Observations of solar chromospheric heating at sub-arcsec spatial resolution

H. N. Smitha¹, L. P. Chitta¹, T. Wiegelmann¹, and S. K. Solanki¹,2

¹ Max-Planck-Institut für Sonnensystemforschung, Justus-von-Liebig-Weg 3, 37077 Göttingen, Germany
e-mail: smitha@mps.mpg.de
² School of Space Research, Kyung Hee University, Yongin, Gyeonggi 446-701, Republic of Korea

Received 22 April 2018 / Accepted 3 July 2018

ABSTRACT

A wide variety of phenomena such as gentle but persistent brightening, dynamic slender features (~100 km), and compact (~1″) ultraviolet (UV) bursts are associated with the heating of the solar chromosphere. High spatio-temporal resolution is required to capture the finer details of the likely magnetic reconnection-driven, rapidly evolving bursts. Such observations are also needed to reveal their similarities to large-scale flares, which are also thought to be reconnection driven, and more generally their role in chromospheric heating. Here we report observations of chromospheric heating in the form of a UV burst obtained with the balloon-borne observatory SUNRISE. The observed burst displayed a spatial morphology similar to that of a large-scale solar flare with a circular ribbon. While the co-temporal UV observations at 1.5″ spatial resolution and 24 s cadence from the Solar Dynamics Observatory showed a compact brightening, the SUNRISE observations at diffraction-limited spatial resolution of 0.1″ at 7 s cadence revealed a dynamic substructure of the burst that is composed of an extended ribbon-like feature and a rapidly evolving arcade of thin (~0.1″) magnetic loop-like features, similar to post-flare loops. Such a dynamic substructure reveals the small-scale nature of chromospheric heating in these bursts. Furthermore, based on magnetic field extrapolations, this heating event is associated with a complex fan-spine magnetic topology. Our observations strongly hint at a unified picture of magnetic heating in the solar atmosphere from some large-scale flares to small-scale bursts, all associated with such a magnetic topology.
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1. Introduction

The solar chromosphere is sandwiched between the cooler photosphere and the multimillion kelvin corona. High spatial and temporal resolution observations reveal a richly structured and dynamic chromosphere that is hotter than the photosphere, with plasma temperature in excess of 10⁶ K in the uppermost layers. The chromospheric plasma loses energy through radiation ranging from 4 kW m⁻² in the quiet Sun to 20 kW m⁻² in active regions (see, e.g., Withbroe & Noyes 1977) which needs to be continually replenished with energy transported from the photosphere.

The evolution of the photospheric magnetic field governs the energy transport into higher layers and plays an important role in structuring the atmosphere. Consequently, intense heating events in the solar atmosphere, where the role of magnetic field evolution is readily apparent, show intricate spatial and temporal evolution. For instance, Ellerman bombs in the photosphere (Ellerman 1917) have a flame-like appearance (Watanabe et al. 2011). These flames are interpreted as plasma jets from the photosphere and have been modeled with radiation magnetohydrodynamic simulations as reconnection events during flux emergence and cancellation (Danilovic 2017; Hansteen et al. 2017). Often the chromosphere above the sites of flux emergence and cancellation also evolves dynamically. Compact intensity enhancements or bursts, clearly distinguishable in ultraviolet (UV) radiation, and plasma jets are observed at these locations (e.g., Georgoulis et al. 2002; Shibata et al. 2007; Peter et al. 2014; Gupta & Tripathi 2015; Vissers et al. 2015; Tian et al. 2018; Young et al. 2018). Based on the extrapolations of the photospheric magnetic field, such events are thought to be triggered by the magnetic energy released through reconnection at the base of the chromosphere (e.g., Guglielmino et al. 2010; Chitta et al. 2017b).

Investigating the internal structures of chromospheric heating in intense UV burst-type events will provide information on the nature of magnetic energy release and its deposition in the lower atmosphere. High-resolution imaging and spectroscopic observations allow us to perform such a detailed analysis. For example, Zeng et al. (2016) studied details of magnetic topology and a two-step reconnection process that triggered a small-scale chromospheric jet. Chitta et al. (2017b) presented a spectroscopic analysis of a UV burst composed of spatially decoupled blue- and redshifts of emission lines, which they interpreted as plasma flows from the reconnection site, with current sheet inclined to the line of sight. van der Voort et al. (2017) observed small-scale (~0.2″), rapidly evolving brightenings (on the order of seconds) in chromospheric bursts which they interpreted as evidence of plasmoids that are thought to mediate the fast reconnection process. However, the nature of the observed underlying magnetic topology and its association with the internal structure of chromospheric bursts at small spatial scales (~0.1″) is not well known.

