A strong neutron burst in jet-like supernovae of spinstars
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ABSTRACT

Context. Some metal-poor stars have abundance patterns, which are midway between the slow (s) and rapid (r) neutron capture processes.

Aims. We show that the helium shell of a fast rotating massive star experiencing a jet-like explosion undergoes two efficient neutron capture processes: one during stellar evolution and one during the explosion. It eventually provides a material whose chemical composition is midway between the s- and r-process.

Methods. A low metallicity 40 M☉ model with an initial rotational velocity of about 700 km s⁻¹ was computed from birth to pre-supernova with an extended nuclear network following the slow neutron capture process. A two-dimensional hydrodynamic relativistic code was used to model a E = 10⁵⁰ erg relativistic jet-like explosion hitting the stellar mantle. The jet-induced nucleosynthesis was calculated in post-processing with an optimised network of 1812 nuclei.

Results. During the star’s life, heavy elements from 30 ≤ Z ≤ 82 are produced thanks to an efficient s-process, which is boosted by rotation. At the end of the evolution, the helium shell is largely enriched in trans-iron elements and in (unburnt) $^{22}$Ne, whose abundance is ~20 times higher than in a non-rotating model. During the explosion, the jet heats the helium shell up to ~1.5 GK. It efficiently activates (α, n) reactions, such as $^{21}$Ne(α, n), and leads to a strong n-process with neutron densities of ~10¹⁹–10²⁰ cm⁻³ during 0.1 s. This has the effect of shifting the s-process pattern, which was built during stellar evolution, towards heavier elements (e.g. Eu). The resulting chemical pattern is consistent with the abundances of the carbon-enhanced metal-poor r/s star CS29528-028, provided the ejecta of the jet model is not homogeneously mixed.

Conclusions. The helium burning zones of rotating massive stars experience an efficient s-process during the evolution followed by an efficient n-process during a jet-like explosion. This is a new astrophysical site which can explain at least some of the metal-poor stars showing abundance patterns midway between the s- and r-process.
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1. Introduction

Understanding the origin of the elements is among the very topical challenges of modern astrophysics (e.g. the reviews of Meyer 1994; Arnould et al. 2007; Thielemann et al. 2017; Cowan et al. 2019; Arnould & Goriely 2020). The solar abundances first revealed the existence of a slow (s) and rapid (r) neutron capture process (Suess & Urey 1956; Burbidge et al. 1957). The s-process operates during the life of asymptotic giant branch (AGB, main s-process, e.g. Busso et al. 1999; Herwig 2005; Lugaro et al. 2012; Karakas & Lattanzio 2014) and massive stars (weak s-process, e.g. Langer et al. 1989; Prantzos et al. 1990; Käppeler et al. 2011). Promising sites for the r-process include neutron star mergers (e.g. Wanajo et al. 2014; Thielemann et al. 2017) as well as magneto-rotational supernovae and collapsars from massive stars (e.g. Winteler et al. 2012; Nishimura et al. 2015; Halevi & Móstola 2018; Siegel et al. 2019).

Besides the Sun, metal-poor (MP) stars (e.g. the review of Beers & Christlieb 2005; Frebel & Norris 2015) are prime targets to investigate the origin of elements since they likely formed from a material that was enriched by just one or a few previous sources. Thus their abundances only reflect one or a few previous nucleosynthetic events. A zoo of MP stars was progressively constituted based on the chemical composition of these objects. Numerous MP stars have supersolar [C/Fe] ratios and are called carbon-enhanced metal-poor (CEMP) stars. At [Fe/H] ≤ −3, most MP stars are CEMP stars and generally do not show clear enhancements in heavy elements (CEMP-no stars, e.g. Yong et al. 2013; Norris et al. 2013; Bonifacio et al. 2015; Yoon et al. 2016). In addition to carbon, most CEMP-no stars have supersolar [N/Fe], [O/Fe], [Na/Fe], [Mg/Fe], and [Al/Fe] ratios. The most discussed scenarios to explain these nearly pristine objects that are enriched in light elements rely on massive stellar models experiencing significant mixing at the time of the supernova and possibly strong fallback (e.g. Umeda & Nomoto 2003; Limongi et al. 2003; Nomoto et al. 2003; Iwamoto et al. 2005; Heger & Woosley 2010; Tominaga et al. 2007b, 2014; Ishigaki et al. 2018) and models experiencing mid to fast rotation during their lives (e.g. Meynet et al. 2006, 2010; Hirschi 2007; Joggerst et al. 2010; Maeder et al. 2015; Takahashi et al. 2014; Choplin et al. 2017a, 2019).

