An H α kinematic survey of the *Herschel* Reference Survey

I. Fabry–Perot observations with the 1.93 m telescope at OHP^{★,★★}

J. A. Gómez-López¹, P. Amram¹, B. Epinat¹, A. Boselli¹, M. Rosado², M. Marcelin¹, S. Boissier¹, J.-L. Gach¹,
M. Sánchez-Cruces¹, and M. M. Sardaneta¹

¹ Aix Marseille Univ., CNRS, CNES, LAM, Marseille, France

e-mail: jesus.gomez-lopez@lam.fr, philippe.amram@lam.fr, benoit.epinat@lam.fr

² Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Apdo. Postal 70-264, 04510 Mexico City, Mexico

Received 10 May 2019 / Accepted 22 August 2019

ABSTRACT

Aims. We present new 2D high resolution Fabry–Perot spectroscopic observations of 152 star-forming galaxies that are part of the *Herschel* Reference Survey (HRS), which is a complete *K*-band selected, volume-limited sample of nearby galaxies that spans a wide range of stellar mass and morphological types.

Methods. By using improved data reduction techniques, that provide adaptive binning based on Voronoi tessellation, and using large field-of-view observations, we derived high spectral resolution ($R > 10\,000$) H α datacubes from which we computed H α maps and radial 2D velocity fields that are based on several of thousand independent measurements. A robust method based on such fields allowed us to accurately compute rotation curves and kinematical parameters, for which uncertainties are calculated using a method based on the power spectrum of the residual velocity fields.

Results. We checked the consistency of the rotation curves by comparing our maximum rotational velocities to those derived from HI data, and by computing the *i*-band, NIR, stellar, and baryonic Tully-Fisher relations. We used this set of kinematical data combined with those available at other frequencies to study, for the first time, the relation between the dynamical and the total baryonic mass (stars, atomic and molecular gas, metals, and dust) and to derive the baryonic and dynamical main sequence on a representative sample of the local universe.

Key words. galaxies: fundamental parameters – galaxies: kinematics and dynamics – galaxies: spiral – galaxies: general – galaxies: statistics – galaxies: evolution

1. Introduction

One of the main processes regulating galaxy evolution is star formation. The gas located along the disk of spiral galaxies collapses inside molecular clouds to form stars following the Kennicutt–Schmidt law (Schmidt 1959; Kennicutt 1989). Besides enriching the interstellar medium (ISM) with chemical elements, massive evolved stars and supernovae inject a large amount of kinetic energy into the ISM (van den Bosch 2000), driving the formation and evolution of new generations of stars. Finally, the star formation process also affects the intergalactic medium (IGM, Boselli 2011).

We are far from fully understanding the complexity of the star formation process. It is still unclear as to which mechanism triggers the collapse of the gas inside molecular clouds that forms new stars; nowadays, this topic is still under debate. The kinematical properties in late-type galaxies seems to play a crucial role in triggering the star formation process, at large scales via through the instability of the rotating disk (Toomre 1964; Larson 1992; Kennicutt 1998a,b; Tan 2000; Boissier et al. 2003) and the dynamical influence of the spiral arms (Wyse 1986; Tan

2000), and at small scales through turbulence (Wang & Silk 1994; Corbelli 2003; Leroy et al. 2008; Krumholz & McKee 2005; Krumholz et al. 2012; Elmegreen 2015).

The study of the relationship between the star formation rate (SFR), the gas column density (Σ_{gas}), and the kinematical properties of galaxies based on a strong statistical basis would require a well representative sample, having multifrequency resolved images and 2D-spectroscopic observations. The distribution of the atomic and molecular gas can be derived using HI and CO observations. Whereas, the distribution of the star formation activity that uses direct tracers, such as the Balmer H α emission line or the UV emission, properly corrects for dust attenuation that uses the far-infrared emission, for instance, or SED fitting codes when multifrequency data are available.

The *Herschel* Reference Survey (HRS, Boselli et al. 2010) is a complete sample of 323 nearby galaxies that aims to study the relationship between the star formation process and the different components of the ISM. This sample has been observed at all frequencies in order to provide the community with the largest possible set of homogeneous data. This unique dataset includes photometric data in the UV and optical bands (Cortese et al. 2012; Boselli et al. 2011; Ferrarese et al. 2012), in the mid- and far-infrared (IR) (Ciesla et al. 2012, 2014; Cortese et al. 2014a; Bendo et al. 2012), and in the H α line (Boselli et al. 2015). Spectroscopic data are also available in the visible (Boselli et al. 2013; Gavazzi et al. 2004, 2018) and in the radio at 21 cm (HI) and at 2.6 mm (CO) (Boselli et al. 2014a).

[★] The reduced datacubes, H α maps, and radial velocity fields are only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to [cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr](ftp://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr) (130.79.128.5) or via <http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/A+A/631/A71>

^{★★} Based on observations collected at the Observatoire de Haute Provence (OHP) (France), operated by the CNRS.

Two-dimensional kinematical data are however still lacking. As a result, we are undertaking an $H\alpha$ kinematic survey of the HRS star-forming galaxies using Fabry–Perot interferometry. This technique is perfectly adapted for this sample since it allowed us to gather, using typical integration times of approximately two hours per galaxy and a 2 m-class telescope, seeing-limited (~ 2 arcsec) datacubes of galaxies within a large field-of-view ($\text{FoV} \sim 5.8 \times 5.8 \text{ arcmin}^2$), that is, surrounding their nearby environment, with a high spectral resolution ($R > 10\,000$). The large FoV allowed us to get high resolution $H\alpha$ spectra for hundreds or up to thousands of independent spatial elements.

This paper presents new Fabry–Perot data for 152 HRS star-forming galaxies gathered during nine observing runs at the Observatoire de Haute Provence (OHP). These data are used to derive the kinematical properties of the ionized gas at high spatial and spectral resolution. By combining this new set of data with other Fabry–Perot data available in the literature, we study the relationship between the dynamical and the baryonic mass. The latter was directly measured using multifrequency observations. We also derive, for the first time in the literature, the dynamical mass main sequence for a representative sample of the local universe.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the HRS sample, and Sect. 3 explains the observations made and data reduction. In Sect. 4 we derive the kinematical parameters. In Sect. 5 we compare several kinematical scaling relations derived for the HRS with those proposed in the literature. The summary and conclusions are given in Sect. 6. All the data products, including comments on individual objects, are given in the different Appendices. Consistent with our previous works, all of the Fabry–Perot data are made available on the HRS dedicated database HeDAM¹ and on the Fabry–Perot database².

2. The sample

The *Herschel* Reference Survey (HRS) is a K -band-selected (where the K -band is a proxy of stellar mass, Gavazzi et al. 1996), volume-limited ($15 < D < 25 \text{ Mpc}$) complete sample of 323 galaxies spanning a wide range in morphological type (from ellipticals to late-type spirals and irregulars) and stellar mass ($10^8 < M_{\text{star}} < 10^{11} M_{\odot}$). The sample, which has been extensively presented in Boselli et al. (2010), includes galaxies belonging to different environments, from the Virgo cluster to isolated systems in the field. Out of the 323 galaxies of the sample, 261 objects are late-type systems, with an ongoing star formation activity, as indicated by their strong Balmer line emission (Boselli et al. 2015). These late-type systems are thus adapted targets for a kinematical survey of the ionised gas using a Fabry–Perot interferometer. Thanks to its statistical completeness, the HRS is becoming the ideal reference for local and high-redshift studies as well as for models and simulations. It is thus a tailored sample for tracing the kinematical scaling relation of a complete sample of nearby galaxies.

In this work we present new Fabry–Perot data for 152 star-forming galaxies observed at the OHP (see Table E.1). Fabry–Perot are also available in the literature for other 40 galaxies from the following references: GHASP (Garrido et al. 2005; Epinat et al. 2008b), SINGS (Daigle et al. 2006a), Virgo cluster (Chemin et al. 2006), and Loose Groups (Marino et al. 2013). So far, Fabry–Perot data are thus available for 192 out of

Fig. 1. *Top panel:* histogram of the stellar mass distribution of the HRS star-forming sample. *Bottom panel:* histogram of the galaxy type distribution. Out of the 261 galaxies (white histograms), 152 have been observed at the OHP and are presented in this work (red), while 40 observations are available in the literature (green).

the 261 star-forming galaxies of the sample (73.6% complete). Figure 1 compares the distribution in morphology and stellar masses (taken from Cortese et al. 2012) of the HRS galaxies to the Fabry–Perot dataset presented in this paper and available from the literature. This figure shows that the available kinematical data constitute a representative subsample of the HRS, suitable for statistical studies, since they already include a large number of galaxies spanning a wide range in morphological type and stellar mass.

3. Observations and data reduction

Fabry–Perot observations scanning the $H\alpha$ emission line of 152 late-type galaxies have been obtained along 91 nights at the OHP (9 runs from February 2016 to April 2018). The observations have been carried out in good photometric conditions and with a typical integration time of 2 h per galaxy. The journal of the observations is given in Table E.2.

3.1. GHASP instrumental setup

Fabry–Perot observations provide datacubes with dimensions x (right ascension α), y (declination δ) and z (wavelength λ), containing $H\alpha$ profiles for each pixel along the field-of-view (FoV). The instrument we use at OHP is GHASP, a focal reducer

¹ <https://hedam.lam.fr/>

² <https://cesam.lam.fr/fabryperot>

containing a scanning Fabry–Perot interferometer attached at the Cassegrain focus of the 1.93 m telescope. The principles and characteristics of the GHASP instrument are extensively explained in Garrido et al. (2002, 2003, 2004, 2005) and Epinat et al. (2008b). The focal reducer has an aperture ratio of $f/3.9$, with a FoV of $\approx 5.9 \times 5.9$ arcmin in a 512×512 pixels window with a pixel size of ≈ 0.69 arcsec pix^{-1} . The angular resolution is limited by the seeing, typically ranging between ≈ 1.5 and ≈ 3 arcsec. The interference order of the Fabry–Perot interferometer we used is 798 at H α rest wavelength, giving a free spectral range (FSR) of 376 km s^{-1} , reaching a spectral resolution of $R \approx 10\,000$ (velocity sampling $\approx 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for a resolution of $\approx 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). The pattern of interference rings varies along the FoV when the separation of the plates is changed by applying different voltage on the piezoelectric actuators. Because of that, the wavelength varies according to the position on the FoV, so we must calibrate the H α profiles for each pixel by comparing them with reference profiles given by a monochromatic source such as a neon lamp ($6598.95 \text{ \AA}$) in order to give the same wavelength origin (or phase) to all the pixels and associate a specific wavelength to each spectral channel. Two calibration cubes are taken, one before and one after each galaxy exposure, to account for flexion conditions and temperature variations.

The detector, an Image Photon Counting System (IPCS), has the significant advantage of zero readout noise and enables to scan the interferometer quickly, taking 10 s per scanning step, typically 32 (for 146 galaxies) or 48 channels (for 6 galaxies) to cover the whole FSR, so that we can repeat the FSR scanning many times (or cycles) and then add up the successive exposures, enabling to greatly reduce the effects of variation in atmospheric transmission and to correct any eventual telescope drift in a very efficient manner.

To cover the H α line in the range $6565 \text{ \AA}$ – $6635 \text{ \AA}$, we use seven different interference filters having a typical FWHM $\sim 15 \text{ \AA}$, allowing to scan the isolated H α line of ionised hydrogen ($6562.78 \text{ \AA}$) and rejecting other emission lines (e.g. [NII] contaminating contribution) as well as the sky background emission (mainly OH lines). If necessary, we tilt by a couple of degrees the corresponding filter to blue shift the central wavelength of the transmittivity peak the closest to the systemic velocity (V_{sys}) of the corresponding galaxy, as indicated in Table E.2.

3.2. Comparison with other Integral Field Spectrographs

The gain in FoV is significant with respect to other seeing-limited IFS instruments, such as CALIFA (Sánchez et al. 2012), MaNGA (Bundy et al. 2015), SAMI (Bryant et al. 2015) and MUSE (Bacon et al. 2017) have a FoV $\sim 1.30 \times 1.30$, 0.20×0.20 or 0.53×0.53 , 0.25×0.25 , and 1.0×1.0 arcmin² respectively. The large FoV of our instrument enables to cover the galaxies of the HRS up to their optical limit r_{opt} ³, ranging from 0.16 to 2.55 arcmin, with a mean and median value of 1.08 and 0.99 arcmin, respectively. In terms of effective radius r_{eff} , r_{opt} spans from 1.47 to 4.26 r_{eff} , with a mean spatial coverage of $2.56 \pm 0.59 r_{\text{eff}}$. The mean/median radius reached in HRS sample is nevertheless smaller than the mean/median one in the GHASP sample (Epinat et al. 2008a,b), this is due to the galaxy environment. Galaxies in the GHASP sample are mainly located in an isolated environment while 25.8% galaxies of our HRS sample are situated at a projected distance smaller than 3.4 Mpc from the Virgo center and are furthermore potentially affected

by environmental effects (ram pressure stripping, tidal stripping, quenching, etc.).

In order to quantify the spatial coverage of our observations, for each galaxy, we compute the number of independent seeing elements, hereafter refereed as N_{beams} . N_{beams} is the total number of pixels covering a galaxy with a signal-to-noise ratio per bin > 7 (see next paragraph) divided by the number of pixels per seeing element, for each observation. N_{beams} are listed in Table E.3, they range from 66 to 21153 with a mean/median value of 3138/2066. For comparison, the half of the FoV⁴ of CALIFA, MaNGA and SAMI gives N_{beams} equal to 234, 75 and 33, respectively.

Within a narrow spectral domain around H α , the gain in spectral resolution R is also significant with respect to other IFS instruments: CALIFA ($R \sim 850$ – 1650), MaNGA ($R \sim 2000$), SAMI (from $R \sim 1700$ to $R \sim 4500$), MUSE ($R \sim 3000$). This allows to study the kinematics down to the scale of single HII regions ($\sigma \approx 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, Boselli et al. 2010).

3.3. Data reduction

The Fabry–Perot data reduction procedure⁵ basically follows the same used in Daigle et al. (2006b) and in Epinat et al. (2008b). The reduction procedure consists of:

First, obtaining the phase map. Such a map is computed from the rings of calibration cubes. The consistency of both calibrations is checked and the phase is calculated by averaging the two calibration exposures. The phase map gives the reference scanning channel as origin wavelength for the spectrum of each pixel.

Second, sorting and merging the data. This is done by removing strong variations in atmospheric transmission by comparing the recorded successive individual frames of the observations. Those frames having an inconsistent flux compared with the median flux are deleted and replaced by frames of adjacent cycles automatically.

Third, correcting from any telescope drift and/or instrumental flexures along the different successive frames by using reference stars or bright HII regions. According to Sect. 3.1, the wavelength varies with the position across the FoV, thus this correction from telescope drift implies a phase correction for each cycle (i.e. each ≈ 5 or 8 min for 32 or 48 scanning channels per cycle respectively).

Fourth, obtaining wavelength calibrated cubes. The latter is done by calibrating the interference rings of the galaxy observation by using the phase map. The same wavelength origin is assigned for each pixel.

Fifth, performing a one-spectral-element Hanning smoothing of the spectrum which preserves the flux.

Sixth, subtracting night skylines. The data cube is divided into sky-dominated and galaxy-dominated regions. Then a sky cube is built by fitting the sky dominated regions (polynomial fitting of 2nd order in our case) and then making an interpolation of the sky spectrum on the galaxy-dominated regions. Such a sky cube is finally subtracted.

Seventh, eventually, suppressing ghosts due to reflection at the interfaces air/glass of the interferometer when necessary.

⁴ In order to make a rough approximation, we estimate for those instruments that, in average, half of the spaxels gives a spectrum with an acceptable signal-to-noise ratio.

⁵ The Fabry–Perot data reduction pipeline is composed by the IDL based program *computeeverything* and *reducWizard* interface, both of them available on websites <https://projets.lam.fr/projects/computeeverything> and <https://projets.lam.fr/projects/fpreducwizard>, respectively.

³ The definition we adopt in this work for r_{opt} is given in Sect. 4.1.7.

Eighth, computing the astrometry to find the correct World Coordinate System for each galaxy dataset, making use of XDSS *R*-band images. This is done using *KOORDS* routine of *KARMA*⁶ (Gooch 1996) by a systematic comparison between field stars that are present in both the broad-band XDSS images and our continuum images.

Ninth, data processing of adaptive spatial binning based on Voronoi tessellation on the data. The latter preserves the spatial resolution of bright regions while the weak emission of diffuse gas areas is recovered. Due to the small ratio between the number of channels containing continuum and the channels containing the emission line, the criterion used for GHASP data relies on an estimate of the signal-to-noise ratio as the square root of the flux in the line, which is a simple estimation of the Poisson noise at the line flux. We aim to recover a signal-to-noise ratio ≥ 7 per spatial bin. Two kind of maps are generated: the binned maps with N independent bins, where all the pixels belonging to each bin are affected to the same value and the bin-centroid maps, for which only one pixel per bin corresponding bin-centroid position, contains a value (the other pixels being set to NaN). Those later maps are the ones that are used in practice, in order to provide the same statistical weight to each bin and not to each pixel.

Tenth, computation of the different momenta maps (flux, radial velocity, radial velocity dispersion, continuum).

Eleventh, FSR corrections on velocity fields, since some $H\alpha$ profiles present FSR overlaps causing velocity jumps at some pixels.

Twelfth, the semi-automatic cleaning system of the velocity fields in order to delimitate the outskirts of the galaxy where there is no more $H\alpha$ emission. The latter is done by a continuity velocity process on the velocity field, based on a cut-off value between contiguous velocity bins (typically $\sim 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for a velocity field with an amplitude of $\sim 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). Regions with too low emission and very large bins are also diskarded and thus masked.

Thirteenth, correction of the velocity dispersion from the Line Spread Function (LSF) broadening. This is done by a quadratic difference between the velocity dispersion values of the observation maps and the mean dispersion due to the instrumental contribution.

3.4. Flux calibration and $H\alpha$ profiles

During the OHP runs, in order to maximize the observing time on the galaxies themselves, we do not observe flux calibration sources but we use instead the high quality $H\alpha$ photometry, available for the whole HRS sample (Boselli et al. 2015). Thus we perform an indirect calibration of the total $H\alpha$ flux for the 152 datacubes in a similar way as in Epinat et al. (2008a), but using in our case the calibrated fluxes from Boselli et al. (2015). We correct their $H\alpha$ + [NII] fluxes for [NII] contamination (6584 and 6548 Å) using the [NII]/ $H\alpha$ ratio derived from spectroscopic observations (Boselli et al. 2013); for galaxies where there is no available [NII]/ $H\alpha$ ratio, we calculate it according to the [NII]/ $H\alpha$ vs. M_{star} relation in the *B*-band given in Boselli et al. (2009).

For each galaxy, the total $H\alpha$ emission is computed by integrating the flux for each spatial element of the datacubes as described in Epinat et al. (2008a), taking into account: the response and the aperture of the instrument, the exposure time (given in Table E.2), the use of the velocity field to disentangle

Fig. 2. *Top panel (and top-left insert):* $H\alpha$ integrated fluxes measured by GHASP compared with $H\alpha$ integrated fluxes from Boselli et al. (2015); the solid red line represents the OLS bisector linear regression on the data from which results our calibration; the insert at the *top-left* shows the same calibration plot but using a linear scale. *Bottom panel:* normalised residual values, where f_{NB} are fluxes from Boselli et al. (2015) and f_{FP} are GHASP calibrated fluxes.

the FSR overlaps when necessary, the shift of the interference filter spectral range due to the tilt (if any) and the temperature, and the subtraction of the continuum contribution.

To minimize the foreground sky contamination, we only consider the spatial elements not masked in the final momenta maps (shown in Appendix D). The integrated $H\alpha$ profiles per galaxy are shown in Appendix C.1. Figure 2 shows the comparison between the Fabry–Perot fluxes and those from Boselli et al. (2015). The response of the GHASP camera being linear and since we are not comparing quantities having the same units, we use an Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) bisector linear regression, represented on the figure with a solid red line, to calibrate the Fabry–Perot $H\alpha$ fluxes. The fit is forced to pass through the origin for obvious physical reasons; the calibration coefficient is $1 \text{ ph s}^{-1} = 0.50 \pm 0.05 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The integrated fluxes estimated for each galaxy using our calibration are given in Table E.3.

We measure the sensitivity of our Fabry–Perot dataset using two methods. The first one consists in computing the detection limits from the isophotes of VESTIGE $H\alpha$ narrow band images (Boselli et al. 2018a) for galaxies in common with the HRS, while the second method consists in calculating such limits directly on all our $H\alpha$ monochromatic images using our estimated calibration. We find for each case a surface brightness detection limits of $\sim 2.5 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$ and

⁶ *KARMA* tools package is available on website <https://www.atnf.csiro.au/computing/software/karma/>

$\sim 2.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$ for a typical 2 h exposure time, thus comparable to the typical sensitivity of narrow-band imaging. This sensitivity is close to that reached by [Epinat et al. \(2008a\)](#) using similar integration times and predicted by Fig. 2 in [Gach et al. \(2002\)](#) for a signal-to-noise ratio between 1 and 2.

4. Data analysis

4.1. Kinematical models and rotation curves

Rotation curves are computed from the velocity fields following the method described in [Epinat et al. \(2008b\)](#), which is a synthesis adapted to H α data between the angular sector method, tilted-ring models and the fitting method used by [Barnes & Sellwood \(2003\)](#). In 21 cm-HI disks where warps are frequently observed at a distance from the center larger than the optical radius, the position angle (PA) and galaxy inclination (i) might vary as a function of the galacto-centric radius. Within the optical radius, the situation is different, as in [Epinat et al. \(2008b\)](#), we do not allow the PA nor i to vary because these variations are slight throughout the optical disk plane and due mainly to non-circular motions and velocity dispersion that behave as oscillations around a median value.

4.1.1. Kinematical Models

For each bin situated in the frame of the galactic plane of a disk, assuming that at first order the expansion velocity component and vertical motions are negligible, the velocity vector projected on the line-of-sight can be described as follows:

$$V_{\text{obs}}(r) = V_{\text{sys}} + V_{\text{rot}}(r) \cos \theta \sin i \quad (1)$$

where V_{sys} is the systemic velocity of the galaxy, i is the inclination, and r and θ are the polar coordinates in the plane of the galaxy, both measured from the PA, i and the kinematical center (α , δ) of the galaxy. $V_{\text{rot}}(r)$ is modeled assuming a four-parameters modified Zhao function ([Epinat et al. 2008a](#)) on the whole velocity field:

$$V_{\text{rot}}(r) = v_t \frac{(r/r_t)^g}{1 + (r/r_t)^a} \quad (2)$$

where v_t is the effective “turnover” velocity, r_t is the transition radius between the rising and flat part of the rotation curve g and a are coefficients that parametrise the sharpness of the turnover. This leads to a model of nine free parameters: four projection parameters (PA, i , galaxy center α and δ) and five kinematical parameters (V_{sys} , v_t , r_t , g , a). The model parameters are obtained with a χ^2 minimization based on the Levenberg–Marquardt method ([Press et al. 1992](#)), computing an iterative 3.5σ clipping on the observed bin-centroid velocity field. The number of unknowns will depend on how many initial guess parameters are fixed or let as free for Eqs. (1) and (2): in our case, the galaxy center is carefully computed from the continuum image and always fixed; PA and/or i are taken from the literature and generally let as free or fixed in some cases (see Sect. 4.2). These initial guesses of projection parameters are used to fit the modified Zhao function to the velocity field in order to obtain the V_{rot} starting parameters v_t , r_t , g and a , which are then let always as free.

4.1.2. Residual velocity fields

Since the kinematical models are calculated with a prior assumption that circular motions are dominant and those due to

non-axisymmetric perturbations are not part of a large scale pattern, the residual velocity field computed by subtracting the modeled velocity field to the original one reflects well the deviation from circular velocities. Since our model is simple, the resulting statistical uncertainties of kinematical parameters are too small. For that reason, the calculation of uncertainties is done by computing the power spectrum of the residual velocity fields and then considering several random phases, in order to compute residual fields that present the same kind of structure but placed differently. Through a Monte-Carlo method, we estimate robustly the standard deviations of the kinematical parameters over hundreds of simulated velocity fields (see [Epinat et al. 2008a](#) for details). For consistency with [Epinat et al. \(2008a\)](#) and with Sect. 4.1.3, the residual velocities have been computed using the bin centroid maps.

4.1.3. Rotation curves

Once the best set of parameters is derived using the method previously described, the rotation curve is extracted from the velocity field. Since the velocity field is decomposed into rings, we consider the bin centroid velocity fields in order to avoid any artificial oversampling of rings. We use n uncorrelated velocity bins per ring (or annulus) to provide each rotation velocity; $n = 25$ optimizes the compromise between the higher signal-to-noise ratio and the lower spatial resolution per ring ([Epinat et al. 2008a](#)). Rotation curves are computed without correction from the non-circular motions along the disk.

4.1.4. Quality Flags on the rotation curves

With the purpose of quantifying the quality of each rotation curve, we attribute a quality Flag using an automatic classification method extensively described in Appendix A, that goes from Flag “1” (accurate estimation of the rotation curve) to Flag “4” (poor estimation of the rotation curve), and attributing a supplementary Flag “A” and Flag “B”, the latter corresponding to those peculiar cases leading to a non-realistic kinematical fitting, such as the presence of a bar, asymmetries, high or low inclination.

For 11 cases over 152 (HRS 32, 35, 104, 136, 164, 184, 195, 249, 282, 291, and 300), characterized by a poor signal-to-noise ratio, the model does not match the rotation of the galaxy because of lack of H α emission, thus no model is computed, neither residual velocity field nor rotation curve can be plotted, and no kinematical parameters can be calculated.

4.1.5. Quality checks of the residual velocity fields

For each residual velocity field, the average value ($\overline{\text{Res}}$) and the standard deviation of all the bins (σ_{res}) are computed (see Table E.3). Figure 3 displays, for the whole dataset, the correlation between σ_{res} (with a mean value $\sim 12.6 \pm 2.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which is similar to the velocity of 13 km s^{-1} , computed in [Epinat et al. 2008a](#)) and the mean amplitude of the velocity field (which is the maximum rotation velocity V_{max} ⁷ multiplied by the $\sin i_{\text{kin}}$). The bisector linear regression traces a trend which indicates that, in general, high velocity amplitude values are correlated with high σ_{res} values. Nevertheless, many points for which $V_{\text{max}} \times \sin i_{\text{kin}} < 150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ are situated above the regression line, corresponding to galaxies with quality Flags “3-B” and “4-B”, so that the distribution of such points with respect to the Y-axis seems to be related to the quality of the data and not to the line-of-sight

⁷ Defined in subsection 4.1.6.

velocity, thus resulting in a high σ_{res} value (i.e. the outlier with $\sigma_{\text{res}} \sim 35 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ is the galaxy HRS 59, an almost edge-on object with a chaotic rotation curve and flagged as “3-B”); these specific cases are extensively described in Appendix B. The correlation is in agreement with [Epinat et al. \(2008a\)](#) and [Epinat et al. \(2008b\)](#), and it does not depend on the galaxy type ([Epinat et al. 2008a](#)).

4.1.6. Maximum rotation velocity

In order to compute our $\text{H}\alpha$ V_{max} without being perturbed by local variations in the rotation curves due for instance to crossing spiral arms, we fit the rotation curve with analytic functions, between $r = 0$ and r_{RC} , the radius corresponding to the last ring of the rotation curve. For this purpose, we select the Courteau profile ([Courteau 1997](#)). The original Courteau function describes the line-of-sight velocities from long-slit observations; because we are working with deprojected data, we used the following modified Courteau function:

$$v(r) = v_c \frac{\left(1 + \frac{r_t}{r}\right)^\beta}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{r_t}{r}\right)^\gamma\right)^{1/\gamma}} \quad (3)$$

where the four free parameters are v_c , the asymptotic velocity; r_t the transition radius between the rising and flat part of the rotation curve; β , the drop-off or steady rise of the outer part of the rotation curve and γ , the sharpness of turnover beyond the r_t radius. Because it does not affect much the result and decrease by one the degree of freedom, we used $\beta = 0$ as suggested by [Courteau \(1997\)](#). Furthermore, V_{max} is defined by the maximum rotation velocity reached by the fitted modified Courteau profile within the optical radius. The best fit is represented in Fig. 4 and Figures in Appendix D with a black solid curve that goes through the velocity points in each rotation curve.

It is important to mention that the choice of a given fitting function may introduce systematic biases in the estimation of V_{max} , particularly when extrapolations are necessary where data do not extend further enough at large radii. For instance, modified Zhao and Courteau profiles have four free parameters. A fair comparison of both functions should be done in reducing the degeneracy but in keeping the same number of free parameters, we furthermore set it at three; we fixed the parameters that have the weaker influence on the shape of the rotation curves, namely $g = 0$, for the modified Zhao function and $\beta = 0$ for the modified Courteau function. We conclude that this 3-parameters Zhao function is more sensitive to local oscillations than the 3-parameters Courteau one. In other words, when the modified Zhao function is used to extrapolate the rotation curves outside the last observing radius, V_{max} tends to follow the trend of the last data points rather than the general trend of the plateaus and, at the opposite, the modified Courteau function averages the mean trend of the whole outer rotation curves. In short, the modified Courteau function tends to extrapolate a flatter rotation curve and flatten local oscillation than the modified Zhao function and this have an impact on V_{max} . We choose the Courteau function because it provides a more conservative solution, as well as and for consistency with previous works on the GHASP sample.

Alternatively to Zhao and Courteau profiles used in this work, other analytic functions are used in the literature to fit rotation curves like the empirical Polyex function ([Giovanelli & Haynes 2002](#); [Catinella et al. 2005, 2006](#); [Masters et al. 2006](#)). Polyex profiles fit well a large variety of rotation curve shapes (94% of the cases, including those declining at large radii, according to [Catinella et al. 2005](#)). A comparison between

Fig. 3. Standard deviation of each residual velocity field as a function of the mean amplitude of the velocity field. The colors correspond to the different quality Flags: red dots are galaxies with Flag “1”, yellow triangles are galaxies with Flag “2”, green “x” symbols are galaxies with Flag “3” and blue “+” symbols are galaxies with Flag “4”. The size of the symbols corresponds to the complementary Flag “A” (big symbols) and “B” (small symbols). The solid red line represents the bisector linear regression on the Flag “A” data.

Polyex and the other fitting expressions is beyond the scope of this paper.

