Solar type III radio burst time characteristics at LOFAR frequencies and the implications for electron beam transport
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ABSTRACT

Context. Solar type III radio bursts contain a wealth of information about the dynamics of electron beams in the solar corona and the inner heliosphere; this information is currently unobtainable through other means. However, the motion of different regions of an electron beam (front, middle, and back) have never been systematically analysed before.

Aims. We characterise the type III burst frequency-time evolution using the enhanced resolution of LOFAR (LOw Frequency ARray) in the frequency range 30–70 MHz and use this to probe electron beam dynamics.

Methods. The rise, peak, and decay times with a ~0.2 MHz spectral resolution were defined for a collection of 31 type III bursts. The frequency evolution was used to ascertain the apparent velocities of the front, middle, and back of the type III sources, and the trends were interpreted using theoretical and numerical treatments.

Results. The type III time profile was better approximated by an asymmetric Gaussian profile and not an exponential, as was used previously. Rise and decay times increased with decreasing frequency and showed a strong correlation. Durations were shorter than previously observed. Drift rates from the rise times were faster than from the decay times, corresponding to inferred mean electron beam speeds for the front, middle, and back of 0.2, 0.17, 0.15 c, respectively. Faster beam speeds correlate with shorter type III durations. We also find that the type III frequency bandwidth decreases as frequency decreases.

Conclusions. The different speeds naturally explain the elongation of an electron beam in space as it propagates through the heliosphere. The expansion rate is proportional to the mean speed of the exciter; faster beams expand faster. Beam speeds are attributed to varying ensembles of electron energies at the front, middle, and back of the beam.
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1. Introduction

Type III radio bursts are understood to be signatures of accelerated electrons in the solar corona and interplanetary space. Analysis of their frequency-time profile allows electron beam characteristics to be diagnosed close to the Sun, where spacecraft are unable to make in situ plasma measurements. The rapid change in the frequency of these radio bursts is attributed to the high velocities of the electron beams, which are around 0.3c, where c is the speed of light. The time profiles at an individual frequency provides information about the spatial and energetic profiles of the electrons that participate in the plasma emission mechanism as well as the radio-wave escape from the turbulent solar corona.

The time profile of type III radio bursts has been studied by numerous authors (e.g. Hughes & Harkness 1963; Aubier & Boischot 1972; Evans et al. 1973; Alvarez & Haddock 1973a; Barrow & Achong 1975; Poquerusse 1977; McLean & Labrum 1985; Tsybko 1989; Mel’Nik et al. 2011) to investigate electron beam dynamics. At a given frequency, it was suggested early on (see Aubier & Boischot 1972, for a complete explanation) that the type III burst could be made up of an exciter function followed by an exponential decay, as there is an observed asymmetry in the type III light curve. It was believed that the exciter envelope was possibly driven by the motion of the electron beam, whilst the damping exponential was driven by a separate process. Consequently, the bulk of the time profile analysis was heavily focussed in this idea, to the detriment of analysing the rise time of the radio burst profile before the peak time.

One initial theory for the damping time, and motivation for an exponential function, was that collisional damping was responsible and so plasma temperatures could be inferred (see Riddle 1974, for a discussion). Temperatures that were similar to coronal temperatures were inferred at high frequencies. However, studies (e.g. Evans et al. 1973; Alvarez & Haddock 1973a; Takakura et al. 1975; Poquerusse et al. 1984; Ratcliffe et al. 2014) found that collisional times cannot correctly explain radio burst decay times correctly, particularly near 1 AU.

Large-scale density inhomogeneity reduces the energy of the beam and must play a key role in establishing the decay profile. As an electron beam exchanges energy with Langmuir waves during propagation, these waves are refracted to lower phase velocities by the large-scale density inhomogeneity in the radially decreasing solar corona and solar wind (e.g. Kontar 2001a). Langmuir waves are absorbed by the background plasma, depleting energy from the beam-plasma system (e.g. Kontar & Reid 2009; Reid & Kontar 2013). Density inhomogeneities can suppress the generation of radio emission in the presence of significant Langmuir wave populations (Ratcliffe & Kontar 2014). The characteristic time for density inhomogeneity tracked the half-width, half-maximum decay time of simulated type III bursts (Ratcliffe et al. 2014). The simulated time profiles were nearly symmetric around the peak time, and so density
inhomogeneity might not be the dominant process when the time profile is highly asymmetric.

The scattering of light from source to observer (Steinberg et al. 1971; Riddle 1972) has also been suggested to account for the exponential decay time seen in radio bursts, particularly at lower frequencies where the time profile is increasingly asymmetric. Moreover, the early observations were unable to distinguish intrinsic and radio-wave propagation effects. Recent imaging and spectroscopic observations of the fine structures (Kontar et al. 2017) demonstrated that radio-wave propagation effects, and not the properties of the intrinsic emission source, dominate the observed spatial characteristics and hence play an important role for the time profile.

The characteristic exponential decay time that was found from fits to radio bursts increases as a function of decreasing frequency (e.g. Aubier & Boischot 1972; Evans et al. 1973; Alvarez & Haddock 1973a; Barrow & Achron 1975; Poquerusse 1977). This increase can be fit with a power law over a wide range of frequencies (Alvarez & Haddock 1973a), finding a spectral index close to one. At different frequencies, the decay time has been found to be proportional to the duration of type III bursts, using the full-width at half-maximum of the flux profile. Later, Poquerusse (1977) found the same relation at a single frequency, around 169 MHz, and proposed that both quantities are linked to the electron beam dynamics at this frequency rather than the decay constant being linked to the ambient plasma, as was previously expected. Whether this result holds true at lower frequencies when the time profile is more asymmetrical has not been researched.

The exciter profile of the type III bursts (rise above background until part way through the decay phase) follows the same trend, increasing as a function of decreasing frequency (e.g. Aubier & Boischot 1972; Evans et al. 1973; Barrow & Achron 1975). The study by (Evans et al. 1973) fit a linear relation as a function of decreasing frequency between 2.8–0.067 MHz. The exciter times do not analyse the rise time, that is, the rate of increase before the peak flux, of the type III bursts. This has not been adequately researched in the literature. Poquerusse (1977) approximated the rise time at 169 MHz using the slope of the linear rise, finding it to scale with the slope of the decay, although with asymmetry.

The drift rate $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$ (measured in MHz s$^{-1}$) is typically found using the peak time in each frequency channel. A study by Alvarez & Haddock (1973b) collated numerous observations to plot the change in drift rate as a function of frequency, finding a power law over four orders of magnitude between 1000–0.1 MHz, such that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = -0.01 f^{1/64}$, where $f$ is measured in MHz and $t$ is measured in seconds. Since then, studies (e.g. Achron & Barrow 1975; Mel’Nik et al. 2011) have found drift rates that are slightly lower than predicted by Alvarez & Haddock (1973b) at frequencies above 10 MHz.