At [Fe/H] ≥ −3, many CEMP stars with clear enhancement of heavy elements were found. This includes CEMP stars with clear s-process signatures (CEMP-s stars, e.g. Burris et al. 2000; Simmerer et al. 2004; Sivaram et al. 2004; Placco et al. 2013) and r-process signatures (CEMP-r stars, e.g. Sneden et al. 2003; Ji et al. 2016; Hansen et al. 2018; Sakari et al. 2018). The origin of the CEMP-r stars is tightly linked to the r-process site (cf. first
Most of the CEMP-s stars are found in binary systems (Hansen et al. 2016) and are thought to have acquired their s-processed material, from a now extinct AGB companion, during a (wind) mass transfer episode (e.g. Stancliffe & Glebbeek 2008; Bisterzo et al. 2010; Masseron et al. 2010; Lugardo et al. 2012; Hollek et al. 2015; Abate et al. 2015). An efficient s-process is also expected in rotating massive stars (cf. Sect. 2) and therefore these objects could explain at least a part of the CEMP-s sample (Choplin et al. 2017b; Banerjee et al. 2019). In addition to CEMP-s and CEMP-r stars, one also finds r+s CEMP stars, which are likely made of a combination of s- and r-process patterns (Gull et al. 2018), and CEMP-r/s stars (also named CEMP-i stars, e.g. Roederer et al. 2016). This last class shows intermediate abundance patterns for which neither an s-process nor an r-process pattern, and likely nor a combination of both, can reasonably reproduce the abundances (Jonsell et al. 2006; Lugardo et al. 2012; Bisterzo et al. 2012; Abate et al. 2016). An intermediate neutron capture process, that is, an r-process operating at neutron densities in between the s- and r-process (first named by Cowan & Rose 1977), may be a solution to explain these stars (Dardelet et al. 2014; Roederer et al. 2016; Hampel et al. 2016, 2019). The i-process may operate in AGB or super-AGB stars (Herwig et al. 2011; Jones et al. 2017) in rapidly accreting white dwarfs (Denissenkov et al. 2017, 2019) or in the helium shell of very low (or zero) metallicity massive stars experiencing proton ingestion events during late evolutionary stages (Banerjee et al. 2018; Clarkson et al. 2018).

In addition to the s-, r-, and i-processes, one finds the n-process. It consists in a short neutron burst in the helium shell of massive stars when the supernova shock waves pass through it (Blake & Schramm 1976; Truran et al. 1978; Thielemann et al. 1979; Blake et al. 1981; Hillebrandt et al. 1981; Rauscher et al. 2002; Meyer et al. 2004). Most of the neutron are provided by the 22Ne(n, γ) reaction. It has been shown that the n-process could explain some anomalous isotopic signatures in meteorites (Meyer et al. 2000; Pignatari et al. 2015, 2018).

Here, we propose a new scenario providing abundance patterns midway between the s- and r-process and which can possibly explain the metal-poor stars having these types of intermediate abundance patterns. This scenario relies on two successive neutron capture processes in the same source: an efficient s-process followed by a strong n-process. The basic working of it is explained in Sect. 2. The codes used and methods are presented in Sect. 3, the results and comparisons with the metal-poor star C29528-028 are presented in Sects. 4 and 5, respectively, followed by discussions in Sect. 6. A summary and the main conclusions are given in Sect. 7.

2. The helium shell of a rotating massive star hit by a jet

This section describes the basic working of the scenario discussed throughout this paper. By progressively transporting chemical elements from a burning region to another rotational mixing impacts the nucleosynthesis during stellar evolution (e.g. Meynet & Maeder 2000; Heger et al. 2000; Brott et al. 2011; Chieffi & Limongi 2013; Choplin et al. 2016). Especially, rotation has been found to increase the production of 13C and 22Ne, which release neutrons by (α, n) reactions. It boosts the efficiency of the s-process in massive stars, mainly during the core helium burning stage (Pignatari et al. 2008; Frischknecht et al. 2012, 2016; Limongi & Chieffi 2018; Choplin et al. 2018; Banerjee et al. 2019, see also Sect. 4.1).