4.1.7. Presentation of the data

We present in the Appendix D (online material at the CDS), for each galaxy (as in Fig. 4), six frames per figure containing the XDSS image in the R -band, the $\text{H}\alpha$ line-of-sight velocity field, the $\text{H}\alpha$ monochromatic image (free of continuum, [NII] and night skylines contributions), the line-of-sight velocity dispersion field, the rotation curve of the galaxy and the $\text{H}\alpha$ residual velocity field. The white/black cross indicates the calculated kinematical center, while the solid green line traces the optical radius (called hereafter r_{opt} . For consistency with previous HRS works we choose $r_{\text{opt}} = r_{24}$, which is in fact $D_{24}/2$ in r -band, taken from [Cortese et al. 2012](#). Nevertheless r_{24} is not available for 5 galaxies, thus we used r_{25} which is $D_{25}/2$ in B -band, taken from [Boselli et al. 2010](#)) and convert it to r_{24} following the relation $r_{24} = 0.920 \pm 0.01 r_{25}$, obtained by comparing both radii for the whole HRS sample and fitting a bisector regression. The black/white solid line traces the kinematical major-axis deduced from our velocity field analysis; the PA is the one calculated from our kinematical models (see Sect. 4.2). In the rotation curve plots (bottom left panel in Fig. 4 and all figures in Appendix D), both sides are superimposed in the same

Fig. 4. Example of the products derived from Fabry–Perot observations. *Top left:* XDSS *R*-band image. *Top right:* H α velocity field. *Middle left:* H α monochromatic image. *Middle right:* H α velocity dispersion field. *Bottom left:* rotation curve. *Bottom right:* residual velocity field. The black cross is the kinematical center. In the maps, the green line is the morphological major axis, while the black/white line is the estimated kinematical major axis, its length represents the $2 \times r_{\text{opt}}$. On the rotation curve, blue dots indicate the approaching side while red crosses the receding side, the black solid vertical line represents the r_{opt} , and the black dashed line represents the r_{25} ; the blue solid line the r_{eff} and the green horizontal line the H I V_{max} ; the solid black curve is the Courteau function best fit to the rotation curve. The maps and plots for the all the galaxies of the HRS are available at the CDS, on the HRS dedicated database HeDAM (<https://hedam.lam.fr/>), and on the Fabry–Perot database (<https://cesam.lam.fr/fabryperot>).

quadrant, using red crosses for the receding side and blue dots for the approaching side. The solid vertical black line represents the r_{opt} ; the black dashed line represents the r_{25} in *B*-band, in order to compare the extent between r_{24} and r_{25} . When available in Cortese et al. (2012), the effective radius r_{eff} is plotted with a solid blue vertical line. If available in Boselli et al. (2015), the H I V_{max} is plotted as a horizontal green dashed line. We present the tables of the rotation curves in Appendix E. When no kinematical model can be derived, we plot instead the photometrical center and the PA taken from Cortese et al. (2012) without any residual velocity field nor rotation curve.

4.2. Kinematical projection parameters

As we saw in Sect. 4.1.1, we need a set of initial guess parameters in order to compute our kinematical models. Such a set is composed either by parameters taken from high quality data

available in the literature for the HRS (morphological measurements extracted from surface brightness photometry PA_{morph} , i_{morph}), systemic velocity from long-slit spectroscopy V_{sys} , or carefully calculated by us using morphological and kinematic parameters. The galaxy center α and δ is computed either as the nucleus in the continuum image or as the kinematical center of the velocity field. Table E.3 shows the morphological parameters PA_{morph} and ellipticity ϵ used to compute i_{morph} for each galaxy, taken from Cortese et al. (2012). We computed i_{morph} from the ϵ value following the procedure as in Masters et al. (2010):

$$\cos^2 i_{\text{morph}} = \frac{(1 - \epsilon)^2 - q^2}{1 - q^2} \quad (4)$$

where q depends on the galaxy type (Haynes & Giovanelli 1984; Masters et al. 2010).

We use the initial guesses of projection parameters to derive a rotation curve on which is fitted the modified Zhao function

to obtain starting parameters v_t , r_t , g and a . The different kinematical projection parameters computed by our models are accurately determined from different symmetry properties of the line-of-sight velocity field: typical accuracy reached by our method are sub-seeing for the coordinates of the galaxy center, ~ 0.5 arcsec along the major axis and ~ 1 arcsec along the minor one; $\sim 3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for V_{sys} ; $\sim 2^\circ$ for PA and 5° – 10° for kinematical inclination i_{kin} . We control those parameters using the method described in Warner et al. (1973), van der Kruit & Allen (1978) and van der Kruit (1990), which is based on the fact that residual velocity fields present characteristic patterns when one of several of those parameters is/are incorrectly determined. Non-circular motions, mainly due to bar structures, spiral arms, HII complexes, are not considered in the kinematical models since we expect that, except in very low mass dwarf galaxies, such motions are low with respect to rotational ones in rotating systems. The modeled velocity is a purely rotating disk that optimally fits the observed velocity fields. Non-circular motions are thus embedded in the residual velocity field, which is the difference between the observed velocity and the model. For the HRS, the mean velocity dispersion of the residual velocity field is $12.55 \pm 2.60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; such motions may become more important in perturbed galaxies and systems in interaction, which are rare in the HRS sample ($< 11\%$, Boselli et al. 2010). However, non-circular motions are taken into account in the determination of the uncertainties (Epinat et al. 2008a,b).

Table E.3 gives also the kinematical output parameters of the models and the best reduced χ^2_{red} giving the goodness of fit of the Levenberg-Marquardt method. In general, the PA and i values of the galaxy are left as free parameters when computing the best fitting. Nevertheless, for 42 galaxies (out of which 37 are Flag “B” objects), we fix the kinematical PA to the morphological value during the model computation because of the following reasons:

First, $i \geq 70^\circ$ (39 objects), because galaxies with high inclination have a low spatial coverage along their minor axis; and some of them have a spatial coverage actually too small (i.e., less than 150 velocity bins),

Second, poor signal-to-noise ratio (three galaxies), because their kinematical model easily leads to non-realistic kinematical parameters.

On the other hand, for 78 galaxies, we fix the kinematical i to the morphological value during the fit process because:

First, $i \geq 70^\circ$ or $i \leq 30^\circ$ (63 objects), because an underestimation of the inclination leads to an overestimate on velocities and vice versa (Tully & Pierce 2000).

Second, 15 galaxies showing velocity fields dominated by non-circular features.

As already mentioned, some of galaxies relevant from those two later cases have in addition a spatial coverage or/and a signal-to-noise ratio too low.

The details about those special cases are given in the Appendix B, and the parameters PA_{kin} or i_{kin} are flagged with an asterisk in Table E.3 when fixed during the model computation.

Figure 5 shows in the top panel the histogram of the $|\text{PA}_{\text{kin}} - \text{PA}_{\text{morph}}|$ distribution. For 42 galaxies over 140, the PA_{kin} is fixed to the morphological one (red part of the histogram). Ignoring such galaxies, the peak of the histogram remains at $|\text{PA}_{\text{kin}} - \text{PA}_{\text{morph}}| = 0$ because the bin width centered at zero includes 44 galaxies with $|\text{PA}_{\text{kin}} - \text{PA}_{\text{morph}}| \leq 3^\circ$; in fact, $|\text{PA}_{\text{kin}} - \text{PA}_{\text{morph}}| \leq 10^\circ$ for 105 galaxies over 140, and the median of the distribution is 2.47° and the dispersion 7.85° . Figure 5 also displays the comparison of the difference between the kinematical PA_{kin} and the morphological PA_{morph} as a function of several parameters:

First, number of bins per velocity field. The $|\text{PA}_{\text{kin}} - \text{PA}_{\text{morph}}|$ values, as well as the quality flag, depend on the number of bins, which are related to the spatial coverage and signal-to-noise ratio of the galaxy. Objects with a low number of bins are usually Flag “B” for which PA is not fixed, showing a $|\text{PA}_{\text{kin}} - \text{PA}_{\text{morph}}| > 25^\circ$, representing 11.4% of the whole sample. Cases for which $|\text{PA}_{\text{kin}} - \text{PA}_{\text{morph}}| > 50^\circ$ belong to galaxies which are almost face-on with faint emission (HRS 68, HRS 154, HRS 255) or emission concentrated in the inner part (HRS 19, HRS 256); the details about these cases with Flag “3-B” and “4-B” are extensively described in Appendix B; nevertheless their velocity fields are good enough to allow the determination of PA_{kin} values.

Second, difference between the kinematical and the morphological inclination ($i_{\text{kin}} - i_{\text{morph}}$). In this plot, if we do not take into account the data for which i_{kin} has been fixed to the morphological one, we see that a possible under or over-estimation of the inclination does not depend on $|\text{PA}_{\text{kin}} - \text{PA}_{\text{morph}}|$. Despite the fact that the median difference between the kinematical and morphological inclinations is almost equal to zero (-0.2°), meaning that we probably do not introduce statistical biases to compute the inclination regardless the method used (morphological or kinematical), the dispersion of the $i_{\text{kin}} - i_{\text{morph}}$ distribution is quite large (8.3°).

Third, the kinematical inclination. $|\text{PA}_{\text{kin}} - \text{PA}_{\text{morph}}|$ becomes larger as i_{kin} decreases, showing good agreement with Epinat et al. (2008a,b).

We also compare $|\text{PA}_{\text{kin}} - \text{PA}_{\text{morph}}|$ as a function of the Galaxy Type and the Distance to the Virgo Cluster (A or B clouds), but we do not find any correlation with these two parameters and we do not show those plots here.

Figure 6 displays the histogram of the variation between the morphological and the kinematical inclinations. For 78 galaxies over 140, i_{kin} is fixed to the morphological value (red part of the histogram). In order to test whether there was a correlation or not between the galaxy inclination (either i_{kin} or i_{morph}) and the maximum rotational velocity V_{max} , we perform several OLS bisector regressions comparing both i_{kin} and i_{morph} values versus V_{max} (not plotted here). We do not observe any significant relation, on the whole sample neither any of the subsamples as defined by their different quality flags.

Bars and Bulges are usually bright with respect to the disks, nevertheless, bulges contain a low content of warm gas while bars could be warm gas-poor or -rich. In case of low gas content, Voronoi tessellations minimise the impact of the non-rotating bulge and of the bar on the determination of the disk parameters. In case of high gas content, Voronoi techniques do not spread out the impact of non-circular motions to outer regions. On the other hand, despite the accuracy of the PA and i computation, these two parameters may be inadequate in presence of multiple non-axisymmetric structures in the kinematics or/and in the morphology. For instance, in the case of a strong bar, the determination of the i and the PA could vary with the radius within the bar, nevertheless we take this fact into account by measuring the i and PA outside the bar since our rotation curves are extended enough. Indeed, the bar length reaches the outskirts of the warm disk in only 2/152 galaxies. In addition, the estimation of V_{max} is not significantly biased because of the presence of a bar; indeed, a peak followed by a decrease on the rotation curve is usually linked to the presence of a bar (i.e., galaxy HRS 60) and furthermore identified as such; otherwise the effect of the bar modify the inner slope of the rotation curve and possibly shift V_{max} at a different radius (i.e. galaxy HRS 287), as described by Dicaire et al. (2008), Randriamampandry et al. (2015) and

Fig. 5. *Top panel:* histogram of the difference between the kinematical and morphological PA, where the red part of the histogram represent galaxies for which the kinematical PA has been fixed to the morphological one. The X-axis in the last three panels represents the difference between the kinematical and morphological PA, as a function of: (*top middle panel*) the number of bins per velocity field, (*bottom middle panel*) the difference between the kinematical and morphological inclination and (*bottom panel*) the kinematical inclination. The conventions of color, symbol and marker size are as in Fig. 3.

Korsaga et al. (2019). Finally, we use a model to fit $V_{\max}$ rather than fitting the raw rotation curve, precisely to average local non-circular motions. The position of the galaxy center is usually

Fig. 6. Histogram of the difference between the kinematical and morphological inclination; the red part of the histogram represents the galaxies for which the kinematical inclination was fixed to the morphological one.

weakly affected by non-circular motions. In conclusion, the methods we use minimise the effects due to non-circular motions.

5. Discussion: Dynamical masses

Several models, simulations and multifrequency observations consistently indicate the mass as the principal driver of galaxy evolution (i.e., Cowie et al. 1996; Gavazzi et al. 1996; Boselli et al. 2001 for observations; Navarro et al. 1996; Boissier et al. 2003; Dutton 2012; Moster et al. 2013; Dutton & Macciò 2014 for models and simulations). The mass of galaxies is generally measured through the stellar mass which is derived from optical and near-IR imaging data. Nevertheless other components are present. These include dark matter, which is generally dominant at large radius, the mass of the different gas phases (atomic and molecular hydrogen, helium, ionised and hot gas, metals), and the mass of dust. The unique dataset available for the HRS allows us to directly measure most of the baryonic components: HI and CO data are available for most of the star forming galaxies of the sample (Boselli et al. 2014a), the dust mass has been estimated from SED fitting using the far-IR data by Ciesla et al. (2014), and metallicities have been derived using integrated long slit spectroscopy by Hughes et al. (2013). The kinematical data gathered in this work can be used to roughly estimate the total dynamical mass of a system using relation (9)

In this section, we use the HRS to study the main scaling relations between the dynamical mass, the baryonic mass, and the star formation rate generally used to constrain models of galaxy formation and evolution. The unique multifrequency coverage, which allows at the same time the accurate determination of the different baryonic components, of the star formation activity, and of the dynamical mass, combined with the sample definition (see Sect. 2) and the statistics (~ 200 objects spanning a wide range in morphological type and mass), make the HRS the best sample available in the literature for this purpose.

To study the dynamical properties of the sample in the context of galaxy evolution, we proceed as follows:

First, since we lack of Fabry–Perot data for 26.4% of the HRS, we test whether the HI kinematical data can be used to derive the total dynamical mass of the missing galaxies without introducing any systematic bias.

Second, we check the statistical significance of our sample by comparing the Tully-Fisher relations to those derived in other representative samples generally used in the literature.

Third, we then study the stellar and baryonic Tully-Fisher relations, the relation between the baryonic and dynamical mass, and finally we derive the main sequence for the first time using the dynamical mass⁸.

5.1. $V_{\max, \text{HI}}$ versus $V_{\max, \text{H}\alpha}$

Boselli et al. (2014a) published a homogenized catalog of HI data for the whole HRS. Their work provides line widths W_{HI} measured at 50% of the peak flux per galaxy. We compute $V_{\max, \text{HI}}$ assuming that $W_{\text{HI}} = 2 V_{\max, \text{HI}} \sin(i)$; where $V_{\max, \text{HI}}$ is the maximal HI rotation velocity. On the other hand, we compute the maximal H α rotation velocity $V_{\max, \text{H}\alpha}$ from rotation curves derived from 2D velocity fields, thus intrinsically calculated in a more accurate way.

Uncertainties on $V_{\max, \text{H}\alpha}$ are calculated as the quadratic combination between the i_{kin} error and the median dispersion of the rotation curve rings beyond r_t , as in Epinat et al. (2008b). This is a much more realistic estimate of the uncertainty on the maximal rotational velocity than the one derived from the integrated HI line profile W_{HI} , which is very low ($< 5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). For that reason, in order to have comparable errors for both quantities, we derive $V_{\max, \text{HI}}$ uncertainties considering the combination of the dispersion between $V_{\max, \text{HI}}$ and $V_{\max, \text{H}\alpha}$ values modulated by the inclination ($\sin i_{\text{kin}}$) and the uncertainties associated to inclination.

$V_{\max, \text{HI}}$ and $V_{\max, \text{H}\alpha}$ are computed comparing the kinematics of cold and warm gas phases respectively and using different methods; thus likening both quantities might present biases. A bias could come from the way $V_{\max, \text{HI}}$ is computed using HI emission lines; such measurements are done at certain percentage of the peak flux, here we measure it at 50% of the peak flux but it could have been done at for instance 20% and this might have an impact on $V_{\max, \text{HI}}$ if the edges of HI profiles are not sharp enough. In comparing $V_{\max, \text{HI}}$ and $V_{\max, \text{H}\alpha}$, we check whether systematic biases appear. Fig. 7 shows the comparison between HI and H α $V_{\max}$ values using different symbols according to the quality of the H α rotation curves. The OSL bisector regression for the whole sample (Flag “A” + “B”) gives $V_{\max, \text{H}\alpha} = 0.95 \pm 0.02 V_{\max, \text{HI}}$ with an intrinsic scatter of 0.82, while for Flag “A”-only galaxies $V_{\max, \text{H}\alpha} = 0.9975 \pm 0.01 V_{\max, \text{HI}}$ with an intrinsic scatter of 0.11. The two sets of data give consistent results; however, for Flag “A” galaxies the slope of the relation is closer to one and the scatter is smaller than for the whole sample. We conclude that no systematic biases are present in the measurements and to explain the difference of slope between Flag “A”-only and Flag (“A” + “B”) galaxies, we favor an explanation related to galaxy environments. Indeed, Flag “B” galaxies are mainly Virgo cluster objects which can be highly perturbed by their surrounding environment (Boselli & Gavazzi 2006, 2014). There are indeed clear indications that in cluster galaxies the star forming disk is radially truncated with respect to isolated objects (Fossati et al. 2013; Boselli et al. 2015) because of the outside-in removal of the gas (Boselli & Gavazzi 2006). For this reason, their H α and HI rotation curves do not always reach the plateau, making the estimate of the maximal rotational velocity quite uncertain. The HI-deficiency parameter is generally used to measure the degree of perturbation in clus-

Fig. 7. Relation between $V_{\max, \text{HI}}$ and $V_{\max, \text{H}\alpha}$. The red solid and blue dashed lines represent the OSL bisector regression applied to a) the whole Fabry–Perot sample, and b) ignoring galaxies with Flag “B”. Colors, symbols and markers are as in Fig. 3. Fabry–Perot data from the literature (gray symbols) are classified as: stars for galaxies belonging to the Loose Groups Survey, rhombuses for galaxies belonging to the Virgo Survey, and pentagons for galaxies belonging to the GHASP survey.

ter objects: galaxies with $\text{HI-Def} > 0.4$ have a HI gas content at least 2.5 times smaller than similar objects in the field (Haynes & Giovanelli 1984). Most of the Flag “B” galaxies with a rotation curve truncated at $r < 0.6 r_{\text{opt}}$ have indeed an HI-deficiency parameter $\text{HI-Def} > 0.4$ and are thus cluster perturbed galaxies. Since we wish to trace the statistical properties of unperturbed systems, we on purpose avoid HI-deficient cluster galaxies which are known to have a lower baryonic mass than field objects (gas deficient objects, Boselli & Gavazzi 2006; Boselli et al. 2014b). For this reason, we will ignore galaxies with Flag “B” or $\text{HI-Def} > 0.4$ in the following analysis. The resulting sample includes 123 objects, out of which 80 (65%) with H α rotation curves.

5.2. The optical and NIR Tully-Fisher relation

The Tully–Fisher relation (TF, Tully & Fisher 1977), often used to constrain models and simulations of galaxy evolution, is a tight relation between the baryonic and the dynamical masses of late-type systems. This scaling relation has been derived in different photometric bands using different samples (e.g. Giovanelli et al. 1997a,b; Steinmetz & Navarro 1999; McGaugh et al. 2000; Sakai et al. 2000; Bell & de Jong 2001; McGaugh 2005, 2012; Masters et al. 2006; Cortese et al. 2014b; Sorce et al. 2014; Ponomareva et al. 2017; Aquino-Ortíz et al. 2018), but comparisons with prior studies are really challenging.

In the present study we use maximal rotation velocities derived from homogenous FP H α rotation curves deduced for 2D velocity fields. Samples available in the literature often come from (i) heterogeneous sources, including H α long slit spectrography or HI integrated line widths, (ii) they are different in absolute magnitude range, (iii) are based on slightly different photometric bands and (iv) have been studied using different fitting methods. For example, Giovanelli et al. (1997a,b) used

⁸ For a fair comparison, all references in the literature are scaled to $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ used in this work, and stellar masses are corrected by a factor of 0.061 dex (Bell et al. 2003; Gallazzi et al. 2008) consistently with the Chabrier IMF used in this work.

Fig. 8. *Top panel:* i -band Tully–Fisher relation. Red and blue dots indicate HRS galaxies with $H\alpha$ and HI kinematical data. The solid red line indicates the OLS bisector regression to the HRS data. The dotted blue line represents the template i -band TF relation for the nearby galaxy sample of Masters et al. (2006) but using an OSL bisector method (instead of the bivariate method used by those authors). The dashed green line represents the median OSL bisector fit computed from the 100 subsamples of 135 galaxies matching our galaxy luminosity distribution, randomly selected from the Masters et al. (2006) sample. *Bottom panel:* M_i distributions of the HRS (red) and the Masters et al. (2006) samples M_{2006} (blue); dotted lines indicate the median value of the corresponding distribution (HRS = -19.67 , M_{2006} = -20.76).

rotational velocities derived either from 21 cm spectra or optical emission line long-slit spectra; $\sim 60\%$ of the galaxies in use in Masters et al. (2006) have their rotational velocities measured using HI, the other $\sim 40\%$ using $H\alpha$ long-slit data. Giovanelli et al. (1997a,b) and Masters et al. (2006) used bi-variate fittings in I - and i -bands respectively while Sorce et al. (2014) used inverse fitting methods and photometry in the $3.6\mu\text{m}$ -band.

A direct comparison galaxy per galaxy with several previous works is difficult because often galaxy names are not given or the number of galaxies in common is quite reduced. For instance, Aquino-Ortíz et al. (2018) using CALIFA data, and Cortese et al. (2014b), using SAMI data, do not specify the name of the galaxies they used to compute the kinematics of gas. We have only three galaxies in common (NGC 3370, 4535 and 4536) with Ponomareva et al. (2017) who used resolved HI data, for which V_{max} is respectively 152 ± 4 , 195 ± 6 and 161 ± 10 km s⁻¹, while we reach V_{max} values of 160 ± 9 , 201 ± 15 and 160 ± 7 km s⁻¹, thus compatible with our results. On the other hand, rotation curves deduced from IFUs typically only extend up to smaller radius r_{opt} (e.g. $r_{\text{opt}} \sim r_{\text{eff}}$, Cortese et al. 2014b); furthermore, V_{max} measured from IFU surveys are often measured at radii where the maximum of the rotation curve is not reached yet. While, thanks to the large FoV of our instrument, we know the actual size of the warm disk because it is not limited by the FoV.

Fig. 9. NIR S4G- $3.6\mu\text{m}$ band Tully–Fisher relation. The dashed blue line represents the Tully–Fisher relation determined by Sorce et al. (2014) for nearby galaxies, while the solid red line the OLS bisector regression to our data. Colors and symbols as in Fig. 8. *Bottom panel:* $M_{3.6\mu\text{m}}$ distributions of the HRS (red) and the Sorce et al. (2014) sample (S_{2014} , blue); dotted lines indicate the median value of the corresponding distribution (HRS is = -19.44 , S_{2014} = -20.32).

Aquino-Ortíz et al. (2018) and Ponomareva et al. (2017) samples are smaller than our HRS one (respectively 42 and 32 galaxies with gas kinematics) while Cortese et al. (2014b) sample, with its 193 galaxies, is comparable to ours. But even more important than the sample size is the galaxy luminosity distribution. To compare different galaxy samples, an important issue is thus to compare the galaxy luminosity distributions of the samples. It is indeed known that the slope of the TF relation is known to slightly change with luminosity (e.g. Schaye et al. 2015). We check hereafter how the statistically limited HRS compares with works which are generally based on several hundreds of galaxies.

We directly compare the HRS data with two other samples, one in the optical (Masters et al. 2006) and the other in the IR (Sorce et al. 2014), see Figs. 8 and 9, Tables 1 and 2. We use the Masters et al. (2006) sample because it has been qualified by these authors as a “template calibrator sample”, by the way this sample is partially extracted from the Giovanelli et al. (1997a,b) sample. It consists of 807 galaxies while the present HRS consists of 123 objects. In order to fairly compare the results we compute the TF relationship on the whole Masters et al. (2006) sample using the OSL bisector. The result we obtain is, as expected, different from the one those authors found using the bivariate method.

We hereafter quantify how the difference in the TF relationships are related to the statistical significance of the sample and mostly, to their galaxy luminosity distribution using the same fitting method, the OSL bisector. To quantify those effects, we

Table 1. *i*-band Tully-Fisher relation.

Authors	$\alpha \pm \sigma_\alpha$	$\beta \pm \sigma_\beta$	Fitting method	Number of galaxies
This work	-7.99 ± 0.15	-20.63 ± 0.19	OSL bisector	123
Giovanelli et al. (1997a,b)	-7.67 ± 0.33	-20.83 ± 0.32	bi-variate	782
Masters et al. (2006)	-7.85 ± 0.10	-20.68 ± 0.05	bi-variate	807
Masters et al. (2006)	-8.14 ± 0.28	-20.73 ± 0.05	OSL bisector	807
Masters et al. (2006)/HRS subsamples	-7.91 ± 0.18	-20.71 ± 0.08	OSL bisector	123

Notes. Coefficients obtained for the *i*-band TF relation $M_i = (\beta \pm \sigma_\beta) + (\alpha \pm \sigma_\alpha) (\log 2V_{\max} - 2.5)$ in the literature and this work. The last line has been computed by averaging 100 subsamples randomly extracted from Masters et al. (2006) and matching exactly our luminosity galaxy distribution.

Table 2. NIR Tully-Fisher relation.

Authors	$\alpha \pm \sigma_\alpha$	$\beta \pm \sigma_\beta$	Fitting method	Number of galaxies
This work	-9.23 ± 0.26	-20.19 ± 0.18	OSL bisector	123
Sorce et al. (2014)	-9.77 ± 0.19	-20.14 ± 0.09	Inverse fitting	319
Sorce et al. (2014)	-9.89 ± 0.21	-20.17 ± 0.11	OSL bisector	319
Sorce et al. (2014)/HRS subsample	-9.31 ± 0.30	-20.25 ± 0.10	OSL bisector	89

Notes. Coefficients obtained for the NIR S4G-1 band TF relation $M_{3.6\mu\text{m}} = (\beta \pm \sigma_\beta) + (\alpha \pm \sigma_\alpha) (\log 2V_{\max} - 2.5)$ in the literature and this work.

randomly pick up one hundred subsamples of 123 galaxies from the Masters et al. (2006) sample with exactly the same luminosity distribution, magnitude bin per magnitude bin, as the HRS. For each of those one hundred subsamples we refit the data and compute the TF slopes and intercepts. Averaging these 100 iterations and computing their rms, we obtained a slope and zero point values closer to the ones obtained with the HRS than the ones for the whole Masters et al. (2006) sample. We see that the values obtained using the HRS sample are within the 1σ uncertainty for both parameters. Both whole sample and subsamples agree quite well, which means that the impact of the sample is not important in this magnitude range.

Using always the OSL bisector method, we make a similar check in the $3.6\mu\text{m}$ -band using the sample of Sorce et al. (2014) containing 319 galaxies. The Sorce et al. (2014) sample is larger than the HRS but not large enough to randomly simulate the same galaxy magnitude distribution as the HRS. We furthermore build subsamples of 89 galaxies matching approximatively our magnitude distribution. Despite the impact of sample selection seems larger for the Sorce et al. (2014) sample than for the Masters et al. (2006) sample, the results are nevertheless similar to those obtained for the *i*-band.

Our TF relationships are in good agreement with the literature. We can thus conclude from these analyses that, despite the difference in luminosity distribution and our smallest sample, the HRS thoroughly represents well other larger samples generally used as references in the literature.

5.3. Stellar and baryonic Tully-Fisher relations

5.3.1. The stellar Tully-Fisher relation

Figure 10 shows the stellar TF relation for the HRS. Stellar masses (from Cortese et al. 2012) have been derived using the *i*- and *g*-band SDSS photometry following the prescription of Zibetti et al. (2009) (Chabrier IMF). The OSL bisector regression and the best fit published in the literature are given in Table 3. As for the *i*- and $3.6\mu\text{m}$ -bands, the stellar TF relation derived for the HRS is in good agreement with compute the generally taken as reference in the literature (Bell & de Jong 2001;

Fig. 10. Stellar Tully-Fisher relation. The dashed green line represents the relation determined by Bell & de Jong (2001), the dashed blue line that of McGaugh et al. (2000), the solid red line the OSL bisector regression to our sample. Colors and symbols are as Fig. 8. The solid black line shows the predictions from the EAGLE cosmological hydrodynamical simulations for galaxies at $z \sim 0$ (Schaye et al. 2015, with dashed lines 16% and 84% percentiles).

McGaugh et al. 2000). It well matches also that derived on a much smaller sample with Fabry-Perot data by Torres-Flores et al. (2011).

We also compare our data with the predictions of the EAGLE cosmological hydrodynamical simulations (Schaye et al. 2015, Ferrero et al. 2017) on $z \sim 0.1$ late-type galaxies (Fig. 10). The agreement between the slope (4.51 ± 0.68) and intrinsic scatter (~ 0.16 dex) of the HRS stellar TF relationship and the EAGLE TF predictions (mean slope ~ 4.84 and intrinsic scatter ~ 0.14 dex) is very good.

5.3.2. The Baryonic Tully-Fisher Relation

We can also derive the baryonic TF relation (Fig. 11), where the baryonic mass is defined as:

$$M_{\text{bar}} = M_{\text{star}} + M_{\text{gas}} + M_{\text{dust}}, \quad (5)$$

Table 3. Stellar Tully-Fisher relation.

Authors	$\alpha \pm \sigma_\alpha$	$\beta \pm \sigma_\beta$	Number of galaxies.
This work	4.51 ± 0.68	0.19 ± 0.64	123
McGaugh et al. (2000)	4.47 ± 0.54	0.19 ± 1.23	~550
Bell & de Jong (2001)	4.68 ± 0.40	-0.27 ± 0.88	79
Torres-Flores et al. (2011)	4.48 ± 0.38	0.21 ± 0.83	40
Schaye et al. (2015) ^(a)	~4.84		EAGLE simulation
Ferrero et al. (2017)	4.10 ± 0.05	0.28 ± 0.02	867 simulated galaxies

Notes. Coefficients obtained for the stellar TF relation $\log M_{\text{star}}(M_\odot) = (\beta \pm \sigma_\beta) + (\alpha \pm \sigma_\alpha) (\log V_{\text{max}})$ in the literature and this work, assuming a Chabrier IMF. ^(a)Mean slope and intrinsic scatter calculated using 8 quantiles situated within $8.0 > \log M_{\text{star}}(M_\odot) > 11.5$.

Fig. 11. Baryonic Tully-Fisher relation. The dashed green line represents the relation determined by McGaugh et al. (2000), the dotted blue line that of Bell & de Jong (2001), the solid red line the OLS bisector regression to our sample. Colors and symbols are as Fig. 8.

and the gas mass is defined as follows:

$$M_{\text{gas}} = M_{\text{HI}} + M_{\text{H}_2} + M_{\text{He}} + M_z, \quad (6)$$

where M_{HI} is the atomic gas mass, M_{H_2} the molecular gas mass, M_{He} the helium mass and M_z the metal mass. The hydrogen mass $M_{\text{H}} = M_{\text{HI}} + M_{\text{H}_2}$ is directly measurable (Boselli et al. 2014a, where M_{H_2} is measured using a luminosity dependent X_{CO} conversion factor, Boselli et al. 2002). M_{He} is derived from the primordial nucleosynthesis helium fraction, $Y \equiv M_{\text{He}}/M_{\text{gas}} \approx 0.28$ (Pagel 2009), using the relation:

$$M_{\text{gas}} = \frac{1}{1 - Y - Z} \times M_{\text{H}}, \quad (7)$$

where $Z = M_z/M_{\text{gas}}$ is the metal fraction which can be derived from metallicity measurements using the relation (McGaugh et al. 2000):

$$\log(Z/Z_\odot) = \log(\text{O}/\text{H}) - \log(\text{O}/\text{H})_\odot, \quad (8)$$

taking $Z_\odot = 1.34 \times 10^{-2}$ and $12 + \log(\text{O}/\text{H})_\odot = 8.69$ from Asplund et al. (2009).

