Aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons in comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko seen by ROSINA

M. Schuhmann¹, K. Altwegg^{1,2}, H. Balsiger¹, J.-J. Berthelier³, J. De Keyser⁴, B. Fiethe⁵,
S. A. Fuselier^{6,7}, S. Gasc¹, T. I. Gombosi⁸, N. Hänni¹, M. Rubin¹, C.-Y. Tzou¹, and S. F. Wampfler²

¹ Space Research and Planetary Sciences, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
e-mail: markus.schuhmann@space.unibe.ch

² Center for Space and Habitability, University of Bern, Gesellschaftsstrasse 6, Bern, Switzerland

³ Institut Pierre Simon Laplace, CNRS, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France

⁴ Koninklijk Belgisch Instituut voor Ruimte-Aeronomie, Institut Royal Belge d'Aéronomie Spatiale, Avenue Circulaire 3, Uccle, Belgium

⁵ Institut für Datentechnik und Kommunikationsnetze, TU Braunschweig, Braunschweig, Germany

⁶ Space Science Directorate, Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas, USA

⁷ University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas, USA

⁸ Department of Climate and Space Sciences and Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA

Received 16 November 2018 / Accepted 10 April 2019

ABSTRACT

Context. Unlike all previous cometary space missions, the Rosetta spacecraft accompanied its target, comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko, for more than two years on its way around the Sun. Thereby, an unexpected diversity and complexity of the chemical composition was revealed.

Aims. Our first step of decrypting the exact chemical composition of the gaseous phase is the identifying and quantifying the bulk composition of the pure aromatic and aliphatic hydrocarbons.

Methods. For this study, data from ROSINA–Double Focusing Mass Spectrometer (DFMS) onboard the Rosetta spacecraft and the laboratory twin model were used. A joint campaign of laboratory calibration measurements and space data analysis was performed to derive the hydrocarbon bulk composition for the post-inbound equinox period at 1.52 AU in May 2015. Furthermore, several other mission phases were investigated to determine the dependencies of season, location, and heliocentric distance on the relative abundances of hydrocarbons.

Results. It is shown that the bulk composition of the gaseous phase includes a high number of aliphatic compounds such as methane, ethane, and propane, as well as the aromatic compounds benzene and toluene. Butane and pentane were successfully identified in measurements at closer distance to the comet in May 2016. Furthermore, the presence of hexane and heptane in the coma is confirmed on rare occasions during the mission. Their presence in DFMS space data appears to be linked to days or periods of high dust activity. In addition to the saturated aliphatic and aromatic compounds, a high number of remaining unsaturated species is present, which cannot be explained by fragmentation of saturated species or contribution from other organic molecules in addition to pure hydrocarbons. This indicates the existence of unsaturated aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbon molecules in the coma of comet 67P.

Key words. comets: general – comets: individual: 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko

1. Introduction

The European Space Agency's Rosetta mission has marked a cornerstone in cometary space science. Unlike all previous cometary space missions, Rosetta accompanied its target, comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko (hereafter 67P) for an extended time period of more than two years (Glassmeier et al. 2007). Furthermore, the first soft landing on a comet was performed, and data from the surface were collected by the Rosetta lander Philae. A suite of 11 instruments on the orbiter module and another 10 instruments on the lander allowed an in depth investigation of many physical and chemical properties of the nucleus and coma (Bibring et al. 2015; Taylor et al. 2015). This detailed investigation was justified because comets contain a unique well-preserved reservoir of the material that formed our solar system. Of special interest are certainly the organics found in comets because they not only present a fingerprint of the chemical and

physical conditions before and during solar system formation, but may also help us to constrain the delivery of organic matter by comets on the early Earth. More than 30 yr ago, the flyby of the Giotto spacecraft at comet Halley revealed complex organics up to mass 100 u/e (Mitchell et al. 1987) in a cometary coma. However, the mass resolution was not sufficient to identify the molecules. The only organics that have clearly been identified in cometary coma before Rosetta are low-mass molecules seen with remote sensing from the ground (Bockelée-Morvan et al. 2000; Mumma & Charnley 2011). It became apparent already very early in the Rosetta mission that organics play an important role for 67P not only in the volatile part of the coma, but also in the refractories (Fray et al. 2016). Capaccioni et al. (2015) reported that the dark surface and low albedo of 67P are compatible with a polyaromatic carbonaceous component mixed with opaque minerals, while Quirico et al. (2016) reported carbon-hydrogen and carbon-oxygen-bearing molecules in the infrared

spectrum. Many of the organics known to exist in cometary coma from remote sensing could be detected in the coma of 67P already beyond 3 au (Le Roy et al. 2015). Organic compounds on comet 67P have been observed by various instruments, including the lander mass spectrometer Cometary Sampling and Composition Experiment (COSAC; Goesmann et al. 2015) and Ptolemy (Wright et al. 2015). A comparison of the COSAC, Ptolemy, and ROSINA mass spectra analysis is provided by Altwegg et al. (2017), who listed the coherence and differences in interpretation of the observed organic composition. The unexpected high complexity and diversity of detected organic molecules including CH-, COOH-, HCN-, CHS-, CNOH-, and HCO-bearing compounds makes analysis difficult and time consuming, and demands significant laboratory work. In the present study a calibration campaign was performed on several aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons to obtain their fragmentation pattern and the detector sensitivity for ROSINA-DFMS mass spectrometers. Based on the calibration results and data from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), an identification campaign on hydrocarbons in the coma of comet 67P was performed. Furthermore, the relative abundances were calculated for various mission phases and compared to other studies.

