Estimating the equation of state from measurements of neutron star radii with 5% accuracy
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ABSTRACT

Context. Observations of heavy (\(\simeq 2 M_\odot\)) neutron stars, such as PSR J1614–2230 and PSR J0348+0432, in addition to the recent measurement of tidal deformability from the binary neutron-star merger GW170817, place interesting constraints on theories of dense matter. Currently operating and future observatories, such as the Neutron star Interior Composition Explorer (NICER) and the Advanced Telescope for High ENergy Astrophysics (ATHENA), are expected to collect information on the global parameters of neutron stars, namely masses and radii, with an accuracy of a few percent. Such accuracy will allow for precise comparisons of measurements to models of compact objects and significantly improve our understanding of the physics of dense matter.

Aims. The dense-matter equation of state is still largely unknown. We investigate how the accuracy of measurements expected from the NICER and ATHENA missions will improve our understanding of the dense-matter interior of neutron stars.

Methods. We compared global parameters of stellar configurations obtained using three different equations of state: a reference (SLy4 EOS) and two piecewise polytropes manufactured to produce mass-radius relations indistinguishable from an observational point of view, i.e. within the predicted error of radius measurement. We assumed observational errors on the radius determination corresponding to the accuracies expected for the NICER and ATHENA missions. The effect of rotation was examined using high-precision numerical relativity computations. Because masses and rotational frequencies might be determined very precisely in the most optimistic scenario, only the influence of observational errors on radius measurements was investigated.

Results. We show that \(\pm 5\%\) errors in radius measurement lead to \(\sim 10\%\) and \(\sim 40\%\) accuracy in central parameter estimations for low-mass and high-mass neutron stars, respectively. Global parameters, such as oblateness and surface area, can be established with \(8\%–10\%\) accuracy, even if only compactness (instead of mass and radius) is measured. We also report on the range of tidal deformabilities corresponding to the estimated masses of GW170817 for the assumed uncertainty in radius.
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1. Introduction

Neutron stars (NS) are the most extreme material objects in the Universe. Formed in the aftermath of core-collapse supernovae, some few thousands are currently known. Most of these are seen as pulsars. These rotating compact objects allow astronomers to perform direct measurements of global parameters of NSs and, indirectly, to investigate the properties of dense matter in their interiors, which is in a state that is impossible to reproduce in terrestrial conditions. High-precision observations compared with theoretical models for the equation of state (EOS) of dense matter in NSs are currently the only way to study physics in such extreme conditions.

Precise determinations of the masses of PSR J1614–2230 (Demorest et al. 2010; Fonseca et al. 2016; Arzoumanian et al. 2018) and PSR J0348+0432 (Antoniadis et al. 2013) have set an observational bound on the maximum mass of a NS not lower than about \(2 M_\odot\) and have constrained theoretical models of the EOS. Present determinations of NS radii \(R\) are roughly between 10 and 15 km (for a review, see e.g. Özel & Freire 2016; Fortin et al. 2016), but because of systematic errors uncertainties on the values are still large (see e.g. Heinke et al. 2014; Fortin et al. 2015; Elshamouty et al. 2016; Miller & Lamb 2016; Haensel et al. 2016). Additionally, GW170817, the recent first direct detection of gravitational waves from the final orbits of a relativistic binary NS system (Abbott et al. 2017a) yielded tidal deformability parameter measurements that disfavour radii larger than 14 km at 1.4 \(M_\odot\).

Ongoing and future missions such the Neutron star Interior Composition Explorer (NICER; Arzoumanian et al. 2014) and Advanced Telescope for High ENergy Astrophysics (ATHENA; Motch et al. 2013) are or will be able to measure NS gravitational masses and radii with accuracies of a few percent. Generally, the radius \(R\) and gravitational mass \(M\) measurement methods consist of

- Pulse profile modelling related to brightness variations from the non-uniform surface of the NS. Such fluctuations may be caused by hot and cold spots, originated by magnetic field in rotation-powered pulsars or by non-uniform thermonuclear burning on the surface of an X-ray burster (see e.g. Özel et al. 2016; Sotani 2017). According to Psaltis et al. (2014) and Psaltis & Özel (2014), an uncertainty of \(\leq 5\%\) in the NS radius measurements is feasible, assuming that the rotational frequency of the object is in the range 300–800 Hz, and sufficiently long observations are possible (\(10^6\) counts in the pulse profile). However, other authors pointed out that
realistic observational errors might be larger, even up to 10% (see e.g. Lo et al. 2013; Miller & Lamb 2016) and may depend strongly on the geometry of the system. Nevertheless, in our work we decided to assume 5% accuracy as an optimistic scenario.