As mentioned in Sect. 1, at the time of the supernova, the shock wave passing through the helium shell can trigger the n-process. Its efficiency very much depends on the pre-supernova amount of 22Ne and the ability of the explosion to burn it during the shock passage. In case of a rotating progenitor, the n-process can be particularly efficient. On the one hand, rotation produces more 22Ne during the evolution and allows for a higher amount of unburnt 22Ne in the helium shell at the pre-supernova stage. On the other hand, rotation may trigger energetic jet-like explosions (e.g. Woosley 1993; MacFadyen & Woosley 1999; Woosley & Heger 2006), thus leading to high temperatures in the helium shell and therefore an efficient activation of the 22Ne(α, n) reaction.

The combined effect of fast rotation and jet-like explosion can induce an efficient s-process during evolution and an efficient n-process during explosion. In this paper we examine the combination of these two effects.

3. Methods

We modelled the evolution and explosion of a 40 M☉ star by combining a one-dimensional stellar evolution code with a two-dimensional explosion code. The Geneva stellar evolution code (Eggenberger et al. 2008) was used to compute a pre-supernova 40 M☉ model at a metallicity of Z = 10^{-3} with an initial rotation rate on the zero-age main sequence of υini/υcrit = 0.82. This corresponds to an initial equatorial velocity of 704 km s^{-1}. The nuclear network was coupled to the evolution and used throughout the entire process. It comprises 737 isotopes from hydrogen to polonium. We refer to Choplin & Hirschi (2020) for more details on the initial set up of the model.

The jet-like explosion was modelled with a two-dimensional relativistic hydrodynamical code (Tominaga et al. 2007a; Tominaga 2009). At t = 0, a jet was launched at the mass coordinate 1.93 M☉, lasting for 10 seconds with a constant energy deposition rate of 10^{51} erg s^{-1}. The total energy deposited is then 10^{52} erg, which corresponds to the typical energy of a hypernova (e.g. Nomoto et al. 2004, 2006). The opening angle is 5 degrees and the Lorentz factor is Γ = 100. The hydrodynamics is followed for 5 × 10^{5} s. The thermodynamic histories are recorded by 2.5 × 10^{4} mass particles representing Lagrangian mass elements of the stellar mantle. The initial grid is linear with a resolution of 100 along the θ-direction and logarithmic with a resolution of 250 in the r-direction. The nucleosynthesis of mass particles initially located in the helium shell was calculated in post-processing with a neutron-rich nuclear network of 1812 isotopes. Nucleosynthesis tests with different network sizes were carried out in order to reduce the network size as much as possible without missing isotopes.

4. Results

During its life, this rotating 40 M☉ model loses 16 M☉ through stellar winds: 8% of the mass is lost during the main sequence, 82% during core helium burning, and 10% after core helium burning. The final mass of the model is then Mfin = 24 M☉ with a hydrogen envelope that is mainly composed of helium

1 Such a process has also been proposed to explain the isotopic signature of presolar grains (Jadhav et al. 2013; Liu et al. 2014).
(71% in mass). The final surface hydrogen mass fraction is 0.3 (Fig. 1) and the total hydrogen mass left in the star is 1.4 $M_\odot$.

For comparison purposes, the same model without rotation loses 6.42 $M_\odot$, has a final hydrogen envelope of 18.29 $M_\odot$ (composed of ~45% hydrogen and ~55% helium), has a final surface hydrogen mass fraction of 0.73, and the total hydrogen mass that is left in the star is 8.2 $M_\odot$.

### 4.1. Rotation-induced nucleosynthesis during stellar evolution

We recall below how rotation boosts the $s$-process during the evolution of massive stars (cf. discussions in Pignatari et al. 2008; Frischknecht et al. 2016; Limongi & Chieffi 2018; Choplin et al. 2018; Banerjee et al. 2019). During the core helium burning phase, $^{12}$C and $^{16}$O are transported by rotation-induced mixing from the helium core to the hydrogen shell, which boosts the CNO cycle in the hydrogen shell and synthesises primary $^{13}$C and $^{14}$N. Due to the growth of the convective helium core combined with the backward diffusion of hydrogen burning products, the extra $^{13}$C and $^{14}$N are engulfed in the helium core. The $^{14}$N is quickly transformed into $^{22}$Ne through $^{14}$N($\alpha$,n)$^{18}$F($\beta^+$$\gamma$$^{18}$O($\alpha$,n)$^{22}$Ne. Neutrons, which are mainly released by $^{13}$C($\alpha$,n) and $^{22}$Ne($\alpha$,n), trigger the $s$-process provided any heavy seed (e.g. $^{56}$Fe) is present in the helium burning core.

