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ABSTRACT

Context. Gaia is an ESA cornerstone mission launched on 19 December 2013 aiming to obtain the most complete and precise 3D map of our Galaxy by observing more than one billion sources. This paper is part of a series of documents explaining the data processing and its results for Gaia Data Release 1, focusing on the G band photometry.

Methods. This paper describes the calibration model of the Gaia photometric passband for Gaia Data Release 1.

Aims. The overall principle of splitting the process into internal and external calibrations is outlined. In the internal calibration, a self-consistent photometric system is generated. Then, the external calibration provides the link to the absolute photometric flux scales.

Results. The Gaia photometric calibration pipeline explained here was applied to the first data release with good results. Details are given of the various calibration elements including the mathematical formulation of the models used and of the extraction and preparation of the required input parameters (e.g. colour terms). The external calibration in this first release provides the absolute zero point and photometric transformations from the Gaia G passband to other common photometric systems.

Conclusions. This paper describes the photometric calibration implemented for the first Gaia data release and the instrumental effects taken into account. For this first release no aperture losses, radiation damage, and other second-order effects have not yet been implemented in the calibration.
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1. Introduction

The Gaia mission (Gaia Collaboration 2016b) launched in 2013 by the European Space Agency (ESA) is a global astrometric mission consisting of two telescopes sharing the same focal plane, continuously scanning the full sky for a minimum of 5 yr from the Sun-Earth Lagrangian L2 point. The Gaia mission will determine positions, parallaxes and proper motions for ~1% of the Milky Way (more than 10⁹ sources).

In addition to astrometric information, the first Gaia Data Release (hereafter Gaia DR1, Gaia Collaboration 2016a) also contains Gaia mean fluxes obtained in the astrometric field (see van Leeuwen et al. 2016, for details) observed during the first 14 months of the nominal mission. This paper describes the calibration model used in the photometric calibration pipeline (PhotPipe) for Gaia DR1. The practicalities for the application of this model and the processing issues found are explained in Riello et al. (2016). The results of the photometric calibration and the verification process applied are discussed in Evans et al. (2016).

Figure 1 provides an overview of the photometric calibration process explained in this paper (see Sect. 2). Grey boxes are used to indicate processes that were not active in the preparation of Gaia DR1.

The large size of the Gaia focal plane (see Sect. 3 for an overview of the Gaia instrument), the long duration of the space mission (with expected ageing effects due to radiation), the observation of a huge number of sources of different type (e.g. different stellar populations, extragalactic sources, solar system bodies) covering the entire sky with the same instrument, and
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the need to reach an accuracy close to the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) limit make the photometric calibration of the Gaia data a challenging task. For this reason, Gaia uses a self-calibration approach (Jordi et al. 2006; Jordi & Carrasco 2007), similar to übercalibration (Padmanabhan et al. 2008), splitting the problem into internal or relative calibrations (using only Gaia observations) and external calibrations (using a relatively small number of ground-based standard stars) calibrations.

The goal of the internal calibration (Sect. 4) is to provide an internally consistent flux scale throughout the mission, across the focal plane, and for all sources (including the full range of brightness and stellar types). The internal calibration is carried out by grouping observations taken in the same instrumental configuration (same telescope or field of view, FoV; CCD\(^1\); pixel column\(^2\); effective exposure time) and in the same time range, for time-dependent effects. Each of these configurations represents a calibration unit (CU; see Sect. 3). The passband variations across the focal plane are modelled with a colour dependency (see Sect. 4). The extraction and application of the required colour information into the internal calibration model is described in Sect. 5.

The internal calibration model assumes that the true set of calibration parameters can be derived by comparing the observations of a large set of internal reference sources (isolated and non-variable) with their predicted observations. The prediction is made from the Gaia internal reference fluxes themselves (see Sect. 6 where the definition of the mean photometry per source is provided) and the current calibration parameters. The instrumental variations can be described as small corrections to the current set of parameters.

Once the internal calibration is applied, the external calibration can be performed (see Sect. 7). The aim of the external calibration is to determine the characteristics of the mean instrument (true passbands) by using a suitable number of spectro photometric standard stars (SPSS) whose absolute spectral energy distribution (SED) is known with great accuracy from ground-based observations (Pancino et al. 2012; Altavilla et al. 2015; Marinoni et al. 2016). In Gaia DR1, the internally calibrated fluxes (transformed to \(G\) magnitudes) and zero point plus photometric transformations to other common photometric systems are provided.

Finally, in Sect. 8 a brief summary is presented.

2. Defining the reference system

As mentioned in the Introduction, Gaia photometry is self-calibrated. The overall principle of the calibrations is that of bootstrapping. Only data from the satellite is used in the internal calibration to define the reference fluxes.

Common approaches of the photometric calibration used for small focal planes are not suitable for Gaia. The use of a few very reliable standard sources would require perfect knowledge of the bandpasses and the stellar SEDs to avoid introducing systematic effects. Most of the best available catalogues only cover a small part of the sky and contain an insufficient number of sources. Even the best catalogues have a lower photometric...
accuracy and angular resolution than needed to calibrate the Gaia data. Furthermore, ground-based standard source catalogues usually only contain bright stars and cannot be applied to the magnitude range covered by Gaia. Finally, Gaia is not a pointing observatory and no specific calibration operations are programmed in order to repeatedly observe a list of standards (as can be done for instance for the Hubble Space Telescope, HST, Bohlin 2016).

In 2006, when the Gaia Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC) was created to deal with the instrument calibration, we had already foreseen a different approach (see Jordi et al. 2006; Jordi & Carrasco 2007). The process we considered at that time splitting internal and external calibrations has been used in other projects and has been called ibericalibration (Padmanabhan et al. 2008). These ideas are not new to optical astronomy; precursors may be found in the work of Maddox et al. (1990), Honeycutt (1992), Fong et al. (1992), Manfroid (1993), Fong et al. (1994), and Glazebrook et al. (1994). All surveys using large focal planes, for example SDSS in its 8th release (Aihara et al. 2011), the Euclid mission (Markovic et al. 2016), MegaCam (Gwyn 2008), PanSTARRS (Hodapp et al. 2004; Finkbeiner et al. 2016), and DES (Tucker et al. 2014), use similar approaches.

This ibericalibration procedure performs a self-consistent likelihood maximisation of the magnitudes of non-variable sources and assumes a high degree of overlapping because these calibration sources have been observed under many different instrumental conditions, ranges of time, and all across the sky. This procedure greatly increases the number and types of reference stars available without needing perfect knowledge of their SED.

Unlike the model explained in Sect. 4, no colour term is used in Padmanabhan et al. (2008) and the authors warn in their conclusions that some colour dependencies are present in their results 3. In order to avoid these colour biases we introduce the dependency with the colour of the source.

