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ABSTRACT

Context. Magnetic fields influence the formation and evolution of stars and impact the observed stellar properties. Magnetic A-type stars (Ap stars) are a prime example of this. Access to precise and accurate determinations of their stellar fundamental properties, such as masses and ages, is crucial to understand the origin and evolution of fossil magnetic fields.

Aims. We propose using the radii and luminosities determined from interferometric measurements, in addition to seismic constraints when available, to infer fundamental properties of 14 Ap stars previously characterised.

Methods. We used a grid-based modelling approach, employing stellar models computed with the CESTAM stellar evolution code, and the parameter search performed with the AIMs optimisation method. The stellar model grid was built using a wide range of initial helium abundances and metallicities in order to avoid any bias originating from the initial chemical composition. The large frequency separations (∆ν) of HR 1217 (HD 24712) and α Cir (HD 128898), two rapidly oscillating Ap stars of the sample, were used as seismic constraints.

Results. We inferred the fundamental properties of the 14 stars in the sample. The overall results are consistent within 1σ with previous studies, however, the stellar masses inferred in this study are higher. This trend likely originates from the broader range of chemical compositions considered in this work. We show that the use of ∆ν in the modelling significantly improves our inferences, allowing us to set reasonable constraints on the initial metallicity which is, otherwise, unconstrained. This gives an indication of the efficiency of atomic diffusion in the atmospheres of roAp stars and opens the possibility of characterising the transport of chemical elements in their interiors.
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1. Introduction

Magnetism is ubiquitously present on various scales in the Universe. Magnetic fields have a significant impact on star formation (e.g., Commerçon et al. 2011; Mackey & Lim 2011), as well as stellar structure and evolution (e.g., Mestel 1999; Donati & Landstreet 2009). The first stellar magnetic field of a star other than the Sun was detected by Babcock (1947). This star, 78 Vir, is a chemically-peculiar A-type star (Ap star). Consequently, research of Ap stars has a long and rich history (e.g., Babcock 1958; Wolff 1967, 1968; Landstreet 1982; Aurière et al. 2007; Sikora et al. 2019). They amount to only a few per cent of the A-type-star population (Sikora et al. 2019), yet their observation and modelling has a significant scientific potential. Ap star characterisation, both on a star-by-star basis and in terms of their ensemble properties, provides clues as to the origin of strong, large-scale, fossil stellar magnetic fields (e.g., Cowling 1945; Brahidaite & Spruit 2017; Cantielo & Braithwaite 2019, and references therein), and can contribute to our understanding of how these fields influence both stellar evolution (e.g., Keszthelyi et al. 2019; Schneider et al. 2020) and the physical processes leading to the segregation of chemical elements via atomic diffusion (e.g., Michaud et al. 2015; Kochukhov & Ryabchikova 2018). Unfortu...
this sample of benchmark Ap stars. The properties of the full sample, composed of 14 Ap stars, have recently been discussed by Perraut et al. (2020).

Among the Ap stars, there is a subgroup known as the rapidly oscillating Ap stars (hereafter, roAp stars), which exhibit high frequency pulsations (Kurtz 1982). Their effective temperatures range from about 6000 to 9000 K and their pulsation periods from about 5 min (Cunha et al. 2019) to 24 min (Alentiev et al. 2012). Up to now, most roAp stars have been discovered through the analysis of ground-based photometric time series, but the NASA TESS (Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite) is rapidly increasing the number of new detections (e.g., Cunha et al. 2019; Balona et al. 2019). The combination of interferometric and asteroseismic data has significant constraining power in the context of stellar modelling (Creevey et al. 2007; Cunha et al. 2007), making roAp stars with a measured angular diameter primary targets for modelling.

The aim of the present paper is to use the interferometric and asteroseismic data of 14 stars characterised by Perraut et al. (2020) to infer their masses and ages. Out of these 14 stars, five are roAp stars. The inference is performed with the grid-based optimisation method Asteroseismic Inference on a Massive Scale (AIMS, Rendle et al. 2019). The stellar models are computed with the CESTAM evolution code (Code d’Evoluion Stellaire Adaptatif et Modulaire, the ‘T’ stands for transport). To perform inferences as unbiased as possible, the grid includes various initial chemical compositions. In addition to precise measurements of radii and luminosities, we assess the benefit of having seismic constraints, when available. In order to further strengthen the robustness of these results, we additionally use MESA software ( Paxton et al. 2011, 2013, 2015, 2018, 2019) where the effects of surface fossil magnetic fields have previously been implemented by Keszthelyi et al. (2019, 2020).

In Sect. 2, we present the Ap stars sample and the information provided by roAp pulsations to constrain stellar models. The stellar models used to infer stellar parameters are described in Sect. 3 and the optimisation procedure in Sect. 4. We present the inferred masses, ages and hydrogen mass fraction in the core ($X_H$) using classical and seismic constraints in Sect. 5. We address the impact of neglecting the magnetic field and transport processes of chemical elements in Sect. 6. We finally discuss the results in Sect. 7 and conclude in Sect. 8.

## 2. Ap stars sample

### 2.1. Properties of the sample

This study focuses on the stars characterised by Perraut et al. (2020). The sample is composed of 14 Ap stars with angular diameters measured through interferometry (five of them are roAp stars). They were chosen for the interferometry programme because they are brighter than the current sensitivity limit of visible interferometers (about $\lambda = 7$ in standard atmospheric conditions to derive accurate angular diameters, Perraut et al. 2020), and have estimated angular diameters greater than about 0.2 mas, thus within the current angular resolution of these instruments. Information on the individual stars is given in Table 1, where the effective temperature, luminosity, and radius were extracted from Table 4 of the paper by Perraut et al. (2020). For each star, the authors derived these properties from the angular diameter, bolometric flux, and parallax. The adopted parallaxes were retrieved from the Gaia DR2 (Gaia Collaboration 2018) for all stars except for the two brightest targets, namely, α Cir (HD 128898) and HD 137909 (the latter being a binary) for which the authors adopted, instead, the parallaxes from HIPPARCOS (van Leeuwen 2007). The bolometric flux was computed from the observed spectral energy distribution obtained by combining photometric and flux-calibrated spectroscopic data at different wavelengths. Figure 1 shows the stars in an Hertzsprung–Russell diagram along with evolutionary tracks and iso-radii, for different initial chemical compositions. Models are computed with the CESTAM evolution code using the input physics as described in Sect. 3. A core overshoot with a step extend of 0.15 $\Delta R_p$ is adopted for these tracks.

### 2.2. Seismology of Ap stars

The roAp stars have an added valuable scientific potential, as their pulsations may provide additional constraints to stellar modelling. Unfortunately, the strong magnetic field permeating the roAp stars impacts both directly and indirectly on the pulsations, perturbing their frequencies with respect to those expected in non-magnetic stars. The direct effect follows from the distortion of the pulsations by the Lorentz force. Studies of this direct interaction have shown that frequencies can be perturbed by a significant fraction of the typical frequency separation between consecutive modes (Cunha & Gough 2000; Cunha 2006; Bigot et al. 2000; Saio & Gauktsch 2004). While the results from these theoretical studies agree qualitatively, quantitatively they show significant differences in what concerns the perturbation to individual modes, bringing into question the use of the magnetic models in direct comparisons with the individual frequencies observed in roAp stars. Nevertheless, the scenario is different when considering the large frequency separations, $\Delta$, between consecutive modes of the same degree, $l$. With the exception of modes experiencing a very strong coupling with the magnetic field, the frequency perturbation is not expected to vary significantly from mode to mode: it generally increases slightly with frequency, with a mode-to-mode variation which typically does not exceed 2 $\mu$Hz, occasionally decreasing by a fraction of a $\mu$Hz. In addition to the direct effect, the magnetic field can have an indirect effect on pulsations, resulting from its impact on the equilibrium structure. In fact, it has been argued that the magnetic field may suppress surface convection and influence the transport of chemical elements (Balmforth et al. 2001; Cunha 2002; Théado et al. 2005). While the impact from these effects on the individual frequencies can reach a significant fraction of the large frequency separation (Balmforth et al. 2001), as structural effects their impact does not change significantly from frequency to frequency, leading to a perturbation to the large frequency separation that is typically smaller than the perturbation resulting from the direct effect of the magnetic field. As a consequence, the mode frequencies in roAp stars still tend to follow a regular pattern, often being almost equally spaced in the power spectrum. When that is the case, the observed large frequency separation can be determined and used as an extra constraint in the modelling of the star based on non-magnetic models, so far as a small magnetic correction is subtracted from the observed value.