The velocity of electron beams is typically deduced using the drift rate and assumptions regarding the background density model and emission mechanism. Typical velocities are around 0.3 c but can have a broad range from 0.1–0.5c (Suzuki & Dulk 1985; Reid & Ratcliffe 2014; Carley et al. 2016). Whilst not being mono-energetic, single velocities are usually derived from radio bursts. Electrons over a range of velocities are believed to collectively move in a beam-plasma structure (e.g. Ryutov & Sagdeev 1970; Kontar et al. 1998; Mel’Nik et al. 1999) at the average velocity of the participating electrons. The velocity of electron beams as derived from type III bursts has been reported to be slowing down as the beams propagate through the heliosphere (Fainberg et al. 1972; Krupar et al. 2015; Reiner & Mac Dowall 2015). The drift rate has been investigated using the onset time rather than the peak time observationally (Fainberg et al. 1972; Dulk et al. 1987; Reiner & Mac Dowall 2015) and numerically (Li & Cairns 2013), and a faster velocity was obtained, postulating that the front of the electron beam travels with a faster velocity. Derived electron beam velocities are also dependent upon the conditions of the background plasma, with Li & Cairns (2014) showing that a background Kappa distribution resulted in faster derived velocities than when the background had a Maxwellian distribution.

The bandwidth of the type III bursts (the instantaneous width in frequency space) has rarely been analysed. A broad instrumental coverage in frequency space is required to ascertain the spectral width. The coherent plasma emission mechanism causes the intensity of radio emission to increase as a function of decreasing frequency down to 1 MHz (e.g. Dulk et al. 1998; Krupar et al. 2014). The spectral extent of the radio burst therefore needs to be defined carefully. The bandwidth of type III bursts typically decreases as a function of decreasing frequency. An early study by Hughes & Harkness (1963) found a bandwidth of 100 MHz with a minimum frequency of 100 MHz, although they did not state exactly how the minimum and maximum frequencies were defined from the light curve. Mel’Nik et al. (2011) reported a bandwidth from 20 MHz with frequency 27 MHz down to 10 MHz at frequency 12 MHz, although again they did not state how they defined the bandwidth. Mel’Nik et al. (2011) also provided the relation $\Delta f = 0.6 f_{pe}$, where $f_{pe} = \sqrt{4\pi e^2 n_e / m_e}$ for the bandwidth, assuming fundamental emission at $f_{pe}$ for a background electron density $n_e$.

In this work, we analyse type III profiles and find rise, peak, and decay times between 70–30 MHz. The LOFAR observations allow estimates for these quantities with a high degree of accuracy. After explaining how we use the observations in Sect. 2, we present the temporal observations in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4 we show how these quantities drift through time and frequency and use the results to discuss the electron beam parameters in Sect. 5. We explain how the electrons move through space in Sect. 6, and conclude with our results in Sect. 7.

2. Observations

2.1. Event list

We used a selection of type III bursts observed by LOFAR (van Haarlem et al. 2013) between April–Sept 2015. LOFAR was only observing for two-hour intervals on specific days. As our goal was to characterise the behaviour of individual events, we only selected isolated type III bursts. We thus ignored type III bursts that overlapped with other radio activity, showed too much fine structure, or were too faint to be detected between frequencies 70–30 MHz. We selected 31 type III bursts that satisfied these criteria.

The LOFAR observations were taken using a time resolution of about 0.01 s. To improve the signal-to-noise ratio, the observations were integrated to a time resolution of about 0.1 s. The frequency range around 80–30 MHz was covered by 258 sub-bands, each with 16 channels. Again, to improve the signal-to-noise ratio, we integrated over each channel to use the 0.195 MHz sub-band frequency resolution. The sub-band frequency resolution is comparable to the frequency resolution of similar instruments (e.g. the Nançay Decametre Array), but the enhanced signal-to-noise ratio resolution provides light curves with a small standard deviation for an accurate fitting analysis. The dynamic spectra
were taken as an average over 169 dynamic spectra using the LOFAR tied-array mode (Stappers et al. 2011), pointed at different positions across the solar disc (e.g. Morosan et al. 2014; Reid & Kontar 2017a). Whilst we used measurements between 80–30 MHz to obtain our results, the number of radio bursts with a high signal-to-noise ratio above 70 MHz was small. We therefore only report the trends in characteristic times between 70–30 MHz.

2.2. Type III flux profile

Type III flux profiles as a function of time and frequency provide information on the dynamics of electron beams that propagate through the solar corona and the heliosphere. In this study, we are particularly interested in the rise, peak, and decay times at individual frequencies, together with the variation of these parameters as a function of frequency.

Whilst obtaining these parameters directly from the data measurements is desirable, accuracy in time is restricted to the cadence of the measurements, and fluctuations in the data from noise can cause spurious results. Moreover, the background flux must be accounted for. To resolve these problems, we fit the flux as a function of time and obtained characteristic parameters from the fit.

Previous studies (e.g. Aubier & Boischot 1972; Evans et al. 1973; Achong & Barrow 1975) have not characterised a rise or decay profiles, but assumed an exponential decay function (see e.g. Aubier & Boischot 1972; Evans et al. 1973, for an example). We make no such assumptions and use the half-width, half-maximum (HWHM) to characterise rise and decay. Whilst the exponential function is a reasonable approximation for the decay constant, it is not a good envelope for the entire flux profile. The peak of the type III burst time profile with a high temporal resolution typically has a rounded top that is inconsistent with exponential decay.

To assess whether an exponential or Gaussian function best characterises the type III flux profile, we first subtracted a background $B(f)$ from the type III flux profiles. We found the background for each frequency sub-band by taking the mean flux over a period of 3–5 min when there was reduced activity during the observations. The background $B(f)$ is assumed to be constant in time. The LOFAR observations of type III burst time profile (Kontar et al. 2017) suggest an asymmetric time profile at 30–40 MHz. Therefore, we fit the intensity of the type III bursts for each frequency using an exponential function of the asymmetric form

$$I(t) = A \exp \left( -\frac{t - t_0}{\tau} \right), \quad \tau = \begin{cases} \tau_r, & \text{if } t \leq t_0 \\ \tau_d, & \text{if } t > t_0 \end{cases}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

A different characteristic rise and decay is required to capture the asymmetry in the type III flux around the peak time. We also fit the type III bursts using a Gaussian function of the form

$$I(t) = A \exp \left( -\frac{(t - t_0)^2}{2\tau^2} \right), \quad \tau = \begin{cases} \tau_r, & \text{if } t \leq t_0 \\ \tau_d, & \text{if } t > t_0 \end{cases}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

All fitting in this work was done using mpfitfun and mpfitexy (Markwardt 2009), which provides an associated statistical error on each fitting parameter.

