Investigating the lack of main-sequence companions to massive Be stars
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ABSTRACT

Context. About 20% of all B-type stars are classical Be stars – stars whose spectra imply the presence of a circumstellar decretion disk. The disk phenomenon is strongly correlated with rapid rotation, the origin of which remains unclear. It may be rooted in single- or binary-star evolution. In the framework of the binary channel, the initially more massive star transfers mass and angular momentum to the original secondary, which becomes a Be star. The system then evolves into a Be binary with a post-main-sequence companion, which, depending on the companion mass, may later be disrupted in a supernova event. Hence, if the binary channel dominates the formation of Be stars, one may expect a strong lack of close Be binaries with main sequence (MS) companions.

Aims. We want to test the prediction of the binary channel. Through an extensive, star-by-star review of the literature of a magnitude-limited sample of Galactic early-type Be stars, we investigate whether Be binaries with MS companions are known to exist.

Methods. Our sample is constructed from the BeSS database and cross-matched with all available literature on the individual stars. Archival and amateur spectra are used to verify the existing literature when conflicting reports are found.

Results. Out of an initial list of 505 Be stars, we compile a final sample of 287 Galactic Be stars earlier than B1.5 with \( V \leq 12 \) mag. Out of those, 13 objects were reported as Be binaries with known post-MS companions (i.e., compact objects or helium stars) and 11 as binaries with unknown, uncertain or debated companions. We find no confirmed reports of Be binaries with MS companions. For the remaining 263 targets, no significant reports of multiplicity exist in the literature, implying that they are either Be binaries with faint companions, or truly single.

Conclusions. The clear lack of reported MS companions to Be stars, which stands in contrast to the high number of detected B+MS binaries, strongly supports the hypothesis that early-type Be stars are binary interaction products that spun up after mass and angular momentum transfer from a companion star. Taken at face value, our results may suggest that a large majority of the early-type Be stars have formed through binary mass-transfer.
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1. Introduction

About 20% of the Galactic non-supergiant B-type stars exhibit Balmer emission lines (most prominently in H\( \alpha \)), defining the class of classical Be stars (see e.g., Zorec & Briot 1997; Rivinius et al. 2013). This emission is thought to arise from a circumstellar decretion disk that extends several stellar radii along the equatorial plane of the star (Struve 1931; Gies et al. 2007; Carciofi et al. 2009). The Be phenomenon is known to be transient, with emission lines appearing and disappearing over the timescales of months, years, or decades (Rivinius et al. 2013).

While the formation of the disk is not fully understood, there is a consensus that its presence strongly correlates with rapid rotation of the Be star (see e.g., Porter & Rivinius 2003). Significant rotation acts to reduce the equatorial escape velocity. This is a fundamental prerequisite for the majority of disk-formation models, which invoke mechanisms such as turbulence (e.g., Townsend et al. 2004) or non-radial pulsations (Baade 1988; Baade et al. 2017; Semaan et al. 2018) for feeding the disk. Even though it is unclear whether all Be stars rotate near-critically or not, the observed distribution of their projected rotational velocities \((v \sin i)\) implies that virtually all Be stars are rapid rotators (Townsend et al. 2004; Zorec et al. 2016). A central question in the context of Be-star formation is therefore the origin of the rapid stellar spins. In this work, we focus on the origin of rapid rotation in Be stars, for which three main alternatives have been proposed.

Two of the commonly discussed mechanisms are rooted in single-star evolution. On the one hand, Be stars could be born as rapid rotators, having inherited the angular momentum from their parental molecular cloud (Bodenheimer 1995). This, however, is contradicted by observations: Be stars are found in clusters of all ages (Abt 1979; Mermilliod 1982; Slettebak 1985), while they seem to reach a maximum abundance in clusters between 13 and 25 Myr (Fabregat & Torreljón 2000; Tarasov 2017). Furthermore, the rotation rates found for young B-type stars seem to be below the limit for Be star formation (Huang et al. 2010).

On the other hand, B stars may experience a spin-up during their main-sequence (MS) evolution via angular momentum transfer from the core to the envelope (Langer & Heger 1998; Meynet & Maeder 2000; Ekström et al. 2008; Granada et al. 2013). It was recently demonstrated by Hastings et al. (2020) that this mechanism can indeed account for near-critical
rotation towards the end of the MS, when the stellar envelope expands. However, as the authors discuss, the model encounters several problems when confronted with observations (e.g., the lack of observed nitrogen enhancement, reported for example by Ahmed & Sigut 2017). Moreover, by adopting the observed distribution of rotational velocities of presumably single B stars (Dufort et al. 2013) as initial rotations in their models, Hastings et al. have likely overestimated the amount of rotation. The reason is that given the star formation history of the sample (Schneider et al. 2018), many of the rapidly-rotating stars are potentially binary-interaction products (see e.g., de Mink et al. 2014).

Alternatively, Be stars could have gained their rapid rotation through mass and angular momentum transfer in binary interactions (Kriz & Harmanec 1975; Pols et al. 1991; Langer et al. 2020a). In this case, the observed Be star was originally the secondary component (i.e., the initially less massive component), having accreted mass from the Roche-lobe overfilling primary component. If the original primary (i.e., the mass donor) avoided merging with the Be progenitor during mass-transfer, it is now either a He-burning stripped star such as an O- or B-type subdwarf (sdO, sdB) or a Wolf-Rayet (WR) star, or a compact object such as a white dwarf (WD), neutron star (NS), or black hole (BH). If the mass donor ends its life in a supernova, the system may be disrupted, forming a single Be star with a history of binary interaction (Blaauw 1961; Gies & Bolton 1986). Chances are that such companions will elude detection (de Mink et al. 2014). Based on detailed binary evolution calculations, Shao & Li (2014) report that most Be stars may be the products of binary interactions. Binary population synthesis computations, assuming a single-starburst, predict the fraction of massive binary interaction products to peak at a cluster age of ∼8–20 Myr (Schneider et al. 2015), i.e. in line with the observations quoted before.

It remains unclear whether the origin of rapid rotation in Be stars is rooted primarily in one of the above-mentioned mechanisms, or in a combination thereof. Considering that the majority of massive stars will interact with a companion during their lifetime (Sana et al. 2012; Dunstall et al. 2015), it is certainly conceivable that the binary channel is responsible for the formation of the vast majority – if not all – of the massive Be stars.

Different types of Be star systems with an evolved companion are known. The systems with the most massive companions are Be/X-ray binaries (BeXRBs, see e.g., Reig 2011). While the nature of the companion is still unknown for a significant fraction of BeXRBs, most known companions are neutron stars. There is currently only one Be star known with a BH companion (Casares et al. 2014). Using observations in the ultraviolet from the International Ultraviolet Explorer (IUE) satellite, a handful of Be binaries with sdB or sdO companions were confirmed, including the well-known case of ϕ Per (Peters et al. 2008, 2013, 2016; Wang et al. 2017, 2018; Schootemeijer et al. 2018).

