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ABSTRACT

Context. Solar ultraviolet (UV) bursts are small-scale features that exhibit intermittent brightenings that are thought to be due to magnetic reconnection. They are observed abundantly in the chromosphere and transition region, in particular in Active regions.

Aims. We investigate in detail a UV burst related to a magnetic feature that is advected by the moat flow from a sunspot towards a pore. The moving feature is parasitic in that its magnetic polarity is opposite to that of the spot and the pore. This comparatively simple photospheric magnetic field distribution allows for an unambiguous interpretation of the magnetic geometry leading to the onset of the observed UV burst.

Methods. We used UV spectroscopic and slit-jaw observations from the Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS) to identify and study chromospheric and transition region spectral signatures of said UV burst. To investigate the magnetic topology surrounding the UV burst, we used a two-hour-long time sequence of simultaneous line-of-sight magnetograms from the Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager (HMI) and performed data-driven 3D magnetic field extrapolations by means of a magnetofrictional relaxation technique. We can connect UV burst signatures to the overlying extreme UV (EUV) coronal loops observed by the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA).

Results. The UV burst shows a variety of extremely broad line profiles indicating plasma flows in excess of ±200 km s⁻¹ at times. The whole structure is divided into two spatially distinct zones of predominantly up- and downflows. The magnetic field extrapolations show a persistent fan-spine magnetic topology at the UV burst. The associated 3D magnetic null point exists at a height of about 500 km above the photosphere and evolves co-spatially with the observed UV burst. The EUV emission at the footpoints of coronal loops is correlated with the evolution of the underlying UV burst.

Conclusions. The magnetic field around the null point is sheared by photospheric motions, triggering magnetic reconnection that ultimately powers the observed UV burst and energises the overlying coronal loops. The location of the null point suggests that the burst is triggered low in the solar chromosphere.
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1. Introduction

Solar active regions and the quiet-Sun magnetic network host a plethora of small-scale magnetic activity that leave interesting observable signatures in the atmosphere of the Sun. These include intensity enhancements and fluctuations that are rapid compared to the background emission level, and/or complex spectral line profiles. Depending on the magnetic environment where these events occur and the type of spectral signatures they leave, the events have received a variety of names in the literature. In newly emerging active regions, Ellerma bombs in the photosphere (Ellerma 1917; Georgoulis et al. 2002), flaring-arch filaments and UV bursts in the chromosphere and transition region (Rutten et al. 2013; Peter et al. 2014) are observed. In the magnetic network, explosive events (Dere et al. 1989) and

* Movies associated to Figs. 2 and 4 are available at http://www.aanda.org
the burst (Peter et al. 2014; Nelson et al. 2016). However, in some cases, the observed magnetic flux cancellation does not lead to bursty events (Nelson et al. 2016).

Numerical models of small-scale bursts and jets in the lower solar atmosphere reproduce the observed events qualitatively by typically assuming an anti-parallel configuration of the magnetic field or a Harris current sheet (e.g. Ni et al. 2015; Innes et al. 2015). Although simulations of small-scale bursts with complex magnetic geometries such as fan-spine topology and null points exist (e.g. Heggland et al. 2009), the observational basis of these geometries and the height of magnetic reconnection in the solar atmosphere, as supported by magnetic models and extrapolations of observed magnetic field, are not well established. On the other hand, to explain large-scale explosive events such as circular ribbon flares, a fan-spine magnetic topology is often invoked (e.g. Antiochos 1998; Masson et al. 2009; Sun et al. 2013).

The question is whether complex magnetic topologies also trigger bursts at small spatial scales. If this were the case, the topological similarities in the magnetic field would enable us to draw parallels between small-scale bursts and large-scale flares. High-resolution observations show that complex magnetic configurations at small spatial scales exist (Chitta et al. 2017). Recently, Zeng et al. (2016) reported observations of a resolved fan-spine structure in a chromospheric jet. However, their observations have been made close to the limb, and for this reason, a detailed study of the magnetic field topology is lacking.

