Anisotropy in the all-sky distribution of galaxy morphological types

Behnam Javanmardi^{1,2,*} and Pavel Kroupa^{2,3}

¹ Argelander Institut für Astronomie der Universität Bonn, Auf dem Hügel 71, 53121 Bonn, Germany
e-mail: behnam@astro.uni-bonn.de

² Helmholtz-Institut fuer Strahlen und Kernphysik, Nussallee 14-16, 53115 Bonn, Germany

³ Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Astronomical Institute, V Holešovičkách 2, 180 00 Praha 8, Czech Republic

Received 26 July 2016 / Accepted 19 September 2016

ABSTRACT

We present the first study of the isotropy of the all-sky distribution of morphological types of galaxies in the Local Universe out to around 200 Mpc using more than 60 000 galaxies from the HyperLeda database. We use a hemispherical comparison method where the sky is divided into two opposite hemispheres and the abundance distribution of the morphological types, T , are compared using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test. By pointing the axis of symmetry of the hemisphere pairs to different directions in the sky, the KS statistic as a function of sky coordinates is obtained. For three samples of galaxies within around 100, 150, and 200 Mpc, we find a significant hemispherical asymmetry with a vanishingly small chance of occurring in an isotropic distribution. Astonishingly, regardless of this extreme significance, the observed hemispherical asymmetry for the three distance ranges is aligned with the celestial equator at the 97.1–99.8% confidence level and with the ecliptic at 94.6–97.6%, estimated using a Monte Carlo analysis. Shifting T values randomly within their uncertainties has a negligible effect on this result. When a magnitude limit of $B \leq 15$ mag is applied to these samples, the galaxies within 100 Mpc show no significant anisotropy after randomization of T . However, the direction of the asymmetry in the samples within 150 and 200 Mpc and the same magnitude limit is found to be within an angular separation of 32 degrees from $(l, b) = (123.7, 24.6)$ with a 97.2% and 99.9% confidence level, respectively. This direction is only 2.6 degrees away from the celestial north pole. Unless the Local Universe has a significant anisotropic distribution of galaxy morphologies aligned with the orientation or the orbit of the Earth (which would be a challenge for the Cosmological Principle), our results show that there seems to be a systematic bias in the classification of galaxy morphological types between the data from the northern and the southern equatorial sky. Further studies are absolutely needed to find the exact source of this anisotropy.

Key words. galaxies: structure – galaxies: statistics – galaxies: abundances – cosmology: observations – methods: data analysis

1. Introduction

Galaxies appear in various shapes and are observed to have a range of different properties; one of the main ways of studying their evolution is to classify them based on these observed features. The most widely known classification of galaxies, which is famously known as “Hubble’s tuning fork”¹, categorizes the (mostly nearby) galaxies into a range of morphological types based on bulge/disk domination. This classification was later revised by [de Vaucouleurs \(1959\)](#) who added a numerical value to each Hubble type and also to the intermediate stages.

The morphology of galaxies is closely linked to their physical properties and those of their environments ([Sandage 1975](#); [Kormendy 1982](#); [van den Bergh 1998](#); [Abraham 1998](#); [Calvi et al. 2012](#)), and is one of the important tools for studying galaxy formation and evolution. Bulge formation scenarios depend on galaxy formation models ([Hopkins et al. 2010](#); [Kroupa 2015](#); [López-Corredoira & Kroupa 2016](#); [Combes 2016](#)); distinct galaxy types are observed to have very different

stellar populations and star formation rates ([Grebel 2011](#)) and different spectral properties ([Sánchez Almeida et al. 2011](#)), and their inner structure, such as bar and bulge types, is connected with their observed kinematics ([Molaeynezhad et al. 2016](#)). For a study on the evolution of the Hubble sequence see [Delgado-Serrano et al. \(2010\)](#) and for a recent review on galaxy morphology see [Buta \(2013\)](#).

Though the majority of the bright nearby galaxies fit into the Hubble’s tuning fork classification, the high redshift galaxies detected by deep surveys and the low surface brightness dwarf galaxies, whose number is increasing thanks to various surveys inside and outside the Local Group (e.g., [Bechtol et al. 2015](#); [Javanmardi et al. 2016](#), respectively), are hard to classify using the standard morphological classification system ([Naim et al. 1997](#); [Abraham & van den Bergh 2001](#)).

One of the most widely used classification schemes is that of [de Vaucouleurs](#) compiled in the Third Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies (RC3; [de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991](#)) from which many other catalogs extract the morphological types of different galaxies. As pointed out by [Makarov et al. \(2014\)](#), visual inspection has been the main method of classification in RC3.

The need for an automated morphology classification has been known for decades ([Naim 1995](#)) and has been used in recent years (see, e.g., the recent studies by [Huertas-Company et al. 2015](#); and [Kuminski & Shamir 2016](#), and references therein).

* Member of the International Max Planck Research School (IMPRS) for Astronomy and Astrophysics at the Universities of Bonn and Cologne.

¹ Based on a classification originally published in [Reynolds \(1920\)](#) and later by [Hubble \(1926\)](#), and on the tuning fork of [Jeans \(1928\)](#). Its famous form was later presented in [Hubble \(1936\)](#). See [Block & Freeman \(2015\)](#) for a historical note.

Nair & Abraham (2010) and Baillard et al. (2011) used the data from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) and attempted to improve the visual classification of galaxy morphologies with the aim of paving the way for automated galaxy classification by providing training sets and calibration samples (for other catalogs of galaxy morphologies see Fukugita et al. 2007; Shibuya et al. 2015; Herrera-Endoqui et al. 2015; Krywult et al. 2017; Poudel et al. 2016; Psychogyios et al. 2016). In general, the goal is to achieve a catalog of the morphological types of the observed galaxies as complete and systematic-free as possible and to have a well-defined classification method applicable to future large galaxy surveys. A catalog of this kind is obviously crucial for studies of galaxy formation and evolution.

In this work, and for the first time, we search for possible deviations from isotropy in the all-sky distribution of the morphological types of galaxies within ≈ 200 Mpc using the HyperLeda database. Based on the Cosmological Principle (generally understood to be confirmed by most of the observations so far), on sufficiently large scales the properties of the Universe, including the distribution of galaxy types, should be statistically isotropic. Therefore, deviations from isotropy can be a hint of systematic issues with the morphological classification of galaxies or with the homogenization of catalogs.