Table 4. Mean contribution of baryonic components.

Baryonic comp.	Average (%)	Average mass ($M_\odot$)
M_{star}	$65 \pm 15\%$	$5.24 \pm 1.27 \times 10^9$
M_{HI}	$19 \pm 6\%$	$1.53 \pm 0.45 \times 10^9$
M_{He}	$11.4 \pm 3.3\%$	$9.19 \pm 2.67 \times 10^8$
M_{H_2}	$5.2 \pm 2.8\%$	$4.45 \pm 2.25 \times 10^8$
M_z	$0.87 \pm 0.35\%$	$7.03 \pm 2.83 \times 10^7$
M_{dust}	$0.16 \pm 0.07\%$	$1.32 \pm 0.58 \times 10^7$

Notes. Average contribution of each baryonic component to the total baryonic mass in percentages and solar masses.

As previously mentioned, all baryonic components are directly measurable for the HRS, with the exception of the ionized and hot gas masses which we consider as negligible. Table 4 lists the average contribution of each baryonic component to the total baryonic mass. The mean mass and percentage of each baryonic component has been computed using the whole HRS. We note that the gas (plus dust) content represents more than the half of the stellar content ($(M_{\text{gas}}=M_{\text{HI}}+M_{\text{H}_2}+M_{\text{He}} + M_z + M_{\text{dust}})/M_{\text{star}} \approx 56\%$ and the metal content represents 2% of the total gas (plus dust) content ($M_z/(M_{\text{gas}}=M_{\text{HI}}+M_{\text{H}_2}+M_{\text{He}} + M_z + M_{\text{dust}}) \approx 0.02$).

The OLS bisector regression to the data is compared to the values published in the literature in Table 5. In these published works, the baryonic mass is generally derived summing the contribution of the stellar mass to that of the HI gas mass, and assuming a constant $M(\text{HI})/M(\text{H}_2)$ contribution for the molecular gas component. These works also lack of high quality 2D velocity fields. Despite these major differences, these works give results consistent with ours.

We can also compare our results to the predictions of models and simulations. According to Dutton & Macciò (2014), Moster et al. (2013) and Zu & Mandelbaum (2015), N -body simulations predict for the baryonic TF relation an intrinsic scatter of ~ 0.15 , consistent with the dispersion of our sample (0.16).

5.4. Baryonic versus dynamical masses

Dynamical masses are computed following the prescription of Lequeux (1983), which is a variation of the Virial theorem that attempt to account for a variety of galaxy mass distribution, from a purely flat to a purely spherical model :

$$M_{\text{dyn}}(M_\odot) = \alpha r_{\text{opt}} V_{\text{max}}^2 / G, \quad (9)$$

where G is the gravitational constant and α is a coefficient which depends on the model flatness of mass distribution ($0.6 \leq \alpha \leq 1.0$). Figure 12 shows the relationship between baryonic and

Table 5. Baryonic Tully-Fisher relation.

Authors	$\alpha \pm \sigma_\alpha$	$\beta \pm \sigma_\beta$	Nb galaxies	M_{bar}
This work	3.79 ± 0.39	1.91 ± 0.63	123	$M_{\text{star}} + 1.4(M_{\text{HI}} + M_{\text{H}_2}) + M_z + M_{\text{dust}}$
McGaugh et al. (2000)	3.98 ± 0.12	1.42 ± 0.25	~ 550	$M_{\text{star}} + 1.4 M_{\text{HI}}$
Bell & de Jong (2001)	3.53 ± 0.20	2.56	79	$M_{\text{star}} + M_{\text{HI}}$
McGaugh (2005)	3.19 ± 0.14	3.06	74	$M_{\text{star}} + 1.4 M_{\text{HI}}$
Torres-Flores et al. (2011)	3.64 ± 0.37	1.95 ± 0.61	40	$M_{\text{star}} + (\sim 1.4 M_{\text{HI}})$
Zaritsky et al. (2014)	3.50 ± 0.20	2.96	1468	$M_{\text{star}} + M_{\text{HI}}$
McGaugh & Schombert (2015)	4.04 ± 0.09	1.44 ± 0.18	26	$M_{\text{star}} + 1.33 (M_{\text{HI}} + M_{\text{H}_2})$
Lelli et al. (2016)	3.71 ± 0.08	2.10 ± 0.18	118	$M_{\text{star}} + 1.33 M_{\text{HI}}$
Papastergis et al. (2016)	3.75 ± 0.11		97	$M_{\text{star}} + M_{\text{HI}}$
Ponomareva et al. (2018)	2.99 ± 0.20	2.71 ± 0.56	32	$M_{\text{star}} + M_{\text{HI}} + 1.4 M_{\text{H}_2}$

Notes. Coefficients obtained for the baryonic TF relation $\log M_{\text{bar}}(M_\odot) = (\beta \pm \sigma_\beta) + (\alpha \pm \sigma_\alpha) (\log V_{\text{max}})$ in the literature and this work.

Fig. 12. Baryonic versus dynamical mass. Colors and symbols are as in Fig. 8. The dashed blue line represents the best fit of Torres-Flores et al. (2011), while the solid red line the OLS bisector regression fit to our sample. The solid black line shows the 1–1 relation, and the cyan shaded area the mass interval $0.6 \leq \alpha \leq 1.0$. M_{bar} are computed within r_{opt} , while M_{dyn} from V_{max} computed by Courteau’s profile extrapolation within r_{opt} .

dynamical masses. Since we are taking into account neither luminosity profiles nor models of mass distribution, we chose for the comparison the spherical model $\alpha = 1.0$. In order to quantify the impact of this extreme assumption, we plot a cyan shaded area representing the interval $0.6 \leq \alpha \leq 1.0$. The values of the OLS bisector fit are given in Table 6. The two variables are strongly correlated. The fraction of baryons is lower in low-mass-gas-rich galaxies (~ 20 – 25% of the total dynamical mass) than in high-mass galaxies with low SFR (~ 35 – 45% of the total dynamical mass), consistently with what seen in the previous TF relations (Figs. 8–11). Figure 13 shows how the contribution of each baryonic component changes as a function of the dynamical mass and of the stellar mass (color symbols) within the HRS. Using a simple linear regression (not plotted for clarity), we estimate the trend of the y-axis (respectively, from the top to the bottom: the fraction of stars $M_{\text{star}}/M_{\text{bar}}$, neutral gas $M_{\text{HI}}/M_{\text{bar}}$, molecular gas $M_{\text{H}_2}/M_{\text{bar}}$, metals M_z/M_{bar} and dust $M_{\text{dust}}/M_{\text{bar}}$) as a function of the dynamical mass ($\log M_{\text{dyn}}$). A positive cor-

Table 6. Baryonic versus dynamical masses.

Authors	$\alpha \pm \sigma_\alpha$	$\beta \pm \sigma_\beta$
This work	1.11 ± 0.12	-1.67 ± 0.59
Torres-Flores et al. (2011)	1.09 ± 0.04	-1.34 ± 0.42

Notes. Coefficients obtained for relation $M_{\text{bar}}(M_\odot) = (\beta \pm \sigma_\beta) + (\alpha \pm \sigma_\alpha) \log M_{\text{dyn}}$ by Torres-Flores et al. (2011) and this work.

relation is only observed between the fraction $M_{\text{star}}/M_{\text{bar}}$ and the dynamical mass, with a statistical coefficient of determination $R^2 \sim 0.42$. Weak negative correlations are found in the fourth next cases. Both slope and R^2 coefficient become increasingly weaker from the second top panel to the bottom one. The bottom one ($M_{\text{dust}}/M_{\text{bar}}$) is in fact compatible with a zero slope ($R^2 \sim 0.03$). The gaseous components as well as the metal and dust components, are relatively more abundant, relatively to the baryonic component, in low mass galaxies ($\log M_{\text{dyn}} < 10.5 M_\odot$), while the stellar component does in massive objects. The correlation and the dispersion around this correlation between the stellar and the dynamical masses, already observed in Fig. 12, is again underlined by these plots. This is indeed expected given the rapid evolution in the past of massive galaxies, which already transformed most of the gas into stars, with respect to a fairly constant star formation activity in low mass systems possible thanks to their large gas reservoirs (Sandage 1986; Gavazzi et al. 1996, 2002; Boselli et al. 2001; Boissier et al. 2003).

Dynamical masses are computed within r_{opt} and furthermore do not provide the total masses since they only account for the visible part of galaxies. In addition, dynamical masses do not take into account possible variation in the bulge-to-disk ratio nor in the actual disk or spherical shape of the baryonic matter distributions. Despite those rough approximations, the observed dispersion in the relation (~ 0.12 dex) is comparable to that predicted by semi-analytic models of galaxy formation in a Λ CDM context (~ 0.15 dex, Dutton 2012; Dutton & Macciò 2014).

5.5. Baryonic and Dynamical Mass Main Sequences

The tight relationship between SFR and M_{star} is generally called main sequence (i.e. Guzmán et al. 1997; Brinchmann & Ellis 2000; Bauer et al. 2005; Bell et al. 2005; Papovich et al. 2006; Reddy et al. 2006; Noeske et al. 2007; Salim et al. 2007; Elbaz et al. 2007; Daddi et al. 2007; Pannella et al. 2009; Rodighiero et al. 2010; Peng et al. 2010; Karim et al. 2011; Whitaker et al. 2012;

Fig. 13. Variation of the different baryonic components to the total baryonic mass of the total dynamical mass, from top to bottom: stellar (first panel), HI (second panel), H₂ (third panel), metal elements (fourth panel), and dust (fifth panel). The black dashed line shows the mean contribution of the corresponding baryonic component. The colors represent the stellar masses in logarithmic scale.

Speagle et al. 2014; Torrey et al. 2014; Gavazzi et al. 2015; Renzini & Peng 2015; Sparre et al. 2015; Cano-Díaz et al. 2016; Hsieh et al. 2017; Medling et al. 2018). The slope of this obvious

scaling relation (bigger galaxies have more of everything), its bending at high luminosities, its scatter, and its variation as a function of redshift and environment, are often used to trace the evolution of galaxies with cosmic time. Observational evidence indicates mass as a principal driver of galaxy evolution (e.g. Cowie et al. 1996; Gavazzi et al. 1996; Boselli et al. 2001). Consistently with these observational results, hydrodynamic cosmological simulations suggest that the evolution of galaxies is mainly governed by the dark matter halo in which they reside (Governato et al. 2012; Brooks & Zolotov 2014; Christensen et al. 2014). It would thus be interesting to derive the main sequence using dynamical masses rather than with stellar masses.

The stellar main sequence relation has been derived and analyzed for the HRS by Ciesla et al. (2014), Boselli et al. (2015) and Boselli et al. (2016). Thanks to the unique dataset in our hands, we can compare for the first time in the literature the main sequence relations derived using M_{star} , M_{bar} , and M_{dyn} (Fig. 14).

Consistently with Boselli et al. (2015), we use the whole HRS late-type sample but ignoring the HI-deficient galaxies. The SFR is studied considering the mean value derived using the three tracers M_{dyn} , M_{bar} and M_{star} . The number of galaxies differs for the three cases according to the data available for each tracer. OLS bisector fits to the data have been computed. Figure 14 and Table 7 show that the similar main sequence relations are present using the three different galaxy mass estimators. The slope and the scatter of the three relations are comparable. They obviously differ in their zero points given that $M_{\text{dyn}} > M_{\text{bar}} > M_{\text{star}}$. The best fit values can be taken for comparison with the predictions of models and simulations. We recall that these relations are valid within the mass range $3 \times 10^8 \lesssim M_{\text{star}} \lesssim 10^{11} M_{\odot}$, or equivalently $3 \times 10^9 \lesssim M_{\text{dyn}} \lesssim 4 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$. The correlation between the magnitudes (M_i), the three different mass tracers and the SFR is also pointed out on this figure with the expected scatters.

6. Conclusions

We present new high resolution Fabry–Perot observations gathered at the OHP of 152 star-forming galaxies belonging to the *Herschel* Reference Survey. Combined with those available in the literature (40 objects), previously collected with the same facility, an homogeneous set of kinematical data is now available for 192 objects (73.6% of the sample). By now, this is the first work presenting H α high resolution spectroscopic data of the HRS, with a typical spatial sampling of ~ 2 arcsec and a spectral resolution of $R \sim 10\,000$. Using improved data reduction pipelines, we compute the H α momenta, optimizing the spatial resolution given a signal-to-noise ratio through an adaptive binning method based on Voronoi tessellations. We also derive accurate kinematical models and parameters, residual velocity maps, and rotation curves.

We derived the i - and the $3.6\,\mu\text{m}$ -band Tully–Fisher relations and compare them to those obtained for larger samples in the literature. Despite the difference in the kinematic data (Fabry–Perot H α rotation curves in this work vs. HI line width profiles or long slit optical spectra in the literature), in the statistics, the different TF relations are very consistent, suggesting that the HRS can be taken as a representative sample for these scaling relations in the local universe.

Thanks to its unique multifrequency coverage, we use this dataset to derive the baryonic TF relation and the relation between the baryonic mass and the dynamical mass of galaxies. The baryonic mass is for the first time measured using direct estimates of the stellar, atomic, molecular, dust and metal mass of galaxies. The intrinsic scatter in the baryonic TF relation

Fig. 14. Relationship between the SFR and M_{star} (top panel), M_{bar} (middle panel), and M_{dyn} (bottom panel). The OLS bisector regressions are represented with the solid red lines. The colors represent the i -band magnitudes in logarithmic scale.

(~ 0.16 dex) is in agreement with the predictions of cosmological simulations. The baryonic component is dominated by the stellar mass in massive objects and by the total gas mass (H I and H₂) in low mass systems. The dust content is just a very small fraction ($\sim 0.2\%$) of the total baryonic mass, while the contribution of metals is fairly constant at $\sim 0.9\%$.

We computed the baryonic and dynamical main sequence, finding relations with a similar slope and intrinsic scatter than the stellar mass main sequence.

The observations of the whole star-forming galaxy sample will be completed in forthcoming runs. Combined with those available at other frequencies, these 2D-spectroscopic data make the HRS a unique dataset for studying on strong statistical basis the role of gas kinematics on the process of star formation, a

Table 7. Stellar, baryonic and dynamical main sequence.

Galaxy mass	$\alpha \pm \sigma_\alpha$	$\beta \pm \sigma_\beta$	Scatter
Stellar mass	0.88 ± 0.10	-8.64 ± 0.67	0.29
Baryonic mass	0.89 ± 0.16	-9.05 ± 0.77	0.30
Dynamical mass	0.87 ± 0.19	-9.45 ± 0.56	0.29

Notes. Coefficients obtained for the different main sequence relations $\log \text{SFR}(M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}) = (\beta \pm \sigma_\beta) + (\alpha \pm \sigma_\alpha) \log M_{\text{galaxy}}(M_\odot)$ for the HRS star-forming sample. SFR are derived using a Chabrier IMF.

major step towards the understanding of the mechanisms that drive galaxy evolution.

Acknowledgements. Based on observations taken at the Observatoire de Haute Provence (OHP, France), operated by the French CNRS. The authors warmly thank Olivier Boissin from LAM and the OHP team for its technical assistance before and during the observations, namely the night team: Jean Balcaen, Stéphane Favard, Jean-Pierre Troncin, Didier Gravallon and the day team led by François Moreau as well as Dr. Auguste Le Van Suu, the Head of Observatoire de Haute Provence-Institut Pythéas. The authors thank the Mexican grants CONACYT-253085 and DGAPA-UNAM PAPIIT-IN109919 which have extensively supported this work. This work was supported by the Programme National Cosmologie et Galaxies (PNCG) of CNRS/INSU with INP and IN2P3, co-funded by CEA and CNES. This research has made use of data from the HRS project. HRS is a Herschel Key Programme utilising guaranteed time from the SPIRE instrument team, ESAC scientists and a mission scientist. This research has also made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The authors have also made an extensive use of the HyperLeda Data base (<http://leda.univ-lyon1.fr>). The Digitized Sky Surveys were produced at the Space Telescope Science Institute under U.S. Government grant NAG W-2166. The images of these surveys are based on photographic data obtained using the Oschin Schmidt Telescope on Palomar Mountain and the UK Schmidt Telescope. The plates were processed into the present compressed digital form with the permission of these institutions. JAGL thanks the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT) of Mexico for the scholarship awarded during the PhD studies at Aix-Marseille University. The authors are very grateful to the referee Dr. Octavio Valenzuela for the very constructive comments and suggestions.

References

- Aquino-Ortíz, E., Valenzuela, O., Sánchez, S. F., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, **479**, 2133
- Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., & Scott, P. 2009, *ARA&A*, **47**, 481
- Bacon, R., Conseil, S., Mary, D., et al. 2017, *A&A*, **608**, A1
- Barnes, E. I., & Sellwood, J. A. 2003, *AJ*, **125**, 1164
- Bauer, A. E., Drory, N., Hill, G. J., & Feulner, G. 2005, *ApJ*, **621**, L89
- Bell, E. F., & de Jong, R. S. 2001, *ApJ*, **550**, 212
- Bell, E. F., McIntosh, D. H., Katz, N., & Weinberg, M. D. 2003, *ApJS*, **149**, 289
- Bell, E. F., Papovich, C., Wolf, C., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, **625**, 23
- Bendo, G. J., Galliano, F., & Madden, S. C. 2012, *MNRAS*, **423**, 197
- Boissier, S., Prantzos, N., Boselli, A., & Gavazzi, G. 2003, *MNRAS*, **346**, 1215
- Boselli, A. 2011, *A Panchromatic View of Galaxies* (Berlin: Wiley-VCH), 324
- Boselli, A., & Gavazzi, G. 2006, *PASP*, **118**, 517
- Boselli, A., & Gavazzi, G. 2014, *A&ARv*, **22**, 74
- Boselli, A., Gavazzi, G., Donas, J., & Scodreggio, M. 2001, *AJ*, **121**, 753
- Boselli, A., Lequeux, J., & Gavazzi, G. 2002, *A&A*, **384**, 33
- Boselli, A., Boissier, S., Cortese, L., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **706**, 1527
- Boselli, A., Eales, S., Cortese, L., et al. 2010, *PASP*, **122**, 261
- Boselli, A., Boissier, S., Heinis, S., et al. 2011, *A&A*, **528**, A107
- Boselli, A., Hughes, T. M., Cortese, L., Gavazzi, G., & Buat, V. 2013, *A&A*, **550**, A114
- Boselli, A., Cortese, L., & Boquien, M. 2014a, *A&A*, **564**, A65
- Boselli, A., Cortese, L., Boquien, M., et al. 2014b, *A&A*, **564**, A67
- Boselli, A., Fossati, M., Gavazzi, G., et al. 2015, *A&A*, **579**, A102
- Boselli, A., Roehlly, Y., Fossati, M., et al. 2016, *A&A*, **596**, A11
- Boselli, A., Fossati, M., Ferrarese, L., et al. 2018a, *A&A*, **614**, A56
- Boselli, A., Fossati, M., Consolandi, G., et al. 2018b, *A&A*, **620**, A164
- Brinchmann, J., & Ellis, R. S. 2000, *ApJ*, **536**, L77
- Brooks, A. M., & Zolotov, A. 2014, *ApJ*, **786**, 87

- Bryant, J. J., Owers, M. S., Robotham, A. S. G., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, **447**, 2857
- Bundy, K., Bershad, M. A., Law, D. R., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, **798**, 7
- Cano-Díaz, M., Sánchez, S. F., Zibetti, S., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, **821**, L26
- Catinella, B., Haynes, M. P., & Giovanelli, R. 2005, *AJ*, **130**, 1037
- Catinella, B., Giovanelli, R., & Haynes, M. P. 2006, *ApJ*, **640**, 751
- Chemin, L., Balkowski, C., Cayatte, V., et al. 2006, *MNRAS*, **366**, 812
- Christensen, C. R., Governato, F., Quinn, T., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, **440**, 2843
- Ciesla, L., Boselli, A., Smith, M. W. L., et al. 2012, *A&A*, **543**, A161
- Ciesla, L., Boquien, M., Boselli, A., et al. 2014, *A&A*, **565**, A128
- Corbelli, E. 2003, *MNRAS*, **342**, 199
- Cortese, L., Boissier, S., Boselli, A., et al. 2012, *A&A*, **544**, A101
- Cortese, L., Fritz, J., Bianchi, S., et al. 2014a, *MNRAS*, **440**, 942
- Cortese, L., Fogarty, L. M. R., Ho, I.-T., et al. 2014b, *ApJ*, **795**, L37
- Courteau, S. 1997, *AJ*, **114**, 2402
- Cowie, L. L., Songaila, A., Hu, E. M., & Cohen, J. G. 1996, *AJ*, **112**, 839
- Daddi, E., Dickinson, M., Morrison, G., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **670**, 156
- Daigle, O., Carignan, C., Amram, P., et al. 2006a, *MNRAS*, **367**, 469
- Daigle, O., Carignan, C., Hernandez, O., Chemin, L., & Amram, P. 2006b, *MNRAS*, **368**, 1016
- Dicaire, I., Carignan, C., Amram, P., et al. 2008, *MNRAS*, **385**, 553
- Dutton, A. A. 2012, *MNRAS*, **424**, 3123
- Dutton, A. A., & Macciò, A. V. 2014, *MNRAS*, **441**, 3359
- Elbaz, D., Daddi, E., Le Borgne, D., et al. 2007, *A&A*, **468**, 33
- Elmegreen, B. G. 2015, *ApJ*, **814**, L30
- Epinat, B., Amram, P., & Marcelin, M. 2008a, *MNRAS*, **390**, 466
- Epinat, B., Amram, P., Marcelin, M., et al. 2008b, *MNRAS*, **388**, 500
- Ferrarese, L., Côté, P., Cuillandre, J.-C., et al. 2012, *ApJS*, **200**, 4
- Ferrero, I., Navarro, J. F., Abadi, M. G., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, **464**, 4736
- Fossati, M., Gavazzi, G., Savorgnan, G., et al. 2013, *A&A*, **553**, A91
- Gach, J.-L., Hernandez, O., Boulesteix, J., et al. 2002, *PASP*, **114**, 1043
- Gallazzi, A., Brinchmann, J., Charlot, S., & White, S. D. M. 2008, *MNRAS*, **383**, 1439
- Garrido, O., Marcelin, M., Amram, P., & Boulesteix, J. 2002, *A&A*, **387**, 821
- Garrido, O., Marcelin, M., Amram, P., & Boissier, O. 2003, *A&A*, **399**, 51
- Garrido, O., Marcelin, M., & Amram, P. 2004, *MNRAS*, **349**, 225
- Garrido, O., Marcelin, M., Amram, P., et al. 2005, *MNRAS*, **362**, 127
- Gavazzi, G., Pierini, D., & Boselli, A. 1996, *A&A*, **312**, 397
- Gavazzi, G., Bonfanti, C., Sanvito, G., Boselli, A., & Scodreggio, M. 2002, *ApJ*, **576**, 135
- Gavazzi, G., Zaccardo, A., Sanvito, G., Boselli, A., & Bonfanti, C. 2004, *A&A*, **417**, 499
- Gavazzi, G., Consolandi, G., Dotti, M., et al. 2015, *A&A*, **580**, A116
- Gavazzi, G., Consolandi, G., Belladitta, S., Boselli, A., & Fossati, M. 2018, *A&A*, **615**, A104
- Giovanelli, R., & Haynes, M. P. 2002, *ApJ*, **571**, L107
- Giovanelli, R., Haynes, M. P., Herter, T., et al. 1997a, *AJ*, **113**, 53
- Giovanelli, R., Haynes, M. P., Herter, T., et al. 1997b, *AJ*, **113**, 22
- Gooch, R. 1996, in *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V*, eds. G. H. Jacoby, & J. Barnes, *ASP Conf. Ser.*, **101**, 80
- Governato, F., Zolotov, A., Pontzen, A., et al. 2012, *MNRAS*, **422**, 1231
- Guzmán, R., Gallego, J., Koo, D. C., et al. 1997, *ApJ*, **489**, 559
- Haynes, M. P., & Giovanelli, R. 1984, *AJ*, **89**, 758
- Hsieh, B. C., Lin, L., Lin, J. H., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, **851**, L24
- Hughes, T. M., Cortese, L., Boselli, A., Gavazzi, G., & Davies, J. I. 2013, *A&A*, **550**, A115
- Karim, A., Schinnerer, E., Martínez-Sansigre, A., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, **730**, 61
- Kennicutt, Jr., R. C. 1989, *ApJ*, **344**, 685
- Kennicutt, Jr., R. C. 1998a, *ARA&A*, **36**, 189
- Kennicutt, Jr., R. C. 1998b, *ApJ*, **498**, 541
- Korsaga, M., Amram, P., Carignan, C., & Epinat, B. 2019, *MNRAS*, **482**, 154
- Krumholz, M. R., & McKee, C. F. 2005, *ApJ*, **630**, 250
- Krumholz, M. R., Dekel, A., & McKee, C. F. 2012, *ApJ*, **745**, 69
- Larson, R. 1992, in *Star Formation in Stellar Systems*, eds. G. Tenorio-Tagle, M. Prieto, & F. Sanchez, 125
- Lelli, F., McGaugh, S. S., & Schombert, J. M. 2016, *ApJ*, **816**, L14
- Lequeux, J. 1983, *A&A*, **125**, 394
- Leroy, A. K., Walter, F., Brinks, E., et al. 2008, *AJ*, **136**, 2782
- Marino, A., Plana, H., Rampazzo, R., et al. 2013, *MNRAS*, **428**, 476
- Masters, K. L., Springob, C. M., Haynes, M. P., & Giovanelli, R. 2006, *ApJ*, **653**, 861
- Masters, K. L., Mosleh, M., Romer, A. K., et al. 2010, *MNRAS*, **405**, 783
- McGaugh, S. S. 2005, *ApJ*, **632**, 859
- McGaugh, S. S. 2012, *AJ*, **143**, 40
- McGaugh, S. S., & Schombert, J. M. 2015, *ApJ*, **802**, 18
- McGaugh, S. S., Schombert, J. M., Bothun, G. D., & de Blok, W. J. G. 2000, *ApJ*, **533**, L99
- Medling, A. M., Cortese, L., Croom, S. M., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, **475**, 5194
- Moster, B. P., Naab, T., & White, S. D. M. 2013, *MNRAS*, **428**, 3121
- Navarro, J. F., Frenk, C. S., & White, S. D. M. 1996, *ApJ*, **462**, 563
- Noeske, K. G., Weiner, B. J., Faber, S. M., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **660**, L43
- Osterbrock, D. E., Fulbright, J. P., Martel, A. R., et al. 1996, *PASP*, **108**, 277
- Pagel, B. E. J. 2009, *Nucleosynthesis and Chemical Evolution of Galaxies* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press)
- Pannella, M., Gabasch, A., Goranova, Y., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **701**, 787
- Papastergis, E., Adams, E. A. K., & van der Hulst, J. M. 2016, *A&A*, **593**, A39
- Papovich, C., Cool, R., Eisenstein, D., et al. 2006, *AJ*, **132**, 231
- Peng, Y.-J., Lilly, S. J., Kovač, K., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **721**, 193
- Ponomareva, A. A., Verheijen, M. A. W., Peletier, R. F., & Bosma, A. 2017, *MNRAS*, **469**, 2387
- Ponomareva, A. A., Verheijen, M. A. W., Papastergis, E., Bosma, A., & Peletier, R. F. 2018, *MNRAS*, **474**, 4366
- Press, W. H., Teukolsky, S. A., Vetterling, W. T., & Flannery, B. P. 1992, *Numerical Recipes in C: The Art of Scientific Computing*, 2nd Ed.
- Randriamampandry, T. H., Combes, F., Carignan, C., & Deg, N. 2015, *MNRAS*, **454**, 3743
- Reddy, N. A., Steidel, C. C., Fadda, D., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, **644**, 792
- Renzini, A., & Peng, Y.-J. 2015, *ApJ*, **801**, L29
- Rodighiero, G., Cimatti, A., Gruppioni, C., et al. 2010, *A&A*, **518**, L25
- Sakai, S., Mould, J. R., Hughes, S. M. G., et al. 2000, *ApJ*, **529**, 698
- Salim, S., Rich, R. M., Charlot, S., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, **173**, 267
- Sánchez, S. F., Kennicutt, R. C., Gil de Paz, A., et al. 2012, *A&A*, **538**, A8
- Sandage, A. 1986, *A&A*, **161**, 89
- Schaye, J., Crain, R. A., Bower, R. G., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, **446**, 521
- Schmidt, M. 1959, *ApJ*, **129**, 243
- Sheth, K., Regan, M., Hinz, J. L., et al. 2010, *PASP*, **122**, 1397
- Sorce, J. G., Tully, R. B., Courtois, H. M., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, **444**, 527
- Sparre, M., Hayward, C. C., Springel, V., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, **447**, 3548
- Speagle, J. S., Steinhardt, C. L., Capak, P. L., & Silverman, J. D. 2014, *ApJS*, **214**, 15
- Steinmetz, M., & Navarro, J. F. 1999, *ApJ*, **513**, 555
- Tan, J. C. 2000, *ApJ*, **536**, 173
- Toomre, A. 1964, *ApJ*, **139**, 1217
- Torres-Flores, S., Epinat, B., Amram, P., Plana, H., & Mendes de Oliveira, C. 2011, *MNRAS*, **416**, 1936
- Torrey, P., Vogelsberger, M., Genel, S., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, **438**, 1985
- Tully, R. B., & Fisher, J. R. 1977, *A&A*, **54**, 661
- Tully, R. B., & Pierce, M. J. 2000, *ApJ*, **533**, 744
- van den Bosch, F. C. 2000, *ApJ*, **530**, 177
- van der Kruit, P. C. 1990, in *The Milky Way as a Galaxy*, eds. I. King, & G. Gilmore, 185
- van der Kruit, P. C., & Allen, R. J. 1978, *ARA&A*, **16**, 103
- Wang, B., & Silk, J. 1994, *ApJ*, **427**, 759
- Warner, P. J., Wright, M. C. H., & Baldwin, J. E. 1973, *MNRAS*, **163**, 163
- Whitaker, K. E., van Dokkum, P. G., Brammer, G., & Franx, M. 2012, *ApJ*, **754**, L29
- Wyse, R. F. G. 1986, *ApJ*, **311**, L41
- Zaritsky, D., Courtois, H., Muñoz-Mateos, J.-C., et al. 2014, *AJ*, **147**, 134
- Zibetti, S., Charlot, S., & Rix, H.-W. 2009, *MNRAS*, **400**, 1181
- Zu, Y., & Mandelbaum, R. 2015, *MNRAS*, **454**, 1161

Appendix A: Quality flags of the rotation curves

As described in the previous Sect. 4.1.1, the maximum rotational velocity ($V_{\max}$) per galaxy has been obtained by fitting a modified Courteau function to the rotation curve. We considered as $V_{\max}$ the maximum velocity value reached by the Courteau profile between $r = 0$ and the radius corresponding the last crown of the rotation curve. The estimated $V_{\max}$ per galaxy are shown in Table E.3, except for those galaxies for which no kinematical model was reached and therefore no rotation curve was obtained.

