Rotational spectroscopy of isotopic cyclopropenone, c-H₂C₃O, and determination of its equilibrium structure^{★,★★}

Holger S. P. Müller¹, Ananya Brahmi M.¹, Jean-Claude Guillemin², Frank Lewen¹, and Stephan Schlemmer¹

¹ I. Physikalisches Institut, Universität zu Köln, Zùlpicher Str. 77, 50937 Köln, Germany
 e-mail: hspm@ph1.uni-koeln.de

² Univ Rennes, Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Rennes, CNRS, ISCR-UMR 6226, 35000 Rennes, France

Received 8 December 2020 / Accepted 21 January 2021

ABSTRACT

Context. Cyclopropenone was first detected in the cold and less dense envelope of the giant molecular cloud Sagittarius B2(N). It was found later in several cold dark clouds and it may be possible to detect its minor isotopic species in these environments. In addition, the main species may well be identified in warmer environments.

Aims. We aim to extend existing line lists of isotopologs of c-H₂C₃O from the microwave to the millimeter region and create one for the singly deuterated isotopolog to facilitate their detections in space. Furthermore, we aim to extend the line list of the main isotopic species to the submillimeter region and to evaluate an equilibrium structure of the molecule.

Methods. We employed a cyclopropenone sample in natural isotopic composition to investigate the rotational spectra of the main and ¹⁸O-containing isotopologs as well as the two isotopomers containing one ¹³C atom. Spectral recordings of the singly and doubly deuterated isotopic species were obtained using a cyclopropenone sample highly enriched in deuterium. We recorded rotational transitions in the 70–126 and 160–245 GHz regions for all isotopologs and also in the 342–505 GHz range for the main species. Quantum-chemical calculations were carried out to evaluate initial spectroscopic parameters and the differences between ground-state and equilibrium rotational parameters in order to derive semi-empirical equilibrium structural parameters.

Results. We determined new or improved spectroscopic parameters for six isotopologs and structural parameters according to different structure models.

Conclusions. The spectroscopic parameters are accurate enough to identify minor isotopic species at centimeter and millimeter wavelengths while those of the main species are deemed to be reliable up to 1 THz. Our structural parameters differ from earlier ones. The deviations are attributed to misassignments in the earlier spectrum of one isotopic species.

Key words. molecular data – methods: laboratory: molecular – techniques: spectroscopic – radio lines: ISM – ISM: molecules – astrochemistry

1. Introduction

Cyclopropenone is one of the smallest aromatic molecules (Wang et al. 2011), after cyclopropenylidene (c-C₃H₂), and the first cyclic molecule with a ketone functional group that has been detected in space. It was first found in the cold and less dense envelope of the giant star-forming region Sagittarius B2(N) (Hollis et al. 2006). More recently, c-H₂C₃O was detected in four dark clouds or prestellar cores, TMC-1, B1-b, L483, and Lupus-1A (Loison et al. 2016), and in the low-mass star-forming region L1527 (Araki et al. 2017).

Benson et al. (1973) presented the first account on the rotational spectrum of cyclopropenone. These latter authors reported between 6 and 14 rotational transitions for five isotopic species recorded between 9 and 40 GHz. The main isotopolog and the two isotopomers containing one ¹³C atom were studied in a sample in natural isotopic composition. Benson et al. (1973),

employed isotopic enriched samples to investigate isotopologs containing ¹⁸O or two D. Additionally, they derived structural parameters of c-H₂C₃O and determined its dipole moment and rotational *g* values through Stark and Zeeman measurements, respectively. Later, Guillemin et al. (1990) extended the data set of the main isotopic species to 247 GHz. These data are sufficient to identify c-H₂C₃O in cold astronomical sources up to the lower submillimeter region, possibly up to ~500 GHz, but are not enough for searches in warmer astronomical environments. Although cyclopropenone has so far only been identified in colder sources, it may well be detected in the warmer and denser parts of star-forming regions in the future. Propanal (Lykke et al. 2017), propene, and propenal (Manigand et al. 2021) are recent examples of molecules initially found only in cold astronomical sources later found in the hot corino, the warmer and denser parts of a low-mass star-forming region – of IRAS 16293–2422 B in these latter cases. The isotopic data may be suitable for astronomical searches in cold environments in the microwave and possibly lower millimeter regions if good estimates of the lowest order centrifugal distortion parameters are available, but are certainly too limited for searches throughout the entire millimeter region. Moreover, no data are available for c-HDC₃O, which is the most promising isotopolog to be found in cold dark molecular clouds. Therefore, we investigated the rotational spectra of five isotopologs of cyclopropenone in the millimeter region to

* The data are only available at CDS via anonymous ftp to [cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr](ftp://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr) (130.79.128.5) or via <http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/A+A/647/A179>

** Transition frequencies from this work as well as related data from earlier work are given for each isotopic species as supplementary material. We also provide quantum numbers, uncertainties, and residuals between measured frequencies and those calculated from the final sets of spectroscopic parameters.

facilitate their detection in space and extended measurements of the main isotopic species to the lower submillimeter region to enable more secure searches in warmer astronomical sources.

2. Experimental details

2.1. Sample preparation

The synthesis of normal cyclopropenone was the same as in [Guillemin et al. \(1990\)](#) and followed the procedure described by [Breslow et al. \(1977\)](#). The synthesis of the cyclopropenone sample highly enriched in deuterium followed largely [Breslow & Oda \(1972\)](#) with $n\text{-Bu}_3\text{SnH}$ replaced by $n\text{-Bu}_3\text{SnD}$. Please note that cyclopropenone decomposes at room temperature. It is very stable in a sealed container at temperatures below ~ 240 K. We kept the sample in dry ice or liquid nitrogen for our experiments.

2.2. Spectroscopic measurements

The investigation of the rotational spectra of cyclopropenone isotopologs was carried out with two different spectrometers. We employed two 7 m coupled glass cells, each with an inner diameter of 10 cm, in a double path arrangement for measurements in the 70–126 GHz region, yielding an optical path length of 28 m. We used a 5 m double path cell with a 10 cm inner diameter for the 160–245 GHz and the 342–505 GHz ranges. Both spectrometers use Virginia Diode, Inc. (VDI), frequency multipliers driven by Rohde & Schwarz SMF 100A synthesizers as sources, and Schottky diode detectors. Frequency modulation was employed to reduce baseline effects with demodulation at twice the modulation frequency. This causes absorption lines to appear approximately as second derivatives of a Gaussian. Additional information on the spectrometers is available in [Ordu et al. \(2012\)](#) and [Martin-Drumel et al. \(2015\)](#), respectively.

We recorded individual transition frequencies in all three frequency windows covering 10 MHz for well-predicted lines up to 100 MHz in the search for first lines of the singly deuterated isotopolog. The pressure was around 1.0 Pa in the 3 mm region as test measurements showed that the peak intensity was best between ~ 0.75 and ~ 1.5 Pa. The pressure was between 1.0 and 2.0 Pa at shorter wavelengths. We refilled the cells after roughly one hour because the molecule is only moderately stable at room temperature; its half-life was close to one hour in our cells. Uncertainties were evaluated mostly based on the symmetry of the line shape and were as small as 5 kHz for isolated and very symmetric lines. Such small uncertainties were achieved earlier, for example, in the case of 2-cyanobutane with a much richer rotational spectrum ([Müller et al. 2017](#)). Uncertainties for good lines were 10–20 kHz, and larger uncertainties up to ~ 100 kHz were used for example for weaker lines and lines close to other lines.

Initial measurements using the highly deuterated cyclopropenone sample yielded no clear signs of rotational transitions of $\text{c-D}_2\text{C}_3\text{O}$, but instead strong signals of $\text{c-H}_2\text{C}_3\text{O}$. We suspected rapid D-to-H exchange on the cell walls, even though this may appear unusual for a molecule with substantial aromatic character. On the other hand, at least some unsaturated molecules are known to exchange D and H quite readily; for example, the reaction between HC_3N and D_2O was used to generate DC_3N ([Spahn et al. 2008](#)). We conditioned the cell walls with ~ 200 Pa D_2O for two hours and observed strong signals of $\text{c-D}_2\text{C}_3\text{O}$ and very weak ones of $\text{c-H}_2\text{C}_3\text{O}$ afterwards. The signals of $\text{c-HDC}_3\text{O}$, identified later, were in between, roughly a factor of four weaker than those of $\text{c-D}_2\text{C}_3\text{O}$.