Here we report the observation of a UV burst near solar disk centre. Unlike typical UV bursts, the event under investigation was observed in an evolved active region where a systematic flux emergence is absent near the burst during its evolution. From magnetic field extrapolations, we identify a fan-spine topology of the magnetic field and locate the associated null point. This enables us to derive the height above the photosphere where the UV burst is assumed to have been triggered.

2. Observations

On 2014 July 27, the IRIS observed a sunspot-pore pair in active region AR 12121, close to the disk centre at (−64′′, 37′′). These observations consist of two-hour-long time series of slit-jaw images (SJI) from different channels and medium-dense 16-step spectroscopic raster scans, starting at 20:04 UT. The step size of the raster maps in the direction of the scan is 0.35′′. We used level-2 data from these observations for our analysis. The slit-jaw images are recorded with a cadence of 22s, while the raster maps have a cadence of about 88s with 4s exposures per step. For the spectroscopic analysis, we concentrated on Mg ii and Si iv, which have formation temperatures of log T[K] = 4.0, and 4.9, respectively. We also used the line-of-sight magnetograms from the Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager (HMI; Scherrer et al. 2012) and coronal EUV imaging observations from the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA; Lemen et al. 2012). HMI and AIA are both on board the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO; Pesnell et al. 2012).

2.1. Spatial and temporal evolution of the UV burst and the underlying magnetic field

The UV burst analysed here is a long-lived structure exhibiting several episodes of intensity enhancements, each several minutes long. Two instances of the burst are illustrated in Fig. 1, where we display two SJI 1400 Å maps, 50 min apart (the burst is seen in the white box in the left panels). By comparing the location of this UV burst with photospheric structures (middle panels), we note that the event is being triggered in between the two darker circular magnetic features (north: larger sunspot; south: smaller pore). The HMI magnetograms (right panels) reveal that the sunspot and pore have the same negative polarity.

A parasitic magnetic field with positive polarity is underlying the burst. As this magnetic field is advected by the moat flow of the sunspot from north to south, the UV burst is also displaced in the same direction, closely following the motion of the parasitic magnetic field. These translational motions of the magnetic field and the UV burst from north to south are demonstrated in Fig. 2 (see also Fig. 1). The burst itself is triggered by a continual interaction of this parasitic positive-polarity field with the sunspot and pore magnetic field, including other nearby small-scale negative-polarity magnetic elements.

The temporal evolution of the average magnetic flux of the parasitic magnetic field has a timescale of about one hour. During the two hours of the observations, the positive magnetic polarity flux shows a fluctuation of about 50% or more. Towards the end of the time series, the flux of the parasitic magnetic field underlying the burst has noticeably decreased. This decrease is not simply due to the advection of the magnetic flux out of the box we considered, but is due to its cancellation with the pore (black curve, bottom panel in Fig. 2, see online movie). This is expected because the magnetic field there is being advected by the moat flow towards an opposite-polarity pore.

The intensity of the UV burst from SJI 1400 Å images shows variation at shorter timescales of 5–10 min with one-minute fluctuations superimposed. There is a rapid enhancement and decline in the intensity (red curve, bottom panel in Fig. 2). However, these intensity variations of the burst do not always correlate with the cancellation of the parasitic magnetic field. This is even clearer towards the end of the time series when the magnetic flux of the parasitic element declines through flux cancellation with the pore. This cancellation does not lead to additional UV bursts, on the contrary, the burst completely disappears. Typically, photospheric flux cancellation leads to bursty signatures (e.g. Peter et al. 2014; Nelson et al. 2016). For the case analysed here, however, we find that the burst can be triggered without photospheric flux cancellation, and moreover, flux cancellation does not necessarily lead to the formation of the UV burst (see also Nelson et al. 2016).