An example flux profile is shown in Fig. 1 along with a Gaussian and exponential fit to the data. The rise and decay times, $t_r$ and $t_d$, were characterised using the half-width, half-maximum from each fit (e.g. for the rise time, exponential

$$t_r = \tau_r \log(2),$$

and Gaussian $t_r = \tau_r \sqrt{2 \log(2)}$). We also found the HWHM directly from the data using the point farthest from the peak with a flux of at least 50% of the peak flux. A comparison found that the Gaussian fit was substantially closer to the HWHM found from the data. The exponential function typically underestimated the rise and decay times, as shown in Fig. 1. The decay time from the exponential fit for a few radio bursts better agreed with the HWHM found from the data. These bursts typically had a larger asymmetry, with the decay times being notably longer than the rise times. However, for consistency, we used a Gaussian fit for all the radio burst time profiles.

Characterising the rise, peak, and decay times allows us to see how each characteristic time drifts as a function of frequency. Figure 1 shows that these times result in three different drift rates for a single type III burst. The duration $t_D$, found from the FWHM, is also indicated around 48 MHz.

The instantaneous bandwidth of the type III radio burst, that is, the width of the type III burst in frequency space, can be found at each point in time. There is no standard definition as to how the instantaneous bandwidth is found in the literature. We introduce here a technique to define the bandwidth using the type III
rise and decay times. At each point in time, we find the highest type III frequency by finding the highest frequency that has not stopped emitting using \(t_0 + t_d\). Similarly, we find the lowest type III frequency by finding the lowest frequency that has started emitting using \(t_0 - t_r\). The bandwidth is defined as the difference between the highest and lowest frequency.

For the results in this study, we therefore use the Gaussian fit described by Eq. (2) to obtain the parameters of interest for the dynamic spectrum. The following type III parameters are found from the fits:

- The rise time \(t_r\) is found at \(t < t_0\) from HWHM, \(\tau_r \sqrt{2 \log(2)}\).
- The decay time \(t_d\) is found at \(t > t_0\) from the HWHM \(\tau_d \sqrt{2 \log(2)}\).
- The duration \(t_d\) is found using the full-width half-maximum (FWHM).
- The drift rate is found from the change in rise, peak, and decay time as a function of frequency.
- The spread in the decay times is indicated by the standard deviation. The red dashed lines show the fits from Evans et al. (1973) and Alvarez & Haddock (1973a), respectively, assuming a HWHM of \(t_d = \tau_d \log(2)\), from the derived exponential profiles \(\tau_d\).

\[
\bar{t_r} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (t_r_i \sigma_r^{-2})}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\sigma_r^{-2})},
\]

where \(\sigma_r^{-2}\) is the variance associated with \(t_{ri}\), obtained from fitting the type III burst profile using mpfit, and the weighted function is \(w_i = 1/\sigma_r^{-2}\).

The mean rise time increases as a function of decreasing frequency. We have fitted the change in rise time using a power-law function such that

\[
t_r = (1.5 \pm 0.1) \left( \frac{f}{30 \text{ MHz}} \right)^{-0.77 \pm 0.14}.
\]

The error on the rise time for each individual burst is very small, but there is a significant spread in the rise times over all radio bursts, indicated by the standard deviation in the rise times in Fig. 2. Consequently, we used the standard deviation of the frequency and rise times as an error for the fit to account for this wide range in rise times.

Whilst the mean rise time increases as a function of decreasing frequency, the rise time does not increase in such a smooth manner for individual type III bursts. The bursty nature in the dynamic spectrum of type IIIIs causes the rise time in some frequency ranges to have constant rise times or steeply increasing rise times, or even decreasing rise times.

3.2. Decay time

The decay time, \(t_d\), for each frequency is determined from the HWHM of the flux after \(t_0\), the time of peak flux. Figure 2 shows the variation in the mean decay time as a function of frequency over all type III radio bursts. The mean is calculated from a weighted function of each radio burst using Eq. (3) with \(t_{di}\) instead of \(t_{ri}\). The spread in the decay times is indicated by the standard deviation in the decay times in Fig. 2.

We have fitted the decay time using a power-law function such that

\[
t_d = (2.2 \pm 0.2) \left( \frac{f}{30 \text{ MHz}} \right)^{-0.89 \pm 0.15}.
\]

We used the standard deviation of the frequency and decay time as an error for the fit. The spectral index \(b\) captures the general trend that the decay time increases as a function of decreasing frequency. The decay times are slightly shorter than the decay times found from numerical simulations of a type III burst (Ratcliffe et al. 2014), which had a decay of 1 s around 100 MHz and 8 s around 10 MHz.

We can compare our results to the previous fit found from Alvarez & Haddock (1973a), who used an exponential function to obtain a characteristic decay constant \(\tau_d\). They fit data from multiple studies between the frequencies 0.06–200 MHz (only two data points below 0.2 MHz), finding \(\tau_d = 4f^{-0.95}\) for the
frequency $f$ (per 30 MHz). We scaled $\tau_d$ to the HWHM using $t_d = \tau_d \log(2)$. The corresponding fit is over-plotted in Fig. 2. The slope agrees well with our observations, being within one standard deviation, but the absolute magnitude is higher.

The characteristic decay constant $\tau_D$ for type III bursts was also analysed by Evans et al. (1973), but between the frequencies 2.8–0.067 MHz. They used a similar exponential fit, finding $\tau_D = (1.4^{+0.5}_{-0.3}) f^{-1.09^{+0.05}_{-0.05}}$ for the frequency $f$ (per 30 MHz). Again, we scaled $\tau_D$ to the HWHM using $t_d = \tau_D \log(2)$. Overplotting the fit on Fig. 2 shows that extrapolating the fit from lower frequencies back to higher frequencies does not obtain an accurate prediction. The comparison suggests that a single power-law might not capture the increase in the decay rate as a function of decreasing frequency from the corona (e.g. 50 MHz) all the way out into interplanetary space (e.g. 50 kHz).

3.3. Asymmetry in the time profile

Type III time profiles typically show asymmetry, with the decay time being longer than the rise time. Figure 3 shows the rise time plotted against the decay time along with the associated errors from the fitting. The asymmetry is clear, with the decay time being longer than the rise time in 77% of the time profiles. The Pearson correlation coefficient is 0.51 and does not change much when considering the asymmetry of the rise and decay time at individual frequencies.