To break the degeneracy, four quantities have to be measured: the amplitude of the bolometric flux oscillation, amplitude of its second harmonic, amplitude of the spectral colour oscillation, and phase difference between the bolometric flux and colour oscillation. These requirements can be fulfilled by the NICER mission’s long exposure time and/or by combining pulse profile modelling methods with other measurements; for example X-ray pulse modelling from ATHENA and mass determination from radio timing. For lower spins (≤300 Hz), the amplitude of the second harmonic is too low to perform a full analysis. In this case only measurements of the compactness $M/R$ are possible. For much higher spins (≥800 Hz), higher order multipoles that become important in the modelling and solutions of the field equations are EOS dependent.

- Observations of Eddington-limited X-ray bursts from accreting NSs, which may put constraints on the maximum radius of the compact object (see e.g. Galloway et al. 2008).

- Fitting spectra with an appropriate atmosphere model to observations of the quiescent emission from low-mass X-ray binaries. This method was proposed by van Paradijs (1979) and improved since that time using realistic NS atmospheres, relativistic NS models, and ray tracing (e.g. Vincent et al. 2018). A recent analysis by Steiner et al. (2018) suggests NS radii between 10 and 14 km.

- Detections of gravitational waves from NS binary systems, allowing for measurements of NS masses and radii from both observations of the late inspiral (Bejger et al. 2005; Damour et al. 2012; Abbott et al. 2017a) and post-merger (e.g. Bauswein et al. 2015; 2017; Abbott et al. 2017b; Margalit & Metzger 2017; Annala et al. 2018; Rezzolla et al. 2018).

All these methods are necessarily limited by their intrinsic measurement errors. The current state of the art is such that in several cases mass can be measured with much smaller errors than radii. We focus on future measurements of radii and in particular on how the planned radius measurement accuracy reflects on the ability to discriminate similar $M(R)$ relations obtained using different NS interior prescriptions (that is, based on different EOSs). Specifically, we manufacture stable $M(R)$ sequences for parametric (piecewise-polytropic) EOSs and compare these to a reference sequence of configurations based on the SLy4 EOS (Douchin & Haensel 2001). In this test case we investigate ways of telling apart the $M(R)$ relations that are indistinguishable because of observational errors in the radius measurement; we assume these errors are equal to 5% of the radius of the reference configuration based on the SLy4 EOS (the $M(R)$ sequences of the piecewise-polytropes that trace the $ΔR = ±5\%$ outline of the SLy4 EOS non-rotating $M(R)$ sequence). We also study various spin frequency cases: from non-rotating objects to extremely rapidly spinning sources to check if rotation aids the discrimination between various functionalities of the EOS (mass, radius, quadrupole moment, and moment of inertia). In addition, we study cases in which the rotation rate is not known, and quantify the magnitude of the errors on the EOS parameters related to the radius measurement error and/or the lack of spin frequency measurement.

The paper is composed as follows. In Sect. 2 we present the EOS models, that is the numerical methods used to obtain the rigidly rotating sequences of configurations, and list the global NS parameters of interest. Section 3 contains the results. Section 4 presents the discussion and conclusions.

2. Methods and equations of state

The state of matter is relatively well known below the nuclear saturation density $ρ_σ = 2.7 × 10^{14} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$. Above this density several competing theories describing the EOS of dense matter exist (for a textbook review, see e.g. Haensel et al. 2007). In order to compare the effects of the uncertainty in the radius measurement on the EOS, we choose as the reference the SLy4 EOS (Douchin & Haensel 2001), which is consistent with recent radius and mass constraints described in the introduction. Furthermore, we select two parametric EOSs, named Model1 and Model2, manufactured to be barely consistent with the expected radius uncertainty measurement with respect to the non-rotating reference model.

Model1 and Model2 EOSs are constructed using the SLy4 prescription of the crust for densities lower than the nuclear saturation density and with three piecewise relativistic polytropes,

$$P(n) = k_i n^\gamma_i, \quad \epsilon(n) = \rho c^2 = \frac{P}{\gamma_i - 1} + \frac{n m_0 c^2}{\gamma_i},$$

for higher densities, where $P(n)$ and $\epsilon(n)$ denote the pressure and mass-energy density as function of the baryon density $n$, and $k_i$, $\gamma_i$, and $m_0$ are the pressure coefficient, polytropic index (characterizing the stiffness of the EOS at given density), and baryon mass for a given polytropic $i$th segment ($i = 1, \ldots, 3$). Index $\gamma_i$ is a parameter of choice, and $k_i$ and $m_0$ are fixed for a polytropic segment by the mechanical and chemical equilibrium.

