a distance of 9.1 parsec and a spectral type of L5, 2M0355 is among the nearest and reddest L dwarfs (Cruz et al. 2009). With a distance of 9.1 parsec and a spectral type of L5, 2M0355 is among the nearest and reddest L dwarfs (Cruz et al. 2009). It is therefore an excellent target for high-resolution spectroscopic studies, providing insights into atmospheric properties under similar conditions to those of exoplanets.

We used the archival K-band (2.03–2.38 μm) spectra of 2M0355 taken with the near-infrared spectrograph NIRSPEC (Λ/Δλ ~ 25 000) at the Keck II 10 m telescope (McLean et al. 1998) on January 13, 2017. The data were obtained in natural seeing mode with a 0.432 × 24″ slit. The observations were performed with a standard ABBA nod pattern, resulting in 14 science exposures between 400 and 600 s, which amount to a total exposure time of two hours. The data have previously been used to measure the spin of the brown dwarf by Bryan et al. (2018).

As for the pre-processing, we first corrected the data using dark and flat field calibration frames, and then differenced each nodded AB pair to subtract the sky background. This led to 2D differenced images with two spectral traces (positive and negative). After obtaining 1D spectra for both the positive and negative traces, using the optimal extraction algorithm by Horne (1986). This method takes the weighted sum of the flux along the spatial dimension (the cross-dispersion axis), based on the empirical point spread function (PSF) constructed from the 2D frame, while rejecting outliers caused by cosmic rays or bad pixels. After obtaining 1D spectra for both traces, we add them up to form the master spectrum, resulting in an average signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of ~35 per unit of dispersion (pixel). This S/N value was estimated by comparing the master spectrum to a model spectrum obtained by the retrieval analysis (detailed in Sect. 3). Using the statistical flux uncertainties as calculated in the spectrum extraction process (accounting for cosmic rays or bad pixels).
for shot noise and readout noise), we expect the S/N to be \(\sim 115\), which differs from the former estimation by a factor of 3.3. This indicates the presence of correlated noise in the data and/or the imperfect modelling of telluric transmission and planetary spectra. Nevertheless, the S/N estimated via the comparison to models represents a lower limit to the data quality. We therefore base our further analysis on the assumption of this lower S/N.

To determine the wavelength solution for each order, we took advantage of the standard star spectra obtained under the same instrument configuration immediately before the observation, assuming that the wavelength solution remains the same. We compared the standard star spectrum with a telluric transmission model generated with ESO sky software tool SkyCalc\(^1\) (Noll et al. 2012; Jones et al. 2013) and fitted the wavelengths as functions of pixel positions using a 25 telluric lines spread across each order with a third-order polynomial. This solution was then applied to the target spectrum.

We then used the ESO sky software tool Molecfit v3.0.1 (Smette et al. 2015) to perform telluric corrections on the master spectrum. The tool uses a line-by-line radiative transfer model (LBLRTM) to derive telluric atmospheric transmission spectra that can be fitted to observations. For model fitting, we selected two wavelength regions, 2.276–2.293 \(\mu\)m and 2.347–2.382 \(\mu\)m, which include strong telluric lines caused by CH\(_3\) and H\(_2\)O. The software accounted for the molecular abundances, instrument resolution, continuum level, and wavelength solution that can best fit observations. Using the best-fit Gaussian kernel width, we estimated the spectral resolution to be \(\lambda/\Delta\lambda \sim 27500\). The atmospheric transmission model for the entire wavelength range was then derived based on the best-fit parameters. The model was removed from the master spectrum to obtain the telluric-corrected spectrum. Subsequently, we shifted the spectrum to the target’s rest frame by accounting for the systemic and barycentric radial velocity. The flux was finally scaled to the K-band photometry of \(1.05 \times 10^{-14} \text{W m}^{-2}\text{\mu m}^{-1}\) (Cutri et al. 2003). The scaling factor was determined by generating the planetary model spectrum at a larger wavelength coverage and then integrating the flux over the band pass of the K-band filter, and comparing it to the photometric value.

