Direct Imaging discovery of a second planet candidate around the possibly transiting planet host CVSO 30
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ABSTRACT

Context. Direct imaging has developed into a very successful technique for the detection of exoplanets in wide orbits, especially around young stars. Directly imaged planets can be both followed astrometrically on their orbits and observed spectroscopically and thus provide an essential tool for our understanding of the early solar system.

Aims. We surveyed the 25 Ori association for direct-imaging companions. This association has an age of only few million years. Among other targets, we observed CVSO 30, which has recently been identified as the first T Tauri star found to host a transiting planet candidate.

Methods. We report on photometric and spectroscopic high-contrast observations with the Very Large Telescope, the Keck telescopes, and the Calar Alto observatory. They reveal a directly imaged planet candidate close to the young M3 star CVSO 30.

Results. The JHK-band photometry of the newly identified candidate is at better than 1σ consistent with late-type giants, early-T and early-M dwarfs, and free-floating planets. Other hypotheses such as galaxies can be excluded at more than 3.5σ. A lucky imaging z′ photometric detection limit z′ = 20.5 mag excludes early-M dwarfs and results in less than 10 M Jup for CVSO 30 c if bound. We present spectroscopic observations of the wide companion that imply that the only remaining explanation for the object is that it is the first very young (<310 Myr) L−T-type planet bound to a star, meaning that it appears bluer than expected as a result of a decreasing cloud opacity at low effective temperatures. Only a planetary spectral model is consistent with the spectroscopy, and we deduce a best-fit mass of 4–5 Jupiter masses (total range 0.6–10.2 Jupiter masses).

Conclusions. This means that CVSO 30 is the first system in which both a close-in and a wide planet candidate are found to have a common host star. The orbits of the two possible planets could not be more different: they have orbital periods of 10.76 h and about 27 000 yr. The two orbits may have formed during a mutual catastrophic event of planet-planet scattering.
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1. Introduction

Since the first definite detection of a planet around another main-sequence star, 51 Peg (Mayor & Queloz 1995) made with high-precision radial velocity measurements, various detection techniques have been applied to find a diverse population of exoplanets. The transit method, which was first used for HD 209458 (Charbonneau et al. 2000), later allowed for a boost of exoplanet discoveries after the successful launch of two dedicated satellite missions, CoRoT (Baglin et al. 2007) and Kepler (Koch et al. 2010; Borucki et al. 2010). These two methods indirectly discern the presence of a planet by the influence the planet has on its host star. The methods are most sensitive to small and moderate planet-star-separations around old main-sequence stars that are fairly inactive because of their age. The sensitivity diminishes fast for separations beyond 5 au because transits become less likely and the radial velocity amplitude declines with increasing orbital period. In contrast, direct imaging allows discovering planets on wide orbits around nearby pre-main-sequence stars because such young planets are still bright at infrared wavelengths as a result of the gravitational contraction during their still-ongoing formation process.

The total number of imaged planet candidates has increased to about 50–60 objects today. The first detections were made in 2005, when the first four co-moving planetary candidates were found around the solar-like stars DH Tau (Itoh et al. 2005), GQ Lup (Neuhäuser et al. 2005), and AB Pic (Chauvin et al. 2005c), all with masses near the threshold of 13 M Jup that divides brown

* Based on observations made with ESO Telescopes at the La Silla Paranal Observatory under programme IDs 090.C-0448(A), 290.C-5018(B), 092.C-0488(A) and at the Centro Astronómico Hispano-Alemán in programme H15-2.2-002.
dwarfs from planets according to the current IAU working definition, together with the planet candidate around the brown dwarf 2M1207 (Chauvin et al. 2005a). A summary can be found in Neuhauser & Schmidt (2012), and the current status is always available in several online encyclopaediae, such as the Extrasolar Planets Encyclopaedia at www.exoplanet.eu (Schneider et al. 2011). As in situ formation at ~100 au to a few hundreds of au separation seems unlikely according to models, Boss (2006) argued that a third body must exist that tossed these planets outward to their present distance from their young host stars. An alternative explanation might be a stellar encounter (Adams & Laughlin 2001).