On the other hand, it is vital to re-inspect the assumption of isotropy with various observations (Maartens 2011) and this is one of the motivations of our study. If a significant deviation from cosmic isotropy is detected and confirmed by various data sets, cosmology will face a major paradigm change. During the last decade, probing isotropy in all-sky extragalactic data has become a vibrant research topic and continues to deliver interesting results. Tegmark et al. (2003), Eriksen et al. (2004), and Hansen et al. (2004) reported some large-scale anisotropies (hemispherical asymmetry and quadrupole-octopole alignment) in the cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation data from the WMAP satellite. These CMB anomalies have recently been confirmed by the Planck Collaboration XXIII (2014) suggesting that they are not artifacts caused by the detectors or data-reduction procedures (see also Akrami et al. 2014; Rassat et al. 2014; Copi et al. 2015; Mukherjee et al. 2016). Javanmardi et al. (2015) found an anisotropy in the magnitude-redshift relation of high redshift Type Ia Supernovae (SNe Ia) that is significantly aligned with the direction of the CMB dipole and very close to the CMB quadrupole-octopole alignment (for similar studies see Carvalho & Marques 2016; Bengaly 2016; Migkas & Plionis 2016; Lin et al. 2016, and references therein). Also, an inconsistency between the amplitude of the observed dipole in the distribution of radio galaxies and the value expected from the CMB dipole has been reported in Singal (2011), Rubart & Schwarz (2013), and Tiwari & Nusser (2016). For a recent review on various isotropy studies see Zhao & Santos (2016).

The isotropy of the spatial distribution of galaxies has been probed by various authors (Gibelyou & Huterer 2012; Yoon et al. 2014; Appleby & Shafieloo 2014; Alonso et al. 2015; Bengaly et al. 2017). These studies have found some mild anisotropies with different directions, but none of them has reported a significant deviation.

In our analysis, we consider three distance ranges separately: galaxies with radial velocity less than 7000, 10 000, and 14 000 km s^{-1} (equivalent to around 100, 150, and 200 Mpc from us, respectively²). Based on the standard model of cosmology, at such distance scales and especially beyond ≈ 150 Mpc (Marinoni et al. 2012) the distribution of galaxies should be

statistically isotropic. For each distance range, we separate the galaxies by dividing the sky into two opposite hemispheres and compare their morphological type distribution using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. By pointing the axis of symmetry of our hemispheric cut towards different directions on the sky and repeating the test, we find the pair of hemispheres with the greatest difference in the distribution of morphological types and quantify the significance of the difference.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we describe our sample from the HyperLeda database. Our method of analysis is explained in Sect. 3. We present the results in Sect. 4, discuss them critically in Sect. 5, and finally we summarize and conclude in Sect. 6.

2. Data

HyperLeda (Paturel et al. 2003a,b; Makarov et al. 2014) is a large database of more than three million objects, around 1.5 million of which are confirmed galaxies that uniformly cover the entire sky except for the region around the Galactic plane. This database contains different observed properties like magnitude, surface brightness, color, redshift, and morphological type of galaxies. These measurements have been homogenized to standard systems and are updated when new measurements become available (Makarov et al. 2014).

The morphological types, T , in HyperLeda are homogenized values based on compilation of morphology measurements from the literature. These types are in the de Vaucouleurs scale (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991) ranging from $T = -5$ for elliptical galaxies to $T = 10$ for irregular galaxies. Most of these measurements are obtained by visual inspection of optical images. After the homogenization (which also takes into account the quality of each measurement), the best possible value and its uncertainty for each galaxy is determined. They are not limited to integer values and have an accuracy up to the first decimal digit in the HyperLeda database (e.g., $T = 2.2 \pm 0.7$ for the galaxy NGC 3368). The information on the instruments used for these measurements are not kept in the database, but can be found in the individual works³.

For the purpose of this study, we limit our sample to all the confirmed galaxies that have a radial velocity in the CMB reference frame, V_{CMB} , lower than 14 000 km s^{-1} . This velocity corresponds to a distance of about 200 Mpc. This gives us around 200 000 galaxies from the HyperLeda database. For each galaxy, we obtain its equatorial and Galactic coordinates, its morphological type (if available), its corresponding uncertainty (σ_T), corrected apparent total B magnitude, and absolute B -band magnitude (M_B) from the database.

More than 65 000 of these galaxies ($\approx 33\%$ of the sample) have a measured morphological type assigned to them in the database⁴. In order to increase the robustness of our analysis, we exclude the ≈ 3000 galaxies (around 5% of the galaxies with T) for which an absolute B -band magnitude is not available⁵. This condition gives us the final sample of more than 62 000 galaxies that we used for our statistical analysis, hereafter referred to as “the whole sample”. The faintest object in the sample has

³ The authors obtained some of the information in this paragraph from a private communication with Dmitry Makarov (one of the team members of HyperLeda) in August 2016.

⁴ Our sample was downloaded from the database in May 2016. The database might have been updated since then.

⁵ We consider the effect of including them in our analysis in Sect. 5.1.1.

² Assuming the Hubble constant value of $H_0 = 70.0 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$.

Fig. 1. Number distribution of the T values for the galaxies in the HyperLeda database with $V_{\text{CMB}} < 14\,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and a measured M_B . In all the bins only the left edge is included in the counting (e.g., for the first bin $-5 \leq T < 4$) except for the last bin, which also includes the right edge (i.e., $9 \leq T \leq 10$). This is the same for the rest of the histogram plots in this paper.

$B = 23.5 \text{ mag}$, but only around 2500 galaxies in the sample have $B \geq 17.0 \text{ mag}$ ⁶. The number distribution of the T values of the whole sample is shown in Fig. 1 and its sky distribution in the Galactic coordinate system is shown in Fig. 2 with the color code being V_{CMB} in units of km s^{-1} . We see that galaxies are distributed uniformly across the sky (except for the region around the Galactic plane) and we see no trace of any particular survey.

3. Method

3.1. Hemispherical comparison

We consider a particular hemisphere of the sky whose pole is pointing at a direction $\hat{r}$ with longitude and latitude (l, b) in the Galactic coordinate system. We then separate the galaxies into two groups: those inside that hemisphere and the ones that are in the opposite hemisphere whose pole is pointing towards $-\hat{r}$ with coordinates $(l + 180^\circ, -b)$. We then compare the morphological distribution of these two sets of galaxies. The aim is to vary $\hat{r}$ to point towards different directions on the sky and repeat the hemispheric division and distribution comparison in order to find the pair of hemispheres with the greatest difference in the distribution of T and to calculate the significance of the difference. We start from the northern Galactic hemisphere, so $-\hat{r}$ will be in the southern hemisphere. We pixelize the sky using a HEALPix⁷ grid (Górski et al. 2005) with 768 pixels (or directions). This gives us 384 pairs of opposite hemispheres for our analysis.

3.2. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

A simple but powerful statistical method for quantifying the level of consistency of the distribution of two data sets is the

Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test. This non-parametric method is used to test whether two data sets come from the same parent distribution. After separating the galaxies into two groups using the hemispheric cut (see Sect. 3.1), we obtain the cumulative distribution functions, $S_{\hat{r}}(T)$ and $S_{-\hat{r}}(T)$, of the morphological types in the hemispheres pointing at $\hat{r}$ and $-\hat{r}$, respectively. These distribution functions are normalized so that their highest value is equal to unity. The KS statistic as a measure of the difference between these two distributions is simply the maximum value of the absolute difference between $S_{\hat{r}}(T)$ and $S_{-\hat{r}}(T)$ (Press et al. 1986):

$$D(\hat{r}) = \max_{-5 \leq T \leq 10} |S_{\hat{r}}(T) - S_{-\hat{r}}(T)|. \quad (1)$$

We find $D(\hat{r})$ for the 384 hemisphere pairs to determine the direction with the highest D .

