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**ABSTRACT**

As many organic molecules, formic acid (HCOOH) has two conformers (trans and cis). The energy barrier to internal conversion from trans to cis is much higher than the thermal energy available in molecular clouds. Thus, only the most stable conformer (trans) is expected to exist in detectable amounts. We report the first interstellar detection of cis-HCOOH. Its presence in ultraviolet (UV) irradiated gas exclusively (the Orion Bar photodissociation region), with a low trans-to-cis abundance ratio of 2.8 ± 1.0, supports a photoswitching mechanism: a given conformer absorbs a stellar photon that radiatively excites the molecule to electronic states above the interconversion barrier. Subsequent fluorescent decay leaves the molecule in a different conformer form. This mechanism, which we specifically study with ab initio quantum calculations, was not considered in Space before but likely induces structural changes of a variety of interstellar molecules submitted to UV radiation.
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1. **Introduction**

Conformational isomerism refers to isomers (molecules with the same formula but different chemical structure) having the same chemical bonds but different geometrical orientations around a single bond. Such isomers are called conformers. An energy barrier often limits the isomerization. This barrier can be overcome by light. Photosomerization (or photoswitching) has been studied in ice IR-irradiation experiments (e.g., Maçôas et al. 2004), in biological processes, and, for large polyatomic molecules, in gas-phase experiments (Ryan & Levy 2001). HCOOH is the simplest organic acid and has two conformers (trans and cis) depending on the orientation of the hydrogen single bond. The most stable trans conformer was the first acid detected in the interstellar medium (ISM; Zuckerman et al. 1971). Gas-phase trans-HCOOH shows moderate abundances towards hot cores (Liu et al. 2001) and hot corinos (Cazaux et al. 2003), in cold dark clouds (Cernicharo et al. 2012), and in cometary coma (Bockelée-Morvan et al. 2000). Solid HCOOH is present in interstellar ices (Keane et al. 2001) and in chondritic meteorites (Briscoe & Moore 1993).

The ground-vibrational state of cis-HCOOH is 1365 ± 30 cm⁻¹ higher in energy than the trans conformer (Hocking 1976). The energy barrier to internal rotation (the conversion from trans to cis) is approximately 4827 cm⁻¹ (Hocking 1976), approximately 7000 K in temperature units. This is much higher than the thermal energy available in molecular clouds (having typical temperatures from approximatley 10 to 300 K). Generalizing this reasoning, only the most stable conformer of a given species would be expected in such clouds. Photoswitching, however, may be a viable mechanism producing the less stable conformers in detectable amounts: a given conformer absorbs a high-energy photon that radiatively excites the molecule to electronic states above the interconversion energy barrier. Subsequent radiative decay to the ground-state would leave the molecule in a different conformer.

In this work we searched for pure rotational lines of the trans- and cis-HCOOH conformers in the 3 millimetre spectral band. We observed three prototypical interstellar sources known to display a very rich chemistry and bright molecular line emission: (i) the Orion Bar photodissociation region (PDR): the edge of the Orion cloud irradiated by ultraviolet (UV) photons from nearby massive stars (e.g., Goicoechea et al. 2016); (ii) the Orion hot core: warm gas around massive protostars (e.g., Tercero et al. 2010); and (iii) Barnard 1-b (B1-b): a cold dark cloud (e.g., Cernicharo et al. 2012). The two latter sources are shielded from strong UV radiation fields. We only detect cis-HCOOH towards the Orion Bar. This represents the first interstellar detection of the conformer.

2. **Source selection and observations**

Because of its nearly edge-on orientation, the Orion Bar PDR is a template source to study the molecular content as the far-UV radiation field (FUV; stellar photons with energies below 13.6 eV, or wavelengths (λ) longer than 911 Å, the hydrogen atom ionisation threshold) is attenuated from the cloud edge to the interior (Hollenbach & Tielens 1999). The impinging FUV radiation field at the edge of the Bar is approximatley 4 × 10⁴ times the mean interstellar radiation field (e.g., Goicoechea et al. 2016, and references therein). We observed three positions of the Bar characterized by a decreasing FUV photon flux.

We have used the IRAM-30 m telescope (Pico Veleta, Spain) and the 90 GHz EMIR receiver. We employed the Fast Fourier
Transform Spectrometer (FFTS) backend at 200 kHz spectral resolution (0.7 km s\(^{-1}\) at 90 GHz). Observations towards the Orion Bar are part of a complete millimetre (mm) line survey (80–360 GHz, Cuadrado et al. 2015). They include specific deep searches for HCOOH lines in the 3 mm band towards three different positions located at a distance of 14′′, 40′′, and 65′′ from the ionisation front (Fig. 1A). Their offset coordinates with respect to the \(\alpha_{2000} = 05^h35^m20.1^s\), \(\delta_{2000} = -05^d25^m07.0^s\) position at the ionisation front are (+10′′, −10′′), (+30′′, −30′′), and (+35′′, −55′′). The observing procedure was position switching with a reference position at (−600′′, 0′′) to avoid the extended emission from the Orion molecular cloud. The half power beam width (HPBW) at 3 mm ranges from ∼30.8′′ to 21.0′′. We reduced and analyzed the data using the GILDAS software as described in Cuadrado et al. (2015). The antenna temperature, \(T_A\), was converted to the main beam temperature, \(T_{MB}\), using \(T_{MB} = T_A/\eta_{MB}\), where \(\eta_{MB}\) is the antenna efficiency (\(\eta_{MB} = 0.87−0.82\) at 3 mm). The rms noise obtained after 5 h integration is ∼1–5 mK per resolution channel.

