

Revealing the structure of the outer disks of Be stars (Corrigendum)

R. Klement^{1,2}, A. C. Carciofi³, Th. Rivinius², L. D. Matthews⁴, R. G. Vieira³, R. Ignace⁵, J. E. Bjorkman⁶,
B. C. Mota³, D. M. Faes³, A. D. Bratcher⁶, M. Curé⁷, and S. Štefl[†]

¹ European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Córdova 3107, Vitacura, Casilla 19001 Santiago, Chile
e-mail: robertklement@gmail.com

² Astronomical Institute of Charles University, Charles University, V Holešovičkách 2, 180 00 Prague 8, Troja, Czech Republic

³ Instituto de Astronomia, Geofísica e Ciências Atmosféricas, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão 1226, Cidade Universitária, 05508-090 São Paulo, SP, Brazil

⁴ MIT Haystack Observatory, off Route 40, Westford, MA 01886, USA

⁵ Department of Physics & Astronomy, East Tennessee State University, Box 70652, Johnson City, TN 37614, USA

⁶ Ritter Observatory, Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH 43606, USA

⁷ Instituto de Física y Astronomía, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Valparaíso, Casilla 5030 Valparaíso, Chile

A&A, 601, A74 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629932>

Key words. stars: emission-line, Be – circumstellar matter – binaries: general – radio continuum: stars – submillimeter: stars – errata, addenda

In the original text, two minor errors appear. Firstly, there is confusion with the terms “disk size” and “disk radius”. Throughout the text, the disk size expressed in the units of R_e always corresponds to the disk radius. However, in Sect. 5.4 of the original text, the disk size expressed in the unit of milliarcsec (mas) corresponds to the disk diameter, and not the radius. Secondly, there

is an error in the caption of Fig. 5, where it is stated that the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 74 mas corresponds to a disk size of $518 R_e$. This is the correct value for the disk radius (not the size), but the unit should be R_p and not R_e . This corresponds to the disk radius of $396 R_e$.

[†] Deceased.