2.2. Spectral evolution

This UV burst is associated with complex and broad line profiles in the chromosphere and transition region. Two representative spectral profiles each from the chromosphere and transition region from the burst are plotted in Fig. 3. Here and in the rest of the paper we follow the convention that negative Doppler velocities correspond to blueshifts. In these profiles for the faster blueshifted component of Si iv at −120 km s−1, a corresponding enhancement in the blue wing of Mg ii can be seen. This suggests that the UV burst has an impact on the plasma at a broad range of temperatures and heights. Furthermore, the two blueshifted components of the Si iv line in the same spatial location indicate a complex unresolved flow pattern. A series of broad line profiles can be seen between 25–40 min in the background λ − t images in the two panels at the same spatial location.

There is little or weak emission observed in the O iv multiplet near 1400 Å, with a formation temperature of

1 Available at http://iris.lmsal.com/
Fig. 1. Spatial evolution of a compact UV burst and the surrounding magnetic environment as seen with IRIS and SDO/HMI. Panels a and d show two SJI 1400 Å images separated by 50 min that mainly outline chromospheric and transition region features. Panels b and e show co-temporal SJI 2832 Å images displaying photospheric granulation. Co-temporal HMI line-of-sight magnetic field maps saturated at ±250 G are plotted in panels c and f. The location of the compact UV burst is outlined by a white box in all the panels. Here the white box marks the sub-field of view of raster maps we used for the more detailed investigation. This sub-field of view is shown as an inset map in the left panel of Fig. 3 and in the two panels of Fig. 4. The two SJI 1400 Å images are the negatives of the square-root-scaled intensity. The vertical lines in panels b and e show the locations of the slit positions at these times. See Sect. 2.1.

log $T$ [K] = 5.15, at the site of the burst. This little emission in the OIV lines is consistent with recent observations of IRIS UV bursts (Peter et al. 2014; Tian et al. 2016). Typically, the absence of these OIV lines is attributed to the burst being formed in a high-density atmosphere, where the OIV line formation is suppressed as a result of collisional de-excitation (see e.g. Peter et al. 2014; cf. Feldman & Doschek 1978; Young 2015). On the other hand, we do not observe a persistent signature of the Ni II absorption line at 1393.3 Å, which is also the case for a few examples discussed in Tian et al. (2016). This suggests that the UV burst analysed here is formed not so deep in the atmosphere but at the same time formed at heights with a sufficiently high density to suppress the formation of the OIV lines.

2.3. Spatial structure of spectral profiles and Doppler shifts

As we have a full spatial coverage of the burst from the successive raster maps, we exploited these data to investigate the complex spectral profiles from the burst. To this end, we computed the integrated intensity of SiIV 1403 Å in the range of wavelength shifts corresponding to ±200 km s$^{-1}$. These integrated intensity maps reveal that the UV burst is an intense compact structure with a spatial extension of $\approx 2''$ in each direction. One of these integrated intensity maps is plotted in Fig. 4a. The Doppler shifts provide information on the plasma flows (blueshifts correspond to upflows and redshifts to downflows). Because the spectral lines are extremely broad (for an example, see Fig. 3b), we adopted the intensity-weighted spectral line shift, that is, the first moment of the line profile, to characterize the Doppler shift of the line. We calculated this Doppler shift of SiIV at each spatial pixel in the burst for all the available rasters (that are free of cosmic ray hits). One of these Doppler shift maps is displayed in Fig. 4b. Spatially decoupled blue- and redshift regions, not extending beyond the intensity structure itself, are seen in this Doppler map. This spatially decoupled flow pattern is present throughout the lifetime of the UV burst (see the online movie).

To clarify the nature of these red- and blueshift patterns in the Doppler maps, we investigated spectral profiles at individual spatial pixels. As a demonstration, we show the SiIV line profiles observed along the vertical line in Fig. 4 as a stacked plot in Fig. 5. The Doppler shifts calculated from the first moments and the profiles as a whole show a swing from red- to blueshift when scanning from south to north of the burst. The flow pattern smoothly changes from redshifts (downflows) to blueshifts (upflows) across a zero line (line with small or zero net Doppler shift) at about 4.2″ (see also Fig. 4b). The maximum Doppler shift is approximately 50 km s$^{-1}$ in this example. However, the spectral lines have emission at Doppler shifts in
3. Magnetic field surrounding the UV burst