We also searched for HCOOH in regions shielded from strong FUV radiation fields (see Appendix E). We selected two chemically rich sources for which we have also carried out deep mm-line surveys with the IRAM-30 m telescope: towards the hot core in Orion BN/KL (Tercero et al. 2010) and towards the quiescent dark cloud Barnard 1-b (B1-b; Cernicharo et al. 2012).

3. Results

3.1. Line identification

We specifically computed the cis-HCOOH rotational line frequencies by fitting the available laboratory data (Winnewisser et al. 2002) with our own spectroscopic code, MADEX (Cernicharo 2012). The standard deviation of the fit is 60 kHz. For the trans conformer, higher-frequency laboratory data (Cazzoli et al. 2010) were also used in a separate fit. The standard deviation of the fit for trans-HCOOH is 42 kHz. These deviations are smaller than the frequency resolution of the spectrometer we used to carry out the astronomical observations. Formic acid is a near prolate symmetric molecule with rotational levels distributed in different \(K_a\) rotational ladders (\(K_a = 0, 1, 2\ldots\)). Both \(a\) and \(b\)-components of its electric dipole moment \(\mu\) exist (Winnewisser et al. 2002). The dipole moments of the cis conformer (\(\mu_a = 2.650\) D and \(\mu_b = 2.710\) D, Hocking 1976) are stronger than those of the trans conformer (\(\mu_a = 1.421\) D and \(\mu_b = 0.210\) D, Kuze et al. 1982).

In total, we identify 12 rotational lines of cis-HCOOH and 10 of trans-HCOOH above 3\(\sigma\) towards the FUV-illuminated edge of the Orion Bar, (+10′′, −10′′) position. The detected lines from the cis- and trans-HCOOH are shown in Figs. 2 and D.1, respectively. Lines attributed to HCOOH show a Gaussian line profile centred at the systemic velocity of the Orion Bar (10.4 ± 0.3 km s\(^{-1}\)). Lines are narrow, with line widths of 1.9 ± 0.3 km s\(^{-1}\). The large number of detected lines, and the fact that none of the lines correspond to transitions of abundant molecules known to be present in the Bar or in spectroscopic line catalogues, represents a robust detection of the cis conformer. The observational parameters and Gaussian fit results are tabulated in Tables F.1 and F.2 for the cis and trans conformer, respectively.

3.2. Line stacking analysis

Complex organic molecules have relatively low abundances in FUV-irradiated interstellar gas (Guzmán et al. 2014). Indeed, detected trans-HCOOH lines are faint. To improve the statistical significance of our search towards the positions inside the Bar, we performed a line stacking analysis. For each observed position, we added spectra at the expected frequency of several HCOOH lines that could be present within the noise level (sharing similar rotational level energies and Einstein coefficients). The spectra in frequency scale were first converted to local standard of rest (LSR) velocity scale and resampled to the same velocity channel resolution before stacking. We repeated this procedure for trans-HCOOH lines. This method allows us to search for any weak line signal from the two conformers that could not be detected individually.

Figure 1B shows a comparison of the stacking results for cis and trans-HCOOH lines towards the three target positions in the Bar. Although we detect trans-HCOOH in all positions, emission from the cis conformer is only detected towards the position located closer to the cloud edge, (+10′′, −10′′). They demonstrate that cis-HCOOH is detected close to the FUV-illuminated edge of the Bar, but that the emission disappears towards the more shielded cloud interior.

A similar stacking analysis was carried out for the Orion hot core and B1-b spectra. Although we detect several trans-HCOOH lines, the cis conformer was not detected towards the hot core and the cold dark cloud (see Appendix E).

3.3. Trans-to-cis abundance ratios

Given the number of HCOOH lines detected towards the Bar, we can determine the column density and rotational temperatures of both conformers accurately (see Appendix D). In particular, we infer a low trans-to-cis abundance ratio of 2.8 ± 1.0. The non-detection of cis-HCOOH towards the Orion hot core and B1-b (see Appendix E) provides much higher trans-to-cis limits (>100 and >60, respectively). This suggests that the presence
These studies indicate that the geometrical configuration has been observed in laser-induced experiments performed in the S barrier from the trans state. The fluorescence mechanism from the S state (different photodissociation channels) depend on the specific energy of the FUV photons and on the initial HCOOH conformer. In- terestingly, absorption of lower energy photons does not dissociate the molecule but induces fluorescent emission. In particular, HCOOH fluorescence from the S_1 excited electronic state has been observed in laser-induced experiments performed in the \( \lambda = 2500–2700 \) Å range (Ioannoni et al. 1990; Brouard & Wang 1992). These studies indicate that the geometrical configuration of the two hydrogen atoms is different in the S_0 and S_1 states. The fluorescence mechanism from the S_1 state is a likely route for the \( \text{trans} \rightarrow \text{cis} \) isomerization. In addition, the isomerization barrier from the S_1 state (~1400 cm\(^{-1}\)) is much lower than from the ground.

In order to quantify the role of the photoswitching mechanism, we carried out ab initio quantum calculations and determined the HCOOH potential energy surfaces of the S_0 and S_1 electronic states as a function of the two most relevant degrees of freedom, \( \phi_1 \) the torsional angle of OH and \( \phi_2 \), the torsional angle of CH (see Appendix A and Fig. A.1). With this calculation we can compute the position of the photon absorptions leading to fluorescence (those in the approximate \( \lambda = 2300–2800 \) Å range), and the probabilities to fluoresce from one conformer to the other (the \( \text{trans-to-cis} \) and \( \text{cis-to-trans} \) photoswitching cross-sections and probabilities, see Fig. 3).