3. Quantum-chemical calculations

We carried out quantum-chemical calculations at the Regionales Rechenzentrum der Universität zu Köln (RRZK) using the commercially available program Gaussian 09 ([Frisch et al. 2013](#)). We performed B3LYP hybrid density functional ([Becke 1993](#); [Lee et al. 1988](#)), Møller-Plesset second- (MP2) and third-order perturbation theory (MP3) calculations ([Møller & Plesset 1934](#)), along with coupled cluster calculations with single and double excitations augmented by a perturbative correction for triple excitations, CCSD(T) ([Raghavachari et al. 1989](#)). We employed correlation consistent basis sets which were augmented with diffuse basis functions aug-cc-pVXZ ($X = \text{T, Q, 5}$; [Dunning 1989](#)), which we abbreviate here to 3a, 4a, and 5a, respectively. These basis sets were further augmented with core-correlating basis functions in some cases, yielding the aug-cc-pwCVXZ basis sets ([Peterson & Dunning 2002](#)), which we denote 3aC, 4aC, and 5aC, respectively.

Equilibrium geometries were determined by analytic gradient techniques, harmonic force fields by analytic second derivatives, and anharmonic force fields by numerical differentiation of the analytically evaluated second derivatives of the energy. The main goals of these anharmonic force field calculations were to evaluate initial spectroscopic parameters for the minor isotopic species of cyclopropenone and first-order vibration-rotation parameters ([Mills 1972](#)); see also Sect. 6. Core electrons were kept frozen in MP2, MP3, and CCSD(T) calculations unless “ae” indicates that all electrons were correlated.

4. Spectroscopic properties of cyclopropenone

Cyclopropenone is a planar asymmetric rotor with $\kappa = (2B - A - C)/(A - C) = -0.8801$, which is somewhat close to the prolate symmetric limit of -1 . Its dipole moment of 4.39 D ([Benson et al. 1973](#)) is along the a -inertial axis which is aligned with the CO bond; see Fig. 1. The strongest transitions are R -branch transitions ($\Delta J = +1$) with $\Delta K_a = 0$ and $\Delta K_c = +1$. The Boltzmann peak at 300 K is near 480 GHz. Q -branch transitions with $\Delta K_a = 0$ and $\Delta K_c = -1$ and transitions with $\Delta K_a = \pm 2$ are much weaker, but can be observed quite readily under favorable circumstances.

Carbon has two stable isotopes with mass numbers 12 and 13 and with terrestrial abundances of 98.89 and 1.11%, respectively ([Berglund & Wieser 2011](#)). The respective abundances are 99.76, 0.04, and 0.20% for ^{16}O , ^{17}O , and ^{18}O , and 99.98 and $\sim 0.015\%$ for H and D.

The main isotopolog and those with ^{18}O or ^{13}C in the keto group (C1) have C_{2v} symmetry. Spin-statistics caused by the two equivalent H lead to ortho and para states with a 3:1 intensity ratio; see Fig. 2. The ortho states are described by K_a being odd. The doubly deuterated isotopolog also has C_{2v} symmetry, but the ortho to para ratio is 2:1, and the ortho states are described by K_a being even. The effect of substituting ^{13}C at C2 or C3 is the same because the two carbon atoms are structurally equivalent. The corresponding species is therefore referred to as the $^{13}\text{C}2$ isotopolog. We note that we do not consider isotopologs with more than one ^{13}C . The abundance of the $^{13}\text{C}2$ isotopolog is $\sim 2.2\%$ in a sample of natural isotopic composition. Its symmetry is C_s , as is that of the HD isotopolog. No nontrivial spin statistics exist in these two isotopologs. The HD isotopolog has a very small b -dipole moment component of ~ 0.21 D as derived from the structure and from quantum-chemical calculations; the corresponding value for the $^{13}\text{C}2$ isotopolog is ~ 0.06 D.

Fig. 1. Model of the cyclopropenone molecule. Carbon atoms are symbolized by gray spheres which are numbered. Hydrogen atoms are indicated by small, light gray spheres and the oxygen atom by a red sphere.

Fig. 2. Section of the submillimeter spectrum of c-H₂C₃O. The $K_c = J-1$ transitions are approaching oblate pairing, that is, the two lines coalesce at somewhat higher J . Also demonstrated is the 1:3 para to ortho ratio for transitions with K_a being even and odd, respectively; see Sect. 4 for further details.

5. Spectroscopic results

Pickett's programs SPCAT and SPFIT (Pickett 1991) were used to calculate and fit the rotational spectra of the cyclopropenone isotopologs. The results of Guillemin et al. (1990) were used for the main species. Too few transition frequencies have been published for the minor isotopic species to reliably determine quartic centrifugal distortion parameters. We evaluated ground-state rotational and equilibrium quartic centrifugal distortion parameters for six isotopic species of cyclopropenone at the B3LYP/3a quantum-chemical level; vibrational corrections to centrifugal distortion parameters are, to our knowledge, not available in publicly available quantum-chemical programs. We approximated the experimental value $X_{\text{exp}}^{\text{iso}}$ of isotopic spectroscopic parameters by scaling the calculated value $X_{\text{calc}}^{\text{iso}}$ with the ratio of the experimental and calculated value $X_{\text{exp}}^{\text{main}}/X_{\text{calc}}^{\text{main}}$ of the main isotopolog. These values were used as starting parameters to reproduce the limited sets of transition frequencies of the minor isotopic species. One important aspect in this procedure, and in all of our fitting, was to determine or to float as few parameters as possible to improve the weighted rms (wrms)

of the fit as a useful measure of the quality of the fit. This implies that we searched in each fitting round for the spectroscopic parameter that led to the greatest reduction of the wrms, and that was useful in the context of parameters already determined or floated in the fit. It was sufficient to float B and C in the cases of the ¹³C1, ¹³C2, and ¹⁸O isotopologs of cyclopropenone. One transition of the ¹⁸O species, 4_{1,3}–4_{1,4}, and two transitions of the ¹³C2 species, 10_{2,8}–10_{2,9} and 15_{3,12}–15_{3,13}, had large residuals between the reported experimental frequency and the calculated one that we decreased the weights of these transitions initially; eventually, these were omitted from the final fits. The reported frequencies were larger than in our final calculations by 0.46 MHz for the line attributed to the ¹⁸O species and by 43.51 and 92.56 MHz, respectively, for the two lines assigned to the ¹³C2 isotopolog. We also had to float A in order to satisfactorily reproduce the transition frequencies of the doubly deuterated isotopolog. The adjusted rotational parameters of c-D₂C₃O differed somewhat more from the initial parameters than the adjusted B and C values of the other minor isotopic species. We assumed the deviations in the case of c-HDC₃O would be roughly half as large as those of c-D₂C₃O. We corrected the c-HDC₃O accordingly to improve the calculations of this isotopolog for the first searches of rotational transitions.

5.1. The main isotopic species

Our investigations started with measurements of the main isotopic species in the 342–505 GHz region. We targeted the strongest R -branch transitions with $K_a \leq 5$ in a first step, extending to $K_a = 29$ in subsequent steps. Transitions with $K_a \leq 14$ were found within 0.3 MHz of the initial calculations. The deviations increased rapidly with K_a and exceeded 10 MHz for the highest K_a transitions. We then searched for Q -branch transitions with $\Delta K_a = 0$ and for various types of $\Delta K_a = 2$ transitions, most of them had also $\Delta J = 0$. Later, very limited measurements were carried out in the 160–245 GHz region and then again more extensive ones in the 70–126 GHz region. The $\Delta K_a = \Delta J = 0$ transitions reached $K_a = 8$, and the $\Delta K_a = 2$ transitions extended to $K_a = 5 \leftrightarrow 7$. Whereas the rotational spectrum of cyclopropenone is quite sparse on the level of the strongest lines, it is much richer on the level of the weakest transitions recorded, which means that the desired line has an increased chance of being blended with or being close to a usually unassigned line.