2. Methods and observations

ROSINA, the Rosetta Orbiter Spectrometer for Neutral and Ion Analysis, consists of three different instruments: the Cometary Pressure Sensor (COPS) and two mass spectrometers, the Reflectron-type Time-Of-Flight (TOF) and the Double Focusing Mass Spectrometer DFMS (Balsiger et al. 2007). Unlike other mass spectrometers on the lander Philae, ROSINA had a much longer investigation time (Altwegg et al. 2017). From the arrival at the comet in 2014 until the end of mission on 30 September, 2016, ROSINA measurements were performed in different settings. These measurements support the scientific goal for ROSINA of long-term observation of the cometary coma during the crucial stages of the comet's orbital path, including the inbound and outbound equinoxes and perihelion.

2.1. DFMS operation and settings

The presented work is based on data collected with ROSINA-DFMS built in Mattauch-Herzog configuration (Mattauch & Herzog 1934). DFMS allowed operation with filament emission of either 2, 20, or 200 μ A and could be operated in low-resolution and high-resolution mode (where the zoom factor was 6.4 times higher than in low-resolution mode). DFMS used electron impact ionization with an electron energy of 45 eV to ionize incoming neutral gas, which is different from the 70 eV standard in mass spectrometry (Balsiger et al. 2007). After ionization, ion optics allowed only ions with a specific mass/charge ratio to pass through the analyzer section. This section contained both an electrostatic and a magnetic analyzer. For ion detection, a microchannel plate (MCP) with a position-sensitive linear anode (Linear Electron Detector Array, LEDA) with 512 pixels was used (Nevejans et al. 2002). The LEDA consisted of two rows for redundancy reasons (designated rows A and B in the spectra). A constant voltage of 200 V was applied at the backside of the detector. The voltage across MCP, which determined the detector gain, was adjusted in 16 steps that each amplified the signal by roughly a factor 2.6. The DFMS measurement range was from integer mass/charge 13 to 180 u/e. Nominally, mass/charge 13–100 u/e were scanned within roughly 45 min, including 10 s settling time after applying the voltages and ~20 s

of integration. Lower and higher masses could not be detected because of limitations on the acceleration voltage, detection efficiencies, and the low abundance of these volatiles in the coma. As the acceleration voltage decreased toward higher masses, an additional post-acceleration voltage was applied for measurements of mass/charge ratios 70 u/e and higher to maintain the detector sensitivity. DFMS had a mass resolution of 3000 at 1% peak height at mass/charge 28 u/e. For this study, a filament emission current of 200 μ A and high-resolution mode was used. The main investigation period covers May and early June 2015 and was selected for several reasons: (I) the heliocentric distance of comet 67P of 1.5 au allowed measuring the abundances before the coma became highly dynamic due to outbursts (Vincent et al. 2016). Calmonte et al. (2016) found this period to be the most representative to estimate nucleus bulk abundances. First, the Rosetta spacecraft was located over the active southern summer hemisphere and on the sunward side of the nucleus down to a phase angle of almost 60°. Thus, it provides good conditions for identifying and quantifying the saturated aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbon abundances inside the nucleus of comet 67P. The disadvantage was that the spacecraft was relatively far from the nucleus (~200–300 km). Furthermore, measurements from May 2016, when the spacecraft was very close to the nucleus, but the comet was already far from the Sun (2.95 au), were analyzed and compared to the investigation period in May and June 2015. Because the analysis of the event on 5 September, 2016, (Altwegg et al. 2017), with data obtained under conditions that significantly vary from both May 2015 and 2016, indicated a large number of hydrocarbons at high dust activity, this study also includes an analysis of spectra from March 2015 and 10 July, 2015, where ROSINA COPS showed signatures of semi-volatile grains impacting and evaporating in or close to COPS (see Altwegg et al. 2017).

2.2. Data treatment

For DFMS, the two spectra for rows A and B contain a small range of mass/charge around the integer mass/charge. These spectra provide the raw counts in each of the 512 LEDA pixels. To correctly identify and quantify the peak, several steps are required: (I) the offset of the signal had to be removed. (II) The detector gain had to be corrected for each pixel. (III) The number of ions had to be calculated from the ADC (raw) counts. (IV) A mass scale had to be applied for each spectrum. Because the magnetic field of the permanent magnet in DFMS is temperature dependent, the mass scale had to be adjusted in order to obtain consistent mass spectra. Application of the mass scale is more difficult in spectra of high integer mass because DFMS spectra generally show lower peak intensities and mass resolution. To identify CH-fragments in spectra with high integer mass, the high number of hydrogen atoms helps to identify hydrocarbons because of the high mass defect of hydrogen. Schläppi et al. (2010) reported a high molecular adsorption potential on the Rosetta orbiter surface and solar panels. Thus, any change in lateral angle between spacecraft and Sun during maneuvers can lead to significant desorption and outgassing. For this study, we therefore only took DFMS measurements without time overlap to spacecraft maneuvers or thruster firings into account. However, low levels of outgassing always occurred even without maneuvers (Schläppi et al. 2010), but they can be neglected in the chosen investigation period because of the high molecular densities in the coma close to perihelion.

DFMS peaks are approximated by double Gaussians (Rubin et al. 2015). For peaks of low intensity, single-Gaussian fits also

represent the peak shape. However, in this study the number of ions is derived directly by summing all incident ions of the LEDA pixels under the peak without fitting any Gaussians. In addition to the uncertainties based on the fitting method, further uncertainties for in species-dependent sensitivity and the effects of post-acceleration of molecules of mass/charge 70 u/e and higher must be taken into account. Further information is found in [Le Roy et al. \(2015\)](#), [Calmonte et al. \(2016\)](#), and [Rubin et al. \(2015\)](#).