During the internal calibration, the reference fluxes 4 for the internal standard sources need to be set up. This is done using an iterative scheme 5, illustrated in Fig. 1 in the box for the internal calibration of G, G_BP and G_RP (see Sect. 3 for the description of the components of the instrument).

As Gaia is a self-calibrated instrument using millions of internal reference sources and because their fluxes must also be determined during the process, the internal instrument calibration (Sect. 4) and the reference photometry update (Sect. 6) are closely linked. With so many (millions) reference sources observed several times and so many CUs (Table 1) to be calibrated, a global fit would require a very large matrix to be solved as a whole. We preferred reducing the dimensionality of the problem by splitting the process into independent CU calibrations. Moreover, the necessary introduction of the colour of the sources in the equations imposes an iterative approach.

### Table 1. Number of CUs, N_CU, used for the Gaia DR1 photometric calibration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>N_rows</th>
<th>N_strips</th>
<th>N_gate/WC</th>
<th>N_ref</th>
<th>N_AC</th>
<th>N_near</th>
<th>N_CU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AF LS</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8/9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>8/9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF SS</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8/9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>8/9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP/RP LS</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP/RP SS</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes.

N_CU is the product of all the other columns (number of CCD rows and strips, gate/WC configuration, FoV, AC bin, and time range). See Sect. 3 for the description of the instrument and for the definition of a CU.

In the initial run of the process shown in Fig. 1, all the weighted means from all the uncalibrated observations 6 for all sources are computed to act as a first set of reference fluxes. These approximate reference fluxes can then be used to produce a rough set of calibration parameters. Using these first parameters, a better set of reference fluxes can be generated. Better calibration parameters and reference fluxes are produced during each iteration. More observations for each source become available as the mission evolves, thus improving the overall quality of the photometric outputs.

This method works because sources are observed under many different instrument conditions, each with its own calibration. As long as there is a good mixing/overlap of all the sources and CUs 7, the iteration scheme will converge quickly. If, for instance, there is no mixing of sources between two sets of CUs, the process can converge to two different photometric systems. This is not the case with Gaia in general, but there are some conditions that cause poor mixing between some CUs, where the convergence would be extremely slow. In this case, an additional link calibration is introduced to speed up the convergence (see Sect. 4).

The goal is to reach precision at the S/N level for the faint sources while letting the precision for the bright sources be dominated by the calibration errors. Our internal requirement is a calibration threshold of 1 mmag level per observation. The absolute calibration precision is constrained by the precision and accuracy of the SPSS (see Sect. 7), and ultimately to the accuracy of Vega’s spectra calibration, at a level of 1%.

For many scientific purposes (stellar variability, photometric microlensing, exoplanet transits, morphology of solar system objects, etc.), the precision of the internal fluxes of a given source is much more relevant than the precision of the absolute fluxes. For other purposes (galactic structure, clustering properties of galaxies, etc.), the homogeneity of the calibration over large areas of the sky is crucial. Again, what matters is the stability of the photometric instrument rather than the absolute fluxes.

For wide-field imaging surveys completed using on-ground pointing telescopes, the internal calibration usually delivers precision of a few percent. In fact, most high-precision optical surveys with ground-based telescopes achieve better than 2% precision. The most precise calibration accuracy is obtained from the SDSS-SNLS supernova analysis (less than 1%; Betoule et al. 2013). The main obstacle to reaching precise internal calibration well below the 1% level is making the photometric calibration consistent over large areas of the sky. Gaia has the advantage of being in space (without the distortion of the Earth’s

---

3 SDSS filters are narrower than in the Gaia case, so the effect of the colour terms is expected to be smaller than 1% for a wide range of objects. SDSS calibration relies on mid-colour range stars from the main sequence, so that filter zero points are well-defined. As a consequence, colour terms may be applied with good accuracy.

4 Contrary to Padmanabhan et al. (2008) who use magnitudes, we carry out the photometric calibration in fluxes to avoid biases caused by the non-linear transformation between the two, which amounts to 8 mmag at G = 20.

5 Padmanabhan et al. (2008) perform a global fit of the calibration parameters, instead of an iterative scheme such as ours.

6 Fluxes are derived with a PSF/LSF (point and line spread function, respectively; see Sect. 3) fitting process.

7 In order to have good mixing, more than 50% of the sources need to contribute to two or more CUs.
Fig. 2. Gaia focal plane. The viewing directions of both telescopes are superimposed onto this common focal plane which features 7 CCD rows, 17 CCD strips, and 106 large-format CCDs, each with 4500 TDI lines, 1966 pixel columns, and pixels of size 10 \(\mu\)m along-scan by 30 \(\mu\)m across-scan (59 mas × 177 mas). Source images cross the focal plane from left to right. For the photometric calibration explained here, only CCDs in the Astrometric Field and Blue and Red Photometers are relevant. Picture courtesy of ESA – A. Short.

3. Instrumental constraints

We summarise here for the sake of completeness some basic aspects of the Gaia instrumentation and data acquisition relevant to the photometric calibration. Jordi et al. (2010) can also be consulted for more information about the Gaia photometric instrument. Crowley et al. (2016) analyse the behaviour of the CCDs in the period relevant to Gaia DR1.

Gaia is a complex instrument (see overview of the focal plane in Fig. 2), with 106 large-format CCDs (each with 4500 TDI lines and 1966 columns, giving a total of about one billion pixels) arranged in 7 rows (distributed vertically in Fig. 2) and 17 strips (distributed horizontally in Fig. 2). The size of the focal plane is 104.26 × 42.35 cm², the largest sent to space to date. For more details see Gaia Collaboration (2016b).

While Gaia scans the sky with its two telescopes, the images of the observed objects for both FoVs transit the focal plane along a given row, which are registered at the different CCD strips. We can define a direction along (AL) and across (AC) the scan in the focal plane. Different components of the focal plane array are dedicated to different purposes. The first two CCD strips (called Sky Mappers, SM) are used to detect the presence of any incoming point-like source in the FoVs. Each SM CCD strip sees only one FoV, thanks to the use of appropriate masks. In each of the nine CCD strips in the astrometric field (AF), an image is recorded from which the flux in white light photometry (\(G\) passband, 330–1050 nm) and the centroid position, which provide the astrometry, are obtained. Astrometric field fluxes are provided to the photometric calibration processing.

