Link between radio-loud AGNs and host-galaxy shape

X. C. Zheng¹ (郑学琛), H. J. A. Röttgering¹, P. N. Best², A. van der Wel^{3,4}, M. J. Hardcastle⁵, W. L. Williams¹,
M. Bonato^{6,7}, I. Prandoni⁸, D. J. B. Smith³, and S. K. Leslie¹

¹ Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, 2300 Leiden, RA, The Netherlands
e-mail: zheng@strw.leidenuniv.nl

² SUPA, Institute for Astronomy, Royal Observatory, Blackford Hill, Edinburgh EH9 3HJ, UK

³ Sterrenkundig Observatorium, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

⁴ Max-Planck Institut für Astronomie, Königstuhl 17, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

⁵ Centre for Astrophysics Research, University of Hertfordshire, College Lane, Hatfield AL10 9AB, UK

⁶ INAF – Istituto di Radioastronomia and Italian ALMA Regional Centre, Via Gobetti 101, 40129 Bologna, Italy

⁷ INAF – Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, Vicolo dell'Osservatorio 5, 35122 Padova, Italy

⁸ INAF – Istituto di Radioastronomia, Via P. Gobetti 101, 40129 Bologna, Italy

Received 12 June 2020 / Accepted 8 October 2020

ABSTRACT

The morphology of quiescent galaxies has been found to be correlated with the activity of their central super massive black hole. In this work, we use data from the first data release of the LOFAR Two-Metre Sky Survey (LoTSS DR1) and the Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 7 (SDSS DR7) to select more than 15 000 quiescent galaxies at $z < 0.3$ to investigate the connection between radio-loud active galactic nuclei (RLAGNs) and the morphology of their host galaxy. Taking advantage of the depth of LoTSS, we find that the fraction of RLAGNs with $L_{150\text{MHz}} > 10^{21} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$ at fixed stellar mass, velocity dispersion, or surface mass density does not depend on the galaxy projected axis ratio (q). However, the high-power ($L_{150\text{MHz}} > 10^{23} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$) RLAGNs are more likely to be found in massive, round galaxies, while the low- and intermediate-power ($L_{150\text{MHz}} \leq 10^{23} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$) RLAGNs have similar distributions of q to non-RLAGN galaxies. We argue that our results support the picture that high-power RLAGNs are more easily triggered in galaxies with a merger-rich history, while low-power RLAGNs can be triggered in galaxies growing mainly via secular processes. Our work also supports the idea that the low-luminosity RLAGN may be sufficient for maintenance-mode feedback in low-mass quiescent galaxies with disc-like morphology, which is based on a simple extrapolation from the observed energy balance between cooling and RLAGN-induced cavities in massive clusters. We find no significant difference between the q distributions of RLAGNs likely to be found in clusters and those likely not found in clusters after controlling the radio luminosity and stellar mass of the two samples, indicating that the environment does not significantly influence the morphology–RLAGN correlation.

Key words. galaxies: active – Galaxy: fundamental parameters – galaxies: statistics – galaxies: structure

1. Introduction

It is well established that there is a super massive black hole (SMBH) in the centre of almost all massive galaxies (Magorrian et al. 1998; Kormendy et al. 2004; Ho 2008) and strong correlations are found between the properties of the SMBHs and their hosts (e.g. Gebhardt et al. 2000; Greene & Ho 2006), suggesting that the formation of galaxies is connected to the central SMBH activity.

Active central SMBHs, that is, active galactic nuclei (AGNs), emit huge amounts of energy in the form of either electromagnetic radiation or powerful jets from accretion. Different Eddington-scaled accretion rates and the relative importance of the two kinds of output divide the AGNs into two categories: radiative- and jet-mode AGNs (Antonucci 2012; Best & Heckman 2012; Heckman & Best 2014). The radiative-mode AGNs are generally less massive black holes with higher accretion rates and are usually found in star-forming (SF) galaxies, while the jet-mode AGNs are more likely to be in the centres of more massive, early-type galaxies. The two types are associated with high-excitation radio galaxies (HERGs) and low-excitation radio galaxies (LERGs) (Hardcastle et al. 2007). Their powerful outflows and jets are believed to heat the interstellar material and intercluster medium and prevent further

star forming in their host galaxies (McNamara & Nulsen 2007; Fabian 2012; Heckman & Best 2014).

This AGN dichotomy is supported by studies based on large-scale radio surveys such as the NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS; Condon et al. 1998), Faint Images of the Radio Sky at Twenty centimetres (FIRST; Becker et al. 1995), and LoTSS (Shimwell et al. 2017), in combination with some large-scale spectroscopic surveys, such as for example the Two-degree Field Galaxy Redshift Survey (2dFGRS; Colless et al. 2001), the SDSS (York et al. 2000). The local luminosity function of RLAGNs has been accurately measured in previous works such as Sadler et al. (2002), Best et al. (2005a), Hardcastle et al. (2016) and Sabater et al. (2019, hereafter S19). Best et al. (2005b) found the incident rate of RLAGNs in massive galaxies strongly depends on the stellar mass and black hole mass. More remarkably, using LoTSS and SDSS data, S19 confirmed that the massive galaxies (i.e. $M_* > 10^{11} M_\odot$) are always switched on with $L_{150\text{MHz}} \geq 10^{21} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$. Using these results, the average heating rate due to recurrent jet activity can be estimated and compared to the radiative cooling rate of hot gas in the halos derived from X-ray observations (Best et al. 2006; McNamara & Nulsen 2007; Hardcastle 2018). It is then concluded that AGN radio jet power can supply enough energy to

prevent gas cooling from the halos and quench star formation, thereby keeping galaxies “red and dead”.

Despite plenty of evidence showing coevolution between AGNs and host galaxies, many details related to the build-up of the connection of SMBHs and galaxies are not yet clear. In particular, the basic question of how an RLAGN is triggered is still under investigation. A commonly accepted idea is that black hole spin plays an important role (Wilson & Colbert 1995; Hughes & Blandford 2003; Fanidakis et al. 2011). The Blandford–Znajek (B–Z) mechanism (Blandford & Znajek 1977), the most popular analytical jet-launching model, describes how a rotating black hole in a strong magnetic field converts the rotation energy to produce a relativistic jet. The next part of the question relates to how a SMBH is spun up. Such spinning up can be achieved by either major mergers of two massive black holes or a series of accretion events induced by minor mergers. The two different spin-up paths are thought to be responsible for the SMBH spin in the most massive elliptical galaxies and in the less massive discy ones, respectively (Sikora et al. 2007; Fanidakis et al. 2011). Therefore, it is important to study the correlation between RLAGNs and the morphology of their hosts.

The correlation between RLAGNs and host morphology has been studied by many authors since the 1970s. Observation of local powerful radio sources reveals that they are predominantly hosted by massive elliptical galaxies (e.g. Condon & Dressell 1978; Balick & Heckman 1982; Smith et al. 1986; Dunlop et al. 2003; Best et al. 2005b). Recently, Barišić et al. (2019, hereafter B19,) studied the host-galaxy shape of the RLAGNs in the NVSS (Condon et al. 1998) 1.4 GHz survey and the FIRST (Becker et al. 1995) 1.4 GHz survey with SDSS data, and confirmed with a large early-type galaxy sample that the incidence rate of RLAGNs with $L_{1.4\text{ GHz}} > 10^{23} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$ increases with the optical axis ratio of the host galaxy at fixed stellar mass and velocity dispersion. These studies focusing on the massive and luminous radio sources imply that powerful radio jets tend to be produced in galaxies with a merger-rich history.