In this study we limit ourselves to stationary, axisymmetric, rigidly rotating NS configurations. Non-rotating static NS solutions are obtained by solving the Tolman–Oppenheimer–Volkoff (TOV) equations (Oppenheimer & Volkoff 1939; Tolman 1939). Sequences of rotating stars parametrized by the spin frequency $f$ and the EOS parameter at the stellar centre (e.g. the central pressure $P_c$) are obtained by solving coupled partial differential equations using a multi-domain spectral methods library LORENE\footnote{http://www.lorene.obspm.fr} (Langage Objet pour la Relativité Numérique; Gourgoulhon et al. 2016) nrotstar code (Bonazzola et al. 1993; Gourgoulhon et al. 1999, 2010). The accuracy of the solutions is inspected by checking the validity of the 2D general-relativistic virial theorem (Bonazzola & Gourgoulhon 1994). Global parameters describing the NS are gravitational and baryon masses $M$ and $M_b$, angular momentum $J$ and quadrupole moment $Q$; their definition can be found in Bonazzola et al. (1993) and Gourgoulhon (2010). From electromagnetic observations one obtains some estimation of the flux from the stellar surface, $F_{\infty} \propto T^4 R^2$, proportional to its effective temperature $T$ and the size $R$ of the star. For a rotating star its visible size and radius are not uniquely defined as they depend on many factors, such as the viewing angle, compactness, rotation rate, and physical parameters of the atmosphere (see e.g. Vincent et al. 2018 for details); in order to compare configurations rotating with different rates we adopt the mean
radius $R_{\text{mean}} = \sqrt{S/4\pi}$, where $S$ is the surface area of the star, as a sufficiently good approximation.

We also compare the tidal deformabilities related to different models. The tidal deformability $\lambda$, which represent the reaction of the star on the external tidal field (such as that in a tight binary system) were obtained in the lowest order approximation by integrating the TOV equations supplemented by an additional equation for the second tidal Love number $k_2$ (Flanagan & Hinderer 2008; Van Oeveren & Friedman 2017), $\lambda = 2R^2k_2/3$, where $k_2$ is the quadrupole Love number (Love 1911) and $R$ is the non-rotating star radius. We use the normalized value of the $\lambda$ parameter, $\Delta \lambda = \lambda/(GM/c^2)^5$.

3. Results

Parametric models, denoted Model1 and Model2, are chosen in such a way to produce non-rotating $M(R)$ relations tracing the $\Delta R = \pm5\%$ outline of the SLy4 EOS non-rotating $M(R)$ sequence; they are plotted in the left panel in Fig. 1. At the present moment the data analysis methods allow us to treat objects with rotational frequency $\leq 800$ Hz, as was mentioned in the Sect. 1. Nevertheless, one can expect that future theoretical and observational progress will enable studies of NSs with larger spins. We therefore research a broader range of spin frequencies, 0–1400 Hz, as shown in the right panel in Fig. 1. The EOS parameters are collected in Table 1. In addition to the required difference in radius, we select the parameters such that the maximum mass $M_{\text{max}} > 2M_\odot$, and the speed of sound, $\sqrt{\partial P/\partial \rho}$, is always smaller than the speed of light $c$ for stable configurations.

3.1. Non-rotating neutron stars

The pressure-density $P(\rho)$ relations for non-rotating configurations are presented in Fig. 2. The two lower panels show the differences between the reference SLy4 model, Model1 (lower panel), and Model2 (middle panel). Model1 is initially stiffer than the SLy4 EOS, which provides a larger radius. For higher densities Model2 becomes stiffer, which is necessary to reach the desired maximum mass.

3.2. Slowly-spinning neutron stars

As was mentioned in the Sect. 1, a full pulse profile analysis cannot be performed for NSs with rotational frequencies $\leq 300$ Hz because the signal cannot be distinguished from a sinusoid and its second harmonic is too weak to perform a Fourier decomposition. As a consequence, only compactness can be measured and is defined as $C = 2GM/R_{\text{mean}}c^2$ ratio, where $G$ is the gravitational constant, and $c$ is the speed of light. We analyse the influence of the measured compactness on global and central NS parameters.

