LOFAR observations of radio burst source sizes and scattering in the solar corona
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ABSTRACT

Low frequency radio wave scattering and refraction can have a dramatic effect on the observed size and position of radio sources in the solar corona. The scattering and refraction is thought to be due to fluctuations in electron density caused by turbulence. Hence, determining the true radio source size can provide information on the turbulence in coronal plasma. However, the lack of high spatial resolution interferometric observations at low frequencies, such as with the LOw Frequency ARray (LOFAR), has made it difficult to determine the true radio source size and level of radio wave scattering. Here we directly fit the visibilities of a LOFAR observation of a Type IIIb radio burst with an elliptical Gaussian to determine its source size and position. This circumvents the need to image the source and then de-convolve LOFAR’s point spread function, which can introduce spurious effects to the source size and shape. For a burst at 34.76 MHz, we find full width at half maximum (FWHM) heights along the major and minor axes to be 18.8° ± 0.1° and 10.2° ± 0.1°, respectively, at a plane of sky heliocentric distance of 1.75 R⊙. Our results suggest that the level of density fluctuations in the solar corona is the main cause of the scattering of radio waves, resulting in large source sizes. However, the magnitude of ε may be smaller than what has been previously derived in observations of radio wave scattering in tied-array images.

Key words. Sun: corona – Sun: radio radiation – scattering

1. Introduction

Low frequency radio wave propagation in the solar corona is not fully understood. It is widely accepted that the scattering of radio waves off of density inhomogeneities plays a key role in the observed source sizes of radio bursts (Fokker 1965; Steinberg et al. 1971; Stewart 1972; Riddle 1974; Thejappa et al. 2007; Thejappa & MacDowall 2008; Kontar et al. 2019). However, the exact extent to which observed source sizes are broadened is difficult to measure as it requires an angular resolution to spatially resolve the source as well as an a priori knowledge of its original size. Current generation radio interferometers such as the LOw Frequency ARray (LOFAR; van Haarlem et al. 2013) have the resolving power to observe this angular size. This angular resolution can be exploited to accurately determine burst size and position, both of which are indicators of the level of radio scattering in the corona, which in turn is related to the level of turbulent density fluctuations. Hence, a better understanding of scattering may lead to new insights into the nature of coronal turbulence.

The study of radio wave scattering in the solar corona has its origins in the 1960s and 1970s. Fokker (1965), Steinberg et al. (1971), Stewart (1972), and Riddle (1974) conducted seminal work on the ray tracing of radio waves in various coronal models. They all concluded that sources emitted near the plasma frequency in the solar corona are enlarged due to the scattering of radio waves from coronal density fluctuations. While the explanation of coronal scattering for observed source characteristics fell out of favour by the mid-1980s (McLean & Labrum 1985), it has seen a renewed interested in low frequency radio observations in recent years (Thejappa et al. 2007; Thejappa & MacDowall 2008; Kontar et al. 2017, 2019; Sharykin et al. 2018; Gordovskyy et al. 2019).

In low frequency imaging, the extent of scattering in the corona can be determined through the analysis of Type III radio bursts, particularly their position and size in images or decay times in dynamic spectra (e.g. Kontar et al. 2019; Gordovskyy et al. 2019; Krupar et al. 2018). Given that these bursts are due to plasma emission from electron beams propagating through coronal plasma (see Reid & Ratcliffe 2014, for a review), they provide a density diagnostic of such plasma. In particular, a subset of these bursts known as “Type IIIb” provides a diagnostic of scattering in coronal plasma due to density fluctuations from turbulence. For example, Type IIIb bursts often show fine structures or “striæ” along the burst envelope (Ellis & McCulloch 1967; Ellis 1969; de La Noe & Boischot 1972; de La Noe 1975; Melnik et al. 2010), which are believed to be caused by density inhomogeneities in the corona (Takakura & Yousef 1975). Using a density model, the frequency bandwidth of these striæ can be used to infer the vertical extent of the density inhomogeneity in space. A comparison of this spatial extent to observed source sizes in images can provide the extent to which the radio emission has been scattered (e.g. Kontar et al. 2017).