However, are the low- and intermediate-power radio sources also triggered by mergers? In contrast to the powerful radio galaxies, a large part of low- and intermediate-power sources are late-type and/or show no merger signatures from high-resolution optical observations (Sadler et al. 2014; Tadhunter 2016; Pierce et al. 2019). A disc component can often be seen in their optical images via two-dimensional decomposition (Tadhunter 2016; Wang et al. 2016, 2019). It has therefore been suggested that these less powerful radio sources are more likely to be associated with secular processes (e.g. disc instabilities or bar-related processes) instead of mergers.

In this work, we extend the work of B19 making use of the high-quality LOFAR data to study the morphology–radio power relation in a large sample with a low radio power limit to see whether or not there is any difference between the triggering of low- and high-power RLAGNs. The article is structured as follows. Section 2 describes our data and sample selection. In Sect. 3, we show how we deal with possible biases and analyse the connection between RLAGN fraction and galaxy projected axis ratio. A discussion of the physical implications is presented in Sect. 4. Lastly, we provide a summary of our results in Sect. 5. A standard cosmology with $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$ is used throughout the paper.

2. Data and sample selection

Our radio sample is based on LoTSS (Shimwell et al. 2017), a high-resolution low-frequency (120–168 MHz) survey aiming

to cover the whole northern hemisphere. The first data release of LoTSS (LoTSS DR1; Shimwell et al. 2019) contains over 300 000 sources with $S/N > 5$, covering a region of 424 square degrees centred on the *Hobby-Eberly Telescope Dark Energy Experiment* (HETDEX; Hill et al. 2008) Spring Field. With a median rms of $S_{144\text{ MHz}} = 71 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$, the survey achieves a point-source completeness of 90% at a flux density of 0.45 mJy. The 6 arcsec angular resolution and 0.2 arcsec positional accuracy allows for reliable optical and/or infrared (IR) counterparts. Williams et al. (2019) performed careful source associations and optical and IR identification for the LoTSS radio sources with data from the Panoramic Survey Telescope and Rapid Response System (Pan-STARRS; Kaiser et al. 2002) and the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE; Wright et al. 2010) surveys. As a result, 73% of the DR1 radio sources have a reliable optical and/or mid-infrared (MIR) counterpart listed in the released value-added catalogue online¹.

In a deep radio survey, the synchrotron emission from shocks due to supernovae in SF galaxies instead of central black hole activities can cause severe contamination in the final RLAGN sample. To study the RLAGNs in the LoTSS sample, S19 cross-matched LoTSS DR1 and the SDSS DR7 main galaxy sample (Strauss et al. 2002) and carefully separated RLAGNs from SF galaxies based on radio luminosity, WISE colours, and spectroscopic information such as emission line luminosity and D_{4000} from SDSS, in particular the MPA-JHU value-added catalogue (Brinchmann et al. 2004). SDSS DR7 provides 33 324 sources for detailed photometric data, including flux, axis ratio, and radii in *ugriz* bands. Of the 33 324 galaxies, 10 564 have a radio counterpart with a reliable classification. In addition, we make use of the SED fitting results from Chang et al. (2015), who combined SDSS and WISE photometry to make a comprehensive catalogue for galaxies in SDSS. This catalogue provides useful information such as stellar mass and star-formation rate for 32 663 galaxies and 10 357 radio sources.

For simplicity, apart from the SF/AGN separation from S19, we also constrain our analysis to only the colour-based quiescent galaxies defined by the Chang et al. (2015) rest-frame colour–colour criteria: $u - r > 1.6 \times (r - z) + 1.1$. Moreover, we also restrict our analysis to galaxies above the SDSS DR7 mass completeness limit, $\log(M_{\text{limit}}/M_\odot) = 10.6 + 2.28 \log(z/0.1)$ (Chang et al. 2015). This limit is also adopted to avoid biasing results by some low-mass high-luminosity sources. The final sample in our following analysis therefore contains 15 934 colour-based quiescent galaxies, of which 3661 galaxies are associated with a radio source and 1912 galaxies are hosts of RLAGNs with $L_{150\text{ MHz}} \geq 10^{21} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$. It should be noted that although the colour–colour criterion rules out the selection of non-quiescent galaxies, which are more likely to have a disc-like morphology, only 38 of the non-quiescent galaxies with RLAGN are above the mass limit. Therefore, the colour–colour criteria is not likely to significantly influence the morphology distribution of the final sample.

We present the stellar mass distribution of the galaxies and the radio luminosity distribution of the RLAGNs and SF galaxies in Fig. 1. Similar to S19, all the sources in our sample are within redshift 0.01–0.3. Within this redshift range, we confirm that the axis ratio distributions of galaxies at fixed M_* do not show significant variation with redshift (see Appendix A), and therefore the bias of axis ratio measurement due to the small angular sizes of the galaxies at high redshifts and the evolution of morphology distribution of galaxies are not significant in this

¹ <http://www.lofar-surveys.org>

Fig. 1. *Left:* stellar mass vs. redshift for the galaxies sample. The black circles are galaxies in our final sample, while the RL AGN hosts are denoted with red points. The grey circles are galaxies outside the mass completeness limit. Radio-loud AGNs in this part are not used in the analysis. The histogram shows the distribution of the final galaxy sample. *Right:* radio luminosity vs. redshift for the radio sources in the final sample. The red stars denote RLAGNs while the blue circles are SF galaxies from radio analysis in S19. The histogram on the right represents the $L_{150\text{MHz}}$ distribution of the RLAGNs in the final sample.

work. According to the SED fitting, the stellar masses within our sample range from $10^8 M_\odot$ to $10^{12} M_\odot$. The stellar masses are based on results from Chang et al. (2015) if available; otherwise a MPA-JHU catalogue result is adopted.

We also make use of the results from Croston et al. (2019) to investigate the environment of our sample. Croston et al. (2019) matched the RLAGNs in LoTSS DR1 with two SDSS cluster catalogues (Wen et al. 2012; Rykoff et al. 2014) and calculated the cluster association probability for each RLAGN with $0.08 < z < 0.4$. There are 1606 RLAGNs in our sample with environment information in the Croston et al. (2019) catalogue. Of these, 460 have a high probability ($>80\%$) of being associated with a cluster, while 1138 have a probability of less than 50%.

3. Data analysis

3.1. RLAGN fraction versus axis ratio

Firstly, we would like to see how the radio-loud fraction (F_{RLAGN}) changes with projected axis ratio. The strong stellar mass dependence of both galaxy morphology and the F_{RLAGN} can be an important source of bias. More massive galaxy samples have a larger fraction of elliptical galaxies, which have a greater chance of appearing “round”, and therefore we would expect to see a larger fraction of round galaxies in a more massive sample.

The F_{RLAGN} is also found to be strongly correlated with stellar mass (e.g. S19). Therefore, it would not be surprising to find that the F_{RLAGN} increases with projected axis ratio q if the stellar mass is not taken into account.

To disentangle the $F_{\text{RLAGN}}-q$ correlation from the possible bias caused by the stellar mass, both the AGN and galaxy sample are grouped based on the stellar mass and q in the r band. The grouping results are shown in the heat map in the top left panel of Fig. 2. We find that massive galaxies have a higher chance of hosting a RLAGN, which is in line with previous research (e.g. Heckman & Best 2014; Barišić et al. 2019; Sabater et al. 2019). However, there is no significant monotonic trend of RLAGN fraction along the q axis, which is in stark contrast with the

increasing trend shown in B19 using only the RLAGN with $L_{1.4\text{GHz}} > 10^{23} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$.

Because the LoTSS DR1 is not a volume-limited sample, the ratio of the numbers of RLAGNs and galaxies may not be an accurate indicator of the RLAGN fraction. Here we use the definition in Janssen et al. (2012) and Williams et al. (2018):

$$F_{\text{RLAGN}}^{M_*,q} = \left(\sum_{i \in R_{M_*,q}} \frac{1}{V_i} \right) / \left(\sum_{j \in G_{M_*,q}} \frac{1}{V_j} \right), \quad (1)$$

where R and G are the RLAGNs and galaxies in a given group, V is the maximum accessible volume of a given source and is determined by the optical flux limit of SDSS main galaxy sample ($14.5 < r < 17.77$, Strauss et al. 2002) and the sky area distribution of noise in LoTSS DR1 (see Fig. 12 in Shimwell et al. 2019), as well as the redshift constraints in this work.