In the end, we recorded 398 different, novel transitions, which corresponds to 311 different frequencies because of 87 unresolved asymmetry doublets. The majority of these doublets are prolate paired transitions, which have the same J and K_a for each pair, as may be expected for an asymmetric rotor somewhat close to the prolate symmetric limit; seven are oblate paired transitions with $K_c = J$ in each case. In addition, we remeasured three transitions already reported by Guillemin et al. (1990). These data were combined with 14 transition frequencies from Benson et al. (1973) and 45 from Guillemin et al. (1990), which corresponded to 51 transitions. Uncertainties of 30 kHz were used for these lines as stated by Guillemin et al. (1990) for their data and commensurate for the data of Benson et al. (1973).

We subjected the transition frequencies initially to fit employing Watson's A reduction, as done previously (Guillemin et al. 1990). We also tried Watson's S reduction because cyclopropenone is quite close to the prolate symmetric limit, in which case the S reduction is usually preferable. As can be seen in Table 1, we required an almost complete parameter set up to sixth order in the A reduction; only Φ_K was not used in the fit. Two fewer parameters were used in the S reduction, albeit

Table 1. Present and previous experimental spectroscopic parameters (MHz) of the main isotopolog of cyclopropanone and details of the fits in comparison to values calculated at the B3LYP/3a level.

Parameter	S reduction			A reduction		
	Present	B3LYP/3a ^(a)	B3LYP/3a ^(a)	Present	Previous ^(b)	Parameter
<i>A</i>	32 040.68588 (49)	32 262.52	32 262.52	32 240.68387 (51)	32 240.734 (25)	<i>A</i>
<i>B</i>	7825.000761 (53)	7823.00	7823.01	7825.045789 (63)	7825.04620 (60)	<i>B</i>
<i>C</i>	6280.728112 (50)	6287.49	6287.48	6287.684168 (62)	6287.68461 (57)	<i>C</i>
$D_K \times 10^3$	46.4328 (91)	44.85	46.47	48.1139 (97)	50.65 (104)	$\Delta_K \times 10^3$
$D_{JK} \times 10^3$	35.87301 (90)	35.27	33.33	33.81184 (90)	33.7882 (50)	$\Delta_{JK} \times 10^3$
$D_J \times 10^6$	1451.833 (24)	1395.	1718.	1794.927 (83)	1793.62 (100)	$\Delta_J \times 10^6$
$d_1 \times 10^6$	−385.4874 (49)	−366.1	21.37	22.2410 (13)	22.156 (15)	$\delta_K \times 10^3$
$d_2 \times 10^6$	−171.7446 (55)	−161.5	366.1	385.546 (20)	385.36 (25)	$\delta_J \times 10^6$
$H_K \times 10^9$		−33.48	−154.9			$\Phi_K \times 10^9$
$H_{KJ} \times 10^9$	363.09 (54)	108.8	153.0	36.1 (22)		$\Phi_{KJ} \times 10^9$
$H_{JK} \times 10^9$	−14.98 (39)	−10.14	75.66	79.55 (83)		$\Phi_{JK} \times 10^9$
$H_J \times 10^{12}$		−226.2	319.0	373. (37)		$\Phi_J \times 10^{12}$
$h_1 \times 10^{12}$		95.67	1245.	1595. (14)		$\phi_K \times 10^9$
$h_2 \times 10^{12}$	276.4 (36)	272.6	43.33	40.97 (85)		$\phi_{JK} \times 10^9$
$h_3 \times 10^{12}$	193.0 (12)	151.1	246.7	201. (11)		$\phi_J \times 10^{12}$
$J_{\max}$	48			48	27	
$K_{a,\max}$	29			29	12	
No. transitions	463			463		
No. frequencies	370			370	59	
rms	0.0248			0.0243	0.028	
rms (new) ^(c)	0.0236			0.0229		
rms (B) ^(c)	0.0293			0.0292		
rms (G) ^(c)	0.0312			0.0310		
wrms ^(d)	0.972			0.921		
wrms (new) ^(c)	0.961			0.901		
wrms (B) ^(c)	0.978			0.972		
wrms (G) ^(c)	1.041			1.034		

Notes. Watson's *S* and *A* reductions were used in the representation I' . Numbers in parentheses are one standard deviation in units of the least significant figures. Empty fields indicate parameters not used in the fit. ^(a)Ground-state rotational and equilibrium centrifugal distortion parameters. ^(b)Guillemin et al. (1990). ^(c)The labels (new), (B), and (G) refer to our new data, those from Benson et al. (1973), and from Guillemin et al. (1990). ^(d)Weighted rms, unit-less.

with a slightly larger wrms of 0.972 compared to 0.921. Nevertheless, we decided to view the *S* reduced fit as the preferred one. Trial fits with Φ_K or H_K , H_J , and h_1 from B3LYP/3a calculations added to the fit as fixed parameters did not improve the quality of the fits and resulted in changes of the remaining spectroscopic parameters roughly corresponding to their uncertainties. Moreover, we were uncertain as to the reliability of the quantum-chemically calculated sextic distortion parameters; see also Sect. 7.1. Therefore, these parameters were omitted from the final fits. The quantum-chemically calculated spectroscopic parameters are given in Table 1 for comparison, along with the parameters from Guillemin et al. (1990). We also provide rms values for the whole data set and for the individual sources for completeness. The rms is meaningful if only one uncertainty was used in all instances or if the uncertainties differ only by a factor of a few. In the present case, the uncertainties differ by around a factor of ten. In this and similar cases, the rms is dominated by the lines with large residuals.

5.2. The $^{13}\text{C}1$, $^{13}\text{C}2$, and ^{18}O isotopologs

We began our investigations of the minor isotopologs of cyclopropanone containing one ^{13}C or ^{18}O in the 160–245 GHz region searching for the stronger *R*-branch transitions first with $K_a \leq 2$

and then up to $K_a = 5$. Additional measurements were carried out in the 70–126 GHz region, in which we recorded *R*-branch transitions up to $K_a = 7$ and several $\Delta K_a = \Delta J = 0$ transitions for the two isotopomers with one ^{13}C . The extent of measured lines was more limited for the ^{18}O because of its lower abundance.

The final line lists of the $^{13}\text{C}1$, $^{13}\text{C}2$, and ^{18}O isotopologs consist of 104, 95, and 48 different and new transition frequencies, respectively, in addition to the small number of previously reported lines (Benson et al. 1973) for which uncertainties of 70 kHz were assigned. The resulting sets of spectroscopic parameters, determined as described at the beginning of this section, had not only *B* and *C* floated for $^{13}\text{C}1$ and $^{13}\text{C}2$, but also *A* and two respectively three quartic distortion parameters. It was sufficient to float only *B* and *C* in the case of the ^{18}O isotopolog. The parameter values, their uncertainties, and additional details of the fits are presented in Table 2 as minimum parameter sets which are the preferred parameter sets. We also tested the number of parameters that can be determined with sufficient significance. These were all quartic centrifugal distortion parameters for the isotopomers with one ^{13}C and all but ΔD_K for the ^{18}O isotopolog. The resulting values are given as maximum parameter sets in the same table along with the initial parameters whose derivation was also described at the beginning of this section.

Table 2. Spectroscopic parameters (MHz) from a minimum parameter set, a maximum parameter set, and initial parameters along with details of the fits of the ¹³C1, ¹³C2, and ¹⁸O isotopologs of cyclopropenone.

