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ABSTRACT

Context. Coronal rain is composed of cool dense blobs that form in solar coronal loops and are a manifestation of catastrophic cooling linked to thermal instability. The nature and excitation of oscillations associated with coronal rain is not well understood.

Aims. We consider observations of coronal rain in a bid to elucidate the excitation mechanism and evolution of wave characteristics.

Methods. We analyse IRIS and Hinode/SOT observations of an oscillating coronal rain event on the 17th Aug. 2014 and determine the wave characteristics as a function of time using tried and tested time-space analysis techniques.

Results. We exploit the seismological capability of the oscillation to deduce the relative rain mass from the oscillation amplitude. This is consistent with the evolution of the oscillation period showing the loop losing a third of its mass due to falling coronal rain in a 10–15 min time period.

Conclusions. We present the first evidence of the excitation of vertically polarised transverse loop oscillations triggered by catastrophic cooling at the loop top and consistent with two thirds of the loop mass being comprised of cool rain mass.
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1. Introduction

Coronal rain is believed to be associated with catastrophic cooling in coronal plasma in response to localised heating (e.g. Müller et al. 2005; Klimchuk et al. 2008). They are observed in chromospheric and transition region lines as dense blobs of plasma that form near the top of loops and then fall towards the solar surface guided by the magnetic field (Schrijver 2001; De Groof et al. 2004, 2005). Recent observations from the Solar Optical Telescope (SOT) on board Hinode, the Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS) and ground-based observatories such as the Swedish Solar Telescope have demonstrated coronal rain is a common occurrence (e.g. Antolin et al. 2010; Antolin & Rouppe van der Voort 2012; Kleint et al. 2014; Antolin et al. 2015; Straus et al. 2015). Coronal rain accelerates towards the solar surface at overall average values of approximately 80 ± 30 m s$^{-2}$ (Antolin & Rouppe van der Voort 2012), which is considerably smaller than solar gravitational acceleration, even when taking into account the effective gravity in the direction of the guiding magnetic field. Various mechanisms have been proposed to explain this, including plasma pressure effects (Antolin et al. 2010), ponderomotive force when transverse oscillations are present (Verwichte et al. 2017), and the combination of plasma pressure and magnetic tension forces (Mackay & Galsgaard 2001; Kohutova & Verwichte 2017).

Transverse loop oscillations (TLOs) are commonly observed to be polarised in the horizontal direction, reflecting the excitation by a nearby blast wave or large-scale displacement (Aschwanden et al. 1999, 2002; Verwichte et al. 2004). However, there are also examples of vertically polarised TLOs (Wang & Solanki 2004; Mrozek 2011). Correct identification of polarisation is not straightforward as a horizontally polarised TLO seen at the solar limb may appear as an up and down movement in projection. White et al. (2013) observed a vertically polarised TLO in a hot post-flare loop, which was directly connected with the reconnection site below a coronal mass ejection. The excitation mechanism for quiescent coronal loops is unclear. Antolin & Verwichte (2011) showed the presence of transverse oscillations in coronal rain using observations from SOT. Further observational examples from SOT are presented in Verwichte et al. (2017). Kohutova & Verwichte (2016) examined transversely oscillating rain using data from IRIS. These oscillations typically have periods in the order of a few to tens of minutes with projected displacement amplitudes of hundreds of to a thousand km. Antolin & Rouppe van der Voort (2012) has shown that rain is a useful tool for probing the local magnetic field structure. Verwichte et al. (2017) demonstrated that the presence of coronal rain through its concentrated mass may excite transverse loop oscillations and thus provide an additional seismological tool to determine the fraction of the rain mass relative to the total loop mass. This mechanism could explain the excitation of some rain oscillations such as the short-period horizontally polarised TLOs measured by Kohutova & Verwichte (2016). More examples are required to establish whether this type of excitation occurs frequently. In particular, this mechanism could explain vertically polarised TLOs in non-flaring loops without the need for specific external drivers placed below the loop.

2. IRIS and Hinode/SOT observations

We present observations of a coronal loop exhibiting a vertically polarised transverse oscillation in conjunction with coronal rain on the 27th August 2014 seen by IRIS and Hinode/SOT in the time range 08:00–09:30 UT centred at location (950 arcsec E, 200 arcsec N) at the East solar limb (Fig. 1). The IRIS observations are Si IV bandpass slit jaw images at a temporal cadence of 19 s and a spatial pixel size of 0.17 arcsec. The IRIS data has a gap in coverage between 08:49 UT and 09:00 UT. The Hinode/SOT data covers a similar field of view in the
Ca II H 3968.5 Å line bandpass at a temporal resolution of 11 s and a spatial pixel size of 0.11 arcsec (2 × 2 binning). We focus on the highlighted loop in Figs. 1 and 2. Using the projected distance of 34.8 arcsec from the loop top to the solar surface as an estimate of the radius of a semi-circular loop, that is, \( R = 26 \pm 5 \) Mm, a loop length \( L = 80 \pm 20 \) Mm is found (assumed uncertainty of 20%). We estimate the loop inclination to be \( \theta = 10 \pm 5^\circ \).