We plot the F_{RLAGN} as a function of q in the right panel of Fig. 2, where the galaxies are also divided into groups according to stellar mass. None of the galaxy groups show a prominent increasing trend. For the highest-mass groups ($\log(M_*/M_\odot) > 11.5$), the F_{RLAGN} is almost constant, which is not surprising as S19 found that the most massive galaxies are always switched on in LoTSS at 150MHz. However, the flat trend can also be seen in the lower mass groups. This means that the triggering probability of a radio jet at fixed M_* is independent of the galaxy axis ratio. There is also a hint of a lowest point at $q \approx 0.5$ in the lowest mass group but this is not statistically significant.

As well as grouping the sources according to stellar mass, we also group the sources based on stellar velocity dispersion σ_* ², and the ratio of stellar mass and galaxy size M_*/R_{90} , which is also an indicator of velocity dispersion but is less affected by ordered rotational velocity. These two parameters represent the central black hole mass according to the well-known $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma_*$ relation (see Kormendy & Ho 2013, for a review). The results are shown in the middle and bottom panels of Fig. 2.

The $F_{\text{RLAGN}}-q$ relation in most of these groups exhibits similar features to that of the low-mass groups. None of the groups

² Sources with $\sigma_* < 60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ are not considered because the σ_* measurements are not reliable.

Fig. 2. *Top left:* numbers of RLAGNs (top numbers) and galaxies (bottom numbers) in different groups of projected axis ratio in r band and M_* . *Top right:* fraction of RLAGNs as a function of host galaxy projected axis ratio in different M_* ranges. Results for different M_* groups are colour coded. The ranges of M_* are shown in the legend. The KDE-based (see text) results are displayed in dashed lines. The shaded areas represent the $1-\sigma$ errors of the KDE results derived from 500 bootstraps. *Middle:* similar to the top two panels but grouped based on the stellar velocity dispersion σ_* provided in the MPA-JHU catalogue (Brinchmann et al. 2004). The results for the two largest σ_* groups are not shown in the *right panel* because the results are affected by the noticeable larger M_* at larger q in these groups. *Bottom:* similar to the top two panels but grouped based on M_*/R_{90} . The results for the largest and smallest M_*/R_{90} groups are not shown because of the possible bias and the small number of RLAGNs.

Fig. 3. Normalized distribution of RLAGN at fixed M_* in the $L_{150\text{MHz}}-q$ plane. Kernel-density estimation was performed to reduce the error from binning. The colour of each cell represents the source number relative to the maximum source number cell at fixed q .

display a strong correlation between F_{RLAGN} and q . Only the group with $M_*/R_{90} \in [10, 10.25]$ shows a weak increasing trend. It should be noted that we do not plot all groups in the right panels. On the one hand, the groups with the largest σ_* or M_*/R_{90} have a noticeably higher average M_* in rounder samples, which could lead to significant bias. On the other hand, the smallest M_*/R_{90} group is too small to lead to statistically significant results because of the lack of RLAGNs.

We notice that there are some fluctuations in the $F_{\text{RLAGN}}-q$ relation especially in the lowest M_* ($\sigma_*, M_*/R_{90}$) groups. This is possibly the result of random binning effects, and therefore we also perform a kernel-density estimation (KDE) to reduce errors caused by binning. We estimate the q distributions of both RLAGN hosts and galaxies in each M_* ($\sigma_*, M_*/R_{90}$) group with Gaussian kernels using the method `gaussian_kde` in `scipy.stats` (Virtanen et al. 2019) with a rule-of-thumb bandwidth estimator based on Scott’s rule (Scott 1992). We thus obtain q -smoothed $F_{\text{RLAGN}}-q$ correlations as the dashed lines in Fig. 2. The errors of the KDE results are estimated based on 500 bootstraps, as shown in the shaded areas in Fig. 2. The overall trends in all groups are consistent with the binning results but show weaker fluctuations, indicating that these fluctuations are due to random binning effects in small samples.

In conclusion, the F_{RLAGN} at fixed M_* has only weak or no dependence on the galaxy axis ratio. The highly elongated galaxies in our sample have a higher F_{RLAGN} than the sample in B19. This is likely due to the lower luminosity limit in our work, which is discussed in the following sections.

3.2. Radio power dependence versus axis ratio

The great difference in the $F_{\text{RLAGN}}-q$ relation between our results and those of B19 implies that the shape of RLAGN hosts could be radio-power dependent. To see how the shape of RLAGN hosts changes with radio power, we show the normalized RLAGN distribution at fixed M_* in the $L_{150\text{MHz}}-q$ plane in Fig. 3. The value of each cell represents the number of RLAGNs with given radio luminosity and q normalized to the number of RLAGNs in the most populated cell at given q , that is, the value of the cells in the darkest blue are always 1 and they mark the

$L_{150\text{MHz}}$ position of the source density peak at fixed q . This normalisation is used to enhance the visualisation at very small and high q , where there are relatively small numbers of sources compared with the central part.

Figure 3 shows that at low M_* ($<10^{11} M_\odot$), RLAGNs with different q have similar $L_{150\text{MHz}}$ distribution, and that at high M_* , only round ($q > 0.6$) RLAGNs can reach a high radio power, which means that the radio power distribution of RLAGNs depends on the galaxy morphology. Therefore, if we only inspect the RLAGNs brighter than $L_{1.4\text{GHz}} = 10^{23} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$ (equivalent to $L_{150\text{MHz}} = 10^{23.68} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$ assuming a radio spectral index of 0.7) as in B19, we can only find RLAGNs with a large axis ratio, which is the reason for the difference in conclusions between our work and that of B19.

It is also important to investigate the difference between the shape of normal galaxies and RLAGNs with different radio power. To avoid the bias from M_* affecting our comparison, we construct mass-controlled samples based on the distribution of masses of RLAGN hosts.

Firstly, we divide the whole RLAGN sample into three subsamples with different radio luminosity ranges ($20 \leq \log L_{150\text{MHz}} < 22.3$, $22.3 \leq \log L_{150\text{MHz}} < 23$, $23 \leq \log L_{150\text{MHz}} < 26$). The three subsamples represent the low-, intermediate-, and high-radio power sources in the following analysis. Secondly, we obtain the M_* distributions of the RLAGN hosts by counting the numbers of galaxies in eight logarithmic equidistant bins ranging from 10^{10} to $10^{12} M_\odot$ for each subsample, in which each mass bin contains between 0 and 400 RLAGNs. The normal galaxies, defined as galaxies not hosting an RLAGN, are also grouped in the same M_* bins and the number of galaxies in each bin ranges from 75 to 4000. Once the RLAGN distribution is known, we randomly select 3000 (about three times the number of the largest RLAGN subsample to reduce random errors) normal galaxies but with the same M_* distribution as the RLAGN sample³. These sources then become the M_* -controlled sample of the associated RLAGN subsample. In this way, we remove the M_* bias, allowing us to make a direct comparison between the shape of

³ There may not be enough normal galaxies within the highest M_* bins, and therefore some galaxies can be selected more than once.

Fig. 4. Normalized q distributions of RLAGN hosts with different radio power and their control sample. *From top to bottom:* q distributions of RLAGN hosts and M_* , σ_* - and M_*/R_{90} -controlled sample. *From left to right:* q distributions of low-, intermediate-, and high-power RLAGN hosts and their control sample. The orange histograms show the distribution of “normal” galaxies, while the blue hatched histograms show the distribution of the RLAGN hosts. The p -values from K–S tests are listed in the panels. All the histograms are normalized for comparison.