Among the 14 stars in our sample, five were known to be roAp stars prior to the launch of TESS (identified in Table 1). We searched the 2-min cadence TESS observations of the stars in our sample in search for additional roAp pulsators. Of the 14 stars analysed in this work, six stars (HD 137909, HD 153882, HD 176232, HD 188041, HD 201601, and HD 220825) do not yet have TESS photometric observations. For the eight observed stars (from which two were previously known to be roAp stars), we analysed both the Simple Aperture Photometry (SAP) and the


Pre-search Data Conditioning SAP (PDC_SAP) data to check for any signal injected to the data by the PDC pipeline. To search for rotational variations, we calculated a Fourier spectrum from TESS data to check for rotational variability in seven stars (HD 204411 shows no variability. Of the eight stars with TESS data, acquired by the satellites TESS and BRITE have been analysed by up to about 2 μHz and occasionally overestimate it by up to a fraction of a μHz, as a result of the direct effect of the magnetic field. Considering in addition the potential indirect effect of the magnetic field, in this second scenario we relax the observational seismic constraint by allowing Δν to vary within the interval [Δν = 3.0; Δν + 1.0] μHz.

2.2.1. HR 1217 (HD 24712)

HR 1217 (HD 24712) was discovered to be a roAp star by Kurtz (1981). Its pulsation spectrum is well characterised from ground- and space-based observations. A detailed analysis of its oscillation power spectrum has been performed by Bruntt et al. (2009) based on space-based data collected with the star tracker on board the Wide-field Infrared Explorer (WISE; Buzasi 2002) and ground-based data collected at the South African Astronomical Observatory. Recently, new space-based data, acquired by the satellites TESS and BRITe have been analysed by Weiss et al. (2020), confirming the detection of the three main frequencies reported by Bruntt et al. (2009) (f_a, f_1, and f_2, according to the notation adopted in both works). Similarly to the case of HR 1217 (HD 24712), these three modes are interpreted as being of alternating even and odd degrees, implying that Δν and Balona et al. (2019). Nevertheless, the values published in these works still differ by more than the formal error that would be derived from each of them. Therefore, for our modelling we combine the results from the two publications as follows: For each of them, we compute three estimates of Δν from the frequencies ν_1 to ν_5 (where the notation follows that used in both works), by considering the combinations ν_1 – ν_3, ν_4 – ν_2, and ν_5 – ν_3. We then compute the average and the square root of the variance of the six estimates and use them as estimates for the value and the uncertainty, finding Δν = 67.76 ± 0.13 μHz. In addition to this pure observational estimate of Δν, we consider a more conservative scenario that takes into account the potential impact of the magnetic field on the oscillations. From the discussion in Sect. 2.2, we know that our non-magnetic models may systematically underestimate the true Δν by up to about 2 μHz and occasionally overestimate it by up to a fraction of a μHz, as a result of the direct effect of the magnetic field. Considering in addition the potential indirect effect of the magnetic field, in this second scenario we relax the observational seismic constraint by allowing Δν to vary within the interval [Δν = 3.0; Δν + 1.0] μHz.

2.2.2. α Cir (HD 128898)

α Cir (HD 128898) was discovered to be a roAp star by Kurtz & Cropper (1981) and has also been a target of several ground-based and space-based campaigns. A detailed analysis of its oscillation power spectrum has been performed by Bruntt et al. (2009) based on space-based data collected with the star tracker on board the Wide-field Infrared Explorer (WISE; Buzasi 2002) and ground-based data collected at the South African Astronomical Observatory. Recently, new space-based data, acquired by the satellites TESS and BRITe have been analysed by Weiss et al. (2020), confirming the detection of the three main frequencies reported by Bruntt et al. (2009) (f_a, f_1, and f_2, according to the notation adopted in both works). Similarly to the case of HR 1217 (HD 24712), these three modes are interpreted as being of alternating even and odd degrees, implying that Δν and Balona et al. (2019).

Table 1. Properties of the Ap star sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HD</th>
<th>Other name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>T_eff [K]</th>
<th>L [L_⊙]</th>
<th>R [R_⊙]</th>
<th>P_rot [d]</th>
<th>(B_⊙) [Kg]</th>
<th>Δν [μHz]</th>
<th>Δν_{norm} [μHz]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4778</td>
<td>GO And</td>
<td>noAp</td>
<td>9135 ± 400</td>
<td>34.9 ± 4.3</td>
<td>2.36 ± 0.12</td>
<td>2.56 ± 0.09</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24712</td>
<td>HR 1217</td>
<td>roAp</td>
<td>7225 ± 280</td>
<td>7.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.05</td>
<td>12.46 ± 0.10</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.04</td>
<td>67.76 ± 0.13</td>
<td>64.8, 68.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108662</td>
<td>17 Com A</td>
<td>noAp</td>
<td>8880 ± 330</td>
<td>38.1 ± 4.9</td>
<td>2.59 ± 0.12</td>
<td>5.08 ± 0.06</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.04</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108945</td>
<td>21 Com</td>
<td>noAp</td>
<td>8430 ± 270</td>
<td>36.5 ± 4.2</td>
<td>2.82 ± 0.09</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.04</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118022</td>
<td>78 Vir</td>
<td>noAp</td>
<td>9100 ± 190</td>
<td>28.9 ± 3.0</td>
<td>2.17 ± 0.06</td>
<td>3.72 ± 0.04</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.04</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120198</td>
<td>84 Uma</td>
<td>noAp</td>
<td>9865 ± 370</td>
<td>44.9 ± 4.3</td>
<td>2.28 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.04</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128898</td>
<td>α Cir</td>
<td>roAp</td>
<td>7420 ± 170</td>
<td>10.51 ± 0.60</td>
<td>1.967 ± 0.066</td>
<td>4.48 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.04</td>
<td>60.37 ± 0.03</td>
<td>57.4, 61.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137909</td>
<td>β CrB</td>
<td>roAp</td>
<td>7980 ± 180</td>
<td>25.3 ± 2.9</td>
<td>2.63 ± 0.09</td>
<td>18.49 ± 0.05</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.04</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153882</td>
<td>V451 Her</td>
<td>noAp</td>
<td>8980 ± 600</td>
<td>70.8 ± 6.5</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.37</td>
<td>6.01 ± 0.09</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176232</td>
<td>10 Aql</td>
<td>roAp</td>
<td>7900 ± 190</td>
<td>16.9 ± 1.4</td>
<td>2.21 ± 0.08</td>
<td>6.05 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>188041</td>
<td>V1291 Aql</td>
<td>noAp</td>
<td>9000 ± 360</td>
<td>30.5 ± 4.2</td>
<td>2.26 ± 0.05</td>
<td>224.50 ± 0.10</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201601</td>
<td>γ Equ A</td>
<td>roAp</td>
<td>7253 ± 235</td>
<td>11.0 ± 0.93</td>
<td>2.11 ± 0.07</td>
<td>35462.5 ± 0.03</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.04</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204411</td>
<td>HR8216</td>
<td>roAp</td>
<td>8520 ± 220</td>
<td>85.6 ± 9.2</td>
<td>4.23 ± 0.11</td>
<td>Unknown ± 0.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220825</td>
<td>κ Psc</td>
<td>noAp</td>
<td>8790 ± 230</td>
<td>17.2 ± 1.7</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.04</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BROTE data was significantly lower. Taking the average and the square root of the variance of the values from the two publications we find $\Delta \nu = 60.37 \pm 0.03 \mu Hz$. Finally, as discussed for HR 1217 (HD 24712), in addition to this observational value, we consider a second scenario to account for the effect of the magnetic field not included in our stellar models, allowing $\Delta \nu$ to vary in the interval $[\Delta \nu - 3.0;\Delta \nu + 1.0] \mu Hz$. The intervals of $\Delta \nu$ considered for the two stars with seismic constraints are summarised in Table 1.