\(\text{Gaia}\) CCDs are operated in time delay integration (TDI) mode to collect charge from the sources at the same rate as they are transiting the CCD.
Table 2. Different types of windows defined depending on the magnitude of the source.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Window class</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>AF</th>
<th>BP/RP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WC0</td>
<td>2D</td>
<td>(G \leq 13)</td>
<td>(G \leq 11.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC1</td>
<td>Long 1D</td>
<td>(13 &lt; G \leq 16)</td>
<td>(11.5 &lt; G \leq 16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC2</td>
<td>Short 1D</td>
<td>(G &gt; 16)</td>
<td>(G &gt; 16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

once extracted by the Initial Data Treatment, (IDT, Fabricius et al. 2016). After transiting the AF field, the light is dispersed by two prisms in the AL direction thus creating two low-resolution spectra: one in the blue domain (BP, 330–680 nm) and one in the red domain (RP, 640–1050 nm). The blue and red spectra are used to classify and parameterise the observed sources and to account for chromaticity effects in AF centroiding measurements. The integrated flux of BP and RP spectra yield \(G_{BP}\) and \(G_{RP}\) magnitudes as two broad passbands. Finally, the radial velocity spectrometer (RVS) collects high-resolution spectra \((R \sim 11500)\) to derive radial velocities for the brightest objects \((G \leq 16)\) and physical parameters for \(G_{RVS} \leq 12.5\) mag by using the spectral region around the CaII triplet (845–872 nm).

Observations are acquired in different instrumental configurations (calculated in CUs) that need to be calibrated separately. Calibration of each CU is only possible when enough observations have been collected. This implies that CUs covering a larger portion of the focal plane, a whole CCD for instance, will collect enough observations in a shorter time and can be calibrated more often than those covering smaller portions (groups of CCD pixel columns, for instance). The calibration and linking of CUs to get a unique photometric system is discussed in Sect. 4.

We now describe the components defining the different CUs (see Table 1 to see the CUs used for Gaia DR1):

**FoV:** as previously stated, Gaia has two telescopes. Although the CCDs are the same for both FoVs, the lightpath goes through different optical elements. Therefore, the two FoVs must be calibrated separately (see Sect. 4).

**Gates:** Gaia covers a very wide range of magnitudes \((3.0 < G < 20.7\) mag). To measure the magnitudes, Gaia CCDs can be configured to integrate only the charges collected over a fraction of the CCD, thus effectively reducing the exposure time. The different configurations are called gate settings. The size of the section of the CCD where integration takes place is different for different magnitude ranges and is chosen to minimise saturation\(^9\). Observations taken with different gate configurations will be characterised by different integrated sensitivity of the pixels interested by the transit. For this reason, observations obtained with different gates need to be calibrated separately.

**Window class (WC):** a small window of pixels around the central position of the object is defined on board in order to reduce the amount of telemetry and readout noise. Only this small portion of the image is transmitted to the ground segment. To further reduce the readout noise and telemetry volume, the individual pixels inside the window are only completely downloaded for brighter sources. For the fainter ones, the pixels inside the window are co-added electronically during readout and summed in the AC direction. The resulting binned pixels are called “samples”. This means that we get 2D images for bright sources and 1D images for fainter ones. Also different sizes of windows are set for different magnitude intervals in the 1D regime. Table 2 summarises the types and magnitude ranges for the different WC defined.

**AC position (row & column):** observations obtained at different AC positions are affected by different responses (Figs. 3 and 4) and different PSFs (see Figs. 5 and 6). These AC variations can be divided into two components: one causing a smooth behaviour covering the whole focal plane (due to mirrors, passbands in BP/RP instrument, etc) and a second component causing a very different pixel-to-pixel response which produces very different observing conditions from one column to the next. Two of these effects causing strong differences in neighbouring pixels are the dead pixels and the stitch block boundaries resulting from the CCD manufacturing process. The stitch blocks are 250 pixel columns wide, except for the two outermost blocks; the exact block boundaries are at \(\mu_b = 13.5, 121.5, 371.5, \ldots, 1621.5, 1871.5, 1979.5\). Figure 4 shows the effect of a dead pixel at column 1253 and of the stitch block around column 1370, especially visible in the 900 nm case (see Gaia Collaboration 2016b, for more information). The first component, can be handled by the large scale (LS) calibrations by adding a smooth function of AC coordinate. The pixel-to-pixel variations are handled by the small scale (SS)

---

\(^9\) The resolution for the BP and RP instruments is \(30 \leq R_{BP} \leq 100\) and \(60 \leq R_{RP} \leq 90\), respectively.

\(^{10}\) The magnitude ranges for each gate are defined per CCD and stitch block.
calibration which is solved over small groups of pixel columns (four columns were used in Gaia DR1 photometric calibration) per gate and WC (see Sect. 4).

Time: ageing of the instrument (radiation effects, see Crowley et al. 2016) and other time dependent effects (e.g. contamination and straylight effects) cause the instrument response to vary significantly throughout the mission. For example, for the instruments on board the HST, Bohlin (2007b) estimates a loss of sensitivity with time of 0.2–0.3% per year for intermediate wavelengths and 0.8% per year for red wavelengths. For this reason only observations close in time can be considered for a given calibration. The instrumental time dependencies present in Gaia DR1 are dominated by the contamination effects (see below). Different CUs must then be defined in different time ranges, paying particular attention to times at which abrupt changes are expected in the calibrations (e.g. decontamination events).

For each CU, several instrumental effects must be accounted for (see also Fig. 1):

Overall response: in the final observation we cannot distinguish between the contributions of sensitivity variations in the detectors from transmissivity variations due to the mirrors and contamination issues. Then, an overall response is evaluated. The response varies across the 106 CCDs. Figure 3 shows three pre-launch flatfield images for three different wavelengths. As can be seen, the sensitivity at red wavelengths strongly decreases at the corners of the CCD (having a 3–4% of variation in different regions). As the image of the star is transiting all CCD TDI lines while Gaia spins, it is necessary to know the accumulated effect of all pixels in a column. This is termed the column response non-uniformity, or CRNU (Fig. 4). The measured fluxes in each observation suffer from sensitivity variations which modify the size of the signal in a colour-dependent way. At central wavelengths, 550 nm, this accumulated effect is only ±1%, but at extreme wavelengths, 400 and 900 nm, it is of the order of ±4%. The calibration of this effect is dealt with in Sect. 4. The quantum efficiency (QE) also changes from CCD to CCD. Pre-launch estimations evaluated a difference of ±1% at 550 nm, but increasing to 3 and 6% for more extreme wavelengths (900 and 400 nm respectively). CCD columns with dead pixels (see column at 1253 in Fig. 4 as an example) can be masked during IDT (Fabricius et al. 2016). Also, different CUs are considered for the different stitch blocks (see Sects. 3 and 5).