4. Results

4.1. Hemispherical comparison and the directions with the greatest difference

Sky maps of $D(\hat{r})$ for the three radial velocity ranges are shown in the left panel of Fig. 3. The value in each pixel is obtained by applying the KS test on the hemisphere whose pole is pointing towards the center of that pixel and its opposite hemisphere. Obviously, the D for each direction $\hat{r}$ and its opposite direction $-\hat{r}$ is exactly the same since it is a measure of the difference between them. On each map, the direction with the greatest difference, $\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$, and its opposite direction, $-\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$, are marked by a star and a circle, respectively. It can be seen that the general trend and the $\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ in all three maps are very close to each other.

In Table 1, we list the coordinates of the $\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ and the corresponding KS results, D_{max} , for the three V_{CMB} ranges. The number of total galaxies in the HyperLeda database within each radial velocity range, N_{tot} , and the number of galaxies that have both T and M_B and were used in our analysis, N_a , are also listed in this table. We see that D_{max} decreases when the distance increases from $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000$ to $10\,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, but increases again when going to $V_{\text{CMB}} < 14\,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, while $\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ remains unchanged in this step.

In this table, we also list the number of galaxies in the pair of hemispheres corresponding to D_{max} , namely $N_{\hat{r}_{\text{max}}}$ and $N_{-\hat{r}_{\text{max}}}$. We see that their difference is small for all three distance ranges, but to check whether these directions are related or are close to the directions with the greatest difference in the number of galaxies, we can look at the variation in the number of galaxies in each sample across the sky. In the right panel of Fig. 3, the number of galaxies in the hemispheres whose poles are pointing towards each pixel is plotted. We also show $\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ and $-\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ as in the left panel. Although these are only the galaxies that have a T value, the change in the number is smooth; the maximum, M , is consistently close to the direction that the Local Group moves towards (with respect to the CMB rest frame; see, e.g., Gibelyou & Huterer 2012), and the minimum, m , is in the opposite direction. Hence, the directions corresponding to D_{max} are very different from those with the greatest difference in the number of galaxies.

In the first two columns of Fig. 4 we show the distribution of T in the hemispheres towards $\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ and $-\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ for the three V_{CMB} ranges. Their cumulative distributions are shown in the third column of this figure. We can see two prominent differences between the morphological distribution of galaxies in these opposite hemispheres. First, the number of galaxies with

⁶ We also apply magnitude limits to our sample in Sect. 5.1.4.

⁷ Hierarchical Equal Area isoLatitude Pixelation, <http://healpix.sourceforge.net/>

Fig. 2. Sky distribution of all the galaxies in the HyperLeda database with $V_{\text{CMB}} < 14\,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ that have T and M_B , in the Galactic coordinate system. The color code is radial velocity, V_{CMB} , in km s^{-1} and the celestial equator is shown by a black solid curve. The sample uniformly covers all the sky (except for the regions in and around the disk of our Galaxy).

$9 \leq T \leq 10$ (i.e., Sm and Im types) in the direction of $\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ is around twice as high as the number of these types in the direction $-\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ in all three V_{CMB} ranges. Second, the number of galaxies with $-1 \leq T < 0$ (i.e., S0⁺ types) in the direction of $-\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ is more than twice the number of these galaxies in the direction of $\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$, again for all three V_{CMB} ranges. In the latter case, a clear jump in the cumulative distribution functions is visible. Another increase in this function is at $T = 5$ (i.e., Sc galaxies) and their number is higher towards $-\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ in all the three V_{CMB} ranges.

Finally, we emphasize that the results are almost the same in all three of the distance ranges.

4.2. Significance of the observed asymmetry

Given the assumption that the distribution of galaxies is statistically isotropic in the distance ranges under consideration and therefore that the distribution of morphologies is statistically similar in different directions, we calculate the significance of the observed anisotropy using the KS distribution and different Monte Carlo (MC) analyses.

4.2.1. KS distribution

The null hypotheses here is that the morphological types in the opposite hemispheres towards $\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ and $-\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ come from the same parent distribution. The probability that this hypothesis is true is equal to the probability of obtaining a difference

greater than (or equal to) the observed difference: $p(D_{\text{max}}) = \text{Prob}_{\text{KS}}(D \geq D_{\text{max}})$. This can be calculated using the standard two-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov distribution, which is a function of the number of data points (see Press et al. 1986, for the details). The third column of Table 2, contains the probabilities, $p(D_{\text{max}})$, obtained for each D_{max} (which are listed again in this table for ease of comparison). These values are dramatically low and basically consistent with zero. To better understand these values, we can compare them to those for the directions with the smallest difference, D_{min} , which are also listed in Table 2 as $p(D_{\text{min}})$. In other words, $p(D_{\text{min}})$ corresponds to the pair of opposite hemispheres with the most similar distributions of T . We see that $p(D_{\text{min}})$ is many orders of magnitude higher than $p(D_{\text{max}})$ for all the three distance ranges.

4.2.2. Monte Carlo: isotropic realizations

In an effort to investigate the significance calculated from the KS distribution even further, we can use MC analyses. In the first method, we create mock galaxy samples with an isotropic distribution of morphologies by shuffling the position of the galaxies in the analysis while keeping the sky distribution fixed to the original one. Although shuffling can (in some cases) place a late-type galaxy at the center of a galaxy cluster (where an elliptical is usually located), it is still a good way to have an estimate of the distribution of D in the case of a fully random sky distribution. We create 1000 random realizations with an isotropic distribution and repeat the hemispherical comparison method and find the pair of hemispheres with the highest D for

Fig. 3. *Left:* sky maps of D from the KS test in the Galactic coordinate system from *top to bottom* for $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000$, $10\,000$, and $14\,000\text{ km s}^{-1}$. The value in each pixel is obtained from the KS comparison of the pair of hemispheres with axis of symmetry along the center of that pixel. The opposite direction of each pixel has exactly the same value. On each map, the direction $\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ is marked by a star and its opposite direction, $-\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$, by a circle. *Right:* variation in the number of galaxies in each sample across the sky, also in the Galactic coordinate system. The value of each pixel is the number of galaxies in a hemisphere whose pole is pointing towards that pixel. The directions with the minimum and the maximum number are denoted by small m and capital M , respectively. We see that the directions with the greatest difference in the distribution of morphologies are very different from those with the greatest difference in the number of galaxies (see Sect. 4.1).

Table 1. Our three radial velocity ranges and their corresponding estimated distances, the total number of galaxies from the HyperLeda database N_{tot} for each range and the number of galaxies N_a used in our analysis (i.e., having T and M_B).