### 3. Stellar models

The stellar models are computed with the CESTAM evolution code (Code d’Evolution Stellaire Adaptatif et Modulaire, the ‘T’ stands for transport). A detailed description of the code can be found in the works of Morel & Lebreton (2008), Marques et al. (2013), and Deal et al. (2018). The code is able to take into account several non-standard transport processes of chemical elements (i.e., atomic diffusion including radiative accelerations) and the transport of angular momentum which may have a significant impact on the stellar property inference in non-magnetic stars (e.g., Deal et al. 2020). Despite this, accounting for chemical element transport in Ap stars is still a challenge.

Magnetic fields strongly impact atomic diffusion in the upper atmosphere of Ap stars (Aleian & Stift 2002, 2007, 2017; Stift & Aleian 2016, and reference therein). In the stars’ interiors, the direct effect of magnetic fields on atomic diffusion is negligible (e.g., Aleian & Stift 2017). Nevertheless, it is possible that they still have an indirect effect on the transport of chemical elements (e.g., Théado et al. 2005), through their impact on the equilibrium structure, in particular the suppression of near-surface convection discussed in Sect. 2.2. Moreover, a realistic transport of chemical elements (including atomic diffusion) currently requires the addition of a parametric turbulent diffusion coefficient in order to prevent the complete depletion of helium and metals from the surface, and reproduce surface abundances of F and A-type stars (Richer et al. 2000; Richard et al. 2001; Michaud et al. 2011; Verm & Silva Aguirre 2019; Semenova et al. 2020). Magnetic fields may also impact these competing mechanisms that are thought to be related either to mass loss or turbulence in Am stars (e.g., Vick et al. 2010; Michaud et al. 2011), another type of non-magnetic chemically peculiar A-type stars.

In addition to the potential difficulties brought about by the magnetic field effects discussed above that are currently poorly modelled in stellar evolution codes, there are practical reasons that make accounting for chemical element transport in Ap stars particularly challenging. Models including atomic diffusion require to recompute the Rosseland mean opacity at each mesh point and each time step taking into account the abundance variations. This is possible with monochromatic opacity tables, but the computational time drastically increases. Our analysis requires a large grid of models (see Sect. 4.2) and such grid cannot be computed in these conditions.

For all reasons mentioned above, as a first step we decided to not take any of the processes leading to chemical transport into account in the models computed for this study, and to not use the observed surface abundances as constraints to our modelling. However, we estimate the impact of the combined effect of atomic diffusion and an additional parameterised transport process on the modelling of HR 1217 (HD 24712) in Sect. 5.3, and, more generally, on the results of this paper in Sect. 6.1. Similarly, the effects of a magnetic field on the evolution were neglected. An assessment of the effect of this assumption on the final stellar properties is presented in Sect. 6.2.
The models are computed from the PMS (Pre-Main Sequence) to a hydrogen mass fraction in the core of $X_c = 10^{-11}$, to cover a part of the sub-giant phase. We use the OPAL2005 equation of state (Rogers & Nayfonov 2002) and the OPAL95 opacity tables (Iglesias & Rogers 1996) complemented at low temperatures by the Whichita opacity data (Ferguson et al. 2005). Nuclear reaction rates are from the NACRE compilation (Angulo 1999) except for the $^{14}$N($p, \gamma$)$^{15}$O reaction, for which we use the LUNA rate (Imbriani et al. 2004). We use an AGSS09 initial mixture of metal (Asplund et al. 2009) with meteoritic abundances for refractory elements (Serenelli 2010). Convection is treated following the Canuto et al. (1996) formalism with a solar calibrated $\alpha_{CGM} = 0.634$. Overshoot of the convective core is taken into account with a step extend of $\sigma_{ovx} \times \min(H_p, r_{cc})$ where $r_{cc}$ is a radius of the Schwarzschild convective core. Atmospheres are computed in the Eddington grey approximation with no mass loss taken into account. CESTAM follows the chemical elements individually (H, He, C, N, O, Ne, Na, Mg, Al, Si, S, Ca, and Fe). In the following sections, [Fe/H]$^c$ refers to the iron surface abundance, and $[M/H]^c$ to the surface metallicity. $Z$ is the mass fraction of elements. As we neglected transport of chemical elements in the models, the model values for these quantities remain unchanged with time and equal to their initial values represent the primordial value and go slightly below to allow proper interpolation. For partial refraction of the modes at frequencies $\Delta \nu_{mod}$, using the radial modes available in the grid. The posterior distribution of stellar fundamental properties is a mixture. This boundary condition ensures that the frequency separation is used as a constraint, the $\chi^2$ has the following form:

$$\chi^2_{\text{tot}} = \chi^2_{\text{classical}} + \chi^2_{\Delta \nu},$$

with

$$\chi^2_i = \frac{(O_i - \theta_i)^2}{\sigma_i^2},$$

where classical means non-seismic constraints ($T_{\text{eff}}$, luminosity, etc.), $O_i$, $\theta_i$, and $\sigma_i$ are respectively the observed value, the model value, and the observational uncertainty. Otherwise the likelihood is only the sum of the classical constraint contributions.

### 4. Optimisation method

#### 4.1. AIMS code

The AIMS\(^1\) optimisation code (Asteroseismic Inference on a Massive Scale. Lund & Reese 2018; Rendle et al. 2019) applies a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) approach in order to find a representative sample of stellar models that fit a given set of classic and seismic constraints. This sample is subsequently used to find the best-fitting values, error bars, and posterior probability distribution functions (PDFs) for the different stellar properties. In order to gain computation time, the AIMS code uses a precomputed grid of stellar models which includes global stellar properties such as mass and age, as well as pulsation spectra, and interpolates within this grid for each MCMC iteration.

\(^1\) [Fe/H] = $\log_{10}(N_{Fe}/N_H) - \log_{10}(N_{Fe0}/N_{H0})$.

\(^2\) $[M/H] = \log_{10}(Z/X) - \log_{10}(Z/X)_{\odot}$, $Z$ and $X$ being the metal and hydrogen mass fraction, respectively.

\(^3\) https://lesia.obspm.fr/perso/daniel-reese/spaceinn/aims/

Interpolation is carried out using a multi-dimensional Delaunay tessellation between evolutionary tracks and a simple linear interpolation along evolutionary tracks. AIMS allows the inclusion of the large frequency separation as a constraint and computes the model value, $\Delta \nu_{mod}$, using the radial modes available in the grid. The posterior distribution of stellar fundamental properties $A$ taking into account observational constraints $O$ is defined as (Bayes’ theorem)

$$p(A|O) \propto p(O|A) p(A),$$

with the likelihood function

$$p(O|A) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi|C|}} \exp\left(-\frac{\chi^2_{\text{tot}}}{2}\right),$$

where $p(A)$ are prior assumptions and $C$ is the covariance matrix of the observed parameters. In this study we assume uniform priors for the stellar fundamental properties. When the large frequency separation is used as a constraint, the $\chi^2$ has the following form:

$$\chi^2_{\text{tot}} = \sum \chi^2_{\text{classical}} + \chi^2_{\Delta \nu},$$

$$\chi^2_i = \frac{(O_i - \theta_i)^2}{\sigma_i^2},$$

where classical means non-seismic constraints ($T_{\text{eff}}$, luminosity, etc.). $O_i$, $\theta_i$, and $\sigma_i$ are respectively the observed value, the model value, and the observational uncertainty. Otherwise the likelihood is only the sum of the classical constraint contributions.