A correlation has been shown between the mean duration and the mean decay constant for type III bursts as a function of frequency (e.g. Aubier & Boischot 1972; Barrow & Achong 1975; Poquerusse 1977; Abrami et al. 1990). In a similar vein, Fig. 3 shows that the mean rise time and mean decay time are correlated, with a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.95. We have fitted the ratio using a power-law function such that

$$ t_r = (1.33 \pm 0.07) \left( \frac{f}{30 \text{ MHz}} \right)^{-0.86 \pm 0.11} $$

using the standard deviation of both variables as an error for the fit. Figure 3 also shows the general trend of an increase in the ratio of decay time over rise time as the frequency decreases.

3.4. Duration

We classify the type III duration, $t_D$, as the full-width, half-maximum (FWHM) of the type III bursts. Figure 4 shows the variation in the duration as a function of frequency over all the type III bursts, including the corresponding standard deviations. We have fitted the duration using a power-law function such that

$$ t_D = (3.7 \pm 0.2) \left( \frac{f}{30 \text{ MHz}} \right)^{-0.68 \pm 0.07} $$

The standard deviation of frequency and duration have been used to calculate the errors. The power-law fit shows the general trend of an increasing duration as a function of decreasing frequency.

To compare with other observations, we over-plotted the FWHM as derived by Aubier & Boischot (1972); Barrow & Achong (1975); Tsybko (1989); Mel’Nik et al. (2011) at similar frequencies. We also show the fits for duration as a function of frequency (per 30 MHz) of $t_D = 7.3 f^{-1}$, reported by Suzuki & Dulk (1985) and $t_D = 6.2 f^{-2/3}$, reported by Elgaroy & Lyngstad (1972). However, we note that the lower-frequency durations involved in the fit by Elgaroy & Lyngstad (1972) were taken from Alexander et al. (1969), who calculated decay times, not durations. The fit therefore underestimates the duration at the low frequencies, which might explain the smaller exponent.

We find that the previously reported mean durations are noticeably higher. Nevertheless, the standard deviations show a wide spread in the duration of the different type III bursts, with past observations being within one standard deviation from our
observational mean. Again, the large standard deviations in the duration highlight the spread in values over all the type III radio bursts. The duration of type III bursts must be dependent upon the properties of the electron beams that generated the radio bursts, and perhaps the background plasma properties. This is discussed in detail in Sect. 6.

4. Type III bandwidth and frequency drift

4.1. Bandwidth

The instantaneous bandwidth of a type III radio burst, that is, the width of the type III burst in frequency space, can be found when the highest and lowest frequencies are observed in the dynamic spectrum. As explained in Sect. 2, the bandwidth is defined by the frequency difference between the highest frequency that has not stopped emitting (using \( t_0 + t_d \)) and the lowest frequency that has started emitting (using \( t_0 - t_d \)). For each time \( t \), if there are no frequencies where either \( t_0 - t_d \) or \( t_0 + t_d \) can be found from the dynamic spectrum, then the bandwidth is not defined.

Figure 5 shows the variation in the bandwidth as a function of frequency for all the type III bursts. The bandwidths are lower than what has been reported by Hughes & Harkness (1963); Mel’Nik et al. (2011). However, this is likely related to their definition of the bandwidth: Hughes & Harkness (1963) used the minimum frequency to report the bandwidth, whilst we used the central frequency.

From numerical simulations, Ratcliffe et al. (2014) reported the bandwidth of a type III burst, also finding slightly higher bandwidths, with a relation of \( \Delta f = 0.57f \) at frequencies 20–100 MHz, slightly decreasing at lower frequencies. Again, the bandwidth was found in a slightly different way, using the FWHM in frequency space. We refrained from using this method as it will be affected by the reported type III burst tendency for the radio flux to increase with decreasing frequencies until

\[
\Delta f = \frac{f}{30 \text{ MHz}} A f^3.
\]

The fit obtained from Alvarez & Haddock (1973b) from the frequency (per 30 MHz) is \( \frac{\Delta f}{f} = -5.2f^{-1.84} \) and is shown for comparison in Fig. 6. The drift rates for the rise, peak, and decay times all have a noticeably lower magnitude than those observed by Alvarez & Haddock (1973b). These lower magnitudes agree with the type III drift rates found by Achong & Barrow (1975) between 18–36 MHz and the drift rates found by Mel’Nik et al. (2011) between 10–30 MHz for powerful (>1000 SFU) type III bursts.

The drift rates associated with the rise times are marginally higher than those of the peak and higher still than the decay times. The spectral index is slightly lower for all three times,
but is within at least two standard deviations of the slope found by Alvarez & Haddock (1973b).

The drift rates from the peak times are very similar to the drift rates obtained from peak times in the numerical simulations by Ratcliffe et al. (2014), with the power-law fit having almost identical parameters. The spread in the observed drift rates is too large to make any meaningful conclusions about whether multiple power-laws over different frequency ranges, used by Ratcliffe et al. (2014), would be a better fit than a single power-law fit. The drift rates are also similar to simulated type III bursts at 85 MHz and >100 MHz by Li et al. (2008); Li & Cairns (2013).

4.3. Drift rate and duration

The duration and drift rates of type III bursts are calculated from different aspects of the dynamic spectrum. It is not immediately obvious that they would be related, other than their dependence on frequency. The drift rate decreases as a function of frequency, as shown in Fig. 6. Similarly, the duration increases as a function of frequency, as shown in Fig. 4. We can therefore assume that the drift rate will decrease as the duration increases.

By characterising each individual type III bursts over the entire spectral range of 70–30 MHz, we can remove the frequency dependence. Figure 7 compares the mean duration with the mean drift rate found from the gradient of a linear fit to frequency with time. The trend is that radio bursts with faster drift rates have a shorter duration. The correlation coefficient is 0.73.

5. Electron beam velocities

5.1. Beam velocities

To explain the temporal behaviour of the type III emission as a function of frequency, we must consider the dynamics of the electron beam exciter and how it interacts with the background electron density to produce the Langmuir waves that are ultimately responsible for the observed radio waves. The type III drift rate is related to the background electron density gradient \( \frac{\partial n_e}{\partial x} \) and the electron beam velocity \( \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \) such that

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_e} \frac{\partial n_e}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial t},
\]

(9)

where \( x \) is the radial propagation distance and \( n_e \) is the background electron density that produces the radio frequency \( f \). Equation (9) shows that to obtain frequency drift rates with a smaller magnitude than (Alvarez & Haddock 1973b), we are observing either beams with lower velocities or flux tubes with shallower density gradients.