$V_{\text{CMB}}/(\text{km s}^{-1}) <$	$\approx d$ (Mpc)	N_{tot}	N_a	$\hat{r}_{\text{max}} = (l, b)$	D_{max}	$N_{\hat{r}_{\text{max}}}$	$N_{-\hat{r}_{\text{max}}}$
7000	100	62 314	29 830	(112.5, 35.7)	0.143	15 268	14 562
10 000	150	118 858	46 533	(118.1, 30.0)	0.115	24 307	22 226
14 000	200	198 971	62 125	(118.1, 30.0)	0.128	31 194	30 931

Notes. The Galactic coordinates of the directions having the greatest difference, $\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$, and their corresponding KS statistic, D_{max} , are listed in Cols. 5 and 6, respectively. The number of galaxies in the pair of hemispheres with the greatest difference, $N_{\hat{r}_{\text{max}}}$ and $N_{-\hat{r}_{\text{max}}}$ are also listed. We note that these two numbers are similar in all three cases.

Table 2. Probability $p(D_{\max})$ (from the KS distribution) that the observed difference, $D_{\max}$, occurs if the morphologies in the pair of hemispheres are from the same parent distribution.

$V_{\text{CMB}}/(\text{km s}^{-1}) <$	$D_{\max}$	$p(D_{\max})$	$D_{\min}$	$p(D_{\min})$
7000	0.143	10^{-133}	0.022	1.7×10^{-3}
10 000	0.115	10^{-134}	0.018	8.2×10^{-4}
14 000	0.128	10^{-221}	0.018	6.7×10^{-5}

Notes. For comparison, we list the lowest value obtained from the hemispherical comparison, $D_{\min}$, and its corresponding probability, $p(D_{\min})$, for each radial velocity range (see Sect. 4.2.1). We note that $p(D_{\min})$ is orders of magnitude higher than $p(D_{\max})$ in all three cases.

each of the realizations. For all three distance ranges, the number of realizations having a $D_{\max}$ equal to or higher than the observed values is zero. Actually, the highest $D_{\max}$ values obtained in 1000 realizations are 0.029, 0.027, and 0.019 for $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000$, 10 000, and 14 000 km s^{-1} , respectively, which are much lower than the observed values of $D_{\max}$.

4.2.3. Monte Carlo: random sampling

In the second MC approach, we randomly draw $N_{\hat{r}_{\max}}$ galaxies from each of the distance ranges (regardless of their coordinates) and compare their T distribution with the rest of the galaxies (whose number amounts to $N_{-\hat{r}_{\max}}$) with the KS test. We repeat this 100 000 times and again in none of the random samples do we find a D as high as or higher than the observed $D_{\max}$ values. In this case, the highest D values obtained in 100 000 realizations are 0.028, 0.022, and 0.020 for $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000$, 10 000, and 14 000 km s^{-1} , respectively, which are similar to those obtained by the shuffling method.

These MC results (and the ones from Sect. 4.2.2) were expected from the calculated probabilities from the KS distribution and together they show that it is extremely improbable that the observed values of $D_{\max}$ can occur out of an isotropic distribution of galaxy morphologies.

4.3. Alignment with the celestial equator and the ecliptic

The observed significant anisotropy has a peculiar feature that requires further analysis. The direction $\hat{r}_{\max}$ for all three distance ranges is very close to the celestial north pole (CNP) and is also relatively close to the ecliptic north pole (ENP); in other words, the plane separating the hemispheres corresponding to $D_{\max}$ is aligned with the celestial equator and the ecliptic. The angular separations between $\hat{r}_{\max}$ and the CNP, α_{CNP} , and the ENP, α_{ENP} , are listed in Table 3. Such an alignment is totally unexpected even if the result from the KS test would not have been significant. Since $\hat{r}_{\max}$ is close to both the CNP and the ENP, we quantify the significance of the alignment to both of them.

4.3.1. Significance of the alignment from Monte Carlo analysis

Using the 1000 isotropic realizations from the MC analysis in Sect. 4.2.2, we find the fractions, f_{CNP} and f_{ENP} , of isotropic samples in which the direction with the highest D has the same or better alignment with the CNP and the ENP. These values are also listed in Table 3. To obtain these fractions we do not consider the significance of the anisotropy (i.e., the value of $D_{\max}$) and we only take the direction into account. The fraction of

Table 3. Angular separation between $\hat{r}_{\max}$ and the celestial, α_{CNP} , and the ecliptic, α_{ENP} , north poles.

$V_{\text{CMB}}/(\text{km s}^{-1}) <$	α_{CNP}	α_{ENP}	f_{CNP}	f_{ENP}
7000	12°3	14°7	0.029	0.024
10 000	5°1	18°8	0.003	0.054
14 000	5°1	18°8	0.002	0.052

Notes. The fraction of 1000 random realizations in which $\hat{r}_{\max}$ is as aligned as or more aligned than the observed direction with the CNP, f_{CNP} , and the ENP, f_{ENP} , is also listed (see Sect. 4.3.1).

random samples with better alignment and higher $D_{\max}$ would be zero as is obvious from the results in Sect. 4.2. Interestingly, f_{CNP} shows that even when the significance of the KS test is not taken into account, the hemispherical asymmetry is aligned with the celestial equator at the 97.1%, 99.7%, and 99.8% confidence levels for $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000$, 10 000, and 14 000 km s^{-1} , respectively. The alignment of the observed hemispherical asymmetry with the ecliptic is at the 94.6–97.6% confidence levels, depending on the distance range under consideration. Actually, the alignment with the ENP is slightly more significant than with the CNP for the sample with $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. It may be worth noting that one of the CMB anomalies, i.e., the hemispherical asymmetry in the power spectrum, is also aligned with the ecliptic plane (Planck Collaboration XXIII 2014).

4.4. Effect of shuffling T within σ_T on the significance and the direction of the anisotropy

The uncertainty of the morphological types, σ_T , for the whole sample ranges between 0.1 and 6.6 with an average $\bar{\sigma}_T = 1.9$. The majority of galaxies have $\sigma_T \approx 2.0$. When a σ_T is available for a galaxy in the HyperLeda database, it means that several astronomers have classified that galaxy. All the galaxies in our sample have a σ_T assigned to their T values. By performing another MC analysis, we check the extent to which random uncertainties reduce the significance of the observed asymmetry and change its direction. To achieve this, we replace the morphological type T_i of each galaxy by $T_i + \Delta T$ where ΔT is randomly drawn from a Gaussian distribution with zero mean and standard deviation σ_{T_i} . We then perform the hemispherical comparison on the new T values. This process of randomization of T and search for asymmetry is repeated 1000 times for the three distance ranges. Figure 5 shows the distribution of $D_{\max}$ obtained from this MC analysis.

In 99.9% of these realizations, $p(D_{\max})$ is lower than 1.5×10^{-58} , 4.2×10^{-58} , and 3.2×10^{-96} for $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000$, 10 000, and 14 000 km s^{-1} , respectively. For the $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ range, the direction $\hat{r}_{\max}$ remains exactly the same in 73.4% of the realizations, while in the rest $\hat{r}_{\max}$ is within 9°1 of the observed direction. For the sample with $V_{\text{CMB}} < 10 000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, 83.3% of the cases yield the same $\hat{r}_{\max}$ and the rest have an $\hat{r}_{\max}$ within 7°4 of the observed direction. Finally, for the distance range $V_{\text{CMB}} < 14 000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, in all 1000 realizations the direction $\hat{r}_{\max}$ remains exactly the same as the observed direction. Therefore, shuffling T within σ_T has little to no effect on the direction of the asymmetry found in these distance limited samples.

