Distinguishing between symbiotic stars and planetary nebulae
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ABSTRACT

Context. The number of known symbiotic stars (SySt) is still significantly lower than their predicted population. One of the main problems in finding the total population of SySt is the fact that their spectrum can be confused with other objects, such as planetary nebulae (PNe) or dense H II regions. This problem is reinforced by the fact that in a significant fraction of established SySt the emission lines used to distinguish them from other objects are not present.

Aims. We aim at finding new diagnostic diagrams that could help separate SySt from PNe. Additionally, we examine a known sample of extragalactic PNe for candidate SySt.

Methods. We employed emission line fluxes of known SySt and PNe from the literature.

Results. We found that among the forbidden lines in the optical region of spectrum, only the [O III] and [N II] lines can be used as a tool for distinguishing between SySt and PNe, which is consistent with the fact that they have the highest critical densities. The most useful diagnostic that we propose is based on H i lines, which are more common and stronger in SySt than forbidden lines. All these useful diagnostic diagrams are electron density indicators that better distinguish PNe and ionized symbiotic nebulae. Moreover, we found six new candidate SySt in the Large Magellanic Cloud and one in M 81. If confirmed, the candidate in M 81 would be the farthest known SySt thus far.
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1. Introduction

Symbiotic stars (SySt) are interacting binaries with the longest orbital periods. In these systems an evolved, cool star is transferring mass to a much hotter and more luminous compact companion. The mass accretor is typically a white dwarf (WD), but in some cases a neutron star (NS) is observed. The mass donor is a normal red giant (RG) in S-type (stellar) SySt, or a Mira in some cases a neutron star (NS) is observed. The mass donor is typically a white dwarf (WD), but in some cases a neutron star (NS) is observed.

The current definition of a SySt used in the literature includes the presence of a late-type giant feature in the spectrum, strong emission lines of H i and He i as well as the presence of emission lines with a high ionization potential (Kenyon 1986). Mikolajewska et al. (2017) have recently highlighted that such a method of classification of SySt in LGGS can be hindered by contamination of the spectrum by diffuse interstellar gas (DIG). Moreover, in D-type systems, where the molecular bands of the RG cannot be detected, the SySt can be mistaken for a planetary nebula (PN). In fact, a large fraction of the SySt have originally been classified as PNe (see e.g., Belczyński et al. 2000, and references therein). Thus far the most reliable criterion for SySt was the presence of O VI Raman scattered 6825 and 7082 Å lines. However, this line is present only in ~50% of SySt (Allen 1980). Gutierrez-Moreno et al. (1995) proposed a diagnostic diagram using [O III] and Balmer emission lines, that have been successfully employed to classify new SySt. The [O III] lines are not always detected in SySt, so the Gutierrez-Moreno et al. (1995) criterion is not always applicable. Moreover, some SySt have been observed in the region dominated by young PNe (Baella 2010). In some studies criteria based on infrared colors have been suggested (Schmeja & Kimeswenger 2001; Rodríguez-Flores et al. 2014), however these criteria are only applicable in the Galaxy. Because of the above restrictions, in this paper, we explore the possibility of using other emission lines for classifying new SySt. Similar comparisons of the emission line ratios in SySt and PNe have been carried out in the past (e.g., Gutierrez-Moreno et al. 1986), however to our knowledge this is the first study focused on new criteria and using such a big sample of data. Moreover, in this study we examine a known sample of extragalactic PNe in order to detect misclassified SySt among them.

The current definition of a SySt used in the literature includes the presence of a late-type giant feature in the spectrum, strong emission lines of H i and He i as well as the presence of emission lines with a high ionization potential (Kenyon 1986). Mikolajewska et al. (2017) have recently highlighted that such a method of classification of SySt in LGGS can be hindered by contamination of the spectrum by diffuse interstellar gas (DIG). Moreover, in D-type systems, where the molecular bands of the RG cannot be detected, the SySt can be mistaken for a planetary nebula (PN). In fact, a large fraction of the SySt have originally been classified as PNe (see e.g., Belczyński et al. 2000, and references therein). Thus far the most reliable criterion for SySt was the presence of O VI Raman scattered 6825 and 7082 Å lines. However, this line is present only in ~50% of SySt (Allen 1980). Gutierrez-Moreno et al. (1995) proposed a diagnostic diagram using [O III] and Balmer emission lines, that have been successfully employed to classify new SySt. The [O III] lines are not always detected in SySt, so the Gutierrez-Moreno et al. (1995) criterion is not always applicable. Moreover, some SySt have been observed in the region dominated by young PNe (Baella 2010). In some studies criteria based on infrared colors have been suggested (Schmeja & Kimeswenger 2001; Rodríguez-Flores et al. 2014), however these criteria are only applicable in the Galaxy. Because of the above restrictions, in this paper, we explore the possibility of using other emission lines for classifying new SySt. Similar comparisons of the emission line ratios in SySt and PNe have been carried out in the past (e.g., Gutierrez-Moreno et al. 1986), however to our knowledge this is the first study focused on new criteria and using such a big sample of data. Moreover, in this study we examine a known sample of extragalactic PNe in order to detect misclassified SySt among them.
2. Observations