Here we present the details of a UV burst in an emerging active region by combining high-resolution magnetograms and high-cadence chromospheric imaging. Our observations and

* The movie associated to Fig. 2 is available at https://www.aanda.org
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magnetic field extrapolations show the presence of a small-scale fan-spine magnetic topology, which manifests itself as a bright ribbon-like feature and transient magnetic loop-like features in the chromosphere. We describe the spatial and temporal properties of chromospheric heating in the burst.

2. Observations

To investigate the details of chromospheric heating in UV bursts we focus on an emerging active region AR 11768, for which high spatial and temporal resolution observations are available from the balloon-borne SUNRISE Observatory (Solanki et al. 2010, 2017; Barthol et al. 2011; Berkefeld et al. 2011; Gandorfer et al. 2011; Martínez Pillet et al. 2011). During its second flight on 2013 June 12 at 23:39 UT, SUNRISE observed AR 11768 at a heliocentric angle $\mu = 0.93$. The SUNRISE Filter Imager (SuFI; Gandorfer et al. 2011) obtained high-cadence ($\approx 7$ s) chromospheric Ca $\text{II}$ narrowband filter images at 3968 Å with an image scale of 0.02$''$/pixel$^{-1}$ (diffraction limited resolution of 0.07$''$–0.1$''$). SuFI observed this AR over 60 min. During this period, SuFI recorded chromospheric brightenings covering an area of about $8^\circ \times 5^\circ$ and lasting for about 20 min. To examine the signatures of these brightenings in the temperature-minimum and the upper photosphere we used time sequences of continuum images at 1700 Å and 1600 Å obtained by the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA; Lemen et al. 2012; Boerner et al. 2012) on board the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO; Pesnell et al. 2012). These data have an image scale of 0.6$''$/pixel$^{-1}$ and a cadence of 24 s. The AIA 1600 Å filter also detects emissions from the C $\text{IV}$ doublet at 1548 Å and 1550 Å formed at around $10^5$ K.

To study the evolution of the surface magnetic field underlying the brightenings, we used magnetic field maps of AR 11768 retrieved from the Imaging Magnetograph eXperiment (IMaX; Martínez Pillet et al. 2011) on board SUNRISE. The IMaX recorded the full Stokes vector of the Fe $\text{i}$ 5250.2 Å line at eight wavelength positions, with a cadence of 36.5 s and an image scale of 0.054$''$/pixel$^{-1}$. The magnetic field vector is obtained by inverting the IMaX Stokes profiles using the SPINOR (Frutiger et al. 2000), which makes use of the STOPRO routines (Solanki 1987). These high-resolution magnetic field maps are available only from 23:39 UT to 23:55 UT and did not capture the magnetic field evolution of the chromospheric event during its peak brightness. Thus, we supplement these IMaX data with the line-of-sight magnetic field maps obtained from the Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager (HMI; Scherrer et al. 2012) on board SDO. These HMI data cover the full solar disk, and have an image scale of 0.5$''$/pixel$^{-1}$ and a cadence of 45 s. The SDO data have been rotated clockwise by 11.1$^\circ$ to match the orientation of the SUNRISE data.

3. Morphology of the heating event

The UV burst under investigation is observed in the vicinity of a group of magnetic pores, which are seen as dark features in the AIA intensity maps tracing the upper photosphere (top panels in Fig. 1). These pores and the surrounding regions constitute predominantly negative polarity magnetic field at the solar surface (lower right panel in Fig. 1). Patches of parasitic positive polarity magnetic field are observed to evolve within a ring of negative magnetic polarity (see white box). A time series of the surface magnetic field maps from HMI (cf. Sect. 6, Fig. 5) shows interaction between the two polarities near ($285^\circ$, $-205^\circ$) which is also the location of the UV brightening seen in AIA intensity maps. Here AIA 1700 Å and 1600 Å images display a compact but distinct brightening (see yellow box in Fig. 1). While the brightening recorded in the AIA 1700 Å channel is comparable to the surrounding bright regions, the intensity of the heating event imaged by AIA 1600 Å is very prominent compared to its surroundings. However, due to the moderate resolution of the AIA, the internal structure of this heating event could not be investigated further. This event could not be identified in the AIA extreme ultraviolet filter images.

This heating event displays a complex morphology and internal structure when observed near the diffraction limit of the 1m diameter SUNRISE telescope with the narrowband filter of SuFI at 3968 Å. Only a part of the SuFI FOV is plotted in panels $a$, $b$, and $d$. Only a part of the SuFI FOV is plotted in panel $c$. See Sects. 2 and 3 for details.
for further discussion). In the next 2 min, the thin magnetic loop-like features appear transiently from the extended region to one of the compact regions (f). At the end of the heating event, the region returns to the state prior to the event, i.e., devoid of bright features and thin loops (lower right panel in Fig. 2).