### 4.2. Grid parameters

The grid of models is Cartesian and includes five dimensions, namely the age, the mass, the initial helium and metal content, and the amount of core overshoot. The details about the grid can be found in Table 2. It has been designed to characterise main sequence stars (minimum hydrogen mass fraction of hydrogen in the core $X_c = 10^{-11}$). The value of the mixing length parameter is fixed to the solar calibrated value $\alpha_{CGM} = 0.634$. The initial helium and metal mass fractions are chosen to include the solar calibrated ones as models of the grid ($Y_{\text{ini},0} = 0.252$, and $Z_{\text{ini},0} = 0.0131$). The minimum helium is chosen to include the primordial value and goes slightly below to allow proper probability distributions. The initial metal mass fraction ($Z_{\text{ini}}$) range is chosen to obtain an initial iron abundance ([Fe/H]$^{\text{ini}}$) coverage between −0.5 and 0.5 dex. We chose to not include lower metallicities because these are not expected for Ap main-sequence stars. Both $Y_{\text{ini}}$ and $Z_{\text{ini}}$ vary freely, that is no enrichment law is taken into account, to prevent any bias from such an assumption. The counter part is the large number of models to compute. The total number of evolutionary tracks in our grid is 21 689, including about 6.6 million models (between 200 and 1200 per track, depending on the mass and chemical composition). Core overshoot is chosen to cover the typical values for this parameter in the considered range of mass (Claret & Torres 2019). Oscillation frequencies are computed for each model of the grid using ADIPLS (Christensen-Dalsgaard 2008) applying a fully reflective boundary condition ($\delta P = 0$) at the top of the atmosphere. This boundary condition ensures that the frequencies are computed up to the observed values, which are greater than the acoustic cutoff frequency in both stars for which seismic constraints are applied. These very high frequencies are observed in roAp stars because the magnetic field provides a mechanism for partial refraction of the modes at frequencies

### Table 2. Properties of the grid of stellar models used to infer the stellar parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Steps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$M$ [$M_{\odot}$]</td>
<td>1.2–3.5</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_{\text{ini}}$</td>
<td>0.242–0.292</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_{\text{ini}}$</td>
<td>0.0031–0.0381</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core overshoot</td>
<td>$[Hp]$</td>
<td>0.0–0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
higher than the acoustic cutoff frequency (Sousa & Cunha 2008; Quirtal-Manosalva et al. 2018).

### 4.3. Classical constraints

We used the radius and the luminosity as classical constraints. We do not consider the effective temperature, because the latter is directly related to the other two through the Stefan-Boltzmann law and does not add an independent constraint. A wide variety of probability distribution functions can be applied by AIMS.

In what follows, we used normal distributions, truncated at $3\sigma$, for the two classical constraints.

As discussed in Sect. 3, the stellar models we use to determine the stellar parameters include no transport of chemical element. As [Fe/H] can be strongly affected by magnetic fields and transport processes (e.g., Shulyak et al. 2009), we cannot use it as classical constraint.

### 4.4. Seismic constraints

We use the large frequency separations observed in HR 1217 (HD 24712) and $\alpha$ Cir (HD 128898) to improve the fits, and assess the effect this additional constraint has on the probability distributions of the inferred stellar fundamental properties. Following on the discussion in Sect. 2.2, we consider two different scenarios. First we employ the constraint $\Delta \nu_{\text{mag}}$, which incorporates a correction due the possible impact of the magnetic field. Under this scenario, we further consider two possibilities for the probability distribution of $\Delta \nu_{\text{mag}}$, namely, a normal distribution with a central value of $(\Delta \nu - 1.0)$ and standard deviation of $2.0 \mu$Hz (hereafter $\Delta \nu(a)$) and a uniform distribution with a range $[\Delta \nu - 3.0; \Delta \nu + 1.0] \mu$Hz (hereafter $\Delta \nu(b)$). The second scenario disregards the potential effect of the magnetic field, considering a normal distribution for the observed values $\Delta \nu_{\text{obs}}$ (hereafter $\Delta \nu(c)$).

### 5. Parameter inferences

#### 5.1. Masses, $X_c$, and ages from classical constraints

In this section we discuss the results of the property inferences with AIMS. Table 3 gives the masses, hydrogen mass fractions in the core ($X_c$), and the ages of the 14 stars when $Y$ and $Z$ vary freely (Free dY/dZ, first column), and when $Y$ varies according to $Z$ following an enrichment law (second column). We first discuss the results with a free dY/dZ, the second case is detailed in Sect. 5.4. The corresponding probability density functions are presented in Appendix B, and in Figs. 3 and 4 for HR 1217 (HD 24712) and $\alpha$ Cir (HD 128898) respectively. The probability density functions are not strictly normal and present an asymmetry (positive or negative depending on the parameter). The central values given in Table 3 are the medians of the distributions (i.e., the 50th percentile). We define the asymmetric uncertainties at $1\sigma$ with the 16th and 84th percentile of the distributions.

#### Notes

Uncertainties are given at 1$\sigma$ (16th and 84th percentiles).
Figure 2 (left panel) shows the mass and hydrogen mass fraction in the core (X_c) inferred for the stars of the sample. Taking into account the 1σ error bars, the stars have masses between 1.5 and 3.0 M_⊙. Most of the stars are in the first half of the main sequence with X_c > 0.35 and ages between about 0.1 and 1.6 Gyr, except for HD 204411 which seem to be close to the end of main sequence with X_c = 0.10^{+0.05}_{-0.07}. The uncertainties on X_c for HD 204411 are smaller than for the others. Its relative errors is less important because it is possibly a subgiant and the minimum hydrogen mass fraction of the models in the grid is X_c = 10^{-11}, which in the middle of the subgiant phase. A more accurate inference of the stellar fundamental properties of HD 204411 would require extending the grid into the subgiant phase.

The inferred ages should be interpreted as estimates only. The grid of models includes core overshoot, but neglects other processes that may significantly affect the lifetime of main-sequence stars. Studies of G and F-type stars using grid-based modelling and including acoustic seismic constraints show that atomic diffusion can impact the age of a star by up to 15% (Nsamba et al. 2018; Deal et al. 2020). Similar results were also found for A-type stars using gravity modes as constraints (Mombarg et al. 2020). The effect of rotation is also not taken into account in the models. The transport of chemical elements by rotation has a direct impact on the lifetime of a star on the main sequence.

5.2. Chemical composition

Our results show that the chemical composition of the stars under study is not constrained by the classical observations alone. There is a clear degeneracy both in the helium and metal contents. In the case of helium, we find that the probability density functions are homogeneous for all Y ini values of the grid (see lower left panel of Fig. 3 for the example of HR 1217/HD 24712). For [M/H] ini, the probability density functions are pointing towards the higher metallicities of the grid (see Appendix B) with no metallicities excluded (except, for HD 204411 for the reasons previously mentioned). This shape of the distribution of [M/H] ini is expected for a Cartesian grid in Z ini (see Appendix A). It means that [Fe/H] ini is not constrained at all by the radius and luminosity.

The Z ini probability density functions are not completely homogeneous and show an increasing trend towards high initial metal mass fraction (less pronounced than [M/H] ini) as shown on the lower middle panel of Fig. 3 for HR 1217 (HD 24712). Contrarily to [M/H] ini, this is not expected. This comes from the architecture of the grid (all models are stopped at X_c = 10^{-11}), which was designed to look for main sequence stars. At low metallicities, we can see on the bottom panel of Fig. 1 that the stars are at the edge of the tracks (outside HD 153882 and HD 204411). This is the case at every Y ini. It means that there are less potential fitting models at lower than at higher metallicities, where all stars lie well within the evolutionary tracks. We note that we do not expect these young stars to have such low metallicities. We can thus consider Z ini, similarly to [M/H] ini, completely unconstrained, taking into account the main sequence assumption.