In order to quantify the quality of each rotation curve and to attribute a quality flag, we used an automatic classification method based on the next parameters:

i) The ratio between r_{RC} and r_{opt} . The extension of the rotation curve with respect to the optical radius is directly related to the S/N of the data and the spatial coverage of the distribution of H α emission, resulting in an accurate or poor estimation of kinematics and thus influencing the quality of the rotation curve.

ii) The number of bins computed by the adaptive spatial binning when obtaining the different momenta (see Fig. A.1). High number of bins means good S/N and/or high spatial coverage of the galaxy, and vice versa, resulting in an accurate or poor estimation of kinematics and thus influencing the quality of the rotation curve.

iii) The mean velocity error of the rotation curve.

iv) The ratio between the radius of the receding and approaching side of the rotation curve. If one side is shorter than the other, its contribution to the Rotation curve will be smaller and the quality of the rotation curve will be affected.

v) We fitted a Spline function to both the receding and the approaching side in order to calculate the root mean square difference (RMSD) between both sides of the Rotation curves. The value of the RMSD is directly related to the symmetry of the velocity field from which we estimate the rotation curve and thus influencing its quality.

All these five quantities were normalized in order to be summed and to obtain a quality classification coefficient to flag the rotation curves (see Fig. A.2). These flags are given in the Table E.3:

Flag 1) Accurate estimation of the rotation curve and $V_{\max}$.

Flag 2) Reliable estimation of the rotation curve and $V_{\max}$.

Flag 3) Fairly reliable estimation of the rotation curve and $V_{\max}$ probably reached.

Flag 4) Poor estimation of the rotation curve and $V_{\max}$ probably not reached.

In addition to these 4 flags, we defined a supplementary quality classification flags called Flag “A” and Flag “B”. The Flag “B” corresponds to those peculiar cases leading to a non-realistic kinematical fitting:

a) Galaxies for which $i \geq 70^\circ$, since the absorption effects due to high inclination lead to velocity underestimations along the disk, and a little variation in i produces a very high variation in velocity determinations.

b) Objects for which $i \leq 25^\circ$, because a little variation in i produces a very high variation in velocity determinations.

c) The difference between the morphological PA and the kinematical PA is bigger than 20° . A low S/N ratio or small spatial coverage lead to poor kinematical fittings and therefore a poor estimation of the PA. On the other hand, a velocity field supported by high velocity dispersion values related to big asymmetries, strong bars, strong defined spiral arms, among other

Fig. A.1. Histogram of the number of spatial bins used to compute the rotation curves. Galaxies with high spatial coverage and/or high S/N ratio have the highest number of bins.

Fig. A.2. Histogram of the quality coefficient of the rotation curves. This distribution was divided in 4 quantiles with the same number of galaxies per quantil (35), in order to obtain four different Flag quality values indicated by the three vertical lines starting from the right to the left: Flag “1”, Flag “2”, Flag “3”, Flag “4”.

non-circular motions, will influence the determination of the kinematical PA. Finally, morphological PAs have systematically higher uncertainties than kinematical ones, specially in galaxies with low inclination as showed in [Epinat et al. \(2008b\)](#).

d) the $r_{\text{RC}}/r_{\text{opt}}$ ratio is lower than 0.6.

e) The number of bins of the adaptive spatial binning is less than 200. Poor S/N or small spatial coverage lead easily to non-realistic kinematical fittings.

f) the ratio between the H α $V_{\max}$ of our Fabry–Perot dataset and the $V_{\max}$ of HI data ([Boselli et al. 2015](#)) is bigger than 1.5 or lower than 0.5. Since the rotation curve is derived from the velocity field, poor S/N or small H α emission spatial coverage, in addition to asymmetries in the velocity field, could easily lead to an under/over estimation of the $V_{\max}$ of the galaxy.

g) the normalized RMSD between both sides of the RC is lower than 0.6.

Appendix B: Notes on individual galaxies

Galaxy HRS 1, because this galaxy is not far from edge-on, the kinematical inclination was difficult to determine and we adopted the morphological value when computing the rotation curve. Otherwise the agreement between the kinematical and morphological PA of the major axis is very good. The rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric but does not reach the r_{24} radius in r -band. Both models (Courteau and Zhao) suggest that it goes on rising beyond that radius.

Galaxy HRS 2, there is a fairly good agreement for the PA of the major axis (4° difference only). Our rotation curve almost reaches the r_{24} radius in r -band for both sides. Courteau's model gives the best result since it extrapolates our rotation curve towards the HI $V_{\max}$ whereas Zhao's model suggests a declining curve beyond the r_{24} radius.

Galaxy HRS 5, because this galaxy is almost edge-on, the kinematical inclination was difficult to determine and we adopted the morphological value when computing our H α rotation curve. Otherwise the agreement between the kinematical and morphological PA of the major axis is perfect. The rotation of the redshifted side appears more chaotic because of some faint H α emission out of the disk which does not seem significant and could be ignored (N.B. It is not seen on the H α + [NII] image from Boselli et al. 2015.) Our curve exhibits a chaotic behavior of the redshifted side but the blueshifted side is fairly regular and both sides reach the effective radius in r -band where the velocity is close to the HI $V_{\max}$ for both.

Galaxy HRS 6, for this edge-on galaxy we adopted the morphological values for the inclination, as well as for the PA of the major axis, when computing our H α rotation curve. The rotation of the blueshifted side is more chaotic and the outermost part was not taken into account when tracing the rotation curve. Indeed, the residual velocity field shows exceedingly large values in the outer parts of that side. The H α + [NII] image from Boselli et al. (2015) confirms that there is almost no emission of ionized gas beyond 30 arcsec from the nucleus on that side of the galaxy. Surprisingly, in spite of this deficiency, our H α rotation velocity reaches much higher values than the HI $V_{\max}$ for both sides, redshifted as well as blueshifted. This is all the most surprising that our rotation curve barely reaches the effective radius in r -band and both models (but Zhao more than Courteau) suggest that the curve goes on rising beyond that radius.

Galaxy HRS 9, the H α emission is mainly seen on the southern and western part of the galaxy, where the H α + [NII] image from Boselli et al. (2015) also shows bright spots. The velocity field is dominated by an almost constant value around 1410 km s^{-1} which could be due to a contamination of the observed velocities by an OH night-sky line (Osterbrock et al. 1996)). As a result, the residual velocity field exhibits strong values on both sides (north and south) of the galaxy and we did not take into account the corresponding velocities when tracing our H α rotation curve. Also, this contamination of the velocity field could explain the difference observed between kinematical and morphological inclination (9°) as well as for the PA of the major axis (18°). The resulting rotation curve is quite chaotic for both sides and barely reaches the effective radius in r -band for the redshifted side. The velocity we find at this radius is close to the HI $V_{\max}$.

Galaxy HRS 10, we adopted the morphological value of the inclination for computing our H α rotation curve. Otherwise there is an excellent agreement between the kinematical and morphological PA of the major axis (2° difference). The velocity field is regular and the resulting rotation curve is pretty good and sym-

metric, reaching a plateau slightly below the HI $V_{\max}$. The curve almost reaches the r_{25} radius in B -band (quasi coincident here with the r_{24} radius in r -band).

Galaxy HRS 11, there is an excellent agreement between the kinematical and morphological inclination (2° difference) as well as for the PA of the major axis (1° difference). The velocity field is regular, except for some high velocity points on the eastern edge of the disk (probably spurious since the H α + [NII] image from Boselli et al. (2015) shows no significant emission at that place). Our H α rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric, it almost reaches the r_{25} radius in B -band for the redshifted side. Zhao's model suggests that the curve goes on rising towards a maximum reached at the r_{24} radius in r -band, whereas Courteau's model suggests a continuous rising beyond that radius. However, the velocity reached by our curve at the effective radius is already much higher than the HI $V_{\max}$.

Galaxy HRS 12, the H α emission is limited to the inner disk of this galaxy and the outer parts of our velocity field are clearly not significant as suggested by the H α + [NII] image from Boselli et al. (2015) (showing an abrupt fall of emission on the edge of the inner disk). This is confirmed by the residual velocity field where extreme values are seen all around the disk. This peculiar concentration of the ionized gas in the center of the galaxy probably explains the disk discrepancy observed between the kinematical and morphological inclination (9° difference) but, more specifically, that observed between the kinematical and morphological PA of the major axis (21° difference). Anyway the resulting rotation curve is fairly symmetric (when ignoring the outer parts of the velocity field) and steeply rising up to the effective radius in r -band where it reaches the HI $V_{\max}$. We note however that Zhao's model exhibits a strange behavior while Courteau's model suggests that the curve reaches a plateau.

Galaxy HRS 13, the H α + [NII] image from Boselli et al. (2015) suggests that the southwestern extension of the disk, near the strong spiral arm, is spurious (which is confirmed by the extreme values of the residual velocity field) but the easternmost points, on the major axis, seem real. There is a fairly good agreement between the kinematical and morphological inclination (4° difference), also for the PA of the major axis (7° difference). The resulting rotation curve exhibits a slight asymmetry between redshifted and blueshifted side but the average is satisfying and reaches a plateau close to the HI $V_{\max}$ just after the effective radius in r -band.

Galaxy HRS 17, we find an excellent agreement between the kinematical and morphological inclination (3° difference), also for the PA of the major axis (2° difference) when computing our H α rotation curve. The resulting curve exhibits a perfect plateau (at the HI $V_{\max}$) extending between the effective radius and the r_{24} radius in r -band.

Galaxy HRS 18, although the kinematical and morphological inclinations are in perfect agreement, we find a difference of 17° between the kinematical and morphological PA of the major axis. In fact the agreement is good for the inner part of the disk but the PA changes for the outermost isophotes of this almost face-on galaxy. Anyway the velocity field exhibits a clear gradient and the resulting H α rotation curve is fairly symmetric but does not go very far beyond the effective radius in r -band. Also, the curve is continuously climbing and bends slightly after the effective radius in r -band, with the outermost points remaining below the HI $V_{\max}$.

Galaxy HRS 19, this galaxy has a nice spiral structure designed by many HII regions but it is almost face-on and exhibits a very faint velocity gradient, so that its H α rotation

curve is hard to draw. We adopted the morphological value of the inclination when computing the rotation curve and found a huge difference (53°) between the kinematical and morphological PA of the major axis, likely to be explained by the shape of the outermost isophotes of the disk. The resulting rotation curve is quite chaotic and reaches a radius between the effective radius and the r_{24} radius in r -band. Also, the maximum of this curve is only half of the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$.

Galaxy HRS 21, for this edge-on galaxy, we adopted the morphological values for the inclination as well as for the PA of the major axis, when computing the $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve. There is a marked velocity gradient and, although it looks like a solid body rotation, the rotation curve probably reaches a plateau (as suggested by Courteau's model) beyond the effective radius in r -band since it reaches the HI maximum there.

Galaxy HRS 23, our continuum image is contaminated by many ghost images of field stars as well as by a ghost image of the galaxy itself (about 20 arcsec below the true image of HRS023) caused by a reflection of light between the Fabry–Perot interferometer and the interference filter. The extension of the $\text{H}\alpha$ emission on the southwestern side of the disk is apparently linked with a ghost image of a field star, otherwise our monochromatic image seems clean when comparing with the $\text{H}\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). The velocity field is rather irregular as confirmed by the residual velocities, displaying extreme values on both sides. Nevertheless the $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve is acceptable and continuously rising up to the r_{25} radius (at least for the blue side) where it reaches velocities 50% above the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. Moreover, the agreement between the kinematical and morphological inclination is perfect, as well as for the PA of the major axis.

Galaxy HRS 25, this galaxy exhibits a nice velocity field and a fairly regular and symmetric $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve, reaching a plateau at the same value as the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ and almost extended up to the r_{24} radius in r -band (also not far from the r_{25} radius in B -band). We find an excellent agreement between the kinematical and morphological inclination (2° difference), as well as for the PA of the major axis (less than 1° difference). Both models (Zhao and Courteau) agree that our rotation curve reaches a plateau beyond the optical radius, with a rotation velocity slightly above the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$.

Galaxy HRS 26, for this almost edge-on galaxy, we adopted the morphological values for the inclination as well as for the PA of the major axis, when computing the $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve. Although the $\text{H}\alpha$ emission is limited to the inner disk of this galaxy, the rotation curve reaches the effective radius in r -band and climbs up to the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$.

Galaxy HRS 27, despite its faint inclination (29° according to the morphology but 21° only according to the kinematics) this small galaxy exhibits a well marked velocity gradient. The kinematical PA of the major axis is slightly different from the morphological one. The resulting $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve almost reaches the r_{24} radius in r -band (at least for the blueshifted side) and seems to climb up to a plateau at the level of the HI maximum velocity.

Galaxy HRS 28, there is a good agreement between the kinematical and morphological inclination, also for the PA of the major axis, and the resulting $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve (ignoring the northeastern extension) is fairly satisfying despite some kinks on the blueshifted side. This curve reaches the r_{25} radius for both sides and seems to approach a maximum value there, above the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$.

Galaxy HRS 32, this face-on galaxy, although it is of Sb type, has almost none $\text{H}\alpha$ emission, as confirmed by the $\text{H}\alpha + [\text{NII}]$

image from Boselli et al. (2015). Our $\text{H}\alpha$ image and velocity field are mainly noise and we could not draw any $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve for this galaxy.

Galaxy HRS 35, as for HRS 32, this face-on galaxy has almost none $\text{H}\alpha$ emission. Our $\text{H}\alpha$ image and velocity field are mainly noise and we could not draw any $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve for this galaxy.

Galaxy HRS 37, although there is 11° difference between the kinematical and morphological inclination and 16° difference between the kinematical and morphological PA of the major axis, our $\text{H}\alpha$ velocity field produces a very nice and symmetric $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve. It just reaches the r_{25} radius in B -band and probably stays flat afterwards but significantly below the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ (150 km s^{-1} instead of 200 km s^{-1}).

Galaxy HRS 38, there is a good agreement between the kinematical and morphological inclination and a perfect agreement between the kinematical and morphological PA of the major axis. Our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve is fairly satisfying and reaches the r_{24} radius in r -band for both sides. The maximum velocity reached there is the same as the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ and the shape of the curve suggests that it is not far from reaching a plateau.

Galaxy HRS 39, for this almost edge-on galaxy, we adopted the morphological values for the inclination as well as for the PA of the major axis, when computing the $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve. Although our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve barely reaches the effective radius in r -band, it is not far from the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ there. This is consistent with Courteau's model suggesting that it reaches a plateau just after, whereas Zhao's model suggests a continuous rising beyond.

Galaxy HRS 40, the agreement between the kinematical and morphological inclination is good and it is perfect for the PA of the major axis. Our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve shows a solid body rotation up to the effective radius in r -band. The redshifted side suggests however that the curve reaches a plateau slightly after this radius, with a velocity matching the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. This is consistent with Courteau's model whereas Zhao's model suggests a decreasing curve in the outer parts.

Galaxy HRS 44, this galaxy is almost face-on, hence a faint velocity gradient explaining the bad quality of the $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve. We adopted the morphological value of the inclination for computing the $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve and find a 38° difference between the kinematical and morphological PA of the major axis. Our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve is very chaotic for both sides (especially the blueshifted side) but almost reaches the r_{24} radius in r -band, with velocities greater than the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ (100 km s^{-1} instead of 75 km s^{-1}). However, the outermost parts of our $\text{H}\alpha$ map and velocity field are very noisy and must be considered with care.

Galaxy HRS 47, our $\text{H}\alpha$ map and velocity field cover fairly well the optical extent of this galaxy. There is a good agreement between the kinematical and morphological inclination, also for the PA of the major axis. Despite some dispersion, our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric; it reaches the r_{24} radius in r -band where the velocity is close to the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ (150 km s^{-1}). Both models (Courteau and Zhao) suggest however that the curve must go on rising beyond.

Galaxy HRS 48, the spiral pattern of this galaxy is well marked and our $\text{H}\alpha$ map and velocity field follow this structure. The velocity gradient is well marked, despite a faint inclination of the disk. We adopted the morphological value of the inclination for computing our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve and find a 37° difference between the kinematical and morphological PA of the major axis. Our rotation curve is quite chaotic (especially the redshifted side) but seems to reach a plateau before the effective

radius in r -band, with a velocity close to the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ (around 120 km s^{-1}).

Galaxy HRS 50, this galaxy has a nice velocity field and $H\alpha$ rotation curve. We find a good agreement between kinematical and morphological inclination, as well as for the PA of the major axis. The rotation curve is perfectly symmetric and almost reaches the r_{24} radius in r -band where the velocity is close to the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. Both models (Courteau and Zhao) suggest that it reaches a plateau just after.

Galaxy HRS 52, the $H\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image of this galaxy from Boselli et al. (2015) shows many small HII regions dispersed in the disk that we miss here. Indeed our $H\alpha$ map and velocity field only show the central part of the galaxy and none of these small regions. We find a fairly good agreement between the kinematical and morphological value of the PA of the major axis. Our rotation curve shows a steep rise and bends clearly before reaching the effective radius in r -band. The velocity almost reaches the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ there and both models suggest that the rotation curve reaches its maximum there. However, Courteau's model suggests a plateau whereas Zhao's model suggests a decreasing curve beyond the effective radius.

Galaxy HRS 56, the resulting curve is fairly symmetric and reaches a plateau between the effective radius and the r_{24} radius in r -band, with a velocity close to the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ (around 190 km s^{-1}). Here again Courteau's model suggests a plateau whereas Zhao's model suggests a decreasing curve beyond the effective radius.

Galaxy HRS 59, this galaxy is almost edge-on and the blueshifted side (west) on our $H\alpha$ map seems brighter and more extended than the redshifted side (east), maybe because of dust absorption. We adopted the morphological values for the inclination as well as for the PA of the major axis, when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. Despite some dispersion, our curve is fairly symmetric up to the effective radius where, after a steep rising, it reaches the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. Beyond that radius, the curve is mainly traced by the blueshifted side which is quite chaotic. Anyway, the curve seems to follow a plateau at about 200 km s^{-1} , between the effective radius and the r_{24} radius in r -band (coincident here with the r_{25} radius in B -band).

Galaxy HRS 60 (KPG 209B), the $H\alpha$ emission is very faint on the redshifted side (south) of this barred galaxy, as can be seen also on the $H\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). As a result, our velocity field and $H\alpha$ rotation curve mainly rely on the blueshifted side (north). The agreement between kinematical and morphological inclination is not very good (13° difference) but it is better for the PA of the major axis (5° difference). Our rotation curve is very chaotic, perhaps also because of the strong bar in the center. The curve barely reaches the effective radius in r -band where its velocity seems to stop rising although it is much smaller than the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ (about 125 km s^{-1} compared with more than 300 km s^{-1}).

Galaxy HRS 61, this galaxy is almost edge-on and the agreement between kinematical and morphological inclination is not very good (9° difference) but it is perfect for the PA of the major axis. The outermost extension to the north of our $H\alpha$ map and velocity field is doubtful (there is no hint of any counterpart there on the $H\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. 2015). It gives large values on the residual velocity field and it was not taken into account when tracing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. Our curve is asymmetric, with a redshifted side rising steeper than the blueshifted side. The curve almost reaches the r_{25} radius in B -band where its velocity is slightly above the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. Both models (Courteau and Zhao) suggest that the rotation curve goes on rising beyond the r_{25} radius.

Galaxy HRS 62, in spite of a slight disagreement between kinematical and morphological inclination (7° difference) as well as for the PA of the major axis (9° difference) our $H\alpha$ rotation curve is fairly symmetric and regular. The curve reaches the r_{25} radius in B -band (coincident with the r_{24} radius in r -band for this galaxy) and its velocity there is slightly above the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. The curve extends beyond the r_{25} on the blueshifted side and both models (Courteau and Zhao) suggest that the plateau is not reached.

Galaxy HRS 63, there is a good agreement between kinematical and morphological inclination, as well as for the PA of the major axis. Our $H\alpha$ rotation curve is fairly symmetric and regular, almost reaching the r_{24} radius in r -band for both sides. The curve reaches its maximum there, with a velocity close to the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ (around 160 km s^{-1}) although Courteau's model suggests that it goes on rising beyond that point.

Galaxy HRS 64, this galaxy is almost edge-on and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination as well as for the PA of the major axis, when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. In spite of some dispersion, our curve is fairly symmetric and almost reaches the r_{24} radius in r -band for the blueshifted side. The maximum reached is not far from the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ and both models (Courteau and Zhao) suggest that our curve reaches a plateau at the r_{24} radius (although slightly declining beyond that point for Zhao).

Galaxy HRS 65, the $H\alpha$ emission is rather patchy and very faint outside of the spiral pattern of the galaxy. Anyway, we find a fairly good agreement between kinematical and morphological inclination, as well as for the PA of the major axis. Our $H\alpha$ rotation curve is quite chaotic and asymmetric but reaches the r_{25} radius in B -band (almost coincident here with the r_{24} radius in r -band). The velocity there is slightly below the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ but both models (Courteau and Zhao) suggest that the curve, although clearly bending, is still rising beyond that radius.

Galaxy HRS 66, we find a good agreement between kinematical and morphological inclination, as well as for the PA of the major axis. Our $H\alpha$ rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric, it almost reaches the r_{24} radius in r -band for both sides. The maximum velocity is slightly above the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ and both models (but Courteau better than Zhao) suggest that the curve is about to reach a plateau after that radius.

Galaxy HRS 67, the faint extension of our $H\alpha$ map and velocity field, on the southern side of the galaxy, seems spurious (no counterpart can be seen on the $H\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. 2015). We adopted the morphological value of the inclination for computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve and find a 25° difference between the kinematical and morphological PA of the major axis. Anyway our $H\alpha$ rotation curve, despite some dispersion, is fairly regular and symmetric. The curve barely reaches the effective radius in r -band, where the velocity is found to be close to the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. Both models (Courteau and Zhao) suggest that the curve goes on rising beyond the r_{24} radius in r -band but Courteau's model favors a plateau after that radius.

Galaxy HRS 68, this galaxy is almost face-on and the $H\alpha$ emission is concentrated in the center of the disk, as confirmed by the $H\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). As a result, the dispersion is very high in the outer parts of the velocity field, as can be seen also on the residual velocity field where extreme values are reached on both sides (blueshifted and redshifted). Furthermore, we adopted the morphological value of the inclination for computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve and find a huge 57° difference between the kinematical and morphological PA of the major axis. The resulting curve is quite chaotic and asymmetric, barely reaching a radius of 5 arcsec (about

half the r_{25} radius in B -band). Also, the velocity remains below 100 km s^{-1} whereas the HI V_{max} is around 160 km s^{-1} .

Galaxy HRS 70, we find an acceptable difference (12°) between the kinematical and morphological PA of the major axis. Despite this difference and some dispersion of the velocities in the very center, our rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric. It reaches the r_{24} radius in r -band for both sides, with a rotation velocity close to the HI V_{max} . Both models (but Courteau more than Zhao) suggest that the curve is about to reach a plateau beyond that radius.

Galaxy HRS 72, our $H\alpha$ velocity field clearly suggests that this galaxy is not as inclined as suggested by its morphology (59° instead of 79°). Also, the kinematical PA of the major axis is found to be 28° different from that suggested by the outermost isophotes of the disk. The resulting $H\alpha$ rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric, revealing a pure solid body rotation up to the r_{24} radius in r -band. The rotation velocity at this radius is significantly higher than the HI V_{max} (about 160 km s^{-1} against 110 km s^{-1}).

Galaxy HRS 74, although this galaxy has a rather faint inclination, our $H\alpha$ velocity field exhibits a marked velocity gradient. We find an acceptable difference (13°) between the kinematical and morphological PA of the major axis. Despite some lack of $H\alpha$ emission in the very center (confirmed by the $H\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. 2015) the rotation curve can be traced with confidence and clearly shows that a maximum is reached for both sides at the effective radius in r -band. This maximum is significantly higher than the HI V_{max} (about 190 km s^{-1} instead of 165 km s^{-1}). Zhao's model suggests that the curve goes on declining beyond, whereas Courteau's model favors a plateau.

Galaxy HRS 76, the lack of $H\alpha$ emission on the northern side (redshifted) of this galaxy is confirmed by the $H\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). The high inclination of the disk led us to adopt the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. Despite a rather strong dispersion, the rotation curve is acceptable for the blueshifted side and seems to reach a plateau beyond the effective radius (but the redshifted side is difficult to exploit). Our maximum rotation velocity is close to the HI V_{max} .

Galaxy HRS077, our $H\alpha$ image and velocity field covers at best the optical disk of this galaxy. The agreement between morphological and kinematical values is excellent for the inclination as well as for the PA of the major axis. Our $H\alpha$ rotation curve is perfectly symmetric and, after a very steep rise, the velocity remains constant beyond the effective radius and close to the HI V_{max} . The plateau extends almost out to the r_{24} radius in r -band (close here to the r_{25} radius in B -band).

Galaxy HRS 78, the agreement between morphological and kinematical values is rather good for the inclination as well as for the PA of the major axis. The $H\alpha$ emission is brighter on the northern side (blueshifted) of this galaxy, as can be seen also on the $H\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). As a result, the rotation curve is better traced by the blueshifted side whereas the redshifted side is quite chaotic (also the residual velocity field shows some extreme values on the redshifted side). Our curve almost reaches the r_{24} radius in r -band and both models (Courteau and Zhao) suggest that it goes on rising for a while. Indeed the maximum rotation velocity reached by our curve is still much below the HI V_{max} (almost half).

Galaxy HRS 79, this galaxy is almost edge-on and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. The points surrounding the main body of the galaxy on our different maps are but noise and are not taken into account for tracing our

$H\alpha$ rotation curve. The $H\alpha$ emission is more extended on the blueshifted side and the rotation curve is also more extended on that side, going beyond the r_{25} radius in B -band whereas it just outpaces the effective radius on the redshifted side. The maximum velocity rotation of our curve is clearly higher than the HI V_{max} (130 km s^{-1} instead of 100 km s^{-1}) and both models (but Zhao better than Courteau) suggest that the curve is not far from reaching a plateau beyond the r_{25} radius.

Galaxy HRS 80, the $H\alpha$ emission of this galaxy is very patchy and irregular, with only a few HII regions on the redshifted side (south). Furthermore its disk has a faint inclination and the velocity gradient is faint, leading us to adopt the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. Despite some dispersion, our rotation curve is fairly symmetric and both sides exhibit a strange behavior in the central part, with a clear bump within the 10 first arcsec. Then the curve is mainly traced by the blueshifted side, rising up steeply before bending slightly. It extends halfway between the effective radius and the r_{24} radius in r -band where its velocity is close to the HI V_{max} . Zhao's model suggests that the rotation curve goes on rising whereas Courteau's model favors a plateau beyond the effective radius.

Galaxy HRS 82 (KUG 1201+163), the $H\alpha$ emission is concentrated in some bright spots in the very center of the disk of this galaxy. We find an excellent agreement between the kinematical and morphological PA of the major axis. The central part of our rotation curve rises steeply (steeper for the redshifted side) with a solid body rotation, almost out to the effective radius. Then the redshifted side goes on rising (up to the HI V_{max} of 80 km s^{-1}) whereas the blueshifted side reaches a plateau at 60 km s^{-1} . Courteau's model suggests that the rotation curve reaches a plateau at 75 km s^{-1} beyond the r_{24} radius in r -band whereas Zhao's model suggests that the curve reaches a maximum there before declining beyond.

Galaxy HRS 83, the $H\alpha$ emission is limited to the inner part of the disk of this galaxy. Because of the strong inclination, we adopted the morphological value of the inclination for computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve and find a perfect agreement between the kinematical and morphological PA of the major axis. Our rotation curve almost reaches the effective radius in r -band and exhibits a solid body rotation for both sides. Our maximum rotation velocity is close to the HI V_{max} and it is probable that we reach a plateau there (as suggested by Courteau's model) whereas Zhao's model suggests that it goes on rising beyond.

Galaxy HRS 84, the $H\alpha$ emission is much brighter on the southern part of the disk of this galaxy, as can be seen also on the $H\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). As a result, our $H\alpha$ rotation curve is mainly traced by the blueshifted side (south). Also, there is some lack of emission in the very center of the disk, so that we have no points to trace the rising part of the rotation curve which is very steep here. The agreement between morphological and kinematical values is very good for the inclination as well as for the PA of the major axis. Our rotation curve almost reaches the r_{25} radius in B -band (here slightly shorter than the r_{24} radius in r -band). We find rotation velocities higher than the HI V_{max} beyond the effective radius and both models (Courteau and Zhao) suggest that it goes on rising beyond our last measured points.

Galaxy HRS 86 (KPG 322A), the $H\alpha$ emission covers fairly well the optical disk of this galaxy, although the $H\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015) suggests that we miss some faint HII regions in the southern part of the disk. The agreement between morphological and kinematical values is not too bad for the inclination (10° difference) as well as for the PA of the

major axis (7° difference). Our H α rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric, with a solid body rotation shape up to the r_{24} radius in r -band where it reaches a velocity close to the HI $V_{\max}$. Despite some dispersion, the outermost points of both sides suggest that the curve reaches its maximum there and the blueshifted side exhibits a plateau extending beyond the r_{25} radius in B -band.

Galaxy HRS 88 (KPG 322B), despite some holes, the H α emission covers fairly well the optical disk of this galaxy. The agreement between morphological and kinematical values is not too bad for the inclination (13° difference) but rather bad for the PA of the major axis (31° difference). Our H α rotation curve is quite chaotic in its central rising part, especially for the redshifted side (the residual velocity field shows extreme values on that side, in the inner part of the disk, and more generally all around the nucleus). The rotation curve is more quiet and symmetric beyond the effective radius and reaches the r_{24} radius in r -band for both sides. Although we find a rotation velocity significantly higher than the HI $V_{\max}$, even before reaching the effective radius, both models (Courteau and Zhao) suggest that our curve goes on rising much beyond our last measured points.

Galaxy HRS 92, this galaxy is almost edge-on and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our H α rotation curve. The signal to noise is rather faint, especially on the redshifted side (northwest) and our rotation curve is quite chaotic, barely reaching the effective radius. The curve exhibits a solid body rotation reaching a maximum velocity around 120 km s^{-1} . Courteau's model suggests a plateau slightly below the HI $V_{\max}$ (150 km s^{-1}) beyond the effective radius whereas Zhao's model favors a velocity peak at the effective radius.

Galaxy HRS 95, the very thin stripe of H α emission of this galaxy, as can be seen also on the H α + [NII] image from Boselli et al. (2015), led to think that its disk is more inclined than suggested by the morphological value (56°). Anyway, we adopted that value when tracing our H α rotation curve. We find a rather good agreement between morphological and kinematical values for the PA of the major axis (7° difference). Our rotation curve exhibits a solid body rotation for both sides, with a steep rise up to the effective radius in r -band. Then the curve bends clearly and reaches a maximum for both sides (around 85 km s^{-1} for the redshifted side and 110 km s^{-1} for the blueshifted side, slightly below the 115 km s^{-1} of the HI $V_{\max}$). Courteau's model suggests that our curve reaches a plateau there, whereas Zhao's model favors a velocity peak at the effective radius.