Several authors have studied the binary origin of Be stars before. Abt & Levy (1978) studied the binary fraction of a sample of almost 60 galactic Be stars of spectral type B2 to B5 through multi-epoch spectroscopy. Based on low number statistics, they find similar binary fractions for B and Be stars. They find, however, a significant difference in the period distribution: while half of the B star binaries have periods below 100 days, there is no Be binary with such periods. Furthermore, taking a closer look at their Be binaries shows that none of them, taking recent literature into account, is a double-lined spectroscopic binary (SB2).

Oudmaijer & Parr (2010) investigated the binary fraction of Be stars in comparison to B stars through high-angular-resolution imaging. They found a similar binary fraction for B and Be stars, and concluded that the binary channel is probably not responsible for the formation of Be stars. However, their survey was only sensitive to very long orbital periods of at least ∼5000 d, while post-interaction Be binaries are expected to exhibit much shorter periods of the order of one year (e.g., Langer et al. 2020a). Similarly, the recent speckle imaging survey performed by Horch et al. (2020) does not probe systems that are tight enough for binary evolution to have played a role.

In contrast, McSwain & Gies (2005) examined the occurrence of the Be phenomenon as a function of stellar age and evolutionary stage through a photometric study of southern open clusters. They found that the fraction of Be stars is higher among earlier type and slightly evolved stars (see also Zorec & Briot 1997; Martayan et al. 2010). With this, the authors tested different theories proposed for the origin of rapid rotation in Be stars. They argue that a higher fraction of late-type Be stars would be expected if Be stars were born as rapid rotators or if they spin-up at the terminal-age MS, and concluded that their observed spectral type distribution is consistent with Be stars being spun-up by binary mass transfer. Shokry et al. (2018), on the other hand, found observational indications that there is no strong dependence of the Be star fraction on the spectral type because the fraction among late-type stars is underestimated.

Berger & Gies (2001) studied the kinematics of ~350 Be stars using HIPPARCOS proper motions and published radial velocities (RVs). They report that 3–7% of the Be stars in their sample are runaway stars and interpret this as an indication that a fraction of them have formed in binary system. More recently, Boubert & Evans (2018) performed a similar study of ~650 Be stars based on the first release of Gaia data. They found that the observed fraction of runaway Be stars in their sample is 13.1±2.4%, which is in accordance with the predicted runaway Be star fraction when assuming that all of them are binary interaction products. From this they conclude that the kinematic properties of the Be star population are in agreement with population synthesis predictions for post-binary interaction products.

Further observational evidence is given by Klement et al. (2019), who studied the spectral energy distribution of Be stars searching for possible effects of disruption and truncation invoked by a putative compact companion on the Be star disk. They concluded that many – if not all – Be stars have close companions that influence their outer disks.

Here we propose a novel idea to test the binary hypothesis for Be stars: If the rapid rotation of Be stars originates from previous mass and angular momentum transfer from a binary companion, then there should be an obvious lack of close (P ≤ 5000 d) Be+MS binaries. This is a clear and testable prediction of the binary channel: a lack of Be stars in binary systems with MS companion would strongly support the binary channel as an important formation mechanism of massive Be stars. In contrast, the existence of even one counter example may suffice to show that other mechanisms can also form massive Be stars.

Here, we define close binaries as binaries with current periods P ≤ 5000 d. Considering typical radii of red supergiants and typical orbital eccentricities, a maximum initial period of 3000 d is usually assumed for binaries that will interact (e.g., Sana et al. 2012). However, the orbital period of massive binaries may grow by a factor two or more due to mass loss and mass transfer (e.g., Vanbeveren et al. 1998). We therefore assume 5000 d to be the limiting period. MS companions around Be stars in longer
We describe the sample statistics in Sect. 4, investigate the occurrence of Be stars in close binary systems with a MS companion (class i). Section 2 describes the sample selection. Section 3 presents the analysis of the literature study that we performed. We describe the sample statistics in Sect. 4, investigate the effect of detection biases in Sect. 5, and discuss our results in Sect. 6. Finally, our conclusions are presented in Sect. 7.

2. Our sample

Our aim is to obtain a statistically significant, unbiased sample of classical Be stars. For this, we used the BeSS database\(^1\) (Neiner et al. 2011), which is the currently most comprehensive catalogue of classical Be stars. We accessed the continuously updated database on the 18th of August 2019 and selected all classical Be stars with \(V \leq 12\) and spectral types B1.5 and earlier.

The spectral-type cut enables us to focus our study on the evolution of massive stars (\(M_\odot \geq 8 M_\odot\)). As we show in Appendix A, in the framework of the binary channel, Be stars with current masses greater than \(8 M_\odot\) (corresponding roughly to B1.5) should have had a companion initially more massive than \(13 M_\odot\). We stress that lower-mass Be stars may have had massive stars as companions as well, but simple evolutionary arguments ensure this for Be stars with masses exceeding \(13 M_\odot\).

While the BeSS database is supposed to be complete only down to \(V \approx 11\) mag (Neiner 2018, see also Sect. 4), we include all stars with \(V < 12\) mag. This is mainly to increases our sample size (505 stars instead of 473), and does not alter the results (see Sect. 6).

3. Analysis of the available literature

Our input sample (see Fig. 1) comprises 505 stars in total for which we perform an in-depth literature research on a star-by-star basis. As BeSS spectral types can be incorrect and often do not provide accurate references, we mainly use SIMBAD\(^2\) (Wenger et al. 2007) and the publication history for each star to verify them. Our main sources are Houk & Cowley (2020), Garrison et al. (1977), Jaschek & Egret (1982), Nesterov et al. (1995), and Levenhagen & Leister (2006). In cases of contradicting spectral types, we chose the one from the most recent traceable reference. In addition we searched the papers published about each object for indicators of binarity (e.g., in Pourbaix et al. 2004), known X-ray sources (e.g., in Nazé & Motch 2018), known stripped companions (e.g., in Wang et al. 2018), and runaway stars (e.g., in Tetzlaff et al. 2011; Peri et al. 2012). We found that results reported in a few studies could not be readily trusted in the context of our study of multiplicity of close Be binaries; these are discussed in Appendix B.1.

Potentially available BeSS spectra were used to provide a quick-look on the spectra (especially to confirm Balmer line emission). For stars in the southern hemisphere, we complemented the BeSS spectra with spectroscopic observations from the ESO archive\(^2\). For stars in the North, we considered archival spectra from the HERMES spectrograph mounted at the KU Leuven Mercator telescope at Roque de los Muchachos Observatory in La Palma, Spain (Raskin et al. 2011), if available.