In the present model, this effect boosts the $s$-process by a factor of $\sim$10–1000 for elements with $35 < Z < 82$ (Fig. 2). The same model without rotation only experiences a modest enhancement of elements with $Z < 45$ (Fig. 2, black line). At the pre-supernova stage, the mass fraction of $^{22}$Ne that is left (unburnt) in the helium shell is $2.2 \times 10^{-2}$ (Fig. 1), which is $\sim$20 times higher than for the same model but without rotation.

### 4.2. Jet-induced nucleosynthesis: Light elements

The jet penetrates into the helium shell after 2 s. The mass particles located at the bottom of the helium shell have pre-jet temperatures of 0.3–0.4 GK. Those with $\theta_{ini} \leq 10^8$ reach $1 < T < 1.5$ GK during the passage of the jet (Fig. 3).

Fig. 1. Pre-supernova abundance profile of the rotating 40 $M_\odot$ progenitor model. The outer layers are shown. The shaded areas show the convective zones.

Fig. 2. Integrated mass fractions of the material above the bottom of the helium shell (after beta decays) at the pre-supernova stage. The red (black) solid line shows a low metallicity 40 $M_\odot$ model computed with (without) rotation. The black dashed line shows the initial chemical composition of the models. The vertical dotted lines show the location of Sr, Ba, and Pb.

Close to the jet axis, the high temperature activates $^{22}$Ne($\alpha$,n), but also $^{13}$C($\alpha$,n) and $^{17}$O($\alpha$,n), the isotopes $^{13}$C and $^{17}$O being produced by $^{12}$C($\alpha$,$\gamma$) and $^{16}$O($\alpha$,$\gamma$) (Fig. 4). Even closer to the jet axis and deeper in the helium shell, the higher temperature efficiently produces $^{24}$Mg, $^{28}$Si, and $^{32}$S (Fig. 5) through ($\alpha$,n) reactions. Some ($\alpha$,p) reactions, such as $^{23}$Mg($\alpha$,p)$^{28}$Al and $^{25}$Mg($\alpha$,p)$^{30}$Si, also become strong and, therefore, they release protons, which activate some (p,$\gamma$) reactions. For instance, $^{31}$P is enhanced (Fig. 5) through $^{30}$Si(p,$\gamma$$)^{31}$P. The high $^{35}$Cl abundance comes from the chain $^{24}$Mg($\alpha$,p)$^{29}$Al($\alpha$,p)$^{34}$Si($\alpha$,p)$^{39}$S($\alpha$,p,$\gamma$)$^{44}$Cl. The high $^{36}$Ar and $^{39}$K mainly come from $^{34}$S($\alpha$,p)$^{39}$Cl($\alpha$,p)$^{35}$Ar and $^{34}$S($\alpha$,p)$^{39}$Ar($\alpha$,p)$^{44}$K, respectively. Some Ti is also formed thanks to $^{34}$Ca($\alpha$,p,$\gamma$)$^{38}$Sc($\alpha$,p,$\gamma$)$^{40}$Ti.

### 4.3. Jet-induced nucleosynthesis: Heavy elements

During the jet explosion, the ($\alpha$,n) reactions lead to a burst of neutrons. For mass particles that are initially along the jet axis ($\theta_{ini} \leq 5^\circ$) and with initial mass coordinates of $M_{ini} \sim 15.5–18 M_\odot$, the neutron density reaches $n_n > 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ during $\sim 0.1$ s (Fig. 7, left panel). The neutron exposure$^4$ of these mass particles goes up to 1.35 mbarn$^{-1}$ (Fig. 7). Despite $n_n$ values being close to $r$-process values, the abundant light elements in the helium shell (especially $^{13}$C and $^{16}$O) act as strong neutron poisons. This reduces the amount of available neutron for heavy seeds and does not lead to $r$-process nucleosynthesis. Nevertheless, during the burst, isotopes that are far from the valley of stability are produced (Fig. 8, bottom panel). The pre-jet abundance pattern is therefore shifted towards higher mass numbers compared to the initial pattern (Fig. 9). In particular, the first ($A \sim 80–90$) and second ($A \sim 130–140$) $s$-process peaks that were built during stellar evolution are shifted to higher atomic masses (see also Fig. 6). Details on the nucleosynthesis are given below.