Aperture effect: when defining the small window around a source, part of the flux is cut off. In the case of 2D windows, a PSF model is fitted to the samples in the window\(^\text{11}\). In this case, no aperture correction is needed. However, for 1D windows, only the AL flux of the observation can be fitted. This does not account for the part of the flux outside of the window in the AC direction. The size of the effect depends on the AC centring offset of the source in the window, the AC motion\(^\text{12}\) and the colour of the source\(^\text{13}\). Calibration of the aperture effect is omitted from Gaia DR1 owing to the lack of available astrometric information at the time of photometric processing needed to calculate the centring offsets at the required accuracy. Future releases will include the calibration of this effect. Using pre-launch Gaia PSFs and realistic distributions for centring offsets, colours and AC velocities, it was estimated that this effect adds a 5–10 mmag scatter to the overall photometric errors of individual 1D flux measurements. Figure 7 shows the size of

\(^{11}\) For Gaia DR1 this PSF model is quite simple and two 1D profiles of the PSF (called Line Spread Function, LSF), one in each direction, AL & AC, are multiplied (Fabricius et al. 2016).

\(^{12}\) Owing to the nominal precession of the spin axis, the AC position can change substantially in the focal plane during the observation (up to 4.5 pixels in a CCD transit, see Fabricius et al. 2016). This AC motion produces an AC smearing and increases the amount of flux loss.

\(^{13}\) The dependence on colour is a consequence of the PSF dependence on colour.
The aperture effect for a given CCD as a function of colour, AC motion, and centring offset. Considering all CCDs together, the effect of the centring offset on the amount of flux loss is lower than 0.9%. The effect of the AC motion is lower than 0.4%. The colour effect, on the other hand, produces the largest variations (0.4–1.1%).

**Contamination**: a colour dependent degradation of the overall response with time due to the presence of water ice contaminant deposited on the mirror surfaces of the telescopes and focal plane was detected during the commissioning phase. This effect is mitigated by decontamination events, heating up the mirrors and the focal plane when a threshold response degradation is reached. These decontamination events can be accounted for by allowing for abrupt changes in the calibration parameters (see Riello et al. 2016). Contamination effects can change the measured magnitudes in *Gaia* DR1 by 0.2–0.3 mag, depending on the colour of the source and the level of contamination (Gaia Collaboration 2016b).

**Background & straylight**: background subtraction is part of preprocessing: in IDT for *G* (Fabricius et al. 2016) and in PhotPipe for BP/RP (see Sect. 5). The background has contributions from non-resolved stars, zodiacal light, etc. Also, unwanted straylight reaches the focal plane leading to an increased average background level and to significant variations in the background depending on the spin phase.

**Geometry**: the position and orientation of each CCD needs to be calibrated. In addition, the focal length of each telescope is slightly different. This influences the propagation of the position of the window to be read at every CCD in a given transit. This causes an imperfect centring of the observed source inside the window thus producing extra flux losses that can be accounted for during the calibration process. In BP/RP observations, these shifts in the AL direction can also affect the wavelength calibration and need to be evaluated before extracting any colour information (Sect. 5).

**Other effects**: other instrumental effects (such as gain, bias, dark current) are accounted for in the preprocessing. The effects of charge transfer inefficiency (CTI) during image and serial register readout are due to radiation damage and are not accounted for in *Gaia* DR1. These were evaluated to be small at the beginning of the mission (Crowley et al. 2016), and are expected to be more important as the mission evolves. Ideally, the signal level increases linearly with exposure time until image area full well capacity (FWC) is reached where it becomes constant. If the anti-blooming structure shows a soft turn-on, even for a single column, the signal will deviate from the linear response before FWC is reached and slopes gradually towards the column saturation level for increasing exposure time (see Fig. 8). Saturated samples (see Fig. 9 for the saturation levels for one of the AF CCDs) can be masked during the fitting of the LSFs/PSFs (although this has not yet been applied in *Gaia* DR1; see Fabricius et al. 2016). Gates are chosen to limit the frequency of saturated observations to a maximum of 5%.

### 4. Internal calibration

In this section we describe the formulation of the internal calibration model (see Fig. 1). This model is applied to all three *Gaia* passbands (*G*, *G*\(_{BP}\) and *G*\(_{RP}\)), although in *Gaia* DR1 only *G* photometry is released.
The main task of the internal calibration is to determine the variation of the overall response of the photometric instrument over the mission and between different CUs (see Sect. 3). The $G$ flux calibration model describes these variations for the entire instrument including the mirror assembly and the CCDs. For the BP and RP channels, the prisms also constrain the total amount of flux measured.

As explained in Sect. 2, a requirement of the internal instrument calibration is that it should have enough observations of the standard sources in the considered CUs. The CUs must be defined in order to ensure this requirement and the calibration can only be computed when enough observations and sufficient overlap/mixing are obtained for that CU. For this reason, the calibration model was split into LS and SS components.

The SS calibration models the variations of the sensitivity at the CCD column level, whereas the LS calibration accounts for variations in the mean response at the CCD level and for every FoV. It naturally follows that more observations will be available for the calibration of a LS CU than for a SS one and this will allow the calibration of LS effects on a shorter timescale (of the order of one day).

The following equation describes the relation between the internal reference flux, $T_s$, of a source $s$ and its measured flux, $I_{\text{diff}}$, in a given observation $k$ obtained in a given LS unit, $l$, and a given SS unit, $l'$,

$$\frac{I_{\text{diff}}}{T_s} = \text{LS}_{sl} \cdot \text{SS}_{skl}$$

where $\text{LS}_{sl} \cdot \text{SS}_{skl}$ is the product of the LS and SS calibration models applicable to the observation. Both $T_s$ and $I_{\text{diff}}$ are expressed in photoelectrons per second.

In the following equation, we describe $\text{LS}_{sl}$ as an $R$th degree polynomial function depending on $M$ “colour terms”, $C_m$.

In addition to this colour term, a $J$th degree polynomial dependency with the AC position, $\mu_k$, of the observation is introduced to account for smooth variations across the focal plane.

$$\text{LS}_{skl} = \sum_{r=1}^{R} \sum_{m=1}^{M} A_{rml} \cdot (C_m)^r + \sum_{j=0}^{J} B_{j} \cdot (\mu_k)^j$$

Analogously, $\text{SS}_{skl}$ is described by an $R'$th degree polynomial depending on $M'$ colour terms:

$$\text{SS}_{skl} = \sum_{r'=1}^{R'} \sum_{m'=1}^{M'} a_{r'ml} \cdot (C_m')^{r'}.$$  

We note that the SS model for Gaia DR1 only implements the zero point ($R' = 0, M' = 1$).

The coefficients $A_{rml}$ and $B_{j}$ are the LS (CCD & FoV) and $a_{r'ml}$ the SS (group of columns) instrumental coefficients, respectively, valid for the CU associated with $l$ and $l'$ CUs corresponding to observation $k$.