In Fig. 3 we show the compactness as a function of the NS surface area. Selected values of constant $\rho_c$ are also indicated. Configurations with $C \geq 0.6$ are possible only for Model2. Additionally, if in addition to $C$ the rotational frequency $f$ is also known, the NS surface area can be estimated with $\sim 10\%$ accuracy for all values of $C$ and $f$. Unfortunately, obtaining the central parameters, such as the central density $\rho_c$, is difficult when only the compactness, instead of $M$ and $R$, is known. For example, a central density $\rho_c = 0.7 \times 10^{15}$ g cm$^{-3}$ spans $C$ between 0.2 and 0.3.

3.3. Unknown rotational frequency

We limit our analysis of rotating stars to axisymmetric, rigidly rotating, stable cases (frequencies below the Keplerian frequency). We have studied a wide range of possible spin frequencies and central parameters, as shown in the right panel on the Fig. 1. The fastest known pulsar, PSR J1748−2446ad (Hessels et al. 2006), rotates at 716 Hz. However, to fully cover the possible parameter space, we survey spin frequencies much higher than this current limit, up to 1200 Hz, which is just above the spin frequency of 1122 Hz that is suggested for XTE J1739−285 (reported by Kaaret et al. 2007, but not a confirmed burst oscillation frequency). The typical accuracy of the results, monitored by the GRV2 virial error, is of the order of $10^{-6}$. Figure 4 shows stable configurations for the three models: no
rotation (left panel), 700 Hz (middle panel), and 1200 Hz (right panel). For the 700 Hz spin frequency, the $M(R)$ relations for Model1 and Model2 go beyond the shaded region representing the tentative observational errors. The deviation is stronger for less-massive stars, $\lesssim 1.4M_\odot$. As expected, for extremely rapidly rotating NSs (1200 Hz) only objects with large masses, $\approx 2M_\odot$, are able to counterbalance the centrifugal force; all stable Model1 and Model2 configurations are outside the $\Delta R = \pm 5\%$ region. In the following, we discuss the scenario in which, when the measurements of spin are uncertain, configurations of different EOS and spin may have the same mass and radius. Such ambiguous configurations are indicated with symbols on Fig. 4.

For example, at $R_{\text{mean}} \approx 10.6\ km$ and $\mathcal{M} \approx 2.05\ M_\odot$ (denoted by a black dot), three different NSs are possible: a non-rotating configuration described by Model1, the reference model SLy4 rotating at $f = 700\ Hz$, and Model2 at $f = 1200\ Hz$. Such a setup corresponds to a situation in which the masses and radii are determined, but the spin frequency is unknown, for example for a NS in a binary system for which bursts are observed, but which is not observed as a pulsar. We compare these configurations in the $P(n_b)$ plane in Fig. 5.

This illustrates the importance of the spin information for the inference of the EOS from observations. The most extreme example is shown in the left panel of Fig. 5: the accuracy of the estimation of the central density between Model1 with $f = 0\ Hz$, and Model2 with $f = 1200\ Hz$ is approximately 40%. Additionally, the pressure difference between the Model1 ($f = 0\ Hz$) and SLy4 ($f = 700\ Hz$) configurations is almost 20%. Such an ambiguous relation between low-mass models at $f = 1200\ Hz$ is approximately 40%. Additionally, the pressure difference between the Model1 ($f = 0\ Hz$) and SLy4 ($f = 700\ Hz$) configurations is almost 20%.

Fig. 2. Upper panel: $P(\rho)$ profiles corresponding to the $M(R)$ relations from the left panel of Fig. 1, with the SLy4 model denoted by a red solid line, Model1 by a green dashed line, and Model2 by a blue dash-dotted line. Thick semi-transparent lines denote $P(\rho)$ ranges for the two components of the GW170817 binary neutron star system (Abbott et al. 2017a, with mass estimates using the low-spin priors). We also plot the pressure difference between the SLy4 model and Model1 (dashed green line, middle panel) and Model2 (dash-dotted blue line, lower panel).