We note that measuring the CO isotopologue ratio requires careful calibration of the broad-band spectral shape. Because the CO absorption features span across the two spectral orders in the observations, the CO abundance inferred from the spectrum is sensitive to slight changes in the relative flux between the two orders. To calibrate this, we inspected spectra of two standard stars taken immediately before and after the target observations. We reduced the standard star spectra following the same procedure as described above and compared the telluric-corrected spectrum of the standard stars to a PHOENIX stellar model (Husser et al. 2013) with an effective temperature of 8200 K, as estimated for the stars (Gaia Collaboration 2018). Since the standard star spectra are firmly in the Rayleigh-Jeans regime, potential uncertainties in effective temperature have negligible effects on the spectral shape. The comparison suggested that the observed flux ratio between the red and blue order was on average 3% higher than that of the model. We therefore decreased the flux in the red order by 3% to compensate for this.

The final spectrum of 2M0355 is shown in Fig. 1. The \(^{12}\)CO \(\nu = 2–0\) bandhead is clearly visible at 2.2935 \(\mu\)m, while the first bandhead of \(^{13}\)CO at 2.3448 \(\mu\)m falls outside of the detector. On the order of thirty \(^{13}\)CO lines are covered by the red order (see Fig. 2, left panel).

### 3. Retrieval analysis

#### 3.1. Atmospheric retrieval model

For our atmospheric free retrieval, in which all fitted parameters varied freely under the condition of chemical equilibrium but the temperature structure is unconstrained, we used a Bayesian framework composed of the radiative transfer tool petitRATTRANS (pRT) (Mollière et al. 2019) and the nested sampling tool PyMultiNest (Buchner et al. 2014), which is a Python wrapper of the MultiNest method (Feroz et al. 2009). Synthetic emission spectra are generated by pRT using a set of inputs, including the temperature structure, chemical abundances, and surface gravity. The PyMultiNest samples the parameter space and derives the posterior distribution of the fit.

The forward modelling consists of three major components: the temperature model, the chemistry model, and the cloud

---

\(^1\) https://www.eso.org/observing/etc/skycalc

---

**Fig. 1.** Last two orders (containing CO opacity) of the K-band spectrum of the brown dwarf 2M0355 taken with Keck/NIRSPEC. The orange line is the best-fit model with log(g)=4.69, [Fe/H] = 0.2, C/O = 0.55, and \(^{12}\)CO/\(^{13}\)CO = 97, obtained from the maximum likelihood model in the retrieval analysis. The bottom panel shows the residuals of the observed spectrum with respect to the model.
We parameterised the temperature–pressure ($T$–$P$) profile using four temperature knots spaced evenly on a log scale pressure width of 0.02–5 bar, where the contribution function of the observed spectrum peaks (see upper right panel in Fig. 3). The entire $T$–$P$ profile was obtained by spline interpolation of the four temperature knots in the log space of pressure. The temperature profile outside this range is barely probed by the observations, and is simply considered to be isothermal in our model. There is no physical reasoning behind this $T$–$P$ profile, and therefore few prior constraints are imposed on the solution.

The cloudy retrieval model has 14 free parameters: $R_g$, the settling parameter $f_{\text{sed}}$ (controlling the thickness of the cloud above the cloud base), the vertical eddy diffusion coefficient $K_{\text{ed}}$ (effectively determining the particle size), and the width of the log-normal particle size distribution $\sigma_p$. The location of the cloud base $P_{\text{base}}$ is determined by intersecting the condensation curve of the cloud species with the $T$–$P$ profile of the atmosphere. MgSiO$_3$ and Fe clouds are expected to be the dominant cloud species in L dwarfs (Morley et al. 2012). Here, we only consider the MgSiO$_3$ clouds, because Fe is not expected to be the dominant aerosol composition according to the microphysics model by Gao et al. (2020), and (even if the cloud forms) it condensates at a higher temperature which occurs at lower altitudes than the photosphere of the target.

### 3.2. Retrieving 2M0355

The cloudy retrieval model has 14 free parameters: $R_g$, $\log(g)$, [Fe/H], C/O, $^{12}\text{CO}/^{13}\text{CO}$, $X_m^{\text{MgSiO}_3}$, $f_{\text{sed}}$, $K_{\text{ed}}$, $\sigma_p$, $\sin(i)$, and four temperature knots for the $T$–$P$ profile. The priors of these free parameters are listed in Table 2. We included H$_2$O, CH$_4$, NH$_3$, $^{12}\text{CO}$, and the isotopologue $^{13}\text{CO}$ as line opacity species. The model also accounts for the Rayleigh scattering of H$_2$, He, the collision induced absorption of H$_2$–H$_2$, H$_2$–He, absorption and absorption cross sections of crystalline, irregularly shaped MgSiO$_3$ cloud particles.