We removed two groups of stars from the sample. The first group contains stars that are not classical Be stars (see Table C.2). These include other emission-line objects such as Herbig Ae/Be stars, B[e] stars, or stars with luminosity class I-II. The group also includes stars without a traceable reference confirming the Be star status, especially if only one historical reference claimed the Be status while other literature from the same period did not report any emission. We also checked archival spectra from BeSS, ESO, and HERMES for possible emission. In this group there are a handful of objects that might, at first glance, appear like Be stars with a close MS companion. However, a careful inspection of the literature and available data reveals that they are actually no classical Be stars. For example CW Cep, listed as classical Be star of spectral type B1Vve in BeSS was found to be a 2.7 d-period B+B binary system with stationary H\(\alpha\) emission from circumbinary material (Johnston et al. 2019). We discuss these objects in more detail in Appendix B.3.

The second group we removed from the input sample comprises stars without precise spectral classification or stars that turn out to be of spectral type later than B1.5 (see Table C.3). Stars without precise spectral classification (spectral type “Be”) are included in the sample because of the setup of the BeSS database search: despite our selection in spectral type, it returns around 150 stars that are classified as “Be” without a more precise spectral subtype. Instead of simply removing these stars from the sample, we searched for spectral classification in the literature, which was available for around 100 of them. Similarly, several stars classified as B1.5 and earlier in BeSS turned out to be of later spectral type in our literature search. In a similar fashion we might be missing some early-type Be stars: if a star is classified as B2 or later in BeSS it will not be part of our sample, even though it might have a more recent, earlier classification somewhere else in literature. For example, the well-known Be+sdO binary \(\phi\) Per (Poeckert 1981) is not included in our sample, since it is classified as B2 in the BeSS archive.

In total there are 50 stars of unknown spectral type, 97 stars that are probably of later spectral type than B1.5, and 71 stars that are unlikely classical Be stars. This leaves us with a final sample of 287 objects. While the vast majority of our objects are of spectral type B0 V and B1 V, there are also several

---

O-type stars. We then further classify the 287 confirmed early-type Be stars into one of the following categories: (i) binaries with a confirmed post-MS companion (e.g., NS, sdO); (ii) suspected binaries with companions whose nature is unknown, uncertain, or debated; (iii) Be stars with confirmed MS companions, and (iv) presumably single stars, i.e. stars with no indication of binarity, either being single, or with a companion that has eluded detection so far.

The first class of stars (class i) comprises Be binaries for which clear evidence for the presence of a non-MS companion was demonstrated. This includes BeXRBs such as V725 Tau (Finger et al. 1994) or V831 Cas (Liu et al. 2000). It further includes a handful of stars for which hot evolved companions were reported based on optical or UV spectroscopy, such as FY CMa (B0.5IV + sdO, Peters et al. 2008) and the WR binary WR 137 (O9e + WC7, Lefèvre et al. 2005). The properties of this class of stars match the predictions of the binary formation channel, where the original mass donor is now an evolved star or a compact object.

The second class of stars (class ii) comprises all objects for which indications from spectroscopy, interferometry, or photometry for the presence of a companion exist, but where the nature of the companion is unknown, uncertain, or not agreed upon in the literature. An example is the well-known binary γ Cas, an X-ray bright Be binary with a ≈1 M☉ companion (see e.g., Mason et al. 1976; Harmanec et al. 2000), which serves as the prototype for the class of γ Cas analogues (e.g., Smith et al. 2016). Despite being one of the brightest stars in the night sky, the nature of the companion remains under debate. Even though the majority of models suggest that the companion is a non-MS companion such as a hot He star or a compact object (e.g., Postnov et al. 2017; Langer et al. 2020b), a solar-type companion cannot be fully ruled out. Similar objects include the γ Cas analogue π Aqr and the suggested Be+sdO binary HD 161306 (Koubský et al. 2014). This class also includes the potential Be+MS binary δ Sco (Miroshnichenko et al. 2013). All stars in this class are discussed in more detail in Appendix B.

The third class (class iii) are Be binaries with confirmed MS companions. Because of the high binary fraction of B stars, and given the high frequency of MS stars in general, B+MS binaries are common (see Sect. 5). One may thus naively expect that this is the most common configuration of Be binaries. As the title of our work implies, this group turned out to contain no stars in our sample. While the presence of an MS companion is compatible with observations of a few Be binaries in our list (most notably δ Sco, see Appendix B.2), it was never directly demonstrated (e.g., through spectral disentanglement, SB2 RV curves, or isolated spectral features). For the sake of completeness, we keep this third category.

The fourth class (class iv) contains all Be stars that do not fall in one of the three previous categories, that is, stars for which no indication for a close companion was reported. We refer to these as “presumably single”, but stress that this includes binaries with companions that avoided detection, which may or may not dominate this sample.

Naturally, not all targets in our sample were studied equally carefully. Some of the targets are included in systematic RV variability studies, some were the subjects of dedicated studies, while others have been merely classified by several authors. Given the diversity of literature over the past century, and without a systematic spectroscopic multiplicity survey of Be stars, it is difficult to quantify the implied biases due to the large variety of methods and data quality relevant for each target. We discuss these biases in more detail in Sect. 5.

We give an overview over the entire input sample in Appendix C, separated into the final sample of early Be stars (Table C.1), the not-classical-Be stars omitted from our final sample (Table C.2), and Be stars of late or unknown spectral type omitted from our sample (Table C.3). The tables include SIMBAD names, HD numbers, coordinates, V-band magnitudes, spectral types, spectral type references, and individual comments.

4. Sample statistics

In our final sample of 287 Be stars, we find 13 Be stars in a binary system with a non-MS companion (class i) and 11 suspected Be binaries with unknown, uncertain, or debated companions (class ii). Among these eleven stars, seven were first detected spectroscopically and four were detected using other methods. While the presence of an MS companion is compatible with the observations of a few objects (most notable δ Sco, see Appendix B.2), we find no Be binaries with confirmed MS companions (class iii). The remaining 263 Be stars are classified as presumably single (class iv), which may be either truly single or unidentified binaries. The binary statistics of our sample are shown in Fig. 2.

In Fig. 3 we show the distribution of V-band magnitudes in our final sample. The drop in stars at magnitudes fainter than V = 10 indicates the limit down to which our sample is broadly complete. We therefore test if our binary statistics remain similar when restricting the sample to stars brighter than V = 10, or V = 11, following the claimed completeness of the BeSS catalogue. As shown in Fig. 3, there are known binaries with non-MS companions as well as stars with unknown, uncertain, or debated companions in basically every magnitude bin. Accordingly, our binary statistics do not change when restricting the sample to V = 10 (class i: 4.7%, class ii: 4.7%, class iii: 0%, class iv: 90.6%) or V = 11 (class i: 4.4%, class ii: 4.0%, class iii: 0%, class iv: 91.6%).