In this section we study the evolution of the magnetic field topology responsible for the observed UV burst and place it in the context of the magnetic field distribution on larger spatial scales (several tens of megameters). It is clear from Fig. 1 that the UV burst is triggered in between a sunspot and a pore with the same polarity (negative in this case). The spatial distribution of this sunspot and pore, including the neighbouring regions, is shown in Fig. 6a. A closer inspection of the magnetograms shows that a parasitic opposite-polarity magnetic element moving from the sunspot towards the pore is underlying the UV burst (see also Fig. 1). Overlying the burst are densely packed EUV coronal loops rooted in the pore (Fig. 6b). The other footpoints of these loops are rooted several megameters away, still in the field of view of Fig. 6.

3.1. Photospheric magnetic structure and reconnection

We now examine the conditions that led to the onset of the observed UV burst. Recent studies suggest that there is some connection between the transition region UV bursts observed with the IRIS and the Hα-wing enhancements in the photosphere seen in Ellerman bombs (Vissers et al. 2015; Tian et al. 2016). UV bursts and Ellerman bombs have both been associated with magnetic reconnection at some height in the solar atmosphere. Ellerman bombs have been suggested to be triggered by an undulating magnetic flux tube that undergoes magnetic reconnection in the photosphere at the sections of the field lines that form a U-type configuration (Georgoulis et al. 2002; Pariat et al. 2004). This configuration is also proposed to explain filamentary structures in sunspot penumbrae (Thomas et al. 2002). Observations and simulations suggest that these undulating flux tubes are reminiscent of ongoing flux emergence in active regions (Pariat et al. 2004; Cheung et al. 2010). Evidently, Ellerman bombs are usually observed in emerging active regions at
Fig. 3. Samples of spectral profiles from the solar chromosphere and transition region at the site of the UV burst. In panel a, the background image is a Mg II λ 2796 Å plot (in Doppler units) near 2796 Å, obtained from the spatial location marked by a plus in the inset. Here the inset shows a sub-field of view of the raster map at the mark of 37 min (indicated by a small horizontal blue bar). The blue curve is the Mg II line profile at that instance. Panel b is the same as panel a, but plotted for the Si IV 1403 Å line that traces the solar transition region. See Sect. 2.2.

Fig. 4. Maps of the transition region intensity and Doppler shifts from the Si IV 1403 Å line, obtained at 21:02 UT, associated with the compact UV burst. Panel a shows the spatial distribution of the Si IV 1403 Å line integrated intensity within ±200 km s^{-1} from its zero-Doppler shift. Panel b shows the map of an intensity-weighted Doppler shift of the same spectral line, saturated at ±75 km s^{-1}. The vertical lines in the panels show the location along which several spectral profiles are displayed in Fig. 5. The temporal evolution of the intensity and Doppler-shift maps is available as an online movie. See Sect. 2.3.

From the suggested connection between Ellerman bombs and UV bursts, it seems reasonable at first that the observed burst is a result of magnetic reconnection in an undulatory flux tube. However, not all Ellerman bombs are associated with UV bursts (Tian et al. 2016). It is also unclear whether a unified magnetic topology exists that could lead to various events, from Ellerman bombs in the photosphere to UV bursts in the transition region. Moreover, we do not see obvious photospheric flux cancellation signatures that could power the observed UV burst. The question of the trigger of the observed UV burst therefore remains open.

3.2. Data-driven magnetic field extrapolations

To investigate the trigger of this UV burst, we explored the magnetic field topology by extrapolating the observed magnetic field in the photosphere to greater heights. Here we used the observed line-of-sight magnetograms as a time-dependent lower boundary condition to drive the magnetic field above the photosphere in a series of nonlinear force-free field states. To achieve this, the magnetic induction equation was evolved using a magnetofrictional relaxation technique (Yang et al. 1986), as implemented by van Ballegooijen et al. (2000). Further details of the method are described in Chitta et al. (2014).