The subindexes $l$ and $l'$ are in fact a simplification of the different subindexes defining a given CU. For Gaia DR1 we defined a LS CU for every combination of CCD row, CCD strip, Gate/WC, FoV, and unit of time, and a SS CU for every CCD row, CCD strip, Gate/WC, 4 column bin, and unit of time. The time ranges used for Gaia DR1 photometric calibration is 1 day for the LS and 14 months for the SS. Table 1 lists the number of CUs used for Gaia DR1 photometric calibration. Table 3 gives the approximate number of observations available in Gaia DR1 processing for each CU for LS and SS calibrations.

The $C_m$ and $C_m'$ terms in Eqs. (2) and (3) account for the fact that the overall response variations are wavelength dependent. The colour information is retrieved from the Gaia BP/RP observations.

The simplest way to get colour information from Gaia data is to compute the $(G_{BP} - G_{RP})$ colour. Another possibility is to integrate the BP/RP spectra in several wavelength ranges. This approach is used in PhotPipe since this allows a more detailed characterisation of the SED than with a single $G_{BP} - G_{RP}$ colour.

The results of this synthetic photometry are called Spectral Shape Coefficients (hereafter SSC). The SSC-based colours and the way they are extracted from the observations are described in Sect. 5.

Using SSCs as the $C_m$ and $C_m'$ terms, we improve the calibration residuals and decrease the degree of the polynomial with respect to the single $G_{BP} - G_{RP}$ colour alternative. The systematics for extreme colours and for outliers are similarly reduced.
SSCs also make the standard source selection strategy less critical. Figure 10 illustrates the importance of reducing the degree of the polynomial, and of being sure that the selection of standard sources is done with the widest possible range of colours. We simulated the photometric calibration process with synthetic SED libraries (using the Gaia Object Generator, GOG; Luri et al. 2014) using the same set of standard sources, but with a different random distribution of their observations on the different columns in every CCD. Consequently, the colour distribution of standard sources changes in every column.

In addition to simulations for the calibration sources (black circles in Fig. 10), we also simulated test sources (red circles) to check their residuals. The test sample is composed of a total of 100 sources of different types with respect to the calibration sources, including emission line stars, quasars and very cold stars. Reddening effects were also simulated.

Figure 10 shows the residuals in $G$ for one column (column 1806 in CCD row 3). The application of the calibration coefficients to the test sources in the “seed 1” case (upper panels) provides larger residuals when using the single-colour approach ($G_{BP} - G_{RP}$) than when using the SSC model. For the “seed 2” case (bottom panels), the colour interval of the standard sources covers the same range as the test sources. None of the models has problems in this case, although SSC yields lower scatter than $G_{BP} - G_{RP}$. The result confirms expectation: the colour distribution of the reference sources is less critical when adopting a linear model in more than one colour (SSCs in this case) than in the case of a higher degree model in a single $G_{BP} - G_{RP}$ colour.

We define four SSC passbands for each of BP and RP (see Sect. 5). To decrease the correlations between the different SSCs, it was decided to reduce the number of colour terms by summing the two central SSCs in both BP and RP. The decision to sum these values was made because the sensitivity in the central wavelengths is rather similar, while it varies more at the extreme wavelengths (see Figs. 3 and 4).

In the case of the $G$ flux calibration, the SSC information coming from both BP and RP is relevant, as the $G$-band covers their entire wavelength range. This implies that for $G$, the LS flux calibration model has $M = 6$ in Eq. (2) (three $C_{sm}$ terms for the BP SSCs and three more for RP SSCs) with a linear dependency in colour ($R = 1$). The degree of the AC position polynomial adopted is $J = 2$.

Table 4 summarises the number of parameters for every CU.

The implementation of Eq. (1) (see Fig. 1, Sect. 2 and Riello et al. 2016, for more details) is an iterative process in which the different elements of the equation are determined assuming the others as known. In the first iteration, $T_i$, $C_{sm}$ and $C_{sm'}$ are derived through the weighted mean (see Sect. 6) of all the observations of every standard source. The values of $A_{mpr}$, $B_{g}$ and $A_{mr'/l}$ have been determined, and $C_{sm}$ is also updated. Once a first set of calibration parameters are available at the end of the first iteration, they are applied to the observed fluxes. New weighted averages ($\hat{T}_i$) are thus computed to improve the catalogue of reference fluxes to be used in the subsequent iteration.

In the first iteration, $SS_{skp}$ is assumed to be 1. The residuals obtained from the application of the LS calibration are then fitted to derive the SS coefficients. In the calibration process performed for Gaia DR1 no divergence problems appeared when iterating the LS and SS processes, so no renormalisation of the instrumental coefficients was needed.

When setting up the reference fluxes ($\hat{T}_i$ in Eq. (1)), during the initial set of iterations of the LS calibrations and reference flux calculations, a sufficiently large number of sources (>50%) entering two or more CUs is needed to avoid the derived reference fluxes converging to different photometric systems (see Sect. 2). In that case, each independent CU would form its own system from the average for that group. This is avoided because some sources are observed in different CUs, and that mixing naturally provides convergence to a single instrument. However, if the mixing is poor, convergence will be very slow and a separate calibration is desirable in order to speed up the iteration process. In fact, this calibration acts as an additional constraint imposed at the start of the iterations to ensure the consistency of the internal photometric system over all instrument configurations.

One case identified early on as having poor mixing was that between the different gating and WC configurations (see Sect. 3). Determining which gate or WC is chosen for an observation is done on board using the magnitude of the source as measured by the SM. If this determination is accurate, each source will only be observed using one gating and WC configuration. Fortunately, for sources brighter than $G = 12$ mag the precision of this on-board measurement is low (~0.3 mag). However, for $G = 13$ mag, which is the transition between 1D and 2D observations, the accuracy is quite good (0.01 mag) and therefore the mixing is poor. Additionally, between the 1D and 2D WCs there is the difference in flux due to the aperture correction (see Sect. 3).

The algorithm used for calibrating the systematic differences between CUs is a simple differential offset (zero point) calibration carried out using observations at either side of a boundary in magnitude for adjacent gates/WCs. A single link calibration was carried out for the entire Gaia DR1 data time range since it
was observed that the coefficients were constant over this period. Since these calibrations are targeted at a specific gate/WC feature, they are effectively averaged over all FoVs, rows and strips. Figure 11 compares the $G$ photometry with the APASS catalogue for a narrow range of colours for different magnitudes before and after applying the link calibration. The offset at $G = 13$ present in the uncalibrated data (top panel) is due to the poor mixing among different WCs. This is corrected when the link calibration is applied (see also Evans et al. 2016).