Galaxy HRS 98, this galaxy is almost edge-on and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our H α rotation curve. The curve is fairly regular and symmetric but barely reaches the effective radius in r -band. Courteau's model suggests that the curve clearly bends after the effective radius, reaching a plateau close to the HI $V_{\max}$ at the optical radius, whereas Zhao's model suggests that it goes on rising much beyond.

Galaxy HRS 104, this Sb type galaxy has no significant H α emission, as confirmed by the H α + [NII] image from Boselli et al. (2015). No H α velocity field nor rotation curve could be extracted from our data. We also note that the isolated spot at about 30 arcsec north from the nucleus is probably but noise (maybe a ghost image).

Galaxy HRS 106, the H α emission covers fairly well the optical disk of this galaxy. Because of its faint inclination, we adopted the morphological value for the inclination when tracing our H α rotation curve. We find a rather good agreement between morphological and kinematical values for the PA of the major axis (8° difference). Despite some chaotic behavior in the center

(first 5 arcsec), our rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric. The curve reaches the effective radius for both sides and the blueshifted side (south) extends out to the r_{24} radius in r -band. The rotation velocity reached there is close to the HI $V_{\max}$. Anyway both models (Courteau as well as Zhao) suggest that the curve goes on rising beyond that radius.

Galaxy HRS 107, this galaxy is almost edge-on and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our H α rotation curve. The velocity gradient of the velocity field is well marked but the signal to noise is rather bad, so that our rotation curve suffers from dispersion. Our curve does not even reach the effective radius in r -band and the maximum velocity reached is between 100 km s^{-1} and 110 km s^{-1} , depending on the side, below the HI $V_{\max}$ (close to 130 km s^{-1}).

Galaxy HRS 112, there is some diffuse H α emission in the disk of this galaxy but its surface brightness is very faint, as can be seen also on the H α + [NII] image from Boselli et al. (2015). As a result, the signal to noise ratio of our H α map is also very faint and, despite the relatively high inclination of this galaxy, no velocity gradient can be guessed on our velocity field. We adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our H α rotation curve, but no realistic curve could be obtained.

Galaxy HRS 117, this galaxy is almost edge-on and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our H α rotation curve. The H α emission is limited to a few spots in the central part of the disk of this galaxy, mainly on the southwestern side, as can be seen also on the H α + [NII] image from Boselli et al. (2015). As a result, our rotation curve is only traced by the blueshifted side (southwest) but nevertheless almost reaches the effective radius in r -band. The rotation velocity reached at this radius is close to the HI $V_{\max}$ and both models (Courteau and Zhao) suggest that the curve goes on rising beyond.

Galaxy HRS 118, apart a few bright spots in the center, the H α emission of this galaxy is rather faint in this galaxy, as can be seen also on the H α + [NII] image from Boselli et al. (2015). Nevertheless it covers fairly well the optical disk of the galaxy. We adopted the morphological value for the inclination and find an acceptable agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (26° difference) when tracing our H α rotation curve. The resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric, almost reaching the r_{24} radius in r -band for both sides. The rotation velocity reached there is close to the HI $V_{\max}$, however some patches of H α emission northward of the disk enable to extend our H α rotation curve much beyond the r_{25} radius in B -band on the redshifted side, suggesting that the rotation curve goes on rising beyond the optical disk. We note that Courteau's model fails to fit our data correctly, contrary to Zhao's model.

Galaxy HRS 121, for this galaxy, when tracing our H α rotation curve, we adopted the morphological values for the inclination as well as for the PA of the major axis. The resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric, extending midway between the effective radius and the r_{24} radius in r -band (almost coincident here with the r_{25} radius in B -band). Both sides of the curve (although blueshifted better than redshifted) almost reach the HI $V_{\max}$. Zhao's model suggests that the maximum of our H α curve is almost reached by our outermost blue point whereas Courteau's model favors a continuous rising, even beyond the optical radius.

Galaxy HRS 132, the H α emission is mainly produced by some bright spots lying along the major axis. This galaxy is

almost face-on. The rotation curve is quite chaotic for both sides, more especially the redshifted side (northeast) for which there is a counter rotation in the first 5 arcsec, with negative values, before the curve rises steeply. The residual velocity field exhibits high values there, but also extreme values all around the disk. Anyway, both sides of the curve extend beyond the effective radius in r -band, with maximum values of the velocity rotation close to the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$.

Galaxy HRS 133, the comparison of our $\text{H}\alpha$ map with the $\text{H}\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015) show that we miss the outermost emission regions on the western side (redshifted) of the disk. This galaxy is almost edge-on and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination as well as for the PA of the major axis when tracing our rotation curve. Both sides of the curve are very chaotic in the central part (first 15 arcsec) then the trend is that of a solid body rotation. The curve barely reaches the effective radius in r -band, where its rotation velocity is clearly below the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. Indeed both models (Courteau and Zhao) suggest that our $\text{H}\alpha$ curve goes on rising and reaches the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ at the r_{24} radius in r -band.

Galaxy HRS 134, although this edge-on galaxy is classified as Sc type, its $\text{H}\alpha$ emission is limited to two bright spots on each side of the nucleus, as confirmed by the $\text{H}\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). We adopted the morphological values for the inclination as well as for the PA of the major axis when tracing our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve. However, because of the lack of velocity points, no significant curve could be obtained.

Galaxy HRS 136, this Sa type galaxy has no significant $\text{H}\alpha$ emission, as confirmed by the $\text{H}\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). The spots on our maps are probably more noise than signal and no $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve can be obtained for this galaxy.

Galaxy HRS 139, when tracing the $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve of this galaxy, we find a fairly good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (15° difference). The resulting rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric, with both sides extending midway between the effective radius and the r_{24} radius in r -band. The rotation velocity reaches the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ at the effective radius and the curve goes on rising beyond although with a smaller slope.

Galaxy HRS 141, the $\text{H}\alpha$ emission of this galaxy is rather faint and patchy but the velocity field is nice. We find a good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (6° difference) and a perfect agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (less than 2° difference). The resulting $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric and reaches the effective radius in r -band for both sides. The rotation velocity reached there is slightly above the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ and both models (Courteau and Zhao) suggest that it goes on rising beyond, although with a smaller slope.

Galaxy HRS 142, there is a strong $\text{H}\alpha$ emission in the inner disk of this Sa type galaxy but it is very faint beyond the effective radius, as can be seen also on the $\text{H}\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). Despite the relatively high inclination of the disk, the gradient of the $\text{H}\alpha$ velocity is very faint, suggesting that the behavior of the ionized gas do not reflect that of the main body of the galaxy. Also, the outer parts of our velocity field have a very low signal to noise ratio and could be contaminated by a night skyline. We adopted the morphological value for the inclination when tracing our rotation curve. The first 15 arcsec of the curve show a rising part fairly symmetric for both sides, almost out to the effective radius in r -band. Beyond that point the curve becomes quite chaotic but with a trend to decrease for

both sides, casting doubts on the quality of our data in the outer parts of the disk of this galaxy. However, the fact that the rotation velocity reached at the effective radius is much below the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ (20 km s^{-1} against 120 km s^{-1}) favors our hypothesis of a peculiar behavior of the ionized gas in the very center of this galaxy. It could be the remnant of a merger.

Galaxy HRS 143, this galaxy is almost edge-on and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric, with both sides extending midway between the effective radius and the r_{24} radius in r -band. Courteau's model suggests that the curve goes on rising beyond and reaches the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ between the r_{24} radius in r -band and the r_{25} radius in B -band, whereas Zhao's model favors a maximum reached at the r_{24} radius, with a rotation velocity slightly below the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$.

Galaxy HRS 145, we adopted the morphological value for the inclination of the disk of this galaxy and find a good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (4° difference) when computing our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting curve is somewhat chaotic in the center (first 7 arcsec) where the $\text{H}\alpha$ emission is less bright, otherwise it is fairly regular and symmetric, with both sides extending midway between the effective radius and the r_{24} radius in r -band. The rotation velocity reached at the effective radius is almost equal to the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ but the curve goes on increasing beyond and none of the models (Courteau as well as Zhao) suggests any significant change in the slope beyond that radius.

Galaxy HRS 146, this galaxy is almost edge-on and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve. Despite some dispersion, the resulting rotation curve is rather regular and symmetric, but barely reaches the effective radius in r -band. The rotation velocity reached there is around 70 km s^{-1} (against 90 km s^{-1} for the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$). Courteau's model suggests that the rotation curve reaches a plateau beyond the optical radius (with a velocity close to the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$) whereas Zhao's model favors a continuous increase beyond.

Galaxy HRS 148, the disk of this almost edge-on galaxy appears more extended on the southeastern side (redshifted), both on continuum images and $\text{H}\alpha$ images (also on the $\text{H}\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. 2015), maybe because of dust absorption. We adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric, with both sides extending up to the effective radius in r -band, where the rotation velocity is equal to the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. The redshifted side enables to draw the curve up to the r_{25} radius in B -band (here almost coincident with the r_{24} radius in r -band). This side of the curve seems to reach a plateau at the effective radius but rises again shortly after, with a significant slope, although it seems to diminish when reaching the optical radius.

Galaxy HRS 149, this galaxy is almost edge-on and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting rotation curve is perfectly regular and symmetric, with both sides extending up to the effective radius in r -band, where the rotation velocity is slightly above the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. The shape of the curve suggests that it goes on rising beyond the effective radius, in agreement with both models (Courteau and Zhao).

Galaxy HRS 151, we find a significant difference between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (21° difference) when computing our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve. The

resulting curve is quite chaotic and asymmetric, anyway both sides extend slightly beyond the effective radius in r -band and bend slightly there, suggesting that the curve is about to reach a plateau, with a rotation velocity around the HI $V_{\max}$. Both models (Courteau as well as Zhao) suggest however that the curve goes on rising much beyond, even after the optical radius.

Galaxy HRS 152, this galaxy is not far from face-on, we adopted the morphological value for the inclination of its disk and find a good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (6° difference) when computing our H α rotation curve. The resulting rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric, extending slightly beyond the effective radius in r -band (N.B. The redshifted side almost extends midway between the effective radius and the r_{24} radius in r -band.) Courteau's model suggests that the rotation curve is not far from reaching a plateau beyond the optical radius (with a rotation velocity slightly above the HI $V_{\max}$) whereas Zhao's model favors a continuous rising in the outer parts.

Galaxy HRS 153, the disk of this galaxy is peppered with many HII regions covering its whole optical extent. It is faintly inclined and we adopted the morphological value for the inclination of the disk of this galaxy while finding a fairly good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (10° difference) when computing our H α rotation curve. The resulting rotation curve is regular and symmetric, extending almost out to the r_{24} radius in r -band for both sides. Both models (but Courteau better than Zhao) suggest that the rotation curve reaches a plateau after the optical radius, with a rotation velocity close to the HI $V_{\max}$.

Galaxy HRS 154, this galaxy is not far from face-on, we adopted the morphological value for the inclination of its disk and find a large difference between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (56° difference) when computing our H α rotation curve. Despite this disagreement, the resulting rotation curve is not so bad and, despite a strong dispersion in the central rising part (especially for the redshifted side) both sides exhibit the same trend and extend out to the r_{24} radius in r -band, almost reaching a plateau there, with a rotation velocity close to the HI $V_{\max}$, as suggested by both models (Courteau and Zhao).

Galaxy HRS 156, this galaxy is almost edge-on and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our H α rotation curve. The resulting rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric, with both sides extending up to the effective radius in r -band, where the rotation velocity is almost twice the HI $V_{\max}$. Zhao's model suggests that the maximum of the H α rotation curve is reached there, whereas Courteau's model suggests that it continuous rising after the effective radius and reaches a plateau beyond the optical radius.

Galaxy HRS 157, the H α emission is rich and perfectly covers the whole optical disk of this Sbc type galaxy. We find a perfect agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination and a rather good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (7° difference). The resulting H α rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric and reaches the r_{24} radius in r -band for both sides. The rotation velocity reached there is slightly above the HI $V_{\max}$ and both models (Courteau and Zhao) suggest that it goes on rising beyond, although with a smaller slope for Courteau's model, favoring a plateau beyond the optical radius.

Galaxy HRS159, the H α emission is limited to the inner disk of this Sa type galaxy, as can be seen also on the H α + [NII]

image from Boselli et al. (2015). Despite the relatively high inclination of the disk, the velocity gradient of the H α velocity field of this galaxy is rather faint but, most strangely, it suggests that the PA of the major axis is almost at right angle with respect to the morphological value (92° difference). All of this suggests that the behavior of the ionized gas do not reflect that of the main body of the galaxy. Anyway, we traced our H α rotation curve with the kinematical values suggested by our velocity field (85° for the inclination and 0° for the PA of the major axis, against 62° and 92° respectively for the morphological values). The resulting rotation curve is quite chaotic but suggests a solid body rotation for both sides of the central disk of ionized gas. The curve does not reach the effective radius but its maximum rotation velocity is close to the HI $V_{\max}$. The strange behavior of the ionized gas in the center of this Sa galaxy leads to think that it could be the remnant of a merger (Boselli et al. 2018b).

Galaxy HRS 160 (KPG 338A), we find a significant difference between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (17°) as well as for the PA of the major axis (17° difference) when computing our H α rotation curve. Despite some chaotic behavior within the first 10 arcsec, the resulting curve is nevertheless fairly regular and symmetric, extending beyond the effective radius in the r -band. The maximum rotation velocity reached is however clearly below the HI $V_{\max}$. Zhao's model suggests that the curve goes on rising with an almost constant slope, whereas Courteau's model suggests that we almost reach a plateau.

Galaxy HRS 164, no significant H α emission can be detected in this Sa type galaxy, as confirmed by the H α + [NII] image from Boselli et al. (2015). We could not draw any velocity field nor rotation curve.

Galaxy HRS 165, This galaxy is almost edge-on and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our H α rotation curve. The resulting rotation curve is quite chaotic but reveals a solid body rotation for both sides, extending up to the effective radius in r -band, where the rotation velocity reaches the HI $V_{\max}$. Zhao's model suggests that the rotation curve goes on rising beyond, whereas Courteau's model suggests that it reaches a plateau at this radius.

Galaxy HRS 168, we find a fairly good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (9° difference) when computing our H α rotation curve. The resulting curve is regular and symmetric, extending beyond the r_{24} radius in r -band for both sides. Both models (but Courteau better than Zhao) suggest that our curve reaches a plateau at the optical radius, with a rotation velocity slightly below the HI $V_{\max}$.

Galaxy HRS 169, we adopted the morphological value for the inclination of the disk of this galaxy and find a good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (6° difference) when computing our H α rotation curve. Despite some dispersion for the redshifted side, the resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric, extending beyond the effective radius in r -band for both sides and almost out to the r_{25} radius in B -band for the blueshifted side. The rotation velocity reaches the HI $V_{\max}$ at the effective radius but both models (Zhao more than Courteau) suggest that our curve goes on rising much beyond the optical radius.

Galaxy HRS 171, the H α emission of this Sbc galaxy is very bright but limited to the inner disk. The extension that can be seen on the northern side of the galaxy on our maps seems doubtful when comparing with the H α + [NII] image from Boselli et al. (2015) and we did not take it into account

when tracing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve (indeed, this extension produces extreme values on the residual velocity field). We adopted the morphological value for the inclination of the disk of this galaxy and find a good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (6° difference) when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric, it reaches the effective radius in r -band for both sides, with a rotation velocity close to the $HI V_{\max}$. Zhao's model suggests that our curve reaches a maximum between the effective radius and the r_{24} radius, whereas Courteau's model suggests that it goes on rising much beyond.

Galaxy HRS 172, the $H\alpha$ emission of this Sb galaxy is very bright but limited to the inner disk. We find a rather good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (10° difference) and a perfect agreement for the PA of the major axis (1° difference) when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric but does not even reach the effective radius in r -band. The rotation velocity reached is close to the $HI V_{\max}$ but both models (Courteau and Zhao) suggest that the curve goes on rising much beyond.

Galaxy HRS 177, this Sa type galaxy has a surprisingly rich $H\alpha$ emission, covering almost all of its optical disk. We find a good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (5° difference) and a rather good agreement for the PA of the major axis (10° difference) when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric, it extends midway between the effective radius and the r_{24} radius in r -band. The rotation velocity reached there is slightly below the $HI V_{\max}$. Zhao's model suggests that the rotation curve goes on rising beyond the r_{24} radius, whereas Courteau's model suggests that it reaches a plateau at this radius, with a rotation velocity remaining below the $HI V_{\max}$.

Galaxy HRS 182, we find a good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (6° difference) and a perfect agreement for the PA of the major axis (1° difference) when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric, it extends almost out to the r_{25} radius in B -band (close here from the r_{24} radius in B -band) at least for the blueshifted side. The rotation velocity reaches the $HI V_{\max}$ at the effective radius in r -band and both models (Zhao and Courteau) suggest that the $H\alpha$ rotation curve reaches a plateau at the optical radius, with a rotation velocity slightly above the $HI V_{\max}$.

Galaxy HRS 184, there is but a small patch of $H\alpha$ emission in the center of this Sa type galaxy, as confirmed by the $H\alpha + [NII]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). The other small spots on our maps are but noise or ghost image. We could not draw any realistic velocity field nor rotation curve.

Galaxy HRS 185, the $H\alpha$ emission of this Sa galaxy has a faint surface brightness and is mainly located in a central ring of about 10 arcsec radius, as can be seen also on the $H\alpha + [NII]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). Its disk is almost face-on and we adopted the morphological value for the inclination but find a significant difference between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (36° difference) when computing our H rotation curve. The resulting curve is poorly defined and quite chaotic, with only a few points between 5 and 20 arcsec from the center. It does not even reach the effective radius and the rotation velocity remains much below the $HI V_{\max}$ (about half).

Galaxy HRS 187 (KPG 343A), although this object is a pair of galaxies, both rich in $H\alpha$ emission, the velocity field of the

smaller one (NGC 4496B, located about 1 arcmin southeast from NGC 4496A) seems completely melted in the velocity field of the bigger one. As a result, we reduced the data as if it were a single galaxy. We find a good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (8° difference) as well as for the values of the PA of the major axis (7° difference) when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric, it extends almost out to the r_{24} radius in r -band for both sides. The rotation velocity reaches the $HI V_{\max}$ at the effective radius in r -band and remains slightly above beyond, with an almost constant value. Both models (Zhao and Courteau) agree that the $H\alpha$ rotation curve reaches its maximum at the r_{24} radius.

Galaxy HRS 189, the $H\alpha$ emission of this galaxy is asymmetric, with a very bright patch north of the nucleus, otherwise it is distributed rather uniformly in the central disk, as can be seen also on the $H\alpha + [NII]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). We find a rather good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (14° difference) and an excellent agreement between the values of the PA of the major axis (2° difference) when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting curve is quite chaotic, especially for the redshifted side (with extreme values on that side of the residual velocity field) and barely reaches the effective radius in r -band. The rotation velocity there is much below the $HI V_{\max}$. Zhao's model suggests that the curve goes on rising beyond, whereas Courteau's model favors a plateau.

Galaxy HRS 191, we find an excellent agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (2° difference) as well as between the values of the PA of the major axis (1° difference) when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric and extends midway between the effective radius and the r_{24} radius in r -band. Both models (Zhao and Courteau) suggest that it goes on rising, until it reaches the $HI V_{\max}$ at the r_{25} radius in B -band.

Galaxy HRS 192, the $H\alpha$ emission of this Sa type galaxy is limited to a few spots in the very center, as can be seen also on the $H\alpha + [NII]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). We nevertheless tried to draw the $H\alpha$ rotation curve, using the morphological values of the inclination and PA of the major axis, but it gives nothing reliable.

Galaxy HRS 193, we adopted the morphological value for the inclination but find a significant difference between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (21° difference) when computing our H rotation curve. Anyway, the resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric and extends a bit after the effective radius in r -band for both sides (slightly more for the blueshifted side). The rotation velocity reaches the $HI V_{\max}$ after the effective radius and both models (Zhao and Courteau) suggest that it goes on rising much beyond.

Galaxy HRS 195, no significant $H\alpha$ emission can be seen in this Sab galaxy, as confirmed by the $H\alpha + [NII]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). The few spots seen on our maps are probably but noise. We could not draw any realistic velocity field nor rotation curve.

Galaxy HRS 197, this galaxy is almost edge-on and we adopted the morphological value for the inclination. We then find an excellent agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (2° difference) when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric and extends almost out to the effective radius in r -band for both sides. The rotation velocity reaches the $HI V_{\max}$ at the effective radius and both models (Zhao and Courteau) suggest that it goes on rising much beyond.

Galaxy HRS 198, we find a good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (5° difference) as well as between the values of the PA of the major axis (5° difference) when computing our H α rotation curve. The resulting curve is somewhat chaotic within the first 10 arcsec (for both sides) but becomes more regular and symmetric beyond. It extends almost midway between the effective radius and the r_{24} radius in r -band where the rotation velocity is close to the HI $V_{\max}$. Zhao's model suggests that our H α curve reaches its maximum there and declines afterwards, whereas Courteau's model favors a plateau slightly above the HI $V_{\max}$.

Galaxy HRS 199, the H α emission of this Sb galaxy is very bright but limited to the inner disk as can be seen also on the H α + [NII] image from Boselli et al. (2015). We find an acceptable agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (16° difference) but a quite large difference between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (25° difference) when computing our H α rotation curve. Despite some dispersion, the resulting curve shows a solid body rotation for both sides but, because of the small extension of the disk of ionized gas, it is far from reaching the effective radius in r -band. However, the maximum velocity rotation reached is above the HI $V_{\max}$.

Galaxy HRS 207, we find an excellent agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (2° difference) but a quite large difference between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (31° difference) when computing our H α rotation curve. The resulting curve is somewhat chaotic for the blueshifted side (especially within the 5 first arcsec) but much more regular for the redshifted side. The general shape for both sides is that of a solid body rotation, up to the effective radius in r -band. The blueshifted side of the curve extends a bit further, with a marked bending and a rotation velocity remaining slightly above the HI $V_{\max}$. Zhao's model suggests that our H α curve reaches its maximum there and declines afterwards, whereas Courteau's model favors a plateau above the HI $V_{\max}$.

Galaxy HRS 212 (KPG 346A), this galaxy has bright HII regions almost all over its optical disk. We adopted the morphological value for the inclination but find a significant difference between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (22° difference) when computing our H α rotation curve. The shape of the isovelocity lines of our H α velocity field suggests that the disk of this galaxy is warped, explaining such a difference. The velocity gradient is very faint in the center, so that our rotation curve is almost flat for both sides, up to a radius of 10 arcsec, then the curve rises very steeply and reaches a plateau at the effective radius in r -band, with different velocities depending on the side. The redshifted side exhibits a higher velocity than the blueshifted side (about 70 km s^{-1} against 50 km s^{-1}) but both remain much below the HI $V_{\max}$.

Galaxy HRS 225, the H α emission of this Sb type galaxy is limited to a fuzzy patch on the southeastern side of the nucleus, as can be seen also on the H α + [NII] image from Boselli et al. (2015). The faint northern spot seen on our H α map is probably but noise. We nevertheless tried to draw the H α rotation curve, using the morphological values of the inclination and PA of the major axis, but it gives nothing reliable.

Galaxy HRS 226, we find an excellent agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (1° difference) as well as between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (2° difference) when computing our H α rotation curve. The resulting rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric and extends out to the effective

radius in r -band for both sides. Both models (Zhao and Courteau) agree that our curve reaches a plateau at the effective radius, with a rotation velocity slightly above the HI $V_{\max}$.

Galaxy HRS 230, we find an excellent agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (less than 1° difference) as well as between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (1° difference) when computing our H α rotation curve. The resulting rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric and extends out to the effective radius in r -band for both sides. Both models (but Courteau better than Zhao) agree that our curve reaches a plateau slightly after the effective radius, with a rotation velocity remaining below the HI $V_{\max}$.

Galaxy HRS 237, except in the very center, the H α emission of this Im type galaxy has a faint surface brightness. We adopted the morphological value for the inclination when computing our H α rotation curve. Despite some dispersion, the trend of the curve for both sides is that of a solid body rotation, albeit with a rather faint slope. Both sides extend a bit further than the effective radius in r -band and the rotation velocity remains below the HI $V_{\max}$.

Galaxy HRS 238, the H α emission of this Sm galaxy is rather faint and patchy. The large extension bordering the whole north-eastern side of the disk has a very faint surface brightness and seems doubtful (N.B. It was not imaged by Boselli et al. 2015.) The fact that all this area appears with the same velocity (coded with blue color on our velocity field map) suggests that our data are polluted there by an OH night skyline insufficiently subtracted. We adopted the morphological value for the inclination but find a large difference between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (27° difference) when computing our H α rotation curve. The resulting curve is rather poor, because of the faintness of the H α emission, its trend is that of a solid body rotation for both sides. It reaches the effective radius in r -band, with a rotation velocity which is only half the HI $V_{\max}$.

Galaxy HRS 239 (KPG 351B), this galaxy is almost edge-on and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our H α rotation curve. The resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric up to a radius of 20 arcsec, showing a solid body rotation with a steep slope. Then the slope of the blueshifted side diminishes whereas the redshifted side stops for a while before climbing again with about the same slope as the blueshifted side. Both sides of the curve extend slightly after the effective radius in r -band and the models (Zhao as well as Courteau) suggest that our curve reaches a plateau at the optical radius, with a rotation velocity above the HI $V_{\max}$.

Galaxy HRS 249, the H α emission of this Sb type galaxy is limited to a bright spot on the left of the nucleus and another (less bright) spot on its right. We could not draw any realistic velocity field nor rotation curve.

Galaxy HRS 252, the disk of this Sd galaxy is peppered with many bright HII regions covering almost completely the southern part of its optical disk, but less numerous on the northern side (redshifted) as can be seen also on the H α + [NII] image from Boselli et al. (2015). We find an excellent agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (less than 1° difference) as well as between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (3° difference) when computing our H α rotation curve. The resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric up to the effective radius in r -band for both sides. The blueshifted side then enables to trace the curve up to the r_{24} radius in r -band (found here slightly beyond

the r_{25} radius in B -band) where it reaches a rotation velocity higher than the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. Zhao's model suggests that our curve reaches a maximum there before declining, whereas Courteau's model favors a continuous rising, although with a smaller slope.

Galaxy HRS 255, the spiral pattern of this Scd galaxy is underlined by many HII regions, as can be seen on the $\text{H}\alpha$ + [NII] image from Boselli et al. (2015). This galaxy is not far from face-on, we adopted the morphological value for the inclination of its disk and find a large difference between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (55° difference) when computing our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting curve is quite chaotic, especially in the central part, but the general trend, for both sides, is that of a solid body rotation with a faint slope. Both sides extend beyond the r_{24} radius in r -band, where the rotation velocity is slightly above the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. The redshifted side enables to draw the rotation curve almost out to the r_{25} radius in B -band, keeping the same slope, but with a large uncertainty.

Galaxy HRS 256, the $\text{H}\alpha$ emission is bright but limited to the inner disk of this Sa type galaxy, as can be seen also on the $\text{H}\alpha$ + [NII] image from Boselli et al. (2015). Despite the relatively high inclination of the disk, the velocity gradient of the $\text{H}\alpha$ velocity field of this galaxy is rather faint but, most strangely, it suggests that the PA of the major axis is almost at right angle with respect to the morphological value (95° difference). All of this suggests that the behavior of the ionized gas do not reflect that of the main body of the galaxy. We adopted the morphological value for the inclination of its disk when computing our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting curve is quite chaotic for the redshifted side while suggesting a solid body rotation for the blueshifted side of the central disk of ionized gas. The curve does not reach the effective radius and its maximum rotation velocity is very far from the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. The strange behavior of the ionized gas in the center of this Sa galaxy leads to think that it could be the remnant of a merger (as already seen for HRS142 and HRS159).

Galaxy HRS 261, this galaxy is almost edge-on and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve. Except some strong dispersion around 10 arcsec radius for the redshifted side, the resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric, rising up to the effective radius in r -band for both sides. The redshifted side enables to draw the curve a bit further (midway between the effective and r_{24} radius in r -band) where it reaches the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. Both models (Zhao as well as Courteau) suggest that our curve goes on rising beyond the optical radius, although with a smaller slope for Courteau's model.

Galaxy HRS 264, this galaxy is almost edge-on and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric, almost reaching the effective in r -band for both sides. The rotation velocity at that radius is not far from the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ but both models (Zhao as well as Courteau) suggest that our curve goes on rising beyond the optical radius.

Galaxy HRS 267, the $\text{H}\alpha$ emission of this almost edge-on Scd type galaxy is asymmetric, much brighter on the southwestern side (blueshifted), probably because of absorption by dust in the disk. We adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting curve is quite chaotic, more especially for the redshifted side that exhibits a marked bump at about 10 arcsec radius before rising again. The curve do not

even reach the effective radius in r -band and the rotation velocity remains much below the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$.

Galaxy HRS 268, we adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting curve is fairly regular for the blueshifted side and extends out to the r_{25} radius in B -band (which is here slightly larger than the r_{24} radius in r -band). The redshifted side is shorter and more irregular, although with the same general trend. The rotation velocity reaches the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ and the blueshifted side seems to mark a plateau at this value, although both models (Zhao and Courteau) favor a continuous rising of the rotation curve beyond the optical radius.

Galaxy HRS 271, this galaxy is almost edge-on and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting curve is quite irregular within 10 arcsec radius from the center but the general trend is the same for both sides, that of a solid body rotation with a diminishing slope when reaching the effective radius in r -band. The rotation velocity reached at that radius is equal to the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. Zhao's model suggests that our rotation curve reaches a maximum at the optical radius whereas Courteau's model favors a continuous rising beyond.

Galaxy HRS 273, this galaxy is almost edge-on and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination when computing the rotation curve. We find an excellent agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (less than 2° difference) and, despite some dispersion in the rising part, the resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric. The curve extends midway between the effective radius and the r_{24} radius in r -band. The maximum rotation velocity reached is close to the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ and both models (but Courteau better than Zhao) suggest that our rotation curve reaches a plateau at the optical radius.

Galaxy HRS 275, the optical disk of this Sd type galaxy is peppered with many HII regions covering it completely. The inclination of the disk is rather faint and we adopted the morphological value when computing our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve. We find a rather large difference between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (35° difference) but the resulting rotation curve is quite regular and symmetric, despite some dispersion. Indeed both sides show the same trend, that of a solid body rotation, almost out to the r_{24} radius in r -band where the rotation velocity is about to reach the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. Zhao's model suggests that our curve reaches a maximum slightly after the r_{24} radius, whereas Courteau's model suggests a continuous rising beyond.

Galaxy HRS 276, the $\text{H}\alpha$ emission of this Sbc type galaxy covers fairly well the northeastern side of the optical disk but is patchy for the southwestern side (redshifted) as can be seen also on the $\text{H}\alpha$ + [NII] image from Boselli et al. (2015). We adopted the morphological value for the inclination of the disk, when computing our $\text{H}\alpha$ rotation curve, and find a rather good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (7° difference). The redshifted side of the curve is rather ill defined, because of the lack of velocity points, but the blueshifted side is quite regular and enables to trace the rotation curve much beyond the effective radius in r -band, after which it seems to reach a plateau. Indeed Courteau's model suggests that our curve reaches a plateau after the effective radius, with a velocity close to the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$, whereas Zhao's model favors a continuous rising beyond that radius.