In Fig. 6, we show the distribution of galaxy morphologies for the three radial velocity ranges in our study in the equatorial

Fig. 4. Distribution of morphologies in the hemisphere pairs with the greatest difference, i.e., towards $\hat{r}_{\max}$ and $-\hat{r}_{\max}$, in the first and second columns and their corresponding cumulative distributions in the third column from *top to bottom* for $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000$, $10\,000$, and $14\,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The prominent differences are at $9 \leq T \leq 10$ and $-1 \leq T < 0$.

coordinate system⁸. The black dotted line is the plane of the ecliptic, and the red solid line is the plane separating the pair of hemispheres corresponding to $D_{\max}$ for each case. As can be seen, the observed hemispherical asymmetry is very close to both the ecliptic plane and the celestial equator for $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, but is closer to the celestial equator for $V_{\text{CMB}} < 10\,000$ and $14\,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. In the case of $V_{\text{CMB}} < 14\,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, the number of galaxies in the analysis increases by more than 30% with respect to this number for $V_{\text{CMB}} < 10\,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; however, the direction of $\hat{r}_{\max}$ does not change. We can clearly see a region to the south of the celestial equator occupied mostly

with early-type galaxies and the northern sky is more populated by late-type galaxies.

5. Discussion

At the moment, our results cannot be compared with other studies because this is the first time that the isotropy of galaxy morphological types has been investigated. The previous statistical studies of the morphological types (e.g., [Nair & Abraham 2010](#); [de Lapparent et al. 2011](#)) were limited to only a fraction of the sky. The studies on the isotropy of the number distribution of galaxies by [Gibelyou & Huterer \(2012\)](#) and [Alonso et al. \(2015\)](#) and on the isotropy of the luminosity function of galaxies by [Appelby & Shafieloo \(2014\)](#) did not report a significant deviation from isotropy, but their reported directions are

⁸ All of our analyses were done in the Galactic coordinate system; plotting the distribution of T in the equatorial coordinate system is only to help see the observed alignments more easily.

Fig. 5. The probability distribution of $D_{\max}$ for 1000 realizations in which T_i of each galaxy is replaced by $T_i + \Delta T$ where ΔT is randomly drawn from a Gaussian distribution with zero mean and standard deviation σ_{T_i} . From left to right for $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000$, 10 000 and 14 000 km s^{-1} , respectively. See Sect. 4.4.

relatively close to the direction of the CMB dipole. The studies by Yoon et al. (2014) and Bengaly et al. (2017) of the isotropy of the galaxy number counts both found (with low significance) similar dipole directions of $(l, b) = (310, -15)$ and $(l, b) = (323, -5)$ that are different from the above-mentioned studies, but are not far from the $-\hat{r}_{\max}$ directions in our study.

5.1. Sample selection effects

Since the anisotropy we found in the distribution of morphological types is very significant and unexpectedly aligned with the CNP and ENP, it is necessary to examine the results more closely. In this section we discuss the cases regarding our sample that could affect the results.

5.1.1. Including the galaxies without M_B

As we mentioned in Sect. 2, the galaxies that do not have an available M_B in the HyperLeda database were excluded from our analysis. Although they constitute only about 5% of the galaxies with T , we checked their effect on the results. We repeated the hemispherical comparison analysis for the whole sample of galaxies with $V_{\text{CMB}} < 14\,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, this time including the ≈ 3000 galaxies without M_B . The direction with the greatest difference remains exactly the same and $D_{\max} = 0.124$. Hence, including these galaxies does not affect the final results.

5.1.2. Excluding galaxies with large error on T

Here we applied a strict cut and excluded all the galaxies with $\sigma_T \geq 3.0$ from the whole sample with $V_{\text{CMB}} < 14\,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which left us with 50 195 galaxies for the analysis. The hemispherical comparison again results in exactly the same direction and a slightly smaller difference, $D_{\max} = 0.121$. Therefore, excluding the galaxies with large error on T does not have a significant effect on the results either.

5.1.3. Distance tomography

The subsamples we considered are not independent of each other, i.e., the whole sample with all the galaxies within $V_{\text{CMB}} < 14\,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ includes the other two samples with $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000$ and $10\,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Here we repeat the hemispherical

comparison analysis for the two independent subsamples of galaxies with $7000 < V_{\text{CMB}}/(\text{km s}^{-1}) < 10\,000$, and $10\,000 < V_{\text{CMB}}/(\text{km s}^{-1}) < 14\,000$. For the first sample, $D_{\max} = 0.132$ and $p(D_{\max}) = 4.6 \times 10^{-63}$, and for the second sample, $D_{\max} = 0.203$ and $p(D_{\max}) = 9.0 \times 10^{-139}$. For both of them, the direction $\hat{r}_{\max}$ is $(l, b) = (118.1, 30.0)$, i.e., exactly the same as those of the samples with $V_{\text{CMB}} < 10\,000$ and $14\,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (see Table 1). The KS test shows that the distance range $10\,000 < V_{\text{CMB}}/(\text{km s}^{-1}) < 14\,000$ has the greatest asymmetry in the distribution of T . The overall results remain unchanged.

5.1.4. Applying a magnitude limit to the sample

The factor that is more likely to affect the results is that a large number of galaxies within $14\,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in the HyperLeda database do not have a T value. Had we known the morphological types of these galaxies, the result could be different. Unfortunately, this information is not available at the moment.

However, we can test the effect of completeness by limiting the analysis to all the galaxies brighter than a certain magnitude. We put a conservative magnitude limit and confine our hemispherical comparison analysis to the galaxies with $B \leq 15$ mag. The galaxy sample from HyperLeda with $V_{\text{CMB}} < 14\,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $B \leq 15$ mag is around 99% complete, i.e., around 99% of galaxies in the database with these two conditions have a T value. This is the same for the samples with $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000$ and $10\,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and the same magnitude limit. The results of the hemispherical comparison for the three distance ranges are shown in Table 4. We see that the $D_{\max}$ values are lower than the values for the samples without the magnitude limit. Although the level of anisotropy decreases and the values of $p(D_{\max})$ are orders of magnitude higher than those for the samples without the $B \leq 15$ mag limit, they are still quite low and the KS result is still very significant (compare the values of $p(D_{\max})$ to those of $p(D_{\min})$ which are also listed in Table 4). The direction of $\hat{r}_{\max}$ did not change for the range $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; however, for $V_{\text{CMB}} < 10\,000$ and $14\,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, the $\hat{r}_{\max}$ is farther away from the ENP, but interestingly is even more aligned with the CNP having only a $2^\circ 6'$ angular separation.