In order to examine the possibility of new diagnostics for SySt we used emission line fluxes of PNe and SySt from the Milky Way (MW), where the objects are well studied and contamination of the spectra by DIG is usually not a concern. In the case of PNe, the emission line fluxes were taken from Górný et al. (2004), Górný et al. (2009) and Henry et al. (2010). Among the objects in these catalogs we reclassified known or proposed SySt: PN ShWi 5 (Miszalski et al. 2009), PN H 2-43 (Belczyński et al. 2000), PN G005.2-0.4 (Zhang & Liu 2003), and PN G005.2+04.2 (Miszalski et al. 2009). Fluxes of emission lines in SySt were taken from Mikolajewska et al. (1997), Gutierrez-Moreno & Moreno (1998), Pereira et al. (1998) and Luna & Costa (2005). The D-, S- and D'-type classification of individual stars was adopted from Belczyński et al. (2000) and Phillips (2007). In our study we omitted stars without this classification available. Fluxes of emission lines of both PNe and SySt were reddening corrected using reddening estimates given in the employed catalogs. The Gutierrez-Moreno et al. (1995) diagnostic diagram for PNe and SySt is presented in Fig. 1. Only two SySt would be misclassified as PNe based on this diagram; He 2-172 and H 1-36. Similar diagrams, using other emission lines are presented in Figs. 2–4.

We investigated known PNe for misclassified SySt in five members of LGGS. In the case of the Small and Large Magellanic Clouds (SMC and LMC, respectively) we employed emission line fluxes reported by Monkkonen (1988) and Leisy & Demnefeld (2006). In the case of M 81 the Stanghellini et al. (2010) measurements were used. For NGC 300 the fluxes were taken from Staśńska et al. (2013). The M 33 data is from Magrini et al. (2009). The position of extragalactic PNe on the [O III] diagnostic diagram is presented in Fig. 5.

3. Results

3.1. D- and S-type classification

Most of the SySt are clearly separated from PNe in the [O III] diagram (Fig. 1). Exceptions are two SySt – He 2-172 and H 1-36. Using the Gutierrez-Moreno et al. (1995) criterion for separation of D- and S-type SySt, eight D-type SySt would be misclassified as well as one S-type SySt. Hence, we propose a new criterion for classifying SySt types, namely when [O III] 4363/Hβ < 0.8 the SySt is a S-type system. With this new criterion only two D-type SySt would be misclassified and all of S-type SySt would be classified correctly.

3.2. Distinguishing between SySt and PNe

On the Kniazev et al. (2008) diagnostic diagrams, used for separating PNe and H II regions, the SySt occupy the same region as PNe (Fig. 2). This is not surprising given the relatively low critical densities \( N_{\text{cr}} \) of the \([\text{S}} \) 6717, \([\text{S}} \) 6731 and \([\text{N}} \) 6584 lines. Namely, \( \log(N_{\text{cr}}/\text{cm}^{-3}) = 3.2 \) for \([\text{S}} \) 6731 line, \( \log(N_{\text{cr}}) = 3.6 \) for \([\text{S}} \) 6731 and \( \log(N_{\text{cr}}) = 4.9 \) for \([\text{N}} \) 6584 line, which is significantly lower than \( \log(N_{\text{cr}}) = 5.8 \) for \([\text{O}} \) 5006 and \( \log(N_{\text{cr}}) = 7.5 \) for \([\text{O}} \) 4363 lines (Appenzeller & Oestreichet 1988). The only promising diagram is the diagram including \([\text{O}} \) and \([\text{S}} \) lines in which there is a region occupied only by Galactic SySt. However, this region seems to be occupied by extragalactic PNe and H II regions (see Fig. 3 of Kniazev et al. 2008). The difference in line ratios is probably caused by lower metallicity of objects in Kniazev et al. (2008) sample, which caused lower \([\text{O}} \) and \([\text{S}} \) line fluxes compared to H I lines.

We checked various other line ratios and most of the studied diagrams were not helpful in distinguishing between PNe and SySt. The most promising diagrams are presented in Fig. 3. Most of the diagrams included both \([\text{O}} \) lines, which could be helpful when Hβ and Hγ cannot be measured for the Gutierrez-Moreno et al. (1995) diagram (Fig. 1). Most notably, diagrams with the \([\text{N}} \) 5755 line are the only diagrams without \([\text{O}} \) lines in which there are regions where only SySt are present (Fig. 3). Separation of SySt from PNe could be expected given that the \([\text{N}} \) 5755 line critical density is similar as for \([\text{O}} \) lines, namely \( \log(N_{\text{cr}}) = 7.5 \) for \([\text{N}} \) 5755 line (Appenzeller & Oestreicher 1988).