Overall, the magnetic extrapolations employed here retrieve the coronal field topology reasonably well, as validated by the observed EUV loops and the traced field lines. This is illustrated in Fig. 6, where we overplot selected magnetic field lines (blue curves) from the extrapolations on top of the EUV coronal image. The observed loops and traced field lines show that there is a magnetic connectivity between the regions near the sunspot and the extended plage region to the east of the sunspot. Some of these loops originate near the yellow box, the region where the UV burst is triggered. For this reason, it is interesting to connect the dynamics of the UV burst to the coronal loop footpoints in its vicinity. We return to this topic in Sect. 4.
Fig. 5. Si IV spectral profiles observed along the vertical line in Fig. 4. Each spectrum is normalised to its maximum and shifted in y-direction by a constant amount to create this stack plot. The y-axis indicates the spatial extent along the vertical line in Fig. 4. The Coloured vertical bars associated with each spectrum show the position of the intensity-weighted Doppler shift of the line, while the colour of the bar indicates the line intensity, according to the colour bar above the plot. Vertical lines indicate zero and ±50 km s\(^{-1}\) Doppler shifts. See Sect. 2.3.

The location of this UV burst coincides with the field lines emerging from the opposite parasitic polarity. To illustrate this, in Fig. 7 we zoom into the region outlined by the white box in Fig. 6. Here we overplot field lines from the extrapolations on the parasitic positive polarity (left panel) and the UV burst (right panel). These field lines extend on either side to about 2–4 Mm, with their apices reaching heights of about 500 km from the photosphere. Furthermore, these field lines connect the parasitic positive magnetic polarity to the neighbouring magnetic elements closer to the sunspot and the pore of opposite polarity.

### 3.3. Fan-spine magnetic topology related to the UV burst

At the location of the UV burst, we find a fan-spine magnetic topology that is also found in large-scale flares (e.g. Masson et al. 2009; Sun et al. 2013). To show this field line topology near the UV burst, we zoom into the region outlined by the yellow box in Fig. 7 (now covering only the UV burst). This view is shown in Fig. 8. Here we plot selected field lines that are locally closed (fan surface) and some field lines that recede away and close elsewhere (spine field lines). To demonstrate the persistence of this fan-spine topology of the magnetic field lines, we plot maps obtained 23 min apart in Fig. 8. From these plots, it is clear that there is a point in the 3D space where the magnetic field vanishes, or in other words, a magnetic null point, which is identified with a cross in each panel. This system of the magnetic field with a fan-spine topology is prone to magnetic reconnection at the null point following shearing disturbances either in the fan surface or the spine field lines (see e.g. Pontin 2011, for an overview of 3D magnetic reconnection regimes).

To investigate the nature of shearing disturbances, we now study simple translational motions of the parasitic magnetic element and the overlying null point. To illustrate the persistent long-term motions, we considered four instances of magnetograms, each separated by 30 time steps\(^2\). We identified the positions of the magnetic element in the magnetograms (see Fig. 2) and tracked the position of the null point. In Fig. 9 we show these tracks separately for the magnetic element (solid lines) and magnetic null (dashed lines). The two features move with speeds slower than 1 km s\(^{-1}\). Photospheric magnetic elements typically exhibit proper motions of \(\approx 1.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) (e.g. Chitta et al. 2012, and references therein) and the speeds quoted here should be considered only as average values (since we measure the positions at a 23 min cadence). The main result here is that the magnetic element moves faster than the null point on average. The magnetic element is displaced by more than 2 Mm, while the magnetic null is displaced by only about 1 Mm during the same time. We interpret this differential motion between the magnetic element and the null as an indication of magnetic shear between the photosphere and the height of the magnetic null. This means that the fan-spine field lines surrounding the magnetic null are subjected to a shear caused by the motion of the photospheric magnetic element. It is interesting to note that when the magnetic field lines around the null experience maximum shear (between 20:37 UT and 21:00 UT), several episodes of intensity enhancements are also observed in IRIS (see Sect. 4 and Figs. 2 and 10). We suggest that these shearing motions caused the formation of a current sheet near the null point, which resulted in the onset of magnetic reconnection and the observed UV burst. This places the formation of the burst in the low chromosphere.