RLAGN hosts and normal galaxies. Moreover, following the same procedures, we also construct σ_* - and M_*/R_{90} -controlled samples for comparison. The normalized q distributions of the RLAGN and control sample are all shown in Fig. 4.

First of all, it is apparent from Fig. 4 that high-power RLAGN samples have a larger fraction of round galaxies than the control samples. We perform a Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K–S) test on all groups to measure the significance of the difference. In all the high-power groups, the null hypothesis that the two samples are drawn from the same distribution can be rejected with p -values all smaller than 1×10^{-4} . Therefore, high-power RLAGN hosts do tend to appear rounder than the “normal” galaxies. This is in line with what has been revealed in previous studies, namely

that very few powerful RLAGNs are found in geometrically flat early-type galaxies.

Interestingly, in low- and intermediate-power RLAGN samples, no significant excess of round galaxies is found. The distributions of the RLAGN samples appear similar to their control sample. The K–S tests show that only the intermediate-power M_*/R_{90} -controlled group shows a significant difference between the RLAGN sample and normal galaxies, while in the other groups the null hypothesis cannot be rejected (p -value $> 5\%$). Moreover, although the intermediate-power M_*/R_{90} -controlled group shows significant distinction, the excess in the fraction of round sources for RLAGNs is not as large as in the high-power groups. Apparently, low- and intermediate-power RLAGNs do

Fig. 5. *Top:* triaxial source fractions as a function of M_* , σ_* , or M_*/R_{90} . The red crosses stand for the T-type fraction of the RLAGN sample and the black crosses are for the whole galaxy sample. *Middle:* radio-loud fraction of T/O-type sources as a function of M_* , σ_* , or M_*/R_{90} . Black points represent the whole sample, while the red(blue) rectangles are for the T(O)-type sample. Arrows mean that the corresponding points are upper limits. The horizontal error bars denote the bin sizes. The positions of the T(O)-type results are slightly shifted rightwards for clarity. *Bottom:* detailed decomposition results shown as bar charts. The red(blue) bars are the estimated numbers of the T(O)-type galaxies in different groups. The RLAGN results are overlaid as shaded areas on the galaxy results. Low- and high-power RLAGNs are marked with different hatching.

not appear to have a rounder morphology on average than normal galaxies.

In conclusion, while F_{RLAGN} does not strongly depend on q , the resultant radio luminosity distribution does. While high-power RLAGNs are more likely to be visually round, the low- and intermediate-power RLAGNs have a similar q distribution to the normal galaxies.

3.3. T/O decomposition

Emsellem et al. (2011) and Chang et al. (2013) have demonstrated that the q distribution of early-type galaxies can be described by a composition of two distinct populations of galaxies, triaxial (T) and oblate (O) types. In such an analysis, it is assumed that the three-dimensional light profile of the entire galaxy can be approximated by an oblate shape in spite of the detailed structure (e.g. bulge and disc component). The T-type galaxies have a higher chance of showing a round shape along the line of sight, while the O-type sources have a wide distribution of q . B19 applied the decomposition results from Chang et al. (2013) and concluded that most of the RLAGN hosts with $L_{1.4\text{GHz}} > 10^{23} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$ are T-type galaxies. In this section, we follow their methodology and perform the same decomposition to investigate the T/O populations in our sample.

We first construct a simulated T/O sample containing 10000 sources with a distribution of the morphology parameters (e.g. triaxiality, axis ratio, and their dispersion) given by Chang et al. (2013). Randomly selected viewing angles are then assumed to calculate the expected normalized q distribution for T- and O-type galaxies. These two model distributions are linearly combined with different weights to match the q distribution of RLAGN hosts and galaxies in different M_* (σ_* , M_*/R_{90}) groups. In this way we are able to estimate the fractions of T/O-type sources with the best-fit weights in each subsample and the RLAGN fractions of each population⁴. It should be noted that the morphology parameters given in Chang et al. (2013) may not be a perfect model to fit the q distribution of RLAGNs if RLAGNs have different sets of morphology parameter distribution intrinsically, but it gives a good indication of the relative importance of T- and O-type sources in each subsample and allows us to compare our results with those of B19. The results are presented in the top panels of Fig. 5.

First, we can see the T-type fraction strongly correlates with M_* for both the RLAGN and galaxy samples. In particular, the

⁴ Because it is not possible to locate the sources in each category, we cannot calculate the RLAGN fractions with Eq. (1) but the ratios of the expected numbers of RLAGNs and galaxies in a given bin. Nevertheless, we compared the RLAGN fractions based on the two methods and find that this will not affect the results significantly in this work.

Fig. 6. *Left:* distribution of RLAGNs with or without an associated cluster in $L_{150\text{ MHz}}-M_*$ space. Red contours denote the distribution of in-cluster RLAGNs, while the blue contours denote the not-in-cluster RLAGNs (defined in Sect. 3.4). The grey lines (“Box 1”) and black dashed lines (“Box 2”) mark the $L_{150\text{ MHz}}$ and M_* constraints and bins used in the control sample analysis in Sect. 3.4. The grey lines are for the low-power sample, while the black dashed lines are for the high-power sample. *Middle and right:* example of the q distributions of in-cluster RLAGNs (red shaded histograms) and the not-in-cluster control sample (blue histograms). The null hypothesis p -value from a K–S test (median value from 1000 tests) is noted.

T-type fraction reaches 100% for galaxies with $M_* > 10^{11.5} M_\odot$. Similar trends can also be seen in the σ_* and M_*/R_{90} grouping results. Furthermore, RLAGNs have a larger T-type fraction than the whole galaxy sample in almost all groups. These findings are consistent with a massive elliptical galaxy having a higher chance of being a radio-loud source (e.g. Matthews et al. 1964; Wilson & Colbert 1995) than a disc-like galaxy.

Second, both T- and O-type galaxies have an increasing F_{RLAGN} with M_* . Most importantly, although the F_{RLAGN} of T-type sources is larger than that of O-type sources, the two F_{RLAGN} are close to each other, especially in the low- M_* part. This result is different from that of B19, where O-type galaxies have only a negligible F_{RLAGN} compared with T-type sources. Considering that the main difference between our sample and that of B19 is the radio power, we suggest that this is the main reason for the discrepancy.

To further investigate the importance of radio power, we divide the RLAGN sample into two subsamples according to whether a source $L_{150\text{ MHz}}$ is larger or smaller than $10^{23} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$. We then perform similar T/O decomposition to the two subsamples to estimate the number of low- and high-power RLAGNs in T/O-type galaxies. The estimated numbers of different kinds of sources are presented in the bottom panels of Fig. 5.

As a result, we can see that while the RLAGNs in the T-type sample contain a considerable amount of both low- and high-power sources, those in the O-type sample are nearly all low-power AGNs. This proves that low-power RLAGNs are the reason for the high F_{RLAGN} of O-type galaxies.

3.4. Environment

Environment also plays an important role in determining the properties of a galaxy. On the one hand, RLAGNs are found to have a higher prevalence in cluster environments (Best et al. 2005b; Tasse et al. 2008; Sabater et al. 2013). This tendency cannot be explained simply by the higher stellar mass of galaxies in more massive halos. Best et al. (2005b) indicated that

this clustering trend also depends on the emission-line luminosity. Donoso et al. (2010) and Croston et al. (2019) also found a strong correlation between clustering strength and radio power. On the other hand, Cappellari et al. (2011a) showed that early-type galaxies with different stellar kinematic features, which are linked to galaxy morphology, have different correlations with the environment density. In this case, the RLAGN–environment relation and the morphology–environment relation may result in a correlation between RLAGNs and galaxy morphology.