5.3. Benefits from seismic constraints

For two stars of the sample (HR 1217/HD 24712 and α Cir/HD 128898) we have a constraint on ∆ν, in addition to the radius and luminosity. Figures 3 and 4 show the impact on the property inferences of adding this seismic constraint with uncertainties as described in Sect. 4.4, for HR 1217 (HD 24712) and α Cir (HD 128898) respectively. We can see that all three stellar properties are better constrained when the seismic information is considered, regardless of the type of uncertainties we use on ∆ν mag (Gaussian or uniform, cases a and b, respectively). As expected, the constraining power of the seismic information increases when the more precise observed (uncorrected) value ∆ν obs, with smaller uncertainties, is adopted (case c). The values of the inferred masses, ages, and X_c for the different cases considered for HR 1217 (HD 24712) and α Cir (HD 128898) are
Fig. 3. Probability density functions for the mass, age, \( X_c \), \( Y_{\text{ini}} \), \( Z_{\text{ini}} \), and \([\text{M/H}]_{\text{ini}}\) of HR 1217 (HD 24712). The blue distributions take into account the classical constraints only, while the orange, green, and red ones include \( \Delta \nu \) as an additional constraint with different uncertainties (see Sect. 4.4).

Fig. 4. Same as Fig. 3, but for \( \alpha \) Cir (HD 128898).
listed in Table 3. As expected, the main improvement brought by the seismic constraint is on the metal content. When uniform conservative uncertainties (cases b) are considered, we obtain 1σ intervals of $z_{\text{ini}} = 0.016^{+0.007}_{-0.009}$ and $[\text{M/H}]_{\text{ini}} = 0.10^{+0.20}_{-0.25}$ dex for HR 1217 (HD 24712), and $z_{\text{ini}} = 0.021^{+0.010}_{-0.009}$ and $[\text{M/H}]_{\text{ini}} = 0.23^{+0.18}_{-0.25}$ dex for α Cir (HD 128898). For all stellar properties, the improvement from adding the seismic constraint is more significant for HR 1217 (HD 24712) than for α Cir (HD 128898). This is due to a smaller uncertainty on the luminosity for α Cir (HD 128898), inducing a stronger constraint on the stellar fundamental properties without seismic constraint.

5.3.1. Abundances stratification of Si, Ca, and Fe of HR 1217 (HD 24712)

Abundance stratification of several elements have been determined for HR 1217 (HD 24712) by Shulyak et al. (2009). The elements in common with the ones followed in CESTAM models are Si, Ca, and Fe. In the lowest atmospheric layers they were able to probe (log$_{10} \tau = 5000 \approx 0$), they obtained log$_{10}(N_{\text{Si}}/N_{\text{Ne}}) = -3.60$, log$_{10}(N_{\text{Ca}}/N_{\text{Ne}}) = -4.60$, and log$_{10}(N_{\text{Fe}}/N_{\text{Ne}}) = -4.25$. Using the solar abundance of Asplund et al. (2009) (i.e., log$_{10}(N_{\text{Si}}/N_{\text{Ne}}) = -4.53$, log$_{10}(N_{\text{Ca}}/N_{\text{Ne}}) = -5.70$, and log$_{10}(N_{\text{Fe}}/N_{\text{Ne}}) = -4.54$), we obtain $[\text{Si}/\text{H}]_{\text{HD24712}} = 0.93$ dex, $[\text{Ca}/\text{H}]_{\text{HD24712}} = 1.10$ dex, and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_{\text{HD24712}} = 0.29$ dex. Our models predict $[\text{M/H}]_{\text{ini}} = [\text{Si}/\text{H}]_{\text{ini}} = [\text{Ca}/\text{H}]_{\text{ini}} = [\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_{\text{ini}} = 0.10^{+0.20}_{-0.20}$ dex. In these three cases, the observed abundances at log$_{10} \tau = 5000 \approx 0$ are larger than the predicted initial abundances (in the case of Si and Ca, the difference being rather significant). This could be an indication of the effect of atomic diffusion in the interior of Ap stars.

As a test of the impact of the processes leading to chemical transport, we computed a model with the same evolution code (CESTMAM), including the effect of atomic diffusion (with radiative acceleration) as described in Deal et al. (2018), and an additional parametrised transport process similar to what is expected in Am stars (e.g., Richer et al. 2000; Richard et al. 2001; Michaud et al. 2011). A more detailed description of the physics of this model is presented in Sect. 6.1. We used the median values obtained with the constrain of $\Delta \nu(b)$ and a free $dY/dZ$ to compute the model ($M = 1.54 \, M_\odot$, $X_c = 0.39$ and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_{\text{ini}} = 0.10$ dex). The models predict $[\text{Si}/\text{H}] = -0.13$ dex, $[\text{Ca}/\text{H}] = -0.63$ dex and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = 0.58$ dex. Si and Ca surface abundances are lower than the initial ones while iron surface abundance is larger. This is what we expect from models including atomic diffusion in the stellar interior (e.g., Deal et al. 2018). These predicted abundances at the bottom of the atmosphere give an indication of the reservoir of chemical element available in the atmosphere. The fact that the predicted iron abundance at the bottom of the atmosphere is 0.5 dex larger than the initial one indicates that the available quantity of iron is sufficient to explain the stratification obtained from observations. For the two other elements, it indicates that the macroscopic transport of chemical elements may be more efficient in Ap stars than in Am stars, in order to prevent the depletion of them from the surface.

5.3.2. Abundances of Si, Ca, and Fe of α Cir (HD 128898)

We performed a similar analysis for α Cir (HD 128898) for which we determined $[\text{M/H}]_{\text{ini}} = [\text{Si}/\text{H}]_{\text{ini}} = [\text{Ca}/\text{H}]_{\text{ini}} = [\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_{\text{ini}} = 0.22^{+0.18}_{-0.22}$ dex. We use the abundance stratification determined by Kochukhov et al. (2009) and obtained (at log$_{10} \tau = 5000 \approx 0$) $[\text{Si}/\text{H}]_{\text{HD128898}} = 1.03$ dex, $[\text{Ca}/\text{H}]_{\text{HD128898}} = 1.80$ dex, and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_{\text{HD128898}} = 0.69$ dex.

Similarly to α Cir (HD 128898), we computed a model including the effect of atomic diffusion (with radiative acceleration) and an additional parametrised transport process similar to what is expected in Am stars. We used the median values obtained with the constrain of $\Delta \nu(b)$ and a free $dY/dZ$ to compute the model ($M = 1.77 \, M_\odot$, $X_c = 0.41$, and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_{\text{ini}} = 0.22$ dex). We obtained $[\text{Si}/\text{H}] = 0.01$ dex, $[\text{Ca}/\text{H}] = -0.39$ dex, and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = 0.69$ dex. This leads to the same conclusion as for HR 1217 (HD 24712).

The stratification of chemical elements was also derived for other stars of the sample (HD 176232/10 Aql: Nesvacil et al. 2013; HD 137909/β Crb and HD 201601/y Equ A: Shulyak et al. 2013; HD 204411: Ryabchikova et al. 2005). Because $[\text{M/H}]_{\text{ini}}$ is not well constrained for these stars, we cannot perform a similar comparison.