$^3$ Produced from the initial hydrogen and helium content of the star, but not from the initial metals.

$^4$ The neutron exposure was computed as $\tau = \int n_n \sqrt{2n_0/k_B T/m_n} dt$ with $n_n$ the neutron density, $k_B$ the Boltzmann constant, $T$ the temperature, and $m_n$ the neutron mass.
Fig. 3. Location of the mass particles after 2.8 s (left panel) and 5.4 s (right panel). The colour shows the temperature of the mass particles. The inner grey circle shows the central remnant. The black circle with a radius of \( \sim 0.7 \times 10^{10} \) cm shows the location of the bottom of the helium shell.

Fig. 4. Flowchart of a mass particle with \( M_{\text{ini}} = 16.53 \, M_\odot \) (i.e. originally in the helium shell, cf. Fig. 1) and \( \theta_{\text{ini}} = 3.15^\circ \) at its temperature peak. Mass fractions of isotopes are shown by the red colourmap. Black squares denote stable isotopes. Net reaction rates, considering forward and reverse reactions, are shown by arrows. Arrows are coloured as a function of the reaction type: yellow for \((\alpha, \gamma)\), cyan for \((n, \gamma)\), green for \((\alpha, n)\), and blue for \((\gamma, \alpha)\) and \((\alpha, \alpha)\). Rates below \( 7 \times 10^{22} \) cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) are not shown.

During the jet explosion, \( ^{56,57,58,60}\text{Fe} \) are mostly transformed into \( ^{50–64}\text{Fe} \) by neutron captures. After the explosion, \( ^{50–64}\text{Fe} \) eventually decay to the stable \( ^{60,61,62,63}\text{Ni} \) and \( ^{63}\text{Cu} \) isotopes. This has the overall effect of destroying \( \text{Fe} \) and somewhat increasing \( \text{Ni} \) and \( \text{Cu} \) (Figs. 6 and 10). The \( ^{59}\text{Co} \) isotope, which is the only stable isotope of \( \text{Co} \), is mainly transformed into \( ^{62–66}\text{Co} \) isotopes which decay to \( \text{Ni} \), \( \text{Cu} \), \( \text{Zn} \), and \( ^{66}\text{Ga} \). The production of \( ^{59}\text{Fe} \), \( ^{59}\text{Mn} \), and \( ^{59}\text{Cr} \), which will decay to \( ^{59}\text{Co} \), is too small to replenish \( ^{59}\text{Co} \) and therefore the final \( ^{59}\text{Co} \) abundance stays low (Fig. 10). The higher final \( \text{Zn} \) abundance is mainly due to the decay of \( ^{66,67,68}\text{Ni} \) to \( ^{66,67,68}\text{Zn} \) isotopes. The final \( \text{Mo} \) is also enhanced, mainly thanks to the decay of neutron-rich \( ^{96–100}\text{Zr} \) isotopes to stable \( \text{Mo} \) isotopes. The final \( \text{Ru} \) is enhanced thanks to the decay of the neutron-rich \( ^{101,102,103}\text{Zr} \), \( \text{Nb} \), and \( \text{Mo} \) isotopes to stable \( \text{Ru} \) isotopes. We note that \( ^{103}\text{Rh} \), which is the only stable isotope of \( \text{Rh} \), is largely enhanced by the decay of \( ^{103}\text{Zr} \), \( ^{103}\text{Nb} \), and \( ^{103}\text{Mo} \). It is similar for \( \text{Nd} \), \( \text{Sm} \), \( \text{Eu} \), \( \text{Gd} \), \( \text{Tb} \), and \( \text{Dy} \) \( (Z = 60–66) \) elements whose final abundances are raised (Figs. 6 and 10) because of the decays of the neutron-rich isotopes of \( \text{Cs} \), \( \text{Ba} \), \( \text{La} \), \( \text{Ce} \), and \( \text{Pr} \) \( (Z = 55–59) \). The final \( \text{Tm} \), \( \text{Lu} \), and \( \text{Ta} \) abundances are lower because they experience efficient neutron captures until the neutron magic number 126 (Fig. 8), and they are not

Fig. 5. Effect of the jet on C–Ti elements for a mass particle with \( M_{\text{ini}} = 15.78 \, M_\odot \) and \( \theta_{\text{ini}} = 0.45^\circ \). We note that \( X_{\text{jet}} \) are the post-jet mass fractions and \( X_{\text{no}\text{-}jet} \) are the mass fractions of the same mass particle that would not have experienced a jet explosion. In both cases, elements are beta decayed.