Finding no report of a Be+MS binary is quite remarkable. Typical binary fractions determined for early B-type stars are of
However, to understand whether the lack of Be\textsuperscript{+} angular momentum transfer in previous binary interactions.

products, having gained their rapid rotation due to mass and momentum with the hypothesis that Be stars are binary interaction.

Our reported lack of MS companions to Be stars is in agreement with the idea to test whether the lack of Be\textsuperscript{+}MS binaries in our sample is statistically significant, one should consider possible biases – observational or otherwise – that could contribute to the observed lack of Be\textsuperscript{+}MS binaries.

Given the vast heterogeneity of techniques, data quality, and focus of the many works our study compiles, performing an accurate bias estimate in the context of our study is virtually impossible. A rigorous and robust estimate of the detection biases can only be performed through homogeneous surveys of unbiased and statistically-significant samples of Be stars. Despite this, we try to provide a first order estimate for the overall expected bias against the detection of Be\textsuperscript{+}MS binaries below with the idea to test whether the lack of Be\textsuperscript{+}MS stars in our sample can be explained by a low detection probability or whether it reveals a genuine physical signature. Towards this goal, we adopt a number of conservative hypotheses.

5. Detection biases

Our reported lack of MS companions to Be stars is in agreement with the hypothesis that Be stars are binary interaction products, having gained their rapid rotation due to mass and angular momentum transfer in previous binary interactions. However, to understand whether the lack of Be\textsuperscript{+}MS binaries in our sample is statistically significant, one should consider possible biases – observational or otherwise – that could contribute to the observed lack of Be\textsuperscript{+}MS binaries.

5.1. Disk truncation and tidal braking

Recent studies by Klement et al.

(2019) report on the phenomenon of disk truncation due to the presence of a binary companion. This is supported by the observed lack of short-period (i.e., \( P < 30 \) d) BeXRBs (Raguzova & Popov 2005). On the other hand, simulations by Panoglou et al.

(2016) indicate that there is no lower limit on the orbital separation for the formation of decretion disks. In order to be conservative in our estimate we nevertheless assume that orbital separations falling short of a certain threshold would disrupt the Be phenomenon. The typical H\alpha emitting radius of Be disks is of the order of 10–20 \( R_\odot \) (e.g., Rivinius et al. 2013), which corresponds to orbital periods of the order of 10 d.

A reduction of the apparent number of observed Be\textsuperscript{+}B binaries can also be caused by tidal braking (Zahn 1977). In a tight binary system, tides will synchronize the orbital and rotational spins. Rapidly rotating stars are expected to slow down, which may cause a former Be star to not appear as such anymore because of an insufficient rotational speed to sustain its decretion disk. This would reduce the lifetime of the Be\textsuperscript{+}B phase in tight binaries – or prevent it altogether – and thus reduce the number of observable Be\textsuperscript{+}B binaries. However, for MS stars with masses of \( \approx 15 M_\odot \), tidal breaking is important on the MS only for tight binaries with periods of the order of 5 d (Zahn 1977; Hurley et al. 2002; Song et al. 2013), and becomes negligible for periods larger than 10 d.

Combining the two effects, we therefore assume that no Be\textsuperscript{+}MS binaries with periods \( \lesssim 10 \) d would be observed due to disk truncation and tidal braking. Assuming Opik’s law of period distribution, which is flat on log \( P \) (Opik 1924), this corresponds to a reduction factor of roughly 25% in the considered period range.

5.2. Binary properties of the B star population

Present-day, bias-corrected, close-binary fractions larger than 50% were reported for massive B-type stars in the Milky Way and in the Large Magellanic Cloud (e.g., Kobulnicky et al. 2014; Dunstall et al. 2015). Kobulnicky et al. (2014) report a bias-corrected fraction of 55% in the period range of 1 < \( P < 5000 \) d and the mass-ratio range of 0.2 < \( q < 1 \). The fraction of reported binaries is fully dominated by MS+MS binaries, since OB+NS binaries will typically have \( q \lesssim 0.2 \), and OB+BH binaries are very rare (e.g., Langer et al. 2020b). We therefore assume that the fraction of MS+MS binaries in the aforementioned parameter domain among an unbiased sample of B stars is larger than 50%.

5.3. Time sampling and multiplicity studies

Not all stars in our sample have been equally well studied for multiplicity (see Fig. 3). The detection of companions relies on various methods, such as spectroscopy, interferometry, photometry, and imaging. Of those, spectroscopy has been most predominantly used for binary detection, especially when focusing on the period range of interest, i.e. \( P < 5000 \) d. Only a few Be stars were studied interferometrically (e.g., \( \tau \) Sco, Tango et al. 2009), and imaging studies, as argued in Sect. 1, generally do not probe separations that are relevant in the framework of binary interaction. We therefore focus our bias-estimate discussion on spectroscopy.

In principle, if an MS companion is bright enough, its contribution may be seen in the spectrum without requiring multi-epoch spectroscopy. However, it is difficult to estimate when such a companion would be visible: this depends not only on the data quality, spectral coverage, and spectral appearance of both components, but also on the researcher and their goals in the respective studies. We therefore conservatively assume that Be binaries with MS companions would only be identified through multi-epoch spectroscopy. For this reason, we now estimate the fraction of Be stars in our sample for which multi-epoch spectroscopy has been performed.

Again, this is difficult to do on the basis of our literature studies, primarily since systematic multi-epoch publications of
5.4. Observational biases

We now estimate the fraction of SB1 or SB2 detections in a sample of Be stars studied via multi-epoch spectroscopy. Binaries are flagged on the basis of significant RV changes. Again, the threshold depends on the data quality and spectral features considered. For simplicity, we consider the Hα line, which provides the highest signal-to-noise ratio due to the strong emission, and two limiting cases: moderate-quality data ($R = 10\,000$, $S/N = 50$) and low-quality data ($R = 1000$, $S/N = 30$). In Fig. 4, we show observed Hα profiles of the Be star γ Cas, degraded to the respective data quality considered here, and artificially shifted in RV. Figure 4 illustrates that a RV shift of 20 km s$^{-1}$ (40 km s$^{-1}$) would be readily seen using moderate-quality (low-quality) spectra of Hα, without relying on sophisticated RV measurement techniques. For the subsequent estimates, we assume that objects in which the maximum RV shift, Max(ΔRV), is larger than these respective values, would be flagged as binaries.

We note that this shift in RV is a significantly different signature than what is expected from variability in the disk, which would not shift the entire line but rather affect its strength and shape. Pulsations can also cause line profile variability that might mimic RV shifts, especially in He I absorption lines. The RV shifts are, but for a few cases (see e.g., Bolton 1982; Baade 1982), typically of the order of a few km s$^{-1}$ (Aerts et al. 2009) and thus below the RV thresholds of 20 and 40 km s$^{-1}$ that we consider here. Mistaking the RV signature of pulsations for an orbital motion in a binary would, however, lead to false-positive detections rather than an observed lack of Be binaries. Our thresholds are also in line with typical binary detection thresholds of ≤20 km s$^{-1}$ used in the literature (Sana et al. 2011, 2012, 2013; Dunstall et al. 2015).