With knowledge of \( N_{\text{ph}}(\lambda) \), the FUV photon flux in units of photon cm\(^{-2} \) s\(^{-1} \) Å\(^{-1} \), we can calculate the number of \( \text{trans-to-cis} \) and \( \text{cis-to-trans} \) photoisomerizations per second (\( \xi_{\text{tc}} \) and \( \xi_{\text{ct}} \), respectively, see Appendix B). In the absence of any other mechanism destroying HCOOH, the \( \xi_{\text{tc}}/\xi_{\text{ct}} \) ratio provides the \( \text{trans-to-cis} \) abundance ratio in equilibrium. The time needed to reach the equilibrium ratio is then \( (\xi_{\text{tc}} + \xi_{\text{ct}})^{-1} \cdot N_{\text{ph}}(\lambda) \), and thus \( \xi_{\text{tc}} \) and \( \xi_{\text{ct}} \) depend on the FUV radiation sources (type of star) and on the cloud position. Describing the cloud depth position in terms of the visual extinction into the cloud (\( A_V \)), one magnitude of extinction is equivalent to a column density of approximately \( 10^2 \) H\(_2\) molecules per cm\(^2\) in the line-of-sight.

In general (for a flat, wavelength-independent FUV radiation field), HCOOH photodissociation will always dominate over fluorescence (photodissociation cross-sections are larger and the relevant photons can be absorbed over a broader energy range, \( E > 5 \) eV). The strength and shape of the interstellar FUV radiation field, however, are a strong function of \( A_V \) and are very sensitive to the dust and gas absorption properties. Because of the wavelength-dependence of the FUV-absorption process, \( N_{\text{ph}}(\lambda) \) drastically changes as one moves from the cloud edge to the shielded interior. In particular, the number of low-energy FUV photons (e.g., below 5 eV) relative to the high-energy photons (e.g., those above 11 eV dissociating molecules such as CO and ionising atoms such as carbon) increases with \( A_V \). In this work we used a FUV radiative transfer and thermochemical model (Le Petit et al. 2006; Goicoechea & Le Bourlot 2007) to estimate \( N_{\text{ph}}(\lambda) \) at different positions of the Orion Bar. The well-known “2175 Å bump” of the dust extinction curve.
(absorption of $\lambda = 1700−2500$ Å photons by PAHs and small carbonaceous grains, Cardelli et al. 1989; Joblin et al. 1992) greatly reduces the number of HCOOH dissociating photons relative to those producing HCOOH fluorescence (Fig. 3, bottom panel). The resulting FUV radiation spectrum, $N_{\text{ph}}(\lambda)$, at different $A_{V}$ is used to calculate $\xi_{C}$ and $\xi_{\text{e}}$ (Table B.1). We determine that at a cloud depth of approximately $A_{V} = 2−3$ mag, and if the number of HCOOH dissociating photons is small compared to the number of photons producing photoisomerization (i.e., most photons with $E > 5$ eV have been absorbed), the cis conformer should be detectable with a trans-to-cis abundance ratio of approximately 3.5−4.1. These values are remarkably close to the trans-to-cis ratio inferred from our observations of the Bar.

Closer to the irradiated cloud edge ($A_{V} = 0−2$ mag), photodissociation destroys the molecule much faster than the time needed for the trans-to-cis isomerization. On the other hand, too deep inside the cloud, the flux of $E > 5$ eV photons decreases to values for which the isomerization equilibrium would take an unrealistic amount of time ($\sim 10^{5}$ yr for $A_{V} = 5$ mag). Therefore, our detection of cis-HCOOH in irradiated cloud layers where CO becomes the dominant carbon carrier (a signature of decreasing flux of high-energy FUV photons) agrees with the photoswitching scenario.

For standard grain properties and neglecting HCOOH photodissociation, we calculate that the time needed to achieve a low trans-to-cis abundance ratio and make cis-HCOOH detectable at $A_{V} = 2−3$ mag is $10^{3}−10^{5}$ yr (see Table B.1). This is reasonably fast, and shorter than the cloud lifetime. In practice, it is not straightforward to quantify the exact contribution of HCOOH photodissociation and photoisomerization at different cloud positions. The above time-scales require that the flux of $E > 5$ eV dissociating photons is small compared to those producing fluorescence. This depends on the specific dust absorption properties, that sharply change with $A_{V}$ as dust populations evolve (Draine 2003), on the strength and width of the 2175 Å extinction bump, and on the role of molecular electronic transitions blanketing the FUV spectrum. The similar trans-HCOOH line intensities observed towards the three positions of the Bar (Fig. 1) suggest that even if the HCOOH photodestruction rate increases at the irradiated cloud edge, the HCOOH formation rate (from gas-phase reactions or desorbing directly from grain surfaces, Garrod et al. 2008) must increase as well. The inferred HCOOH abundances are not particularly high, $(0.6−3.0) \times 10^{-10}$ with respect to H. Hence, modest HCOOH photodestruction and formation rates are compatible with the photoswitching mechanism occurring in realistic times.

Although the observed abundances of trans- and cis-HCOOH in the Orion Bar are compatible with gas-phase photoisomerization, we note that photoswitching may also occur on the cis-HCOOH to-wards the Orion Bar opens new avenues to detect a variety of less stable conformers in Space. This could have broad implications in astrochemistry and astrobiology.
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Appendix A: Ab initio estimation of fluorescence cross-sections and photoisomerization probabilities

In this appendix we demonstrate that the detected cis-HCOOH towards the Orion Bar can be produced by a gas-phase photo-switching mechanism. To estimate the FUV photon absorption cross-sections and probabilities of the trans-cis photoisomerization process, we start calculating the potential energy surfaces of the HCOOH $S_0$ and $S_1$ electronic states as a function of the two most relevant degrees of freedom, the torsional angle of OH ($\phi_1$), and the torsional angle of CH ($\phi_2$) (see Fig. A.1).