Fig. 6. Same as Fig. 5, but for Fe–Ho elements and for a mass particle with \( M_{\text{ini}} = 16.53 \, M_\odot \) and \( \theta_{\text{ini}} = 3.15^\circ \) (same mass particle as in Fig. 4).
efficiently replenished by neutron-rich isotopes for elements with a lower atomic mass. For instance, most of the initial $^{169-173}$Tm are transformed into $^{193}$Tm, which eventually decay to $^{195}$Pt, and Tm is only slightly replenished by the decay of $^{169}$Sm, $^{169}$Eu, and $^{169}$Gd, whose post-jet mass fractions are small (about $10^{-11}$). The abundance of $^{209}$Bi also increases (Fig. 10) because of the decay of the unstable $^{209}$Tl and $^{209}$Pb isotopes, which are produced during the jet explosion. Furthermore, Pb is not affected by the jet explosion because of a closed loop of beta and alpha decays leading back to Pb (or possibly $^{206}$Bi). For instance, any unstable $^{209}$Pb produced during the explosion experiences double beta decay to $^{210}$Po, and then alpha decay to the stable $^{206}$Pb isotope.

The stable isotopes, which are shielded by isotopes with the same mass and a lower atomic number, are not replenished by beta decays and are therefore completely destroyed by the jet. This is the case for $^{138}$Ba or $^{148}$Sm (Fig. 6) whose final mass fractions are nearly zero because they are shielded by the stable $^{136}$Xe and $^{148}$Nd isotopes, respectively.

4.4. Case of a spherical explosion

As a test, we ran two spherical explosions: one with the same total energy ($E_{\text{TOT}} = 10^{52}$ erg) and one with $E_{\text{TOT}} = 10^{53}$ erg.

For the $10^{52}$ erg spherical explosion, the bottom of the helium shell is heated up to $\sim 0.6$ GK. In this case, the ($\alpha$, $n$) reactions are weaker and the neutron density goes up to $\sim 10^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$. It leads to a much smaller neutron exposure that slightly affects the distribution of heavy elements. For a $10^{53}$ erg spherical explosion, the bottom of the helium shell is heated up to $\sim 1$ GK, which leads to a maximal neutron density of about $10^{16-17}$ cm$^{-3}$, that is, similar to the jet-explosion discussed throughout this paper. In this case, the abundances of heavy elements are significantly affected in the same way as in Figs. 9 and 10. This means that $\geq 10$ times more energetic spherical explosions could lead to a similar nucleosynthesis as the $10^{52}$ erg jet-like explosion considered in this work. We note that a $10^{53}$ erg explosion corresponds to an extreme case which may not be realistic. Consequently, the nucleosynthetic signature of a boosted $n$-process (as discussed throughout this paper) very likely points towards jet-like, or at least aspherical, explosions. We plan to explore the effect of various opening angles and explosion energies in a future work.

5. Signature in the metal-poor star CS29528-028

The chemical signature of jet-like explosions from rotating stars may be seen at the surface of observed metal-poor stars that have formed with the material ejected by previous sources. Here, we focus on CS29528-028 which has [Fe/H] $= -2.12$, $T_{\text{eff}} = 7100$ K,
Fig. 9. Pre-jet and post-jet mass fractions for the mass particle at \( M_{\text{ini}} = 16.53 \, M_\odot \) and \( \theta_{\text{ini}} = 3.15^\circ \) (the same mass particle as in Figs. 1, 4, and 8). The vertical dotted lines show the location of \(^{88}\text{Sr}, ^{138}\text{Ba}, \) and \(^{208}\text{Pb}.\)