5.3.3. Mass range of Ap stars

When only classical constraints are taken into account, the mass probability density functions of HR 1217 (HD 24712) and α Cir (HD 128898) indicate at 3σ a minimum mass of 1.21 and 1.28 $M_\odot$, respectively. It changes to 1.21 and 1.38 $M_\odot$ when $\Delta \nu$ with uniform uncertainty is considered. These masses are smaller than the current mass range typically assumed for Ap stars, which often starts in 1.5 $M_\odot$, based on the assumption of a solar chemical composition. The fact that the initial metallicity is largely unconstrained, leading to a significant uncertainty in the mass, indicates that the minimum mass of Ap stars may need to be shifted to lower values.

5.4. Enrichment law

An enrichment law characterises the way the abundance of helium varies with the metallicity. It is suitable to study ensembles of stars but is less relevant for studies of individual stars, especially population I stars where the dispersion in this relation can be significant (e.g., Verma et al. 2019). This is the reason why we decided to not assume any enrichment law to start with. Nevertheless, we tested the impact of considering a constrained enrichment law. According to observations, the helium-to-heavy element enrichment ratio $dY/dZ$ ranges between 0.4 and 3 (see Nsamba et al. 2021, for a review). The impact on the posterior distributions from constraining the value of $dY/dZ$ can be seen in Table 3 and in Fig. 5. The median values for mass are slightly smaller when $dY/dZ$ is constrained while remaining very close for $X_c$ and age. As an additional constraint is taken into account, the 1σ uncertainties are smaller, as expected.

6. Impact from additional physical processes

As we neglected both chemical element transport processes and the effect of magnetic fields, we assess in this Section the impact they have on the results. We show that in both cases, the impact on the stellar properties is negligible compared to the uncertainties of the observational constraints. In what concerns the impact of chemical element transport, we stress that this is only valid because we did not include surface abundances as an observational constraint in the analysis. When such constraints are used, a non-adequate account for chemical transport can bias the inferences, increasing the impact of gravitational settling, especially in the inference of the age (e.g., Nsamba et al. 2018).
Similarly, atomic diffusion (with radiative acceleration) has been shown to have a significant impact on stellar properties when surface abundances are used as constraints (e.g., Deal et al. 2020). The use of more realistic models accounting for the transport of chemical elements is the next natural step in the context of studies like this one. Such models would allow us to use observational information about the observed bulk chemical abundances and hopefully reduce the uncertainties on the inferred stellar properties.

6.1. Impact of chemical element transport processes

A-type stars have small surface convective zones and are subject to the effects of efficient atomic diffusion. Atomic diffusion is mainly the result of the competition between two processes, namely, gravitational settling, that makes elements move towards the centre of stars, and radiative accelerations, that selectively make some elements move towards the surface of stars. In stellar interiors, this competition occurs in the whole radiative zone and leads locally either to a depletion or an accumulation of each element.

The abundance variations predicted with atomic diffusion in A-type stars (especially in Am stars) are too large compared to the observations. Hence, there is a need for a competing transport to be included in the models (e.g., Richer et al. 2000). The efficiency of the competing transport to atomic diffusion in Am stars has been calibrated using a parametric expression first described in Eq. (1) of Richer et al. (2000). When the amplitude $\omega$ and the power $n$ are fixed ($\omega = 10^3$ and $n = 4$) the only free parameter is the reference mass or temperature ($T_0$ or $M_0$) inside the star above which chemical composition is almost completely homogenised by the competing transport.

In order to assess the impact on the stellar properties of the difference from neglecting chemical element transport, we computed models including both atomic diffusion and a parameterised turbulent diffusion coefficient with the CESTAM evolution code. Atomic diffusion is computed as described in Deal et al. (2018). The Rosseland mean opacity is computed using the OPCD package (Seaton 2005) with the improved method described in Hui-Bon-Hoa (2021). It is important to note that despite this improved method, it is currently not possible to build a large grid of models (as the one we used in this study) incorporating these effects in a reasonable time. When a parametrised turbulent diffusion coefficient is included in the model, we use the calibration proposed by Michaud et al. (2011) to explain the surface abundances of Sirius A, which uses a reference mass $M_0 = 2 \times 10^{-6} M_\odot$ (hereafter $D_{\text{turb,Am}}$). In order to test a more efficient transport (as suggested by our test of Sect. 5.3), we also use the parametrisation calibrated on three Kepler Legacy F-type stars (Verma & Silva Aguirre 2019), with a reference mass of $M_0 = 5 \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$ (hereafter $D_{\text{turb,F-Kepler}}$). Models are computed with the same input parameters as the models of the middle panel of Fig. 1, expect for the transport of chemicals. In what follows we report the results from these tests.

6.1.1. Impact of an internal helium gradient

In Fig. 6, we compare three evolutionary tracks computed without accounting for the transport of chemical elements with otherwise similar tracks, but including gravitational settling and the parametrised turbulent diffusion coefficient $D_{\text{turb,Am}}$. Structurally, the main impact of these transport processes is to build an internal helium gradient and to deplete heavy elements from the surface. As a consequence of this depletion, there is also a decrease of the size of the surface convective zone. We see that the main combined impact of gravitational settling and $D_{\text{turb,Am}}$ on the stellar properties, is to slightly increase the duration of the main sequence (about 50 Myr at maximum for the 1.5 $M_\odot$ model). At a given age, the luminosity, effective temperature, and radius are very similar, with differences below 1%. This indicates that to not consider the combined effect of gravitational settling and a parametrised turbulent diffusion coefficient has a negligible impact on the stellar properties inferred in this study.

6.1.2. Impact of radiative accelerations

Radiative accelerations play an important role in A-type stars and should be included when atomic diffusion is considered in
stellar models. In Fig. 7, we compare evolutionary tracks computed without chemical transport with otherwise similar tracks, but including atomic diffusion (with radiative acceleration) and two different parametrisations of the turbulent diffusion coefficient ($D_{\text{turb,Am}}$ and $D_{\text{turb,F-Kepler}}$). As shown in Sect. 5.3, $D_{\text{turb,Am}}$ is not sufficiently efficient to explain the observed iron abundance at the bottom of the photosphere in HD 24712. This indicates that the reference mass of the parametrisation should be larger. We then expect the actual parametrisation of the turbulent diffusion coefficient in Ap stars to lay between $D_{\text{turb,Am}}$ and $D_{\text{turb,F-Kepler}}$.

In these models, iron accumulates at the bottom of the surface convective zone due to radiative acceleration. As a consequence of the efficient convective mixing, this increases the iron abundance in the convective layers. As elements accumulate where they are the main contributor to the opacity (i.e., where they absorb a lot of photons and are more subject to radiative accelerations), this iron accumulation leads to a local increase of the opacity at the bottom of the surface convective zone, hence, to an increase of its size. It has the effect of slightly decreasing the effective temperature and increasing the radius of the star, as seen in the top and bottom panels of Fig. 7, respectively. The deeper the turbulent mixing, the smaller the accumulation, hence the smaller effect on the stellar properties, as seen for $D_{\text{turb,F-Kepler}}$. As surface convective zones are smaller for larger masses, these effects are smaller at 1.9 than 1.5 $M_\odot$. The modification of the surface convective zone in the presence of radiative accelerations has already been shown in earlier works for F-type stars (Turcotte et al. 1998) and solar-like stars (Deal et al. 2018).

Inspection of the bottom panel of Fig. 7 shows that the impact from the transport processes on the radii and luminosities of the stars in our sample is smaller than the observational uncertainties in these parameters. As the observed radius and luminosity were the only classical constraints considered in the modelling, the decision to not include atomic diffusion (with radiative accelerations) in the models is expected to have a negligible impact on the inferred stellar properties. The differences may even be smaller if we consider the fact that convection may be inhibited by the magnetic field, hence reducing the impact of radiative accelerations (similarly to the decreasing effect we see for larger masses).