We can estimate the beam velocities with a straight line fit to distance and time using Eq. (9) if we assume the Parker (1958) density model using a normalisation coefficient given by Mann et al. (1999) and second-harmonic emission. The same density profile for all radio bursts introduces a non-statistical error into any beam velocities; the background density gradient probably does not change on timescales comparable to the electron beam velocity, but will be different from burst to burst. The peak time \( t_0 \) will provide an estimate of the velocity at the middle of the electron beam. The leading edge of the electron beam will cause the generation of the first radio waves in time. Consequently, a velocity for the front of the electron beam can be found using the

![Fig. 6. Drift rate as a function of frequency for all type III bursts for the rise (top), peak (middle), and decay (bottom) times. The red dashed line shows the fitted power-law to the data. The orange dashed line shows the fit from (Alvarez & Haddock 1973b). The reduced one-sigma errors are obtained from the fitting uncertainties in the drift rate, taking into account the fitting uncertainties in \( t_0 \).](image-url)
peak time minus the rise time, $t_0 - t_r$. Similarly, a velocity for the back of the beam can be found from the peak time plus the decay time, $t_0 + t_d$.

We calculated the velocities of the electron beams from the rise, peak, and decay times. Figure 8 shows the velocities for each type III burst using the Parker model. The mean and standard deviation for the velocities are shown in Table 2. The common trend is that the rise velocity is faster than the peak velocity, which in turn is faster than the decay velocity. This is expected behaviour as the bump-in-tail instability that drives the production of Langmuir waves is caused by faster electrons that outpace the slower electrons. The rise time depends upon the length of interval between the front and middle of the electron beam producing a significant radio emission at a certain frequency. If the rise time increases as a function of decreasing frequency, this time period increases with radial distance from the Sun. The velocity of the front of the electron beam will therefore be faster than the velocity of the middle of the electron beam. A similar effect occurs between the middle and back of the electron beam.

Our velocity calculations assumed a 1–1 relation between the intensity of Langmuir waves and the intensity of radio emission. Numerical simulations of type III bursts from an initial Maxwellian beam (e.g. Li et al. 2011) and power-law electron beams (Li et al. 2011; Ratcliffe et al. 2014) show close similarities between the speed of the electrons and the inferred speed of the exciter from synthetic radio emission. Langmuir waves $L$ excited by faster electrons at the front of the beam are attributed to the onset of fundamental radio emission in (Li & Cairns 2013) due to the process $L \rightarrow T + S$ for transverse waves $T$ and ion-sound waves $S$. However, there are differences. For example, the production of second-harmonic emission is intrinsically linked to the number of back-scattered Langmuir waves $L'$ that are able to take part in the $L + L' \rightarrow T$ process (Ratcliffe et al. 2014). Back-scattered Langmuir waves can be produced via Langmuir wave decay, involving ion-sound waves by the processes $L + S \rightarrow L'$ and $L \rightarrow L' + S$.

The velocity calculations assume that scattering effects of the radio emission from source to observer do not significantly influence the rise time or the decay time. When scattering is important, scattering is likely to broaden the time profile (Steinberg et al. 1971), decreasing the measured drift rate of type III bursts and slowing down any inferred velocities. The effect on the rise and decay drift rates might be different; quantifying these effects is beyond the scope of this work.

The decay time could also be related to Langmuir waves not being re-absorbed by the electron beam and lingering in space after the beam has moved on. In this case, the background density inhomogeneity will be the primary process in determining the exponential decay rate (e.g. Ratcliffe et al. 2014).

### 5.2. Velocity and duration

The velocity of the type III burst is directly related to the average drift rate. Given the observational correlation between drift rate and duration in Fig. 7, we show the peak velocity as a function of the duration for each type III burst in Fig. 9. The two variables are correlated with a coefficient of 0.73, giving the appearance that a faster electron beam creates radio emission with a shorter duration. The correlation is preserved if we use the front or back velocities, with the latter having a slightly higher correlation coefficient.

The simplest explanation for this correlation is that when the type III duration is shorter, higher-energy electrons are driving the radio emission within the FWHM of the type III burst. The corresponding duration that the electrons spend at any one point in space will therefore be shorter. We discuss the type III duration and electron beam transport in more depth in Sect. 6.3.

### 5.3. Beam length velocities

If the front of the electron beam travels faster than the back of the electron beam, then the electron beam will eventually be stretched radially in space to cover a greater length. The difference in electron beam velocity derived from the rise and decay times, $\Delta v = v_r - v_d$, drives this elongation such that the beam...
The length of the electron beam is directly related to the frequency bandwidth. This means that the change in frequency bandwidth as a function of peak frequency is connected to the length velocity. We can represent the frequency bandwidth using $\Delta f = f_r - f_p$, where $f_r$, $f_p$, $f_d$ are the rise, peak, and decay frequencies at any point in time. The ratio of the bandwidth drift to the frequency drift is then

$$\frac{\partial \Delta f}{\partial \Delta t} = \frac{\partial f_r}{\partial \Delta t} \frac{\Delta f}{f_r}.$$

(10)

Using Eq. (9) for the drift rate, we can expand the ratio of bandwidth drift to drift rate to be

$$\frac{\partial \Delta f}{\partial \Delta t} = \frac{\partial f_r}{\partial \Delta t} \frac{\Delta f}{f_r} = \frac{\partial f_r}{\partial \Delta t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \Delta t} \frac{\Delta f}{f_r}.$$

(11)

If we consider the bandwidth over a time range $\Delta t = t_1 - t_0$ that is sufficiently long, then the range of frequencies for the rise, peak, and decay will be similar. That is, $f_r(t_0) \rightarrow f_r(t_1) \approx f_d(t_0) \rightarrow f_d(t_1)$. In this case, we can assume that the density gradients $\partial n_r/\partial x_r$, $\partial n_p/\partial x_p$, and $\partial n_d/\partial x_d$ are the same and that the derivatives $\partial f_r/\partial n_r$, $\partial f_d/\partial n_d$, and $\partial f_d/\partial n_p$ are the same. If we assume that the velocities $v = \partial x/\partial t$ are constant, then the ratio of the bandwidth drift to the frequency drift can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial \Delta f}{\partial \Delta t} = \frac{\partial f_r}{\partial \Delta t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \Delta t} = \frac{\partial f_r}{\partial \Delta t} \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial \Delta t} = \frac{\Delta f}{v_p}.$$

(12)

The ratio of the bandwidth drift to the peak frequency drift is therefore equivalent to the ratio of the length velocity to the peak velocity, independent of the density gradient.

### 5.4. Non-constant velocities

In all the above analysis, we assumed that the electron beam velocity remains constant over a finite propagation distance. Deceleration of the exciter has been inferred from the frequency and time of type III observations below 1 MHz (e.g. Fainberg et al. 1972), suggesting a deceleration of $12.3 \pm 0.8$ km s$^{-1}$ (Krupar et al. 2015).

To investigate whether a general trend for electron beam deceleration derived from higher frequencies existed, we determined the velocity associated with the drift rate for each 3 MHz interval in a similar way as we obtained the drift rate over the frequency range 70–30 MHz. Figure 11 shows the velocity associated with the drift rates for all the type III radio bursts using the Parker (1958) density model and assuming second-harmonic emission. A linear fit to the log of the peak velocities is such that

$$\log_{10}(v) = (-0.004 \pm 0.1)r - 0.78 \pm 0.09.$$

(13)

The change in velocity as a function of distance is very small, with the error on the gradient being significantly higher than the gradient itself. The data therefore does not indicate a strong increase or decrease in the velocity of the electron beam as a function of distance.