Fig. 10. Effect of the jet on heavy elements for the mass particle at \( M_{\text{ini}} = 16.53 \, M_\odot \) and \( \theta_{\text{ini}} = 3.15^\circ \) (the same mass particle as in Figs. 1, 4, 8, and 9). The solid red line shows the composition after the jet explosion and after beta decays. The solid black line shows the composition if no jet explosion occurred (still after beta decays). Dashed lines show the patterns before beta decays.

and log \( g = 4.27 \) (Allen et al. 2012). It was classified as a carbon-enhanced metal-poor star with heavy abundances midway between the s- and r-process (CEMP-\( r/s \) Beers & Christlieb 2005) because of its high \([\text{C/Fe}] = 2.76\), enrichment in trans-Fe elements, and intermediate \([\text{Ba/Eu}] = 0.33 \) (Aoki et al. 2007; Allen et al. 2012). Aoki et al. (2007) reported a \([\text{Na/Fe}]\) ratio of 2.68, but with a suggested NLTE correction of \(-0.7\), thus giving \([\text{Na/Fe}]_{\text{NLTE}} = 1.98\).

We compared the abundances of CS29528-028 with four models. The first and second ones are \( 40 \, M_\odot \) non-rotating and rotating models, respectively, which did not experience jet-induced nucleosynthesis (orange and black lines in Fig. 11). The mass cut was set at a mass coordinate of 1.93 \( M_\odot \) (just as the jet model, cf. Sect. 3). The ejecta of the non-rotating (rotating) model was mixed with 150 (400) \( M_\odot \) of ISM material to produce the fits shown in Fig. 11. The third model (\( \text{rot+jet}_A \), blue pattern) shows the integrated chemical composition of all ejected mass particles after the jet. For the fourth model, we searched for the best fit by considering the dependance of the yields in the final angle \( \theta_{\text{fin}} \) and final velocity \( v_{\text{fin}} \) of the ejected material. Indeed, a neighbouring halo hosting a future star may not be enriched by the full ejecta, but only by a part of it, having a certain angle range. Also, the higher velocity material is more likely to reach and enrich a neighbouring halo. The \( \text{rot+jet}_B \) model (red pattern in Fig. 11) shows the best fit when the final angle range and the velocity threshold \( v_{\text{th}} \) are left as free parameters; it is important to specify that only the mass particles with \( v_{\text{fin}} > v_{\text{th}} \) were considered in this case. This model corresponds to the integration of the mass particles with \( 7 < \theta_{\text{fin}} < 17^\circ \) and with \( v_{\text{fin}} > 0.04 \, c \). The mass particles in this angle range are located in between the two dashed lines shown in Fig. 12. The mass particles with \( v_{\text{fin}} > 0.04 \, c \) are enclosed by the outermost contour shown in Fig. 12.

Without rotation, the enrichment in elements with \( Z > 40 \) cannot be reproduced. Rotation provides elements from the second s-process peak but lacks Nd and Eu. Jet-induced nucleosynthesis boosts the production of the elements beyond the first and second s-process peaks (cf. Sect. 4.3) and, therefore, can provide the required Nd and Eu (\( \text{rot+jet}_B \) model). However, if the ejecta is fully mixed (\( \text{rot+jet}_A \) model), Nd and Eu are underproduced. This is because in this case the material processed by the jet is diluted with a large amount of unprocessed (or slightly processed) material, which blurs the nucleosynthesis signature of the jet.

The \( \text{rot+jet}_B \) model requires that the fastest ejecta in a given angle range reaches a nearby halo hosting the future CS29528-028 star. The requirements of this scenario are stringent, meaning that it may be a rare event.

6. Discussion

6.1. The efficiency of the n-process

First, the strength of the n-process very much depends on the amount of \( ^{22}\text{Ne} \) in the helium-shell at the pre-SN stage. In a non-rotating model, this amount is directly linked to the initial amount of CNO elements: During the main-sequence, the CNO cycle transforms most of the initial CNO into \(^{14}\text{N}\) and the \(^{14}\text{N} \) is converted into \(^{22}\text{Ne} \) during helium burning by the chain \(^{14}\text{N}(\alpha, \gamma)^{18}\text{F}(\beta^-)^{18}\text{O}(\alpha, \gamma)^{22}\text{Ne} \). Therefore, higher metallicity stars, which contain more CNO at birth, are more likely to experience a more efficient n-process. At solar metallicity, the initial sum of CNO elements in a mass fraction is about \( 10^{-2} \), which leads to a \( ^{22}\text{Ne} \) mass fraction at the pre-SN stage of about \( 10^{-2} \) at maximum. This is similar to the case discussed here (Fig. 1), meaning that an energetic explosion at solar metallicity should also lead to an efficient n-process.
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6.2. The n- and the i-process