2.3. DFMS calibration and fragmentation

The ROSINA instruments were calibrated at the Bernese calibration facility called CALibration SYstem for the Mass spectrometer Instrument ROSINA (CASYMIR), which was developed for testing and calibration under space-equivalent low-density conditions ([Westermann et al. 2001](#)). It allows operation at 10^{-10} – 10^{-6} mbar and can be used in a static background mode in molecular-beam mode to simulate the outflow of cometary gases ([Graf et al. 2004](#)). To calibrate aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons in the DFMS laboratory model, the compounds were transferred from liquid into gas phase by heating the sample tube to 323 K at low pressure in the range of 10^{-6} mbar. The gas was introduced through a leak valve into CASYMIR/DFMS where measurements were performed. This procedure was repeated at three different pressure levels $p = 3 \times 10^{-9}$ mbar, 2×10^{-8} mbar, and 1×10^{-7} mbar to cover a maximum density range. In addition, background measurements were performed and subtracted from the actual measurements. Calibration of DFMS on aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons was necessary for several reasons: (I) in addition to charging neutral molecules positively, the electron impact ionization used in mass spectrometry also breaks molecular chains and thus leads to a detection of the fragments and the parent molecule in the mass spectra. This process is called fragmentation and depends on the electron energy used for ionization. It results in a fragmentation pattern with species-dependent abundances, which can be used to identify or exclude species in mass spectra. However, the pattern also depends on the molecular structure of the ionized species and thus can be used to distinguish isomers such as n-butane and isobutane. Compounds such as pentane, heptane, and octane with higher masses are significantly affected, leading to complex fragmentation patterns. (II) The DFMS sensitivity on a compound is calculated from the detected number of ions. Therefore pressure conditions must be stable over the measurement period. This can be especially challenging for volatile organic compounds. Thus, a thermal valve-control system was used to stabilize the partial pressure of the component in CASYMIR during the calibration cycle. The DFMS calibration campaign included the saturated aliphatic compounds up to octane (integer mass/charge 114 u/e). The calibration campaign on butane, pentane, hexane, heptane, and octane was made with unbranched molecule version (e.g., n-pentane), while for methane, ethane, and propane, no differentiation in terms of structural isomerism appears. For benzene, the DFMS calibration was not performed because the compound is highly toxic in the gas phase. In addition to the fragmentation, the DFMS calibration campaigns were performed to derive the sensitivity of DFMS for measured compounds. Hereby the ratio of the ion current to the molecular density was derived for each pressure level. The slope between ion current and density for each of the three pressure levels is the sensitivity factor. As an alternative, when no calibration could be obtained, the sensitivity was calculated based on the ionization cross-section of the molecule. The sensitivities used in this study can be found

Fig. 1. DFMS fragmentation pattern of n-pentane. The plot shows the fragmentation of pentane caused by DFMS 45 eV ionization energy. The amount of fragmentation is relative to the most abundant fragment C_3H_7 . In comparison, we show the NIST fragmentation pattern for pentane using 70 eV ionization energy.

in Appendix A. Relative abundances were calculated based on DFMS laboratory and space data, taking into account the sensitivity, the fragmentation pattern, and the number of ions (from DFMS space data). The procedure equals the procedure used by [Gasc et al. \(2017\)](#) for ROSINA–RTOF (Eqs. (13) and (14)) and can equally be applied for DFMS even though the DFMS sensitivity depends on more parameters, but DFMS specific instrumental factors are cancelled out in the equation.

3. Results

3.1. Fragmentation

Results for the calibration campaign for saturated aliphatic compounds are presented in this section. The fragmentation pattern of pentane is shown in Fig. 1. More fragmentation patterns are found in the appendix. Appendix B shows that unbranched alkanes of higher masses have complex, but similar fragmentation patterns, illustrating a broad range of fragments with slightly different relative abundances. For saturated aliphatic compounds higher than ethane, the ionized parent molecule is not the highest peak. Moreover, unsaturated fragments may show reactive behavior, leading to molecular recombination processes in the ion source. However, calibration measurements show that low numbers of saturated fragments are present in the fragmentation pattern even after the background is removed. This demonstrates that rearrangement processes may occur and lead to formation of saturated molecules with very minor abundance (see Fig. 1).

When we compare the results of the DFMS calibration campaign to results reported in the literature, differences between DFMS abundances and databases like that of NIST are apparent (Fig. 1). This confirms [Altwegg et al. \(2017\)](#), who showed that the ionization energy of 45 eV used by DFMS leads to a change in the fragmentation pattern and in the remaining number of the ionized parents compared to NIST. Furthermore, DFMS sensitivities and post-acceleration lead to additional differences in comparison to NIST.

3.2. Application to DFMS space data

As reported in previous studies such as [Le Roy et al. \(2015\)](#), the fragmentation of all relevant species must be taken into account to successfully identify and tentatively quantify them.

Fig. 2. Methane [CH_4]. DFMS space data show methane in May 2015.

Thus, to identify a species in the DFMS space data, not only the ionized parent molecule, but also all main fragments must be present in the spectra. This leads to a certain complexity in the analysis because fragments originate from multiple species. To correctly identify a molecule as a parent molecule, several criteria need to be fulfilled: (I) the parent molecule is identified in the mass spectrum. (II) All main fragments are found in the data. (III) Contribution from other species cannot explain the abundances of the suggested parent and its fragments. Furthermore, the wide range of hydrocarbon structures and potential contributors such as alcohols increase the difficulty of correctly identifying and quantifying aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons in DFMS space data.