We next convert the Max(ΔRV) threshold into corresponding RV amplitudes of the Be star. That is, we want to understand to which RV amplitudes K typical multi-epoch studies would be sensitive to. In principle, the larger the number of epochs, the closer Max(ΔRV) would approach the full RV amplitude 2K. To estimate Max(ΔRV) as a function of the number of epochs N, we perform Monte Carlo simulations by randomly sampling N RV measurements of a binary orbit. For $N = 10$, one obtains a mean maximum shift of Max(ΔRV) ≈ 1.7 K for eccentricities of $e = 0.5$. For circular orbits, the ratio becomes larger ($≈ 1.85$ K), while it becomes roughly 1.5 K for $e = 0.8$ and approaches zero for arbitrarily large eccentricities. For simplicity, we assume an orbit with $e = 0.5$, and hence adopt Max(ΔRV) = 1.7 K. By replacing the left-hand side of the equation of our estimates (20 and 40 km s$^{-1}$ for moderate- and low-quality spectra, respectively), we find that the moderate-quality data would be sensitive to RV amplitudes $K \gtrsim 12$ km s$^{-1}$, while low-quality data would be sensitive to $K \gtrsim 24$ km s$^{-1}$, which is consistent with results reported in past spectroscopic surveys (e.g., Abt & Levy 1978).

Our sample is composed almost entirely of B0 V and B1 V stars in roughly equal numbers. Let us therefore assume that all stars in our sample are of spectral type B0.5 V, corresponding roughly to $M \approx 15 M_\odot$. For simplicity, we again assume an eccentricity of $e = 0.5$. Figure 5 shows the RV amplitude of the Be component in such a binary with an inclination of $i = 60^\circ$ as a function of the orbital period and secondary mass. In the plot, we mark the periods below which disks are not expected to exist due to tidal disruption (see Sect. 5.1), and beyond which binary interaction is assumed to be negligible ($P \gtrsim 5000$ d). Based on the estimates above, we plot contours of $K_{Be} = 12$ and 24 km s$^{-1}$. The y-axis covers mass ratios between 0.2 and 1, which overlaps with the parameter range adopted by Kobulnicky et al. (2014). Since the probability distributions of the mass-ratio and of log $P$ are found to be approximately flat (e.g., Sana et al. 2012; Kobulnicky et al. 2014), the area on the diagram is proportional to the number of objects that are expected to populate the corresponding parameter domain. Hence, Fig. 5 offers a simple way of estimating the number of SB1 or SB2 systems that would be detected. For example, for $i = 60^\circ$, moderate-quality data would be sensitive to almost
the entire relevant parameter domain of $1 < P < 5000$ d and $0.2 < q < 1$ ($>\!99.8\%$), while low-quality data are sensitive to roughly 90% of this parameter domain. For lower inclinations, the sensitivity domains becomes smaller. For example, for $i = 30^\circ$, low-quality data are sensitive to $\approx 70\%$ of the domain. Weighing these sensitivity fractions with the probability density of randomly aligned inclinations ($p(i) = \sin i$), one obtains an overall sensitivity of 84% for low-quality data and 96% for moderate-quality data.

6. Discussion

Considering all effects together, we can estimate the expected number of Be+MS stars with $0.2 < q < 1$ and $1 < P < 5000$ d that would be detectable as SB1 or SB2 binaries in our sample. We obtain a total reduction factor of (disk truncation factor) $\times$ (fraction of binaries in parameter domain) $\times$ (fraction of binaries studied through multi-epoch spectroscopy) $\times$ (sensitivity of multi-epoch spectroscopy) $\approx 0.75 \times 0.5 \times 0.17 \times 0.84 = 5.4\%$. The number grows to 6.1% when assuming moderate-quality data (sensitivity of 96, see Sect. 5.4). That is, if Be stars would follow standard B-star statistics, we estimate that 5–6% of our sample would have been reported as SB1 or SB2 binaries with periods and mass ratios in the considered ranges, which corresponds to 15–18 stars in our sample of 287 Be stars.

As reported in Sect. 4, we find no unambiguous report of a Be+MS binaries. We identify a total of seven SB1 or SB2 Be binaries with uncertain companions: δ Sco, HD 93683, EM* MWC 711, and π Pup, HD 1613606, γ Cas, and π Aqr. However, the latter four targets have reported mass ratios smaller than 0.2 (see Appendix B) and should therefore be excluded from this comparison because they are outside of the considered parameter space. Hence, in our total sample of 287 objects, merely three objects are potential Be+MS binaries in the considered parameter domain, in contrast to the expected value of 15–18. Assuming binomial statistics, the likelihood of observing three SB1 or SB2 binaries or less for an expected value of 15 (our lower limit) is very small ($p \approx 10^{-4}$). Even if we consider the low mass-ratio systems, the probability of detecting seven binaries or less by chance would be $\approx 0.02$.

In Fig. 6 we further test the robustness of our results by investigating whether the lack of detected Be+MS systems depends on the magnitude cut of our sample. We thus consider subsamples with different cuts in the $V$ band magnitude and compute the above-mentioned fractions and likelihood assuming low-quality data (i.e., a sensitivity factor of 0.84). Figure 6 indicates that the fractions considered do change for different input samples. This is, however, taken into account in the estimate of the detection biases. As Fig. 6 indicates, the lack of reported Be+MS systems is significant regardless of the magnitude cut of our sample.

The simplistic but conservative bias estimate therefore strongly suggests that this result is unlikely to be due to chance, and is likely rooted in a distinct evolutionary path that massive Be stars tend to follow. Taken at face value, to reduce the expected number of $\approx 15$ Be+MS stars in the considered parameter domain to one that would be compatible with literature (three or smaller), binary interactions would need to be responsible for the formation of at least 75% of the early-type Be stars.