To study the role of environment in the RLAGN–morphology relation, we compared the shapes of 460 “in-cluster” RLAGNs and 1138 “not-in-cluster” RLAGNs using the catalogue of Croston et al. (2019). It should be noted that as Croston et al. (2019) made use of two cluster catalogues (Wen et al. 2012; Rykoff et al. 2014), we define the in-cluster RLAGNs as sources with a cluster association probability of larger than 80% in either of the two catalogues and the not-in-cluster RLAGNs as the sources with a cluster association probability of less than 50% in both catalogues. The distribution of these two samples in $L_{150\text{ MHz}}-M_*$ space is presented in the left panel of Fig. 6.

According to our comparison, the in-cluster RLAGNs are brighter and more massive than the not-in-cluster sample, which is consistent with the finding by Croston et al. (2019). Since the galaxy shape strongly depends on $L_{150\text{ MHz}}$ and M_* , we cannot compare the shapes of the in-cluster and not-in-cluster RLAGNs directly. Instead, we again use the control sample analysis to avoid biases from the different $L_{150\text{ MHz}}$ and M_* distribution of the two samples.

We first require the RLAGNs used in the analysis to have a $L_{150\text{ MHz}}$ from $10^{22.5} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$ to $10^{23.5} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$ and a M_* from $10^{11.1} M_\odot$ to $10^{11.5} M_\odot$. These constraints are highlighted as “Box 1” in the left panel of Fig. 6. This choice ensures both samples have enough sources within the parameter range, and on the other hand, it allows us to study a sample with a large fraction of low-power ($L_{150\text{ MHz}} < 10^{23} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$) RLAGNs. As a result, the constraint leads to 92 in-cluster and 445 not-in-cluster RLAGNs.

After constraining the parameter space, we separate the space into 16 small regions with different $L_{150\text{ MHz}}$ and M_* as shown in Box 1 of Fig. 6. We then construct the not-in-cluster

⁵ This criterion is not exactly equivalent to the luminosity limit $L_{1.4\text{ GHz}} = 10^{23} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$ in B19, because we have to ensure there are enough sources in each subsample for decomposition.

control samples by resampling the not-in-cluster RLAGNs to force the source number of the control sample in each $L_{150\text{ MHz}} - M_*$ region to match that of the in-cluster RLAGNs. We use a K-S test to compare the q distribution of the in-cluster and the control samples to assess the similarity of their shapes. This resampling process is repeated 1000 times and the final null hypothesis p -value is based on the median of all K-S test results.

According to the K-S test result, we cannot reject the null hypothesis at a significance level of 0.05, which means that the shape difference between the two samples is not significant. However, we notice that the p -value of 7.6% is a marginal one. In the middle panel of Fig. 6, the in-cluster sample has a slightly larger fraction of high q sources than the not-in-cluster sample. Therefore, we suggest that it is still possible that environment has a weak influence on the shape of the RLAGN hosts, but this influence cannot be the main reason for the morphology–RLAGN relation.

We also choose a higher power constraint as “Box 2” in Fig. 6 to perform the same analysis. Box 2 requires the RLAGN to have a $L_{150\text{ MHz}}$ from $10^{23} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$ to $10^{24} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$ and a M_* from $10^{11.2} M_\odot$ to $10^{11.6} M_\odot$. This sample contains 105 in-cluster and 308 not-in-cluster RLAGNs.

As a result, the K-S test gives a much larger null hypothesis p -value (58.8%). Therefore, the environment is not likely to have a direct impact on the connection between high-power RLAGNs and the morphology of their hosts.

3.5. Accretion mode

From previous sections, we find that RLAGNs with different galaxy shapes have different distributions of M_* and $L_{150\text{ MHz}}$. At the same time, different RLAGNs have different accretion modes (see Best & Heckman 2012, for more details). Therefore, in this section we consider whether or not there is any difference between the accretion modes of the T/O-type sources.

Unfortunately, most of the sources in our sample do not have spectra of sufficient quality for a reliable high-/low-excitation classification. Considering that an important distinction of these two accretion modes is the Eddington accretion rate, we simply separate the sources based on their Eddington ratio. We adopt the $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma_*$ relation in van den Bosch (2016) to calculate the black hole mass. The radiative and jet mechanical luminosities are estimated based on the [OIII] 5007 luminosity (Heckman et al. 2004) and $L_{1.4\text{ GHz}}$ (Cavagnolo et al. 2010; Best & Heckman 2012) as follows:

$$L_{\text{rad}}/L_{[\text{OIII}]} \approx 3500 \quad (2)$$

$$L_{\text{mech}} = 7.3 \times 10^{36} (L_{1.4\text{ GHz}}/10^{24} \text{ W Hz}^{-1})^{0.7} \text{ W}. \quad (3)$$

The 1.4 GHz luminosity is derived from 150 MHz luminosity assuming a spectral index of 0.7. The Eddington ratio is then derived by $\lambda_{\text{Edd}} = [L_{\text{rad}} + L_{\text{mech}}]/L_{\text{Edd}}$, where the Eddington luminosity is obtained from $L_{\text{Edd}} = 1.3 \times 10^{31} M_{\text{BH}}/M_\odot \text{ W}$.

As a result, we obtain the Eddington ratio for 1889 out of 1912 RLAGNs in our sample. Only 69 sources have an Eddington ratio larger than 10^{-2} , which is the adopted division line for quasar-mode and jet-mode AGNs (Best & Heckman 2012). The resulting number of quasar-mode ($\lambda_{\text{Edd}} > -2$) AGNs is much less than the expected number of either O-type (~ 300) or T-type sources (~ 1600). Therefore, the accretion mode is not likely to have a simple link with the morphology. However, it should be noted that this result depends largely on the accuracy of the Eddington ratio estimation. Hardcastle et al. (2019) discussed the relation between the radio luminosity and the jet

kinetic power, and indicated that Eq. (3) can be very unreliable especially at low luminosity. Therefore, it is difficult to have a definite conclusion based on the current information.

We also use a spectrum-based method to inspect the differences in RLAGN properties. We stack spectra for T/O-type galaxies using the archival spectra of our sample from the SDSS Science Archive Server (Smee et al. 2013; Aguado et al. 2019). Firstly, because the high-power ($L_{150\text{ MHz}} \geq 10^{23} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$) RLAGNs are dominated by T-type sources, which may cause contamination to the O-type spectra, therefore we only use the low-power ($L_{150\text{ MHz}} < 10^{23} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$) sources so as to reduce the number of the T-type galaxies. Next, we estimate the T/O-type fraction of the sample and derive the possibility of each galaxy being a T/O-type source according to the projected axis ratio. We then use pysynphot (STScI Development Team 2013) to rebin the rest-frame spectrum of each source. Finally, we weight each source spectrum (normalized by their median flux) by the possibility of being T/O-type and calculate the average spectrum for both types. To reduce the contamination from each other type, we only use round galaxies ($q > 0.6$) to extract T-type spectra and highly elongated galaxies ($q < 0.4$) to extract O-type spectra. The spectra are presented in Fig. 7.

As we highlight in Fig. 7, the two spectra are almost identical except for the strength of the H α and [SII] emission lines. Both spectra lack very strong emission lines, which implies that the two subsamples are both dominated by jet-mode AGNs. This supports the idea that the morphology types do not favour an accretion-based dichotomy. On the other hand, the stronger H α and [SII] lines in O-type spectrum may be a hint that O-type galaxies have more gas than T-type or they have different ionizing continua.