We apply the MC method explained and used in Sect. 4.4 to check the effect of shuffling T within σ_T on the anisotropy observed in these samples. For $V_{\text{CMB}} < 10\,000$ and $14\,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, 97.2% and 99.9% of the realizations have their $\hat{r}_{\max}$ within $32^\circ 0'$

of the observed direction⁹, and all the realizations have their $p(D_{\max})$ lower than 2.2×10^{-5} and 6.8×10^{-7} , respectively. However, in the case of the sample with $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, only 17.0% of the realizations have their $\hat{r}_{\max}$ within 32° of the observed direction, the rest have their $\hat{r}_{\max}$ towards various directions and ranging to more than 80° away from the observed $\hat{r}_{\max}$. Although shuffling T within σ_T washes out the asymmetry in the sample with $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $B \leq 15 \text{ mag}$, the anisotropy in the samples with $V_{\text{CMB}} < 10000$ and 14000 km s^{-1} and $B \leq 15$ (especially the latter) remain significantly close to the observed direction of $\hat{r}_{\max}$.

Figure 7 shows the number distribution of different T values in the hemisphere pairs corresponding to $D_{\max}$ for the magnitude limited samples. We also show the difference in the number of each T bin between the two hemispheres. The greatest difference is for the galaxies with $-5 \leq T \leq -4$, $-3 \leq T \leq -2$, $3 \leq T \leq 4$, and $5 \leq T \leq 6$, in the order of relative difference. Based on these number differences we see that if $\approx 30\%$ of galaxies with $3 \leq T \leq 4$ in the north and $\approx 34\%$ of galaxies with $5 \leq T \leq 6$ in the south had their morphological types in the range $4 \leq T \leq 5$, and at the same time $\approx 35\%$ of galaxies with $-5 \leq T \leq -4$ in the north and $\approx 40\%$ of galaxies with $-3 \leq T \leq -2$ in the south had their morphological types in the range $-4 \leq T \leq -3$, then the anisotropy would be partially alleviated. However, even in this case, the number of galaxies with $4 \leq T \leq 5$ would be $\approx 17\%$ more in the south and the number of galaxies with $-4 \leq T \leq -3$ would be $\approx 25\%$ more in the north. Actually, it is not straightforward to tell what sort of shift in the T values will remove the asymmetry. Figure 8 shows the distribution of the morphological types of the magnitude limited samples in the equatorial coordinate system. By comparing this with Fig. 6 we can see that the obvious sharp contrast between the north and the south in the case of the $V_{\text{CMB}} < 10000$ and 14000 km s^{-1} samples is no longer visible, but the hemispherical asymmetry (shown by the red solid curve) is more aligned with the celestial equator. Applying the $B \leq 15.0 \text{ mag}$ limit reduces the level of anisotropy, but does not remove it.

However, given that the sky coverage of the distance limited sample is fairly uniform and covers a wide range of apparent magnitude as well as a full range of distances, and that the two hemispheres with the greatest difference have a similar number of galaxies, the question is raised as to why the distance limited sample, though incomplete, should be biased by a certain type of galaxy in one hemisphere and by a different type in the opposite one.

6. Summary and conclusion

We presented the first probe of isotropy of the distribution of morphological types of galaxies in the Local Universe. Using the de Vaucouleurs morphological types of more than 60 000 galaxies with radial velocity $V_{\text{CMB}} < 14000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (corresponding to a distance within $\approx 200 \text{ Mpc}$) from the HyperLeda database, we searched for any directional difference in the distribution of morphological types. We used a hemispherical comparison method and by dividing the sky into two opposite hemisphere pairs, compared the frequency of morphological types, T , using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The KS test was applied to hemisphere pairs with the axis of symmetry pointing at the centers of the pixels of a HEALPix grid. This gave us all-sky maps of

⁹ We chose the value 32° because it is the maximum angular separation of $\hat{r}_{\max}$ for 99.9% of the realizations of the sample with $V_{\text{CMB}} < 14000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $B \leq 15 \text{ mag}$.

Fig. 6. Equatorial coordinate system distribution of all the galaxies in the HyperLeda database with measured T and M_B , from top to bottom corresponding to $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000$, 10000 , and 14000 km s^{-1} . The color code is T , the empty parts are the region around the disk of the Milky Way, the plane of the ecliptic is shown with a dotted line, and the pair of hemispheres with the greatest difference in the distribution of morphologies are divided by a red solid line.

the KS statistics, D . We performed this analysis for three radial velocity ranges, i.e., for galaxies with $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000$, 10000 , and 14000 km s^{-1} (corresponding to distances of about 100, 150, and 200 Mpc).

The directions $\hat{r}_{\max}$ corresponding to the hemisphere pairs with the greatest difference, $D_{\max}$, were found to be similar for the three distance ranges. These directions are very far from the directions with the greatest difference in the number of galaxies. Under the assumption that the galaxy morphologies should be statistically isotropic in the distance ranges under consideration, the probability of obtaining the observed difference or greater from the KS distribution, $p(D_{\max})$, was found to be $\leq 10^{-133}$. In addition, using a Monte Carlo analysis and by creating 1000 isotropic realizations, the number of realizations with equal or higher $D_{\max}$ was found to be zero and the highest values

Table 4. Results for the samples with $B \leq 15$ mag.

V_{CMB} (km s $^{-1}$) <	$\hat{r}_{\text{max}} = (l, b)$	α_{CNP}	α_{ENP}	D_{max}	$p(D_{\text{max}})$	D_{min}	$p(D_{\text{min}})$
7000	(112.5, 35.7)	12°3	14°7	0.071	1.5×10^{-22}	0.016	0.15
10 000	(123.7, 24.6)	2°6	24°8	0.069	2.2×10^{-31}	0.010	0.48
14 000	(123.7, 24.6)	2°6	24°8	0.074	4.7×10^{-43}	0.009	0.44

Notes. With this magnitude limit, around 99% of the galaxies in the HyperLeda database within all three radial velocity ranges in our study have a T value. We note that for the $V_{\text{CMB}} < 10\,000$ and $14\,000$ km s $^{-1}$ samples, the direction of $\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ is only 2°6 away from the celestial north pole.

Fig. 7. Distribution of morphologies in the hemispheres towards $\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ (positive numbers) and $-\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ (negative numbers) for the samples with $B \leq 15$ mag, from left to right corresponding to $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000$, $10\,000$, and $14\,000$ km s $^{-1}$. The number difference between the two hemispheres for each T is shown by red bars.

of D_{max} obtained from the 1000 realizations were found to be an order of magnitude lower than the observed values.

Interestingly, the hemispherical asymmetry that we found in the distribution of the morphological types of galaxies is aligned with both the ecliptic and the celestial equator planes. The direction $\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ for the sample with $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000$ km s $^{-1}$ is only 12°3 and 14°7 away from the celestial and the ecliptic north poles, respectively. Using our Monte Carlo analysis with 1000 isotropic realizations, we quantified the significance of the alignment with the ecliptic to be at the 97.6% confidence level, and that of the alignment with the celestial equator to be at the 97.1% (both not taking into account the extreme significance obtained from the KS test). It may be interesting to note that the hemispherical asymmetry in the CMB power spectrum discovered in the WMAP data and confirmed by the *Planck* satellite is also aligned with the plane of the ecliptic (Planck Collaboration XXIII 2014).