In the nuclear chart, the boosted n-process that is studied here populates a region midway between the s-process and r-process regions (Fig. 8). However, this process differs from the i-process. The latter is associated with hydrogen ingestion in a convective helium burning region, which triggers the chain $^{12}\text{C}(p, \gamma)^{13}\text{N}(p, \gamma)^{13}\text{C}(\alpha, n)$ and leads to neutron densities in between the s- and r-process (typically $n_n \sim 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, Cowan & Rose 1977; Malaney 1986; Dardelet et al. 2014; Clarkson et al. 2018; Denissenkov et al. 2019; Hampel et al. 2019). Typical neutron exposures are $1 \lesssim \tau \lesssim 20 \text{ mbarn}^{-1}$ and the timescale involved is $\lesssim 1 \text{ day}$. By contrast, in the case of the n-process, the neutrons are mainly provided by the $^{22}\text{Ne}(\alpha, n)$ reaction; the timescale is shorter ($\sim 1 \text{ s}$) because of the explosive nature of the event. Also, the neutron density is higher and the neutron exposure is similar or lower (Fig. 7).

6.3. On the production of Pb in massive stars

The abundance of Pb is challenging to determine in metal-poor stars because it generally relies on a single line, which may be blended by a CH line. Although Pb is not detected in CS29528-028, some CEMP-s stars have a high Pb abundance (e.g. Placco et al. 2013).

We recall that any Pb that is synthesised during the massive star evolution in the helium shell should not be affected by the passage of the jet (cf. Sect. 4.3). Thus, if any Pb is synthesised in massive stars, it should occur during stellar evolution. The rotating massive stellar models of Limongi & Chieffi (2018) and Banerjee et al. (2019) produce a significant amount of Pb during their lives, while only small or modest amounts are synthesised in similar models from Frischknecht et al. (2012, 2016), Choplin & Hirschi (2020), and from this work (cf. Fig. 2). Among the important parameters impacting the production of Pb is the treatment of rotation in the stellar interior, which varies from code to code. An efficient internal rotational mixing during stellar evolution favours the production of Pb: It provides more $^{22}\text{Ne}$ and $^{13}\text{C}$ (cf. Sect. 2) and thus increases the neutron source ($^{13}\text{C}, ^{22}\text{Ne}$) over seed (e.g. $^{56}\text{Fe}$) ratio, thus boosting the production of heavier elements, such as Pb. Unfortunately, the physics of rotation still suffers from important uncertainties and therefore a firm answer cannot be given now.

7. Summary and conclusions

We inspected the combined effect of fast rotation and jet-like explosion on the helium shell nucleosynthesis of a low metallicity $40\ Semester\star$. As has already been found, rotation boosts the s-process during the evolution and leaves a high amount of unprocessed $^{22}\text{Ne}$ in the helium shell at the pre-supernova stage. An energetic jet-like explosion heats the helium shell up to $\sim 1.5 \text{ GK}$. It efficiently activates ($\alpha, n$) reactions (especially $^{22}\text{Ne}(\alpha, n)$) and leads to neutron densities of $10^{19} - 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ for $\sim 0.1 \text{ s}$. This neutron burst shifts the s-process pattern towards heavier elements. In particular, the production of Mo, Ru, and Rh (right after the first s-process peak) and Nd, Sm, Eu, and Gb (right after the second s-process peaks) is significantly boosted. A spherical explosion, with the energy equally distributed to all angles, does not heat the helium shell sufficiently enough to produce these types of high neutron densities unless the explosion energies is extremely high, about $10^{53} \text{ erg}$.

Overall we have shown that the helium shell of a rotating massive star experiences two successive efficient neutron...
capture processes: an efficient \( s \)-process during the evolution and an efficient \( n \)-process during a jet-like explosion. It gives a material whose chemical composition is midway between the \( s \)- and \( r \)-process. Such a scenario may explain the metal-poor stars showing these types of intermediate abundance patterns. In particular, the abundances of the CEMP-stars showing these types of intermediate abundance patterns. In A126, page 8 of 8
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