While early-type stars have less favourable planet-to-star contrast ratios, increasing evidence was found by millimeter-continuum measurements for larger and more massive protoplanetary disks that are available for planet formation around these stars (Mannings & Sargent 1997; Andrews et al. 2013). These conclusions were further strengthened when three of the most prominent planet candidates were found in 2008 and 2009 around the early F-type star HR 8799 (Marois et al. 2008), which is the first system with multiple planets imaged around a star. The other two were around the A-type stars Fomalhaut (Kalas et al. 2008), which is the first planet candidate discovered in the optical regime using the Hubble Space Telescope (HST), and β Pic (Lagrange et al. 2009, 2010), a planet within the large edge-on disk at only about twice the separation of Jupiter from the Sun. This had previously been predicted by Freistetter et al. (2007), for instance, from the structural gaps in the disk.

Most of the direct-imaging surveys conducted so far have concentrated on AFGK stars. In 2012 a (proto-)planet candidate was discovered around the ~2 Myr young solar-like star LkCa 15 (Kraus & Ireland 2012). This is a close (~11 AU) object found by single-dish interferometry, which is a technique that is also referred to as sparse aperture masking. Two companions of 4–5 M_{Jup} were recently discovered around GJ 504 (Kuzuhara et al. 2013), which is a 160 Myr old solar-like star, and around HD 95086 (Rameau et al. 2013), an A-type star at about 10–17 Myr. Additionally, first results from imaging surveys around M dwarfs were published during the past two years, which increased our understanding of planetary systems around the most numerous stars in the Milky Way (Delorme et al. 2013; Bowler et al. 2015).

In this article we describe for the first time the direct detection of a wide-separation (1.85′′ or 662 au, see Fig. 1) directly imaged planet candidate around a star (CVSO 30) that also hosts a short-period transiting planet candidate; we refer to a more detailed discussion of this object in van Eyken et al. (2012), Barnes et al. (2013), Yu et al. (2015), and Raetz et al. (2016). A system that harbours two planets with such extreme orbits gives us for the first time the opportunity to study by observations the possible outcome of planet-planet scattering theories, which have been used to explain the existence of close-in hot Jupiters in 1996 (Rasio & Ford 1996).

2. 25 Ori group and the CVSO 30 system properties

Despite their importance for the evolution of protoplanetary disks and the early phases in the planet formation process, sufficiently large samples of 10 Myr old stars have been difficult to identify, mainly because the parent molecular clouds dissipate after a few Myr and no longer serve as markers of these populations (see Briceño et al. 2007b and references therein). The 25 Ori cluster (25 Ori, Briceño et al. 2007a) contains >200 PMS stars in the mass range 0.1 < M/M_⊙ < 3. The Hipparcos OB and earlier A-type stars in 25 Ori are on the zero-age main sequence (ZAMS, Hernández et al. 2005), implying a distance of ~330 pc, with some of the A-type stars harbouring debris disks (Hernández et al. 2006). Isochrone fitting of the low-mass stars yields an age of 7–10 Myr (Briceño et al. 2007b). This is the most populous 10 Myr old sample within 500 pc, which we consequently chose for a direct-imaging survey with ESO’s VLT, the Very Large Telescope of the European Southern Observatory, to find young planetary and sub-stellar companions at or shortly after their formation. For this same reason, the 25 Ori cluster was also targeted in searches for transiting planets, like the Young Exoplanet Transit Initiative (YETI, Neuhauser et al. 2011) and the Palomar Transient Factory (PTF, van Eyken et al. 2012).