Galaxy HRS 278, the $\text{H}\alpha$ emission of this edge-on Sb type galaxy has a faint surface brightness and is limited to the inner disk as can be seen also on the $\text{H}\alpha$ + [NII] image from

Boselli et al. (2015). We adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our H α rotation curve. Despite some dispersion, both sides of the curve show the same trend, that of a solid body rotation, and extend out to about 3/4 of the effective radius in *r*-band. The rotation velocity reached for both sides is clearly above the HI $V_{\max}$.

Galaxy HRS 279, the optical disk of this Sd type galaxy is peppered with many HII regions covering it completely. We find a fairly good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (7° difference) as well as between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (5° difference) when computing our H α rotation curve. Despite some dispersion within the first 20 arcsec, the resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric, almost reaching the r_{24} radius in *r*-band (at least for the redshifted side) which is coincident here with the r_{25} radius in *B*-band. The rotation velocity reaches the HI $V_{\max}$ for both sides of the curve. Zhao's model suggests that our curve reaches a maximum before the optical radius and declines strongly beyond, whereas Courteau's model favors a continuous rising beyond the optical radius, although with a smaller slope.

Galaxy HRS 280, the H α emission of this Sb type galaxy shows a bright inner disk, with an extension of faint surface brightness on the western extremity of the disk (blueshifted side) as can be seen also on the H α + [NII] image from Boselli et al. (2015). The inclination of the disk is rather strong and we adopted the morphological value when computing our H α rotation curve. We find a rather good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (7° difference) and, despite the relative small number of velocity points, the resulting rotation curve is quite regular and symmetric. The curve extends out to the effective radius in *r*-band for both sides, reaching there a rotation velocity equal to the HI $V_{\max}$. The blueshifted side enables to trace our rotation curve a bit further and seems to stop rising. Indeed both models (but Courteau better than Zhao) suggest that our curve reaches a plateau slightly above the HI $V_{\max}$ just after the effective radius.

Galaxy HRS 282, we detected no significant H α emission in this BCD type galaxy (N.B. It was not imaged by Boselli et al. 2015.) and could not draw any reliable H α velocity field nor rotation curve.

Galaxy HRS 283, the disk of this galaxy is completely covered by H α emission and we find an excellent agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (1° difference only) as well as between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (2° difference only) when computing our H α rotation curve. The resulting rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric, despite some waves on the redshifted side, it extends out to the r_{24} radius in *r*-band (almost coincident here with the r_{25} radius in *B*-band) for both sides. The rotation velocity reached there is equal to the HI $V_{\max}$, but both models (Zhao and Courteau) suggest that our curve goes on rising beyond the optical radius, although with a smaller slope for Courteau's model.

Galaxy HRS 284, the disk of this galaxy is strongly inclined and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our rotation curve. The resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric, with the same trend for both sides, that of a solid body rotation. The curve extends out to about 3/4 of the r_{24} radius in *r*-band and the rotation velocity reached is slightly above the HI $V_{\max}$. Both models (Zhao and Courteau) suggest that our rotation curve reaches a plateau shortly after.

Galaxy HRS 287, this Scd type galaxy has a thick bar and a strong spiral pattern, both underlined by bright HII regions. We adopted the morphological value for the inclination of the disk, when computing our H α rotation curve, and find a significant difference between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (25° difference). Despite some distortions, the agreement between both sides of our curve is not so bad for the first 10 arcsec (about half the effective radius in *r*-band), but quite different beyond. The redshifted side then keeps an almost constant rotation velocity, equal to the HI $V_{\max}$, out to the r_{24} radius in *r*-band (almost coincident here with the r_{25} radius in *B*-band). The blueshifted side is not so extended and its velocity, after a small pause, goes on rising continuously beyond the effective radius. Zhao's model suggests that our rotation curve reaches a maximum (close to the HI $V_{\max}$) between the effective radius and r_{24} radius before declining very slowly, while Courteau's model suggests that it reaches a plateau with a rotation velocity close to the HI $V_{\max}$.

Galaxy HRS 289, this Sbc type galaxy has a nice spiral pattern, underlined by many bright HII regions. We find a reasonable agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (10° difference) as well as between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (14° difference) when computing our H α rotation curve. The resulting rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric, extending almost out to the r_{24} radius in *r*-band (at least for the blueshifted side). The central part of the curve is ill defined, because of the lack of H α emission there, and the velocity bump seen at about 10 arcsec from the center is likely to be explained by the strong central bulge. Both models (but Courteau better than Zhao) suggest that our curve rapidly reaches a plateau with a rotation velocity slightly above the HI $V_{\max}$.

Galaxy HRS 290, the H α emission of this almost edge-on Sa type galaxy is concentrated in the inner disk. We adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our rotation curve. Despite the relatively small number of velocity points, the resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric, almost reaching the effective radius in *r*-band. The redshifted side reaches a higher rotation velocity than the blueshifted side and both are clearly above the HI $V_{\max}$.

Galaxy HRS 291, the H α emission of this Sab type galaxy is limited to a few faint spots around the nucleus. We cannot check if they are real or mere noise since it was not imaged by Boselli et al. (2015). We could not draw any reliable H α velocity field nor rotation curve.

Galaxy HRS 292, the H α emission of this Sb type galaxy is very bright but limited to the inner disk, as can be seen also on the H α + [NII] image from Boselli et al. (2015). We find an acceptable agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (14° difference). The resulting curve is regular and symmetric, with both sides reaching the effective radius in *r*-band. The curve rises steeply up to a plateau at the HI $V_{\max}$ as confirmed by both models (Zhao and Courteau).

Galaxy HRS293, the whole optical disk of this Sdm galaxy is well covered by HII regions. We find a reasonable agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (7° difference) as well as between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (16° difference) when computing our H α rotation curve. Despite some waves on the redshifted side, we find a fairly regular and symmetric curve, extending out to the optical radius (the r_{24} radius in *r*-band and r_{25} radius in *B*-band are coincident here). The rotation velocity reached there is the HI $V_{\max}$ but both models (Zhao

and Courteau) suggest that our curve goes on rising beyond the optical radius.

Galaxy HRS 294, apart from a few bright spots, the $H\alpha$ emission of this edge-on Sb type galaxy has a rather faint surface brightness, as can be seen also on the $H\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). We adopted the morphological values for the inclination and for the PA of the major axis when computing our rotation curve. Despite the relatively small number of velocity points, the resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric but do not even reach the effective radius in r -band. Both sides show a solid body rotation that could reach the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ at the effective radius if extrapolated keeping the same slope.

Galaxy HRS 297, the whole optical disk of this Sbc type galaxy is peppered with bright HII regions. It is strongly inclined and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination as well as for the PA of the major axis when computing our rotation curve. Despite some waves on both sides, the resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric, extending almost out to the r_{24} radius in r -band where the rotation velocity reaches the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. Zhao's model suggests that our curve goes on rising beyond the optical radius, almost with the same slope, but Courteau's model apparently fails fitting our data correctly here.

Galaxy HRS 298 (KPG 397A), the $H\alpha$ emission of this peculiar galaxy is very bright but limited to the inner disk. The large and faint structure surrounding the northern and western side of the disk on our maps seems doubtful since nothing can be seen there on the $H\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). The fact that it pops out with the same velocity, color coded green on the map of our velocity field, strongly suggests that it is the remnant of an OH night skyline insufficiently subtracted (it also gives extreme values on the residual velocity field). Anyway, it was not taken into account when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. We adopted the morphological value for the inclination of the disk, when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve, and find a rather good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (9° difference). The resulting rotation curve is very regular and symmetric, extending almost out to the r_{25} radius in B -band where it reaches a rotation velocity higher than the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. Zhao's model suggests that our curve goes on rising beyond the optical radius but Courteau's model favors a plateau shortly after.

Galaxy HRS 300, there is no significant $H\alpha$ emission in this Sab type galaxy, as confirmed by the $H\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015) that shows only a very faint fuzzy spot in the center. The spots on our maps are probably more noise than signal and we could not draw any reliable $H\alpha$ velocity field nor rotation curve.

Galaxy HRS 301, the whole optical disk of this Sc type galaxy is peppered with numerous HII regions as can be seen also on the $H\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). We find a good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (8° difference) as well as between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (6° difference) when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric, except some dispersion (for both sides) around the 10 arcsec radius. The curve extends out to the r_{24} radius in r -band and reaches a rotation velocity higher than the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. Both models (Zhao and Courteau) suggest that our curve goes on rising beyond the optical radius but with a diminishing slope.

Galaxy HRS 303, the $H\alpha$ emission of this Sb type galaxy is very bright but limited to the inner disk. We find a rather good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (10° difference) and an excellent agreement

between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (2° difference) when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric, with both sides extending a bit further than the effective radius in r -band. Courteau's model suggests that our rotation curve reaches a plateau at the optical radius with a rotation velocity around 120 km s^{-1} whereas Zhao's model favors a continuous rising curve.

Galaxy HRS 304, the disk is strongly inclined and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination as well as for the PA of the major axis when computing our rotation curve. The resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric, with both sides extending a bit further than the effective radius in r -band. The curve reaches the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ there but both models (Zhao and Courteau) suggest that it goes on rising beyond that radius, although with a smaller slope for Courteau's model.

Galaxy HRS 305, the $H\alpha$ emission of this peculiar galaxy is limited to two relatively bright spots on each side of the nucleus, as can be seen also on the $H\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). Some velocity gradient can be seen anyway on our velocity field and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination as well as for the PA of the major axis when computing our rotation curve. The resulting curve is quite chaotic and limited to one third of the effective radius in r -band. The blueshifted side is less dispersed than the redshifted side but no more extended and its rotation velocity remains much below the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$.

Galaxy HRS 308, the $H\alpha$ emission of this Sb type galaxy is limited to a faint fuzzy central patch as can be seen also on the $H\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). Anyway, our velocity field shows some gradient and we tried to draw the rotation curve. The disk is strongly inclined and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination as well as for the PA of the major axis in order to compute our rotation curve. The result is however quite disappointing and not reliable.

Galaxy HRS 309, the optical disk of this Sm type galaxy is peppered with many bright HII regions. We find a rather good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (11° difference) and an excellent agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (3° difference) when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. Despite a rather faint spatial sampling, the resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric, with both sides extending a bit further than the r_{24} radius in r -band. Our curve remains clearly below the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ but both models (Zhao and Courteau) suggest that it goes on rising beyond the optical radius, although with a smaller slope for Courteau's model.

Galaxy HRS 313, the disk of this Sbc type galaxy is peppered with many bright HII regions. The disk is strongly inclined and we adopted the morphological values for the inclination as well as for the PA of the major axis in order to compute our rotation curve. Despite some dispersion, the resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric, with both sides extending out halfway between the effective radius and the r_{24} radius in r -band. Our curve just reaches the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ but both models (Zhao and Courteau) suggest that it goes on rising beyond the optical radius, although with a smaller slope for Courteau's model which is almost flat in the outer part.

Galaxy HRS 314, the $H\alpha$ emission of this Sd type galaxy is asymmetric, with brighter HII regions on the northern side (blueshifted) as can be also on the $H\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). The whole optical disk is nevertheless well covered on both sides by ionized gas. We find a good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (6° difference) and an acceptable agreement between the

morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (13° difference) when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting curve is quite chaotic, with a larger dispersion on the redshifted side for which the $H\alpha$ emission is the less bright. Nevertheless, both sides of the curve exhibit the same general trend, steeply rising up to the effective radius in r -band where the rotation velocity reaches the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. Beyond that radius, the rotation velocity remains almost constant for both sides, out to the r_{25} radius in B -band for the redshifted side. Zhao's model suggests that the curve reaches a maximum (with a rotation velocity close to the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$) before the optical radius and declines beyond, whereas Courteau's model favors a plateau beyond the optical radius (with a rotation velocity close to the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$).

Galaxy HRS 317, this galaxy is almost edge-on and we adopted the morphological value for the inclination. We then find an excellent agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (less than 1° difference) when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. Despite the faint sampling and some dispersion, the resulting rotation curve is fairly regular and symmetric and extends almost out to the r_{25} radius in B -band for both sides. The rotation velocity just reaches the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ but both models (Zhao and Courteau) suggest that it goes on rising beyond the optical radius.

Galaxy HRS318, the faint extension seen on our maps, on the northeastern side of the disk, is doubtful since nothing can be seen there on the $H\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). We adopted the morphological value for the inclination and find an acceptable agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (16° difference) when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric, despite some waves in the outer part of the redshifted side. The curve extends out to the r_{25} radius in B -band (almost coincident here with the r_{24} radius in r -band). Both models (Zhao and Courteau) suggest that our curve goes on rising beyond the optical radius, although with a lower slope for Courteau's model.

Galaxy HRS 319, the spiral pattern of this Scd galaxy is underlined by bright HII regions. We find an excellent agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the inclination (1° difference) as well as between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (3° difference) when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. However, although the rising part of our curve is fairly regular and symmetric, it becomes quite chaotic and asymmetric beyond the

effective radius in r -band. The blueshifted side of the curve rises up to the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$, extending midway between the effective radius and the r_{24} radius in r -band. The redshifted side extends out to the r_{25} radius in B -band with velocities remaining clearly below the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$. Zhao's model suggests a declining curve beyond the effective radius, whereas Courteau's model favors a plateau after that radius.

Galaxy HRS 321, the $H\alpha$ emission of this Sb type galaxy is very bright but limited to the inner disk as can be seen also on the $H\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). We adopted the morphological value for the inclination and find a good agreement between the morphological and kinematical values of the PA of the major axis (4° difference) when computing our $H\alpha$ rotation curve. The resulting curve is fairly regular and symmetric but extends out only midway between the effective radius in r -band and the r_{25} radius in B -band (at least for the redshifted side). The rotation velocity remains much below the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ and both models (Zhao and Courteau) suggest that it stops rising beyond the effective radius in r -band. Zhao's model suggests that our curve declines beyond that radius, whereas Courteau's model favors a plateau.

Galaxy HRS 322, the spiral pattern of this almost face-on Sa type galaxy is underlined by HII regions tracing a ring with about 1.5 arcmin radius. Because of this peculiar distribution of the $H\alpha$ emission, our rotation curve has no points in its rising part and it is difficult to optimize the kinematical parameters. We adopted the morphological value of the inclination and choose the value of the PA of the major axis by eye-estimate from the gradient of the velocity field. The resulting curve shows a plateau at about 210 km s^{-1} , above the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$.

Galaxy HRS 323, the $H\alpha$ emission of this almost edge-on Sb galaxy is asymmetric, much brighter on the northern side (blueshifted), as can be seen also on the $H\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ image from Boselli et al. (2015). We adopted the morphological values for the inclination as well as for the PA of the major axis when computing our rotation curve. The resulting curve is quite chaotic in the central part, out to a radius of 10 arcsec radius, but more regular and symmetric beyond. The blueshifted side (which is the brighter) is better defined than the redshifted side but both sides have about the same slope in their outer part, extending a bit further than the effective radius in r -band. Both models (Zhao and Courteau) suggest that our curve goes on rising with about the same slope and reaches the $\text{HI } V_{\text{max}}$ at the r_{24} radius in r -band.

Appendix C: H α profiles

Fig. C.1. Integrated H α profiles for the 152 HRS galaxies concerning this work. The profiles are displayed over three times the free spectral range (~ 25 Å top label, 1100 km s⁻¹ bottom label). The calibrated intensity in erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² nm⁻¹ appears on the right side of the Y-axis. The black dashed vertical line represents the systemic velocity computed by the kinematical models; in the cases where a kinematical modeling was possible, the corresponding systemic velocity taken from [Boselli et al. \(2010\)](#) is plotted instead.

Fig. C.1. continued.

Fig. C.1. continued.

Fig. C.1. continued.

Appendix D: Individual Maps

Fig. D.1. Galaxy HRS 157. *Top left:* XDFSS R-band image. *Top right:* H α velocity field. *Middle left:* H α monochromatic image. *Middle right:* H α velocity dispersion field. *Bottom left:* rotation curve (if computed), *Bottom right:* residual velocity field (if computed). The black cross is the kinematical center. In the maps, the green line is the morphological major axis, while the black/white line is the estimated kinematical major axis, its length represents the $2 \times r_{\text{opt}}$. In the rotation curve, blue dots indicate the approaching side while red crosses the receding side, the black solid vertical line represents the r_{opt} , and the black dashed line represents the r_{25} ; the blue solid line the r_{eff} (if available in Cortese et al. 2012) and the green horizontal line the H I V_{max} (if available in Boselli et al. 2014a); the solid black curve is the best fit Courteau function to the rotation curve.

Appendix E: Tables

Table E.1. *Herschel* Reference Survey.

HRS	CGCG	VCC	UGC	NGC	IC	RA(2000) (h m s)	Dec(2000) (° ' ")	<i>D</i> Mpc	Type	<i>r</i> _{eff} (kpc)	<i>D</i> ₂₄ (kpc)	<i>D</i> ₂₅ (kpc)	<i>i</i> (mag)	IRAC1 (mag)	log <i>M</i> _{star} (<i>M</i> _⊙)	<i>E</i> (<i>B</i> − <i>V</i>)	Virgo D (°)	Mem	H ₁₆₁₆ (20)
1	123-035	–	–	–	–	10 17 39.66	+22 48 35.9	16.79	Pec	0.45	2.32	2.44	13.899	–	8.72	0.0311	34.88	Leo Cl.	–
2	124-004	–	5588	–	–	10 20 57.13	+25 21 53.4	18.44	S?	0.39	1.96	1.39	13.259	–	8.77	0.023	34.96	Leo Cl.	0.07
5	94-052	–	–	–	610	10 26 28.37	+20 13 41.5	16.71	Sc	1.07	4.91	4.52	12.59	12.253	9.62	0.0203	32.06	Leo Cl.	0.66
6	154-016	–	5662	–	–	10 27 01.16	+28 38 21.9	18.89	SB(s)b	1.80	6.32	9.09	13.545	14.206	8.94	0.0267	34.96	Leo Cl.	0.62
9	154-026	–	5731	3277	–	10 32 55.45	+28 30 42.2	20.21	SA(r)ab:HI	1.40	8.20	5.73	11.037	11.46	10.08	0.0266	33.6	Leo Cl.	0.49
10	183-028	–	5738	–	–	10 34 29.82	+35 15 24.4	21.66	S?	0.53	2.77	2.87	13.47	–	8.71	0.0271	36.99	Leo Cl.	0.12
11	124-038	–	5742	3287	–	10 34 47.31	+21 38 54.0	18.93	SB(s)d	1.56	6.75	5.75	11.85	12.282	9.45	0.023	30.45	Leo Cl.	0.24
12	124-041	–	–	–	–	10 35 42.07	+26 07 33.7	19.89	cl	0.43	2.17	1.71	14.088	–	8.47	0.023	31.89	Leo Cl.	0.06
13	183-030	–	5753	3294	–	10 36 16.25	+37 19 28.9	22.47	SA(s)c	2.45	11.86	11.6	10.71	10.829	10.17	0.0195	37.97	Leo Cl.	0.25
17	95-019	–	5887	3370	–	10 47 04.05	+17 16 25.3	18.3	SA(s)c	1.22	6.95	8.41	11.57	11.747	9.46	0.0308	26.39	Leo Cl.	−0.09
18	155-015	–	5906	3380	–	10 48 12.17	+28 36 06.5	22.91	(R')SBa?	0.84	5.20	5.66	12.014	12.428	9.77	0.0248	30.35	Leo Cl.	0.59
19	184-016	–	5909	3381	–	10 48 24.82	+34 42 41.1	23.29	SB pec	1.32	6.00	6.91	12.123	12.553	9.39	0.0204	33.97	Leo Cl.	−0.18
21	155-028	–	5958	–	–	10 51 15.81	+27 50 54.9	16.89	Sbc	0.95	3.93	3.56	13.838	14.14	8.82	0.0238	29.3	Leo Cl.	0.72
23	184-028	–	5972	3424	–	10 51 46.33	+32 54 02.7	21.44	SB(s)b:HI	1.73	9.23	8.79	11.761	11.418	9.9	0.0234	32.15	Leo Cl.	0.32
25	125-013	–	5995	3437	–	10 52 35.75	+22 56 02.9	18.24	SAB(rs)c:	1.08	6.26	6.66	11.41	11.264	9.69	0.0186	26.72	Leo Cl.	−0.08
26	184-031	–	5990	–	–	10 52 38.34	+34 28 59.3	22.41	Sab	0.89	4.30	4.40	13.755	14.169	8.94	0.0193	33.02	Leo Cl.	0.44
27	184-034	–	6001	3442	–	10 53 08.11	+33 54 37.3	24.77	Sa?	0.43	2.52	2.23	13.043	–	8.94	0.0183	32.55	Leo Cl.	0.03
28	155-035	–	6023	3451	–	10 54 20.86	+27 14 22.9	19.03	Sd	0.98	4.59	4.71	12.441	12.898	9.16	0.0218	28.32	Leo Cl.	0.05
32	95-065	–	6030	3457	–	10 54 48.63	+17 37 16.3	16.54	S?	0.45	2.65	2.19	12.069	–	9.46	0.0308	24.57	Leo Cl.	0.82
35	267-037	–	6115	3499	–	11 03 11.03	+35 13 18.2	21.74	10	0.41	2.72	2.56	12.651	–	9.69	0.0087	49	Ursa Maj. SS	–
37	155-051	–	6128	3512	–	11 04 02.98	+28 02 12.5	19.61	SAB(rs)c	0.84	4.39	4.62	11.935	12.185	9.53	0.0282	26.75	Leo Cl.	0.04
38	38-129	–	6167	3526	–	11 06 56.63	+07 10 26.1	20.27	SAc pec sp	1.61	7.13	5.63	12.745	13.253	9.1	0.0334	20.73	Leo Cl.	0.03
39	66-115	–	6169	–	–	11 07 03.35	+12 03 36.2	22.24	Sb:	1.01	4.66	6.02	13.367	13.636	9.08	0.0155	20.94	Leo Cl.	0.16
40	67-019	–	6209	3547	–	11 09 55.94	+10 43 15.0	22.63	Sb:	1.00	5.30	6.29	12.476	12.874	9.18	0.0241	20.15	Leo Cl.	0.3
44	69-058	–	7117	4124	–	12 08 09.64	+10 22 43.4	17	S?	2.10	11.03	10.14	10.751	–	8.7	0.0279	19.25	Leo Cl.	0.34
47	96-029	–	6343	3626	–	12 03 03.80	+18 21 24.5	21.34	SA(s)cd:	1.46	9.34	8.35	10.624	10.762	9.11	0.0194	22.83	Leo Cl.	−0.15
48	156-064	–	6352	3629	–	11 20 31.82	+26 57 48.2	21.53	SA(s)c	1.45	5.82	7.17	12.334	13.122	9.92	0.0184	44.35	Ursa Major Cl.	0.09
50	39-130	–	6368	3640	–	11 21 06.85	+03 14 05.4	17.87	SA(s)c:HI	1.27	10.89	10.34	9.753	–	9.87	0.0422	17.49	Leo Cl.	−0.32
52	96-038	–	6405	3659	–	11 23 45.49	+17 49 06.8	18.56	SAB(rs)c pec	0.91	4.75	5.64	12.244	–	9.11	0.0193	43.84	Ursa Major Cl.	−0.65
56	96-047	–	6453	3684	–	11 27 11.18	+17 01 49.0	16.54	SB(s)c:HI	1.12	5.63	6.95	–	11.749	10.2	0.0259	47.22	Ursa Major Cl.	0.09
59	96-050	–	6464	3691	–	11 28 09.41	+16 55 13.7	15.24	Sb:LINER:HI	0.69	3.01	2.99	12.472	13.07	9.96	0.0261	15.41	Leo Cl.	0.38
60	67-084	–	6474	3692	–	11 28 24.01	+09 24 27.5	24.53	SB(r)b pec	1.85	11.2	11.27	11.751	11.81	9.93	0.0321	43.16	Ursa Major Cl.	−0.29
61	268-051	–	6547	3729	–	11 33 49.34	+53 07 31.8	15.14	Scd:HI	1.25	6.30	6.21	10.845	11.116	8.54	0.0114	47.78	Ursa Major Cl.	−0.08
62	292-009	–	6575	–	–	11 36 26.47	+58 11 29.0	17.39	SAB(rs)c pec	1.01	4.25	4.93	13.379	14.123	9.11	0.0154	27.59	Ursa Maj. SS	−0.17
63	186-012	–	6577	3755	–	11 36 33.37	+36 24 37.2	22.44	SAB(s)bc	2.40	10.15	10.31	12.408	12.975	9.94	0.0251	44.03	Ursa Major Cl.	0.27
64	268-063	–	6579	3756	–	11 36 48.02	+54 17 36.8	18.41	Sc:HI	2.23	10.09	11.17	10.888	11.266	9.06	0.0114	47.93	Ursa Major Cl.	0.24
65	292-017	–	6629	3795	–	11 40 06.84	+58 36 47.2	17.33	SAB(s)d	0.98	4.74	5.39	12.787	13.165	9.04	0.0144	45.55	Ursa Major Cl.	−0.18
66	292-019	–	6640	3794	–	11 40 53.42	+56 12 07.3	19.76	SA(rs)b:	1.44	6.27	6.44	12.566	13.347	9.77	0.0132	27.14	Ursa Maj. SS	−0.05
67	186-024	–	6651	3813	–	11 41 18.65	+36 32 48.3	20.97	SB(s)m:	1.44	7.78	6.83	11.235	11.222	8.99	0.019	44.21	Ursa Major Cl.	0.06
68	268-076	–	6706	–	–	11 44 14.83	+55 02 05.9	20.51	S?	1.37	5.70	5.70	12.744	13.585	8.93	0.0135	25.05	Ursa Maj. SS	0.27
70	268-088	–	6787	3898	–	11 49 15.37	+56 05 03.7	16.73	SB(r)bc:HI	1.35	10.61	10.63	9.985	10.371	9.01a	0.0209	15.09	Crater Cl.	0.16
72	292-042	–	6860	3945	–	11 53 13.73	+60 40 32.0	17.99	IBm: sp:HI	1.40	13.08	13.74	9.957	–	8.85a	0.0287	15.01	Crater Cl.	−0.27
74	269-013	–	6870	3953	–	11 53 48.92	+52 19 36.4	15	SAB(r)b:HI;S2	2.75	13.85	15.1	9.477	9.58	9.52	0.03	43.59	Ursa Major Cl.	0.1

Notes. The general properties of the 152 HRS galaxies observed at OHP with GHASP instrument. (1) HRS galaxy number. (2)–(6) Name of the galaxy in the CGCG/VCC/UGC/NGC/IC catalogs when available. (7) and (8) Coordinates (in J2000) of the photometric center of the galaxy (Boselli et al. 2010). (9) Distance to the galaxy in Mpc from Boselli et al. (2015). (10) Morphological type according to Boselli et al. (2010). (11) and (12) Effective radius (*r*_{eff}) and *D*₂₄ in *r*-band when available in Cortese et al. (2012) converted to kpc. (13) *D*₂₅ in *B*-band from Boselli et al. (2010) converted to kpc. (14) and (15) Apparent asymptotic magnitude in the *i*-band and in the IRAC1 3.6 μ m from Cortese et al. (2012) and Sheth et al. (2010) respectively and if available. (16) Stellar mass from Cortese et al. (2012) and Boselli et al. (2015), determined using the prescription given in Zibetti et al. (2009) based on the *i*-band luminosity and *g* − *i* mass-to-light ratio. For those objects without SDSS *g* and *i*-band data (11 objects, marked with “a” in Table E.1), the stellar masses were calculated as in Boselli et al. (2009) based on the *H*-band luminosity and *B* − *H* mass-to-light ratio. (17) Color index from Cortese et al. (2012). (18)–(20) Distance from Virgo cluster (Virgo A or Virgo B) in degrees, name of the cloud where the galaxy is member, and HI-deficiency parameter from Boselli et al. (2015).

Table E.1. continued.