From 08:42 UT cold plasma condensations are forming at the loop top that quickly fill up to 60% of the loop length. This is visible in the IRIS data but not in the SOT data because of the higher formation temperature of the Si IV line (around 65 000 K) compared with the Ca II H line (chromospheric). Subsequently, cooler rain is seen falling along the loop legs towards the solar surface in both data sets. At the same time as the condensations form, a fundamental vertically polarised transverse oscillation becomes apparent in the loop, as periodic up and down motions of the condensations seen in Figs. 2 and 3. The data gap in IRIS does not allow us to observe the transverse oscillation over its entire time span and it is not visible in the SOT data, (see Fig. 4). However, the oscillation is visible over several periods in the time-distance plot before and after the data gap. We employ a tried and tested semi-automated method, illustrated in Fig. 5, to determine the loop top position as a function of time (Verwichte et al. 2004, 2009). The time-distance plot is filtered using a two-dimensional continuous Mexican Hat wavelet transform to remove linear background trends in intensity to enhance the loop contrast (Witham 1983; White & Verwichte 2012). The loop top position is first estimated by eye, which serves at each instance as the initial guess for the fitting of a Gaussian profile to the filtered loop profile. The half-width of the fitted Gaussian

\( \xi(t) = \xi_0 \cos(2\pi t/T + \phi_0) \) is fitted to the time series using a Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm, where \( \xi_0 \) is the displacement amplitude, \( P \) is the oscillation period, and \( \phi_0 \) is the oscillation phase at the reference time \( t_0 = 07:58:30 \) UT (see Fig. 6). For the time series before the data gap we find \( \xi_{0,1} = 0.3 \pm 0.1 \) arcsec, \( P_1 = 0.22 \pm 0.07 \) Mm, and \( \phi_1 = 0.15 \pm 0.07 \) min. For the time series after the data gap we find \( \xi_{0,2} = 0.2 \pm 0.1 \) arcsec, \( P_2 = 2.1 \pm 0.1 \) min. The ratio of periods is equal to \( P_2/P_1 = 0.81 \pm 0.07 \). If the oscillation is a fundamental standing mode, the phase speeds for both times are found to be \( V_{ph} = 1050 \pm 250 \) km s\(^{-1}\) and \( 1300 \pm 300 \) km s\(^{-1}\), respectively. The displacement amplitude \( \xi_{0,2} \) is only a third less than \( \xi_{0,1} \), which indicates that the excitation of the oscillation is prolonged in time rather than instantaneous. TLOs that are excited impulsively are expected to damp quickly due to resonant absorption (Aschwanden et al. 2002; Ruderman & Roberts 2002).

The IRIS and SOT data sets are complemented with observations from SDO/AIA. Although the spatial resolution of 0.62 arcsec pixel size does not allow us to observe the same oscillation directly, other features are of interest, as is summarised in Fig. 7. Firstly, there is evidence of TLOs in overlying loop in the coronal bandpasses of SDO/AIA, notably 171 Å and 193 Å. Those oscillations have similar periods but much larger displacement amplitudes of approximately 3 arcsec. Secondly, there is a pattern of intensity increase as a function of time in the different bandpasses that agrees with an evolution from high to low temperatures, expected from cooling. Figure 8 shows the differential emission measure curves at various times extracted from the AIA intensities along the track in Fig. 7 using the method outlined in Hannah & Kontar (2012). The initial intensity has
3. Discussion

Verwichte et al. (2017) found that for a vertically polarised transverse oscillation to be excited by a concentrated coronal rain blob, an approximate expression that relates the oscillation displacement amplitude to the coronal rain mass is:

$$\xi_0 = (5.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3} \sqrt{1 - \frac{20}{\pi} \frac{m}{M} L},$$

where $\theta$ is the inclination angle of the loop plane with respect to the photospheric normal, and $m/M$ is the fraction of coronal rain mass relative to the total loop mass. The values found for $\xi_{01}$, $\xi_{02}$, $\theta$ and $L$ are inserted into Eq. (1) and the mass ratios at the two time intervals are found to be

$$\frac{m}{M}_1 = 0.58_{-0.3}^{+0.4}, \quad \frac{m}{M}_2 = \frac{\xi_{02}}{\xi_{01}}, \quad \frac{m}{M}_1 = 0.38_{-0.2}^{+0.4}.$$

The value of $m/M = 0.58$ at the start of the event is consistent with the large amount of coronal rain that had formed simultaneously at that time along a 60% fraction of the loop length. This result is similar to what had been found to explain the short-period horizontally polarised transverse oscillations by Kohutova & Verwichte (2016). Antolin et al. (2015) had shown that in some cases coronal rain may make up most of the loop’s mass. Equation (2) also shows that towards the end of the visible oscillation, the fraction of rain mass has dropped down to 40%.