CVSO 30 (also 2MASS J05250755+0134243 and PTFO 8-8695) is a weak-line T Tauri star of spectral type M3 in 25 Ori at an average distance of 357 ± 52 pc (Downes et al. 2014). It was confirmed as a T Tauri member of the 25 Ori cluster by the CIDA Variability Survey of Orion (CVSO), with properties shown in Table 1. As shown in Fig. 1 in van Eyken et al. (2012), CVSO 30 is one of the youngest objects within 25 Ori, its position in the colour-magnitude diagram corresponds to 2.39^{+1.31}_{-0.58} Myr (if compared to evolutionary models of Siess et al. 2000). The object is highly variable, rotates fast, and has a mass of 0.34–0.44 M_⊙ (depending on the evolutionary model), and an effective temperature of ~3470 K. The rotation period of CVSO 30, which is possibly synchronised with the CVSO 30 b
3. Astrometric and photometric analysis

After the discovery of the transiting planet candidate by van Eyken et al. (2012) and our independent detection of the transit signals with YETI, we included the system in our independent detection of the transiting planet that results from hot-start formation mechanisms (Barnes et al. 2013).

During the course of their study of the transiting planet CVSO 30, the PTF team used Keck II/NIRC2 $H$-band images obtained in 2010 to identify contaminants capable of creating a false-positive signal mimicking a planet. We re-reduced these data and found that they already contain the planetary companion. We re-reduced these data and found that they already contain the planetary companion.

Keck and Hobby-EBerly Telescope (HET) spectra (van Eyken et al. 2012) set an upper limit to the mass of the transiting companion of 5.5 $\pm$ 1.4 $M_{Jup}$ from the radial velocity variation, which exhibits a phase offset that is likely caused by spots on the surface of the star. This RV limit was already corrected for the derived orbital inclination 61.8 $\pm$ 3.7$^\circ$ of the system. With an orbital radius of only about twice the stellar radius and a planetary radius of 0.91 $\pm$ 0.21 $R_{Jup}$, the object appears to be at or within its Roche-limiting orbit, raising the possibility of past or ongoing mass loss. A false positive by a blended eclipsing binary is unlikely because the only present contaminant in Keck near-IR images (see Fig. 1) with 6.96 mag of contrast to the star would have to be very blue to be bright enough in the optical to mimic a transit; it is unlikely to be a star in that case.

In 2013 Barnes et al. (2013) fit the two separate light curves observed in 2009 and 2010, which exhibited unusual differing shapes, simultaneously and self-consistently with planetary masses of the companion of 3.0–3.6 $M_{Jup}$. They assumed transits across an oblate, gravity-darkened stellar disk and precession of the planetary orbit ascending node. The fits show a high degree of spin-orbit misalignment of about 70$^\circ$, which leads to the prediction that transits disappear for months at a time during the precession period of this system. The lower planet radius result of $\sim$1.65 $R_{Jup}$ is consistent with a young, hydrogen-dominated planet that results from hot-start formation mechanisms (Barnes et al. 2013).