3.2.1. Identification and quantification campaign

DFMS space data from the May 2015 inbound post-equinox period indicate several aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons. Methane [CH_4] (Fig. 2), ethane [C_2H_6] (Fig. 3), and propane [C_3H_8] (Fig. 4) were confirmed. Furthermore, the aromatic compounds benzene [C_6H_6] (Fig. 7) and toluene [C_7H_8] (Fig. 9) were confirmed. Toluene has a particular impact on the identification and quantification attempt of saturated hydrocarbon compounds because the fragmentation of toluene can lead to the formation of small numbers of saturated compounds such as [CH_4] and [C_2H_6]. However, because the produced fluxes from the comet decrease with increasing mass and complexity of the molecules, this contribution remains small.

Unsaturated CH-species at 50–57 u/e further indicate butane [C_4H_{10}], although the parent molecule is below the detection limit in this period. Pentane or any higher saturated aliphatic compound were not detected either in May 2015. However, butane (Fig. 5) and pentane [C_5H_{12}] (Fig. 6) were confirmed in May 2016, where DFMS measurements were performed much closer to the cometary nucleus and densities were significantly higher. Further investigation also showed hexane in May 2016 (Fig. 8), but only as rare events because it was not found during most measurement days. Their count rates above background were limited to certain measurements on single days (e.g., 6 May 2016). These results confirm the overall picture, as hexane [C_6H_{14}] and heptane [C_7H_{16}] (Fig. 10) were not found in May 2015, but were seen under specific conditions as rare events in July 2015. The appearance of heptane and hexane is likely related to dust-rich events. It therefore appears that while lower mass hydrocarbons are found in cometary ices and desorb

Table 1. Hydrocarbons detected by ROSINA in the coma of comet 67P in May 2015, July 2015, and May 2016.

Molecule	Presence		
	I	II	III
Methane	+	+	+
Ethane	+	+	+
Propane	+	+	+
Butane	o	+	+
Pentane	o	+	+
Benzene	+	+	+
Hexane	–	o+	o+
Toluene	+	+	–
Heptane	–	o+	–
Octane	–	o	–
Earth date	May 15	July 15	May 16

Notes. I: post-equinox period at 1.52 au. II: dust impact period close to perihelion at 1.27 au. III: close to outbound at 2.95 au. (+): species is present; parent and fragments are visible. (o+): presence of species at single events only. (o): presence of fragments, parent not detected. (–): species is not identified in this period. Detection limit is estimated to be 10 ions.

Fig. 3. Ethane [C_2H_6]. DFMS space data show ethane in May 2015.

with water, higher mass hydrocarbons are tied to dust grains and desorb from hot dust grains in the coma (Lien 1990).

Table 1 lists an overview of the detected hydrocarbons in the different investigated mission phases. A plus means that a species is clearly present as parent and all main fragments are visible. An empty circle means that the data indicate fragments, but the parent could not be found or detected unequivocally in this period. This might be due to limited resolution, background noise, or the peak detection limit, which is estimated to be around 10 ions combined during the 20 s integration time. A large comet-spacecraft separation also led to low peak intensities. A minus indicates that a species is not identified in this period. An empty circle with a plus means that a species was confirmed for single events only in this mission phase. These events appear to correlate with dust activity.

In general, a decrease in peak intensity toward higher masses is seen (see Figs. 2–10). This trend is confirmed also for May 2016 at small spacecraft-comet distances (with the exception of ethane). Following this trend, the parent peaks of butane and pentane in May 2015 are probably below the detection limit, and might not be found for this reason, particularly because

Fig. 4. Propane [C_3H_8]. DFMS space data show propane in May 2015.

Fig. 7. Benzene [C_6H_6]. DFMS space data show benzene in May 2015.

Fig. 5. Butane [C_4H_{10}]. DFMS space data show butane in May 2016.

Fig. 8. Hexane [C_6H_{14}]. DFMS space data show hexane in May 2016.

Fig. 6. Pentane [C_5H_{12}]. DFMS space data show pentane in May 2016.

Fig. 9. Toluene [C_7H_8]. DFMS space data show toluene in May 2015.

the DFMS fragmentation pattern for aliphatic compounds generally showed low abundances for the parent molecules. This seems more reasonable than the total absence of both species in the cometary bulk, especially as spectra in May 2016, at much shorter distance to the comet, showed both species.

After the hydrocarbons were successfully identified, including a quantification of the compounds, the relative abundances were derived according to Gasc et al. (2017). In this study, abundances were calculated relative to methane, which was the dominant hydrocarbon in the main investigation phase in May 2015. Furthermore, the abundances of the CH-species were calculated relative to water. For a better understanding of the impact

of heliocentric distance, the same calculations were also performed for May 2016 at 2.95 au. The results of both observation campaigns are provided in Table 2. In addition, in Fig. 11 the abundances relative to methane are shown, and in Fig. 12 the abundances relative to water are shown.

The abundances of the aliphatic compounds relative to both water and methane decrease with higher mass. However, as shown in Figs. 11 and 12, this trend occurs only for May 2015, but for abundances relative to both water and methane. The pattern for CH_4 and water is very similar in each of the periods in the two figures. However, a comparison of abundances in May 2015 and May 2016 indicates a significant change in the

Fig. 10. Heptane [C_7H_{16}]. DFMS space data show heptane in July 2015.

Table 2. Relative abundances.