Parameter	Minimum	Maximum	Initial
¹³ C1 isotopolog			
<i>A</i>	32 040.141 (4)	32 040.176 (18)	32 040.226
<i>B</i>	7816.5529 (2)	7816.5525 (2)	7816.565
<i>C</i>	6275.2893 (2)	6275.2894 (2)	6275.289
<i>D_K</i> × 10 ³	46.61	49.9 (26)	46.61
<i>D_{JK}</i> × 10 ³	35.636 (6)	35.634 (8)	35.659
<i>D_J</i> × 10 ⁶	1453.62 (34)	1452.67 (71)	1454.9
<i>d</i> ₁ × 10 ⁶	−385.54	−384.74 (29)	−385.54
<i>d</i> ₂ × 10 ⁶	−170.65	−170.99 (38)	−170.65
<i>J</i> _{max}	21 (15)	21 (15)	
<i>K</i> _{a,max}	7 (3)	7 (3)	
No. trans.	115 (6,−0) ^(a)	115 (6,−0) ^(a)	
No. freqs.	110 (6,−0) ^(a)	110 (6,−0) ^(a)	
rms	0.0271	0.0186	
wrms ^(b)	0.782	0.722	
¹³ C2 isotopolog			
<i>A</i>	31 174.389 (6)	31 173.391 (6)	31 175.000
<i>B</i>	7709.4761 (1)	7709.4759 (2)	7709.598
<i>C</i>	6172.7465 (1)	6172.7466 (2)	6172.943
<i>D_K</i> × 10 ³	44.80 (41)	44.36 (47)	42.79
<i>D_{JK}</i> × 10 ³	34.656	34.654 (7)	34.656
<i>D_J</i> × 10 ⁶	1402.36 (33)	1402.22 (35)	1403.8
<i>d</i> ₁ × 10 ⁶	−376.82	−376.55 (13)	−376.82
<i>d</i> ₂ × 10 ⁶	−169.59 (15)	−169.57 (18)	−169.63
<i>J</i> _{max}	30 (6)	30 (6)	
<i>K</i> _{a,max}	7 (1)	7 (1)	
No. trans.	106 (5,−2) ^(a)	106 (5,−2) ^(a)	
No. freqs.	100 (5,−2) ^(a)	100 (5,−2) ^(a)	
rms	0.0184	0.0180	
wrms ^(b)	0.796	0.766	
¹⁸ O isotopolog			
<i>A</i>	32 040.339	32 240.323 (53)	32 040.339
<i>B</i>	7344.8771 (3)	7344.8759 (7)	7344.714
<i>C</i>	5967.5319 (3)	5967.5326 (6)	5967.034
<i>D_K</i> × 10 ³	49.85	49.85	49.85
<i>D_{JK}</i> × 10 ³	32.611	32.594 (17)	32.611
<i>D_J</i> × 10 ⁶	1308.6	1307.9 (12)	1308.6
<i>d</i> ₁ × 10 ⁶	−324.81	−322.8 (13)	−324.81
<i>d</i> ₂ × 10 ⁶	−138.10	−136.8 (10)	−138.10
<i>J</i> _{max}	19 (14)	19 (14)	
<i>K</i> _{a,max}	5 (3)	5 (3)	
No. trans.	61 (10,−1) ^(a)	61 (10,−1) ^(a)	
No. freqs.	58 (10,−1) ^(a)	58 (10,−1) ^(a)	
rms	0.0545	0.0525	
wrms ^(b)	0.871	0.801	

Notes. Watson's *S* reduction was used in the representation *I'*. Numbers in parentheses of parameters are one standard deviation in units of the least significant figures. Numbers in parentheses associated with numbers of quantum numbers, transitions, and lines, respectively, refer to data from the previous study (Benson et al. 1973). Parameters without uncertainties were estimated and kept fixed in the analyses; see Sect. 5. ^(a)With omitted lines after the comma; see Sect. 5. ^(b)Weighted rms, unitless.

Fig. 3. Section of the millimeter spectrum of cyclopropenone highly enriched in deuterium. Transitions with small but rapidly increasing asymmetry splitting are shown for *K_a* = 6 of c-HDC₃O. We assigned these transitions first because of their easily recognizable patterns.

5.3. The singly and doubly deuterated isotopic species

Our procedure for studying the rotational spectrum of the D₂ isotopolog was very similar to that for the minor isotopic species described in the previous section. The use of a sample highly enriched in deuterium made it possible to record considerably more transitions extending to higher quantum numbers. The spectral recordings were richer in lines than those of the sample in natural isotopic composition because of the presence of lines caused by the HD species and even some of the main species. In addition, we identified lines of traces of CH₂Cl₂ which was used as a solvent in the course of the preparation of the deuterated sample and was difficult to remove completely.

The *R*-branch transitions with $\Delta K_a = 0$ were recorded up to *K_a* = 9, transitions with $\Delta K_a = \Delta J = 0$ up to 2, and those with $\Delta K_a = 2$ up to *K_a* = 5 ← 3.

We employed a different strategy to search for transitions of the HD isotopolog because no previous data exist. A pattern of two or more transitions is obviously more decisive than a single line. The best candidates are nearly prolate or nearly oblate paired *R*-branch transitions because the small asymmetry splitting changes rapidly with *J*. The asymmetry splitting of the *K_c* = *J* nearly oblate paired transitions was deemed to be too large in the 160–245 GHz region. As can be seen in Fig. 3, the *K_a* = 6 nearly prolate paired transitions were suited very well, even though they were considerably weaker. The measured asymmetry splittings of about 1.8, 4.4, and 9.5 MHz for *J''* = 12 to 14 compared very well with the calculated splittings.

We searched for R -branch transitions with equal or lower K_a with an improved calculation of the rotational spectrum. Subsequently, we sought Q -branch transitions with $\Delta K_a = 0$ and 2, respectively. Our line list reached $K_a = 3$ in the first case and $K_a = 3 \leftarrow 1$ in the second case of these weaker transitions.

We did not target any b -type transitions of $c\text{-HDC}_3\text{O}$ as these were calculated to be even weaker than many of the $\Delta K_a = 2$ transitions. Some b -type transitions were covered by accident; even much longer integration times may not have helped because the number of lines with similar or larger intensities was so large that it would be difficult to identify unblended lines unambiguously. In addition, it is important to note that $\Delta K_a = 1$ transitions carry less information on the purely K -dependent parameters than transitions with $\Delta K_a = 2$ at similar values of K_a .

The minimum parameter sets for both deuterated isotopologs were determined as described above. Interestingly, we obtained a satisfactory fit for 172 different frequencies of $c\text{-D}_2\text{C}_3\text{O}$ after floating only two of the five quartic centrifugal distortion parameters, whereas we required four for 126 different frequencies of $c\text{-HDC}_3\text{O}$; this is probably a consequence of the choice of the covered transitions. In the fit of $c\text{-D}_2\text{C}_3\text{O}$ with the full parameter set, ΔD_J and ΔD_{JK} improved the wrms by similar amounts. The parameter values, their uncertainties, and additional details on the fits are presented in Table 3.

6. Structural parameters of cyclopropenone

Several methods exist to derive structural parameters of a molecule from its moments of inertia, which are inversely proportional to the rotational parameters. The results depend on the model to a varying degree, and some methods do not even yield the same result for different data sets in theory. Data for different isotopologs are required for molecules with three or more symmetry-inequivalent atoms; it is usually desirable to substitute each symmetry-inequivalent atom once to be able to obtain reliable structural parameters.

The ground-state effective (or r_0) structure is the most straightforward model in which the structural parameters are fit to the ground-state moments of inertia. The ground-state values are usually the ones determined first, and are often the only ones. The ground-state moments of inertia contain vibrational contributions from the zero-point vibrations, causing the r_0 structure to be one of the less meaningful structure models, with sometimes relatively large changes between isotopic data sets.

The differences in the moments of inertia caused by the substitution of one or more symmetry-inequivalent atoms can be used to determine the Cartesian coordinates of these atoms (Kraitchman 1953; Costain 1958). The resulting substitution (or r_s) structure reduces the effects of vibrational contributions to the ground-state moments of inertia, albeit to a varying degree. The r_s structure is equivalent to $r_{\Delta I}$, the structure that is obtained by fitting structural parameters to the differences of the moments of inertia (Rudolph 1991). This structure is, in turn, equivalent to the $r_{I,\epsilon}$ structure, in which vibrational contributions ϵ_i to the ground-state moments of inertia, that is $I_{ii,0} = I_{ii,e} + \epsilon_i$, with $i = a, b, c$, are assumed to be equal for different isotopologs of a given molecule. The advantage of taking ϵ_i into account explicitly is that rotational parameters of isotopic species to be studied can be estimated much more accurately, in particular for atoms with more than two isotopes or for multiply substituted isotopologs (Epple & Rudolph 1992; Müller & Gerry 1994; Müller et al. 2019), if residuals of known isotopologs can be transferred to the values of the desired isotopolog. In the case of H_2CS , Müller et al. (2019) extrapolated the residuals of $\text{H}_2\text{C}^{32}\text{S}$,

Table 3. Spectroscopic parameters (MHz) from a minimum parameter set, a full parameter set, and initial parameters along with details on the fits of the mono and doubly deuterated isotopologs of cyclopropenone.