For the other two samples with $V_{\text{CMB}} < 10\,000$ and $14\,000$ km s $^{-1}$, the observed anisotropy is aligned with the celestial equator at the 99.7% confidence level, with an angular separation of only 5°1. In general, when looking at the sky distribution of morphological types of the whole sample ($V_{\text{CMB}} < 14\,000$ km s $^{-1}$), the northern sky is more populated by late-type galaxies whereas early-type galaxies are the dominant type in the southern sky. In particular, the greatest difference in the abundance of morphological types is observed to be related to the galaxies with $9 \leq T \leq 10$ (i.e., Sm and Im types) whose number is two times higher towards the northern sky, and the galaxies with $-1 \leq T < 0$ (i.e., S0 $^{+}$ types) whose number is more than twice as high in the southern sky. Excluding galaxies with large uncertainty on T (i.e., $\sigma_T \geq 3.0$) does not affect the direction of the asymmetry. Also, repeating the analysis on the independent subsamples of galaxies with $7000 < V_{\text{CMB}}$ (km s $^{-1}$) $< 10\,000$ and

$10\,000 < V_{\text{CMB}}$ (km s $^{-1}$) $< 14\,000$ yields similar directions for the greatest difference in the distribution of T .

To increase the completeness of our sample, we applied a conservative magnitude limit and included only the galaxies with $B \leq 15.0$ mag in our analysis. This resulted in a decrease in the values of D_{max} , though still with a small probability of consistency with the null hypothesis of isotropy of $p(D_{\text{max}}) \leq 10^{-21}$ for all three distance ranges. For the sample with $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000$ km s $^{-1}$, the direction of $\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ remained unchanged with respect to the sample without the magnitude limit; however, for the samples with $V_{\text{CMB}} < 10\,000$ and $14\,000$ km s $^{-1}$ and $B \leq 15.0$ mag the direction of $\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ was found to be closer to the celestial north pole with only 2°6 angular separation, i.e., the hemispherical asymmetry is even more aligned to the celestial equator than in the samples with the same distance ranges but without the magnitude limit.

Using an additional 1000 Monte Carlo realizations in each of which the T values are shifted randomly within their uncertainties, we quantified the effect of random errors on the anisotropy in the distance limited samples to be negligible. However, for the $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000$ km s $^{-1}$ and $B \leq 15.0$ mag sample, shuffling T within σ_T can change the direction of anisotropy towards various directions in each realization, meaning that the anisotropy in this sample is not significant. On the other hand, in 97.2% and 99.9% of the realizations for $V_{\text{CMB}} < 10\,000$ and $14\,000$ km s $^{-1}$ and $B \leq 15.0$ mag, the direction $\hat{r}_{\text{max}}$ is within 32°0 of the observed direction showing that the anisotropy in these samples (especially in the latter one) is robust against the effect of random errors. For these two samples, the number of galaxies with $-5 \leq T < -4$ and with $3 \leq T < 4$ is respectively around 50% and 40% higher and the number of galaxies with $-3 \leq T < -2$ and $5 \leq T < 6$ is respectively around 40% and 34% lower in

Fig. 8. Equatorial coordinate system distribution of all the galaxies in the HyperLeda database with $B \leq 15.0$ mag and measured T and M_B , from top to bottom corresponding to $V_{\text{CMB}} < 7000$, $10\,000$, and $14\,000$ km s $^{-1}$. The color code is T , the empty parts are the region around the disk of the Milky Way, the plane of the ecliptic is shown with a dotted line, and the pair of hemispheres with the greatest difference in the distribution of morphologies are divided by a red solid line. Though the sharp contrast seen in Fig. 6 is no longer visible, the hemispherical asymmetry obtained from the KS test is even more aligned with the celestial equator for galaxies with $V_{\text{CMB}} < 10\,000$ and $14\,000$ km s $^{-1}$.

the northern sky. A simple shift (e.g., $+1$ or -1) in the T values of one hemisphere does not decrease the value of D_{max} (it actually increases it in most cases). Various combinations of shifts in T around $-5 \leq T < -2$ and around $3 \leq T < 6$ can only partially alleviate the tension in the number of morphological types between north and south.

If this significant deviation from isotropy is real and not due to issues with the catalog and the classifications, it could mean that the galaxies in these two opposite directions have different evolution and/or formation histories which would be a major challenge for the Cosmological Principle. However, the fact that

the asymmetry has an equatorial north-south alignment indicates that most probably its source is a systematic bias in the classification of morphological types between the data of the northern and the southern sky possibly because different telescopes (with different systematics) were used to observe these galaxies.

The morphological types cataloged in RC3 and the HyperLeda database have been used for many studies, and some other catalogs and online databases extract the morphological information from them. Our results indicate that some of the studies based on this morphological information may need to be reconsidered. In addition, we should not forget that these classifications have mostly been done visually and it is necessary to put even more effort into making well-defined quantitative and automated approaches for morphological classifications. Further investigations are indispensable in order to uncover the exact reason for the anisotropy we found in this study.

Acknowledgements. We thank the referee for the critical and constructive comments. We are very grateful to Dmitry Makarov for his kind and helpful correspondence and very useful information on the HyperLeda database. We thank Christian Henkel and David Martínez-Delgado for useful discussions; Jan Pflamm-Altenburg, Cristiano Porciani, Fateme Kamali, and Hosein Haghi for their constructive comments; Jens Erler for his kind help with HEALPix; and Michael Marks and Patrick Simon for useful discussions on statistics. We acknowledge the usage of the HyperLeda database (<http://leda.univ-lyon1.fr>), HEALPix package, and the matplotlib plotting library.