HRS (1)	CGCG (2)	VCC (3)	UGC (4)	NGC (5)	IC (6)	RA(2000) (h m s) (7)	Dec(2000) (° ° ′) (8)	D (Mpc) (9)	Type (10)	r_{eff} (kpc) (11)	D_{24} (kpc) (12)	D_{25} (kpc) (13)	i (mag) (14)	IRAC1 (mag) (15)	$\log M_{\text{star}}$ ($M_{\odot}$) (16)	$E(B-V)$ (17)	Virgo D (°) (18)	Memb (19)	Defini (20)
76	269-020	-	6919	-	-	11 56 37.51	+55 37 59.5	18.33	Im;	0.97	3.71	3.87	13.681	-	8.72	0.0144	41.65	Ursa Major Cl.	0.24
77	269-022	-	6923	-	-	11 56 49.43	+53 09 37.3	15.27	SA(s)bc;HII	1.11	4.20	4.44	12.815	-	10.54	0.026	11.7	Crater Cl.	-0.14
78	13-033	-	6993	4030	-	12 00 23.64	-01 06 00.0	20.83	Im;	1.76	12.00	12.63	9.8	9.835	9.2	0.0266	10.79	Coma 1 Cl.	-0.3
79	98-019	-	6995	4032	-	12 00 32.82	+20 04 26.0	18.13	SBb? sp	0.87	4.32	4.90	12.103	12.838	8.62	0.0347	7.608	Virgo Out.	0.28
80	69-024	-	7001	4019	755	12 01 10.39	+14 06 16.2	21.54	SB(rs)bc;	1.17	5.92	7.52	13.363	13.976	9.51	0.0317	7.426	Virgo Out.	0.77
82	13-046	-	7021	4045	-	12 02 42.26	+01 58 36.4	17	Sab	1.21	7.07	7.42	11.184	11.24	8.69	0.0225	7.73	Virgo Out.	0.37
83	98-037	-	-	-	-	12 03 35.94	+16 03 28.0	17	SB(r)bc;HII	0.45	2.15	1.48	13.379	-	8.53	0.03	8.446	Crater Cl.	0.68
84	41-031	-	7035	-	-	12 03 40.14	+02 38 28.4	17.6	SA(s)bc;	0.75	3.58	2.82	13.559	14.181	9.39	0.027	6.833	Virgo Out.	0.38
86	243-044	-	7095	4100	-	12 06 08.60	+49 34 56.3	15.31	SB(rs)dm	2.17	10.91	11.96	10.444	10.483	9.23	0.0231	7.673	Virgo Out.	-0.21
88	41-042	-	7116	4123	-	12 08 11.11	+02 52 41.8	17	SB(r)bc;Sbrst;HII	2.41	8.65	12.36	10.941	11.299	9.63	0.0201	7.442	Virgo Out.	0.05
92	69-101	131	7255	-	3061	12 15 04.44	+14 01 44.3	17	SBc? sp	1.09	4.72	6.43	13.06	13.243	9.1	0.0371	4.265	Virgo N Cl.	0.28
95	69-107	152	7268	4207	-	12 15 30.50	+09 35 05.6	17	Scd	0.82	4.33	4.85	12.002	11.871	9.66	0.0173	3.904	Virgo N Cl.	0.27
98	69-119	187	7291	4222	-	12 16 22.52	+13 18 25.5	17	Sc	1.92	7.91	8.70	12.529	12.56	9.31	0.0313	3.726	Virgo N Cl.	0.25
104	99-015	-	7366	-	-	12 19 28.66	+17 13 49.4	17	Spiral	0.80	2.72	2.97	13.682	-	8.8	0.0242	5.609	Virgo Out.	-
106	42-032	393	7385	4276	-	12 20 07.50	+07 41 31.2	23	S(s)c II	1.28	5.42	7.02	12.479	13.004	9.37	0.0292	2.433	Virgo B	0.4
107	42-033	404	7387	-	-	12 20 17.35	+04 12 05.1	17	Sd(f)	1.24	4.67	4.67	13.48	13.53	8.98	0.0224	4.479	Virgo S Cl.	0.46
112	42-044	492	7413	4300	-	12 21 41.47	+05 23 05.4	23	Sa	1.18	6.36	7.23	11.851	-	10.04	0.0216	3.306	Virgo B	0.94
117	70-029	524	7431	4307	-	12 22 05.63	+09 02 36.8	23	Sb	2.22	11.11	13.21	11.188	11.232	10.35	0.0228	2.187	Virgo B	1.5
118	42-053	552	7439	-	-	12 22 27.25	+04 33 58.7	17	SAB(s)c	1.02	3.88	4.67	12.808	13.68	8.67	0.0242	3.892	Virgo S Cl.	-0.26
121	70-035	576	7447	4316	-	12 22 42.24	+09 19 56.9	23	Sbc	1.63	8.63	8.30	11.945	11.762	10.09	0.0221	2.214	Virgo B	0.37
132	42-080	699	7477	-	3268	12 22 47.44	+06 36 26.9	23	Sm/Im	0.76	3.95	6.52	12.76	13.551	9.13	0.0247	1.985	Virgo B	0.16
133	158-099	-	7483	4359	-	12 24 11.06	+31 31 17.8	17.9	SB(rs)c? sp	1.78	6.85	9.37	12.205	12.816	9.26	0.0247	19.2	Coma 1 Cl.	0.19
134	70-048	713	7482	4356	-	12 24 14.53	+08 32 08.9	23	Sc	2.47	10.91	10.7	11.958	12.227	9.96	0.0269	1.484	Virgo B	1.46
136	42-089	758	7492	4370	-	12 24 54.93	+07 26 40.4	23	Sa	1.15	6.05	5.89	11.8	11.822	10.14	0.0237	1.337	Virgo B	1.2
139	42-093	787	7498	4376	-	12 25 18.06	+05 44 28.3	23	Im	1.27	5.25	6.16	12.938	13.534	9	0.023	2.521	Virgo B	0.18
141	70-061	792	7503	4380	-	12 25 22.17	+10 01 00.5	23	SA(s)bc?	2.94	12.61	11.78	10.893	11.148	10.32	0.0236	2.299	Virgo B	1.14
142	99-044	801	7507	4383	-	12 25 25.50	+16 28 12.0	17	Sa? pec;HII	0.69	5.51	6.43	11.577	11.768	9.42	0.0237	4.296	Virgo A	-0.28
143	42-095	827	7513	-	-	12 25 42.63	+07 13 00.1	23	SB(s)cd; sp	2.43	9.53	12.04	12.277	12.112	9.6	0.0256	1.284	Virgo B	-0.06
145	70-067	849	7519	4390	-	12 25 50.67	+10 33 17.4	23	Sbc(s) II	1.50	5.92	7.29	12.359	12.939	9.31	0.031	2.298	Virgo B	0.42
146	42-098	851	7518	-	3322	12 25 54.12	+07 33 17.4	23	SAB(s)cd; sp	1.90	7.69	7.23	12.835	13.085	9.38	0.0293	1.067	Virgo B	0.4
148	99-049	865	7526	4396	-	12 25 58.80	+15 40 17.3	17	SAd; sp	2.27	8.65	8.31	12.075	12.414	9.25	0.0261	3.496	Virgo A	0.2
149	70-071	873	7528	4402	-	12 26 07.56	+13 06 46.0	17	Sb	2.35	10.48	9.77	11.057	10.837	10.04	0.029	1.379	Virgo A	0.83
151	70-076	912	7538	4413	-	12 26 32.25	+12 36 39.5	17	(R')SB(rs)ab;	1.37	6.08	7.22	11.537	12.097	9.68	0.0322	1.094	Virgo A	0.92
152	42-104	921	7536	4412	-	12 26 36.10	+03 57 52.7	17	SB(rl)? pec;LINER	0.86	4.08	4.67	11.924	12.146	9.3	0.018	4.112	Virgo S Cl.	0.75
153	42-105	938	7541	4416	-	12 26 46.72	+07 55 08.4	17	SB(rs)cd;Sbrst	0.98	4.25	5.39	12.058	12.514	9.34	0.0253	0.7544	Virgo S Cl.	0.53
154	70-082	939	7546	-	-	12 26 47.23	+08 53 04.6	23	SAB(s)cd	2.39	8.10	11.54	12.021	12.882	9.49	0.0293	1.159	Virgo B	0.03
156	99-054	958	7551	4419	-	12 26 56.43	+15 02 50.7	17	SB(s)ac;LINER;HII	1.31	8.48	8.70	10.563	10.389	10.24	0.033	2.828	Virgo A	1.36
157	42-106	957	7549	4420	-	12 26 58.48	+02 29 39.7	17	SB(r)bc;	0.92	4.97	4.97	11.801	12.053	9.28	0.0178	5.55	Virgo S Cl.	0.28
159	70-090	979	7561	4424	-	12 27 11.59	+09 25 14.0	23	SB(s)ac;HII	2.59	12.28	14.48	10.899	11.506	10.17	0.0207	1.561	Virgo B	0.98
160	42-111	1002	7566	4430	-	12 27 26.41	+06 15 46.0	23	SB(rs)bc;	1.91	8.30	10.10	11.506	12.022	9.83	0.0186	1.833	Virgo B	0.65
164	70-099	1047	7581	4440	-	12 27 53.57	+12 17 35.6	17	SB(rs)ba	0.74	4.80	4.97	11.287	-	9.91	0.027	0.7393	Virgo A	1.1
165	42-117	1048	7579	-	-	12 27 55.39	+05 43 16.4	23	Sdm;	1.06	4.62	6.32	13.776	14.069	8.86	0.0197	2.326	Virgo B	0.68
168	70-108	1091	7590	-	-	12 28 18.77	+08 43 46.1	23	Sbc	0.83	3.95	5.89	13.499	14.218	8.64	0.0215	0.816	Virgo B	-0.03
169	99-063	-	7595	-	3391	12 28 27.28	+18 24 55.1	24.3	Scd;	1.42	5.87	3.89	12.679	13.195	9.28	0.0323	6.053	Coma 1 Cl.	0.31
171	70-111	1118	7600	4451	-	12 28 40.55	+09 15 32.2	23	Sbc;	0.89	5.55	6.56	12.04	12.386	9.69	0.0188	1.289	Virgo B	0.78
172	99-065	1126	7602	-	3392	12 28 43.26	+14 59 58.2	17	SAB;	1.31	6.63	7.22	11.563	11.829	9.77	0.0369	2.66	Virgo A	1.48
177	42-132	1205	7627	4470	-	12 29 37.78	+07 49 27.1	17	Sa?;HII	0.73	3.78	4.55	12.052	12.474	9.2	0.0241	0.1799	Virgo S Cl.	0.32
182	42-139	1290	7647	4480	-	12 30 26.78	+04 14 47.3	17	SAB(s)c	1.17	5.14	4.97	11.943	12.297	9.38	0.0242	3.757	Virgo S Cl.	0.05
184	70-140	1326	7657	4491	-	12 30 57.13	+11 29 00.8	17	SB(s)ac	1.01	5.12	4.67	11.989	12.512	9.55	0.0416	0.9077	Virgo A	1.27
185	42-141	1330	7656	4492	-	12 30 59.74	+08 04 40.6	17	SA(s)sa?	1.46	6.68	4.85	11.045	11.611	10.06	0.0254	0.314	Virgo S Cl.	1.43
187	42-144	1375	7668	4505	-	12 31 39.21	+03 56 22.1	17	SB(rs)rm	1.93	7.94	11.77	11.352	11.774	9.41	0.0245	4.088	Virgo S Cl.	-0.01
189	99-077	1393	7676	-	797	12 31 54.76	+15 07 26.2	17	SB(s)c II.5	1.10	4.33	4.18	12.507	13.063	8.94	0.0307	2.746	Virgo A	0.42
191	99-078	1410	7677	4502	-	12 32 03.35	+16 41 15.8	17	Scd;	0.64	2.74	3.66	13.559	14.273	8.56	0.0291	4.308	Virgo A	0.55
192	70-152	1419	7682	4506	-	12 32 10.53	+13 25 10.6	17	Sa pec?	0.96	4.38	5.34	12.288	12.91	9.39	0.0287	1.083	Virgo A	1.31

Table E.1. continued.

HRS	CGCG	VCC	UGC	NGC	IC	RA(2000)	Dec(2000)	D	Type	r_{eff}	D_{24}	D_{25}	i	IRAC1	$\log M_{\text{star}}$	$E(B-V)$	Virgo D	Memb	Defin
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(h m s)	(° ' ")	(Mpc)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)
193	70-157	1450	7695	—	3476	12 32 41.88	+14 03 01.8	17	IB(s)m:	1.27	5.32	6.43	12.319	12.929	9.03	0.0358	1.724	Virgo A	0.66
195	99-087	1479	7703	4516	—	12 33 07.56	+14 34 29.8	17	SB(rs)ab?	1.11	5.14	5.34	11.98	—	9.66	0.036	2.258	Virgo A	0.71
197	70-168	1516	7711	4522	—	12 33 39.66	+09 10 29.5	17	SB(s)cd: sp	2.06	8.78	9.99	11.817	12.034	9.38	0.0207	1.524	Virgo S Cl.	0.68
198	159-016	—	7714	4525	—	12 33 51.19	+30 16 39.1	16.77	Scd:	1.35	5.73	7.32	12.074	12.69	9.29	0.0229	17.9	Coma I Cl.	0.36
199	99-090	1532	7716	—	800	12 33 56.66	+15 21 17.4	17	SB(rs)je pec?	1.21	4.30	4.85	12.587	13.169	9.07	0.0377	3.065	Virgo A	1
207	99-093	1588	7742	4540	—	12 34 50.87	+15 33 05.2	17	SAB(rs)cd:LINER:Sy1	1.20	5.91	6.43	11.354	11.788	9.69	0.0349	3.316	Virgo A	0.6
212	99-098	—	7768	4561	—	12 36 08.14	+19 19 21.4	20.14	SB(rs)dm	1.18	4.86	4.42	12.262	13.094	9.14	0.0263	7.058	Coma I Cl.	-0.53
225	70-202	1778	7817	—	3611	12 39 04.14	+13 21 48.7	17	S?	0.87	3.78	4.35	13.25	13.988	8.72	0.0358	2.279	Virgo E Cl.	1.28
226	42-191	1780	7821	4591	—	12 39 12.44	+06 00 44.3	17	Sb	0.69	3.93	4.85	12.52	12.808	9.31	0.0216	3.083	Virgo S Cl.	0.79
230	99-106	1811	7826	4595	—	12 39 51.91	+15 17 52.1	17	SAB(rs)ab?	0.99	4.80	5.34	12.008	12.524	9.15	0.0367	3.682	Virgo E Cl.	0.54
237	42-208	1923	7871	4630	—	12 42 31.15	+03 57 37.3	17	IB(s)m?	1.05	4.99	5.71	11.792	12.221	9.51	0.0298	5.145	Virgo S Cl.	0.31
238	14-109	—	7869	4629	—	12 42 32.67	-01 21 02.4	15.94	SAB(s)m pec	0.77	2.81	3.2	13.286	14.179	8.46	0.0371	9.881	Virgo Out.	-0.57
239	99-112	1932	7875	4634	—	12 42 40.96	+14 17 45.0	17	SBCd: sp	1.80	8.95	7.22	11.825	11.609	9.57	0.0278	3.524	Virgo E Cl.	0.45
249	71-026	2006	7920	—	3718	12 44 45.99	+12 21 05.2	17	S	1.45	5.54	6.43	12.854	13.635	9.01	0.0293	3.486	Virgo E Cl.	1.49
252	15-016	—	7931	4668	—	12 45 32.14	-00 32 05.0	23.13	SB(s)cd:NLGN	1.16	5.21	4.64	12.634	13.31	9.13	0.0246	9.4	Virgo Out.	-0.28
255	43-028	—	7961	4688	—	12 47 46.46	+04 20 09.9	17	SB(s)cd	1.83	5.39	10.88	12.092	12.791	8.97	0.0305	5.802	Virgo Out.	0.08
256	15-023	—	—	4691	—	12 48 13.63	-03 19 57.8	15.99	(R)SB(s)0/a pec:HII	1.33	8.28	6.56	10.381	10.805	9.98	0.0276	12.24	Virgo Out.	0.75
261	43-040	—	7982	—	—	12 49 50.19	+02 51 10.4	16.54	Sd(f)	1.76	7.14	8.16	12.37	12.675	9.42	0.0347	7.186	Virgo Out.	0.52
264	15-027	—	7991	—	—	12 50 38.96	+01 27 52.3	18.17	Sd(f)	1.43	5.66	4.49	13.309	13.667	9.06	0.0247	8.363	Virgo Out.	0.48
267	129-028	—	8005	4747	—	12 51 45.96	+25 46 38.3	16.84	SBCd? pec sp	2.67	10.04	9.67	11.676	12.095	9.5	0.0104	14.37	Coma I Cl.	0.06
268	71-060	—	8007	4746	—	12 51 55.37	+12 04 58.9	17	Sb: sp	0.98	4.87	5.44	12.065	11.783	9.42	0.0358	5.284	Virgo Out.	0.15
271	100-015	—	8014	4758	—	12 52 44.04	+15 50 55.9	17	Im:;HII	2.11	8.95	7.42	12.234	12.535	9.33	0.0261	6.478	Virgo Out.	0.23
273	15-031	—	8020	4775	—	12 53 21.27	-01 16 09.0	17	SAd? sp:NLGN	1.80	9.00	9.99	11.338	11.576	9.88	0.0197	8.947	Virgo Out.	0.42
275	—	—	—	4775	—	12 53 45.70	-06 37 19.8	22.37	SA(s)cd	—	—	6.86	—	11.835	9.61a	0.035	15.8	Virgo Out.	-0.49
276	71-068	—	8022	4779	—	12 53 50.86	+09 42 35.7	17	SB(rs)bc:Sbst	1.04	4.80	5.19	11.962	12.288	9.35	0.0219	6.255	Virgo Out.	0.42
278	71-071	—	8032	—	—	12 54 44.19	+13 14 14.2	16.01	S	1.30	5.87	6.4	12.621	—	9.31	0.0359	6.038	Virgo Out.	1.67
279	15-037	—	8041	—	—	12 55 12.68	+00 07 00.0	17	SB(s)cd	2.17	7.54	7.66	11.936	12.623	9.24	0.0221	10.13	Virgo Out.	-0.01
280	43-066	—	8043	4799	—	12 55 15.53	+02 53 47.9	17	S?	0.56	3.63	3.96	12.322	12.412	9.48	0.034	8.162	Virgo Out.	0.44
282	43-069	—	—	4803	—	12 55 33.67	+08 14 25.8	17	Comp	0.32	2.35	1.24	12.949	—	9.3	0.0306	6.45	Virgo Out.	-0.3
283	43-071	—	8054	4808	—	12 55 48.94	+04 18 14.7	17	SA(s)cd:;HII	1.21	6.08	6.43	11.369	11.435	9.49	0.0368	7.485	Virgo Out.	-0.58
284	—	—	—	—	3908	12 56 40.62	-07 33 46.1	18.51	SB(s)cd?;HII	—	—	4.90	—	11.425	9.71a	0.0349	16.95	Virgo Out.	0
287	15-055	—	8121	4904	—	13 00 58.67	-00 01 38.8	17	SB(s)cd:Sbst	1.20	5.61	5.93	11.487	11.885	9.53	0.0306	11.19	Virgo Out.	0.05
289	—	—	—	4981	—	13 08 48.74	-06 46 39.1	23.97	SAB(r)bc:LINER	—	—	9.59	—	11.141	10.31a	0.0422	17.71	Virgo Out.	-0.16
290	189-037	—	8271	5014	—	13 11 31.16	+36 16 54.9	16.23	Sa? sp	0.57	3.64	4.01	12.335	12.606	9.23	0.0078	25.97	Canes Ven. Spur	0.37
291	217-031	—	8388	5103	—	13 20 30.08	+43 05 02.3	18.53	Sab	0.53	4.55	3.91	11.673	12.136	9.86	0.0178	33.11	Canes Ven. Spur	0.51
292	218-010	—	8439	5145	—	13 25 13.92	+43 16 02.2	17.5	S?;HII:Sbst	0.51	3.49	5.09	11.958	11.719	9.8	0.0121	33.74	Canes Ven. Spur	0.17
293	16-069	—	8443	5147	—	13 26 19.71	+02 06 02.7	15.6	SB(s)dm	1.03	4.36	4.33	11.786	12.144	9.07	0.0271	15.32	Virgo Out.	-0.1
294	246-017	—	8593	—	902	13 36 01.22	+49 57 39.0	22.97	Sb	1.55	7.12	7.32	12.74	12.775	9.56	0.0108	40.95	Canes Ven. Spur	0.5
297	246-023	—	8711	5301	—	13 46 24.61	+46 06 26.7	21.54	SA(s)bc: sp	2.66	11.69	13.06	11.373	11.446	9.99	0.0173	38.65	Canes Ven. Spur	0.13
298	218-047	—	8725	5303	—	13 47 44.97	+38 18 16.4	20.27	Pec	0.55	3.07	2.68	12.431	—	9.11	0.0139	32.27	Canes Ven. Spur	-0.41
300	218-058	—	8756	—	—	13 50 35.89	+42 32 29.5	19.34	Sab	0.79	4.47	4.78	12.77	13.022	9.47	0.0142	36.15	Canes Ven. Spur	0.65
301	17-088	—	8790	5334	4338	13 52 54.46	-01 06 52.7	19.71	SB(rs)cd:	2.74	10.15	11.95	11.239	11.886	9.75	0.0459	22.69	Virgo-Libra Cl.	0.12
303	295-024	—	8843	5372	—	13 54 46.01	+58 39 59.4	24.53	S?	0.49	2.89	2.32	12.833	—	9.23	0.0098	50.81	Canes Ven-Cane. Cl.	—
304	46-001	—	8831	5356	—	13 54 58.46	+02 20 01.4	19.57	SABbc: sp:HII	2.08	9.17	8.80	11.719	11.897	10.01	0.0251	21.47	Virgo-Libra Cl.	0.84
305	46-003	—	8838	5360	958	13 55 38.75	+04 59 06.2	16.73	10	1.16	4.36	5.33	13.051	13.566	9.13	0.0288	21.68	Virgo-Libra Cl.	1.38
308	46-011	—	8857	—	—	13 56 26.61	+04 23 48.0	15.59	Sb(f)	0.52	2.40	2.06	13.968	—	8.61	0.0326	21.96	Virgo-Libra Cl.	0.39
309	272-031	—	9036	5486	—	14 07 24.97	+55 06 11.1	19.76	SA(s)m:	1.14	4.51	5.35	13.135	14.041	8.7	0.0203	49.07	Canes Ven-Cane. Cl.	-0.1
313	47-022	—	9187	5577	—	14 21 13.11	+03 26 08.8	21.29	SA(rs)bc:	2.27	9.82	10.5	11.98	12.306	9.64	0.0404	28.23	Virgo-Libra Cl.	0.52
314	19-012	—	9215	—	—	14 23 27.12	+01 43 34.7	19.84	SB(s)cd:	1.72	7.30	6.32	12.299	12.969	9.07	0.0322	29.1	Virgo-Libra Cl.	-0.18
317	47-066	—	9311	—	1022	14 30 01.85	+03 46 22.3	24.51	S?	0.96	4.35	3.92	13.803	—	8.94	0.033	30.36	Virgo-Libra Cl.	0.23
318	47-070	—	9328	5645	—	14 30 39.35	+07 16 30.3	19.57	SB(s)cd	1.53	7.23	6.83	11.698	12.298	9.43	0.0272	30.23	Virgo-Libra Cl.	-0.15
319	75-064	—	9353	5669	—	14 32 43.88	+09 53 30.5	19.54	SAB(rs)cd	2.35	8.98	11.31	11.444	11.981	9.47	0.0272	30.58	Virgo-Libra Cl.	-0.1
321	47-123	—	9427	5692	—	14 38 18.12	+03 24 37.2	22.59	S?	0.58	3.35	2.92	12.687	—	9.11	0.0371	32.46	Virgo-Libra Cl.	0.24
322	47-127	—	9436	5701	—	14 39 11.06	+05 21 48.8	21.5	(R)SB(rs)0/a:LINER	1.77	12.88	13.35	10.412	10.757	10.45	0.0378	32.46	Virgo-Libra Cl.	-0.27
323	48-004	—	9483	—	1048	14 42 57.88	+04 53 24.5	23.43	S	1.56	7.63	7.63	12.134	12.101	9.88	0.037	33.44	Virgo-Libra Cl.	0.06

Table E.2. Log of the observations.

HRS	λ_c	Date	Exp time	Filter tilt
(1)	($\text{\AA}$) (2)	(dd/mm/yy) (3)	(s) (4)	($^\circ$) (5)
1	6595	24/02/17	7360	2.5
2	6595	23/02/17	7360	2.5
5	6595	21/02/17	8960	2.5
6	6595	19/02/17	7360	0
9	6595	01/03/16	9720	0
10	6595	20/02/17	5760	0
11	6595	04/02/17	7380	0
12	6595	25/02/17	7200	0
13	6605	07/02/16	7380	5
17	6595	04/02/16	7020	0
18	6605	02/03/16	7530	5
19	6605	10/02/16	7380	5
21	6585	22/02/17	7040	0
23	6595	18/02/17	7360	0
25	6595	04/02/16	7020	0
26	6605	28/02/17	6340	3
27	6605	26/02/17	5760	3
28	6595	03/02/16	10440	0
32	6595	18/04/17	7039	5
35	6595	08/02/18	5440	0
37	6595	05/03/16	6000	0
38	6595	25/02/17	6400	0
39	6605	15/03/08	8160*	4
40	6605	06/03/16	7380	5
44	6595	22/05/17	6080	4
47	6595	05/02/16	7380	0
48	6595	02/02/16	10794	4
50	6595	15/02/18	6400	1
52	6595	20/05/17	6720	4
56	6605	08/03/16	7380	3
59	6605	24/02/17	7040	2.5
60	6585	11/02/16	7380	0
61	6595	19/04/17	6340	4
62	6605	19/02/17	7360	4
63	6595	05/02/16	10744	0
64	6595	02/05/17	7040	2.5
65	6595	16/02/16	6000	0
66	6595	07/02/16	7380	0
67	6595	12/03/16	7380	0
68	6595	15/02/18	7040	1
70	6605	27/04/17	6400	5
72	6605	10/03/16	8100	5
74	6585	14/02/16	7020	0
76	6585	07/03/16	7620	0
77	6595	10/02/16	6060	0
78	6595	11/03/16	7380	2.5
79	6595	19/03/2018	6720	0
80	6585	15/02/16	7080	0
82	6585	10/02–12/02/2018	8320	0

Table E.2. continued.

HRS	λ_c	Date	Exp time	Filter tilt
(1)	($\text{\AA}$) (2)	(dd/mm/yy) (3)	(s) (4)	($^\circ$) (5)
83	6595	22/03/18	6400	4
84	6615	28/05/17	6720	0
86	6595	03/02/16	7800	0
88	6595	07/02/16	6741	0
92	6615	20/03/18	6400	0
95	6575	22/04/17	6400	0
98	6575	21/03/2018	6400	4
104	6585	15/02–22/02–22/03/18	6320	0
106	6625	28/04/17	6720	1.5
107	6605	08/02/18	7040	2.3
112	6615	24/04/17	7380	0
117	6585	17/03/18	5760*	0
118	6595	10/02/16	7380	1.7
121	6595	23/04/17	6400	4
132	6585	06/03/16	7380	5
133	6595	18/03/18	7040	4
134	6595	23/04/17	6720	4
136	6585	02/05/17	8000	5
139	6595	21/05/17	6080	5
141	6585	12/02/16	8616	0
142	6605	28/02/17	5900	3
143	6595	20/03/2018	6080	0
145	6595	24/04/17	7380	4
146	6595	24/05/17	6400	4
148	6565	25/02/17	6000	3
149	6575	13–15/03/18	17280*	4
151	6565	28/04/17	6720	0
152	6615	19/04/17	8639	0
153	6595	18/02/17	7360	0
154	6595	03/02/16	7800	0
156	6565	21/02/17	7040	5
157	6605	19/02/17	5760	4
159	6575	21/04/17	14400	0
160	6595	14/02/16	7380	0
164	6585	24/02/17	6080	4
165	6615	20/03/18	4160	0
168	6595	22/03/18	6400	4
169	6605	12/02/18	7380	3
171	6585	22/03/18	5760	0
172	6605	08/03/16	7380	3
177	6615	26/05/17	5760	0
182	6615	25/05/17	6400	0
184	6575	13/03/16	9180	0
185	6605	19/03/18	6400	0
187	6605	15/02/16	7080	1
189	6615	22/03/18	5300	4
191	6605	23/05/17	5760	5
192	6585	24/05/17	6400	5
193	6565	26/05/17	4800	4
195	6585	31/05/17	3000	0
197	6615	13/03/18	7680*	0
198	6595	22/02/17	7040	5
199	6625	28/05/17	5120	5
207	6595	04/02/16	5420	0
212	6595	12/03/18	7680*	0

Notes. Log of the Fabry–Perot observations carried out so far at OHP and presented in this work. (1) HRS galaxy number. (2) Central wavelength of the interference filter used ($FWHM = 15 \text{ \AA}$). (3) Date of the observations. (4) Total exposure time in second; observations scanned with 48 instead of 32 channels are marked with a star. (5) Filter tilt when the case.

Table E.2. continued.

HRS	λ_c	Date	Exp time	Filter tilt
(1)	($\text{\AA}$) (2)	(dd/mm/yy) (3)	(s) (4)	($^\circ$) (5)
225	6625	08/02/18	7040	0
226	6615	27/05/17	6400	0
230	6585	20/05/17	6400	5
237	6585	01/05/17	7040	3.5
238	6595	23/05/17	7040	4
239	6565	28/04/17	5440	0
249	6585	30/04/17	7680	3.5
252	6605	13/02/18	7040	3
255	6585	16/02/16	7380	0
256	6595	28/02/17	3520	5
261	6595	20/04/17	3520	4
264	6595	15/02/18	7040	1
267	6595	19/03/18	6080	4
268	6605	19/03/18	6400	0
271	6595	24/02/17	6080	2.5
273	6595	21/03/18	6700	4
275	6605	11/03/16	7380	5
276	6625	12/03/16	7380	0
278	6595	22/05/17	5760	4
279	6595	20/02/17	7360	0
280	6625	11/02/18	7040	0
282	6625	08/02–11/02/18	6080	0
283	6585	22/02/17	3420	0
284	6595	22/04/17	6400	4
287	6595	22/02/17	6710	2.5
289	6605	25/02/17	7040	4
290	6595	18/04/17	5439	5
291	6595	20/04/17	7040	4
292	6595	19/04/17	5439	4
293	6585	21/02/17	7040	0
294	6605	22/04/17	6720	4
297	6595	12/03–15/03/18	14 480*	2
298	6595	27/02/17	6400	2
300	6595	18/02/17	8640	0
301	6595	10/02/16	6480	0
303	6605	27/02/2017	6600	3
304	6595	25/02/17	6760	0
305	6595	20/04/17	7320	4
308	6595	21/05/17	5760	4
309	6595	10/03/16	6231	1.5
313	6595	26/02/17	7040	0
314	6595	12/03/16	8346	0
317	6605	18/04/17	6420	2.5
318	6595	28/02/17	6720	0
319	6595	15/02/16	6420	0
321	6605	22/04/17	6080	4
322	6595	16/02/16	7080	0
323	6605	21/04/17	6079	4

Table E.3. Galaxy parameters.