4. Discussion

We find that the low-power RLAGNs show a different connection with host-galaxy morphology compared with the high-power RLAGNs. However, a potential caveat regarding the results is the reliability of the AGN/SF classification at low radio luminosity. The contamination of SF galaxies in the low-luminosity AGN sample may increase the fractions of highly elongated sources. However, our work is based on the careful classifications of S19. These latter authors argued that the potential overall misclassification rate is less than 3%. Therefore, assuming that the misclassification rate is 6% at $L_{150\text{ MHz}} \leq 10^{23} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$, we randomly changed the classifications of 6% of the highly elongated ($q \leq 0.5$) AGNs and round ($q > 0.5$) SF galaxies with $L_{150\text{ MHz}} \leq 10^{23} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$, and then repeated the analyses of the above sections to estimate the influence of the contamination. Almost the same results were obtained, proving that the contamination of SF galaxies does not affect our conclusions.

The relatively large fraction of oblate (highly elongated) sources in the low-power sample compared to the high-power sample implies that there could be two distinct populations of RLAGNs. One of them tends to be in triaxial (round) galaxies and can be very powerful sources, whereas the other population favours oblate (highly elongated, or disc-like) galaxies. This can naturally explain what we see in Fig. 2. The occurrence of two populations is probably related to different triggering mechanisms of radio jets. Since the two types of RLAGNs have similar accretion modes, a possible factor causing the difference is the spin-up paths of the SMBHs, assuming a B-Z jet launching model.

Fig. 7. Stacking rest-frame spectra of T/O-type sources with $L_{150\text{MHz}} < 10^{23} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$. The red T-type spectrum is the average of all round ($q > 0.6$) RLAGNs weighted by the possibility of each individual source being an intrinsic T-type. For clarity, the T-type stacking spectrum is shifted upwards. The blue O-type spectrum is derived in a similar way but using highly elongated ($q < 0.4$) RLAGNs and weighted by the possibility of each source being an intrinsic O-type. The grey shadows are the individual spectra of the sources used in the stacking. The emission lines needed for calculating the excitation index (Buttiglione et al. 2010) are marked in the figure.

The SMBH spin-up process can theoretically be linked to galaxy morphology. Chang et al. (2013) suggested that the T/O early-type galaxies correspond to slow rotator (SR)/fast rotator (FR), a kinematically distinct dichotomy described in Cappellari et al. (2007), Emsellem et al. (2007, 2011). Massive SRs are more likely to be the end products of some extreme merger events, while the FRs could be “dead” spirals resulting from gaseous dissipative processes. Theoretically, mergers can effectively give rise to SMBH rotation (Baker et al. 2006; Fanidakis et al. 2011), resulting in a fast-spinning SMBH in a massive disturbed (possibly elliptical) galaxy. As for the low-mass sources, which have not been through as many merger events, they can more easily keep or rebuild a disc component and the central black holes acquire their angular momentum mainly by accretion. This picture is consistent with the findings of Pierce et al. (2019) and Wang et al. (2016, 2019), where intermediate- and low-mass (or luminosity) sources are found to be less morphologically disturbed. These long-term evolution processes may not directly trigger radio jets, but have an important influence on the triggering probability and properties of radio jets.

The association between T/O-type and SR/FR classification can be inferred from the relative fractions of each type. From Fig. 5, we find that the T-type fraction of the whole galaxy sample is $\sim 20\%$ at $M_* < 10^{10.8} M_\odot$, then rises rapidly to $\sim 50\%$ at $\sim 10^{11} M_\odot$ and reaches $\sim 100\%$ after $M_* > 10^{11.4} M_\odot$. This trend is consistent with the results of Chang et al. (2013). In comparison, integral-field spectroscopic (IFS) surveys such as ATLAS^{3D} (Cappellari et al. 2011b), MASSIVE (Ma et al. 2014), the SAMI galaxy survey (Bryant et al. 2015), and SDSS-IV MaNGA (Bundy et al. 2015) have revealed that the fraction of SRs in early-type galaxies increase from less than 20% at $M_* < 10^{11} M_\odot$ to about 90% at $M_* \sim 10^{12} M_\odot$ (Cappellari et al. 2011a; van de Sande et al. 2017; Veale et al. 2017a,b; Graham et al. 2019). We can see the changing trends with M_* for T-type galaxy fraction and SR fraction are very similar although the T-type fraction is slightly larger than the SR fraction at the same M_* . Therefore, we suggest that the association between T/O-type and SR/FR classification is reasonable.

In Sect. 3.4, we investigate the q distribution of the “in-cluster” and “not-in-cluster” RLAGNs, and find they are not significantly different, especially for the high-power RLAGNs. It should be noted that this result does not conflict with the finding of Croston et al. (2019), where they found large-scale environment is influential in driving AGN jet activity, because in Fig. 6 we do see the in-cluster sample has a larger fraction of high $L_{150\text{MHz}}$ sources than the not-in-cluster sample at fixed M_* .

If the spin is the key reason causing different radio power distributions for galaxies with different shape, the morphological similarity can be interpreted in the following way: although a higher rate of merging events in denser environments leads to a larger fraction of high-spin SMBHs and therefore high-power RLAGNs, RLAGNs with similar radio power and host stellar mass in different environments may have similar spin-up history. In other words, the relative importance of the secular processes and mergers in the spin-up history of a RLAGN with a given radio luminosity and stellar mass is more likely to be independent of the current environment. However, due to a lack of information on the spin of black holes, we are not yet able to confirm the role of spin in morphology–RLAGN relation directly.

This work can also improve our understanding of the role of the maintenance-mode feedback. It is suggested that the maintenance-mode feedback works when the cooling rate of the hot atmosphere balances with the time-average energy output from RLAGNs, which is determined by the power of radio jets and the duty cycle of RLAGNs. Previous research has shown that for massive, cluster-scale halos, the energy contained in observed X-ray cavities (McNamara & Nulsen 2007; Heckman & Best 2014) compares reasonably well with the cooling rate as traced by the X-ray luminosity. Direct observational evidence for maintenance-mode feedback via RLAGNs exists for groups (Werner et al. 2012, 2014), but not for L^* galaxies that occupy halos with masses of $\sim 10^{12} M_\odot$. Our finding that the RLAGN fraction is not dependent on the axis ratio of their host galaxies suggests that the maintenance-mode feedback is also important for low-mass quiescent galaxies with disc-like morphology. To estimate whether or not the observed radio AGNs in L^* galaxies ($\log M_*/M_\odot \approx 10.7\text{--}11$, or $\sigma_* \approx$

180–200 km s⁻¹) have a matching X-ray luminosity, we can either use the observed $L_X - M_{\text{halo}}$ scaling relation $L_X \propto M_{\text{halo}}^{1.65}$ of Wang et al. (2014) or the simplest theoretical expectation for the scaling of L_X with M_{halo} based on the virial theorem ($L_X \propto M_{\text{halo}}^{4/3}$). The most massive halos ($\log M_{\text{halo}}/M_{\odot} \approx 15$) host the most luminous radio galaxies ($L_{150\text{MHz}} \approx 10^{25} - 10^{26} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$), and the duty cycle of such a RLAGN can be inferred from the observed fraction of luminous RLAGNs at the high-mass end, assuming that all galaxies at a given mass go through recurrent RLAGN activity. We assume that the conversion from radio power to kinetic power and the coupling of this kinetic power to the hot phase on average do not depend strongly on halo mass. Therefore, the required RLAGN luminosity for L^* galaxies must be of order $L_{150\text{MHz}} \approx 10^{21} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$ in order to offset cooling if they have similar duty cycle to massive galaxies. This luminosity requirement should be independent of the morphology of galaxies if maintenance-mode feedback is a general heating mechanism. In our work, $L_{150\text{MHz}} = 10^{21} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$ happens to be the detection limit, and for L^* galaxies the observed RLAGN fraction is $\sim 10\%$, which is similar to the luminous ($L_{150\text{MHz}} \gtrsim 10^{25} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$) RLAGN fraction at the very high-mass end (Sabater et al. 2019). We conclude that the observed RLAGNs in L^* quiescent galaxies are in principle sufficiently luminous to offset cooling and maintain the lack of star formation. This is especially important for the oblate galaxies, because they typically do not host very powerful RLAGNs as revealed in B19 and this work. We emphasize that this is only a crude estimate and only serves to illustrate that the low observed radio luminosity in L^* quiescent galaxies cannot be used to argue against the importance of maintenance-mode feedback in low-mass halos.