In order to apply these link calibrations, one gate was chosen as the reference and the differential offsets with respect to this reference gate were combined to form a single functional form that covers the whole magnitude range. Since the link offsets are derived differentially, the process does not need reference fluxes. However, they do need sources observed with more than one gate/WC combination. The derived offsets were applied to the raw fluxes before they were averaged for the first time to form the initial set of reference fluxes. More details about how the link calibrations were used in the processing of the Gaia DR1 data can be found in Riello et al. (2016).

5. Colour information for the internal calibrations

The colour of the observed sources is required in many steps in the photometric calibration and the PSF/LSF modelling. This colour information required by the $G$ flux calibration is obtained from the low-resolution spectra from the BP and RP spectrophotometers. As mentioned in Sect. 4, SSCs are used for the Gaia DR1 calibrations. The wavelength ranges of the SSC rectangular bands are given in Table 5. Figure 12 shows the location of the SSC bands in the data space compared to the BP and RP simulated spectra for a sample of template stellar spectra covering the effective temperature range from 3000 to 50 000 K. Figure 13 shows the expected SSC dependency with the colour of the star for a dataset of simulated BP and RP spectra covering a wide range of spectral types.

Contrary to SM and AF observations, the BP/RP spectra enter the PhotPipe processing in their raw status. Conversion to physical units and bias corrections are dealt with in the pre-processing stages. For a description of the bias correction we refer to the analogous treatment done for the SM and AF data described in Fabricius et al. (2016), the main difference being that for BP/RP the full treatment, including the offset non-uniformities, is applied.

SSC data are treated as fluxes and are calibrated in the same manner as the $G$, $G_{BP}$ and $G_{RP}$ fluxes. Future developments will

Table 5. Absolute wavelength boundaries for each rectangular SSC band.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSC id</th>
<th>BP λ range (nm)</th>
<th>RP λ range (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>[328, 433]</td>
<td>4 [618, 719]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>[433, 502]</td>
<td>5 [719, 785]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>[502, 559]</td>
<td>6 [785, 863]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>[559, 720]</td>
<td>7 [863, 1042]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 11. Comparison between mean data derived from Gaia observations and photometry from the APASS catalogue (Henden et al. 2009) for raw (uncalibrated) transits before (top) and after (bottom) the gate/WC link calibration for a narrow range of colour. The Galactic plane is excluded to minimize extinction effects. The jump at $G = 13$ in the top panel (and corrected in the bottom panel) is expected and due to the aperture correction effect not taken into account for faint sources observed in 1D (see Sect. 3). The slight bump at $G = 11$ is due to saturation and gating effects.

Fig. 12. Definition of SSC bands (grey shaded areas), superimposed on simulated BP (left) and RP (right) spectra using BaSeL-2.2 (Lejeune et al. 1998) for a sample of sources with effective temperatures ranging from 3000 (red line) to 50 000 K (blue line). Coloured numbers in the upper part of the plots show the wavelength limits detailed in Table 5.

Fig. 13. SSC dependency with $G_{BP} - G_{RP}$ colour for a range of noiseless simulated data using BaSeL-2.2 SEDs (Lejeune et al. 1998).
include deriving the SSC values directly from the calibrated spectra, thus eliminating the need to calibrate them separately.

The pre-processing of BP and RP spectra first requires a correction for the background flux contribution. The background model used for BP and RP in Gaia DR1 only takes into account the biggest contribution, which is the straylight, (see Sect. 3).

The model is based on 2D maps in AC coordinates and spin angle with respect to the Sun (heliotropic angle). For a more detailed description, see Riello et al. (2016). When straylight is being subtracted, the smoother component of the astrophysical background is also removed. The charge release signal, caused by charge injections introduced to mitigate the effects of CTI (Gaia Collaboration 2016b), also contributes to the background, but for Gaia DR1, this effect has not been calibrated and observations close to a charge injection have been filtered out for this reason.

The pre-processing also involves the calibration of the geometry of the instrument. This is explained in more detail in the following section.

The computation of raw SSCs from the observed spectra requires knowledge of the absolute dispersion function providing the correspondence between data space coordinates and wavelength. Nominal BP and RP dispersion functions have been used for Gaia DR1. These provide the location in sample space of a given wavelength with respect to the location of a reference wavelength. This reference position in the observed spectrum will in principle be different for different transits for various reasons: i) sources may have a non-negligible AL motion; ii) the on-board window propagation based on the nominal geometry (derived from pre-launch measurements) may not be very accurate; and iii) the observation window is positioned using a grid with resolution equal to a macro-sample (group of four samples) which may result in additional misalignment.

The location of the reference wavelength in the window containing the dispersed image of the source can be predicted by extrapolation from the centroids of each of the AF observations that precede the BP/RP observation in a FoV transit. This requires an accurate knowledge of the AL geometric calibration of the BP/RP CCDs with respect to the AF values and of the satellite attitude. The BP/RP geometry is calibrated by comparing the predicted location of the source in the window with the actual location of the reference wavelength in a set of observed spectra, selected to be of similar spectral type. For such a dataset, the data space coordinates of the reference wavelength are expected to be the same, except for the effects of non-perfect centring of the window mentioned above. Cross-correlation is first used to align the spectra after scaling the fluxes to the same integrated flux. The adjustment in sample position and flux are then refined by fitting a spline to all spectra observed in the same CU and corresponding data space coordinate, were used. The reference between the predicted and actual locations is expressed in units of time. The observation time (on-board mission timeline, OBMT; Gaia Collaboration 2016b) is defined as the instant when the reference wavelength crosses the fiducial observation line defined for the corresponding CCD, gate and FoV configuration.

The difference between the predicted observation time and the actual time is modelled (see Lindgren et al. 2016) as a function \( \eta(\mu) \) of the AC pixel coordinate \( \mu \), a continuous variable covering the 1966 pixel columns in a CCD. The value of \( \eta(\mu) \) additionally depends on a number of parameters including the CCD index \( n \), FoV/telescope index \( t \), CCD gate \( g \), stitch block \( b \) and time. The time dependency is described by means of discrete calibration intervals. The length and boundary location for these intervals depend on the component being calibrated and on satellite events expected to affect the calibration parameters. The fit of the geometric calibration model depends on the AF geometric calibration via the predicted observation time which is derived from the AF centroid as determined in IDT, the AF geometric calibration and the satellite attitude.