Theoretically, the extent of scattering in the corona is related to the root mean square (rms) fluctuations of electron density ε = √(δn2)/n. Many recent works have assumed a value for ε to use in simulations in order to recreate the time profile and source size of solar radio bursts (e.g. Krupar et al. 2018; Kontar et al. 2019). However, few have used the observed
source size and time profile to determine $\varepsilon$. Those that have are limited to determining the value of $\varepsilon$ in the solar wind at distances $>10R_\odot$. Techniques such as interplanetary scintillations (e.g. Bisoi et al. 2014) and crab nebula occultation (Sasikumar Raja et al. 2016) have also been used to determine $\varepsilon$ at these distances. The general conclusion of these studies is that $\varepsilon$ varies slowly with heliocentric distance and has typical values of $0.001 \leq \varepsilon \leq 0.02$ in the range of $10-45R_\odot$. Despite this, larger values of $\varepsilon$ have been used in models. For example, Reid & Kontar (2010) used a value of $\varepsilon \approx 0.1$ to model electron beam transport, while Kontar et al. (2019) recently used Monte Carlo simulations of scattering to determine that a value of $\varepsilon = 0.8$ is necessary in order to account for source sizes of the order of $20^\circ$, as observed by Kontar et al. (2017). Measured values of $\varepsilon$, particularly at heights of $\sim 2R_\odot$, are not common in the literature, with the exception of a recent study by Krupar et al. (2020). By using observations from the Parker Solar Probe (PSP, Fox et al. 2016), Krupar et al. (2020) calculate a value for $\varepsilon = 0.07$ at a plasma frequency $f_p = 137\ kHz$. They also find that the value of $\varepsilon$ decreases from 0.22 to 0.09 over a height range of $2.4-14R_\odot$. It is clear that a correct interpretation of radio observations provides a means to investigate the level of scattering and density fluctuation in the corona. Advances in radio astronomy over the past 40 years have led to increased sensitivity, temporal resolution, frequency resolution, and resolving power. Modern radio telescopes such as LOFAR, the Murchison Widefield Array (MWA; Lonsdale et al. 2009), and the upcoming Square Kilometre Array (SKA; Dewdney et al. 2009) are capable of observing the predicted spatial and time profiles of Type IIIb bursts. That said, previous studies with LOFAR have tended to use tied-array imaging in this regard (Kontar et al. 2017), which has limited spatial resolution with respect to interferometric imaging.

In this paper, we use LOFAR interferometric observations to determine the observed radio source size and position and how this differs from the expected source properties, which can be estimated from spectroscopy. Directly fitting interferometric visibilities provides us with the opportunity to observe low frequency radio sources at a spatial resolution in excess of what has usually been achieved. We compare our results with those of the tied-array observation from Kontar et al. (2017) and discuss the implication this may have on determining the relative level of density fluctuations in the corona. The remainder of this paper is outlined as follows: An observation of a Type IIIb burst is described in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3, we detail a method of directly fitting interferometric visibilities in order to recreate a sky-brightness distribution and give results of observed source sizes. Section 3 also includes an analysis of a Type IIIb stria. We conclude with a discussion in Sect. 4.

2. Observation

LOFAR is an interferometric array that spans across Europe, observing radio frequencies at 10–240 MHz. An interferometric observation of the Sun, utilising 36 stations (24 core and 12 remote), was performed on 17 October 2015 from 08:00 UTC to 14:00 UTC. During this time, a Type III solar radio burst was recorded at 13:21 UTC. A calibrator source, Virgo A, was observed co-temporally in all sub-bands over the course of the observation.

Figure 1a shows the X-ray flux measured by the Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES) for the duration of the LOFAR observation. A number of C-class flares can be seen in in Fig. 1a, but no significant activity is noticeable at the time of the radio burst, which is indicated by the red vertical lines.

A dynamic spectrum of the burst was recorded in the Low Band Antenna (LBA) band by remote station RS509 and is shown in Fig. 1b. The inset shows a number of striations from 34–35 MHz, and the white cross indicates the time and frequency at which the images described in Sect. 3 are made.

The maximum baseline of the LOFAR observation is 84 km. This provides sub-arcminute resolution across almost all of the observed frequency range, offering an unprecedented level of spatial resolution.