5. Conclusion

In this work, we combined the LoTSS DR1 and SDSS DR7 data in the HETDEX region to form a sample of 15934 colour-based quiescent galaxies with 1912 RLAGNs brighter than $L_{150\text{MHz}} = 10^{21} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$. To remove possible biases from the shape- M_* and $F_{\text{RLAGN}}-M_*$ dependence, we carefully divided the whole sample into groups according to their M_* , σ_* , M_*/R_{90} and projected axis ratio q . Directly plotting the RLAGN fraction F_{RLAGN} versus q of different groups and comparing the RLAGN hosts with control samples provides some interesting information concerning the connection between RLAGNs and the shape of their hosts. We list the main conclusions that we make from this work as follows:

- The F_{RLAGN} of most of the subsamples, especially the low-mass ones, does not show a simple positive correlation with the projected axis ratio q , which is found for high-luminosity RLAGNs by Barišić et al. (2019). Both highly elongated and round galaxies have a substantial fraction of RLAGNs.
- High-luminosity RLAGNs are typically rounder than normal galaxies.
- The q distributions of low- and intermediate- $L_{150\text{MHz}}$ AGNs are not significantly different from the M_* (or σ_* , M_*/R_{90})-controlled sample.
- We fit the q distributions to obtain the triaxial and oblate galaxy fractions for RLAGNs and all galaxies. Both T- and O-type sources have an increasing RLAGN fraction with M_* , σ_* and M_*/R_{90} .
- Although T-type sources have a higher RLAGN fraction generally, the difference between T- and O-type results is much less than that found by Barišić et al. (2019) because of the contribution of low-power RLAGNs in O-type galaxies.

- We find that the q distributions of the in-cluster RLAGNs and not-in-cluster control sample do not show a significant difference. Therefore, the morphology-RLAGN relation is not or only marginally influenced by the environment.
- T/O-type classification is not likely to have a simple match with the accretion mode dichotomy (LERG/HERG) according to the source numbers and stacked spectra.

Our findings show that RLAGNs and the morphology of their hosts are correlated, and this correlation is radio-power- and/or mass-dependent. High-power RLAGNs are more likely to be in a visually round galaxy and vice versa, whereas low-power sources do not show a preference in the optical shape.

The difference between high- and low-power RLAGNs implies that they could have different triggering mechanisms. Long-term evolution processes can influence the triggering probability and power of radio jets. While high-power RLAGNs can be triggered in galaxies with a merger-rich history, low-power sources could be located in “dead” spirals.

This work is based on the first data release of the LoTSS survey. The limited sample volume and depth make it still difficult for us to investigate the role of environment in the RLAGN-morphology relation and in evolution across cosmic time. With the advance of the LoTSS project, the whole northern sky will be covered and a deeper survey will be achieved. With these new data, it will be easier to study the relation of RLAGNs, morphology, and environment more thoroughly. Furthermore, the upcoming WEAVE-LOFAR survey (Smith et al. 2016) will provide more detailed host galaxy properties, especially the dynamic features. This will eventually give us the answer of how morphology is connected to RLAGN power and provide important clues about the triggering of powerful radio jets.

Acknowledgements. We thank an anonymous referee for useful suggestions that have improved our paper. Data were provided by the LOFAR Surveys Key Science project (LSKSP; <https://lofar-surveys.org/>), from observations with the International LOFAR Telescope (ILT). LOFAR (van Haarlem et al. 2013) is the Low Frequency Array designed and constructed by ASTRON. It has observing, data processing, and data storage facilities in several countries, that are owned by various parties (each with their own funding sources), and that are collectively operated by the ILT foundation under a joint scientific policy. The efforts of the LSKSP have benefited from funding from the European Research Council, NOVA, NWO, CNRS-INSU, the SURF Co-operative, the UK Science and Technology Funding Council and the Jülich Supercomputing Centre. X. C. Z. acknowledges support from the CSC (China Scholarship Council)-Leiden University joint scholarship program. PNB is grateful for support from the UK STFC via grant ST/R000972/1. AvdW acknowledges funding through the H2020 ERC Consolidator Grant 683184. MB acknowledges support from INAF under PRIN SKA/CTA FORECaST and from the Ministero degli Affari Esteri della Cooperazione Internazionale – Direzione Generale per la Promozione del Sistema Paese Progetto di Grande Rilevanza ZA18GR02. H. J. A. R. and W. L. W. acknowledges support from the ERC Advanced Investigator programme NewClusters 321271. W. L. W. also acknowledges support from the CAS-NWO programme for radio astronomy with project number 629.001.024, which is financed by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO). IP acknowledges support from INAF under the SKA/CTA PRIN “FORECaST” and the PRIN MAIN STREAM “SAuROS” projects.

References

- Aguado, D. S., Ahumada, R., Almeida, A., et al. 2019, *ApJS*, **240**, 23
 Antonucci, R. 2012, *Astron. Astrophys. Trans.*, **27**, 557
 Baker, J. G., Centrella, J., Choi, D.-I., Koppitz, M., & van Meter, J. 2006, *Phys. Rev. D*, **73**, 104002
 Balick, B., & Heckman, T. M. 1982, *ARA&A*, **20**, 431
 Barišić, I., van der Wel, A., van Houdt, J., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, **872**, L12
 Becker, R. H., White, R. L., & Helfand, D. J. 1995, *ApJ*, **450**, 559
 Best, P. N., & Heckman, T. M. 2012, *MNRAS*, **421**, 1569
 Best, P. N., Kaiser, C. R., Heckman, T. M., & Kauffmann, G. 2006, *MNRAS*, **368**, L67