The geometric calibration model is defined by different components:

\[
\eta(\mu) = \eta_{\text{LS}}(\mu) + \eta_{\text{SS}}(\mu) + \eta_{\text{SS}}(\mu).
\]

- An LS component computed over a short timescale (approximately 5 days) defined by a linear combination of shifted Legendre polynomials describing overall effects of translation, rotation and curvature,

\[
\eta_{\text{LS}}(\mu) = \sum_{i=0}^{L} \Delta \eta_{\text{LS}} L_i(\mu)
\]

where \( L_i \) are shifted Legendre polynomials, orthogonal on \([0, 1]\) and reaching \( \pm 1 \) at the end points: \( L_0(x) = 1 \), \( L_1(x) = 2x - 1 \), and \( L_2(x) = 6x^2 - 6x + 1 \). Different sets of parameters are computed for each CCD \( n \) and FoV \( t \) combination:

- A simple offset for different gate configurations \( \eta_{\text{LS}}(\mu) = \Delta \eta_{\text{LS}} \), computed on a longer timescale, taking care of residual effects;

- an offset for different CCD AC stitch blocks \( \eta_{\text{SS}}(\mu) = \Delta \eta_{\text{SS}} \) (see Sect. 3) also computed on a long timescale, to account for effects due to the non-perfect manufacturing of the CCDs. The block index \( b \) is defined as \((\mu_b + 128.5)/250\), where \( \mu_b \) are the block boundaries defined in Sect. 3.

The computed calibrations can be applied to all BP/RP spectra to estimate the location of the reference wavelength in the data space. This allows the application of the nominal dispersion functions and thus convert the data space coordinate into absolute wavelengths.

It should be noted that for Gaia DR1 no calibration of the wavelength scale has been attempted. Nominal pre-launch dispersion functions, based on an assumed reference wavelength and corresponding data space coordinate, were used. The reference spectrum, created during the alignment procedure, could in principle have an arbitrary offset with respect to the nominal value. This could result in an offset in the location of the SSC bands with respect to the values given in Table 5. The offset is expected to be small owing to the large number of spectra contributing to the reference spectrum definition, and consistent over the entire dataset. A constant offset in the wavelength calibration would have no effect at all on the flux calibrations; it would simply imply a slightly different definition of the SSC bands, but the SSCs would still be providing the colour information that is required for the calibration of the fluxes. A significant variation in time of the dispersion (which is not expected) would also have no impact as it would effectively produce a change in the passband, thus adding a time-dependent colour term which is already included in the calibration model definition.

6. Reference photometry update

At the start of each iteration (see Sect. 2 and Fig. 1), weighted mean fluxes are generated for each source. Weighted means
were chosen because the errors on each observation are not the same and depend on which observational configuration are used (see Sect. 3). Gating configuration has the most significant effect since it determines the effective exposure time of the observation.

An inverse variance weighting scheme is used (i.e. $w_k = 1/\sigma_k^2$, where $\sigma_k$ is the error on the flux quoted by the image parameter determination, IPD, see Fabricius et al. 2016).

The weighted mean flux, $\overline{I}_s$, expressed in photoelectrons per second, for the source $s$ is then given by

$$\overline{I}_s = \frac{\sum_k I_{sk} w_k}{\sum_k w_k}$$

where $I_{sk}$ are the individual photon flux measurements for observation $k$.

In the reductions used for Gaia DR1, the quoted errors for the single flux measurements, $\sigma_k$, are not representative of the observed distribution of the fluxes since they do not account for model-fitting issues, such as using a non-representative PSF observed distribution of the fluxes since they do not account for any intrinsic scatter that might exist within the data caused by these effects. The calculation used in this reduction is the same as that used for the HIPPARCOS catalogue (ESA 1997):

$$\sigma_{sk} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_k I_{sk}^2 w_k - \overline{I}_s^2}{N_{obs} - 1} \sum_k w_k}$$

where $N_{obs}$ is the number of single CCD observations. It is the weighted mean flux in Eq. (6) and error in Eq. (7) that are used in the photometric calibrations as the reference flux.

This process is also carried out on the colour information (see Sect. 5) and appropriate weighted mean fluxes are used for all sources in the application of the calibrations to produce the calibrated photometry, i.e. mean values rather than instantaneous values are used. This includes the integrated $G_{BP}$ and $G_{RP}$ fluxes and the SSC information.

At this stage variable sources cannot yet be identified and they are handled in Gaia DR1 in exactly the same way as constant sources, although their colour can also be variable and epochs should be used in those cases. Future releases will be able to account for their colour variability.

7. External calibration

The external calibration aims to derive the characteristics of the mean photometric instrument, where all possible differences and variations across the focal plane and time, etc, have been taken into account by the internal calibration process.

7.1. $G$ magnitude scale

The weighted mean flux $\overline{I}_s$ provided by Eq. (6) can be used to define an instrumental magnitude:

$$G_{\text{instr}} = -2.5 \log \overline{I}_s.$$  

We define the Gaia magnitude $G$ scale by adding a zero point, $G_0$, to the instrumental magnitude, as

$$G = G_{\text{instr}} + G_0.$$  

The aims of the external calibration are therefore to derive the shape of the mean system passband and the zero point $G_0$ to link the internally calibrated photometry to an external (absolute) scale, specifically the VEGAMAG scale.

The shape of the passband can be obtained by using an adequate parametric model and a suitable grid of calibrators (SPSS) whose absolute SEDs are known with great accuracy from ground-based observations (see the following section for details). Once the passband is known, the zero point can be derived by comparison of the synthetic photometry $G_{\text{synth}}$ and the corresponding Gaia data $G_{\text{instr}}$ for the SPSS. The technique of calibration with synthetic photometry is well-known and has been described in many published papers, see e.g. Bessell & Murphy (2012) for an exhaustive discussion.

The reference spectrum chosen for the Gaia photometric system is the same used for the SPSS calibration, i.e. the CALSPEC spectrum alpha_lyr_mod_002 available from the public CALSPEC server. This flux table is a Kurucz model (Buser & Kurucz 1992) for the Vega spectrum with $T_{\text{eff}} = 9400$ K, $\log g = 3.95$, and $[\text{M/H}] = -0.5$ at $R = 500$. The model has been normalised to the most recent STIS Vega flux distribution (Bohlin & Gilliland 2004) at 554.5–557 nm. We assume the visual magnitude for Vega is $V_{\text{Vega}} = 0.023 \pm 0.008$ mag (Bohlín 2007a).

For the Gaia DR1 it was decided to skip the determination of the passband and assume instead the nominal pre-launch instrument response, which is modelled as the product of the following quantities derived by the industry during on-ground laboratory test campaigns: i) the telescope (mirrors) reflectivity; ii) the attenuation due to rugosity and molecular contamination of the mirrors; iii) the QE of the CCDs; and iv) the prism (fused silica) transmittance curve (including filter coating on their surface) for the $G_{\text{BP}}$/$G_{\text{RP}}$ case. A plot of the nominal passbands as function of wavelength can be found in Jordi et al. (2010).