May 2015		
Species	Abundance	
relative to	Methane [CH_4]	Water [H_2O]
Methane	$(1.00 \pm 0.00) \times 10^{+0}$	$(3.43 \pm 0.68) \times 10^{-3}$
Ethane	$(8.51 \pm 1.70) \times 10^{-1}$	$(2.92 \pm 0.58) \times 10^{-3}$
Propane	$(5.25 \pm 1.05) \times 10^{-2}$	$(1.80 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-4}$
Butane	Not detected ^(*)	Not detected ^(*)
Pentane	Not detected ^(*)	Not detected ^(*)
Benzene	$(2.02 \pm 0.41) \times 10^{-3}$	$(6.94 \pm 1.39) \times 10^{-6}$
Toluene	$(1.80 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-2}$	$(6.16 \pm 1.23) \times 10^{-5}$

May 2016		
Species	Abundance	
relative to	Methane [CH_4]	Water [H_2O]
Methane	$(1.00 \pm 0.00) \times 10^{+0}$	$(6.48 \pm 1.30) \times 10^{-2}$
Ethane	$(7.92 \pm 1.58) \times 10^{+0}$	$(5.13 \pm 1.03) \times 10^{-1}$
Propane	$(4.24 \pm 0.85) \times 10^{-1}$	$(2.75 \pm 0.55) \times 10^{-2}$
Butane	$(8.15 \pm 1.63) \times 10^{-2}$	$(5.28 \pm 1.06) \times 10^{-3}$
Pentane	$(7.02 \pm 1.40) \times 10^{-2}$	$(4.55 \pm 0.91) \times 10^{-3}$
Benzene	$(1.21 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$	$(7.81 \pm 1.56) \times 10^{-4}$
Toluene	Not detected ^(*)	Not detected ^(*)

Notes. ^(*)Detection limit is estimated to be 10 ions.

relative abundances. In May 2016, C_2H_6 increased relative to CH_4 . This ratio differs significantly from the abundances that were calculated for May 2015. Furthermore, the C_3H_8 abundance increased as well, apparently following the trend of C_2H_6 . In general, the relative abundances with respect to H_2O increase in May 2016 as a significant drop in the water production rate occurs (Laeuter et al. 2019).

In both cases, measurements were made while the spacecraft was above the southern hemisphere. In 2015, this hemisphere was the very active summer hemisphere, while in 2016, the subsolar latitude was at $\sim 5^\circ$ north. Figure 11 shows that the hydrocarbons heavier than methane appear to be enriched in 2016 compared to 2015. This is surprising considering the already large distance to the Sun in 2016 and that the volatility of CH_4 is higher than that of C_2H_6 .

In contrast to the aliphatic compounds, the aromatic species do not follow the general trend of depletion toward higher mass. Figures 11 and 12 show that the relative abundances of toluene

Fig. 11. Abundance of CH-species relative to methane [CH_4] with estimated bulk abundance in May 2015. Heliocentric distances in May 2015: 1.52 au and in May 2016: 2.95 au.

Fig. 12. Abundance of CH-species relative to water [H_2O] with estimated bulk abundance in May 2015. Heliocentric distances in May 2015: 1.52 au, and in May 2016: 2.95 au.

are higher than those of benzene. This seems to be in contrast to the peak intensities of benzene (Fig. 7), which are higher than those of toluene (Fig. 9), but it can be explained by the lower DFMS sensitivity for toluene, which has to be taken into account when relative densities inside the DFMS ion source are derived from the measured detector signals. Also in contrast to the aliphatic compounds, the abundance of benzene relative to CH_4 did not change significantly from May 2015 to May 2016. The evolution of the relative abundance of benzene and toluene between the two periods would be very interesting, but could not be investigated as toluene could no longer be found regularly in May 2016.

3.2.2. Impact of cometary and observational parameters

In addition to the identification and quantification campaign, we further investigated the impact of cometary rotation and sub-spacecraft latitude and longitude on the measured abundances. First, a comparison of measurements on several days in May 2015 was performed to determine whether the relative

Fig. 13. Relative abundances in May 2015. We show the abundance of CH_4 (black), C_2H_6 (blue), and C_3H_8 (gray) relative to water on several measurement days in May 2015. We also show the sub-spacecraft latitude at the time of measurement (red).

abundance of the most dominant hydrocarbon species to water changes over the main investigation period and if any trends could be observed. Therefore, DFMS space data on various days were selected and the relative abundances of methane, ethane, and propane to water were calculated. The results are given in Fig. 13. Over the whole month, thus independent from sub-spacecraft latitude, the methane-to-water ratio remains the highest. However, results also show that there is a change in the relative abundance between methane and water, especially around 10 May. This trend is followed by the ethane-to-water ratio and by the propane-to-water ratio, suggesting external influence as the driving force here. No significant change in the distance comet-spacecraft occurred during the measurement period. However, for further investigation, the sub-spacecraft latitude at the time of measurement was added to the plot. Figure 13 shows that no clear correlation with sub-spacecraft latitude can be found for any of the abundance evolution lines.