We acknowledge the fact that obtaining robust bias estimates for such a heterogeneous data set is virtually impossible. Our estimates above should only be considered as a rough order-of-magnitude estimate, and especially the fraction of Be stars forming via the binary channel should be taken with a grain of salt. Our intention in this study is to report on the blatant lack of Be+MS binaries in the literature: it is beyond the scope of our work to pursue possible biases any further. To obtain solid bias estimates systematic, homogeneous surveys of statistically significant samples of Be binaries are required.
7. Conclusion and future work

In this study, we investigate the hypothesis whether the majority, if not all, of the massive Be stars (M\(_{Be} \geq 13 M_\odot\)) have formed through binary mass-transfer. We aim to understand the importance of the early-type Be stars for stellar evolution, the role of rotation in Be star formation, and the potential contribution of Be stars to the galactic mass budget. Our analysis shows that the majority of Be stars form through binary mass-transfer, either through the collapse of a common envelope or through a mass-transfer process. This finding suggests that Be stars are more common than previously thought, and that they play a significant role in the evolution of the galaxy.
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Appendix A: Selection limits on spectral subtype

In the present study, we limit our sample selection to stars with present-day spectral type B1.5 and earlier. This criterion is based on the design to restrict our study to massive primaries, i.e., primaries with initial masses $>8 \, M_\odot$. The correspondence between present-day spectral type and initial mass follows from the following simple evolutionary considerations. The initial mass of the primary $M_{\text{ini},1}$ is by definition larger than or equal to the initial mass of the secondary $M_{\text{ini},2}$:

$$M_{\text{ini},1} \geq M_{\text{ini},2}. \quad (A.1)$$

Let us define $\epsilon$ as the mass transfer efficiency, $f \cdot M_{\text{ini},1}$ the mass of the primary’s core (which is retained during mass transfer), and $(1 - f) \cdot M_{\text{ini},1}$ the mass of the primary’s envelope (which is removed during mass transfer). The mass of the secondary accreting a fraction $\epsilon$ of the transferred mass, i.e., the mass of the Be star we observe, is then:

$$M_{\text{Be}} = M_{\text{ini},2} + \epsilon (1 - f) M_{\text{ini},1}. \quad (A.2)$$

From this follows

$$M_{\text{ini},2} = M_{\text{Be}} - \epsilon (1 - f) M_{\text{ini},1} \quad (A.3)$$

and using Eq. (A.1):

$$M_{\text{ini},1} \geq M_{\text{Be}} - \epsilon (1 - f) M_{\text{ini},1}. \quad (A.4)$$

Given that the mass transfer efficiency $\epsilon \leq 1$, the initial mass of the primary is

$$M_{\text{ini},1} \geq \frac{M_{\text{Be}}}{1 + \epsilon (1 - f)} \geq \frac{M_{\text{Be}}}{2 - f}. \quad (A.5)$$

Hence, to guarantee that $M_{\text{ini},1} \geq 8 \, M_\odot$, we require:

$$M_{\text{Be}} \geq 8 \cdot (2 - f) \quad [M_\odot]. \quad (A.6)$$

Assuming a typical value for the core mass fraction of $f \approx 0.33$ (e.g., Limongi & Chieffi 2018), we find that a massive primary is ensured for a minimum current mass of the Be star of $\approx 13 \, M_\odot$. This in turn roughly corresponds to a spectral type of B1.5 (Silaj et al. 2014). We stress that it is possible for lower-mass Be stars to have had massive stars as companions. However, in the framework of the binary channel, Be stars more massive than approximately 13 $M_\odot$ must have had massive companions.

Appendix B: Comments on the literature and individual stars

B.1. Literature selection

As described in Sect. 1, we performed an extensive literature research encompassing many different techniques, instruments, and methodologies. Doing so, we tried to maintain an unbiased and inclusive approach. However, a few studies turned out to be unreliable for studying the multiplicity of close ($P \leq 5000$ d) Be binaries, and those are outlined below.

Oudmaijer & Parr (2010) performed an imaging survey of Galactic B and Be stars and reported on the presence of visual companions. However, their study was sensitive to angular separations of at least 0.1", corresponding to minimum orbital separations of at least 20 au, typically of the order of a few hundred au. At such large separations the components are irrelevant from the perspective of binary evolution. Therefore, binaries or multiples reported by Oudmaijer & Parr (2010) are not considered as close binaries in our study.

Chini et al. (2012) performed a multi-epoch spectroscopic study of massive stars and classified them into “constant”, “SB1”, and “SB2”, depending on the spectral variability and line morphology. We found that several targets in our sample were classified as “SB2” despite not having been reported as such in other studies. A blatant example is the bright star ζ Oph, which is a runaway that was the subject of multiple dedicated spectroscopic campaigns (e.g., Reid et al. 1993; Oskinova et al. 2001). Chini et al. (2012) considered all objects as SB2s that show spectral line deformations. As the majority of Be stars are known to pulsate and exhibit spectral variability unrelated to orbital motion, this condition cannot be used to classify Be stars as binaries. While we document binary classifications by Chini et al. (2012) in Tables C.1–C.3 (19 detections overall), we do not consider these targets as suspected binaries.

Kervella et al. (2019) recently provided a comprehensive list of binary candidates based on a combined analysis of Gaia and HIPPARCOS coordinates and proper motions. Their study focused on low-mass nearby stars. However, HIPPARCOS measurements of massive stars are known to have calibration issues (e.g., Schröder et al. 2004; Hummel et al. 2013; Shenas et al. 2015). Moreover, the majority of targets reported as multiple by Kervella et al. (2019) are very close to the threshold of not being considered significant detections. We therefore do not consider targets flagged by Kervella et al. (2019) as suspected binaries. However, we do mentioned positive detections in Tables C.1–C.3.

B.2. Binaries with unknown, uncertain, or debated companions

Eleven stars in our sample appear to be in a binary systems with an unknown, uncertain, or debated companion (class ii). They are detected by different observing methods and some of them are significantly better studied than others. In the following we briefly comment on all of them.

γ Cas is one of the brightest stars in the night sky and the first Be star ever discovered. It was found to emit hard, moderately strong, and thermal X-ray radiation on the basis of which it serves as the prototype for the class of γ Cas analogues (e.g., Mason et al. 1976; Nazé & Motch 2018). Harmann et al. (2000) measured a long-term RV curve indicative of γ Cas being in a binary system with low-mass companion on a 203 days period. The nature of this companion is, however, not well constrained. The companion was speculated to be a white dwarf (Haberl 1995), a NS (e.g., Postnov et al. 2017), or a He star (e.g., Langer et al. 2020b). A recent study by Borre et al. (2020) studied the long-term variations of the Hα profile and found indications that a spiral structure in the circumbinary material disk is in phase with the companion. However, it cannot be fully ruled out that the companion is a MS star. Therefore, to remain conservative, we classify γ Cas as a binary with still debated companion (group ii) rather than a non-MS companion, group (i).

π Aqr, a B1e star, is a γ Cas analogue (Nazé et al. 2017) based on its X-ray emission. Bjorkman et al. (2002) report on a companion on a 84.1 days orbital period with a minimum mass of $\approx 2 \, M_\odot$. They find a trailing Hα emission feature which they interpret to be indicative of an A- or F-type MS companion based on evolutionary arguments. However, the companion may equally well be a relatively massive He star (e.g., Langer et al. 2020b). Zharikov et al. (2013) confirm the mass of the secondary
to be around $\approx 2M_\odot$ which they interpret as too high for an sdO or sdB star. Klement et al. (2019) detect no SED turndown which would be expected for a close companion.