3. Data analysis and results

The source sizes and positions of solar radio bursts in LOFAR data have typically been obtained by the “tied-array imaging mode” (Morosan et al. 2014) whereby a number of beams are tessellated across the Sun and the response in each beam is interpolated to produce an image (e.g. Reid & Kontar 2017; Kontar et al. 2017; Zucca et al. 2018; Morosan et al. 2019). Tied-array imaging has the distinct advantage over interferometric observations in that it retains the $\sim 12\ kHz$ frequency resolution and
∼0.01 s temporal resolution from LOFAR beam-formed observations, but it also contains a significant limitation. Tied-array observations can only be made using the LOFAR core stations as they share a single clock (de Gasperin et al. 2019), which makes it possible to add beam-formed data coherently. This means that the maximum baseline from tied-array observations is approximately 2 km, which corresponds to an angular resolution of ∼17′′ at ∼30 MHz. Furthermore, the effect of interpolation between each tied-array beam on the observed source size has not yet been compared to observations done interferometrically. It is therefore unclear whether previously observed source sizes are in fact due to the underlying source or if they are an effect of the imaging technique. Solar campaigns with LOFAR are now performed with a new mode that allows for simultaneous interferometric and tied-array observations. A detailed comparison of these modes is currently being studied, which should resolve the ambiguity in source sizes determined with tied-array observations (Morosan 2020, priv. comm.).

In order to avoid such limitations of the tied-array mode, here we use interferometric observations from the LOFAR core and remote stations, which offer a longer baseline of 84 km and hence much better spatial resolution. The LOFAR data from this observation were calibrated using the Default Preprocessing Pipeline (DPPP; van Diepen et al. 2018) and a co-temporal observation of Virgo A. This corrects for effects such as antenna band-pass, clock drift, and propagation effects through the ionosphere (de Gasperin et al. 2019). We next describe our technique of directly fitting the LOFAR visibilities to estimate radio source size and position.

To produce an image from interferometric observations, an inverse Fourier transform was performed on the observed visibilities, usually followed by a de-convolution of the array point spread function (PSF) from the resulting “dirty-map” of the sky-brightness distribution. For such a de-convolution, LOFAR uses an implementation of the multi-scale CLEAN algorithm known as WSClean (Offringa et al. 2014). In this procedure, a weighting may be applied to the visibilities to improve the sensitivity to various spatial scales on the radio sky, the most common of which is the Briggs robustness weighting scheme (Briggs 1995). Recreating a radio image in this way can introduce artefacts, depending on the Briggs robustness used, the number of iterations of the algorithm, and a number of other parameters described in more detail in, for example, Högbom (1974), Cornwell (2008), Offringa et al. (2014), Offringa & Smirnov (2017). These artefacts include changes to the source shape and size. Therefore, to avoid ambiguity in the source size, shape, and position due to such imaging algorithms, we directly fitted the measured visibilities, similar to a method used for X-ray observations using the Reuven Ramaty High Energy Solar Spectroscopic Imager (RHESSI; Hurford et al. 2002; Kontar et al. 2010). We describe our method in the following subsections.

3.1. Fitting the visibilities

The \(\nu\) plane is a Fourier space representation of antenna pair positions. Each point in the \(\nu\) plane is sensitive to the emission of a particular angular scale. Due to the timescales over which Type III bursts occur, solar observations are limited to a sparse sample of the \(\nu\) plane, and techniques to increase samples in this plane, such as aperture synthesis, cannot be used. However, the large brightness temperatures of Type III and Type IIIb radio bursts (Reid & Ratcliffe 2014) give rise to a high signal-to-noise ratio, which allows a direct fit of a model to the visibilities. In the following, we assume that the emitting source is a single elliptical Gaussian. This is based on the dynamic spectrum in Fig. 1b, which shows that the Type IIIb burst does not overlap any other bursts and as such is probably the only source in an interferometric image. This assumption leads to the convenient fact that an elliptical Gaussian in real space is observed as another elliptical Gaussian in the \(\nu\) plane. The form of this Gaussian is

\[
V(u,v) = e^{-2\pi i(u x_0 + v y_0)} \frac{I_0}{2\pi} \left( e^{-\frac{\pi^2 u^2}{u^2_0 + v^2_0}} + C \right),
\]

where \(x_0\) and \(y_0\) are the \(x\) and \(y\) coordinates of the source centre in real space, \(\sigma_u\) and \(\sigma_v\) are the standard deviation in the \(x\) and \(y\) directions, and \(C\) is a constant background. Here the visibilities have been rotated to a new coordinate frame with axes \(u'\) and \(v'\). These axes are parallel and perpendicular to the major and minor axes of the Gaussian source such that \(u' = u \cos \theta - v \sin \theta\) and \(v' = u \sin \theta + v \cos \theta\), where \(\theta\) is the angle of the major axis to the \(x\) axis (i.e. the position angle of the Gaussian on the \(uv\) plane).