We used the line-by-line mode of prf to calculate the emission spectra at high spectral resolution. To speed up the calculation, we took every fifth point of opacity tables with $\lambda/\Delta\lambda \sim 10^6$. This sampling factor of 5 has been benchmarked against the original sampling to ensure unbiased inference of parameters. The synthetic high-resolution spectra were rotationally broadened by $\sin(i)$ and convolved with a Gaussian kernel to match the resolving power of the instrument ($\lambda/\Delta\lambda \sim 27,500$), then binned to the wavelength grid of the observed spectrum (2020 data points in total), and scaled to the observed flux according to $R_g$ and distance of the target.

### Table 2. Priors and posteriors (90% uncertainties) of the 2M0355 retrievals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Prior</th>
<th>Posterior</th>
<th>Posterior2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\log(^{12}\text{CO}/^{13}\text{CO})$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{U}(12, 0)$</td>
<td>$-1.99 \pm 0.10$</td>
<td>$-2.03 \pm 0.09$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_g$ ($R_{\odot}$)</td>
<td>$\mathcal{U}(0.3, 2.5)$</td>
<td>$0.97 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$1.13 \pm 0.03$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\log(g)$ (cgs)</td>
<td>$\mathcal{U}(3.0, 6.0)$</td>
<td>$4.69 \pm 0.15$</td>
<td>$4.32 \pm 0.15$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe/H]</td>
<td>$\mathcal{U}(-1.5, 1.5)$</td>
<td>$0.21 \pm 0.10$</td>
<td>$0.05 \pm 0.11$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/O</td>
<td>$\mathcal{U}(0.1, 1.5)$</td>
<td>$0.56 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$0.55 \pm 0.02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_0$ (K)</td>
<td>$\mathcal{U}(1500, 4000)$</td>
<td>$2322 \pm 138$</td>
<td>$2906 \pm 224$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1$ (K)</td>
<td>$\mathcal{U}(0.5, 1.3) \cdot T_0$</td>
<td>$1731 \pm 17$</td>
<td>$1671 \pm 35$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2$ (K)</td>
<td>$\mathcal{U}(0.5, 1.3) \cdot T_1$</td>
<td>$1640 \pm 18$</td>
<td>$1478 \pm 20$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_3$ (K)</td>
<td>$\mathcal{U}(0.5, 1.3) \cdot T_2$</td>
<td>$1452 \pm 21$</td>
<td>$1446 \pm 16$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\log(X_m^{\text{MgSiO}_3})$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{U}(-2.3, 1)$</td>
<td>$-1.3 \pm 1.2$</td>
<td>$-1.3 \pm 1.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{\text{sed}}$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 10)$</td>
<td>$5.8 \pm 4.1$</td>
<td>$8.0 \pm 2.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\log(K_{\text{ed}})$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{U}(5, 13)$</td>
<td>$10.5 \pm 3.2$</td>
<td>$10.2 \pm 1.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_p$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{U}(1.05, 3)$</td>
<td>$2.0 \pm 0.8$</td>
<td>$1.89 \pm 0.82$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sin(i)$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{U}(0.20)$</td>
<td>$2.0 \pm 1.3$</td>
<td>$1.2 \pm 1.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\log(\tau_{\text{cloud}})$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{U}(0, 1)$</td>
<td>$-0.02 \pm 0.03$</td>
<td>$-0.02 \pm 0.03$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Posterior column shows values for the nominal model, while Posterior2 refers to the alternative model with enforced clouds. $\mathcal{U}(a, b)$ represents a uniform distribution ranging from $a$ to $b$. The $X_m^{\text{MgSiO}_3}$ denotes $X_m^{\text{MgSiO}_3}/X_{eq}^{\text{MgSiO}_3}$, where $X_{eq}^{\text{MgSiO}_3}$ is the mass fraction predicted for the cloud species when assuming equilibrium condensation at the cloud base location.
The retrievals were performed by PyMultiNest in importance nested sampling mode with a constant efficiency of 5%. It uses 2000 live points to sample the parameter space and derives the posterior abundances.