Some of the loop-like features are seen to extend to nearby bright structures. These chromospheric brightenings are related to the emergence of magnetic flux observed at two sites adjacent to the heating event, one near the edge of the SuFI FOV and the other outside, both on its right side (Centeno et al. 2017). In between the burst studied here and one of the flux emergence events, an Ellerman bomb is triggered which reaches its maximum brightness around 2013 June 12 23:47 UT (Danilovic et al. 2017). Thus, the region captured by SuFI is highly dynamic with bright loop-like features (or slender fibrils, Gafeira et al. 2017) stretching across the FOV from one region to the other. Upon visual inspection, it appears that the brightening of the heating event follows the brightening of the fibrils, connecting this event to the flux emergence site on the right (see online movie). However, the complex magnetic field evolution observed in the HMI and 1MA magnetograms reveal the possible origin of this burst as being due to local interactions of positive and negative magnetic polarities at the site of the burst. We will discuss the origin of this burst and its possible link to the magnetic topology in Sect. 6.

4. Light curves from the heating event

The morphology of the chromospheric UV burst discussed in Sect. 3 suggests a possible temporal correlation of the energy release over an extended region. To investigate this temporal correspondence between different regions in the burst, we have spatially divided it into six subregions (see Fig. 2).

The spatially averaged intensity from each subregion as a function of time is plotted in the left panel of Fig. 3. Light curves from different subregions within the extended ribbon (b–d) show nearly simultaneous peaks, while subregion (a) peaks a minute later. Light curves from the compact bright features (e and f) show multiple peaks, some of which are nearly simultaneous with the peaks from the extended region.

We note that AIA 1700 Å and 1600 Å filter images displayed co-spatial brightenings (cf. Fig. 1). To compare intensity variations observed in the SuFI and the AIA data, first we averaged the intensity from subregions (a–d), which is plotted as a function of time in the top right panel of Fig. 3. The light curve shows a single well-defined peak around 00:06 UT. Both the AIA light curves also show intensity enhancements from the heating event, revealing its role in disturbing the photospheric plasma (similar to Ellerman bombs).

These AIA intensity enhancements could be purely due to the enhanced UV continuum emission from the burst. Although these filters sample slightly different heights near the photosphere and may respond differently to temperature gradients, the spectral lines forming at transition region temperatures in the UV will also be highly enhanced in these heating events (Brekke & Kjeldseth-Moe 1994; Peter et al. 2014). In fact, the AIA 1600 Å filter includes the strong C iv doublet that forms at 10^5 K. Moreover, the effective area of that filter has its peak close to the rest wavelengths of C iv doublet around 1550 Å. Thus, we expect that the burst here could be heated to even 10^5 K. To check for this possibility, we adopt the filter ratio of AIA 1600 Å to 1700 Å as a proxy of the C iv emission. We observe a clear peak in the filter ratio curve, again nearly simultaneous with the

Fig. 2. Spatial and temporal evolution of the chromospheric burst. The top left and bottom right panels mark the beginning and end of the heating event. The other two panels show intermediate stages. Boxes a–f are used to compute the average intensities plotted in Fig. 3. The outer to inner contours enclose the regions with intensities of (0.5, 0.7, 0.9) × I max in the ribbon-like feature. Here, I max is the intensity maximum in the respective SuFI snapshot. The arrows point to loop-like features associated with the burst. See Sect. 3 for details. The entire time series of this event is available as an online movie.
The near-simultaney of the brightenings observed from different subregions (both extended and compact) of the burst suggests a complex magnetic connectivity between these various subregions. Such a temporal correspondence is also observed as sequential brightening seen in circular ribbons of large-scale flares (e.g., Masson et al. 2009; Wang & Liu 2012). In these large flares, the sequential brightening is governed by a dome-like magnetic field topology above the surface, which is also the case for the burst here (cf. Sect. 6).

5. Thin magnetic loops

During the period when the burst reached its peak intensity, we observed transient brightening of several magnetic strands with apparent loop-like configuration as seen from above (left panel in Fig. 4; cf. Fig. 2). These resemble the large-scale loops typically seen in coronal observations, but at much smaller spatial scales. Such miniature loops (lengths of ~1 Mm) are also detected in High-resolution Coronal Imager (Hi-C) observations, albeit at coronal temperatures (Peter et al. 2013; Barczynski et al. 2017). The SuFI magnetic loop-like features observed here could not be identified in coronal images of AIA, indicating that these form below coronal temperatures. These loop-like structures are present only for 2 min.