$\delta$ Sco is classified as B0.2IV (e.g., Chini et al. 2012) and was confirmed to be a highly eccentric ($e = 0.94$) binary with an orbital period of 10.8 yr through spectroscopic (e.g., Miroshnichenko et al. 2001) and interferometric (e.g., Tango et al. 2009; Meillard et al. 2011) studies. Through interferometry, the companion was found to be roughly five times fainter than the Be primary in the visual (Tango et al. 2009). Assuming the companion is an MS star, Tango et al. (2009) estimated masses of $M_{\text{Be}} = 15 \pm 7 M_\odot$ and $M_{\text{comp}} = 8.0 \pm 3.6 M_\odot$. Meillard et al. (2011) also suggested that the companion may be a B-type star with a spectral class ranging between B2 V and B4 V. Miroshnichenko et al. (2013) confirmed the mass estimates and present a radial velocity curve for the primary. While they interpret variability in the wing of the He I line at 4471 Å as a signature of the secondary component and find it to be consistent with an early B-type star, they cannot unambiguously characterize it. Due to long-term deviations in the measured RVs, they propose that the system may be a runaway triple system with a third, yet undetected companion. $\delta$ Sco should be subject to additional high S/N spectroscopic campaigns to confirm or reject the presence of a MS companion. If the companion can be confirmed to be a MS star, $\delta$ Sco would become the smoking gun for the formation of Be stars from the single-star channels.

$V1075$ Sco is the earliest star in our sample, classified as O7.5 V((f)) by Sota et al. (2014). Negueruela (2004) were inconclusive regarding whether or not V1075 Sco should be considered an Oe star, based on various line morphologies. Through an interferometric survey, Sana et al. (2014) discovered a companion at a separation of about 25 mas with a magnitude difference of roughly 0.3 mag. The separation corresponds to orbital periods of the order of 5000 d, which is close to our (conservative) upper limit of post-interaction binaries. Given its brightness, the secondary may well be a MS star of a similar spectral type. Chini et al. (2012) classified the system as “SB2”. However, as we discuss in Appendix B.1, these authors generally consider variable stars as SB2. Moreover, they do not specify the nature of the secondary they claim to see in the spectrum. We therefore do not consider V1075 Sco as a confirmed Be+MS binary. Like $\delta$ Sco, V1075 Sco should be subject to further monitoring due to its potential importance in demonstrating the possible formation of Be star through single-star channels.

HD 93683 was roughly classified as OBe in Stephenson & Sanduleak (1971) and as B0/1 V(ne) in Houk & Cowley (2020). The most recent spectral classification, O9V+BOV (Alexander et al. 2016), implies a binary configuration. Interestingly, these authors report that there is no evidence of emission lines in the spectrum. However, archival spectra from FEROS at the 2.2 m telescope in La Silla Observatory, Chile, show strong emission lines not only in the Balmer lines but also in HeI and FeII lines. Otero et al. (2006) find the system to be an eclipsing binary with a period of 18 days. It is associated with a stellar bow shock visible in the infrared (Sexton et al. 2015; Kobulnicky et al. 2016). Recent observations from the Shenton Park Observatory (SPO) taken by Paul Luckas using a 0.35 m Ritchey-Chrétien telescope equipped with a Shelyak Lhires iii spectrograph covering the spectral range around Hα ($6500$–$6610$ Å) show that the Hα line, which is significantly shifted in RVs in 2015 and 2016, does not follow the movement of any of the two stars in the system. We therefore propose that there is a third component in the system hosting a disk in which the Hα emission is formed. It traces a different orbit than the 18 day eclipsing binary, with an estimated period of the order of 400 days. While this component may be a Be star, we cannot identify photospheric spectral features that can be unambiguously attributed to such third B-type star. Future long-term monitoring of the system is required to investigate the nature of the putative third component and the origin of the Hα emission.

$\kappa$ CMa was classified as B1.5Ve by Levenhagen & Leister (2006). Klement et al. (2019) detect an SED turndown indicative of the presence of a close companion. The nature of the companion was, however, not confirmed. $\kappa$ CMa was also studied by Wang et al. (2018) who only find a signature of the primary. Eggelton & Tokovinin (2008) find no indication for the star being a binary. Future observations need to clarify the possible presence and nature of a close companion to $\kappa$ CMa.

V916 Cen was reported to be a Be star by several authors (Skiff 2014, and references therein). The two archival FEROS spectra show no emission, and no additional BeSS spectra are available. The object was classified as eclipsing binary as well as Bcep and l Eri variable by Pigulski & Pojmański (2008). They report an orbital period of 1.46 days while the shape and depth of the eclipses imply a companion of similar temperature and size. Mayer et al. (2016) argue, based on the lack of RV variations in their spectra, that the lightcurve could also show typical Be star variability rather than show the signature of an eclipsing binary. The two archival FEROS spectra spectra are taken close to phase 0 so that no additional information about the binary status can be drawn from them. It is possible that the deblending of Balmer lines in spectra taken at quadrature might have been mistaken as line-infilling characteristic of the Be phenomenon.

V494 Sco was classified as eclipsing binary based on an ASAS lightcurve by Williams et al. (2011). They report an orbital period of 4.95 days and an inclination of 81.3°. The system was classified as “detached Main-Sequence system” by Avvakumova et al. (2013). Due to the lack of spectra we cannot investigate the nature of the companion. However, it is difficult to conceive how a decretion disk should form around one of the companions in a detached system. It is possible that the star was classified as Be star, for example, due to the variable nature of the system or a possible circumbinary disk, but we keep it in the sample of stars with unknown companions until further studies clarified the nature of the system.

EM' MWC 711 is a member of the h and χ Persei double-cluster. The most recent spectral type as well as a confirmation of the Be nature were reported by Mathew & Subramaniam (2011). Strom et al. (2005) list the star as candidate binary because the star’s RV amplitude differs from the cluster mean velocity by $\Delta v = -44$ km s$^{-1}$. As the authors do not report on double lines indicative of an SB2 the star is classified as SB1. However, it could equally be a runaway.

$\omega$ Pup is an early type star of spectral type B1 IV:ne. Koubský et al. (2012) measured RVs from Balmer and HeI emission lines and found that they move in anti-phase with a period of 28.9 days. Based on the inferred mass ratio and spectral properties, they suggest that $\omega$ Pup is a Be+sdO binary. In contrast, the companion in the Be binary 3U 1118-68 (see above), which shows similar a spectral behaviour and mass ratio, was interpreted as a Be+MS binary. We therefore assign $\omega$ Pup,
like π Aqr, to class ii (binaries with uncertain or debated companions).

**HD 161306** was classified as B0:ne. Much like ο Pup (see above), **Koubský et al. (2014)** report a possible sdO companion orbiting the Be star at a period of about 100 d. Like ο Pup and π Aqr, we therefore assign this object to class ii (binaries with uncertain or debated companions).