Parameter	Minimum	Full	Initial
HD isotopolog			
A	28 049.092 (3)	28 049.090 (4)	28 035.946
B	7510.3156 (1)	7510.3156 (1)	7509.008
C	5916.1823 (1)	5916.1823 (1)	5915.416
$D_K \times 10^3$	46.16	45.95 (33)	46.16
$D_{JK} \times 10^3$	29.541 (3)	29.541 (3)	29.509
$D_J \times 10^6$	1347.93 (15)	1347.99 (18)	1349.3
$d_1 \times 10^6$	−412.68 (8)	−412.71 (9)	−414.44
$d_2 \times 10^6$	−180.56 (68)	−180.54 (72)	−181.29
J_{max}	20 (0)	20 (0)	
$K_{a,\text{max}}$	7 (0)	7 (0)	
No. trans.	130 (0)	130 (0)	
No. freqs.	126 (0)	126 (0)	
rms	0.0126	0.0122	
wrms ^(a)	0.817	0.815	
D ₂ isotopolog			
A	24 535.524 (1)	24 535.521 (3)	24 514.520
B	7254.9417 (1)	7254.9422 (1)	7252.557
C	5591.7853 (1)	5591.7855 (1)	5590.549
$D_K \times 10^3$	30.37	30.20 (11)	30.37
$D_{JK} \times 10^3$	27.185	27.189 (1)	27.185
$D_J \times 10^6$	1179.79	1180.35 (13)	1179.8
$d_1 \times 10^6$	−410.13 (10)	−410.31 (11)	−412.33
$d_2 \times 10^6$	−199.06 (4)	−199.10 (5)	−200.75
J_{max}	24 (10)	24 (10)	
$K_{a,\text{max}}$	9 (2)	9 (2)	
No. trans.	189 (10)	189 (10)	
No. freqs.	172 (10)	172 (10)	
rms	0.0222	0.0214	
wrms ^(a)	0.917	0.810	

Notes. Watson's S reduction was used in the representation I' . Numbers in parentheses of parameters are one standard deviation in units of the least significant figures. Numbers in parentheses associated with numbers of quantum numbers, transitions, and lines, respectively, refer to data from the previous study (Benson et al. 1973). Parameters without uncertainties were estimated and kept fixed in the analyses, see Sect. 5. ^(a)Weighted rms, unitless.

$\text{H}_2\text{C}^{33}\text{S}$, and $\text{H}_2\text{C}^{34}\text{S}$ to $\text{H}_2\text{C}^{36}\text{S}$, and those of $\text{H}_2\text{C}^{32}\text{S}$, $\text{H}_2\text{C}^{34}\text{S}$, and H_2^{13}CS to $\text{H}_2^{13}\text{C}^{34}\text{S}$.

The equilibrium (or r_e) structure of a molecule in the potential minimum is the most meaningful structure. However, it is also the most elusive structure because data of more than one isotopolog are needed for molecules with three or more symmetry-inequivalent atoms, and for each isotopolog we require the knowledge of several vibration-rotation parameters according to

$$B_e = B_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \alpha_j^B - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j \leq k} \gamma_{jk}^B - \dots \quad (1)$$

in order to evaluate the equilibrium parameter B_e from the ground-state value B_0 . Here, the α_j^B are first-order vibrational corrections, the γ_{jk}^B are second-order vibrational corrections, and so on. Equivalent formulations hold for A_e and C_e . An attractive and lately very common approach is to calculate $\sum_j \alpha_j^B / 2$ by

Table 4. Ground-state rotational parameters $B_{i,0}$ of cyclopropenone isotopic species, vibrational corrections $\Delta B_{i,v}^{(a)}$, resulting semi-empirical equilibrium rotational parameters $B_{i,e}^{SE}$, and inertia defects Δ .^(b)

Species	B_i/Δ	$B_{i,0}$	B3LYP		MP2		ae-MP2	
			$\Delta B_{i,v}$	$B_{i,e}^{SE}$	$\Delta B_{i,v}$	$B_{i,e}^{SE}$	$\Delta B_{i,v}$	$B_{i,e}^{SE}$
main	A	32 040.686	167.049	32 207.735	179.586	32 220.272	183.294	32 223.980
	B	7825.001	33.674	7858.675	34.377	7859.378	34.577	7859.578
	C	6280.728	36.969	6317.697	37.689	6318.417	37.999	6318.727
	Δ	0.1068		−0.00547		−0.00273		−0.00321
¹³ C1	A	32 040.141	167.513	32 207.654	180.110	32 220.251	183.804	32 223.945
	B	7816.553	33.050	7849.603	33.778	7850.331	33.969	7850.522
	C	6275.289	36.543	6311.832	37.281	6312.570	37.584	6312.873
	Δ	0.1065		−0.00550		−0.00276		−0.00324
¹³ C2	A	31 174.389	161.272	31 335.661	173.586	31 347.975	177.251	31 351.640
	B	7709.476	32.969	7742.445	33.660	7743.136	33.863	7743.339
	C	6172.746	36.126	6208.872	36.842	6209.588	37.155	6209.901
	Δ	0.1083		−0.00548		−0.00270		−0.00321
¹⁸ O	A	32 040.339	167.399	32 207.738	180.001	32 220.340	183.743	32 224.082
	B	7344.877	31.126	7376.003	31.751	7376.628	31.941	7376.818
	C	5967.532	34.415	6001.947	35.072	6002.604	35.363	6002.895
	Δ	0.1079		−0.00537		−0.00264		−0.00314
HD	A	28 049.092	130.214	28 179.306	137.620	28 186.712	140.330	28 189.422
	B	7510.316	31.968	7542.284	32.521	7542.837	32.719	7543.035
	C	5916.182	34.032	5950.214	34.480	5950.662	34.764	5950.946
	Δ	0.1142		−0.00590		−0.00267		−0.00325
D ₂	A	24 535.524	98.171	24 633.695	102.770	24 638.294	105.086	24 640.610
	B	7254.942	31.074	7286.016	31.600	7286.542	31.729	7286.671
	C	5591.785	31.521	5623.306	31.843	5623.628	32.081	5623.866
	Δ	0.1210		−0.00641		−0.00272		−0.00337

Notes. ^(a) $\Delta B_{i,v} = \sum_j \alpha_j^{B_i} / 2$ calculated by different quantum-chemical means as detailed in Sect. 3. ^(b) All numbers in units of MHz, except Δ in units of amu Å².

quantum-chemical means to derive semi-empirical equilibrium rotational parameters $B_{i,e}$ from the experimental ground-state values (Stanton et al. 1998). Second and higher order vibrational contributions are neglected. Numerous quantum-chemical programs are available to carry out such calculations; examples are mentioned in Sect. 3.

We used B3LYP, MP2, and ae-MP2 calculations with a triple zeta basis set to evaluate the first-order vibrational corrections for isotopologs of cyclopropenone which are summarized in Table 4 together with ground-state values and the resulting semi-empirical equilibrium values. The inertia defect $\Delta = I_{cc} - I_{bb} - I_{aa}$ is also given for each set of rotational parameters.

We employed the RU111J program (Rudolph 1995) to derive semi-empirical equilibrium structural parameters r_e^{SE} for each of the three quantum-chemical calculations. The results are given in Table 5 together with structural parameters purely from quantum-chemical calculations and with the r_s parameters from Benson et al. (1973). The differences between the r_s parameters and our r_e^{SE} parameters turned out to be larger than expected and are discussed in detail in Sect. 7.2. We calculated subsequently $r_{I,e}$ structures, which are equivalent to r_s structures as mentioned above, in order to evaluate the dependence of the resulting parameters on diverse input data. The first set of input data were our present rotational data from six isotopic species as summarized in Table 4. The second set employed our starting values as described in Sect. 5. The third set were the rotational parameters from Benson et al. (1973), but without A(¹³C2), as it deviated by 61 MHz from the value of our preferred fit. The

results of these structure fits are also given (in reverse order) in Table 5.