The diagnostic diagrams discussed above are all based on forbidden lines, which can help to distinguish between SySt and PNe due to the fact that they are good electron density indicators. Another kind of diagnostic diagram, not based on forbidden lines, is the one employing He I lines (Fig. 4). The He I emission line ratios in SySt deviate from Case B significantly due to metastability of the 2S level, and they can serve as a diagnostic of density. This is why the He I emission line ratios were used in...
the past to distinguish between D- and S-type SySt (Proga et al. 1994). In our sample of 178 PNe, only for 31 PNe the He I fluxes were available. The PNe occupy only a small region in the He I diagram (Fig. 4) out of the 31 PNe with measured He I lines is PN K 3-90. Out of 123 SySt only 45 can be found in the region occupied by PNe. This shows that the He I diagram can be used as a diagnostic diagram. Moreover, in some SySt the He I emission line ratios are correlated with the orbital phase (e.g., Iłkiewicz et al. 2015), so some SySt can possibly be found in the region occupied by PNe only at certain orbital phase. Furthermore, in some SySt He I lines can be observed only during active phases (e.g., Iłkiewicz et al. 2016).

The He I diagnostic diagram is the most useful new diagnostic diagram. While the separation between PNe and SySt is not as good as in the case of Gutierrez-Moreno et al. (1995) diagram, one of the SySt that would be misclassified as a PNe based on [O III] diagnostic diagram (Fig. 1), He 2-172, would be correctly classified as SySt based on the He I diagram. This is the only diagnostic diagram that would result in correct classification of this system (Fig. 3). The other diagrams could be helpful when the reliable [O III] lines fluxes are not available (e.g., due to saturation), however they are of limited use, since the [O III] lines are more common than the [N II] lines. All of the proposed criteria for SySt are presented in Table 1.

3.3. SySt candidates among extragalactic PNe

Using the [O III] diagnostic diagram we found six SySt candidates among the LMC PNe (Fig. 5). This is a high number given that only eight SySt are known in the LMC (Belczyński et al. 2000). Out of these six candidates in two of them no He II 4686 line has been detected, in one the He II 4686 emission is relatively weak, and in three the He II 4686 emission is strong (Table 2). The infrared colors (Fig. 6) place only two of these stars near the region occupied by SySt (SMP LMC 93, SMP LMC 104). We conclude that these two are the best candidates for SySt. The other candidates, for which the infrared colors are available, may be unusual PNe, or D’-type SySt with infrared colors more similar to those of PNe.

The effective temperatures of giants in SySt candidates were calculated using the formula, $T_{\text{eff}} = 7070/[(J - K) + 0.88]$, from Bessell et al. (1983). The bolometric magnitudes were calculated using the $K$ magnitudes and bolometric corrections, $BC_k = -6.75 + 0.4 \log(T_{\text{eff}}/9500)$, from Buzzoni et al. (2010). Using the LMC distance modulus of 18.493 mag (Pietrzyński et al. 2013) we were able to calculate the absolute bolometric magnitudes $M_{\text{bol}}$. The results are presented in Table 3. The calculated effective temperatures are typical for SySt. On the other hand, the absolute bolometric magnitudes for most of the candidates are lower than typical SySt magnitudes (see Fig. 3).
Fig. 3. Various emission line ratio relationships for Galactic SySt and PNe employing forbidden line fluxes. Symbols are the same as in Fig. 2. The reddening was calculated using Cardelli et al. (1989) extinction model with $R_V = 3.1$ assumed. The gray lines indicate new criteria for SySt proposed in this work.

However, their position on the near-infrared color-magnitude diagram is consistent with a presence of a low-luminosity RG (Fig. 7). The low luminosity of RGs in these systems could explain why they were not classified as
However, more observations are needed for the candidate SySt discovered in this galaxy and the farthest known SySt in M 81. If confirmed, this would make this object the first SySt in the past. Moreover, we point out that we did not include classical Be stars as well as Wolf-Rayet stars, (see e.g., Corradi et al. 2008, 2010; Rodríguez-Flores et al. 2014; Miszalski & Mikolajewska 2014, and references therein) would not have similar position in the color-magnitude diagram as the SySt candidates (Fig. 7).