A striking feature of these magnetic loops is their clear visibility against chromospheric background emission, which highlights their apparent narrow width. To determine the width of these structures, first we use the automated pattern recognition code by Aschwanden (2010), tuned to identify loops with a minimum length and curvature radius of 25 and 100 SuFI pixels, respectively. The code detected several curvilinear loop-like features. A sample of these are shown in the left panel of Fig. 4. All the curvilinear loop-like features are then straightened by interpolating intensities onto a rectilinear grid. We assume that the intensity profile across each loop segment (along its length) is a Gaussian, (see, e.g., Brooks et al. 2016). Next we compute the loop width, which we define as the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the best-fit Gaussian intensity profile with a background term, at each loop segment along its length. A histogram of the computed FWHMs is shown in the right panel of Fig. 4. The histogram sharply peaks at around 60 km–70 km and drops rapidly towards larger widths. We note that the measured widths peak close to the diffraction limited resolution, which suggests that in reality at least some of these loops are narrower and remain unresolved in the present observations. For comparison, the width of the extended ribbon-like feature is in general a factor of 2–4 larger than that of the loops (see Appendix A).

The widths of chromospheric loop-like features reported here are comparable to the widths of bright chromospheric fibrils in the range of 80 km–100 km studied by Pietarila et al. (2009), but are smaller than the widths of many other elongated structures in the solar atmosphere. For instance, using the same SuFI data, Gafeira et al. (2017) measured the average width the cooler Ca ii fibrils to be 180 km with the smallest width measured being 100 km. Antolin & van der Voort (2012) measured an average FWHM of 310 km of the cool plasma condensations formed in coronal rain. The low-lying transition region loops observed by the Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph at a spatial resolution of 0.32” have a FWHM of about 300 km (Brooks et al. 2016). Using AIA and Hi-C coronal images, Aschwanden & Peter (2017) reported that equivalent widths of coronal loops peak at around 550 km.

The width of magnetic loops can provide hints about the nature of the heating processes involved in energizing these structures. Based on the width of coronal loops, which is comparable to photospheric granulation, Aschwanden & Peter (2017) argued that the coronal loops are energized by heating...
on macroscopic scales (i.e., comparable to the granular scale) rather than on much smaller scales that are currently unresolved (nanoflares). Although the chromospheric loop-like features presented here are quite different from their coronal counterparts, their unresolved nature suggests that the heat deposition, particularly in low-lying bursts (cf. Sect. 6; see also Chitta et al. 2017b), may occur on much smaller spatial scales (i.e., on scales of tens of km compared to granular scales of hundreds of km).

6. Magnetic topology

As discussed in Sect. 3, a visual inspection of the HMI (Fig. 5) and IMaX (Fig. 1) magnetograms suggests that the UV burst is triggered during the interactions between positive and negative polarity magnetic fields. In this case, the negative polarity magnetic region formed a ring-like feature and the positive polarity magnetic elements are embedded within this ring, resulting in a complex magnetic topology at the surface. Clearly, some form of magnetic reconnection is expected in this situation and the energy released during this reconnection process is responsible for the observed chromospheric brightening.

We have used extrapolations of the surface magnetic field from IMaX to examine the magnetic topology above the surface that is responsible for this burst. Unlike the nonlinear force-free field extrapolations in which the plasma pressure and gravity are neglected and the magnetic field is assumed to be in a force-free state (i.e., zero plasma-β), the extrapolations employed here are based on magnetostatic modeling in which these nonmagnetic forces are not neglected. While the force-free assumption is valid in the corona above active regions, this is not the case in the photosphere and chromosphere. In these lower layers of the solar atmosphere, regions with low and high plasma-β are present close to each other on small spatial scales. For a meaningful modeling, the nonmagnetic forces have to be considered and by invoking a nonzero plasma-β (i.e., the ratio of plasma pressure to magnetic pressure), magnetostatic modeling better represents the solar atmosphere closer to the solar surface, and thus the magnetic topology governing the bursts closer to the
surface. The details of the magnetostatic modeling are described in Wiegelmann et al. (2015, 2017).

The low-resolution HMI magnetograms show that during the progression of the burst, the overall distribution of the surface magnetic field has not changed drastically (left panel in Fig. 5), indicating the presence of a stable magnetic topology for several minutes at the surface (although this surface stability does not necessarily exclude eruptive phenomena higher up in the atmosphere). Therefore, to examine the magnetic topology surrounding the burst, we made use of the last snapshot from the high-resolution IMaX data. This snapshot was recorded 13 min before the burst reached its peak intensity.