4. Results

4.1. Retrieval results

The retrieval results are shown in Fig. 3, including the retrieved temperature-pressure profile and the posterior distribution of the free parameters. The central values of the inferred parameters and their 90% uncertainties are summarised in Table 2, including \(^{12}\)CO/\(^{13}\)CO = 97\(^{+25}_{-18}\), C/O = 0.56\(^{+0.02}_{-0.02}\), [Fe/H] = 0.21\(^{+0.11}_{-0.10}\), log(g) = 4.69\(^{+0.15}_{-0.12}\), and \(R_p = 0.97^{+0.03}_{-0.02}\). It suggests that 2M0355 has a solar C/O ratio and a super-solar metallicity. The inferred mass of 19\(^{-7}_{+5}\) \(M_{\text{Jup}}\) is in line with previous estimations using evolutionary models based on its photometry and membership (age) of AB Dor moving group (Faherty et al. 2013). Using the evolutionary models for low-mass objects by Baraffe et al. (2015), we estimate the radius of a \(\sim 20 M_{\text{Jup}}\) dwarf to be \(\sim 1.15 R_{\text{Jup}}\) for an age of 120 Myr. The radius constrained from our retrieval model (\(\sim 0.97 R_{\text{Jup}}\)) is smaller than that expected from evolutionary tracks. This is
likely associated with the temperature structure in the retrieval models. We note that the alternative retrieval model (described below) converges to different T-P profiles, leading to radii in agreement with the estimation from evolutionary models (see Table 2).

Moreover, we retrieved a much smaller rotational velocity (<4 km s\(^{-1}\)) than the value (14.7 km s\(^{-1}\)) found by Bryan et al. (2018) using the same data, as listed in Table 1. Broadening synthetic spectra with a \(\text{sin}(i)\) of 14.7 km s\(^{-1}\) result in spectral lines too broad to fit the observation with a \(\lambda/\Delta\lambda\sim 27,500\). In our case, the widths of spectral lines are therefore dominated by the instrument resolution instead of the spin of the target. Regardless, the rotational broadening shows no impact on the inference of the isotopologue ratio.

Figure 3 shows that the properties of clouds are barely constrained. The inferred values of the parameters are similar to the cloud-free case that we tested, indicating that the retrieval converges to solutions with optically thin clouds. We suggest that this behaviour is a cooperative consequence of the freely adjustable temperature structure and properties of clouds. Since the presence of thick clouds can block the emission from high-temperature regions of the atmosphere below, the cloudy atmosphere is spectrally equivalent to the case of a cloudless atmosphere combined with a shallow (isothermal) temperature gradient. This effect has been put forward as an alternative (cloud-free) explanation for the red spectra of brown dwarfs and self-luminous exoplanets (Tremblin et al. 2015, 2016, 2017, 2019). Both cases provide good fits to the observed spectrum, but here the free retrieval model tends to converge to cloudless solutions, potentially because they occupy a larger volume in the parameter space than the cloudy solutions. The problem of too isothermal temperature structures in free retrievals of cloudy objects has also been discussed in Burnett et al. (2017), Mollière et al. (2020), and Burningham et al. (2021). Classical 1-d self-consistent calculations will predict a steeper temperature profile, requiring clouds to fit the data. It is therefore important to examine how the inference of other parameters is affected in both cases.

To obtain a more reasonable temperature structure incorporating the effect of clouds, we have to enforce a cloudy atmosphere with a free parameter \(\tau_{\text{cloud}}\). Which artificially sets the optical depth of the cloud at the photosphere of the clear component of the atmosphere (where the major emission contribution lies assuming no clouds). In this case, we no longer fit for the cloud mass fraction \(X_{\text{eq}}\). Instead, the optical depth of clouds at all pressure levels is scaled to fulfill the prescribed \(\tau_{\text{cloud}}\). A strict prior is set to enforce optically thick clouds (\(\tau_{\text{cloud}} > 1\)). Moreover, we also ensure that the prescribed \(\tau_{\text{cloud}}\) is physically plausible by constraining the scaling factor of the cloud optical depth to be less than 2(\(f_{\text{red}} + 1\)). This factor \(f_{\text{red}} + 1\) results from the requirement that the cloud surface density (i.e. integrating the product of density with cloud mass fraction vertically) be constrained by the available mass above the cloud base before condensation takes place (i.e. with the equilibrium mass fraction \(X_{\text{eq}}\)). With the cloud mass fraction depending on the altitude via \(X^*(P) \propto p^{f_{\text{red}}}\), this leads to the constraint for the mass fraction at the cloud base: \(X_{\text{eq}}^* \leq X_{\text{eq}}(f_{\text{red}} + 1)\). We added a factor of two to offer some flexibility.