7. Discussion

7.1. Spectroscopic parameters

As can be seen in Table 1, the J and K_a quantum number ranges and also the number of different transition frequencies of the main isotopolog of cyclopropenone have been greatly increased in the course of the present investigation with respect to the previous study (Guillemin et al. 1990). The previous spectroscopic parameters agree well with ours in the A reduction taking into account the uncertainties in that work. The B3LYP/3a quantum-chemically calculated ground-state rotational and equilibrium quartic centrifugal distortion parameters agree quite well with our experimental values in both reductions. The comparison of the sextic centrifugal distortion parameters is more mixed as far as experimental values are available; trial fits showed that the experimental values are only slightly affected by the absence of three and one sextic parameter in the S and A reduction, respectively. This shows that the deviations seen in particular in H_{KJ} and the corresponding Φ_{KJ} are not mainly caused by the omission of the three or one parameter. Moreover, a trial fit with h_1 in the fit yielded a value of 19 ± 10 μHz, not compatible with the calculated 96 μHz.

Our final fit in the S reduction has two parameters less than the one in the A reduction at the expense of a slightly larger

Table 5. Quantum-chemical and experimental bond lengths (pm) and bond angles (deg) of cyclopropenone.

Method ^(a)	$r(\text{CO})$	$r(\text{C}-\text{C})$	$r(\text{C}=\text{C})$ ^(b)	$r(\text{CH})$	$\angle(\text{OCC})$	$\angle(\text{C3C1C2})$ ^(b)	$\angle(\text{C1C2H})$
B3LYP/3a	120.157	142.672	133.964	108.054	151.999	56.001	153.841
B3LYP/4a	120.000	142.626	133.894	108.005	152.005	55.989	153.852
B3LYP/4aC	119.989	142.613	133.887	108.018	152.004	55.991	153.856
MP2/3a	120.681	143.399	135.270	107.970	151.858	56.284	154.166
MP2/4a	120.388	143.046	134.907	107.850	151.865	56.270	154.150
MP2/5a	120.313	142.962	134.815	107.817	151.868	56.264	154.142
ae-MP2/3aC	120.395	142.885	134.752	107.822	151.866	56.268	154.148
ae-MP2/4aC	120.140	142.612	134.496	107.689	151.865	56.270	154.139
ae-MP2/5aC	120.078	142.531	134.408	107.655	151.868	56.264	154.132
MP3/3a	119.628	142.810	134.383	107.698	151.934	56.133	153.478
ae-MP3/3aC	119.355	142.333	133.908	107.556	151.940	56.121	153.460
CCSD(T)/3a	120.684	143.735	135.308	108.155	151.921	56.158	153.739
CCSD(T)/4a	120.346	143.347	134.912	108.030	151.928	56.144	153.731
ae-CCSD(T)/3aC	120.404	143.267	134.832	108.010	151.929	56.142	153.724
r_s ^(c)	121.2 (2)	141.2 (3)	130.2 (3)	109.7 (3)		54.9 (7)	152.5 (5)
$r_{l,\epsilon}(\text{old})$ ^(d)	120.29 (36)	143.18 (46)	134.90 (85)	107.82 (31)	151.89 (22)	56.21 (45)	153.91 (41)
$r_{l,\epsilon}(\text{old})$ ^(e)	120.47 (30)	142.88 (38)	134.84 (38)	107.88 (16)	151.84 (12)	56.31 (23)	154.02 (22)
$r_{l,\epsilon}(\text{new})$ ^(f)	120.44 (28)	142.91 (35)	134.73 (14)	107.90 (9)	151.88 (8)	56.24 (16)	153.95 (14)
$r_e^{\text{SE}}(\text{B3LYP})$ ^(g)	120.04 (21)	142.91 (17)	134.532 (6)	107.77 (4)	151.92 (4)	56.16 (7)	153.74 (6)
$r_e^{\text{SE}}(\text{MP2})$ ^(g)	120.05 (10)	142.88 (8)	134.480 (4)	107.84 (2)	151.93 (2)	56.15 (3)	153.73 (3)
$r_e^{\text{SE}}(\text{ae-MP2})$ ^(g)	120.05 (12)	142.87 (10)	134.468 (4)	107.85 (2)	151.93 (2)	56.14 (4)	153.73 (3)

Notes. All values from this work unless indicated otherwise. Numbers in parentheses are one standard deviation in units of the least significant figures. ^(a)Quantum-chemical calculations as detailed in Sect. 3; structural parameter determinations as described in Sect. 6. ^(b)Derived parameter in present calculations. ^(c)Benson et al. (1973); structural parameters derived from substitution coordinates; $\angle(\text{C1C2H})$ calculated from $\angle(\text{C2C3H})$ and $\angle(\text{C3C1C2})$. ^(d)This work; rotational parameters from Benson et al. (1973), but $A(^{13}\text{C2})$ omitted; see also Sects. 6. ^(e)This work; rotational parameter derived as described in Sect. 5 using data from Benson et al. (1973) and Guillemin et al. (1990). ^(f)This work; rotational parameters from this work. ^(g)Quantum-chemical method in parentheses as detailed in Sect. 6; see also Table 4.

wrms. We consider this improvement to be sufficient to favor the S reduction. Additional reasons for preferring the S reduction are that it is more versatile than the A reduction as the latter can exhibit convergence problems for molecules close to the prolate or oblate symmetric limit. Moreover, Watson (1977) recommended to use the S reduction because such fits yield smaller correlation coefficients. This conclusion was recently reemphasized in an extensive study of the rotational spectra of SO^{18}O and S^{18}O_2 in their lowest two and three vibrational states, respectively, with detailed analyses of the fits (Margulès et al. 2020).

Our spectroscopic parameters for the $^{13}\text{C1}$, $^{13}\text{C2}$, and ^{18}O isotopologs in Table 2 agree very well with our initial values both for the minimum and maximum parameter sets. The agreement is good in the cases of the deuterated isotopologs, as can be seen in Table 3. The parameter D_K from the full parameter sets of both deuterated isotopologs agrees very well with the initial values, which may indicate that the small changes in D_K seen for the isotopomers containing one ^{13}C may nevertheless be too large.

The initial spectroscopic parameters of all minor isotopic species were derived from quantum-chemically calculated ones scaled with the respective ratios between experimental and calculated values of the main species as described in slightly more detail at the beginning of Sect. 5. Such scaling was used, for example, to evaluate some sextic centrifugal distortion parameters of two minor isotopologs of TiO_2 (Kania et al. 2011). Cazzoli et al. (2014) demonstrated in a study of the rotational spectrum of H_2^{36}S that such scaling works very well even for heavy-atom substitutions of relatively light molecules such as H_2S . Higher level quantum-chemical calculations, as employed by Cazzoli

et al. (2014), may lead to better starting values than the lower level calculations used here. However, higher level calculations are computationally more demanding, in particular for somewhat larger molecules. Moreover, Morgan et al. (2018) showed that considerable computational effort is necessary to obtain good-quality, systematically converged results even for the fairly small molecule formaldehyde, H_2CO . The quality of the scaling of centrifugal distortion parameters may be limited in addition by the lack of vibrational corrections. Quartic and sextic equilibrium centrifugal distortion parameters can be calculated with several quantum-chemical programs, but the derivation of vibrational corrections is, to the best of our knowledge, not possible with any publicly available program.

Scaling of quantum-chemically derived distortion parameters should be better than scaling by appropriate powers of the ratios of $2A - B - C$, $B + C$, and $B - C$, as done, for example, in the recent case of isotopic H_2CS (Müller et al. 2019). This type of scaling usually works quite well for heavy-atom substitutions, but less so for H to D substitutions, in particular for molecules with few atoms. Fixing parameters of minor isotopologs, which cannot be determined sufficiently well, to values from the main isotopic species usually works less well, but is in most cases better than fixing such parameters to zero.

We may ask ourselves what the advantages and disadvantages of our minimum parameter fits are compared to our maximum parameter fits. Increasing the parameter set beyond the optimum increases the uncertainties, usually the correlation among the parameters, and frequently affects the parameter values outside the initial uncertainties. Therefore, transition frequencies calculated with fewer parameters are often better in

Table 6. Equilibrium bond lengths (pm) and selected bond angles (deg) of cyclopropenone in comparison to related molecules.