The evolution of period independently holds information on the rain mass. If we interpret the oscillation to be a (Alfvénic) kink mode, the period of oscillation is proportional to the square root of the internal loop density. Then, $\rho_{02}/\rho_{01} = (P_2/P_1)^2 = 0.65 \pm 0.1$. With the crude assumption that the density varies simultaneously everywhere in the loop, it is found that over a 10–15 min time period the loop has lost a third of its mass due to falling rain. Indeed, from Fig. 4 we see that between 08:45 UT and 09:00 UT substantial rain is moving down both loop legs. For a constant loop volume $M_2/M_1 = (P_2/P_1)^2 = 0.65 \pm 0.1$, this change is solely in rain mass. We combine Eq. (2) with the relations following from an assumption of constant hot plasma mass, that is, $(m/M)_1 = 0.58_{-0.3}^{+0.4}$ and $m_{\text{plasma}} = M_1 - m_1 = M_2 - m_2$, to find an alternate expression for $(m/M)_2$:

$$\frac{m}{M}_2 = 1 - \left(\frac{P_2}{P_1}\right)^2 \frac{m_1}{m_2} = 0.34_{-0.2}^{+0.7}.$$

This result independently confirms the value found in Eq. (2). Alternatively, the two rain mass relations are combined as $P_2^2\xi_{01}/m_1 = P_2^2\xi_{02}/m_2$ equal to a constant that depends on loop length, inclination, initial total mass and magnetic field strength.

The cut along the loop in Fig. 3 shows, in the time period from before 09:00 UT until 09:10 UT at position 40 arcsec to 60 arcsec along the loop, evidence of rain blobs falling in a regular repetition resembling the periodicity of the transverse oscillation. They are highlighted as blue tracks in Fig. 6. We have established a link between change in oscillation period and down flows but evidence of a link between individual rain events and
In conclusion, we have found the first evidence of the excitation of a small-amplitude vertically polarised transverse oscillation during catastrophic cooling in the top of a loop leading to coronal rain. The nature of the excitation is extended in time leading to a “decay-less” oscillation. We have demonstrated that the evolution of the oscillation amplitude and period independently show that the cool rain mass evolves from 60% to 40% of the total loop mass. One-third of the loop mass is lost due to coronal rain falling along the loop legs. Decay-less oscillations with similar periods are observed in the over-arching coronal loops, before and during the rain event, similar in appearance to those reported by (e.g. Wang et al. 2012; Nisticò et al. 2013). This suggests that, rather than continuous footpoint driving or leaky sunspot oscillations (Nisticò et al. 2013), their manifestation may be connected with the catastrophic cooling process (Chin et al. 2010). Further MHD simulations will be presented in future that explore the range of validity of Eq. (1).

Acknowledgements. E.V. acknowledges support from the Warwick STFC Consolidated Grant ST/L000733/1. P.K. acknowledges the support of the UK STFC Ph.D. studentship. We thank P. Antolin and G. Vissers, co-observers during the August 2014 observing campaign at the Swedish Solar Telescope, coordinated with IRIS/Hinode (HIP262). IRIS is a NASA small explorer mission developed and operated by LMSAL with contributions from NASA Ames Research Center and the NSC (Norway). Hinode is a Japanese mission developed and launched by ISAS/JAXA, partnered with NAOJ, NASA and STFC (UK), in cooperation with ESA and NSC (Norway). This research has made use of SunPy, an open-source and free community-developed solar data analysis package written in Python (http://sunpy.org). We acknowledge the referee’s constructive input.

References


oscillation phase is more difficult to ascertain. Assuming this periodicity is real and not due to limited instrumental resolution, we consider two likely explanations. First, if the cool plasma is contained at the loop top in a shallow dip, a superimposed vertical TLO displaces the loop position radially, and periodically removes the dip to allow cool material to fall down the legs. Second, the oscillation causes small perturbations to the critical state of the loop which trigger further catastrophic cooling events with the same periodicity.

Fig. 7. Time-distance plots of the transverse cuts in the SDO/AIA 211 Å, 193 Å, and 171 Å bandpasses, respectively. The top of the loop as seen in IRIS is at x = 15–20 arcsec where the intensity enhancement is visible in 171 Å. Bottom: intensity averaged across the data cut for each AIA bandpass, interpolated along the track highlighted in the 171 Å bandpass, as a function of time, normalised with respect to their peak value.

Fig. 8. Left: differential emission measure as a function of temperature determined from the AIA intensities along the track shown in Fig. 7. The initial intensity has been assumed to be the background intensity and has been subtracted. The dashed curve is a two-Gaussian fit. Right: electron number density, assuming a 10 Mm line-of-sight depth, as a function of EM-weighted average temperature over the interval 5.5 ≤ log T ≤ 6.5.