A non-linear least squares fit was applied to the sample of the visibilities in two stages. First, the source size, maximum intensity, and angle relative to the \(x\) axis were found by fitting the absolute value of the complex visibilities. In order to determine the source location, the phase angle of the data was fitted. Source location in real space determines the fringe separation and orientation in Fourier space. The direct fitting of parameters to \(V(u,v)\) was then used to recreate the sky-brightness distribution or image \(I(x,y)\), which is the inverse Fourier transform of Eq. (1).

Figure 2 shows the fit of the modelled Gaussian to the complex visibilities. Due to the fact that this fit is done in Fourier space, the amplitude and phase of the data and fit are shown in the \(uv\) plane in Figs. 2a and b, respectively. Here, the points are the observed visibilities and the background colour map is the fit. In Fig. 2a, a red ellipse indicates the full width at half maximum (FWHM) height of the fitted Gaussian. The fringes in Fig. 2b show the fit of the source position to the distribution of visibility phases across \(uv\) space. Figure 2c shows the increase in the amplitude of recorded visibilities with the angular scale on the sky that causes this increase. The red curves are where data points would lie for a Gaussian in visibility space, with the FWHM in the major and minor directions obtained from the fit in Fig. 2a.

The visibility fit reveals a source with FWHMs in real space of 18.8′ ± 0.1′ and 10.2′ ± 0.1′ in the direction of the major and minor axes, respectively. The source is found at a position of \(-1312^\circ, -1064^\circ\) from the solar centre, giving a plane of sky distance of 1.75 \(R_S\). The parameters from the fit can then be used to recreate a sky-brightness distribution \(I(x,y)\) in real space, which is shown as contours over-plotted on a 171 Å image taken by the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA; Lemen et al. 2012) in Fig. 3. We note that despite the theoretical high angular resolution of the long baselines afforded by LOFAR remote stations (84 km), the source size is still large and we see little evidence of angular scales smaller than ∼10′ in Fig. 2c. We will discuss this further in Sect. 4.

3.2. Type IIIb striae

In the above section we determined the source size and position using a direct modelling of LOFAR visibility observations. This provides us with an opportunity to compare the observed source size to its actual size, which can be estimated from spectroscopic observations, similar to the method in Kontar et al. (2017).
Fig. 2. Results of directly fitting the LOFAR visibilities. a: amplitudes of visibilities in the \(uv\) plane for the LOFAR observation. The background colour map shows a Gaussian fit. The red ellipse shows the FWHM of the normalised amplitude. b: visibility phase in the \(uv\) plane. The background colour map shows the fitted phase angle. c: visibility amplitudes received from different angular scales. The red curves indicate the FWHM of the semi-major and semi-minor axes of the fitted Gaussian.

Fig. 3. Recreated sky intensity profile of a Type IIIb radio burst occurring at 13:21:46 on 17 October 2015. The background solar image is an AIA 171 Å image from 17 October 2015 at 13:21:46 UTC.

To estimate the source size from spectroscopic measurements, we related the FWHM of the frequency of the striation to its vertical extent in the solar corona \(\Delta r \sim 2L(\Delta f/f)\), where \(L\) is the characteristic density scale height (Kontar et al. 2017). A single striation was manually identified at 34.76 MHz from the dynamic spectrum. The time of maximum intensity for the burst was found, and a vertical frequency slice was obtained, from which \(\Delta f/f\) was calculated. The individual striation, the centre of which is indicated by a white cross in the inset panel of Fig. 1b, was fit with a Gaussian. The value for \(\Delta f\) of the striation was found from the FWHM of the fitted peak to be \(\Delta f \sim 0.2\) MHz. The frequency-to-bandwidth ratio for the striation was found to be \(\Delta f/f = 0.006\), leading to an estimated source size of \(3.18''\). Similar to Kontar et al. (2017), this is far smaller than the source size observed from the visibility fit.