We performed ic-MRCI-F12 ab initio calculations using the MOLPRO suite of programs\(^1\) with the VDZ-F12 basis set. The obtained results agree with the stationary points previously reported by Maeda et al. (2012, 2015). The molecular orbitals and reference configurations were determined with a CASSCF calculation using 16 active orbitals. The optimized equilibrium geometries in the $S_0$ and $S_1$ electronic states are in agreement with previous results, corresponding to planar and bent trans-HCOOH conformers, respectively. They are listed in Table A.1. For trans-HCOOH, the normal modes in the $S_0$ state have the following frequencies: 628.59, 662.86, 1040.64, 1117.90, 1316.0, 1416.22, 1792.32, 3083.01, and 3749.88 cm$^{-1}$. The two lowest frequencies correspond to the torsional angles of the OH and CH bonds, respectively.

For the two lower singlet states, $S_0$ and $S_1$, we calculate a two-dimensional (2D) grid composed of 37 equally spaced points for $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$, fixing the remaining coordinates to the corresponding values listed in Table A.1. These points are interpolated using a two-dimension splines method to get the potential energy surfaces, $S_0$ and $S_1$, at any desired geometry, including the two conformers.

The potential energy surface of the $S_0$ electronic state presents two minima for $\phi_2 = 0^\circ$, one at $\phi_1 = 0^\circ$ or $360^\circ$ (trans), and a second at $\phi_1 = 180^\circ$ (cis). As illustrated in Fig. A.1, both minima correspond to a planar geometry. The potential for the $S_1$ excited state presents two equivalent wells for the trans-conformer ($\phi_1 = 300^\circ$, $\phi_2 = 120^\circ$ or $\phi_1 = 60^\circ$, $\phi_2 = 240^\circ$). Therefore, the minimum geometrical configuration in the $S_1$ excited state is no longer planar. The cis conformer minimum transforms into a shoulder of the potential. This is shown in the one-dimensional (1D) cut shown in Fig. A.1 for the case of $\phi_1 = 120^\circ$. In this case, the potential energy surface as a function of $\phi_1$ is relatively flat, while it shows a double-well structure as a function of $\phi_2$, corresponding to geometries above and below the molecular plane.

We solved the two-dimensional Shrödinger equation for $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ and obtained the vibrational eigenfunctions. The first six vibrational levels of the $S_0$ electronic state correspond to the trans conformer, the seventh energy level corresponds to the ground-vibrational state of cis-HCOOH. In the $S_1$ excited electronic state, the presence of a double well as a function of $\phi_2$ implies that two degenerate vibrational states appear. The two well depths are different in geom-$S_0$ and geom-$S_1$, which means that their nodal structure changes significantly.

In a second step, we calculate the transition dipole moments for the 2D grids of geom-$S_0$ and geom-$S_1$, and determine the transitions between the $S_0$ state and the $S_1$ state. We derive

\(^1\) MOLPRO (Werner et al. 2012), version 2012, is a package of ab initio programs for advanced molecular electronic structure calculations, designed and maintained by H.-J. Werner and P. J. Knowles, and with contributions from many other authors (see http://www.molpro.net).
where we separate the contributions of the ν′ levels corresponding to the trans or cis conformers and normalize the sum to 1. We then normalize the above values and compute \( P(\nu_v) = \frac{P_\text{trans}(\nu_v)}{P_\text{trans}(\nu_v) + P_\text{cis}(\nu_v)} \) and \( P(\nu_v) = \frac{P_\text{trans}(\nu_v) + P_\text{cis}(\nu_v)}{P_\text{trans}(\nu_v) + P_\text{cis}(\nu_v)} \) for ν′ levels corresponding to absorption energies below approximately 40 000 cm\(^{-1}\) (\( E < 5 \) eV), approximately the energy for which the dominant photodissociation channel opens and fluorescence starts to become negligible.

In summary, with these ab initio calculations we estimate the cis- and trans-HCOOH cross-sections \( \sigma_\text{cis} \) and \( \sigma_\text{trans} \) for absorption of photons with energies lower than approximately 40 000 cm\(^{-1}\) (those producing fluorescence). These absorptions radiatively excite the molecule to the \( S_1 \) electronic excited-state. We explicitly compute the \( \sigma_\text{cis} \) and \( \sigma_\text{trans} \) values for each photon energy as well as the probabilities to fluoresce back to a specific cis or trans state (i.e., we determine the normalized probabilities of the different trans \( \rightarrow \) cis, trans \( \rightarrow \) trans, cis \( \rightarrow \) cis, cis \( \rightarrow \) trans-bound transitions). The \( \sigma_\text{cis} \) and \( \sigma_\text{trans} \) cross-sections and the \( P_\text{trans} \) and \( P_\text{cis} \) probabilities are plotted in Fig. 3.