Since the nominal passband is expected to be somewhat different from the true one, the $(G_{\text{synth}} - G_{\text{instr}})$ vs. colour relation contains a colour term, as shown in Fig. 14. However, since the definition of the VEGAMAG system assumes that Vega has all colours equal to zero, the difference $(G_{\text{synth}} - G_{\text{instr}})$ must be independent from the passband for a source with zero colour. Therefore a calibration of the Gaia data is still possible by deriving the zero point for the $G$ magnitude scale as the intercept of the $(G_{\text{synth}} - G_{\text{instr}})$ relation.

The value of the zero point in the VEGAMAG system is $G_0 = 25.525 \pm 0.003$ mag (rms error) (more details on this calculation can be found in the online documentation). A rough zero point was also calculated for the AB system, and is $25.696 \pm 0.045$ mag (rms error), where the error is larger because the average value of $(G_{\text{synth}} - G_{\text{instr}})$ for all SPSS was used.

The external accuracy, estimated by comparison with a few data catalogues (HIPPARCOS, Tycho-2, Johnson), is presently of the order of 0.01–0.02 mag (Evans et al. 2016), and is expected to improve in future data releases where the true passbands (also

---

14 As part of the cyclic nature of the processing, these dependencies will be added to the reductions in future data releases.

15 http://www.stsci.edu/ftp/cdbs/current_calspec

16 The main difference is due to contamination, which mainly changes the response at the shortest wavelengths.

17 http://gaia.esac.esa.int/documentation/GDR1/index.html
for \( G_{BP} \) and \( G_{RP} \)) will be used to derive the corresponding zero points.

Photometric relationships were derived relating the Gaia passband with other common photometric systems (see van Leeuwen et al. 2016, and Gaia DR1 online documentation17). The dispersion found in these derived relationships ranges between 0.03 and 0.12 mag, depending on the colours to be fitted18. Figure 15 shows two examples of these photometric relationships. The left panel shows fitted polynomials between SDSS \( g \) and \( i \) passbands (Fukugita et al. 1996) and the \( G \) Gaia passband. The right panel uses a subset of Landolt stars (Landolt 1992) to derive a relationship between Gaia and Johnson-Cousins \( B \) and \( V \) passbands. In this case, we have split the relationship into different colour ranges: by using Tycho-Gaia Astrometric Solution (TGAS, Gaia Collaboration 2016a) parallaxes, it was possible to separate red giants (green triangles) and dwarfs (red triangles) and fit them separately to provide better results for the fitted law.

7.2. Spectrophotometric standard stars (SPSS)

The external calibration model requires the use of as many calibrators as possible (compatibly with the feasibility of the corresponding on-ground observing campaign), including all spectral types from blue to red (to account for colour dependencies) with smooth SEDs, but also absorption features, both narrow (atomic lines) and wide (molecular bands). The Gaia end-of-mission requirement for the SPSS flux precision is \( \approx 1\% \), and their flux calibration should be tied to Vega (Bohlin & Gilliland 2004; Bohlin 2007a, 2014) to within \( \approx 3\% \). This establishes a requirement for approximately 200 calibrators, to ensure a homogeneous sky coverage all year round in the northern and the southern hemispheres, and with a suitable magnitude range \((V \sim 9–15 \text{ mag})\) to be observed by both Gaia and several 2–4 m class ground-based telescopes with a good S/N.

Because no existing set of SPSS in the literature simultaneously meets all these requirements, while at the same time covering the whole Gaia spectral range (330–1050 nm), an initial selection of approximately 300 SPSS candidates was made. These candidates cover all spectral types from hot white dwarfs, WD, and O/B to cold M stars, i.e. with a temperature range \( T_{\text{eff}} \sim 3500–80 000 \text{ K} \). A huge observational effort (Pancino et al. 2012; Altavilla et al. 2015) to collect the required data and monitor for constancy started in 2006 and was completed in 2015. The campaign was awarded more than 5000 h of observing time, mostly in visitor mode, at six different facilities: DOLORES at TNG in La Palma, EFOSC2 at NTT and ROSS at REM in La Silla, CAFOS at 2.2 m in Calar Alto, BFOSC at Cassini in Loiano, and LaRuca at 1.5 m in San Pedro Mártir. Some additional data were also acquired with Meia at TJO in Catalonia. The survey produced more than 100 000 imaging and spectroscopic frames that are presently being analysed (Altavilla et al. 2015). The raw data, flux tables, and intermediate data products are collected at the ASI Science Data Center19 in a

18 The dispersion values specified here consider only those sources used to fit the photometric relationship after filtering out those sources with high uncertainties or that deviate from the main behaviour. Larger deviations due to cosmic dispersion can be found for some particular cases or when applied to different colour intervals. The ranges of validity included in the Gaia DR1 documentation must be carefully considered.

19 http://www.asdc.asi.it/
database that will be opened to the public along with the first official release of SPSS flux tables.20

Two internal releases of SPSS flux tables were prepared, a pre-launch version (V0) to test the instrument performance and the pipelines, and a first post-launch version (V1) to actually calibrate the photometry for Gaia DR1. Both V0 and V1 contain the best 94 SPSS, i.e. about 50% of the final SPSS sample, observed in strictly photometric conditions and monitored for constancy on timescales of 1–2 h to exclude stars with magnitude variations larger than ±10 mmag (Marinoni et al., in prep.). The quality of the flux tables in V1 already meets the requirements (precision <1% and accuracy <3%). Future releases will increase the number of validated SPSS up to completion of the entire sample, improve the data quality of the flux tables, and complete the data products available for each SPSS (including magnitudes and variability assessment). Figure 16 shows a one-sight view of the current sample where fluxes are normalised at 475 nm, while the colour ranks with the sources spectral type.

8. Summary

This paper explains the basic principles of the photometric calibration model considered in Gaia DR1.

Owing to the variety of sources observed by Gaia and to the complexity of the instrument, the photometric calibration is self-consistent, as it is not feasible to undertake on-ground campaigns for a set of thousands of standard stars evenly distributed in magnitude, colour and sky position. For this reason, the calibration model is split into internal and external processes.

Internal calibration deals with the differential instrumental conditions to derive mean photometric values and to build a mean instrument using millions of constant standard sources, the external calibration transforms the reference fluxes to absolute physical units. External calibration relates the mean internal photometry with the absolute fluxes derived using a set of standard stars (94 SPSS were used in Gaia DR1 to be extended to about 200 in future releases). In Gaia DR1, this external calibration derives a zero point and photometric transformations to allow users to compare this data with existing photometry (see Gaia DR1 online documentation17).
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Fig. 16. SPSS spectra ordered (and coloured) by spectral type, normalised in flux at 475 nm.
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20 The first public release of SPSS flux tables should occur before Gaia DR2.