Fig. 4. Stable mass-radius relations for three models: SLy4 model (red solid line), Model1 (green dashed line), Model2 (blue dash-dotted line), and three different EOS: 0 Hz (left panel), 700 Hz (middle panel), and 1200 Hz (right panel). Shaded regions correspond to $\Delta R = \pm 5\%$ of radii measurements for the non-rotating SLy4 model. We note that some lines of stable configurations with different EOS and $f$, but similar masses and radii can cross each other. Such cases are denoted by markers: black dots correspond to $R_{\text{mean}} \approx 10.6\ km$ and $\mathcal{M} \approx 2.05\ M_\odot$, red stars to $R_{\text{mean}} \approx 11.9\ km$ and $\mathcal{M} \approx 1.76\ M_\odot$, green diamonds to $R_{\text{mean}} \approx 12.5\ km$ and $\mathcal{M} \approx 1.06\ M_\odot$, and blue triangles to $R_{\text{mean}} \approx 11.9\ km$ and $\mathcal{M} \approx 0.91\ M_\odot$. Models with a fixed mass and radius may thus belong to configurations with different EOS, depending on the value of the spin. This adds additional ambiguity to the determination of the EOS from mass and radius measurements, if the rotation rate of the star is not known.
As was mentioned in Sect. 3.2, a precise measurement of compactness $C$ leads to a ±10% accuracy in the surface area estimation, if $f$ is known. This is comparable with the result that we get for low-mass NSs with unknown spins.

We also investigate central EOS parameters (pressure $P$, density $\rho$, and the baryon density $n_b$) for various masses, spin frequencies, and EOS models. Their behaviour as a function of $f$ strongly depends on the $M$: for low-mass stars central EOS parameters are almost the same for a broad range of $f$ (Fig. 8). For $M = 1 M_\odot$, for all EOSs and $f$, $P_c \approx 7 \times 10^{15} \text{ dyn cm}^{-2}$ with negligible errors, $\rho_c \approx 0.75 \times 10^{15} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ±10% and $n_c \approx 4.1 \times 0.1 \text{ fm}^{-3}$ ±15%. For NSs with masses $\geq 2 M_\odot$, above few hundreds Hz, the decrease in the values of central parameters, with increasing rotational frequency, is rather fast especially for Model2. For example, the difference in $P_c$ between 0 Hz and 1400 Hz configurations is almost five times smaller (Fig. 8). This case, once again, shows the importance of the knowledge of $f$.

Large uncertainties also exist abetwen the interiors of various models: the difference between SLy4 and Model2 is ~40% in $P_c$ and ~35% in $\rho_c$ and $n_c$. The difference between SLy4 and Model1 is slightly less: ~27% in $P_c$ and ~20% in $\rho_c$ and $n_c$. Compared to global parameters $O$ and $S$, estimates of $P_c$, $\rho_c$, and $n_c$ result in larger differences. For larger spin frequencies, the central parameters converge to similar values.

Table 2. Parameters (rotational frequency $f$, surface area $S$, oblateness $O$, $T/W$ ratio, and angular momentum $J$) of NSs indicated in Fig. 4 with the mean radius $R_{\text{mean}} \approx 10.6 \text{ km}$ and mass $M \approx 2.05 M_\odot$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$f$ (Hz)</th>
<th>$S$ ($10^3 \text{ km}^2$)</th>
<th>$O$</th>
<th>$T/W$</th>
<th>$J$ $(GM_\odot^2/c)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SLy4</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1.4160</td>
<td>0.9463</td>
<td>0.0172</td>
<td>1.0552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.4520</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model2</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1.4958</td>
<td>0.8109</td>
<td>0.0614</td>
<td>1.8948</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and massive NSs, as shown in Figs. 6 and 7. Grey vertical lines correspond to PSR J1748–2446ad. As expected, from these two figures one can notice that NSs with masses $\approx 1 M_\odot$ reach the mass-shedding frequency much faster (≈750 Hz for Model1 and ≈850 Hz for Model2) than in the case of massive NSs. If PSR J1748–2446ad is a light NS, its oblateness is between 0.73 and 0.83. In other words, a ±5% accuracy in the radius measurement leads to ±8% accuracy in $O$ and to ±10% accuracy in $S$ estimation. For stars with $M = 2 M_\odot$, the dependence of oblateness on EOSs is much weaker: at the spin frequency of PSR J1748–2446ad the oblateness is around 0.95 for all three models (±1% accuracy). For the faster rotation, $f \approx 1200$ Hz, the error of the $O$ increases up to ±11%.