The extrapolations reveal the presence of a three-dimensional fan-spine magnetic topology (Parnell et al. 1996) at the site of the burst, including a null point above the surface (right panel in Fig. 5). A sample of closed (yellow) and open (blue) field lines are drawn to highlight the domains of closed fan surface (dome) and the open spine. The closed field lines connect the ribbons and transient loops. The arrival of brightening of the ribbon is then due to other loops getting heated and become indistinguishable from the background. The persistent brightening of the ribbon is then due to other loops getting heated, while reconnection takes place at different places along the null point.

7. Conclusion

In this paper, we have described the fine details of chromospheric heating in a UV burst using a high-resolution (≈0.1″) observations recorded by SUNRISE/SuFI. The burst displayed chromospheric substructure with an extended ribbon-like feature with a core and transient magnetic loop-like structures. These intensity features are associated with a fan-spine magnetic topology that is apparent from the magnetic field extrapolations using SUNRISE/IMaX data. Overall, these intensity (ribbons and transient loops) and magnetic (fan-spine topology) characteristics of the burst resemble some large solar flares with circular ribbon and post-flare loops as seen from above. Thus, our observations point to a unified scenario of complex magnetic coupling through the solar atmosphere, and its role in atmospheric structuring and heating in large-scale and small-scale bursts.

Analysis of the evolution of the internal structure of the burst provides clues on the nature of the magnetic energy release. First, the temporal correspondence in terms of intensity variations from different subregions of the burst suggest that they are all connected by a complex magnetic topology. This is supported by the fact that the burst is triggered in a fan-spine magnetic topology low in the solar atmosphere. Second, the transient brightening of magnetic loops, which have widths very close to the diffraction limit of 70 km, suggests that the energy deposition in these loops is mainly at small spatial scales. In addition, we find that the extended ribbon is persistent and wider compared to the loops. This raises the question: which aspects of the chromosphere set the spatial scale of heat deposition in these bursts? Furthermore, a recent study using high-resolution observations of an emerging flux region reported that the majority of chromospheric radiative losses in that region are associated with more gentle and persistent heating rather than intense bursts (Leenaarts et al. 2018). Then, what is the magnetic nature of this persistent chromospheric heating in active regions? Does it also constitute small-scale events of energy release in tiny unresolved loops similar to those observed in bursts? These questions remain to be answered.
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Appendix A: Width of the ribbon

In Sect. 5 we show that the magnetic loop-like features associated with the burst have a distribution of widths peaking close to the diffraction limit of 70 km. We note that the burst also comprises a ribbon-like feature with a core (see Fig. 2). To compare the width of this ribbon with that of the loops, we considered the same time-step that we used to measure the loop widths and computed the width of the ribbon at various positions (as the full width at half maximum of ribbon segments). The width of three sample segments (numbered 1–3) are shown in Fig. A.1, which show a FWHM of 250 km near the top, 120 km in the narrow part of the ribbon, and 150 km in between (the segment which is closer to the intensity core of the ribbon). We find that the ribbon width varies along its length from 120 km to 240 km, i.e., by a factor of 2–4 compared to that of the loops (which is 60 km–70 km, as revealed by the peak of the loop width distribution).

In some sections, particularly at both ends, the ribbon is clearly much wider. To provide a possible explanation for the width of the ribbon, we overplot the intensity contour of the ribbon on the co-temporal HMI magnetogram (Fig. 5), which shows that the ribbon lies directly on top of a negative polarity magnetic field patch. The HMI observations do not show any obvious concentration of the magnetic field at the location of the SuFI ribbon core. It is possible that there are unresolved magnetic structures invisible to the HMI at this location (see Chitta et al. 2017a, for examples of such unresolved opposite polarity fields at another location in the SuFI FOV). Therefore, we suggest that the appearance of this ribbon and its core are governed by the underlying magnetic structure. In addition, the HMI magnetic field maps show that there are intermittent smaller positive polarity patches interacting with the negative polarity patch (Fig. 5), and we cannot rule out the possibility that the ribbon is partly composed of numerous unresolved loop-like structures.

Fig. A.1. Extended ribbon-like feature associated with the burst. The left panel covers the full extent of the burst and is at the same time-step as the left panel in Fig. 4. Three sample ribbon segments are marked by black lines, numbered 1–3. The intensity profiles (solid) and their Gaussian fits (dashed) along the three ribbon segments are shown in the right panels. The FWHMs of the three ribbon segments are quoted in the respective panels. See Sect. 5 for details.