### Appendix B: Estimation of the photoisomerization rate in the Orion Bar

The number of photoisomerizations per second depends on the flux of FUV photons with energies below 5 eV. The trans-\( \rightarrow \) cis and cis-\( \rightarrow \) trans photoisomerization rates (\( \xi_\text{cis} \) and \( \xi_\text{trans} \)) are derived from the discrete sums:

\[
\xi_\text{cis} = \sum \phi_N \sigma_\text{cis} \cdot P_{\text{cis-\text{trans}}}
\]

and

\[
\xi_\text{trans} = \sum \phi_N \sigma_\text{trans} \cdot P_{\text{trans-\text{cis}}}
\]

where \( \sigma_\text{cis} \) and \( \sigma_\text{trans} \) are the absorption cross-sections from a given conformer (in cm\(^2\) photon\(^{-1}\)) and \( P \) is the probability to fluoresce from one isomer to the other. Both quantities are determined from our ab initio calculations (previous section).

In order to estimate the most realistic \( \xi_\text{cis} \) and \( \xi_\text{trans} \) rates for the FUV-irradiation conditions in the Orion Bar, we used the Meudon PDR code (Le Petit et al. 2006) and calculate \( N_\text{ph}(\lambda) \) at different cloud depth \( A_\lambda \) values. Following our previous studies of the Bar (Cuadrado et al. 2015; Goicoechea et al. 2016) we run a model of an isobaric PDR (\( P_\text{ph}/k = 10^3 \) K cm\(^{-3}\)) illuminated by \( \chi = 4 \times 10^4 \) times the mean interstellar radiation field (Draine 1978). For photons in the \( \lambda = 2000-3000 \) Å range, we adopt \( N_\text{ph}(\lambda) = 4 \times 10^4 \times 732 \times 10^{\lambda/300} \) photon cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) Å\(^{-1}\) at the PDR edge (\( A_\lambda = 0 \) van Dishoeck & Black 1982). We use a constant dust composition and size distribution that reproduces a standard interstellar extinction curve (Cardelli et al. 1989).

In addition, near-IR photons penetrate molecular clouds much deeper than FUV photons, and one would have expected to detect cis-HCOOH enhancement. However, owing to the short lifetime of the cis conformer observed in ices (a few minutes if the irradiation is stopped, Maçôas et al. 2004), a very strong flux of IR photons would be needed to maintain significant abundances of solid cis-HCOOH. In addition, near-IR photons penetrate molecular clouds much deeper than FUV photons, and one would have expected to detect cis-HCOOH in all positions of the Bar, and towards the Orion hot core, a region irradiated by intense IR fields. Alternatively, the trans-\( \rightarrow \) cis isomerization might be triggered by collisions with electrons. Electrons are relatively abundant in

### Appendix C: Alternative mechanisms for trans-\( \rightarrow \) cis isomerization in the ISM

Searching for further support to the photoswitching scenario, we qualitatively explored other possibilities that may apply in interstellar conditions. In the laboratory, trans-\( \rightarrow \) cis isomerization has been observed in molecular ices irradiated by near-IR photons (Maçôas et al. 2004; Olbert-Majkut et al. 2008). Hence, isomerization of solid HCOOH and subsequent desorption to the gas-phase might also be responsible of the cis-HCOOH enhancement. However, owing to the short lifetime of the cis conformer observed in ices (a few minutes if the irradiation is stopped, Maçôas et al. 2004), a very strong flux of IR photons would be needed to maintain significant abundances of solid cis-HCOOH. In addition, near-IR photons penetrate molecular clouds much deeper than FUV photons, and one would have expected to detect cis-HCOOH in all positions of the Bar, and towards the Orion hot core, a region irradiated by intense IR fields. Alternatively, the trans-\( \rightarrow \) cis isomerization might be triggered by collisions with electrons. Electrons are relatively abundant in

---

**Table A.1.** Optimized geometries for trans-HCOOH in the ground \( (S_0) \) and excited electronic state \( (S_1) \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geometry of trans-HCOOH</th>
<th>( S_0 ) ground-state</th>
<th>( S_1 ) excited-state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( R(CO_3) )</td>
<td>1.1987</td>
<td>1.3683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R(CO_2) )</td>
<td>1.3600</td>
<td>1.3840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta(O_3)CO_3 )</td>
<td>122.38</td>
<td>111.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R(CH_3) )</td>
<td>1.1008</td>
<td>1.0751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta(O_3)CH_3 )</td>
<td>124.01</td>
<td>113.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R(OH_3) )</td>
<td>0.9663</td>
<td>0.9661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta(CO_2H_2) )</td>
<td>108.72</td>
<td>107.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \phi_1(H_2O_2CO_3) )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>55.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \phi_2(H_1CO_2O_2) )</td>
<td>180.00</td>
<td>232.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes.** Distances are in Angstrom and angles in degree units.
FUV-irradiated environments (with ionisation fractions up to approximately $n_e/n_H \approx 10^{-3}$) compared to shielded cloud interiors ($n_e/n_H \approx 10^{-6}$). Simple calculations show that electrons with energies of approximatively 0.5 eV would be needed to overcome the energy barrier to HCOOH isomerization, and to produce a trans-to-cis abundance ratio of approximatively 3. Such suprathermal electrons could be provided by the photoionisation of low ionisation potential atoms (C, S, Si...), but their abundance could be provided by the photoionisation of low ionisation potential atoms (C, S, Si...), but their abundance sharply decreases with $A_V$ (Hollenbach & Tielens 1999). We estimate that at a cloud depth of $A_V = 2$ mag, HCOOH collisional isomerization, if effective, could compete with photo-switching only if the elastic collisional rate coefficients were very high; of the order of $>10^{-6}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ for a typical electron density of $n_e < 1$ cm$^{-3}$ in PDRs. However, the detection of trans-HCOOH, but not cis-HCOOH, towards other PDRs such as the Horsehead (Guzmán et al. 2014), with similar electron densities but much lower FUV photon flux (>100 times less than the Bar), supports a photoswitching mechanism in the Orion Bar (i.e., high $\xi_{\text{cis}}$ and $\xi_{\text{ct}}$ rates), but makes it too slow for the Horsehead and other low FUV-flux sources. Either way, we encourage laboratory and theoretical studies of the possible role of electron collisions, as well as more detailed investigation of the photo-switching mechanism of HCOOH and other species.