### Table 1. Previously known CVSO 30 system data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CVSO 30</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alt. designations</td>
<td>2MASS J05250755+0134243, PTFO J0525075.5+0134243.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>25 Ocr/Orient OB 1a [1,2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA, Dec</td>
<td>05h 25m 07.5s, +01° 24.5s [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectral type</td>
<td>M3 (weak-line T Tauri, WTTS) [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>0.340 $\pm$ 0.044 $M_\odot$ [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminosity</td>
<td>0.25 $L_\odot$ [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius</td>
<td>1.39 $R_\odot/0.07 \pm 0.10 R_\odot/[0.03/0.04 \pm 0.01 R_\odot]$ [2, 3, 4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>3470 K [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opt. extinction</td>
<td>0.12 mag [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>$[323^{+277}<em>{-36}, 322^{+34}</em>{-12}]\times/357 \pm 52 \text{ pc}$ [2, 5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>2.39$^{+3.1}_{-1.2}$ Myr [2, here]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_\alpha$ equivalent width</td>
<td>$\pm 11.40$ Å [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L$_\alpha$ equivalent width</td>
<td>0.40 Å [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sin(i)$</td>
<td>80.6 $\pm$ 8.1 km s$^{-1}$ [3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proper motion [$E, N$]</td>
<td>$[-0.1 \pm 5.3, 0.9 \pm 5.5]$ mas/yr [6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J, H, K$ photometry</td>
<td>$[12.23 \pm 0.028, 11.559 \pm 0.026, 11.357 \pm 0.021]$ mag [8]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. CVSO 30 astrometry and photometry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CVSO 30 b</th>
<th>PTFO 8-8695 b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right ascension</td>
<td>$69 \pm 2/73.1 \pm 0.5^\circ$ [4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declination</td>
<td>$69 \pm 2/73.1 \pm 0.5^\circ$ [4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separation with respect to the host star [$E, N$]</td>
<td>$0.0038 \pm 0.00072$ arc [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period (circular)</td>
<td>$0.448413 \pm 0.000040$ d [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbit. inclination</td>
<td>61.8 $\pm$ 4.7$^\circ$ [4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbit. misalignment</td>
<td>$69 \pm 2/73.1 \pm 0.5^\circ$ [4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J$-band (differential)</td>
<td>$7.385 \pm 0.045$ mag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H$-band (differential)</td>
<td>$7.243 \pm 0.014$ mag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_s$-band (differential)</td>
<td>$7.351 \pm 0.022$ mag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J$-band (differential)</td>
<td>$7.183 \pm 0.035$ mag</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### References.
Table 3. VLT/NACO, VLT/SINFONI, archival KeckII/NIRC2, and Calar Alto/2.2 m/AstraLux observation log.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>JD-2 455 000 [days]</th>
<th>Date of observation</th>
<th>DIT</th>
<th>NDIT</th>
<th># images</th>
<th>Airmass</th>
<th>DIMM$^a$ Seeing</th>
<th>$\tau^b$ [ms]</th>
<th>Strehl [%]</th>
<th>$S/N$ (brightest pixel)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NACO J</td>
<td>1264.69416</td>
<td>03 Dec. 2012</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NACO H</td>
<td>1264.70764</td>
<td>03 Dec. 2012</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NACO Ks</td>
<td>1264.72079</td>
<td>03 Dec. 2012</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NACO J</td>
<td>1266.72899</td>
<td>05 Dec. 2012</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SINFONI H + K</td>
<td>1592.82609</td>
<td>27 Oct. 2013</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>$\leq$15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIRC2 H</td>
<td>465.05374</td>
<td>25 Sep. 2010</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AstraLux $\nu^c$</td>
<td>2260.6696</td>
<td>26 Aug. 2015</td>
<td>0.02945</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>70 000</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>no AO non-detection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Remarks: $^{(a)}$ Differential image motion monitor (DIMM) seeing average of all images; $^{(b)}$ coherence time of atmospheric fluctuations.

Fig. 2. CVSO 30, CVSO 30 c, and comparison objects, superimposed onto the colour data from Hewett et al. (2006). CVSO 30 c clearly stands out in the lower left corner, approximately consistent with colours of giants, early-M and early-T dwarfs, and free-floating planetary mass objects (Zapatero Osorio et al. 2000; Peña Ramírez et al. 2012), e.g. consistent with absolute magnitude and $J-Ks$ colour of S Ori 64. Its unusual blue colour can most likely be attributed to the youth of these objects (Saumon & Marley 2008), leading to L–T transition opacity drop at high wavelengths (Zapatero Osorio et al. 2000; Peña Ramírez et al. 2012), e.g. consistent with absolute magnitude and $J-K_s$ colour of S Ori 64. Its unusual blue colour can most likely be attributed to the youth of these objects (Saumon & Marley 2008), leading to L–T transition opacity drop at high brightnesses (see Fig. 11). See Fig. A.5 for details. For CVSO 30 c we give the colours before (grey) and after (red) correcting for the NACO to the 2MASS filter set and we give the maximum possible systematic photometric offsets caused by the variability of the primary star that is used as reference (black).