A derived velocity is heavily dependent on the density model that is chosen. Taking heights that were derived from observations by Dulk & Suzuki (1980) at 80 and 43 MHz of $0.6 R_\odot$ and $1.2 R_\odot$, respectively, we can construct a density model using the assumption that the density decreases exponentially.
with altitude. The Dulk density model has a smaller density gradient than the model by Parker (1958). Consequently, the derived velocities are higher than those found previously. The mean velocities of the type III bursts shown in Table 2 are approximately 0.08 c faster using the Dulk model, with similar standard deviations.

The second set of derived velocities is shown in Fig. 11 using the Dulk density model. A linear fit to all the velocities shows a trend for the velocity of the exciter to decrease as a function of distance from the Sun. Given the significant differences between the two different models, imaging spectroscopy is likely required to answer this question, taking into account the scattering effects of light from source to observer. The analysis of fine frequency structures suggests significant size increases and a radial shift in position (Kontar et al. 2017).

6. Electron beam transport

We have shown that the type III radio data can be used to estimate the velocities associated with the front, middle, and back of the electron beam. However, electron beams are not made up of mono-energetic electrons, but are an ensemble of electrons spread over a range of energies. Why can their travel be approximated by a single velocity, and what exactly dictates how fast they travel? How does this relate to the duration of the electron beam as a function of frequency?

6.1. Gaussian beam

We consider the transport of a electron beam with a Gaussian distribution in velocity with mean velocity $v_0$ and characteristic velocity $\Delta v$, and a Gaussian profile in space with characteristic length $d$. The initial electron distribution function is

$$f(v, r, t = 0) = n_{\text{beam}} A_v \exp \left( -\frac{(v-v_0)^2}{2\Delta v^2} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{r^2}{d^2} \right),$$

(14)

where $A_v = (\sqrt{2\pi\Delta v})^{-1}$. The mean velocity $v_0 = 10^{10} \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ corresponding to an energy of 28 keV, the density $n_{\text{beam}} = 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, the width $\Delta v = 10^9 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$, and the width $d = 10^9 \text{ cm}$. For the Langmuir wave generation, we present two limiting cases. The first limiting case is the free-streaming approximation when the electrons propagate scatter-free. The second is the gas-dynamic theory where the electrons fully interact with waves, propagating in a beam-plasma structure (e.g. Ryutov & Sagdeev 1970; Kontar et al. 1998; Kontar & Mel’Nik 2003). We also present a third case using simulations that lies between these other two.

We consider only particle transport and the quasilinear interaction of particles and waves (Vedenov 1963),

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} = \frac{4\pi^2 e^2}{m_e c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left( \frac{W}{v} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \right),$$

(15)

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} = \frac{\pi e n_{\text{pe}}}{n_e} v^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}.$$  

(16)
where the characteristic propagation time is $\tau_p = r/v$ and the characteristic quasilinear time is $\tau_{ql} = n_e/(\pi \omega_{pe} n_{beam})$. The background electron density profile has the Parker density profile, as in (Kontar 2001a; Reid & Kontar 2010).

The first limiting case is when the electrons propagate without interacting with Langmuir waves. This case essentially propagates with $\tau_{ql} = \infty$, so that no waves are generated. Figure 12 shows the distribution function after 5 s. The differences in velocity have elongated the electron beam in space.

The second limiting case is the gas-dynamic theory (GDT; Mel’Nik 1995) that analytically describes the motion of a spatially limited electron beam propagating in a background plasma. The GDT assumes that the quasilinear time $\tau_{ql} = 0$. A description of the evolution in space of a Gaussian beam is given in Kontar et al. (1998) for electrons with velocity $v < v_0$. A plateau is produced with height $n_{beam}/v_0$. The distribution moves with a constant velocity of $(v_0 + v_{min})/2$. A plateau in the electron distribution function is formed in velocity space at every point in position space. The particles and waves propagate together in a beam-plasma structure at a constant velocity determined by the mean velocity in the plateau. The structure can propagate over large distances as it conserves its spatial shape, energy, and the number of particles. Figure 12 shows the distribution function after 5 s for velocities $v < v_0$. There is no spread of

Fig. 12. Electron flux (left) and normalised spectral energy density (right) from an initial Gaussian electron beam with $v_0 = 10^9$ cm s$^{-1}$ (0.33 c). Top: free-streaming case with zero waves generated. Middle: gas-dynamic theory with electron beam velocities $v < v_0$. Bottom: simulations using Eqs. (15) and (16).
the electron beam over distance as the collective motion of the electrons propagate at a single velocity.

The third case is a simulation of the electron beam that evolves using Eqs. (15) and (16). Figure 12 shows the distribution after 5 s. This simulation lies between the two limiting cases. The highest velocities have mostly propagated scatter-free. The lower velocities have formed a plateau and have generated Langmuir waves. Elongation in space of the plateau occurs because the free-streaming electrons become more unstable to Langmuir waves during propagation. The value of \( \tau_{\|} \) varies in phase space and also depends upon a number of constants in the initial condition, including \( n_{\text{beam}} \), \( v_0 \), and \( \Delta v \). As an example, as \( n_{\text{beam}} \to 0 \), the system will propagate as the free-streaming case. As \( n_{\text{beam}} \to \infty \), the system will propagate in the gas-dynamic case.

### 6.2. Power-law beam

The signature of energetic electrons in the solar atmosphere deduced from X-rays infers a power-law injection function in velocity space (Holman et al. 2011). A complimentary power-law spectrum is measured in situ near Earth (Krucker et al. 2007). We therefore modeled the injection of an electron beam with a power-law distribution in velocity space into a spatially limited acceleration region with a Gaussian profile. The injection function can be described as

\[
f(v, r, t = 0) = A_v v^{-\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{d^2}\right),
\]

where \( A_v = (\alpha - 1) n_{\text{beam}}/(v_{\text{min}}^{\alpha+1} - v_{\text{max}}^{-\alpha+1}) \) normalises the injection profile such that the number density injected at \( r = 0 \) (acceleration region) is \( n_{\text{beam}} \) \( \text{[cm}^{-3} \). The velocity distribution is characterised by \( \alpha \), the spectral index, and the spatial distribution is characterised by \( d \) \( \text{[cm} \).