In addition, we investigated the abundance over several hours, representing a cometary rotation period, in DFMS data taken on 1 and 2 June 2015, when the coma was dominated by H_2O . The measurements were taken over the southern hemisphere at a sub-spacecraft latitude of -70 to 65° . To show the evolution of the dominant hydrocarbon peaks over a cometary rotation, with changing sub-spacecraft longitude and latitude, we plot the peak intensities of methane and ethane relative to oxygen. For a detailed picture, every DMFS measurement in one measurement cycle consisting of 12 measurements (each separated by 45 min) was analyzed to derive the variation of the intensities over one cometary rotation. The results are shown in Fig. 14. In addition, the elapsed time in minutes and the latitude and longitude of the sub-spacecraft point in the comet-fixed frame are shown. Between measurements of mass/charge 16 u/e, oxygen and methane, and mass/charge 30 u/e, ethane, a time difference of 8 min occurs, which is neglected for this study. The first analyzed measurement was taken at 209.6 km distance from the comet. This value increases slightly to 216.1 km for the last analyzed measurement. The sub-spacecraft latitude is shown in the plot; it changes from -70.6° at the first measurement to -65.7° at the last measurement, while the sub-spacecraft longitude changes significantly from -78.3° to -41.3° . As shown in Fig. 14, the ratio of methane relative to oxygen does not change much during the rotational period, and all changes are within the error bars. Similarly, for ethane to oxygen over a cometary rotation,

Fig. 14. Evolution of hydrocarbon peaks over a cometary rotation. The plot shows the evolution for methane and ethane relative to the oxygen peak over the rotation duration of the comet (error bars are 1σ). The height of the bar represents the relative peak size. We also plot the latitude (orange) and longitude (blue) below the spacecraft. Spectra were taken every 45 min with a time difference of 8 min between mass 16 u/e, oxygen, and methane, and mass 30 u/e, ethane.

all changes occur within 1σ for methane and 2σ for ethane and seem independent of latitude and longitude. Thus, no trend of evolution of methane and ethane over a cometary rotation is observed.

3.2.3. Unsaturated hydrocarbons and isomerism

It is difficult to differentiate isomers because no difference in mass/charge for the parent can be observed for different isomers. However, another method for distinguishing is the different fragmentation patterns because the branching of molecules also affects fragmentation. When the fragmentation pattern for n- and iso-structured aliphatic compounds is compared, small differences in the relative abundances occur: when we compared the fragmentation results of NIST (Stein 2018) for n-pentane and iso-pentane, we obtained higher relative abundances for some fragments (such as at mass 27, 29, 41, 42, and 57 u/e) by fragmentation of the branched version. In addition, higher abundances for CH_3 (15 u/e) are detected. However, in summary, the difference in abundance is rather small and appears to occur mostly on masses where unsaturated CH-species are present in the DFMS data from the comet. Thus a differentiation between the different isomers is not possible for the investigated periods. Nevertheless, isomerism is probably occurring, most likely in the form of single-branched aliphatic compounds. However, as pointed out above, isomerism does not affect any aliphatic compounds of masses lower than butane.

The DFMS space data show unsaturated hydrocarbons in all investigated periods. The observed abundances follow the trend of the saturated molecules and decrease toward higher masses. After accounting for all saturated hydrocarbon parent molecules and their fragments, many CH-bearing compounds remain in the spectrum (Fig. 15). These can only be explained by species containing double or triple bonds or being unsaturated fragments. In addition to the investigated aliphatic and aromatic species, the potential presence of cycloalkanes is discussed here. Cyclopropane and cyclobutane are indicated by the high number of unsaturated species and C_3H_6 and C_4H_8 in May 2015, while the aliphatic parent C_4H_{10} was not detected in the same period.

Figure 15 shows that the total ion signal of saturated aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons and their fragments is lower than for

Fig. 15. Total number of CH-species. The plot shows the measured intensities for pure hydrocarbons up to mass/charge 100 u/e. In blue we show the number that can be explained by the identified saturated aliphatic and aromatic species as well as their fragments.

Fig. 16. Total number of unsaturated hydrocarbons. The plot shows the number of unsaturated species (that remained after the saturated species and their fragments were removed, as shown in Fig. 15). In blue we show the potential contribution from cycloalkanes.

the unsaturated species. Here, the contribution of the saturated compounds to the total ion signal is 42.2%, while the contribution of the unsaturated compounds is 57.8%. The potential contribution of cycloalkanes is calculated to be at most 2% of the total signal, which reduces the remaining number of unsaturated compounds to 55.9%. Part of the unsaturated compounds can be fragments from higher mass molecules beyond the DFMS mass range. However, this part has to be small because the volatility decreases with mass and high-mass hydrocarbons therefore do not or only slowly desorb.

3.2.4. Impact of dust

As shown in [Altwegg et al. \(2017\)](#), the event on 5 September, 2016, indicates a great variety of different species during high dust activity. However, these measurements were obtained under different conditions than the bulk analysis in this study. The conditions here are considered to be the more pure gaseous phase of 67P. On 5 September, a dust event occurred where species sublimated from dust grains. Thus, the analysis of the cometary

bulk material, taken in the post-equinox period in May 2015 and representing a much less dynamical setting (in terms of outbursts and dust activity), shows fewer hydrocarbons in the coma. Furthermore, as shown in Table 1, the presence of several species such as hexane and heptane appears to be limited to short time periods, for instance, only a few measurements on single days. However, they could be confirmed for single measurements in late March 2015, July 2015, and also in single measurements in May 2016. This indicates that their presence does not depend strongly on heliocentric distances or on season; it is much more likely that their presence is connected to dust in the coma. The variable dust ejection may also be the reason that the relative ratios of methane and ethane to water on 15 May (Fig. 13) varies with time in a manner that is not connected to latitude or the approach of the comet to the Sun in that the month.