As given in van Eyken et al. (2012), our psf reference CVSO 30 varies by 0.17 mag (min to max) in the R-band, consistent with our estimates within YETI. The present steep wavelength dependence of the variability amplitudes is best described by hot star-spots (Koen 2015), therefore we can extrapolate from measurements of the very similar T Tauri GQ Lup (Broeg et al. 2007). According to this, 0.17 mag in $R$ correspond to about 0.1 mag and 0.055 mag variability in $J$ and $Ks$-band, respectively. As the hot spots change the bands simultaneously, this gives rise to a maximum systematic offset of 0.045 mag in $J-Ks$ colour. We give an estimate of this variability as black error bars for a possible additional systematic offset of CVSO 30 c in Fig. 2.
Table 4. CVSO 30 deduced planetary properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CVSO 30 b/PTFO 8-8695 b</th>
<th>CVSO 30 c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opt. extinction</td>
<td>0.19±0.02 mag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminosity (vs. ζ)</td>
<td>~3.78±0.15 dex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eff. temperature T eff</td>
<td>1600±220 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface gravity log g</td>
<td>3.6±0.2 dex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius</td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.21 R Jup [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.64±0.08 ± 0.07 R Jup [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>&lt;5.5 ± 1.4 M Jup [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.2 M Jup [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.3 M Jup [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(log g &amp; Roche)</td>
<td>4.7±0.5 M Jup (L, age)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L, T eff, age)</td>
<td>4.7±0.5 M Jup (L, T eff, age)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10 M Jup (l' imaging limit)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Astrometric calibration of VLT/NACO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>JD – 2456 000</th>
<th>Pixel scale</th>
<th>PA°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HD 237903</td>
<td>236.62525</td>
<td>13.265 ± 0.041</td>
<td>+0.60 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: All data from Ks-band images. (l') Pa is measured from N over E to S.

The colours of CVSO 30 and CVSO 30 c are very similar (Table 2 and Fig. 2). We currently lack a spectrum of CVSO 30 c in J-band, therefore we used the M3V star Gl 388 (Cushing et al. 2005; Rayner et al. 2009) and the L3/L4 brown dwarf 2MASS J11463449+2230527 (Cushing et al. 2005) to derive a preliminary filter correction between 2MASS and NACO for CVSO 30 and CVSO 30 c. The colours of CVSO 30 are well known from 2MASS (Table 1), the differential brightnesses to CVSO 30 c vary from NACO to 2MASS by 28 magm in J, −21 magm in H, and −38 magm in Ks. Thus CVSO 30 c is 49 magm redder in J − H and 17 magm redder in H − Ks in 2MASS (red in Fig. 2) than in the NACO results (grey in Fig. 2).

In Fig. 2 and Table 6 we compare CVSO 30 c to the colours of several possible sources. We find that background stars of spectral types OBAFGK are too blue in J − H, late-M dwarfs are too blue in J − H and too red in H − K, while foreground L- and late-T dwarfs are either too red in H − K or too blue in J−H. In addition, background galaxies, quasars, and H/He white dwarfs are also inconsistent with the values of CVSO 30 c. Only late-type giants, early-M and early-T dwarfs, and planetary mass free-floating objects such as are found in the ρ Oraionis star cluster have comparable colours (Zapatero Osorio et al. 2000; Peña Ramírez et al. 2012).

4. CVSO 30 c spectroscopic analysis

A common proper motion analysis is not feasible because of the low proper motion of the host star (Table 1), therefore we carried out spectroscopic follow-up observations at the end of 2013, using the ESO VLT integral field unit SINFONI. The observations were made in H + K-band with 100 mas/spaxel scale (FoV: 3 arcsec × 3 arcsec). The instrument provides information in the two spatial directions of the sky in addition to the simultaneous H- and K-band spectra. An unfortunate timing of the observations led to a parallactic angle at which a spike, probably of the telescope secondary mounting, was superimposed onto the well-separated spectrum of the companion candidate CVSO 30 c.

After correcting, the resulting spectrum can be compared to model atmospheres to determine its basic properties and to other sub-stellar companions to assess its youth and the reliability of the models at this low age, surface gravity, and temperature regime.