We propose a diagnostic diagram with \( \text{He}^+ 7065/\text{He}^+ 5876 \) and can distinguish between SySt and PNe are the diagrams for distinguishing SySt and PNe. We have also searched for candidate SySt among the known sample of extragalactic PNe. The main results of the work are as follows:

1. The only diagnostic diagrams that employ forbidden lines – such as young stellar objects and T Tauri stars, B[e] and mimics, such as young stellar objects and T Tauri stars, B[e] and classical Be stars as well as Wolf-Rayet stars, (see e.g., Corradi et al. 2008, 2010; Rodríguez-Flores et al. 2014; Miszalski & Mikolajewska 2014, and references therein) would not have similar position in the color-magnitude diagram as the SySt candidates (Fig. 7).

2. The only candidate SySt found in other galaxies is HII403 in M 81. If confirmed, this would make this object the first SySt discovered in this galaxy and the farthest known SySt (Gonçalves et al. 2008). However, more observations are needed since it was originally classified as a H II region (Stanghellini et al. 2010).

We stress that deep spectra are needed to confirm the nature of all of the candidates for new SySt. However, objects in the LMC with known \( JHK \) magnitudes are most likely SySt or PNe as opposed to other SySt mimics that could reproduce similar spectra and NIR colors. In particular, the most numerous SySt mimics, such as young stellar objects and T Tauri stars, B[e] and classical Be stars as well as Wolf-Rayet stars, (see e.g., Corradi et al. 2008, 2010; Rodríguez-Flores et al. 2014; Miszalski & Mikolajewska 2014, and references therein) would not have similar position in the color-magnitude diagram as the SySt candidates (Fig. 7).

### 4. Conclusions

In this work we have attempted to find new diagnostic diagrams for distinguishing SySt and PNe. We have also searched for candidate SySt among the known sample of extragalactic PNe. The main results of the work are as follows:

- The only diagnostic diagrams that employ forbidden lines and can distinguish between SySt and PNe are the diagrams with \([\text{O} III]\) or \([\text{N} II]\) emission lines. This is due to the relatively high critical densities of these lines. A diagnostic diagram with \([\text{O} III]\) lines was already known in the literature (Gutierrez-Moreno et al. 1995).
- We propose a diagnostic diagram with \([\text{He} ii]\) emission lines as a new tool for distinguishing between SySt and PNe. The

### Table 1. Proposed criteria for SySt based on emission line ratios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line Ratios</th>
<th>Expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{He}^+ 6678/\text{He}^+ 5876 )</td>
<td>( &lt; 0.8 \times \text{log}(\text{He}^+ 5755/\text{He}^+ 5876) + 0.25 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{He}^+ 5006/\text{He}^+ 5876 )</td>
<td>( &lt; 0.25 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O} III 5006/\text{O} II 5876 )</td>
<td>( &lt; 0.7 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{N} II 5755/\text{N} II 5755 )</td>
<td>( &lt; 1.5 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Candidate SySt among the extragalactic PNe together with emission line ratios and D- and S-type classification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Galaxy</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>He II 4686/Hβ</th>
<th>[O III] 4363/Hγ</th>
<th>[O III] 5006/Hβ</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>SMP LMC 16</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>SMP LMC 31</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>SMP LMC 63</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>SMP LMC 93</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>SMP LMC 104</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>MGPN LMC 31</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M81</td>
<td>HI403</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Infrared magnitudes of candidate SySt with inferred properties of cool giants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>( J ) [mag]</th>
<th>( H ) [mag]</th>
<th>( K ) [mag]</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>( T_{\text{eff}} ) [K]</th>
<th>( M_{\text{bol}} ) [mag]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMP LMC 16</td>
<td>18.09</td>
<td>18.03</td>
<td>16.94</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMP LMC 31</td>
<td>15.777</td>
<td>15.362</td>
<td>14.111</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>–0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMP LMC 63</td>
<td>15.789</td>
<td>15.907</td>
<td>15.052</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4400</td>
<td>–1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMP LMC 93</td>
<td>17.077</td>
<td>16.497</td>
<td>15.767</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMP LMC 104</td>
<td>16.994</td>
<td>16.076</td>
<td>15.128</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### References.

1. Leisy & Dennefeld (2006);
2. Monk et al. (1988);

1. Cutri et al. (2012);
advantage of this diagram is that it can correctly identify SySt that would be misclassified using the standard [O III] diagnostic diagram. Moreover, the He II diagram has already been used in the past to distinguish between S- and D-type SySt (Proga et al. 1994).

– All the diagnostic diagrams that are useful for distinguishing between SySt and PNe are electron density indicators, which...
is the physical property that is most useful for distinguishing the ionized nebulae of the two classes of objects.

We found six candidate SySt in the LMC, while only eight SySt were known in this galaxy thus far. Additionally, we found one candidate in M 81, which if confirmed, would be the first SySt discovered in this galaxy, and the farthest known SySt (Gonçalves et al. 2008).

In future studies it would be useful to search for new diagnostic diagrams in other spectral ranges, where forbidden lines with different critical densities can be found.
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