A critical assessment of a variety of nonlinear force-free field (NLFFF) extrapolation algorithms such as the one employed here shows that the accuracy of these algorithms is limited by the quality of the lower boundary (i.e. magnetic maps from observations) and more importantly, by the force-free assumption in the photosphere (Metcalf et al. 2008; De Rosa et al. 2009). An additional inaccuracy in energy estimates arises from the basic assumption in all the NLFFF models that plasma-\(\beta\) is negligible (Peter et al. 2015). Data-driven 3D magnetohydrodynamic models will overcome many of the limitations in NLFFF models, barring the limitation in the quality of the magnetic field in the lower boundary from observations, and provide a more accurate representation of the magnetic field above the photosphere. However, they are computationally expensive and are beyond the scope of the present work.

To mitigate the problem of the magnetic field line connectivity, we here considered a large enough field of view that completely covers the main active region along with a neighbouring active region to the south (see Fig. 6a). Firstly, the field lines traced in the extrapolations match the observed coronal loops reasonably well. Secondly, the identified magnetic null is stable and the observed burst closely follows it. These two points give confidence in the retrieved fan-spine magnetic topology surrounding the burst.

\(^2\) The cadence of HMI magnetograms is 45 s, which means that 30 time steps amount to a duration of 23 min.
Fig. 6. Larger magnetic environment surrounding the UV burst. Panel a shows the map of the SDO/HMI line-of-sight magnetic field saturated at ±250 G. Panel b presents the EUV emission observed with the AIA 171 Å channel showing several coronal loops. Overlaid in blue on both panels are selected field lines derived from a nonlinear force-free field model evolved with a magnetofrictional relaxation method. The larger white box in panel a marks the field of view plotted in Figs. 1 and 7. The smaller yellow box in the two panels is the location of the UV burst observed with IRIS (yellow box in Fig. 7, see also Fig. 2). The larger yellow box in panel b marks the field of view shown in the top panel of Fig. 10. See Sect. 3.2.

Fig. 7. Zoom of the magnetic field distribution in the vicinity of the UV burst. a) Map of the line-of-sight magnetic field in the region outlined by the white box in Fig. 6. Overplotted in red are selected magnetic field lines that connect the parasitic positive-polarity field with the adjacent dominant negative-polarity field. These closed field lines represent the fan surface. In panel b the field lines are overplotted on IRIS SJI 1400 Å image (same as Fig. 1b). The yellow box in the two panels points at the same location as in Fig. 6. See Sects. 3.2 and 3.3.

3.4. Intermittent nature of the UV burst

The event presented here can be considered the result of a prolonged magnetic reconnection releasing bursts of energy in the chromosphere and transition region in an episodic fashion with a duration of 5–10 min per burst. The SJI 1400 Å light curve from the region covering the UV burst is plotted in Figs. 2 and 10 (red curve) to show this intermittent nature. This time period is longer than classical transition region explosive events that have lifetimes of ≈60 s (Dere et al. 1989). Superimposed on the 5–10 min bursts are also shorter-duration intensity fluctuations with timescales of about 60 s.

It will be interesting to see how the reconnection in the fan-spine topology reported here relates to reconnection models of other transition region transients such as explosive events. Recently, Innes et al. (2015) performed numerical simulations of magnetic reconnection leading to explosive events. Starting with an anti-parallel magnetic field geometry, they concluded that the plasmoid instability is a possible scenario to explain the observed line profiles. Using a different approach, Heggland et al. (2009) started with a magnetic field including null points and used a wave-induced magnetic reconnection model to explain the properties of explosive events. Their model produced...
spicule-like jets and spectral signatures similar to those of observed explosive events. Future models and observations will have to show whether a unifying magnetic scenario can be found for all these events.