4. Comparison with other comets and with the interstellar medium

Methane-to-water ratios have been established for several comets ([Mumma & Charnley 2011](#)) and range between 0.2 and 1.5%; however, for Kuiper belt comets, only two firm values exist ([Dello Russo et al. 2016](#)). The values found for Kuiper belt comets are lower than those for Oort cloud comets with 0.34 for 2P/Encke and 0.54 for 9P/Tempel 1, while the average methane-to-water ratio in Oort cloud comets is 0.88 ([Dello Russo et al. 2016](#)). Together with the low value in 67P of 0.34% in May 2015, this may also indicate that owing to their dynamical history in the Kuiper belt and later in the Centaur stage ([Guilbert-Lepoutre et al. 2016](#)), Kuiper belt comets have lost some of their hypervolatile molecules such as CO, CH₄, and N₂. The relative production rate of ethane in comets is quite constant for several comets ([Mumma & Charnley 2011](#); [Dello Russo et al. 2016](#)) at 0.6% relative to water. However, there are a few outliers with ranges between 0.1 and 2%. This can probably be understood if we take into account the different heliocentric distances and seasons, which may influence the result quite heavily. The value for 67P for May 2015 at 0.3% is below average. However, all relative abundances of the hydrocarbons in 67P are up to a factor 100 higher in May 2016 at 3 au from the Sun than in 2015 before perihelion. This is mainly due to the decrease in water outgassing. Ethane is clearly more abundant than methane for large heliocentric distances. Early in the mission, the relative amount of CH₄ was 0.13% over the northern (summer) hemisphere and 0.56% over the southern hemisphere ([Le Roy et al. 2015](#)). In May 2016, it was 5% relative to water. The respective values for ethane were found to be 0.56 and 3.3% ([Le Roy et al. 2015](#)) and 50% for May 2016. This means that the ratio of hydrocarbons to water is highly sensitive to the period when it was measured. From ROSINA measurements, it is known that methane and ethane correlate quite well with CO₂, much better than with H₂O ([Gasc et al. 2017](#)). Depending on the geometry, the relative abundance to water can then easily change by orders of magnitude. A better comparison is probably made among aliphatic hydrocarbons. The relative ratio of ethane to methane in our case is about 4.5 in 2014, 0.85 in 2015, and 7.9 in 2016. While the value from 2015 is close to the average cometary methane-to-ethane ratio of ~0.9 deduced from [Mumma & Charnley \(2011\)](#) and 1.4 from [Dello Russo et al. \(2016\)](#) for Oort cloud and 0.9 for the two Jupiter-family comets in his sample, the early values from the northern and southern hemisphere are higher by more than a factor 5. This could be the effect of the very low sublimation temperature and diffusion of methane. While ethane is a much larger molecule, CH₄ probably diffuses out even during

Fig. 17. Comparison to the Hasegawa model. The plot compares the calculated number of ions for DFMS space data with the Hasegawa et al. (1992) model A. For the DFMS, only saturated species and the remaining number of unsaturated species are taken into account, the fragmentation products of the saturated are subtracted.

the aphelion phase, from the north as well as from the south. The uppermost layers may therefore have been depleted from methane when Rosetta arrived at the comet. These layers then eroded later on and revealed fresh material. Higher aliphatic compounds are less abundant than methane by about a factor of ten. However, propane, butane, and pentane have very similar abundances. ROSINA has clearly detected aromatic hydrocarbons, namely benzene and toluene, which are roughly on the 1% level compared to methane. Toluene has most probably also been detected by Ptolemy, one of the mass spectrometers on the lander Philae (Altwegg et al. 2017). Aliphatic saturated hydrocarbons are hard to detect using remote sensing because they have small dipole moments. Table 1 from Herbst & Van Dishoeck (2009) gives a list of detected species in the interstellar medium that contains only unsaturated molecules. These have a much larger dipole moment. Figure 17 shows resulting relative abundances from the Hasegawa model for the ISM (Hasegawa et al. 1992). Although the results from the model are not directly comparable to our list of species, which contains in addition to saturated, unsaturated, and cyclic molecules fragments from the electron impact ionization in the instrument, the relative abundances with mass between the modeled data and our measured data are quite comparable.

5. Conclusions

The study of our DFMS calibration campaign and of DFMS space data allowed us to identify and quantify pure hydrocarbons released by comet 67P. Cometary bulk material, most observable during May 2015, shows several pure aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons in addition to mixed CH-compounds such as CHO- or HCN-compounds. Hereby, an in depth analysis of the May 2015 space data revealed methane, ethane, propane, benzene, and toluene. Furthermore, it indicates butane and pentane in the cometary bulk because fragments of both species could be identified. However, this analysis excludes hexane, heptane, and octane in the cometary coma. This is most probably due to the large distance from the comet and the detection limit of DFMS. Investigations during different measurement periods confirm the

picture, but also suggest a contribution of the cometary dust on hydrocarbons. This is a consequence of cometary dust often being much warmer than the surface of the comet, which leads to outgassing of lesser volatile species (see Lien 1990). Measurements on 9 July 2015 and in March 2015 and on 5 September 2016 (see Altwegg et al. 2017) are proof of the presence of hexane and suggest the presence of heptane in the coma. In contrast to all other hydrocarbons, these species are only observable in a limited number of spectra, suggesting that the presence is connected to events with higher dust activity. Investigation over a full month shows that hydrocarbon abundances vary with time, but there is no clear correlation with latitude. This might in part be caused by dust being a distributed source for low-volatility hydrocarbons. Finally, the heliocentric distance of the comet significantly affects the abundances because hydrocarbons tend to correlate far more strongly with CO₂ than with water (see Gasc et al. 2017).