In an attempt to optimally subtract the spike of the host star, we performed several standard and customised reduction steps. After dark subtraction, flat-fielding, wavelength calibration, and
We first compare the spectrum of CVSO 30 c to spectra derived from Drift-Phoenix atmosphere simulations. These are dedicated radiative transfer models that take the strong continuum altering influence of dust cloud formation in the detectable parts of planetary atmospheres into account (Helling et al. 2008). From a $\chi^2$-comparison of the $H$- and $K$-band spectra to the model grid, we find an effective temperature of about 1800–1900 K, while the individual fit of the $H$- and $K$-band spectrum give a lower $T_{\text{eff}}$ of about 1600 K. In addition, the slope of the blue part of the triangular $H$-band is too steep in the atmosphere models of about 1800 K and does not fit the continuum well. The higher $T_{\text{eff}}$ is only needed to fit the unusually blue $H-K_s$ colour of the object, as already discussed in the previous photometry section and visible in Fig. 2, since the models do not yet include a good description of the dust opacity drop at the L-T transition. We thus decided to fit the $H$- and $K$-band simultaneously, but normalising them individually, to cope with the unusual colours, while using all the present information for the fit. In this way, we find a best-fitting $T_{\text{eff}} = 1600^{+1200}_{-300}$ K, an extinction $A_V = 0.19^{+0.51}_{-0.19}$ mag, a surface gravity $\log g\,[\text{cm/s}^2] = 3.6^{+1.4}_{-0.6}$ dex, and a metallicity $\log(M/H)/(M/H)_{\odot} = 0.3^{+0.1}_{-0.0}$ dex at the upper supersolar edge of the grid. The 1σ fitting contours are shown in Fig. 5, where they delineate the full regime for the error bars, and the best fit itself is shown in Fig. 4.

In Fig. 6 we compare the spectrum of CVSO 30 c to the triangular shaped $H$-band spectrum of the $\beta$ Pic b planet that was obtained with the Gemini Planet Imager (GPI, Chilcote et al. 2015). We also compare this to other planetary mass objects. $\beta$ Pic b is particularly suited as comparison object because it is young (10–20 Myr) and has about the same luminosity and effective temperature (1600–1700 K) while being of higher mass (10–12 $M_{\odot}$). We show linear fits to the blue and red part of the $H$-band and the triangular shape of the chosen Drift-Phoenix models. In contrast to M5–L5 companions, for which the $H_2$O index in Allers et al. (2007) shows an increase in water absorption, the absorption becomes shallower for later spectral types. This means that even though the formal $\chi^2$ fit finds a best temperature of 1600 K for CVSO 30 c, the temperature is likely to be lower than for $\beta$ Pic b, exhibiting a steeper $H$-band spectrum. The object’s spectrum is not consistent with a giant of any spectral type. The best-fitting giants with consistent photometry (Fig. 2 and Table 6) are shown as comparison in Fig. 6 and would be at a distance of about 200 Mpc. To improve the fit in the $K$-band, the spectral type would have to be later than M7III, while the $H$-band does not fit for these objects. Finally, CVSO 30 c, which is comparable but younger, must have a lower surface gravity than $\beta$ Pic b, which is determined to have a 1σ upper limit of $\log g\,[\text{cm/s}^2] = 4.3$ dex according to the linear prior orbit fit in Bonnefoy et al. (2014b). This corrects the surface gravity of CVSO 30 c to $\log g\,[\text{cm/s}^2] = 3.6^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$ dex.

5. AstraLux lucky imaging follow-up observations

We performed a follow-up of CVSO 30 with 2000 s of AstraLux imaging and integration in $z'$. The individual AstraLux images were combined using our own pipeline for the reduction of lucky imaging data. The fully reduced AstraLux image is shown in Fig. 7. The photometry of CVSO 30 was not measured so far, but can be derived from its magnitudes in other photometric bands using the colour transformation equations1 from Jordi et al. (2006). The $V$- and $R$-band photometry of CVSO 30, as given by Briceno et al. (2005) and van Eyken et al. (2012) ($V = 16.26 \pm 0.19$ mag, and $r - R = 0.77 \cdot (V - R) - 0.37$ and $r - z' = 1.584 \cdot (R - I) - 0.386$.

---

1 $r - R = 0.77 \cdot (V - R) - 0.37$ and $r - z' = 1.584 \cdot (R - I) - 0.386$. 