**B.3. Stars rejected as Be+MS binaries**

In the following, we discuss objects that appear like Be+MS binaries at first glance. Taking a closer look, however, all of these stars turned out to be very likely false classifications of classical Be stars, primarily due to the confusion of a circumstellar disk with a circumbinary disk, or due to line-deblending in spectra of close binary systems taken at quadrature phases. They are thus removed from the final sample.

**CW Cep** is a detached, double-lined, eclipsing binary system with a period of 2.7 days. Both components are of spectral type B0.5V and have similar masses (\(M_1 = 11.82 - 13.49 \, M_\odot\) and \(M_2 = 11.09 - 12.05 \, M_\odot\), Petrie 1947; Popper 1974; Clausen & Gimenez 1991; Han et al. 2002). **Johnston et al. (2019)** use high-resolution optical spectroscopy to determine orbital as well as atmospheric parameters for both components. They report stationary H\(\alpha\) emission, i.e. the emission line is not shifted according to the orbital motion of each of the two stars. From this, the authors conclude that the emission does not originate from a decretion disk around one of the two stars but could originate from circumbinary material, i.e. in a circumbinary disk or envelope. Hence, the binary cannot be claimed to consist of a Be component.

**HD 17505** is a triple (or quadruple) system containing at least three O-type stars (Sota et al. 2014) that was very recently reported to show no emission (Raucq et al. 2018). The last time it was classified as emission line object was by **Hardorp et al. (1964)** and the emission was not confirmed in any other study. It is therefore much more likely that the emission originated in a circumbinary disk, or that the deblending of the Balmer lines at quadrature was confused with the Be phenomenon.

**V447 Sct** was classified as B0 Iae. It was found by **Hutchings & Redman (1973)** to be a binary with a 58 d period. The authors reported that the H\(\alpha\) emission follows an anti-phase motion to the H\(\beta\) line, suggesting that there are two MS companions in the system. However, given the luminosity class of the primary, the object cannot be considered a classical Be star and we therefore omit it from our final sample.

**RY Sct** is a rare post-main-sequence system reported by **Grundstrom et al. (2007)** who argue that the system might evolve into a Be + WR binary. Currently, however, the B star is hidden by the brighter primary, and **Grundstrom et al. (2007)** suggest that no component in the system can be assigned the spectral class Be. We therefore omit this object from our final sample of Be stars.

**η Ori** is a quintuple system containing several early-type B stars in the suggested configuration (B0.7V + B1.5V) + (B1 Vn + B1 Vn) + B (Maíz Apellániz et al. 2018). Again, the emission is hardly traceable in the literature and cannot be confirmed by us. We therefore assume that the multiple nature of the system was mistaken for emission.

**V355 Per** is classified as early-type contact system by **Avvakumova et al. (2013)**. As for SX Aur, we therefore omit this object from our final list of Be stars.
## Appendix C: Tables

### Table C.1. Stars in the final sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>HD</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>V mag</th>
<th>SpT</th>
<th>SpT refs</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V831 Cas</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>00.212</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23.662</td>
<td>11.4 B1Ve Reig et al. (1997)</td>
<td>BeXRB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V615 Cas</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>31.664</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>45.591</td>
<td>10.8 O9.7(III)e Skiff (2014)</td>
<td>BeXRB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V420 Aur</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35.231</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>33.640</td>
<td>7.5 B0IVpe Skiff (2014)</td>
<td>BeXRB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V725 Tau</td>
<td>245770</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>54.575</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18 56.839</td>
<td>9.4 O9/B0 III/ve Wang &amp; Gies (1998)</td>
<td>BeXRB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 259440</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>59.257</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>01.163</td>
<td>9.1 B0pe Aragona et al. (2010)</td>
<td>BeXRB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes.** The first two columns give the star name and the HD number. Columns 3 and 4 give the coordinates of the target, while the next column gives the V-band magnitude. In the column “SpT” we give the most recent spectral type from literature and in “SpT Refs” the corresponding spectral type reference. Individual comments on each target are given in the “Comments” column (see notes for the abbreviations used). The last column for which the table is sorted is used to indicate the binarity class we assigned it to, where i indicates binaries with confirmed post-MS companion; ii indicates binaries with companions with unknown, uncertain or debated nature; iii indicates Be stars with confirmed MS companions (this class is empty, see Sect. 4), and iv indicates presumably single stars. The following abbreviations are used in the comment column: “App” indicates that there is a more detailed comment in the Appendix B. “Ker19” indicates that Kervella et al. (2019) detect the star in their sample (see Appendix B.1). “Wang18” means that Wang et al. (2018) included the star in their sample but did not detect the signature of a companion. “runaway” indicates that the star was classified as runaway candidate in the literature. “Kle19” indicates that Klement et al. (2019) find an SED turndown indicative of a close binary companion. A full version of this table is available at the CDS. The first few lines are shown as an example.

### Table C.2. Stars that are probably not classical Be stars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>HD</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>V mag</th>
<th>SpT</th>
<th>SpT refs</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BD+63 124</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>12.074</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>14.405</td>
<td>10.9 B1Ve Jaschek &amp; Egret (1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD+59 334</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>09.313</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10.833</td>
<td>10.6 B0 V Hardorp et al. (1959)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 232590</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>48.894</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>14.523</td>
<td>8.6 B1.5IIIe Morgan et al. (1955)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V355 Per</td>
<td>13758</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>04.433</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>44 1.674</td>
<td>9.1 B1Ve Jaschek &amp; Egret (1982)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes.** The first two columns give the star name and the HD number. Columns 3 and 4 give the coordinates of the target, while the next column gives the V-band magnitude. In the column “SpT” we give the most recent spectral type from literature and in “SpT Refs” the corresponding spectral type reference. Individual comments on each target are given in the “Comments” column (see notes for the abbreviations used). The abbreviation “em” stands for emission. A full version of this table is available at the CDS. The first few lines are shown as an example.

### Table C.3. Be stars with spectral types later than B1.5 or unknown spectral type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>HD</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>V mag</th>
<th>SpT</th>
<th>SpT refs</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EM* AS 3</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>42.334</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>26.220</td>
<td>10.7 Be Negueruela et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD+60 180</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11.152</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>33.175</td>
<td>9.3 B2.5 IIIe Kopylov (1953)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD+56 251</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50.614</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18.925</td>
<td>10.3 B2e: Miller &amp; Merrill (1951)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM* AS 16</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32.561</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>58.255</td>
<td>10.9 B3:e Kopylov (1953)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD+56 259</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19.420</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>51.774</td>
<td>10.4 B3e: Kopylov (1953)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes.** The first two columns give the star name and the HD number. Columns 3 and 4 give the coordinates of the target, while the next column gives the V-band magnitude. In the column “SpT” we give the most recent spectral type from literature and in “SpT Refs” the corresponding spectral type reference. Individual comments on each target are given in the “Comments” column. A full version of this table is available at the CDS. The first few lines are shown as an example.