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the following institutions and agencies: University of Bern was funded by the State of Bern, the Swiss National Science Foundation and by the European Space Agency PRODEX Program. Work at Southwest Research Institute was funded by Jet Propulsion Laboratory (subcontract no. 1496541), at the University of Michigan by NASA (contract JPL-1266313), by CNES grants at Laboratoire Atmosphères, Milieux, Observations Spatiales, and at Royal Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy by the Belgian Science Policy Office via PRODEX/ROSINA PEA 90020. Furthermore, we would like to thank all the engineers, technicians and scientists involved in the mission, the Rosetta Spacecraft and the ROSINA team. Rosetta is an ESA mission with contributions from its member states and NASA. Thus we acknowledge herewith the work of the whole ESA Rosetta team. All ROSINA data has been released to the public PSA archive of ESA (<https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/psa/rosetta>) and to the PDS archive of NASA.

References

- Altwegg, K., Balsiger, H., Berthelier, J.-J., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, **469**, 130
- Balsiger, H., Altwegg, K., Bochsler, P., et al. 2007, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **128**, 745
- Bibring, J.-P., Taylor, M. G. G. T., Alexander, C., et al. 2015, *Science*, **349**, 493
- Bockelée-Morvan, D., Lis, D., Wink, J., et al. 2000, *A&A*, **353**, 1101
- Calmonte, U., Altwegg, K., Balsiger, H., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, **462**, S253
- Capaccioni, F., Coradini, A., Filacchione, G., et al. 2015, *Science*, **347**, aaa0628
- Dello Russo, N., Kawakita, H., Vervack Jr R. J., & Weaver, H. A. 2016, *Icarus*, **278**, 301
- Fray, N., Bardyn, A., Cottin, H., et al. 2016, *Nature*, **538**, 72
- Gasc, S., Altwegg, K., Fiethe, B., et al. 2017, *Planet. Space Sci.*, **135**, 64
- Glassmeier, K.-H., Boehnhardt, H., Koschny, D., Kührt, E., & Richter, I. 2007, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **128**, 1
- Goesmann, F., Rosenbauer, H., Bredehöft, J. H., et al. 2015, *Science*, **349**, aab0689
- Graf, S., Altwegg, K., Balsiger, H., et al. 2004, *J. Geophys. Res. Planets*, **109**
- Guilbert-Lepoutre, A., Rosenberg, E. D., Prialnik, D., & Besse, S. 2016, *MNRAS*, **462**, S146
- Hasegawa, T. I., Herbst, E., & Leung, C. M. 1992, *ApJS*, **82**, 167
- Herbst, E., & Van Dishoeck, E. F. 2009, *ARA&A*, **47**, 427
- Laeuter, M., Kramer, T., Rubin, M., & Altwegg, K. 2019, *MNRAS*, **483**, 852
- Le Roy, L., Altwegg, K., Balsiger, H., et al. 2015, *A&A*, **583**, A1
- Lien, D. J. 1990, *ApJ*, **355**, 680
- Mattauch, J., & Herzog, R. 1934, *Z. Astrophys.*, **89**, 786
- Mitchell, D., Lin, R., Anderson, K., et al. 1987, *Science*, **237**, 626
- Mumma, M. J., & Charnley, S. B. 2011, *ARA&A*, **49**, 471
- Nevejans, D., Neefs, E., Kavadias, S., Merken, P., & Van Hoof, C. 2002, *Int. J. Anal. Spectrom.*, **215**, 77
- Quirico, E., Moroz, L., Schmitt, B., et al. 2016, *Icarus*, **272**, 32
- Rubin, M., Altwegg, K., Balsiger, H., et al. 2015, *Science*, **aaa6100**
- Schläppi, B., Altwegg, K., Balsiger, H., et al. 2010, *J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys.*, **115**
- Stein, S. E., 2018, *NIST Chemistry WebBook*, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69, eds. P. J. Linstrom & W. G. Mallard (Gaithersburg: National Institute of Standards and Technology)
- Taylor, M. G. G. T., Alexander, C., Altobelli, N., et al. 2015, *Science*, **347**, 387
- Vincent, J.-B., A'Hearn, M. F., Lin, Z.-Y., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, **462**, S184
- Westermann, C. B., Luthardt, W., Kopp, E., et al. 2001, *Meas. Sci. Technol.*, **12**, 1594
- Wright, I., Sheridan, S., Barber, S., et al. 2015, *Science*, **349**, aab0673

Appendix A: Sensitivities

Table A.1. Sensitivities.

Compound	Sensitivity	Source
Octane	2.56E-20	A
Heptane	2.61E-20	A
Toluene	2.05E-19	A
Hexane	1.22E-18	B
Benzene	4.66E-18	B
Pentane	6.17E-20	A
Butane	5.16E-19	A
Propane	2.48E-19	A
Ethane	2.29E-19	A
Methane	8.66E-19	A
Water	2.31E-19	A

Notes. A: DFMS calibration. B: calculated based on the cross section.

Appendix B: DFMS fragmentation patterns

DFMS fragmentation pattern for all calibrated hydrocarbons. The patterns are given in percentage relative to the fragment with the highest abundance (relative fragmentation). Patterns are sorted by increasing mass: methane, ethane, propane, butane, pentane, hexane, toluene, heptane, and octane.

Fig. B.1. Fragmentation pattern of methane.

Fig. B.2. Fragmentation pattern of ethane.

Fig. B.3. Fragmentation pattern of propane.

Fig. B.4. Fragmentation pattern of butane.

Fig. B.5. Fragmentation pattern of pentane.

Fig. B.6. Fragmentation pattern of hexane.

Fig. B.8. Fragmentation pattern of heptane.

Fig. B.7. Fragmentation pattern of toluene.

Fig. B.9. Fragmentation pattern of octane.