References

- Abraham, R. G. 1998, ArXiv Astrophysics e-prints [[astro-ph/9809131](https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9809131)]
- Abraham, R. G., & van den Bergh, S. 2001, *Science*, **293**, 1273
- Akrami, Y., Fantaye, Y., Shafieloo, A., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **784**, L42
- Alonso, D., Salvador, A. I., Sánchez, F. J., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, **449**, 670
- Appleby, S., & Shafieloo, A. 2014, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.*, **10**, 070
- Baillard, A., Bertin, E., de Lapparent, V., et al. 2011, *A&A*, **532**, A74
- Bechtol, K., Drlica-Wagner, A., Balbinot, E., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, **807**, 50
- Bengaly, Jr., C. A. P. 2016, *J. Cosmology Astropart. Phys.*, **4**, 036
- Bengaly, Jr., C. A. P., Bernui, A., Alcaniz, J. S., Xavier, H. S., & Novaes, C. P. 2017, *MNRAS*, **464**, 768
- Block, L. D., & Freeman, C. K. 2015, A Walk with Dr Allan Sandage—Changing the History of Galaxy Morphology, Forever, eds. K. Freeman, B. Elmegreen, D. Block, & M. Woolway (Cham: Springer International Publishing), 1
- Buta, R. J. 2013, Galaxy Morphology, eds. J. Falcón-Barroso, & J. H. Knapen, 155
- Calvi, R., Poggianti, B. M., Fasano, G., & Vulcani, B. 2012, *MNRAS*, **419**, L14
- Carvalho, C. S., & Marques, K. 2016, *A&A*, **592**, A102
- Combes, F. 2016, *Galactic Bulges*, **418**, 413
- Copi, C. J., Huterer, D., Schwarz, D. J., & Starkman, G. D. 2015, *MNRAS*, **449**, 3458
- de Lapparent, V., Baillard, A., & Bertin, E. 2011, *A&A*, **532**, A75
- de Vaucouleurs, G. 1959, *Handbuch der Physik*, **53**, 275
- de Vaucouleurs, G., de Vaucouleurs, A., Corwin, Jr., H. G., et al. 1991, Third Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies, Vol. I: Explanations and references, Vol. II: Data for galaxies between 0 $^{\text{h}}$ and 12 $^{\text{h}}$, Vol. III: Data for galaxies between 12 $^{\text{h}}$ and 24 $^{\text{h}}$
- Delgado-Serrano, R., Hammer, F., Yang, Y. B., et al. 2010, *A&A*, **509**, A78
- Eriksen, H. K., Hansen, F. K., Banday, A. J., Górski, K. M., & Lilje, P. B. 2004, *ApJ*, **605**, 14
- Fukugita, M., Nakamura, O., Okamura, S., et al. 2007, *AJ*, **134**, 579
- Gibelyou, C., & Huterer, D. 2012, *MNRAS*, **427**, 1994
- Górski, K. M., Hivon, E., Banday, A. J., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, **622**, 759
- Grebel, E. K. 2011, in Computational Star Formation, eds. J. Alves, B. G. Elmegreen, J. M. Girart, & V. Trimble, *IAU Symp.*, **270**, 335
- Hansen, F. K., Banday, A. J., & Górski, K. M. 2004, *MNRAS*, **354**, 641
- Herrera-Endoqui, M., Díaz-García, S., Laurikainen, E., & Salo, H. 2015, *A&A*, **582**, A86
- Hopkins, P. F., Bundy, K., Croton, D., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **715**, 202
- Hubble, E. P. 1926, *ApJ*, **64**, 321
- Hubble, E. P. 1936, Realm of the Nebulae (New Haven: Yale University Press)
- Huertas-Company, M., Gravet, R., Cabrera-Vives, G., et al. 2015, *ApJS*, **221**, 8
- Javanmardi, B., Porciani, C., Kroupa, P., & Pflamm-Altenburg, J. 2015, *ApJ*, **810**, 47

- Javanmardi, B., Martinez-Delgado, D., Kroupa, P., et al. 2016, *A&A*, **588**, [A89](#)
- Jean, J. H. 1928, *Astronomy and Cosmogony* (Cambridge University Press)
- Kormendy, J. 1982, Morphology and dynamics of galaxies, Proc. of the Twelfth Advanced Course, Saas-Fee, Switzerland, March 29–April 3, Sauverny, Switzerland, Observatoire de Genève, 1983, [12](#), [113](#)
- Kroupa, P. 2015, *Can. J. Phys.*, **93**, [169](#)
- Krywult, J., Tasca, L. A. M., Pollo, A., et al. 2017, *A&A*, in press, DOI: [10.1051/0004-6361/201628953](#)
- Kuminski, E., & Shamir, L. 2016, *ApJS*, **223**, [20](#)
- Lin, H.-N., Li, X., & Chang, Z. 2016, *MNRAS*, **460**, [617](#)
- López-Corredoira, M., & Kroupa, P. 2016, *ApJ*, **817**, [75](#)
- Maartens, R. 2011, *Philosoph. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. Ser. A*, **369**, [5115](#)
- Makarov, D., Prugniel, P., Terekhova, N., Courtois, H., & Vauglin, I. 2014, *A&A*, **570**, [A13](#)
- Marinoni, C., Bel, J., & Buzzi, A. 2012, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.*, **10**, [036](#)
- Migkas, K., & Plionis, M. 2016, *Rev. Mex. Astron. Astrofis.*, **52**, [133](#)
- Molaeinezhad, A., Falcón-Barroso, J., Martínez-Valpuesta, I., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, **456**, [692](#)
- Mukherjee, S., Aluri, P. K., Das, S., Shaikh, S., & Souradeep, T. 2016, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.*, **6**, [042](#)
- Naim, A. 1995, *Astrophys. Lett. Commun.*, **31**, [87](#)
- Naim, A., Ratnatunga, K. U., & Griffiths, R. E. 1997, *ApJ*, **476**, [510](#)
- Nair, P. B., & Abraham, R. G. 2010, *ApJS*, **186**, [427](#)
- Paturel, G., Petit, C., Prugniel, P., et al. 2003a, *A&A*, **412**, [45](#)
- Paturel, G., Theureau, G., Bottinelli, L., et al. 2003b, *A&A*, **412**, [57](#)
- Planck Collaboration XXIII. 2014, *A&A*, **571**, [A23](#)
- Poudel, A., Heinämäki, P., Nurmi, P., et al. 2016, *A&A*, **590**, [A29](#)
- Press, W. H., Flannery, B. P., & Teukolsky, S. A. 1986, *Numerical recipes, The art of scientific computing*
- Psychogios, A., Charmandaris, V., Diaz-Santos, T., et al. 2016, *A&A*, **591**, [A1](#)
- Rassat, A., Starck, J.-L., Paykari, P., Sureau, F., & Bobin, J. 2014, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.*, **8**, [006](#)
- Reynolds, J. H. 1920, *MNRAS*, **80**, [746](#)
- Rubart, M., & Schwarz, D. J. 2013, *A&A*, **555**, [A117](#)
- Sánchez Almeida, J., Aguerri, J. A. L., Muñoz-Tuñón, C., & Huertas-Company, M. 2011, *ApJ*, **735**, [125](#)
- Sandage, A. 1975, Classification and Stellar Content of Galaxies Obtained from Direct Photography, eds. A. Sandage, M. Sandage, & J. Kristian (*The University of Chicago Press*), [1](#)
- Shibuya, T., Ouchi, M., & Harikane, Y. 2015, *ApJS*, **219**, [15](#)
- Singal, A. K. 2011, *ApJ*, **742**, [L23](#)
- Tegmark, M., de Oliveira-Costa, A., & Hamilton, A. J. 2003, *Phys. Rev. D*, **68**, [123523](#)
- Tiwari, P., & Nusser, A. 2016, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.*, **3**, [062](#)
- van den Bergh, S. 1998, Galaxy Morphology and Classification
- Yoon, M., Huterer, D., Gibelyou, C., Kovács, A., & Szapudi, I. 2014, *MNRAS*, **445**, [L60](#)
- Zhao, W., & Santos, L. 2016, ArXiv e-prints [[arXiv:1604.05484](#)]