HRS	ϵ	χ^2_{red} model	i_{morph} ($^\circ$)	i_{kin} ($^\circ$)	V_{sys} (km s^{-1})	V_{sysp} (km s^{-1})	PA_{morph} ($^\circ$)	PA_{kin} ($^\circ$)	V_{max} (km s^{-1})	V_{max} flag	$\overline{\text{res}}$ (km s^{-1})	σ_{res} (km s^{-1})	$f(\text{H}\alpha)$ ($10^{-13} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$)	$r_{\text{RC}}/r_{\text{eff}}$	N_{beams}
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)
1	0.60	2.8	67	67*	1175	1170 $\pm$ 1	175	177 $\pm$ 2	103*	2-B	0.17	10.04	1.58	2.58	450
2	0.28	1.32	45	28 $\pm$ 9	1291	1287 $\pm$ 1	35	39 $\pm$ 1	101*	3-B	0.22	7.05	1.22	2.50	159
5	0.76	2.25	77	77*	1170	1164 $\pm$ 1	29	30 $\pm$ 3	145*	4-B	-0.10	9.50	0.10	2.30	536
6	0.85	4.01	85	85*	1322	1321 $\pm$ 3	330	330*	130*	4-B	-0.55	12.00	1.00	1.75	1013
9	0.28	4.75	45	54 $\pm$ 9	1415	1409 $\pm$ 3	216	198 $\pm$ 2	159*	4-B	0.76	10.68	0.25	2.93	3054
10	0.30	0.97	47	47*	1516	1518 $\pm$ 1	32	30 $\pm$ 2	100*	2-A	-0.22	6.37	2.57	2.60	467
11	0.53	0.93	63	61 $\pm$ 6	1325	1282 $\pm$ 1	20	19 $\pm$ 1	136	1-B	0.03	6.59	9.58	2.61	1843
12	0.34	0.59	49	58 $\pm$ 16	1392	1393 $\pm$ 1	30	51 $\pm$ 3	50	2-B	0.35	4.48	1.82	2.16	250
13	0.54	2.74	63	59 $\pm$ 3	1573	1576 $\pm$ 2	116	119 $\pm$ 1	213*	1-A	1.00	11.54	14.01	2.54	5815
17	0.47	1.31	58	55 $\pm$ 2	1281	1277 $\pm$ 1	323	325 $\pm$ 1	160	1-A	0.75	7.91	19.7	2.41	5062
18	0.05	0.74	19	19*	1604	1601 $\pm$ 1	61	44 $\pm$ 2	147*	4-B	0.03	5.32	1.78	2.86	2066
19	0.07	1.37	22	22*	1630	1625 $\pm$ 1	38	165 $\pm$ 7	34*	3-B	0.21	7.68	4.98	3.11	3415
21	0.78	1.97	80	80*	1182	1176 $\pm$ 1	0	0*	92*	4-B	0.30	8.62	0.06	2.29	365
23	0.74	1.6	79	79 $\pm$ 2	1501	1469 $\pm$ 2	109	109 $\pm$ 1	139	3-B	-0.68	8.57	7.78	2.08	1929
25	0.62	1.87	68	66 $\pm$ 1	1277	1277 $\pm$ 2	300	299 $\pm$ 1	168	1-A	0.24	9.39	21.62	2.68	1830
26	0.71	1.31	78	78*	1569	1561 $\pm$ 2	194	194*	90*	4-B	0.08	7.51	1.55	2.90	413
27	0.12	1.26	29	21 $\pm$ 13	1734	1729 $\pm$ 1	24	15 $\pm$ 2	112*	4-B	0.70	7.20	0.86	2.44	262
28	0.50	2.24	61	63 $\pm$ 5	1332	1331 $\pm$ 2	46	50 $\pm$ 2	137	1-A	-0.52	9.66	5.68	2.92	1239
32	0.05	—	18	—	1158	—	5	—	—	—	—	—	0.30	—	—
35	0.14	—	31	—	1522	—	4	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	—	—
37	0.12	1.13	29	39 $\pm$ 4	1373	1368 $\pm$ 1	144	128 $\pm$ 1	155	1-A	0.17	7.06	1.8	3.30	2203
38	0.76	1.84	77	82 $\pm$ 2	1419	1407 $\pm$ 5	234	234 $\pm$ 1	96	2-B	0.85	8.80	4.26	2.61	756
39	0.66	3.26	73	73*	1557	1550 $\pm$ 2	2	2*	113*	3-B	-0.50	11.18	0.05	2.21	536
40	0.48	1.15	60	55 $\pm$ 5	1584	1578 $\pm$ 1	9	10 $\pm$ 1	114*	2-A	-0.82	7.20	2.38	2.29	1616
44	0.05	1.07	18	18*	1121	1102 $\pm$ 2	57	95 $\pm$ 4	101	4-B	0.70	6.95	11.57	2.65	681
47	0.23	1.55	40	36 $\pm$ 11	1507	1506 $\pm$ 1	30	31 $\pm$ 1	150	2-A	-0.49	8.24	7.87	2.43	3339
48	0.10	2.51	26	26*	1155	1152 $\pm$ 1	310	337 $\pm$ 1	115*	2-B	-0.02	10.27	0.63	2.01	21553
50	0.34	1.07	49	47 $\pm$ 2	1500	1500 $\pm$ 1	210	207 $\pm$ 1	177	1-A	0.20	7.03	5.55	2.04	1443
52	0.15	1.83	32	32 $\pm$ 1	1204	1216 $\pm$ 2	160	163 $\pm$ 2	157*	4-B	0.30	8.42	1.07	3.23	1683
56	0.50	2.45	61	64 $\pm$ 3	1708	1702 $\pm$ 2	304	303 $\pm$ 2	190*	1-A	2.03	10.61	8.57	3.01	1155
59	0.78	13.28	82	82 $\pm$ 2	1717	1709 $\pm$ 6	93*	93*	213	3-B	-0.24	34.51	3.19	3.10	2019
60	0.30	3.71	47	60 $\pm$ 4	991	1060 $\pm$ 3	173	169 $\pm$ 2	120*	4-B	0.76	12.76	2.24	3.03	4848
61	0.68	2.85	72	81 $\pm$ 7	1217	1205 $\pm$ 2	171	169 $\pm$ 2	133	3-B	1.10	11.32	2.58	2.53	737
62	0.65	1.42	70	63 $\pm$ 2	1571	1569 $\pm$ 1	305	296 $\pm$ 1	165	1-A	-0.54	8.10	13.36	2.10	3925

Notes. Kinematical parameters computed from the models for the 152 HRS galaxies concerning this work. (1) HRS galaxy number. (2) Ellipticity from Cortese et al. (2012). (3) χ^2_{red} of the kinematical model. (4) Morphological inclination calculated with the ellipticity value as in Masters et al. (2010). (5) Inclination calculated by our kinematical model (6) Systemic velocity from Boselli et al. (2010). (7) Systemic velocity computed by our kinematical models (8) Morphological PA taken from Cortese et al. (2012); if necessary, the value of the PA has been transformed in order to be able to compare with the kinematical PA easily. (9) PA calculated by our kinematical models (The case of galaxy HRS 322 is very explained in Appendix B). (10) V_{max} derived from the Courteau function fitted to the rotation curve, marked with a star when extrapolated. (11) Flag of the rotation curve. (12) Mean residual velocity dispersion of the residual velocity fields. (13) Mean velocity dispersion of the residual velocity fields. (14) Integrated flux deduced from our comparison to Boselli et al. (2014a) data. (15) r_{RC} normalized to r_{eff} . (16) Number of resolution elements per galaxy spatial coverage.

Table E.3. continued.

HRS	ϵ	χ^2_{red}	i_{morph}	i_{kin}	V_{sys}	V_{sysEP}	PA_{morph}	PA_{kin}	V_{max}	V_{max}	V_{max}	V_{max}	$\overline{v_{\text{res}}}$	σ_{res}	$f(\text{H}\alpha)$	$r_{\text{RC}}/r_{\text{eff}}$	N_{beams}
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)
63	0.50	2.08	61	58 $\pm$ 2	1289	1299 $\pm$ 1	3	179 $\pm$ 1	168	1-A	-0.40	9.52	0.95	2.12	7844		
64	0.68	2.22	72	72*	1213	1240 $\pm$ 2	54	54*	105	3-B	1.09	10.01	1.28	2.27	1059		
65	0.45	1.92	57	59 $\pm$ 6	1383	1378 $\pm$ 1	115	123 $\pm$ 2	87	2-A	-0.73	9.10	6.15	2.42	2923		
66	0.55	1.35	65	63 $\pm$ 2	1468	1464 $\pm$ 2	87	85 $\pm$ 1	169	1-A	-1.07	7.96	18.6	2.19	2220		
67	0.36	1.06	51	51*	1436	1424 $\pm$ 1	40	65 $\pm$ 3	85*	3-A	0.07	6.69	4.61	2.70	2664		
68	0.05	1.65	18	18*	1412	1405 $\pm$ 1	0	57 $\pm$ 5	89*	4-B	-0.84	7.59	0.88	2.08	66		
70	0.36	1.19	51	40 $\pm$ 12	1617	1552 $\pm$ 1	280	268 $\pm$ 1	96	1-A	0.03	6.90	5.05	—	863		
72	0.48	2.06	59	79 $\pm$ 15	1577	1562 $\pm$ 2	80	52 $\pm$ 2	161	2-B	0.81	9.76	5.13	—	953		
74	0.17	2.78	35	24 $\pm$ 10	1108	1122 $\pm$ 2	200	187 $\pm$ 1	189*	2-A	-2.02	11.43	8.10	—	4293		
76	0.60	2.23	67	67*	1069	1061 $\pm$ 2	353	353*	72*	3-B	-0.98	9.85	1.28	2.91	1494		
77	0.23	1.96	40	40 $\pm$ 2	1458	1458 $\pm$ 1	31	36 $\pm$ 1	246	1-A	-0.05	9.81	11.22	1.90	11334		
78	0.16	0.96	33	39 $\pm$ 16	1269	1265 $\pm$ 1	178	174 $\pm$ 3	56*	2-B	0.52	6.49	5.86	3.40	3047		
79	0.80	3.83	84	84*	1508	1510 $\pm$ 6	144	144*	133	2-B	1.40	13.51	1.53	2.48	691		
80	0.12	1.25	29	29*	932	925 $\pm$ 1	161	161*	81*	3-B	-0.37	7.25	3.52	2.54	4608		
82	0.35	1.35	51	37 $\pm$ 16	931	916 $\pm$ 2	102	103 $\pm$ 4	71*	3-B	1.55	7.15	0.39	1.78	216		
83	0.66	0.83	75	75*	1232	1231 $\pm$ 1	327	326 $\pm$ 2	61*	4-B	0.46	5.92	0.28	2.39	307		
84	0.22	1.38	39	42 $\pm$ 3	2424	2410 $\pm$ 1	40	42 $\pm$ 1	194*	2-A	0.27	5.34	0.32	2.39	961		
86	0.41	1.28	54	65 $\pm$ 7	1309	1305 $\pm$ 1	337	344 $\pm$ 2	132	1-A	0.63	7.71	18.11	2.78	8208		
88	0.32	2.13	47	35 $\pm$ 8	1326	1324 $\pm$ 1	307	338 $\pm$ 1	210	1-B	0.79	9.72	19.49	1.98	17460		
92	0.76	5.97	77	77*	2316	2307 $\pm$ 3	301	301*	124*	4-B	1.11	12.59	0.11	1.80	655		
95	0.43	2.25	56	56*	596	618 $\pm$ 2	125	118 $\pm$ 3	101*	3-B	-1.65	11.04	3.13	2.17	1570		
98	0.82	3.13	81	81*	229	242 $\pm$ 3	58	58*	94*	3-B	-1.25	12.3	0.14	2.66	1584		
104	0.41	—	55	—	925	—	-55	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	—	—		
106	0.12	1.95	29	29*	2617	2609 $\pm$ 1	6	14 $\pm$ 2	123	3-B	-0.67	9.98	3.85	2.11	3969		
107	0.80	3.01	80	80*	1733	1718 $\pm$ 2	196	196*	99*	4-B	0.52	12.44	0.03	1.89	391		
112	0.48	7.09	61	61*	2310	2256 $\pm$ 3	220	220*	8*	4-B	0.30	13.79	0.82	2.69	1380		
117	0.69	1.77	75	75*	1035	1066 $\pm$ 5	24	24*	144*	2-B	-0.04	5.47	0.01	2.50	2560		
118	0.30	0.89	46	46*	1296	1264 $\pm$ 1	13	347 $\pm$ 3	52	2-B	1.02	6.45	8.17	1.90	2432		
121	0.73	1.65	77	77*	1250	1258 $\pm$ 1	113	113*	154*	1-B	0.35	8.07	3.08	2.64	1116		
132	0.07	1.65	22	22*	727	718 $\pm$ 2	46	62 $\pm$ 10	98*	3-B	0.78	12.61	4.66	2.59	2422		
133	0.62	2.84	68	68*	1253	1250 $\pm$ 2	286	286*	88*	4-A	-0.01	11.79	0.07	1.93	3208		
134	0.75	3.33	77	77*	1137	1137 $\pm$ 5	39	39*	0*	4-B	-2.68	15.65	0.78	2.21	1440		
136	0.32	—	49	—	784	—	85	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	—	—		
139	0.4	1.01	54	40 $\pm$ 13	1136	1133 $\pm$ 1	341	356 $\pm$ 2	107*	1-A	-0.34	6.84	4.95	2.07	1772		
141	0.47	2.2	59	53 $\pm$ 3	963	959 $\pm$ 1	335	337 $\pm$ 1	170*	3-B	-0.11	9.47	0.09	2.15	5544		
142	0.41	1.52	56	56*	1710	1700 $\pm$ 2	200	180 $\pm$ 10	14*	3-B	-0.55	7.29	14.12	4.02	3019		
143	0.76	1	77	77*	992	1001 $\pm$ 1	338	338*	122*	1-B	0.02	7.45	3.95	1.97	1393		
145	0.30	1.11	46	46*	1101	1097 $\pm$ 1	272	276 $\pm$ 1	99*	2-A	0.99	7.64	1.10	1.97	3571		
146	0.73	1.38	75	75*	1195	1188 $\pm$ 2	156	156*	78*	4-B	1.18	8.82	2.16	2.02	972		
148	0.73	1.75	75	75*	-122	-136 $\pm$ 4	125	125*	130	1-B	0.52	10.95	12.32	1.91	3024		
149	0.70	1.38	76	76*	230	243 $\pm$ 1	89	89*	137*	1-B	-0.07	8.85	0.36	1.91	4124		

Table E.3. continued.

HRS	ϵ	χ^2_{red}	i_{morph}	i_{kin}	V_{sys}	V_{sysp}	PA_{morph}	PA_{kin}	V_{max}	V_{max}	V_{max}	$\overline{v_{\text{res}}}$	σ_{res}	$f(\text{H}\alpha)$	$r_{\text{RC}}/r_{\text{eff}}$	N_{beams}
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	
151	0.34	3.97	50	53 ± 23	103	89 ± 3	237	258 ± 4	110*	3-B	3.42	17.05	2.21	2.23	4599	
152	0.08	0.97	23	23*	2289	2281 ± 1	267	273 ± 1	128*	2-B	0.44	8.60	1.99	2.38	2671	
153	0.11	1.35	27	27*	1395	1391 ± 1	283	277 ± 2	120	1-B	0.40	10.11	6.16	2.17	4003	
154	0.05	0.96	18	18*	1272	1266 ± 1	176	232 ± 1	104	2-B	0.13	9.68	6.47	1.69	2671	
156	0.65	4.69	74	74*	-275	-200 ± 2	314	314*	186*	3-B	-0.49	10.42	0.22	3.23	4002	
157	0.51	1.27	61	62 ± 4	1695	1687 ± 2	8	15 ± 2	108	1-A	-0.69	9.03	4.10	2.69	10712	
159	0.49	1.49	62	85 ± 24	437	453 ± 3	92	92*	21*	4-B	0.54	6.93	1.02	2.37	4404	
160	0.11	1.16	28	45 ± 9	1450	1445 ± 1	60	77 ± 2	95	3-A	-0.04	8.52	7.57	2.18	1538	
164	0.10	-	27	-	724	-	-0.68	-	-	-	-	-	0.15	-	-	
165	0.72	1.81	75	75*	2252	2238 ± 1	312	312*	88*	4-B	0.32	8.72	0.06	3.24	8418	
168	0.50	1.63	61	48 ± 15	1120	1110 ± 1	174	165 ± 3	86	1-A	0.08	9.03	1.04	2.38	3093	
169	0.28	0.98	44	44*	1701	1706 ± 1	239	245 ± 2	88	2-A	0.08	8.67	0.66	2.07	442	
171	0.34	1.25	49	49*	858	834 ± 2	170	176 ± 2	124*	2-B	1.27	9.52	1.14	3.11	824	
172	0.56	1.29	66	56 ± 8	1668	1665 ± 1	40	39 ± 2	106*	4-B	0.20	10.57	0.38	2.52	813	
177	0.34	0.75	51	55 ± 7	2341	2349 ± 1	1	171 ± 2	72*	2-A	-0.73	6.77	2.33	2.58	1711	
182	0.48	2.16	59	54 ± 2	2438	2434 ± 1	175	174 ± 1	189	2-A	-0.50	11.51	4.04	2.20	3049	
184	0.48	-	61	-	497	-	-30	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	-	-	
185	0.05	2.11	18	18*	1777	1763 ± 1	250	213 ± 2	176*	3-B	-0.35	8.86	0.05	2.28	1936	
187	0.21	0.95	38	30 ± 6	1732	1721 ± 1	42	49 ± 1	135	1-A	-0.25	7.56	21.49	2.10	1600	
189	0.36	1.36	51	65 ± 17	2096	2086 ± 1	107	109 ± 3	51*	4-B	0.31	8.72	0.26	2.32	3457	
191	0.40	0.59	54	56 ± 4	1629	1615 ± 1	46	45 ± 1	89*	2-B	-0.09	4.87	1.95	2.13	16151	
192	0.24	2.32	42	42*	737	564 ± 4	116	116*	0*	4-B	-0.21	6.51	0.20	2.12	1688	
193	0.35	0.87	50	50*	-170	-166 ± 1	38	59 ± 3	85*	1-A	0.22	9.18	4.16	1.79	1039	
195	0.51	-	63	-	958	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	0.01	-	-	
197	0.72	1.9	75	75*	2329	2327 ± 1	215	213 ± 1	106*	3-B	-0.09	14.90	0.27	2.46	4703	
198	0.46	1.37	58	52 ± 16	1174	1182 ± 1	231	226 ± 2	88*	2-A	-0.04	8.81	5.14	2.18	1944	
199	0.30	0.98	46	30 ± 13	2349	2326 ± 1	153	183 ± 3	97*	4-B	0.38	7.34	0.62	2.86	3636	
207	0.14	1.35	31	29 ± 17	1288	1280 ± 1	43	12 ± 3	121*	3-B	0.71	9.89	0.97	2.42	4320	
212	0.21	1.17	38	38*	1410	1397 ± 1	221	243 ± 4	56	2-B	0.64	10.61	3.67	2.38	2505	
225	0.52	0.39	63	63*	2737	2745 ± 1	137	137*	3*	4-B	0.36	2.83	0.01	1.83	4376	
226	0.47	2.56	59	58 ± 3	2424	2418 ± 1	220	218 ± 1	175*	3-B	-0.35	18.12	0.13	2.49	1753	
230	0.38	1.15	53	52 ± 5	630	626 ± 1	290	291 ± 2	71*	3-A	-0.04	8.62	5.24	1.91	3326	
237	0.25	0.97	42	42*	742	731 ± 2	194	188 ± 4	81*	2-A	0.92	6.88	8.92	2.26	1528	
238	0.22	1.47	39	39*	1116	1107 ± 2	284	311 ± 5	56	3-B	-0.63	5.95	6.25	1.47	2286	
239	0.80	4.16	80	80*	119	120 ± 3	336	336*	146*	3-B	-0.49	21.67	1.65	3.11	1661	
249	0.66	-	73	-	849	-	71	-	-	-	-	-	0.01	-	-	
252	0.43	0.9	56	56 ± 8	1619	1629 ± 1	2	359 ± 1	89	2-A	-0.09	9.4	2.03	2.00	16276	
255	0.10	0.87	26	26*	984	980 ± 1	320	13 ± 3	61	4-B	0.28	4.45	3.01	1.47	981	
256	0.22	1.15	40	40*	1119	1113 ± 1	251	336 ± 7	24*	3-B	-0.74	12.66	34.69	3.11	16276	
261	0.79	2.02	79	79*	1158	1170 ± 1	181	181*	107*	3-B	0.06	9.74	3.67	2.03	5812	
264	0.80	0.59	80	80*	1272	1262 ± 1	171	171*	77*	3-B	-0.27	6.42	0.07	1.98	2258	
267	0.67	2.83	72	72*	1179	1202 ± 3	30	30*	51*	3-B	-2.75	17.19	2.44	1.89	367	

Table E.3. continued.

HRS	ϵ	χ^2_{red}	i_{morph}	i_{kin}	V_{sys}	V_{sysip}	PA_{morph}	PA_{kin}	V_{max}	V_{max}	flag	$\overline{\text{res}}$	σ_{res}	$f(\text{H}\alpha)$	$r_{\text{RC}}/r_{\text{eff}}$	N_{beams}
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	
268	0.62	3.57	70	70*	1779	1782 $\pm$ 3	119	119*	191	2-A	0.63	19.82	2.27	2.49	6435	
271	0.78	1.45	79	79*	1240	1242 $\pm$ 2	338	338*	88*	3-B	1.05	14.00	0.71	2.13	1208	
273	0.74	3.28	76	76*	1119	1130 $\pm$ 2	313	315 $\pm$ 1	142	3-B	0.60	15.52	0.47	2.50	2241	
275	0.08	1.01	23	23*	1566	1562 $\pm$ 1	216	251 $\pm$ 2	101	1-B	0.51	7.87	20.67	—	3708	
276	0.2	4.15	37	37*	2832	2816 $\pm$ 2	244	237 $\pm$ 2	165*	4-B	1.33	17.06	2.12	2.13	3852	
278	0.77	2.58	81	81*	1121	1106 $\pm$ 2	347	347*	90*	4-B	0.67	8.75	0.53	2.25	3459	
279	0.51	1.63	61	54 $\pm$ 3	1321	1315 $\pm$ 1	340	345 $\pm$ 1	116	1-A	0.21	10.53	1.68	1.74	1757	
280	0.52	2.06	63	63*	2802	2800 $\pm$ 2	92	89 $\pm$ 1	220*	2-B	-0.27	16.40	0.26	3.23	5031	
282	0.3	—	46	—	2664	—	5	—	—	—	—	—	0.68	—	—	
283	0.57	1.78	65	66 $\pm$ 2	760	762 $\pm$ 2	309	311 $\pm$ 1	143	1-A	-0.49	17.65	14.16	3.64	135	
284	0.63	2.41	69	69*	1296	1282 $\pm$ 3	348	348*	150*	2-A	1.56	18.69	1.36	2.50	2855	
287	0.29	2.53	45	45*	1174	1171 $\pm$ 2	202	227 $\pm$ 2	140	2-A	-1.01	14.40	16.42	—	1114	
289	0.39	1.43	53	43 $\pm$ 3	1678	1675 $\pm$ 1	155	142 $\pm$ 1	168	2-A	0.55	6.4	11.43	2.34	3672	
290	0.6	1.89	70	70*	1136	1120 $\pm$ 3	281	281*	90*	4-B	1.02	11.32	4.16	—	5049	
291	0.27	—	44	—	1297	—	-39	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	—	—	
292	0.24	1.45	41	32 $\pm$ 9	1225	1210 $\pm$ 1	74	88 $\pm$ 1	159*	1-B	-0.53	13.32	3.32	3.22	1240	
293	0.19	0.95	36	44 $\pm$ 12	1092	1092 $\pm$ 1	290	306 $\pm$ 2	124	1-A	-1.11	4.93	9.83	4.26	3204	
294	0.75	4.01	80	80*	1608	1602 $\pm$ 3	259	159*	98*	4-B	-0.84	11.13	0.30	3.43	2664	
297	0.73	2.67	77	77*	1508	1496 $\pm$ 1	150	150*	114	2-B	0.24	4.98	0.56	2.30	828	
298	0.35	0.97	50	50*	1419	1429 $\pm$ 1	261	270 $\pm$ 2	127	1-A	-0.38	11.25	9.07	2.20	3190	
300	0.7	—	77	—	1354	—	83	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	—	—	
301	0.3	1.32	46	38 $\pm$ 4	1380	1377 $\pm$ 1	12	6 $\pm$ 1	173	1-A	0.00	7.54	13.56	2.78	11334	
303	0.2	1.12	38	47 $\pm$ 10	1717	1689 $\pm$ 1	309	307 $\pm$ 2	115*	3-B	0.62	2.65	1.42	2.84	210	
304	0.72	2.27	76	76*	1370	1363 $\pm$ 2	16	16*	137*	2-B	-0.55	11.45	2.77	1.85	2363	
305	0.59	0.82	66	66*	1171	1167 $\pm$ 1	67	67*	15*	4-B	0.33	14.38	0.10	2.94	1959	
308	0.65	2.56	72	72*	1091	1099 $\pm$ 4	128	128*	63*	4-B	0.15	15.46	0.19	2.21	3051	
309	0.39	0.84	53	64 $\pm$ 3	1383	1378 $\pm$ 1	253	250 $\pm$ 1	98	2-A	-0.28	7.61	0.51	1.88	2484	
313	0.71	2.7	75	75 $\pm$ 2	1490	1481 $\pm$ 2	55	55*	126*	2-B	-0.07	10.93	0.55	2.33	3051	
314	0.54	3.94	63	57 $\pm$ 7	1389	1389 $\pm$ 2	159	172 $\pm$ 2	119	3-A	-1.27	14.67	1.88	1.98	2483	
317	0.65	2.24	72	72*	1716	1709 $\pm$ 7	340	340 $\pm$ 2	105	2-B	0.55	17.02	0.09	2.15	366	
318	0.43	1.62	56	56*	1370	1348 $\pm$ 2	80	64 $\pm$ 2	114	1-A	1.82	12.44	15.68	2.13	3262	
319	0.26	2.58	43	44 $\pm$ 7	1368	1368 $\pm$ 1	63	66 $\pm$ 1	114	1-A	-0.34	16.09	25.69	2.29	10101	
321	0.33	0.87	49	49*	1581	1582 $\pm$ 2	38	34 $\pm$ 1	90*	2-A	-0.42	5.18	4.78	2.89	449	
322	0.05	0.67	18	19*	1505	1505 $\pm$ 1	84	45*	211*	3-B	-0.18	4.68	3.11	2.64	15641	
323	0.65	5.3	72	72*	1640	1620 $\pm$ 6	163	163*	131*	4-B	0.11	14.39	0.29	2.46	1452	

Table E.4. HRS 157 rotation curve.

r (kpc) (1)	σ_r (kpc) (2)	r (") (3)	σ_r (") (4)	v (km s ⁻¹) (5)	σ_v (km s ⁻¹) (6)	N bins (7)	Side (8)
0.05	0.00	0.6	0.0	12	10	1	<i>r</i>
0.12	0.00	1.4	0.0	15	10	1	<i>r</i>
0.13	0.01	1.5	0.2	-1	0	2	<i>a</i>
0.23	0.02	2.8	0.2	25	5	2	<i>r</i>
0.28	0.02	3.4	0.3	12	2	4	<i>a</i>
0.31	0.01	3.8	0.1	20	2	3	<i>r</i>
0.38	0.02	4.6	0.3	20	3	5	<i>r</i>
0.39	0.02	4.7	0.3	14	3	2	<i>a</i>
0.47	0.02	5.7	0.2	33	7	4	<i>a</i>
0.47	0.02	5.7	0.3	27	1	3	<i>r</i>
0.56	0.03	6.7	0.3	38	3	6	<i>a</i>
0.57	0.02	6.9	0.2	28	2	7	<i>r</i>
0.63	0.02	7.7	0.2	23	3	2	<i>r</i>
0.65	0.02	7.9	0.3	42	4	7	<i>a</i>
0.73	0.02	8.9	0.2	38	5	4	<i>r</i>
0.74	0.02	9.0	0.3	50	4	5	<i>a</i>
0.81	0.02	9.8	0.2	56	3	7	<i>a</i>
0.81	0.00	9.8	0.0	33	2	2	<i>r</i>
0.89	0.02	10.8	0.2	46	2	11	<i>r</i>
0.89	0.02	10.8	0.2	58	3	9	<i>a</i>
0.98	0.02	11.9	0.3	66	3	11	<i>a</i>
0.99	0.03	12.0	0.4	64	10	3	<i>r</i>
1.08	0.05	13.2	0.6	67	2	23	<i>a</i>
1.13	0.07	13.8	0.8	60	1	23	<i>r</i>
1.24	0.05	15.0	0.6	69	2	23	<i>a</i>
1.33	0.04	16.1	0.5	67	2	23	<i>r</i>
1.37	0.03	16.6	0.4	75	1	23	<i>a</i>
1.47	0.04	17.8	0.5	70	2	23	<i>r</i>
1.47	0.03	17.8	0.3	77	1	23	<i>a</i>
1.56	0.03	19.0	0.3	79	1	23	<i>a</i>
1.59	0.03	19.3	0.4	74	1	23	<i>r</i>
1.65	0.02	20.0	0.3	77	1	23	<i>a</i>
1.71	0.03	20.7	0.4	77	1	23	<i>r</i>
1.74	0.02	21.1	0.3	78	2	23	<i>a</i>
1.80	0.03	21.9	0.4	79	1	23	<i>r</i>
1.82	0.02	22.0	0.3	79	1	23	<i>a</i>
1.91	0.03	23.1	0.4	77	1	23	<i>a</i>
1.92	0.03	23.2	0.4	82	2	23	<i>r</i>
1.99	0.02	24.1	0.2	77	1	23	<i>a</i>
2.02	0.03	24.5	0.3	83	1	23	<i>r</i>
2.07	0.02	25.1	0.3	82	1	23	<i>a</i>
2.11	0.02	25.6	0.3	84	1	23	<i>r</i>
2.16	0.03	26.1	0.3	83	2	23	<i>a</i>
2.20	0.03	26.7	0.4	85	1	23	<i>r</i>
2.24	0.04	27.2	0.4	83	1	23	<i>a</i>
2.31	0.03	28.0	0.3	87	2	23	<i>r</i>
2.35	0.03	28.5	0.4	85	1	23	<i>a</i>
2.42	0.04	29.4	0.5	89	1	23	<i>r</i>
2.45	0.03	29.7	0.4	83	2	23	<i>a</i>
2.55	0.03	30.9	0.3	86	2	23	<i>a</i>
2.55	0.03	31.0	0.4	90	2	23	<i>r</i>

Table E.4. continued.

r (kpc) (1)	σ_r (kpc) (2)	r (") (3)	σ_r (") (4)	v (km s ⁻¹) (5)	σ_v (km s ⁻¹) (6)	N bins (7)	Side (8)
2.65	0.03	32.1	0.3	88	2	23	<i>a</i>
2.68	0.04	32.5	0.5	94	2	23	<i>r</i>
2.74	0.03	33.3	0.4	89	2	23	<i>a</i>
2.86	0.03	34.7	0.4	89	2	23	<i>a</i>
2.88	0.07	34.9	0.8	97	3	23	<i>r</i>
3.00	0.04	36.4	0.5	96	3	23	<i>a</i>
3.12	0.08	37.9	0.9	98	2	23	<i>r</i>
3.13	0.04	38.0	0.5	91	2	23	<i>a</i>
3.33	0.07	40.4	0.8	96	2	23	<i>a</i>
3.35	0.09	40.7	1.1	104	2	23	<i>r</i>
3.56	0.07	43.2	0.9	103	3	23	<i>a</i>
3.62	0.09	43.9	1.1	100	3	23	<i>r</i>
3.83	0.09	46.5	1.1	111	2	23	<i>a</i>
4.04	0.18	49.1	2.2	107	3	20	<i>r</i>
4.20	0.14	51.0	1.6	112	3	23	<i>a</i>
4.82	0.24	58.4	2.9	122	3	16	<i>a</i>
2.55	0.03	31.0	0.4	90	2	23	<i>r</i>
2.65	0.03	32.1	0.3	88	2	23	<i>a</i>
2.68	0.04	32.5	0.5	94	2	23	<i>r</i>
2.74	0.03	33.3	0.4	89	2	23	<i>a</i>
2.86	0.03	34.7	0.4	89	2	23	<i>a</i>
2.88	0.07	34.9	0.8	97	3	23	<i>r</i>
3.00	0.04	36.4	0.5	96	3	23	<i>a</i>
3.12	0.08	37.9	0.9	98	2	23	<i>r</i>
3.13	0.04	38.0	0.5	91	2	23	<i>a</i>
3.33	0.07	40.4	0.8	96	2	23	<i>a</i>
3.35	0.09	40.7	1.1	104	2	23	<i>r</i>
3.56	0.07	43.2	0.9	103	3	23	<i>a</i>
3.62	0.09	43.9	1.1	100	3	23	<i>r</i>
3.83	0.09	46.5	1.1	111	2	23	<i>a</i>
4.04	0.18	49.1	2.2	107	3	20	<i>r</i>
4.20	0.14	51.0	1.6	112	3	23	<i>a</i>
4.82	0.24	58.4	2.9	122	3	16	<i>a</i>

Notes. Numerical table of the rotation curve for the galaxy HRS 157. (1) and (3) Galactic radius. (2) and (4) Dispersion around the galactic radius (if zero, it is because only one bin was used). (5) Rotation velocity. (6) Dispersion on the rotation velocity. (7) Number of velocity bins. (8) Receding – *r* – or approaching – *a* – side.