In the following section we will discuss why the most probable cause of the discrepancy in source size is radio scattering. We will also provide a discussion on the comparison of this observation to recent developments in the theory as well as the effect that scattering has had on actual source size and position.

4. Discussion

Adopting the theory described by Takakura & Yousef (1975) and used in Kontar et al. (2017), the predicted source sizes of a Type IIIb striation are much smaller than what is observed. The most probable cause of this discrepancy is a combination of radio light scattering in the solar corona, propagation effects in the Earth’s ionosphere, and limitations due to angular resolution. With this observation, we accounted for and corrected ionospheric effects in the calibration step (Sect. 3 and de Gasperin et al. 2019), thereby removing the largest uncertainty in source size and position. By fitting the source size directly in visibility space, we can directly see the power at which different angular scales were observed. The \(uv\) coverage of this observation allows angular scales of \(\sim 42''\) to be observed (Fig. 2c); although this is
larger than the predicted source size of ~3′′, the amplitude of the observed visibilities does not increase until ~10′, indicating that it is, in fact, the smallest source size observed in the visibilities.

It should be noted that there is better uv coverage along one axis compared to its orthogonal, which may have an effect on the eccentricity of the elliptical Gaussian fit. However, owing to the qualitatively similar shape as predicted by Kontar et al. (2019) for a burst originating near the solar limb, we are confident that the eccentricity is representative of the real source. The orientation and elongation of the source are also consistent with observations of anisotropic scattering in the solar wind (Anantharamaiah et al. 1994; Ingale et al. 2015), where scattered sources are elongated perpendicular to the large-scale (radial) magnetic field of the Sun.

Having accounted for all systematic effects that can affect the source size, we conclude that the large source sizes observed in this observation are due to the effect of scattering in the solar corona only. Previous tied-array observations have led to similar tied-array images, however, tied-array observations are delayed data from a tessellation of beams across the Sun, and this introduces an ambiguity to the origin and size of sources. We assume the origin of the radio source to be somehow above the active regions close to the east limb. An exploratory potential free source surface (PFSS) extrapolation suggests open field line sources are elongated perpendicular to the major axis compared to its orthogonal, which may have an eccentricity of the local plasma density, which may give insight into the turbulent nature of coronal plasma. It is theorised that the size of low frequency radio emission is limited by the scattering caused by turbulence (Bastian 1994); however, the angular resolution necessary to challenge this theory has only recently become available. In particular, a robust comparison of sources observed with tied-array and interferometric imaging is needed.

Analytical approximations of radio scattering (e.g. Chrysaphi et al. 2018; Gordovskyy et al. 2019; Sharma & Oberoi 2020) have had some success in accounting for the apparent source shift and brightness temperature due to scattering; however, they cannot account for the anisotropic nature of scattering and may not be appropriate to describe large angle scattering near the source location. As such, a full numerical treatment of scattering from a radio burst source location. As such, a full numerical treatment of scattering from electron density fluctuations determined from numerical models and compared to tied-array observations may be overestimated. In the future, a combination of remote observations from LOFAR and in situ measurements of plasma properties from the PSP and Solar Orbiter (Müller & Marsden 2013; Müller et al. 2020) at a variety of heliocentric distances in the corona and solar wind will be needed to form a more complete picture of coronal turbulence.

5. Conclusion

In summary, a Type IIIb radio burst was observed with LOFAR on 17 October 2015 at approximately 13:21:00 UTC. The bandwidth of an individual striation at 34.76 MHz suggests an FWHM source size of 3.18′′. Directly fitting visibilities to avoid effects of de-convolution algorithms reveals FWHM source sizes in the major and minor axes of 18.8′ ± 0.1′ and 10.2′ ± 0.1′, respectively. The source is located at ~1312.1°, ~1064′′ from the solar centre. Having corrected for radio wave propagation in the ionosphere, we conclude that scattering from electron density fluctuations in the solar corona is the main cause of source broadening. We discuss how values for the rms relative electron density fluctuations determined from numerical models and compared to tied-array observations may be overestimated. In the future, a combination of remote observations from LOFAR and in situ measurements of plasma properties from the PSP and Solar Orbiter (Müller & Marsden 2013; Müller et al. 2020) at a variety of heliocentric distances in the corona and solar wind will be needed to form a more complete picture of coronal turbulence.
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