Molecule	$r(\text{CO})$	$r(\text{C}-\text{C})$	$r(\text{C}=\text{C})$	$r(\text{CH})$	$\angle(\text{C3C1C2})$	$\angle(\text{C1C2H})$
c-H ₂ C ₃ O ^(a)	120.05 (12)	142.87 (10)	134.468 (4)	107.85 (2)	56.14 (4)	153.73 (3)
c-C ₃ H ₂ ^(b)		141.72 (1)	132.19	107.51 (2)	55.60 (1)	147.78 (3)
H ₂ CO ^(c)	120.461 (19)			110.046 (16)		
C ₂ H ₆ ^(d)		152.2 (2)		108.9 (1)		
c-C ₆ H ₆ ^(e)		139.14 (10)		108.02 (20)		
C ₂ H ₄ ^(f)			133.07 (3)	108.09 (3)		

Notes. Numbers in parentheses are one standard deviation in units of the least significant figures. If no uncertainties are given, none were published. ^(a)This work, r_e^{SE} with ae-MP2 corrections. ^(b)Spezzano et al. (2012); r_e^{SE} , ae-CCSD(T)/4C values; $r(\text{C}=\text{C})$ calculated from $r(\text{C}-\text{C})$ and $\angle(\text{C3C1C2})$. ^(c)Lohilahti (2006); experimental r_e values. ^(d)Harmony (1990); r_m^{p} values according to the second set of data; see Harmony & Taylor (1986) for the definition of this structure model. ^(e)Gauss & Stanton (2000); r_e^{SE} at the CCSD(T)/4 level. ^(f)Martin & Taylor (1996); r_e values from CCSD(T) calculations extrapolated to infinitely large basis set with ae corrections and empirical adjustments.

cases of interpolation or modest extrapolation compared to values from a larger parameter set. On the other hand, calculated uncertainties quickly become too optimistic upon extrapolation for a smaller parameter set. Extrapolation in J from our present data should be reasonable to two times the upper frequency limit for the strong R -branch transition which is ~ 1.0 THz for the main isotopolog and 450 to 500 GHz for the minor isotopologs. Extrapolation in K_a is much more limited.

The ground-state inertia defects in Table 4 are relatively small and positive, as is common for planar molecules with small out-of-plane vibrational amplitudes. The semi-empirical equilibrium inertia defects obtained from the ground-state rotational constants after subtracting the first-order vibrational corrections are much smaller in magnitude and negative, which is also very common.

7.2. Structural parameters

Our semi-empirical equilibrium structural parameters in Table 5 are largely very similar among the three different sets. The CO bond length has fairly large uncertainties, but displays almost no scatter; the C=C bond shows, in contrast, more pronounced, though still modest variations, which are only a few times the combined uncertainties for the parameters employing B3LYP and ae-MP2 corrections. The CH bond varies to an approximately equal degree, albeit with larger uncertainties than the C=C bond.

The previously published r_s structure (Benson et al. 1973) agrees, at best, reasonably well with our r_e^{SE} structures; the C=C bond length difference is, with more than 4 pm, particularly large. We calculated $r_{l,\epsilon}$ structures that are equivalent to the r_s structure (Rudolph 1991). Using our ground-state rotational data of six isotopologs, as summarized in Table 4, we obtained structural parameters close to our r_e^{SE} values; the largest difference of 0.4 pm occurs in the CO bond length and is even within the combined uncertainties. The initial parameters of five isotopic species, as derived in Sect. 5, yielded essentially the same parameters, the main differences are larger uncertainties in some parameters, most likely caused by the absence of data of the HD isotopolog; even the fit with values from Benson et al. (1973) yields results quite similar to the other two $r_{l,\epsilon}$ fits. The deviation of the r_s structure parameters therefore appears to be caused by the difference of 61 MHz in $A(^{13}\text{C2})$ which is mainly caused by two lines falsely attributed to the $^{13}\text{C2}$ isotopolog; see also Sect. 5.

The quantum-chemical calculations in Table 5 show very small changes between basis sets and also rather small changes between the different methods. The bond lengths display the usual shortening upon increasing basis set size and upon correlation of all electrons as far as applicable. The bond lengths differ substantially among the different methods. The B3LYP parameters show little change with basis set size and agree quite well with our r_e^{SE} values. The largest deviation is seen for the C=C bond length, which is too short by about 0.5 pm. The C-C bond length is also too short, but by smaller amounts, whereas the CO and CH bonds were calculated slightly too long. The MP2/5a and ae-MP2/5aC structures agree between well and very well with our r_e^{SE} structure, the MP2/5a values are slightly closer for the C-C and CH bonds, whereas the ae-MP2/5aC are slightly closer for the other two bond lengths. Calculations using MP3 are sometimes better than those with MP2, but are usually worse than those with CCSD(T). In the case of cyclopropenone, some bond lengths are already too short at the MP3/3a level, and even more so at ae-MP3/3aC level. Bond lengths at the CCSD(T)/3a level are rather long. The effects of correlating all electrons compared to those with a frozen core are similar to those of MP2 calculations employing basis sets of triple zeta quality. If we assume the relative differences are the same for basis sets of quadruple zeta quality, we can estimate the following ae-CCSD(T)/4aC bond lengths: $r(\text{CO}) \approx 120.10$ pm, $r(\text{C}-\text{C}) \approx 142.95$ pm, $r(\text{C}=\text{C}) \approx 134.53$ pm, and $r(\text{CH}) \approx 108.00$ pm, all very close to our r_e^{SE} values; this behavior is common for ae-CCSD(T)/4aC calculations.

Structural parameters of cyclopropenone are compared with those of other molecules in Table 6. Cyclopropenylidene is best suited for comparison as it most closely resembles cyclopropenone, but without the O atom. The O atom withdraws electron density from the rest of the cyclopropenylidene molecule, causing an elongation of all bond lengths; the effect is smallest for the distant CH bond. Formaldehyde is probably the prototypical molecule with a CO double bond. Its CO bond is only slightly longer than that of c-H₂C₃O. The C=C bond lengths of c-H₂C₃O and c-C₃H₂ are essentially equal to the one in C₂H₄, and are thus typical CC double bonds. The C-C bonds of both cyclic molecules are nevertheless much shorter than that of ethane, whose C-C bond can probably be viewed as a prototypical CC single bond. We note that these bonds are only slightly longer than the aromatic CC bonds in benzene. Finally, the CH bond lengths in c-H₂C₃O and c-C₃H₂ are substantially shorter than in C₂H₄, but much longer than ~ 106.2 pm in

acetylene (Liévin et al. 2011; Tamassia et al. 2016). These characteristics highlight the aromatic character of cyclopropenone (Wang et al. 2011) and cyclopropenylidene from a structural point of view.

8. Conclusion and outlook

Our data for five minor isotopic species of cyclopropenone are sufficient for astronomical searches in cold molecular clouds even without any extrapolation, as the Boltzmann peak at 10 K is at ~ 95 GHz. Extrapolation of the data in J should be reasonable up to 450 or 500 GHz. Such extrapolations should be possible up to 1 THz for the main isotopic species, which is sufficient even for rotational temperatures around 300 K. However, we assume that rotational temperatures of $c\text{-H}_2\text{C}_3\text{O}$ in warmer sources will be closer to 100 K based on findings for propanal, propenal, and propene in IRAS 16293–2422 B (Lykke et al. 2017; Manigand et al. 2021).