**Appendix D: Rotational diagrams and column density calculation**

Owing to the large number of detected HCOOH lines, we calculated rotational temperatures ($T_{\text{rot}}$) and column densities ($N$) from rotational population diagrams. The standard relation for the rotational diagram (Goldsmith & Langer 1999) is:

$$\ln \frac{N_u}{g_u} = \ln N - \ln Q_{\text{rot}} - \frac{E_u}{kT_{\text{rot}}} ,$$

with $N_u/g_u$ given by

$$N_u \approx \frac{8\pi k}{n_h c^3} \frac{v_{ul}^2}{A_{ul} g_u} n_e^{-1} \int T_{MB} dv \quad \text{[cm}^{-2}] .$$

In the above relation, $N_u$ is the column density of the upper level in the optically thin limit [cm$^{-2}$], $N$ is the total column density [cm$^{-2}$], $g_u$ is the statistical weight of the upper state of each level, $Q_{\text{rot}}$ is the rotational partition function evaluated at a rotational temperature $T_{\text{rot}}$, $A_{ul}$ is the Einstein coefficient [s$^{-1}$], $E_u/k$ is the energy of the upper level of the transition [K], $v_{ul}$ is the frequency of the $u \rightarrow l$ transition [s$^{-1}$], $T_{MB}dv$ is the velocity-integrated line intensity corrected from beam efficiency [K km s$^{-1}$], and $n_h$ is the beam filling factor. Assuming that the emission source has a 2D Gaussian shape, $n_h$ is equal to $n_{\text{fil}} = \theta_0^2 / (\theta_a^2 + \theta_b^2)$, with $\theta_0$ the HPBW of the telescope [arcsec] and $\theta_a$ the diameter of the Gaussian source [arcsec]. The values for $v_{ul}$, $E_u/k$, $g_u$, and $A_{ul}$ are taken from the MADEX spectral catalogue.

Rotational diagrams were built considering two limiting cases: (i) that the detected HCOOH emission is extended, with $n_h = 1$; and (ii) that the emission is semi-extended, with $\theta_0 = 9''$ (Cuadrado et al. 2015). In a plot of $\ln(N_u/g_u)$ versus the energy of the upper level of each rotational transition, $E_u/k$, the population...
**Table C.1.** Rotational temperatures ($T_{\text{rot}}$), column densities ($N$), and abundances towards the Orion Bar PDR, (+10°,−10°) position.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extended source</th>
<th>Semi-extended source</th>
<th>Abundance*</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cis-HCOOH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{rot}}$ [K]</td>
<td>$N(X)$ [cm$^{-2}$]</td>
<td>$T_{\text{rot}}$ [K]</td>
<td>$N(X)$ [cm$^{-2}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-HCOOH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 (4)</td>
<td>4.6 (0.7) $\times 10^{11}$</td>
<td>21 (4)</td>
<td>4.2 (0.6) $\times 10^{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-HCOOH $K_a = 0$</td>
<td>12 (2)</td>
<td>6 (1)</td>
<td>4.1 (0.6) $\times 10^{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-HCOOH $K_a = 1$</td>
<td>12 (3)</td>
<td>6 (1)</td>
<td>3.6 (2.1) $\times 10^{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-HCOOH $K_a = 2$</td>
<td>13 (3)</td>
<td>7 (1)</td>
<td>5.0 (2.4) $\times 10^{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[(cis+trans)-HCOOH]</td>
<td>1.8 (0.3) $\times 10^{12}$</td>
<td>1.7 (0.3) $\times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>(0.3–2.7) $\times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. (a) The abundance of each species with respect to H nuclei is given by $\frac{N(X)}{N(H)} = \frac{N(X)}{N(H)_{\text{H}_2}}$, with $N(H_2) \approx 3 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $N(H) \approx 3 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Cuadrado et al. 2015, and references therein). (a) Rotational temperatures and column densities from rotational diagram analysis. (b) $\Delta N$ calculated assuming an error of 15%. (c) Total column densities calculated as the sum of the cis and trans species.

**trans–HCOOH**

![Fig. D.1.](image)

To crosscheck that the relative intensities of the detected cis- and trans-HCOOH rotational lines are those expected according to their inferred rotational temperatures (i.e., that the assigned lines are not blended with lines from other molecules), we carried out a simple excitation and radiative transfer calculation using MADEX. We assumed that the cis- and trans-HCOOH rotational levels are populated following a Boltzmann distribution at a single rotational temperature (obtained from the rotational diagrams). For a given column density $N$, the model computes each line opacity (optically thin for the observed HCOOH lines) assuming a Gaussian line profile (for a linewidth of 2 km s$^{-1}$) and simulates the output mm spectrum at a given spectral resolution. Figures 2 and D.1 show the observed spectra (black histograms) and the modeled lines (red curves) for the $T_{\text{rot}}$ and $N$ values obtained assuming extended emission. The good agreement of the fits, and lack of any other candidate line from a different molecule in our catalogue, confirms that all detected lines belong to cis- and trans-HCOOH.