6.2. Impact of magnetic field

Recent modelling approaches\(^4\) using the MESA software instrument have accounted for two surface effects of fossil magnetic fields in massive star models (Keszthelyi et al. 2019, 2020). These approaches rely on two long-term phenomena which result from the magnetospheric-wind interaction, namely, mass-loss quenching (which reduces the mass-loss rate of the star, e.g., ud-Doula & Owocki 2002; Bard & Townsend 2016) and magnetic braking (which reduces the rotation rate of the star, e.g., ud-Doula et al. 2008, 2009). Thus far such state-of-the-art models did not cover the mass range presented in this work. To this extent, we employ this modelling approach with the goal to determine how much these effects can modify the fundamental stellar properties of Ap stars. Of course, an important caveat is that in these models the internal magneto-hydrodynamical effects are not yet implemented for fossil fields, however, semi-analytical methods (Mathis & Zahn 2005; Duez & Mathis 2010) do exist. A strong fossil magnetic field leads to other internal changes in the star, for example, by suppressing the inefficient convective regions related to opacity peaks caused by the ionisation of hydrogen and helium near the stellar surface. Nonetheless, such an effect has a negligible impact on the classical observables of an Ap star model (e.g., Balmforth et al. 2001, Eqs. (16) and (17)) or, more generally, on the main sequence evolution of stellar models even up to 10 $M_\odot$ (see, e.g., Fig. 11 of Jermyn & Cantiello 2020).

6.2.1. MESA model description

We use MESA r-12115 and modelling assumptions that are similar to those of Keszthelyi et al. (2020). The initial abundances are adopted as $Z_{\text{ini}} = 0.014$, $Y_{\text{ini}} = 0.266$, $X_{\text{ini}} = 0.72$, and

\(^4\) https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3250412
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3734209
the initial distribution of metals follows the description of Asplund et al. (2009), with isotopic ratios adopted from Lodders (2003). The convective mixing is adopted with αMLT = 1.8 and the Ledoux criterion is used to determine the convective boundary. Overshooting is applied with the exponential method, with parameters f = 0.021 and f0 = 0.006, which approximately corresponds to αovershoot = 0.15.

For simplicity, we adopt a mass-loss rate of 10^{-6} M_⊙ yr^{-1} constant in time. Magnetic braking is modelled in the ‘internal’ scheme as described by Keszthelyi et al. (2020), considering that all layers of the star are torqued. Chemical mixing and angular momentum transport follow the usual MESA methods described by Paxton et al. (2013), and we do not include atomic diffusion.

We compute three sets of models in the mass range from 1.5 to 2.6 M_⊙. The first set of models is computed for zero rotation and zero magnetic field strength (labelled ‘V0B0’), the second is for an initial rotational velocity of vini = 90 km s^{-1} and zero field strength (‘V90B0’), and the third is for vini = 90 km s^{-1} and Bini = 6 kG initial magnetic field strength (‘V90B6’). In the latter ones, the magnetic field weakens over time, following Alfvén’s theorem of magnetic flux conservation. Here, since we are interested in how much the surface effects of fossil magnetic fields modify the fundamental properties, we assume ZAMS values for the rotational velocity and magnetic field strength that are somewhat higher than the currently measured maximum rotational velocity and magnetic field strength in the sample. Since on the main sequence both the rotational velocity and the magnetic field strength are expected to decline over time, the initially somewhat higher values reasonably approximate the sample’s mean properties at their current evolutionary stage (see Table 1). Since this approach allows for testing a sort of maximum effect\(^5\), the exact values are not crucial for this test.

6.2.2. MESA modelling results

Figure 8 shows the computed model diagnostics regarding their fundamental properties. In this mass range, the impact on the stellar luminosity is negligibly small (unlike higher-mass models, where complete evolutionary paths can be modified, see, e.g., Petit et al. 2017; Georgy et al. 2017).

The effective temperature and stellar radius differ in the case of rotating models, shifting the ZAMS location to lower T_{eff} and larger R_\star (compared to non-rotating models) to find mechanical equilibrium. Rotating, non-magnetic models (grey dashed lines) would therefore evolve differently (quantitatively depending on the considered initial rotation), affecting the diagnostics.

The models with rotation and an initial magnetic field strength of 6 kG (black dashed lines), however, spin down due to magnetic braking. Therefore, as shown in Fig. 8, despite the initially different ZAMS position, they converge to the non-rotating models (Keszthelyi et al. 2019, 2020).

Considering various phases during the evolution, we find that the maximum difference in fundamental properties is 2% when comparing non-rotating, non-magnetic models with rotating magnetic models with MESA. This is, of course, with the important provision that the magnetic models here concern only the above-mentioned surface effects. These findings strengthen the analysis and results with the CESTAM code (where this type of surface magnetic field effects are not yet implemented), underscoring that in this mass range we do not anticipate a significant shortcoming within the scope of this paper by using non-rotating and non-magnetic models.

7. Discussion


Fundamental stellar properties were determined for a large sample of Ap stars (including the 14 stars of this paper) by Kochukhov & Bagnulo (2006, hereafter KB06). Masses and ages were derived using models with a unique initial metallicity Z_{ini} = 0.018 (in interpolating in two grids with Z_{ini} = 0.008 and 0.02). As shown in Fig. 9, our inferences are in agreement with theirs, taking into account our 1σ intervals, except for the mass of HD 204411 and the age of HD 108662 which are in agreement at 2σ (between the 5th and 95th percentiles of the probability

---

\(^5\) If the initial rotation was higher, one would need to invoke a much stronger magnetic field to brake the rotation such that it can match the present-day rotation. On the other hand, an initially much stronger magnetic field would remain far too strong to be reconciled with the present-day values from the spectropolarimetric observations. This delicate balance is further complicated by the various evolutionary stages represented in the sample, which could only be fully self-consistently resolved on a star-by-star basis.
Fig. 8. Shown are three sets of MESA evolutionary models: with $v_{\text{ini}} = 0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $B_{\text{ini}} = 0 \text{ kG}$ (red solid lines), $v_{\text{ini}} = 90 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $B_{\text{ini}} = 0 \text{ kG}$ (grey dashed lines), and $v_{\text{ini}} = 90 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $B_{\text{ini}} = 6 \text{ kG}$ (black dotted lines). The 14 sample stars are colour-coded according to their measured magnetic field strengths as purple ($> 4 \text{ kG}$), white ($< 2 \text{ kG}$ and $< 4 \text{ kG}$), and yellow ($< 2 \text{ kG}$). The stellar mass in solar units is indicated next to the tracks on the right panel. In this mass range, both (moderate) rotation and surface fossil magnetic fields lead to small effects, leading to closely overlapping evolutionary tracks.

Fig. 9. Star-by-star comparison of the inferred mass (left panel) and age (right panel) from this study with Kochukhov & Bagnulo (2006). The orange symbols are the five roAp stars of the sample while the blue ones are for the others. The star and pyramid symbols represent respectively the properties for HR 1217 (HD 24712) and $\alpha$ Cir (HD 128898) inferred with the additional constraint $\Delta \nu(b)$. The dashed black lines are the 1:1 comparisons.

density functions). On the other hand, our median values are not within their $1\sigma$ intervals for nine stars out of 14. Our uncertainties are always larger. Despite the agreement within our uncertainties, we see that for ten stars out of 14, KB06 inferences underestimate the masses compare to ours. The mean systematic differences normalised by each of the errors are $-0.39$ and $-1.75$ taking into account the $1\sigma$ intervals from this study (mean of the asymmetric interval) and from KB06, respectively. This comes from the fact that we considered a wider range of initial chemical compositions, and a part of the differences may also come from different input physics in stellar models (equation of state, opacity, metal mixture, convection theory, etc.). For the age, the systematic difference are $-0.07$ and 0.40, using the $1\sigma$ intervals from this study and from KB06, respectively.