Using flare parameters of \( \alpha = 8 \), \( n_{\text{beam}} = 10^6 \) \( \text{cm}^{-3} \), \( d = 10^6 \) \( \text{cm} \), \( v_{\text{min}} = 2.4 \times 10^8 \) \( \text{cm} \text{s}^{-1} \), and \( v_{\text{max}} = 2 \times 10^{10} \) \( \text{cm} \text{s}^{-1} \), we have plotted a snapshot of the electron flux in Fig. 13 at time \( t = 5 \) s after free-streaming propagating, where \( v_0 = 10^{10} \) \( \text{cm} \text{s}^{-1} \). It shows that the faster electrons outpace the slower electrons, stretching the electrons over a wide range of space. Between two velocities \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \), where \( v_1 > v_2 \), the width of the electron beam will increase in time and can be described by \( (v_2 - v_1) t + d \). The duration of the electron beam at one point in space will thus increase as a function of distance from the Sun, as the background plasma frequency decreases.

We have previously simulated electron beams starting with an initial power-law injection of electrons and propagating out of the corona, interacting with Langmuir waves (Kontar & Reid 2009; Reid & Kontar 2010, 2013, 2015, 2017b). Using the same set-up as Reid & Kontar (2015), we showed in Sect. 5 an electron beam that was injected with a beam density of \( 10^6 \) \( \text{cm}^{-3} \). Whilst the initial power-law injection function was stable, propagation effects caused Langmuir waves to be generated and the electron beam to form a plateau in velocity space. Figure 13 shows the electron flux and accompanying Langmuir wave spectral energy density after \( t = 5 \) s. The Langmuir waves spectrum was normalised by the background thermal level.

The difference between the free-streaming and particle-wave electron distributions is clear from comparing the two electron flux plots in Fig. 13. In both scenarios the highest-energy electrons are propagating scatter-free. Below approximately \( 1.8 v_0 \), the electrons are able to interact with Langmuir waves and the electron distribution function has relaxed to a plateau at many different points in space. Each plateau has a different minimum and maximum velocity.

![Fig. 13. Top: electron flux from a free-streaming electron beam with an initial power-law distribution in velocity after \( t = 5 \) s \( v_0 = 10^{10} \) \( \text{cm} \text{s}^{-1} \), 0.33 c). Middle and bottom: simulated electron flux and spectral energy density from a propagating electron beam with an initial power-law distribution, with a set-up described in Reid & Kontar (2015), after \( t = 5 \) s of propagation.](image-url)

At the front of the beam, the highest velocity in the plateaus are at their highest. The transport time (time that an electron beam spends at any one point in space) is sufficiently fast in comparison to the electron diffusion time in velocity space that the electron beam does not relax down to near thermal velocities. The minimum velocity in the plateau is significantly higher than \( v_c \).
As we progress down towards the back of the beam, the highest velocities in the plateaus become lower. Moreover, the diffusion of electrons in velocity space increases such that the lowest velocities approach \( v_{te} \). The resulting range of plateau velocities means that the front of the beam moves faster than the back of the beam.

The length of the electron beam will increase at a constant rate if the velocities within the plateau do not change. Between plateaus with velocities \( u_1 = (u_{1,\text{max}} + u_{1,\text{min}})/2 \) and \( u_2 = (u_{2,\text{max}} + u_{2,\text{min}})/2 \), where \( u_1 > u_2 \), the width will increase as \( u_1 - u_2 \) after wave-particle interactions become important.

### 6.3. Type III durations

The duration derived from a free-streaming electron beam at one point in space is dependent upon the velocity of the electrons that are considered. Between velocities \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \), where \( v_1 > v_2 \), from the injection site, the duration is simply

\[
D_D = \frac{r}{v_1} - \frac{r}{v_2}.
\]  

(18)

From the LOFAR radio data, we can estimate the velocities \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) from the rise and decay velocities using the mean rise velocity \( v_1 = 0.20 \) c and the mean decay velocity \( v_2 = 0.15 \) c (Table 2), respectively.

Figure 14 shows the corresponding free-streaming duration as a function of plasma frequency assuming the Parker density model, that the emission is second harmonic, and that the electron beam starts at an altitude of 50 Mm, as has been found from fitting radio and X-ray data (Reid et al. 2014). The free-streaming duration using velocities \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) is incompatible with the mean radio burst durations, which have a significantly higher duration at all frequencies. This result is unsurprising given that the free-streaming approximation lacks the critical physical processes involved in radio burst production.

The duration derived assuming gas-dynamic theory will be constant as a function of frequency when we assume only one plateau with a constant velocity. However, if we assume that the front and back of the beam moves with plateau velocities \( u_1 = (u_{1,\text{max}} + u_{1,\text{min}})/2 = 0.20 \) c and \( u_2 = (u_{2,\text{max}} + u_{2,\text{min}})/2 = 0.15 \) c, respectively, the duration will increase as a function of decreasing frequency. An electron beam with a power-law distribution must propagate an instability distance before Langmuir waves are produced (Reid et al. 2011; Reid & Kontar 2013; Reid et al. 2014). The beam can be assumed to have propagated this instability distance under free-streaming conditions with velocities \( u_{1,\text{max}} \) and \( u_{2,\text{max}} \). Velocity diffusion can then produce the plateaus \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \) when Langmuir waves are generated. Given an instability distance \( r_c \), the corresponding duration of an electron beam can be found from

\[
D_D = \left( \frac{r - r_c}{u_2} + \frac{r_c - r}{u_{2,\text{max}}} \right) - \left( \frac{r - r_c}{u_1} + \frac{r_c - r}{u_{1,\text{max}}} \right), \quad r \geq r_c.
\]  

(19)

We assume that \( r_c = 4.13 \times 10^{10} \) cm, \((0.59 \, R_e)\), which corresponds to a plasma frequency of 50 MHz in the Parker density model that would produce second-harmonic radio emission at 100 MHz. We can then fit the LOFAR durations using \( u_{1,\text{min}} = 0.15 \) c and \( u_{2,\text{min}} = 0.10 \) c, which gives \( u_{1,\text{max}} = 0.24 \) c and \( u_{2,\text{max}} = 0.2 \) c. The value of \( u_{2,\text{min}} = 0.10 \) c is approximately 5.5 \( V_A \) for a 2 MK plasma and \( u_{1,\text{min}} > u_{2,\text{min}} \), as shown by the simulations in Figure 13.

We chose the value of \( u_{1,\text{min}} \) and \( u_{2,\text{min}} \) so that the corresponding values of \( D_D \) overlap the LOFAR durations, as shown in Fig. 14. Taking into account higher velocities during the instability distance will always reduce the duration with respect to the free-streaming approximation, when \( u_1 = v_1 \) and \( u_2 = v_2 \). However, it is important to note that our choice of \( u_{1,\text{min}} \) and \( u_{2,\text{min}} \) was arbitrary. Assuming a higher or lower \( u_{1,\text{min}} \) will decrease or increase the value of \( D_D \) accordingly for the same \( u_1 \), \( u_2 \). Similarly, increasing or decreasing \( r_c \) will decrease or increase the value of \( D_D \) accordingly. Although \( D_D \) over-plots the radio data very well, the simple formula given in Eq. (19) is only an approximation and does not take into consideration many different physical mechanisms. It fits the radio data below 100 MHz well because it used the derived velocities of 0.20 c and 0.15 c that were estimated from the LOFAR data.