Dedicated searches will probably be necessary to detect minor isotopologs of cyclopropenone in space. $c\text{-HDC}_3\text{O}$ is the most promising isotopolog to be found in cold molecular clouds. One of the most sensitive molecular line surveys of such sources is that by Cernicharo et al. (2020) between 31.4 and 50.3 GHz carried out with the Yebes 40 m radio telescope with additional data from the 3 mm region taken earlier with the IRAM 30 m dish. Cernicharo et al. (2020) report the detection of HC_3O^+ and investigated the chemistry of oxygen-containing molecules in TMC-1. They derived a column density of $(4.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ for $c\text{-H}_2\text{C}_3\text{O}$. The most constraining transition of $c\text{-HDC}_3\text{O}$ in that survey is the $3_{13}\text{--}2_{12}$ transition at 37 833.721 MHz. The 3σ upper limit to its intensity in brightness temperature is ~ 2.6 mK (with corrections for beam dilution and beam efficiency); the corresponding intensity of the $3_{13}\text{--}2_{12}$ ortho transition of $c\text{-H}_2\text{C}_3\text{O}$ is 42 mK, yielding a 3σ column density ratio of >16 for $c\text{-H}_2\text{C}_3\text{O}$ to $c\text{-HDC}_3\text{O}$ (J. Cernicharo, priv. comm. to HSPM, Nov./Dec. 2020). This is less constraining than the corresponding ratios of, for example, ~ 34 and ~ 25 found for $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$ to $c\text{-C}_3\text{HD}$ (Bell et al. 1986) and $l\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$ to $l\text{-C}_3\text{HD}$ (Spezzano et al. 2016), respectively, in TMC-1. However, there are sources with higher degrees of deuteration that may be considered if their column densities of $c\text{-H}_2\text{C}_3\text{O}$ are sufficiently large. A search for the cyclopropenone isotopomers with one ^{13}C may be more promising in the envelope of Sagittarius B2(N) because the ^{12}C to ^{13}C ratio in the Galactic center is as low as 20 to 30 (Müller et al. 2008, 2016; Halfen et al. 2017; Jacob et al. 2020).

We determined structural parameters of cyclopropenone which compare very favorably with those of cyclopropenylidene as far as comparison is possible. The CO bond length agrees almost exactly with that of formaldehyde. Relatively large deviations between our structural parameters and the earlier substitution structure were traced to misassignments of two transitions to the $^{13}\text{C}_2$ isotopolog which led to an overly large A rotational parameter.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to José Cernicharo for communication of unpublished results of a search for $c\text{-HDC}_3\text{O}$ in TMC-1. We acknowledge support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft via the collaborative research center SFB 956 (project ID 184018867) project B3 as well as the Gerätezentrum SCHL 341/15-1 (“Cologne Center for Terahertz Spectroscopy”). We thank the Regionales Rechenzentrum der Universität zu Köln (RRZK) for providing computing time on the DFG funded High Performance Computing System CHEOPS. J.-C.G. is grateful for financial support by the Centre National d’Etudes Spatiales (CNES; grant number 4500065585) and by the Programme National Physique et Chimie du Milieu Interstellaire (PCMI) of CNRS/INSU with INC/INP

co-funded by CEA and CNES. Our research benefited from NASA’s Astrophysics Data System (ADS).

References

- Araki, M., Takano, S., Sakai, N., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, **847**, 51
- Becke, A. D. 1993, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **98**, 5648
- Bell, M. B., Feldman, P. A., Matthews, H. E., & Avery, L. W. 1986, *ApJ*, **311**, L89
- Benson, R. C., Flygare, W. H., Oda, M., & Breslow, R. 1973, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **95**, 2772
- Berglund, M., & Wieser, M. E. 2011, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, **83**, 397
- Breslow, R., & Oda, M. 1972, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **94**, 4787
- Breslow, R., Pecoraro, J., & Sugimoto, T. 1977, *Org. Synth.*, **57**, 41
- Cazzoli, G., Puzzarini, C., & Gauss, J. 2014, *A&A*, **566**, A52
- Cernicharo, J., Marcelino, N., Agúndez, M., et al. 2020, *A&A*, **642**, L17
- Costain, C. C. 1958, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **29**, 864
- Dunning, Jr., T. H. 1989, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **90**, 1007
- Epple, K. J., & Rudolph, H. D. 1992, *J. Mol. Spectr.*, **152**, 355
- Frisch, M. J., Trucks, G. W., Schlegel, H. B., et al. 2013, Gaussian 09, Revision E.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT
- Gauss, J., & Stanton, J. F. 2000, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, **104**, 2865
- Guillemin, J. C., Wlodarczak, G., Lopez, J. C., & Demaison, J. 1990, *J. Mol. Spectr.*, **140**, 190
- Halfen, D. T., Woolf, N. J., & Ziurys, L. M. 2017, *ApJ*, **845**, 158
- Harmony, M. D. 1990, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **93**, 7522
- Harmony, M. D., & Taylor, W. H. 1986, *J. Mol. Spectr.*, **118**, 163
- Hollis, J. M., Remijan, A. J., Jewell, P. R., & Lovas, F. J. 2006, *ApJ*, **642**, 933
- Jacob, A. M., Menten, K. M., Wiesemeyer, H., et al. 2020, *A&A*, **640**, A125
- Kania, P., Hermanns, M., Brünken, S., Müller, H. S. P., & Giesen, T. F. 2011, *J. Mol. Spectr.*, **268**, 173
- Kraitchman, J. 1953, *Am. J. Phys.*, **21**, 17
- Lee, C., Yang, W., & Parr, R. G. 1988, *Phys. Rev. B*, **37**, 785
- Liévin, J., Demaison, J., Herman, M., Fayt, A., & Puzzarini, C. 2011, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **134**, 064119
- Lohilahti, J. 2006, PhD thesis, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland
- Loison, J.-C., Agúndez, M., Marcelino, N., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, **456**, 4101
- Lykke, J. M., Coutens, A., Jørgensen, J. K., et al. 2017, *A&A*, **597**, A53
- Manigand, S., Coutens, A., Loison, J. C., et al. 2021, *A&A*, **645**, A53
- Margulès, L., Motiyenko, R. A., & Demaison, J. 2020, *J. Quant. Spectr. Rad. Transf.*, **253**, 107153
- Martin, J. M. L., & Taylor, P. R. 1996, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **248**, 336
- Martin-Drumel, M. A., van Wijngaarden, J., Zingsheim, O., et al. 2015, *J. Mol. Spectr.*, **307**, 33
- Mills, I. M. 1972, *Molecular Spectroscopy: Modern Research*, eds. K. N. Rao, & C. W. Mathews (New York: Academic Press), 1, 115
- Møller, C., & Plesset, M. S. 1934, *Phys. Rev.*, **46**, 618
- Morgan, W. J., Matthews, D. A., Ringholm, M., et al. 2018, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, **14**, 1333
- Müller, H. S. P., & Gerry, M. C. L. 1994, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.*, **90**, 2601
- Müller, H. S. P., Belloche, A., Menten, K. M., Comito, C., & Schilke, P. 2008, *J. Mol. Spectr.*, **251**, 319
- Müller, H. S. P., Belloche, A., Xu, L.-H., et al. 2016, *A&A*, **587**, A92
- Müller, H. S. P., Zingsheim, O., Wehres, N., et al. 2017, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, **121**, 7121
- Müller, H. S. P., Maeda, A., Thorwirth, S., et al. 2019, *A&A*, **621**, A143
- Ordu, M. H., Müller, H. S. P., Walters, A., et al. 2012, *A&A*, **541**, A121
- Peterson, K. A., & Dunning, Jr., T. H. 2002, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **117**, 10548
- Pickett, H. M. 1991, *J. Mol. Spectr.*, **148**, 371
- Raghavachari, K., Trucks, G. W., Pople, J. A., & Head-Gordon, M. 1989, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **157**, 479
- Rudolph, H. D. 1991, *Struct. Chem.*, **2**, 581
- Rudolph, H. D. 1995, *Advances in Molecular Structure Research*, eds. I. Hargittai, & M. Hargittai (Greenwich, CT: JAI Press Inc.), 1, 63
- Spahn, H., Müller, H. S. P., Giesen, T. F., et al. 2008, *Chem. Phys.*, **346**, 132
- Spezzano, S., Tamassia, F., Thorwirth, S., et al. 2012, *ApJS*, **200**, 1
- Spezzano, S., Gupta, H., Brünken, S., et al. 2016, *A&A*, **586**, A110
- Stanton, J. F., Lopreore, C. L., & Gauss, J. 1998, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **108**, 7190
- Tamassia, F., Cané, E., Fusina, L., & Di Lonardo, G. 2016, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **18**, 1937
- Wang, H.-J., von Ragué Schleyer, P., Wu, J. I., & Wang, H.-J. 2011, *Int. J. Quant. Chem.*, **111**, 1031
- Watson, J. K. G. 1977, *Vibrational Spectra and Structure*, ed. J. R. Durig (Amsterdam: Elsevier), 6, 1