This alternative model results in more pronounced clouds and less isothermal T-P profiles, as is shown in Fig. 4. Comparing the retrieved values of other atmospheric properties (see Table 2), we note that the atmospheric gravity and radius are significantly modified as a result of the heavy cloud and the altered temperature structure. The alternative model no longer probes as deep as the cloudless model because the clouds hide the region below. In addition, the metallicity becomes smaller when clouds are enforced in the retrieval, because the steeper temperature gradient requires less absorbing gas to achieve a similar absorption in emergent flux, as also explained in Burningham et al. (2021). Retrievals over a larger wavelength coverage (in particular, probing silicate absorption features at 10 \(\mu\)m) will reveal the nature of clouds and help better constrain other properties of the atmospheres (Burningham et al. 2021). Nevertheless, it is reassuring that the retrieval of the C/O and 12CO/13CO ratios does not strongly rely on the accurate inference of temperature structure and clouds, which was also found in Mollière et al. (2020) and Burningham et al. (2021).

### 4.2. 13CO detection

To reveal the presence of 13CO signal, we compare the observed spectrum to the retrieved best-fit model (log(g) = 4.69, [Fe/H] = 0.2, C/O = 0.55, and 12CO/13CO = 97) in Fig. 1, and calculate the cross-correlation function (CCF) between the residuals (the observed spectrum minus the best-fit model without 13CO) and a 13CO model (Fig. 2). The 13CO model spectrum is constructed by taking the difference between the best-fit model and the same model with the 13CO abundance set to zero. As is shown in the right panel of Fig. 2, the CCF peaks at zero radial velocity, signifying the detection of 13CO at an S/N of 8.4. This value varies slightly depending on the choice of velocity ranges where the standard deviation of the noise is measured.

We also determined the significance of the 13CO detection using Bayesian model selection. We performed the retrieval again while excluding 13CO opacity and the parameter 12CO/13CO. Comparing its Bayesian evidence (Z) to that of the full model (as listed in Table 3) allows us to assess the extent to which the model including 13CO is favoured by the observations. In Bayesian model comparison, the Bayes factor \(B_m\) (calculated by the ratio of Z) is used as a proxy for the posterior odds ratio between two models. We then translated \(B_m\) to the frequentist measures of significance following Benneke & Seager (2013). As a result, the difference of ln(Z) between two models is \(\Delta \ln(Z) = \ln(B_m) = 44\), meaning that the observation favours the full model (including 13CO) at a significance level of 9.5\(\sigma\). The detection remains the same significance (9.5\(\sigma\)) when we use the alternative model with enhanced clouds.

### 5. Discussion

#### 5.1. CO isotopologue ratio in 2M0355

We found the CO isotopologue ratio in 2M0355 (12CO/13CO = 97(27/18)) to be similar to the carbon isotope ratio measured in for the Sun (93.5 ± 3 by Lyons et al. 2018), while it is marginally higher than the ratio in today’s local ISM (68 ± 15 by Milam et al. 2005), which is expected to be inherited by young objects.

---

**Table 3.** Results of Bayesian model comparison for the two retrieval models with and without 13CO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>(\ln(Z))</th>
<th>(\chi^2_{\text{best-fit}})</th>
<th>(\ln(B_m))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full model</td>
<td>-1181</td>
<td>2372</td>
<td>44(\sim 9.5(\sigma))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13CO excluded</td>
<td>-1225</td>
<td>2470</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes.** \(Z\) denotes the Bayesian evidence, \(\chi^2_{\text{best-fit}}\) the best-fit \(\chi^2\), and \(B_m\) the Bayes factor.
However, recent measurements of carbon isotope ratios towards a sample of solar twins suggest a current local value of $\sim 81.3$ (Botelho et al. 2020), which is within the uncertainty of our measurement. Therefore, it remains unclear whether the CO isotopologue ratio in 2M0355 is indeed higher than its local environment.