The NS surface area for masses $M \approx 1 M_\odot$ is almost constant with increasing rotational frequency. A significant increase of $S$ appears for $f \geq 650$ Hz, close to the mass-shedding limit. Massive NSs are also not very susceptible to centrifugal force deformations. Significant deformations occur at $\approx 1000$ Hz. For the whole range of $f$ (for NSs with $M \approx 1 M_\odot$ and $M \approx 2 M_\odot$), $S$ changes about ±10%. For PSR J1748–2446ad, for which the mass is unknown, the error in the surface area estimation is large: $S$ for Model2 $2 M_\odot$ NS is twice as small as for Model1 $1 M_\odot$ NS. As was mentioned in Sect. 3.2, a precise measurement of compactness $C$ leads to a ±10% accuracy in the surface area estimation, if $f$ is known. This is comparable with the result that we get for low-mass NSs with unknown spins.

Fig. 5. $P(n_b)$ profiles for NSs indicated in Fig. 4 with corresponding markers. Objects have the same $M$ and $R$, but different spin frequencies $f$ and EOSs.

Fig. 6. Oblateness $O$ vs. rotational frequency for SLy4 model (solid red line), Model1 (dashed green line), and Model2 (dash-dotted blue line) for $1 M_\odot$ and $2 M_\odot$ masses. The frequency of PSR J1748–2446ad (716 Hz) is shown with the grey vertical line.

Fig. 7. Surface area $S$ vs. rotational frequency for the SLy4 model (solid red line), Model1 (dashed green line), and Model2 (dash-dotted blue line) for $1 M_\odot$ and $2 M_\odot$ masses.
3.4. Known rotational frequency

In Fig. 9, the relation between central pressure $P_c$ and mass $M$ is shown for different spin frequencies. The value $P_c$ slowly increases up to the mass $\approx 1.9 M_\odot$, whereas for higher $M$ the growth of $P_c(M)$ is rapid. This is reflected in the uncertainty of the central parameters: for $M \lesssim 1.9 M_\odot$, the differences between models for $P_c$ and $\rho_c$ are around 50%, if $f$ is known, and increase to 85% if $f$ is unknown. The increasing uncertainty in central parameters at higher masses is related to the softening of the $M(P_c)$ curve due to general-relativistic effects near the maximum mass. Although massive stars are much more interesting from the point of view of the dense-matter EOS, they are more challenging to study than low-mass NSs.

In Fig. 10, the global angular momentum $J$ for NSs with $M = 1 M_\odot$ and $2 M_\odot$ is shown. For a specified mass, results for all three models are very similar for frequencies $\lesssim 1000$ Hz. Above this point one can observe a fast increase in $J$ until the object reaches a Keplerian frequency. Differences between models for low-mass and slowly rotating massive NS are much smaller than for rapidly rotating massive stars. The largest differences, about $\pm 20\%$, are present for sub-millisecond rotation rates.

According to Yagi & Yunes (2013a) there exists a universal (independent of the EOS) relation between the quadrupole moment $Q$ and the moment of inertia $I$. It might be used, for example, to determine rotational frequencies of NSs or distinguish between so-called normal NSs and strange stars (see e.g. Urbanec et al. 2013; Yagi & Yunes 2013a), or employed in the description of binary NS inspiral waveforms. We use the following normalization: $\tilde{I} = I/M^3$ and $\tilde{Q} = Q/(M^3\chi^2)$, where $M$ is gravitational mass, $\chi = J/M^2$, and $J$ is angular momentum of the object. Our results presented in Fig. 11 show the $\tilde{I}$ vs $\tilde{Q}$ relation for the three models for two different spin frequencies: 700 and 1200 Hz.
Table 3. Parameters of the three polytropes employed for the EOSs of Model3 and Model4 (indices correspond to the number of the polytrope).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Par.</th>
<th>Model3</th>
<th>Model4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_1$</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_2$</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_3$</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_{b,12}$</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_{b,23}$</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{b,1}$</td>
<td>1.017369</td>
<td>1.015574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{b,2}$</td>
<td>1.016012</td>
<td>1.023991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{b,3}$</td>
<td>1.002758</td>
<td>1.022353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\kappa_1$</td>
<td>0.008679</td>
<td>0.006593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\kappa_2$</td>
<td>0.009633</td>
<td>0.002100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\kappa_3$</td>
<td>0.01503</td>
<td>0.002694</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 12. Central density $\rho_c$ vs. rotational frequency for the SLy4 EOS (red), Model1 EOS (green), Model2 EOS (blue), Model3 EOS (purple), and Model4 EOS (orange), for $2 M_\odot$. The results are consistent with the $I$–$Q$ relation. This is especially true for massive stars (occupying the lower right corner of Fig. 11), which are less deformed by the centrifugal force.