4. Coronal signatures

The question remains whether the UV burst reported here shows any signatures in coronal emission. From Fig. 10 we see that the UV burst has no clear signature in the EUV emission. However, when we plot the EUV emission near the footpoints of coronal loops in the vicinity of the burst, as observed by various AIA channels, we do see some correlation between the SJII 1400 Å light curve and AIA light curves (bottom panel in Fig. 10). In particular, the channels that are sensitive to the emission at temperatures below 1 MK (e.g. AIA 171 Å and 131 Å) clearly correspond to the evolution of the UV burst.

The coronal loop footpoints are at a projected distance of about 5 Mm away from the burst. The correlation between the AIA 171 Å and 131 Å emission with the SJII intensity suggests, however, that these two features, i.e. the UV burst and coronal loops, are connected. The magnetic field extrapolations (Sects. 3.2 and 3.3) show a fan-spine magnetic topology that supports this remote connection between the burst and the coronal loop footpoints.

In a recent study, Chitta et al. (2016) showed that the coronal loops rooted in sunspot umbrae exhibit supersonic downflows as inferred from transition region lines (e.g. Si iv; O iv). These downflows result from the suppressed convection and subsequent heating cut-off in umbrae such that the coronal loop can no longer support the plasma. However, the loops rooted in the pore here do not show any signature of supersonic downflows. This indicates that there is some energy supply to the loops at the footpoints that keeps the plasma from cooling and draining. This energy supply is likely provided by underlying magnetic reconnection.

It is clear that the magnetic topology overlying the UV bursts will regulate its influence at coronal heights. The hot explosions reported by Peter et al. (2014) do not show signatures in the AIA EUV channels, whereas the flaring arch filament events investigated by Vissers et al. (2015) do show brief signatures in the 171 Å and 193 Å channels. These two studies concentrated on events in the cores of emerging active regions that also host a strong overlying horizontal magnetic field. In contrast, the event reported here is triggered close to a locally vertical field (pore), and this likely channelled part of the magnetic energy from the reconnection process into the coronal loops. Overall, the energy supply to coronal loops due to parasitic polarity magnetic fields at their footpoints is similar to the scenario proposed by Chitta et al. (2017), who showed that coronal loop footpoints are rooted in a small-scale mixed-polarity magnetic field.

5. Conclusions

We reported observations of a UV burst in an evolved active region. With little flux emergence in its vicinity, the burst was triggered by a moving magnetic feature advected by the moat
flow of a sunspot in that active region towards a neighbouring pore of the same magnetic polarity as the spot. The event produced very broad chromospheric and transition region spectral lines with plasma flows in excess of $200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The spatial structure of the burst is split into zones of predominantly up- and downflows, suggesting that the plasma jets are inclined to the line of sight.

Data-driven nonlinear force-free field extrapolations from a time sequence of photospheric magnetograms, evolved with a magneto-frictional relaxation technique, revealed a fan-spine topology surrounding the burst. This field topology is different from the frequently observed undulating flux tubes and bald topology surrounding the burst. This field topology is different from the frequently observed undulating flux tubes and bald topology surrounding the burst.

The coronal response (in EUV emission) of the observed UV burst has its origin in the low chromosphere. In particular, the light curves from the EUV channels sensitive to plasma emission below 1 MK correspond well to the evolution of the UV burst over a duration of one hour. This leads to the interesting proposition that if triggered in a favourable magnetic topology, UV bursts may play a role in the coronal heating as well.
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Fig. 10. Evolution of EUV emission at the footpoints of coronal loops in the vicinity of the UV burst as recorded by AIA and the UV burst as seen in IRIS SJI 1400 Å images. The top panel shows a series of AIA 171 Å snapshots (in negative scale) within the larger yellow box plotted in Fig. 6b, which covers an area of $26^\prime \times 26^\prime$. The white box in each tile indicates the location of the coronal loop footpoints. The contour lines highlight the location of the UV burst in the same way as shown in Fig. 2. In the bottom panel we plot AIA and IRIS SJI light curves. Here each AIA light curve is the average emission from the region outlined by the white box in the top panel. These AIA light curves are background subtracted and normalised to the respective intensities at their start times. The SJI intensity is normalised to its maximum value. The same SJI light curve is also plotted in Fig. 2. See Sect. 4.
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