---

**Fig. 3.** Median in wavelength direction of the reduced VLT/SINFONI integral field cubes. Left: cube after reduction. Centre: cube after removing the primary halo, assumed to be centred at the separation of 1.85″, as measured in the VLT/NACO images. North is about 70″ from the right-hand side towards the bottom of the plots. Right: cube after removing the primary halo, spectral deconvolution, and polynomial flattening of the resulting background, used for the extraction of the final spectrum.
Fig. 4. Spectrum of CVSO 30 c as extracted from the spectral deconvolution-corrected cube in the right panel of Fig. 3. Top: the spectrum in resolution 700 (black) is shown after binning of the original extracted spectrum in resolution 1500 (green). The best-fitting Drift-Phoenix model of Helling et al. (2008) is shown in red, fitting both the individually normalised H and K spectra. This type of normalisation was necessary because the redder colour of the models, in comparison to the unusually blue nature of CVSO 30 c, would steer the best-fitting model to higher temperatures, which would prevent fitting the individual features in H and K-band. The best-fitting model (red) corresponds to 1600 K, surface gravity log $g$ 3.6 dex, metallicity [M/H] 0.3 dex, and 0.19 mag of visual extinction. Bottom: absolute value of the difference between spectrum and model from the top panel (black) versus noise floor at the corresponding position (green).

Fig. 5. 1σ contour plots of the $\chi^2$ Drift-Phoenix model fit to the spectrum shown in Fig. 4. Contour plot in extinction vs. effective temperature (top), surface gravity log $g$ vs. effective temperature (centre) and metallicity [M/H] vs. effective temperature (bottom). The fit shows a best fit at 1600 K, low extinction of 0.19 mag, higher values becoming increasingly less likely, and a best fit at log $g$ 3.6. While all surface gravities seem to be almost of equal probability, a high surface gravity foreground brown dwarf can be excluded from the shape of the H-band in Fig. 6. Although the young planetary models differ in photometric colours, this could be because of a not yet fully understood change in the cloud properties at the L-T transition that is indicated by the change in brightness of the L-T transition with age of the system, which we show in Fig. 11.

$R = 15.19 \pm 0.085$ mag), and the $I$-band photometry of the star, listed in the 2005 DENIS database ($I = 13.695 \pm 0.030$ mag), yield $z' = 13.66$ mag.

The ($S/N = 5$) detection limit reached in the AstraLux observation is given in Fig. 8. At an angular separation of about 1.8 arcsec from CVSO 30 (or $\sim 640$ au of projected separation), companions that are $\Delta z' = 6.8$ mag fainter than the star are still detectable at $S/N = 5$. The reached detection limit at this angular separation is $z' = 20.5$ mag, which is just a tenth of magnitude above the limiting magnitude in the background-noise-limited region around the star at angular separations larger than 2 arcsec. This results in a limiting absolute magnitude of $M_z = 12.7$ mag, allowing the detection of sub-stellar companions of the star with masses down to 10 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ according to the evolutionary models of Baraffe et al. (2015).

Furthermore, the AstraLux observations also exclude all young (3 Myr) stellar objects (mass higher than 75 $M_{\text{Jup}}$) that are unrelated to CVSO 30, which are located in the AstraLux field of view at distances closer than about 3410 pc. All young M dwarfs with an age of 3 Myr and masses above 15 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ ($T_{\text{eff}} > 2400$ K) can be ruled out up to 530 pc. All old stellar objects (mass higher than 75 $M_{\text{Jup}}$) with an age of 5 Gyr can be excluded when they are located closer than about 130 pc.

The AstraLux upper limit results in $\sigma - K_s > 1.75$ mag, which corresponds to excluding $\geq 0.2$ $M_\odot$ or $\geq 3300$ K (Baraffe et al. 2015) as possible sources or about earlier than M4.5V in spectral type (Kenyon & Hartmann 1995). Because any object later than $\sim$ M2V/M3V can be excluded by $\geq 4 \sigma$ from JHKs photometry (Table 6), no M dwarf can be a false positive of the new companion candidate CVSO 30 c.