3.5. Radius measurements with $\pm 10\%$ uncertainty

As mentioned in Sect. 1, $\pm 5\%$ errors in $R$ may be considered too optimistic and a $\pm 10\%$ uncertainty is a more realistic value (Lo et al. 2013; Miller & Lamb 2016). In order to study this case two additional piecewise polytropic EOSs with the SLy4 EOS crust were constructed. The parameters of the Model3 and Model4 EOSs are collected in Table 3.

Model3 and Model4 were chosen to reproduce $\Delta R = \pm 10\%$ errors of the radius measurements of the non-rotating reference SLy4 model. Results, as before, depend on the NS mass. Trends are similar to the $\pm 5\%$ case; NSs with masses $\approx 1 M_\odot$ are more favourable for the estimations of the central parameters such as $P_c, \rho_c, n_c$, whereas massive stars produce small errors in the determination of global parameters such as $O$ and $S$. As expected, most of the uncertainties increase with increasing $\Delta R$.

For low-mass NSs, the accuracy of the $O$ determination is comparable with the result for the $\Delta R = \pm 5\%$ assumption; the oblateness for NSs with masses $\approx 1 M_\odot$ depends weakly on the accuracy of the $R$ measurements. For massive NSs, the $O$ uncertainty is $\approx 3\%$ for the frequency of the fastest known pulsar and $\approx 18\%$ for 1200 Hz (for the $\pm 5\%$ case the values were 1% and 11%, respectively). The errors in the estimation of the surface area $S$ do not depend on frequency: for the whole spin range they are similar and around 22% (twice what they are in the case of $\Delta R = \pm 5\%$).

As expected, uncertainties in the central parameters are smaller for low-mass stars. For NSs with $M \approx 1 M_\odot$: $P_c \approx 7 \times 10^{35}$ dyn cm$^{-2}$ with negligible errors (similar as for $\Delta R = \pm 5\%$), $\rho_c \approx 0.75 \times 10^{15}$ g cm$^{-3} \pm 30\%$ (10% in case $\Delta R = \pm 5\%$) and $n_c \approx 4.1 \times 0.1$ fm$^{-3} \pm 30\%$ (15% in case $\Delta R = \pm 5\%$). For massive stars again one can observe a very fast decrease in the central values with frequency, especially for Model4. An example of the central density $\rho_c$ as a function of the rotational frequency, for all
five models $2\,M_\odot$ NS, is shown in Fig. 12. Differences between SLy4 and Model4 are 64% in $P_c$, 38% in $\rho_c$, and 32% in $n_c$; differences between SLy4 and Model3 are 33% in $P_c$, 19% in $\rho_c$, and 15% in $n_c$.

3.6. Tidal deformability

We also investigate the recent gravitational-wave estimate of the tidal deformabilities in the GW170817 binary NS system. Fig. 13 reproduces the $A_1 - A_2$ relation for the deformabilities in the range of masses corresponding to the measured chirp mass $M = (M_1 M_2)^{3/5} / (M_1 + M_2)^{1/5} = 1.188^{+0.005}_{-0.004}\,M_\odot$ and the range of component masses in the case of low-spin priors, $M_1 = 1.36 - 1.60\,M_\odot$ and $M_2 = 1.17 - 1.36\,M_\odot$ (the definition of the tidal deformability $\Lambda$ was introduced in Sect. 2). For the three EOS models discussed in this work, the difference in radius $\Delta R = \pm 5\%$ is consistently reflected in the $\Delta \Lambda$ values, which are between 250 for 1.17 $M_\odot$, and 50 for 1.6 $M_\odot$. Increasing $\Delta R$ by a factor of two gives a two times larger $\Delta \Lambda$. This trend can be understood by analysing Fig. 2, where the $P(f)$ ranges for GW170817 component masses are shown, and in Fig. 13, where the relation between $R$, $M$, $\Lambda$, and $k_2$ are plotted. The EOSs yield, to first approximation, a constant difference in the stellar radius and have similar stiffness in the relevant mass ranges, which is reflected in the $k_2$ values, while the difference in $\Lambda$ between models is dominated by the $M^{-5}$ term. Regular behaviour of $\Lambda$ with $R$ and $M$ may be exploited to approximately reproduce $R$, given $\Lambda$ and $M$ values. A linear relation $R \approx a(M) \Lambda + b(M)$ (km), where $a(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{4} a_i M^i$, $b(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} b_i M^i$ ($a_0 = 0.1332$, $a_1 = -0.6426$, $a_2 = 1.1886$, $a_3 = -0.9855$, $a_4 = 0.3076$, $b_1 = -0.1133$, $b_2 = 9.9216$, $M$ is the $M_\odot$ units) recovers the values of radii $R$ for the models considered here with an error of typically 0.1 km.