We note that high $^{12}\text{CO}/^{13}\text{CO}$ ratios were also observed towards some diffuse clouds, molecular clouds and YSOs in the solar neighbourhood (Lambert et al. 1994; Federman et al. 2003; Goto et al. 2003; Smith et al. 2015). If the high $^{12}\text{CO}/^{13}\text{CO}$ ratios in molecular clouds and YSOs do not result from observational biases, it may not be surprising that the brown dwarf 2M0355 might inherit the high ratio from a $^{13}\text{CO}$-diminished parent cloud. One possible explanation for the high ratios towards diffuse clouds is the isotope-selective photodissociation (Bally & Langer 1982; van Dishoeck & Black 1988; Visser et al. 2009). Because of the lower column density of the rarer isotopologue, the self-shielding of $^{13}\text{CO}$ takes effect at a deeper layer in the cloud. Consequently, $^{12}\text{CO}$ is preferably destructed by far-ultraviolet (FUV) radiation, increasing the $^{12}\text{CO}/^{13}\text{CO}$ ratios.
in the ISM. However, this explanation is not as plausible for molecular clouds and YSOs, because these objects with high extinction are supposed to be well shielded from FUV radiation. The isotope-selective photodissociation is unlikely to significantly alter the globally isotopologue ratios (van Dishoeck & Black 1988). Other hypotheses were also suggested, such as higher excitation temperature in $^{12}$CO than in $^{13}$CO resulted from photon trapping in $^{12}$CO rotational transitions (Goto et al. 2003), CO gas-to-ice reservoir partitioning (Smith et al. 2015), and ISM enrichment caused by ejecta from carbon-rich giant stars or supernovae (Crossfield et al. 2019), but no general and conclusive interpretation.

5.2. Implications for planet formation

It is intriguing to compare the $^{12}$CO/$^{13}$CO measurements between the L dwarf 2M0355 ($\sim$97) and the wide-orbit exoplanet TYC 8998 b ($\sim$31 by Zhang et al. 2021). Despite similarities in several aspects, the young super-Jupiter has possibly undergone a different formation pathway to the isolated brown dwarf, leading to the difference in isotopologue ratio in their atmospheres. While isolated brown dwarfs may experience a top-down formation via gravitational collapse (which resembles star formation) or disk instability (Boss 1997; Kratter & Lodato 2016), super-Jupiters possibly form through the bottom-up core-accretion (of planetesimals and pebbles) (Pollack et al. 1996; Lambrechts & Johansen 2012). Previous demographics on giant planets and brown dwarfs (Nielsen et al. 2019; Bowler et al. 2020) also support the two distinct formation pathways. As argued in Zhang et al. (2021), the core-accretion scenario could possibly lead to $^{13}$CO enrichment through ice accretion, lowering the $^{12}$CO/$^{13}$CO ratio in observed super-Jupiter atmospheres.

Admittedly, it is preliminary to draw any conclusive interpretation based on a sample of two objects. Another caveat is that the two measurements towards the super-Jupiter and the L dwarf are carried out under different spectral resolutions. It is far unclear whether a smaller spectral resolving power can lead to any observational biases because it probes unresolved molecular lines. This calls for more future observations that enable homogeneous comparisons between different objects, in order to better understand the potential and limitations of carbon isotopologue ratio as a tracer for planet formation. It is also essential to interpret the isotopologue measurements in light of carbon fractionation in protoplanetary disks (Miotello et al. 2014), disk evolution (Trapman et al. 2021), CO ice chemistry (Krijt et al. 2020), and planet formation (Cridland et al. 2020), in order to provide constraints on the birth location, the relative importance of ice accretion, and possible vertical gas accretion processes during formation.

6. Conclusion

We analysed archival high-resolution spectra (from 2.275 to 2.385 $\mu$m) from Keck/NIRSPEC of the isolated brown dwarf 2M0335 and carried out a free retrieval analysis. After removing the retrieved best-fit model that includes only the main isotopologues, we detected a $^{13}$CO signal using the cross-correlation method with an S/N of $\sim$8.4. The detection significance was determined to be $\sim$9.5$\sigma$ with a Bayesian model comparison between the two retrieval models including or excluding $^{13}$CO. The isotopologue ratio $^{12}$CO/$^{13}$CO is inferred to be $97^{+25}_{-22}$ similar to the value found in the Sun, while marginally higher than the local ISM standard. If this deviation is real, it is possibly inherited from its parent cloud that has a high $^{12}$CO/$^{13}$CO ratio.

Although based on only two objects, it is also intriguing to note the difference between carbon isotopologue ratios in giant exoplanets and brown dwarfs, potentially implying distinct formation pathways. Despite spectroscopic similarities, brown dwarfs may experience a top-down formation via gravitational collapse, which resembles star formation, while giant exoplanets likely form through a bottom-up accretion process, which may alter isotopologue ratios in their atmospheres with dependencies on the accretion location and pathway in protoplanetary disks. This further emphasises the atmospheric carbon isotopologue ratio as a tracer for planet formation history. In the future, such an analysis can be implemented on spectra of more exoplanets to measure the carbon isotopologue ratios in their atmospheres and shed light on the location and mechanisms of planet formation.
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