**Appendix E: Non-detection of cis-HCOOH towards the Orion BN/KL hot core and Barnard-B1**

We searched for cis-HCOOH in regions shielded from strong FUV radiation fields. We selected chemically rich sources for which we have also carried out deep mm-line surveys with the IRAM-30 m telescope. In particular, we searched for HCOOH towards the hot core in Orion BN/KL (Tercero et al. 2010) and towards the quiescent dark cloud Barnard 1-b (B1-b; Cernicharo et al. 2012). Although we clearly detected lines from trans-HCOOH towards both sources, we did not find lines from cis-HCOOH above the detection limit of these deep surveys. Using the MADEX excitation code, we derived lower limits to the trans-to-cis abundance ratio towards these sources. Below we summarize the main results from these observations:

**Orion BN/KL hot core:** the hot core is embedded in the Becklin-Neugebauer/Kleinmann-Low massive star-forming region, at ~4° North-West from the Orion Bar, and ~0.5° North-West from the Trapezium stars. Relatively narrow lines (ΔνWHM $\approx 3$ km s$^{-1}$) corresponding to $a$-type transitions of trans-HCOOH, with upper level energies up to $E_u/k \approx 300$ K, are detected at a LSR velocity of ~8 km s$^{-1}$. The observed line parameters are consistent with emission from the hot core itself. This is dense, $n_H$ of a few 10$^7$ cm$^{-3}$, and hot gas at approximatley 200 K (Blake et al. 1987; Tercero et al. 2010), and also from the more extended warm gas (approximatley 60 K).
in the ambient molecular cloud, the so-called the extended ridge (Blake et al. 1987; Tercero et al. 2010). Using MADEX and our accumulated knowledge of the source structure (see Tercero et al. 2010; Cernicharo et al. 2016, and references therein), we determine $T_{\text{rot}}(\text{trans}) = 100 \pm 35$ K and $N(\text{trans}) = (1.0 - 0.3) \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$ in the hot core, and $T_{\text{rot}}(\text{trans}) = 40 \pm 15$ K and $N(\text{trans}) = (1.0 - 0.3) \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ in the extended ridge. We note that the extended ridge is the main cloud component responsible for the observed trans-HCOOH line emission in the 3 mm band. Although we obtain much higher trans-HCOOH column densities compared to the Orion Bar, lines from cis-HCOOH are not detected towards the hot core. Assuming $T_{\text{rot}}(\text{trans}) = T_{\text{rot}}(\text{cis})$, we compute a lower limit to the trans-to-cis abundance ratio of $>100$ in the hot core, and $>30$ in the extended ridge.

**B1-b cold cloud:** Barnard 1 is a low-mass star-forming region located in the Perseus cloud. The cold core B1-b harbors two submillimetre continuum sources (B1-bN and B1-bS) identified as first hydrostatic core candidates (Gerin et al. 2015), and B1b-W, an infrared source detected with Spitzer (Jørgensen et al. 2006). Complex organic molecules such as CH$_2$OCOOH, CH$_3$SH, and CH$_2$O have been identified (Marcelino 2007; Öberg et al. 2010; Cernicharo et al. 2012). We detect nine lines from trans-HCOOH in the 3 mm band. A rotational diagram provides $T_{\text{rot}}(\text{trans}) = 12 \pm 4$ K and $N(\text{trans}) = (1.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$. Figure E.1 shows the detected lines together with our best model fit (red curve). Lines are very narrow ($\Delta v_{\text{FWHM}} \approx 5$ km s$^{-1}$), consistent with emission from quiescent cold gas (approximatley 20 K) shielded from FUV radiation. Although the inferred trans-HCOOH column density is similar to that obtained towards the Orion Bar, we do not detect lines from cis-HCOOH at the noise level of the B1-b data. Assuming $T_{\text{rot}}(\text{trans}) = T_{\text{rot}}(\text{cis})$, we determine a lower limit to the trans-to-cis abundance ratio of $>60$. This is similar to that of the extended molecular Ridge of Orion, but significantly higher than towards the Bar.