7.2. HR 1217 (HD 24712): Comparison with Cunha et al. (2003)

HR 1217 (HD 24712) was previously modelled by Cunha et al. (2003) using seismic constraints, taking into account different input physics and initial chemical compositions. However,
the authors did not perform a complete optimisation analysis, exploring the parameter space in a systematic manner to find the best model fits and characterise the uncertainties in the inferred properties, as performed in our study. They considered masses in the range $M = [1.40; 1.65] M_\odot$, initial helium mass fraction in the range $Y_{\text{ini}} = [0.23; 0.30]$, initial metal mass fraction in the range $Z_{\text{ini}} = [0.005; 0.019]$, and two values of core overshoot $\alpha_{\text{ov}} = 0.0$ and 0.25. They showed that the models that were consistent with the observed large frequency separation they considered ($\Delta \nu = 67.91 \pm 0.12 \mu$Hz) were the models with the higher values of helium ($Y_{\text{ini}} \approx 0.30$) and the smaller values of metallicities ($Z_{\text{ini}} \approx 0.005 - 0.009$). They also demonstrated that the mixing length parameter and core overshoot amount have less impact on the inferred stellar properties than the initial chemical composition.

Our determination of the mass for HR 1217 (HD 24712), using $\Delta \nu$ with conservative uniform uncertainties ($1.54_{-0.13}^{+0.13} M_\odot$) is in agreement with the mass range identified by Cunha et al. (2003). Our results show that the initial metallicity at $1\sigma$ ($Z_{\text{ini}} = 0.016_{-0.009}^{+0.009}$) is slightly larger than the one of Cunha et al. (2003), while we have no constraints on the initial helium content. This small discrepancy probably results mainly from the adoption of a different initial mixture of metals (Grevesse et al. 1993 in their study compared to Asplund et al. 2009 in our) and additional differences in the input physics.

### 7.4. Evolutionary state of Ap stars

The possibility that a correlation may exist between the presence of a magnetic field and the stellar age is still a matter under debate. Hubrig et al. (2000) found that for stars with masses smaller than $3 M_\odot$, magnetic fields only appear after they have spent about 30% of their lifetime on the main sequence. Later, other studies suggested that magnetic fields could appear earlier during the main sequence (Bagnulo et al. 2003; Kochukhov & Bagnulo 2006; Landstreet et al. 2007). In particular, using a significantly larger and less biased sample, KB06 found that 22 stars out of 125 with $M \leq 3 M_\odot$ had a fractional age smaller than 0.3, rejecting the conclusion by Hubrig et al. (2000). Nevertheless, for stars with $M \leq 3 M_\odot$, the authors found a tendency for clustering in the middle of the main sequence, which was even more evident when only stars with $M \leq 2 M_\odot$ were considered. Similarly, based on an ensemble study of cluster Ap stars, Landstreet et al. (2007) found that a significant fraction of the stars with $M \leq 3 M_\odot$ have small fractional ages. Figure 10 shows the fractional time on the main sequence for the 14 stars in our sample, where the error bars account for the $1\sigma$ probability density functions of the initial and central hydrogen content. We find that a large fraction of the stars in the sample have already spent at least 20% of their lifetime on the main sequence, reaching a maximum between 20 and 60%, consistent with the clustering around the middle of the main sequence found by KB06. Moreover, we find the youngest star (HD 220825) to have a significant probability to have spent less than 20% of its lifetime on the main sequence, while 5 other stars have completed between 20 and 40% of their main sequence lifetime. Looking only at the stars in our sample, we find that our results predict, on average, smaller fractional times on the main sequence than those by KB06 (orange histogram compared to the dash blue one).

### 7.5. roAp vs. Ap stars

The question of what drives pulsations in roAp stars is also a matter of debate (e.g., Cunha 2002; Cunha et al. 2013, and references therein). Progress in the understanding of that process requires knowledge of any systematic differences that may exist between pulsating and non-pulsating Ap stars (e.g., North et al. 1997; Hubrig et al. 2000; Ryabchikova et al. 2004). Despite being small, our sample has the advantage of including only stars for which the classical parameters are accurate, thus, allowing us to search for hints of potential differences between the properties of stars in these two groups. Inspection of Fig. 5 shows that the roAp stars in our sample are systematically less massive and older than the Ap stars that are not known to pulsate. This in line with earlier findings that the roAp stars populate a narrower effective temperature range than the Ap stars in general, being located on the cooler side of the Ap stars’ effective temperature distribution.

### 8. Conclusion

We inferred the stellar fundamental properties of the 14 Ap stars (including five roAp stars) characterised by Perraut et al. (2020), using a grid-base modelling approach. We used the CES-TAM stellar evolution code to compute the models and the AIMS optimisation method to infer the stellar properties. The grid of models included a wide range of initial chemical compositions to avoid any assumptions, and derive as unbiased as possible stellar properties. Interferometric radii and luminosities were
used as classical constraints. The properties we inferred are consistent with previous work (e.g., Kochukhov & Bagnulo 2006) but with larger error bars. They are more conservative due to the wider parameter space explored, especially in the initial chemical composition. Despite the agreement, we see a trend in Kochukhov & Bagnulo (2006) inferences towards lower masses for stars up to 0.2 \( M_\odot \). This comes from the narrower range of initial chemical composition they considered (solar metallicity).

We considered two different choices for the initial chemical composition. Firstly, we let the variation of initial helium and metallicity free, which is more suitable for a star by star analysis. Secondly, we selected only models in which the variation of these two parameters were constrained by observations, which is suitable for ensemble analyses. In both scenarios, the inferred stellar properties were very similar. We also showed that our results remain versatile and the inferred properties do not change significantly when taking reasonable assumptions and using modern implementations to model the effects of atomic differential rotation and transport of chemical elements, surface fossil magnetic fields, and stellar rotation.

Our results suggest that stars with magnetic fields can be older than the Ap stars that do not show pulsations. In addition, we find that the roAp stars in our sample have systematically lower masses and may hope to have for single stars. In addition, we find that the frequency separation \( \Delta \nu \) is based on observational constraints that are as accurate as one field stars. Despite the fact we used a smaller sample, our study provides an additional important constraint to the inference of the stellar properties, as illustrated by the study of the two roAp stars in our sample with known \( \Delta \nu \) values (since there are five roAp stars in the sample), HR 1217 (HD 24712) and \( \alpha \) Cir (HD 128898). Most importantly, with the addition of \( \Delta \nu \) it is possible to constrain the initial abundances. The use of seismic information thus opens new interesting possibilities to constrain the initial internal chemical composition and the transport of chemical elements in Ap stars.
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Appendix A: $[\text{M/H}]_{\text{ini}}$ distribution in the grid

Figure A.1 shows the distribution of $[\text{M/H}]_{\text{ini}}$ in a dense Cartesian grid with $Y_0 = [0.242; 0.292]$ and $Z_{\text{ini}} = [0.0031; 0.0381]$.

Fig. A.1. Distribution of $[\text{M/H}]_{\text{ini}}$ in a dense Cartesian grid (300 equally spaced values of $Y_{\text{ini}}$ and $Z_{\text{ini}}$) with $Y_0 = [0.242; 0.292]$ and $Z_{\text{ini}} = [0.0031; 0.0381]$ using an AGSS09 solar composition.
Appendix B: Mass, age, $X_c$, and $[\text{M/H}]_{\text{ini}}$ distributions

Probability density functions for four parameters of the stars of the sample (except HR 1217/HD 24712 and $\alpha$ Cir/HD 128898), considering the non-seismic constraints (radius and luminosity).

**Fig. B.1.** Probability density functions for the mass, radius, hydrogen mass fraction in the core ($X_c$), and $[\text{M/H}]_{\text{ini}}$ for HD 4778, HD 108662, HD 108945, and HD 118022.
Fig. B.2. Same as Fig. B.1, but for HD 12019, HD 137909 HD 153882, and HD 176232.
Fig. B.3. Same as Fig. B.1, but for HD 188041, HD 201601, HD 204411, and HD 220825.