4. Discussion

In this work we have estimated how much information about the EOS parameters can be drawn from current and future measurements of neutron star radii, assuming a target accuracy of about 5%. To address this question, we have compared the widely accepted SLy4 EOS (Douchin & Haensel 2001), treated as a reference EOS, with two parametric EOSs designed to yield TOV $M(R)$ sequences with $\pm 5\%$ of the SLy4 radius.

We also considered the influence of rigid axysymmetric rotation on the global properties of the NSs and their central EOS parameters. In some cases, certain configurations may mimic others with different rotational frequencies and EOSs. We show that even if $M$ is established precisely and errors are present only in the measurement of $R$, the lack of the information about $f$ lead to 40% error in central pressure estimation (see Figs. 4 and 5).

Rapid rotation may be a crucial factor in the distinction between NSs with different EOSs. For spins comparable with the rotational frequency of the fastest known pulsar (716 Hz for PSR J1748–2446ad), the radii deviate by more than 5% from the reference SLy4 radius for stars with masses $\leq 1.4\,M_\odot$, whereas for $f = 1200$ Hz the radius difference is larger than 5% for the whole available NS mass range. As expected, for low-mass stars, rotation manifests itself strongly by deforming the star, but the central parameters are almost unchanged. A $5\%$ accuracy in the measurement of $R$ leads to $8-10\%$ errors in the estimation of the stellar oblateness $O$ and surface area $S$. Even if the rotational frequency of these stars is unknown, their central properties can be established with $\pm 10\%$ accuracy. For more massive stars, with masses $\approx 2\,M_\odot$ and known rotational frequency $f$, the uncertainties are much higher, up to $40\%$.

For cases when only compactness can be measured (e.g. because of the slow rotation of the object), it is possible to estimate surface area with $\sim 10\%$ accuracy for sources with known spin frequency.

We take as an example PSR J1748–2446ad, and show how limited our reconstruction of the properties of a compact object is, when only its rotational frequency is known. Assuming, for the sake of an example, that the SLy4 EOS is the true EOS, one can expect that the oblateness lies between 0.72 and 0.97 for masses between 1 and 2 $M_\odot$. If we assume $\pm 5\%$ observational uncertainty on radius, it leads to an $\sim 30\%$ accuracy in the determination of $P_c$, $\approx 20\%$ for $n_c$ and $\approx 50\%$ accuracy for $P_c$, if this object is massive. If its mass is only $\approx 1\,M_\odot$, the central parameters for various models are very similar to each other.

We repeated our study for the $\Delta R = 10\%$ case. As expected, uncertainties on the central and global parameters increase. Oblateness $O$ can be estimated with $\pm 18\%$ and $\pm 22\%$ error, which are approximately twice the measurement uncertainties of the $\pm 5\%$ case. The accuracy of the estimation of the central parameters depends on the NS mass. For low-mass stars $P_c$ can be estimate with negligible errors, and $P_c$ and $n_c$ with $\pm 30\%$ uncertainties. For massive NSs errors are up to 64% in $P_c$, 38% in $\rho_c$, and 32% in $n_c$. These results suggest that increasing $\Delta R$ by a factor of two decreases the accuracy of the estimation of the NS central parameters by a factor of $\sim 2-3$.

We also estimate the effect of the radius uncertainty on the tidal deformability $\Lambda$, using as an example the estimated masses of the components from the GW170817 event (Abbott et al. 2017a). The $\pm 5\%$ radius difference of the parametric models with respect to the SLy4 model results in $\Delta \Lambda$ between 250 at 1.17 $M_\odot$ and 50 at 1.6 $M_\odot$ (these values are two times higher for $\Delta R = \pm 10\%$), and since the EOSs possess similar stiffness in the relevant regimes and hence similar behaviour of the tidal Love numbers $k_i$ (Figs. 2 and 13), the $\Delta \Lambda$ is mostly influenced by the dependence of $\Lambda$ on mass $M$.

Linking the NS global parameters with the properties of the dense matter EOS is an area of active effort in view of forthcoming observational results. An extensive study on the full currently allowed range of NS radii will be the subject of future work.
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