**Appendix F:** Detected cis- and trans-HCOOH lines towards the Orion Bar PDR

Table F.1. Line parameters for cis-HCOOH towards the Orion Bar, ($+10^\circ$, $-10^\circ$) position.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transition</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>$E_a$</th>
<th>$A_{\text{al}}$</th>
<th>$S_{ij}$</th>
<th>$g_u$</th>
<th>$\int T_{\text{rot}} , dv$</th>
<th>$\nu_{\text{LSR}}$</th>
<th>$\Delta v$</th>
<th>$T_{\text{mb}}$</th>
<th>$S/N$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(J$_{K_a,K_c}$)$<em>u$ $\rightarrow$ (J$</em>{K_a,K_c}$)$_l$</td>
<td>(MHz)</td>
<td>[K]</td>
<td>[s$^{-1}$]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[mK km s$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>[km s$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>[km s$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>[mK]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4$<em>{1,3}$ $\rightarrow$ 4$</em>{0,4}$</td>
<td>82740.491</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>$2.32 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18.9(2.3)</td>
<td>10.5(0.2)</td>
<td>1.8(0.4)</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4$<em>{1,4}$ $\rightarrow$ 3$</em>{1,3}$</td>
<td>85042.744</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>$2.10 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15.3(3.5)</td>
<td>10.6(0.3)</td>
<td>2.1(0.5)</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5$<em>{1,5}$ $\rightarrow$ 5$</em>{0,5}$</td>
<td>86566.490</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>$2.59 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26.3(3.5)</td>
<td>10.0(0.2)</td>
<td>2.4(0.4)</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6$<em>{0,4}$ $\rightarrow$ 5$</em>{0,3}$</td>
<td>87694.689</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>$2.45 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26.6(2.3)</td>
<td>10.6(0.1)</td>
<td>2.0(0.2)</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4$<em>{1,3}$ $\rightarrow$ 3$</em>{1,2}$</td>
<td>90661.090</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>$2.54 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21.2(2.4)</td>
<td>10.3(0.1)</td>
<td>1.8(0.3)</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7$<em>{0,7}$ $\rightarrow$ 6$</em>{1,6}$</td>
<td>90910.082</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>$1.50 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10.9(2.4)</td>
<td>10.1(0.2)</td>
<td>1.5(0.4)</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6$<em>{1,5}$ $\rightarrow$ 6$</em>{0,6}$</td>
<td>91291.549</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>$2.95 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20.1(3.5)</td>
<td>10.3(0.2)</td>
<td>2.5(0.6)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7$<em>{1,6}$ $\rightarrow$ 7$</em>{0,7}$</td>
<td>97025.449</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>$3.42 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15.5(2.4)</td>
<td>10.5(0.1)</td>
<td>1.8(0.3)</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8$<em>{1,7}$ $\rightarrow$ 8$</em>{0,8}$</td>
<td>103845.157</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>$4.02 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20.5(3.6)</td>
<td>10.0(0.2)</td>
<td>1.6(0.2)</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5$<em>{1,5}$ $\rightarrow$ 4$</em>{1,4}$</td>
<td>106266.589</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>$4.28 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22.2(2.4)</td>
<td>10.7(0.1)</td>
<td>1.8(0.3)</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5$<em>{0,5}$ $\rightarrow$ 4$</em>{0,4}$</td>
<td>109470.705</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>$4.87 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>35.7(3.6)</td>
<td>10.3(0.1)</td>
<td>1.8(0.2)</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5$<em>{1,4}$ $\rightarrow$ 4$</em>{1,3}$</td>
<td>113286.704</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>$5.19 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>39.6(5.3)</td>
<td>10.7(0.2)</td>
<td>1.9(0.3)</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. E.1. Detected trans-HCOOH rotational lines towards the cold cloud Barnard 1-b. The ordinate refers to the intensity scale in main beam temperature units and the abscissa to the Doppler velocity. Line frequencies (in GHz) are indicated at the top of each panel together with the rotational quantum numbers. The red curve shows an excitation model that reproduces the rotational population diagram. The bottom panel shows the stacked spectra for cis-HCOOH.
Table F.2. Line parameters for trans-HCOOH towards the Orion Bar, (+10′′, −10′′) position.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transition</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>$E_u$</th>
<th>$A_{ul}$</th>
<th>$S_{ij}$</th>
<th>$f_u$</th>
<th>$\int T_{mb} dv$</th>
<th>$v_{ls}$</th>
<th>$\Delta v$</th>
<th>$T_{mb}$</th>
<th>$S/N$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(J$_{K_a,K_c}$)$<em>a$ $\rightarrow$ (J$</em>{K_a,K_c}$)$_l$</td>
<td>[MHz]</td>
<td>[K]</td>
<td>[s$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>[mK km s$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>[km s$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>[km s$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>[mK]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4$<em>{1,4} \rightarrow$ 3$</em>{1,3}$</td>
<td>86546.180</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>$6.35 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23.8(3.5)</td>
<td>10.4(0.1)</td>
<td>1.8(0.3)</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4$<em>{0,4} \rightarrow$ 3$</em>{0,3}$</td>
<td>89579.168</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>$7.51 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>27.9(4.7)</td>
<td>10.3(0.1)</td>
<td>1.8(0.2)</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4$<em>{2,3} \rightarrow$ 3$</em>{2,2}$</td>
<td>89861.473</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>$5.69 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26.4(7.0)</td>
<td>10.0(0.4)</td>
<td>2.2(0.7)</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4$<em>{1,3} \rightarrow$ 3$</em>{1,2}$</td>
<td>93098.350</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>$7.91 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26.3(2.4)</td>
<td>10.0(0.1)</td>
<td>2.2(0.2)</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5$<em>{1,5} \rightarrow$ 4$</em>{1,4}$</td>
<td>108126.709</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>$1.30 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>28.7(2.4)</td>
<td>10.2(0.1)</td>
<td>1.5(0.2)</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5$<em>{0,5} \rightarrow$ 4$</em>{0,4}$</td>
<td>111746.771</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>$1.49 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27.9(3.6)</td>
<td>10.2(0.1)</td>
<td>1.7(0.3)</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5$<em>{2,4} \rightarrow$ 4$</em>{2,3}$</td>
<td>112287.131</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>$1.27 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>32.2(3.6)</td>
<td>10.7(0.1)</td>
<td>2.1(0.2)</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5$<em>{3,3} \rightarrow$ 4$</em>{3,2}$</td>
<td>112459.606</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>$9.73 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9.1(3.6)</td>
<td>11.2(0.1)</td>
<td>0.5(0.4)</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5$<em>{3,2} \rightarrow$ 4$</em>{3,1}$</td>
<td>112466.993</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>$9.73 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14.0(3.6)</td>
<td>10.1(0.3)</td>
<td>1.8(0.5)</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5$<em>{4,2} \rightarrow$ 4$</em>{4,1}$</td>
<td>112432.278</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>$5.47 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24.9(6.1)</td>
<td>10.2(0.2)</td>
<td>1.6(0.4)</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5$<em>{4,1} \rightarrow$ 4$</em>{4,0}$</td>
<td>112432.305</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>$5.47 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5$<em>{2,3} \rightarrow$ 4$</em>{2,2}$</td>
<td>112891.429</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>$1.29 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>29.2(3.6)</td>
<td>10.6(0.1)</td>
<td>2.0(0.2)</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>