Best, P. N., Kauffmann, G., Heckman, T. M., et al. 2005a, *MNRAS*, **362**, 25
 Best, P. N., Kauffmann, G., Heckman, T. M., & Ivezić, Ž. 2005b, *MNRAS*, **362**, 9
 Blandford, R. D., & Znajek, R. L. 1977, *MNRAS*, **179**, 433
 Brinchmann, J., Charlot, S., Heckman, T. M., et al. 2004, ArXiv e-prints [arXiv:astro-ph/0406220]
 Bryant, J. J., Owers, M. S., Robotham, A. S. G., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, **447**, 2857
 Bundy, K., Bershad, M. A., Law, D. R., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, **798**, 7
 Buttlage, S., Capetti, A., Celotti, A., et al. 2010, *A&A*, **509**, A6
 Cappellari, M., Emsellem, E., Bacon, R., et al. 2007, *MNRAS*, **379**, 418
 Cappellari, M., Emsellem, E., Krajnović, D., et al. 2011a, *MNRAS*, **413**, 813
 Cappellari, M., Emsellem, E., Krajnović, D., et al. 2011b, *MNRAS*, **416**, 1680
 Cavagnolo, K. W., McNamara, B. R., Nulsen, P. E. J., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **720**, 1066
 Chang, Y.-Y., van der Wel, A., Rix, H.-W., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **773**, 149
 Chang, Y.-Y., van der Wel, A., da Cunha, E., & Rix, H.-W. 2015, *ApJS*, **219**, 8
 Colless, M., Dalton, G., Maddox, S., et al. 2001, *MNRAS*, **328**, 1039
 Condon, J. J., & Dressel, L. L. 1978, *ApJ*, **221**, 456
 Condon, J. J., Cotton, W. D., Greisen, E. W., et al. 1998, *AJ*, **115**, 1693
 Croston, J. H., Hardcastle, M. J., Mingo, B., et al. 2019, *A&A*, **622**, A10
 Donoso, E., Li, C., Kauffmann, G., Best, P. N., & Heckman, T. M. 2010, *MNRAS*, **407**, 1078
 Dunlop, J. S., McLure, R. J., Kukula, M. J., et al. 2003, *MNRAS*, **340**, 1095
 Emsellem, E., Cappellari, M., Krajnović, D., et al. 2007, *MNRAS*, **379**, 401
 Emsellem, E., Cappellari, M., Krajnović, D., et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, **414**, 888
 Fabian, A. C. 2012, *ARA&A*, **50**, 455
 Fanidakis, N., Baugh, C. M., Benson, A. J., et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, **410**, 53
 Gebhardt, K., Bender, R., Bower, G., et al. 2000, *ApJ*, **539**, L13
 Graham, M. T., Cappellari, M., Bershad, M. A., & Drory, N. 2019, A&A, submitted, [arXiv: 1910.05139]
 Greene, J. E., & Ho, L. C. 2006, *ApJ*, **641**, L21
 Hardcastle, M. J. 2018, *MNRAS*, **475**, 2768
 Hardcastle, M. J., Evans, D. A., & Croston, J. H. 2007, *MNRAS*, **376**, 1849
 Hardcastle, M. J., Gürkan, G., van Weeren, R. J., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, **462**, 1910
 Hardcastle, M. J., Williams, W. L., Best, P. N., et al. 2019, *A&A*, **622**, A12
 Heckman, T. M., & Best, P. N. 2014, *ARA&A*, **52**, 589
 Heckman, T. M., Kauffmann, G., Brinchmann, J., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, **613**, 109
 Hill, G. J., Gebhardt, K., Komatsu, E., et al. 2008, *ASP Conf. Ser.*, **399**, 115
 Ho, L. C. 2008, *ARA&A*, **46**, 475
 Hughes, S. A., & Blandford, R. D. 2003, *ApJ*, **585**, L101
 Janssen, R. M. J., Röttgering, H. J. A., Best, P. N., & Brinchmann, J. 2012, *A&A*, **541**, A62
 Kaiser, N., Aussel, H., Burke, B. E., et al. 2002, *SPIE Conf. Ser.*, **4836**, 154
 Kormendy, J. 2004, in *Coevolution of Black Holes and Galaxies*, ed. L. C. Ho, 1
 Kormendy, J., & Ho, L. C. 2013, *ARA&A*, **51**, 511
 Ma, C.-P., Greene, J. E., McConnell, N., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **795**, 158
 Magorrian, J., Tremaine, S., Richstone, D., et al. 1998, *AJ*, **115**, 2285
 Matthews, T. A., Morgan, W. W., & Schmidt, M. 1964, *ApJ*, **140**, 35
 McNamara, B. R., & Nulsen, P. E. J. 2007, *ARA&A*, **45**, 117
 Pierce, J. C. S., Tadhunter, C. N., Ramos Almeida, C., Bessiere, P. S., & Rose, M. 2019, *MNRAS*, **487**, 5490
 Rykoff, E. S., Rozo, E., Busha, M. T., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **785**, 104
 Sabater, J., Best, P. N., & Argudo-Fernández, M. 2013, *MNRAS*, **430**, 638
 Sabater, J., Best, P. N., Hardcastle, M. J., et al. 2019, *A&A*, **622**, A17
 Sadler, E. M., Jackson, C. A., Cannon, R. D., et al. 2002, *MNRAS*, **329**, 227
 Sadler, E. M., Ekers, R. D., Mahony, E. K., Mauch, T., & Murphy, T. 2014, *MNRAS*, **438**, 796

Scott, D. W. 1992, *Multivariate Density Estimation* (New York: Wiley)
 Shimwell, T. W., Röttgering, H. J. A., Best, P. N., et al. 2017, *A&A*, **598**, A104
 Shimwell, T. W., Tasse, C., Hardcastle, M. J., et al. 2019, *A&A*, **622**, A1
 Sikora, M., Stawarz, Ł., & Lasota, J.-P. 2007, *ApJ*, **658**, 815
 Smee, S. A., Gunn, J. E., Uomoto, A., et al. 2013, *AJ*, **146**, 32
 Smith, E. P., Heckman, T. M., Bothun, G. D., Romanishin, W., & Balick, B. 1986, *ApJ*, **306**, 64
 Smith, D. J. B., Best, P. N., Duncan, K. J., et al. 2016, in *SF2A-2016: Proceedings of the Annual meeting of the French Society of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, eds. C. Reylé, J. Richard, L. Cambrézy, et al., 271
 Strauss, M. A., Weinberg, D. H., Lupton, R. H., et al. 2002, *AJ*, **124**, 1810
 STScI Development Team 2013, *pysynphot: Synthetic photometry software package* (Astrophysics Source Code Library)
 Tadhunter, C. 2016, *A&ARv*, **24**, 10
 Tasse, C., Best, P. N., Röttgering, H., & Le Borgne, D. 2008, *A&A*, **490**, 893
 van de Sande, J., Bland-Hawthorn, J., Brough, S., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, **472**, 1272
 van den Bosch, R. C. E. 2016, *ApJ*, **831**, 134
 van Haarlem, M. P., Wise, M. W., Gunst, A. W., et al. 2013, *A&A*, **556**, A2
 Veale, M., Ma, C.-P., Greene, J. E., et al. 2017a, *MNRAS*, **471**, 1428
 Veale, M., Ma, C.-P., Thomas, J., et al. 2017b, *MNRAS*, **464**, 356
 Virtanen, P., Gommers, R., Oliphant, T. E., et al. 2019, *Nat. Methods*, **17**, 261
 Wang, L., Yang, X., Shen, S., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, **439**, 611
 Wang, J., Xu, Y., Xu, D. W., & Wei, J. Y. 2016, *ApJ*, **833**, L2
 Wang, J., Kong, M.-Z., Liu, S.-F., et al. 2019, *Res. Astron. Astrophys.*, **19**, 144
 Wen, Z. L., Han, J. L., & Liu, F. S. 2012, *ApJS*, **199**, 34
 Werner, N., Allen, S. W., & Simionescu, A. 2012, *MNRAS*, **425**, 2731
 Werner, N., Oonk, J. B. R., Sun, M., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, **439**, 2291
 Williams, W. L., Calistro Rivera, G., Best, P. N., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, **475**, 3429
 Williams, W. L., Hardcastle, M. J., Best, P. N., et al. 2019, *A&A*, **622**, A2
 Wilson, A. S., & Colbert, E. J. M. 1995, *ApJ*, **438**, 62
 Wright, E. L., Eisenhardt, P. R. M., Mainzer, A. K., et al. 2010, *AJ*, **140**, 1868
 York, D. G., Adelman, J., Anderson, J. E. Jr, et al. 2000, *AJ*, **120**, 1579

Appendix A: Axis ratio versus redshift

To investigate whether the observed axis ratios of high-redshift galaxies in our work are biased due to small angular sizes, we selected two massive galaxy samples from the 33324 galaxies in SDSS DR7 (see Sect. 2) with $\log(M_*/M_\odot) \in (11, 11.5]$ and $(11.5, 12]$, because only massive galaxies can be detected at high redshift as shown by Fig. 1. The evolution of the observed axis ratio distributions of the two sample is shown in Fig. A.1.

If the bias from smaller angular sizes is significant, the axis ratio should be larger at higher redshift. However, we do not find significant variations in the distribution of q at high redshift. We conclude that the bias of axis ratio measurement due to smaller angular size of galaxies at high redshift is not important in this work.

Fig. A.1. Normalized distribution of galaxies at fixed M_* in the $q-z$ plane. Kernel-density estimation was performed to reduce the error from binning. The color of each cell represents the source number relative the maximum source number cell at fixed z .