Numerical simulations of an electron beam can be used to estimate the corresponding durations at different frequencies. We can use the spectral energy density of the Langmuir waves as a proxy for the radio emission as the intensity will be related to the intensity of radio emission. For each frequency, we find the peak spectral energy density at each point in time. The duration is then calculated from the corresponding FWHM. Using the Langmuir waves as a proxy for radio emission ignores the effects of the wave-wave processes generating the radio waves from the Langmuir waves. We must therefore treat any results derived from this with care.

We used simulations described in Kontar (2001b); Reid & Kontar (2013, 2015), with initial conditions described by Table 3. We simulated two different initial beam densities \( n_{beam} = 10^{4.5} \) cm\(^{-3} \) and \( n_{beam} = 10^{5.0} \) cm\(^{-3} \). Varying the beam density changes the average velocities of the plateaus at the front of the beam. Higher beam densities allow electrons with higher velocities to produce Langmuir waves at the front of the electron beam. The velocity at the back of the electron beam is dominated by the thermal velocity.

The corresponding durations from the quasilinear simulations are shown in Fig. 14. The simulation with \( n_{beam} = 10^{4.5} \) cm\(^{-3} \) resulted in a front velocity of \( u_1 = 0.18 \) c and a back velocity of \( u_2 = 0.14 \) c between plasma frequencies 35–15 MHz, relating to 70–30 MHz assuming second-harmonic emission. These velocities are similar to the LOFAR...
observations. There is a corresponding agreement between the durations derived from this simulation and the durations from the LOFAR observations. We note that the initial beam density was chosen such that \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \) would be similar to the LOFAR observations.

The simulation with \( n_{\text{beam}} = 10^{22.0} \, \text{cm}^{-3} \) resulted in a front velocity of \( u_1 = 0.22 \, \text{c} \) and a back velocity of \( u_2 = 0.14 \, \text{c} \) between the same plasma frequencies, which is faster than the LOFAR observations. The corresponding derived durations from the Langmuir waves are longer than the LOFAR observations. Whilst it is tempting to infer that higher beam densities result in increased durations, we note that the type III observations inferred that faster beams have shorter durations. To be consistent with this result, the FWHM of the radio emission must be related to the Langmuir waves generated by the fastest electrons. The wave-processes that convert Langmuir waves into radio waves could be responsible. Whilst simulating the radio waves from a propagating electron beam that had an initial Maxwellian distribution, Li et al. (2009) found that an increased beam density resulted in a decreased duration of second-harmonic emission. Additionally, the duration of any observed type III emission will be affected by the scattering of radio waves from source to observer, and this must be taken into account when composing a complete picture of electron beam transport.

The results from the simulations highlight why there is such a large standard deviation in the rise and decay times derived from the LOFAR type III bursts. Electron beams with varying properties can produce radio bursts with significantly different characteristics. Figure 14 suggests that the initial beam densities will play a significant role in determining the relevant velocity of electrons that drive the radio emission and might be one reason why the durations that we found with LOFAR are shorter than was found in previous observational reports. However, other different beam and background plasma parameters are also likely to influence the type III durations, including the beam spectral index, the background density profile, and thermal velocity.

### 7. Conclusions

We analysed the temporal and spectral profile of 31 isolated type III bursts that occurred between April–September 2015 observed with LOFAR. The increased resolution allowed us to characterise the rise and decay times, together with the drift rates and the bandwidth, in unprecedented detail. We found that the rise and decay times associated with the type III bursts increase as a function of decreasing frequency. Correspondingly, the FWHM duration of the type III bursts increases as a function of decreasing frequency, which is in line with previous observations, although the durations we found were slightly shorter than has previously been reported in the literature.

The drift rates of the type III bursts were simultaneously presented for the rise, peak, and decay times. Type III rise times resulted in higher magnitude drift rates than from decay times. Drift rates were used to infer the velocities of the front, middle, and back of the electron beams responsible for the radio emission. The mean velocities found over all radio bursts observed are 0.20 c, 0.17 c, and 0.15 c for the front, middle, and back of the beam, respectively. This highlights the long-standing belief that the electron beam elongates in space due to a range of velocities within the electron beam. It was particularly interesting that the difference between the velocities at the front and the back of the beam, that is, the rate of beam expansion in space, was proportional to the velocity of the middle of the beam. This can be interpreted as meaning that faster electron beams expand faster in space. However, radio-wave scattering and refraction strongly affect the observed type III burst characteristics. Specifically, it might induce a frequency-dependent delay, which could lead to a frequency-dependent apparent drift (Kontar et al. 2017). The decay of the burst might be more strongly affected by radio-wave propagation effects, which more strongly reduce the apparent speed at the back of the electron beam.

Although they move with one characteristic velocity, electron beams at one point in space are not mono-energetic, but are ensembles of electron at different energies. We showed the difference between the limiting cases of free-streaming electrons and the gas-dynamic theory, where electrons propagate in a single beam-plasma structure. We explained why neither theory is adequate for modelling the increasing duration of type III bursts as a function of decreasing frequency. Using simulations, we demonstrated that the different velocities at the front and back of the electron beam are likely caused by ensembles of electrons with different minimum and maximum velocities; the elongation of the beam being caused by the collection of electrons at the front having higher energies than at the back.

We showed that the duration inferred from the Langmuir waves is sensitive to the initial number density of the electron beam. Variation in the initial electron beam and the background coronal parameters are likely to be significant in explaining the shorter durations that we found in comparison to previous observations. However, the duration of type III bursts will also be affected by the wave-wave processes that convert Langmuir waves into radio waves and the scattering effects during radio-wave propagation. Our results increase the motivation of understanding all the plasma physics that governs the temporal and spectral type III profiles, so that these radio bursts can be better used to remote-sense electron beam properties in the solar corona and the inner heliosphere.
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**Table 3. Initial beam parameters for the electron beam injected into the solar corona.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy limits</th>
<th>Velocity limits</th>
<th>Spectral index</th>
<th>Temporal profile</th>
<th>Spatial profile</th>
<th>Expansion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.7–113 keV</td>
<td>( v_0 = 36 , \text{cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
<td>( \alpha = 10.0 )</td>
<td>( \tau = 1 , \text{s} )</td>
<td>( d = 10^9 , \text{cm} )</td>
<td>( \beta = 2.0 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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