6. Mass determination and conclusions

With the object brightness determined from the direct near-IR imaging and the information provided by the spectroscopic analysis, we can directly estimate the basic parameters of CVSO 30 c. To determine the luminosity, we considered the extinction law by Rieke & Lebofsky (1985), a bolometric correction of $B_{\text{C}} = 3.3^{+0.7}_{-0.0}$ mag for spectral type L5-T4 (Golimowski et al. 2004), and a distance of 357 $\pm$ 52 pc to the 25 Orionis cluster. From the 2MASS brightness of the primary and the differential brightness measured in our VLT NACO data (Table 2) and the extinction value towards the companion derived from spectroscopy, we find
Fig. 6. $H$-band spectrum of CVSO 30 c (lower left) compared to several known planetary candidates and background objects (subplots A, D, E). The triangular shape of the $H$-band (A), with red linear fits guiding the eye, indicates that it is not a background galaxy, but a sub-stellar companion. Beta Pic b has approximately the same luminosity and temperature (Chilcote et al. 2015) but a different surface gravity, hence about twice the mass of CVSO 30 c. As shown (C), the Drift-Phoenix models indicate that the $H$-band becomes less steep with temperature. This means that CVSO 30 c is even slightly lower in temperature than $\beta$ Pic b. In the upper left panel another candidate is shown, detected at 4.3'' from the A1 star HD 35367, which is about 0.5 mag brighter in the $K$-band than CVSO 30 c, but is obviously located in the background. In addition, the $H$-band (D) and $K$-band (E) of CT Cha b and 2M 0441 Bb, the best-fitting comparison objects, are given in $K$-band and $H$-band. Additionally, the best-fitting giants and a sample of late-type dwarfs is shown for comparison. References and individual reduced $\chi^2$ comparison values are given in Table 6. Low-resolution spectra of free-floating planetary candidates are not shown, but can be found in Martin et al. (2001).

log $L_{bol}/L_\odot = -3.78^{+0.33}_{-0.13}$ dex. From the luminosity and effective temperature, we calculate the radius to be $R = 1.63^{+0.87}_{-0.34} R_{\text{Jup}}$. In combination with the derived surface gravity, this would correspond to a mass of $M = 4.3 M_{\text{Jup}}$, dominated in its errors by high distance and surface gravity uncertainties. While the latter value and the photometry (Fig. 2) would be consistent with a high surface gravity, thus old foreground T-type brown dwarf, but inconsistent with an L-type brown dwarf, the available spectroscopy excludes an old T-type brown dwarf (Fig. 6 and Table 6). While the photometry is also consistent with early-M dwarfs, the $K$-band spectroscopy and $\varpi^{\prime}$ upper limit show the opposite behaviour, being only consistent with late-M dwarfs, excluding all types with high significance. Similarly, the remaining $H$-band spectroscopy excludes all comparison objects. Only the best-fitting Drift-Phoenix model (Fig. 4) shows low deviation in $H$-band, consistent with the fact that the only available very young directly imaged planet candidates exhibit higher temperatures, thus a steeper $H$-band (Fig. 6).

Although recent observations by Yu et al. (2015) cast doubts on the existence of the inner transiting planet candidate CVSO 30 b or PTFO 8-8695 b, we assert its existence throughout the remaining discussion because all five hypotheses have difficulties in reproducing the observations presented in Yu et al. (2015), including for example different types of starspots. More recently Raetz et al. (2016) and Johns-Krull et al. (2016) presented further evidence for the planetary nature. The inner planet hypothesis gives another constraint, namely that the system has to be stable with both its planets. As described in van Eyken et al. (2012), CVSO 30 b is very close to its Roche radius, the radius of stability. Assuming the values for mass of CVSO 30 b, its radius and orbital period (Tables 2 and 4), we find from the Roche limit an upper limit for the mass of CVSO 30 of $\leq 0.92 M_\odot$ for a stable inner system comprised of CVSO 30 A and b. This mass limit for CVSO 30 is fulfilled at 1 Myr for masses of CVSO 30 c of $\leq 1.4 M_{\text{Jup}}$ at $\leq 760$ pc up to 5.8 Myr with masses of CVSO 30 c of $\leq 9.2 M_{\text{Jup}}$ at $\leq 455$ pc, according to BT-Settl evolutionary models (Allard 2014; Baraffe et al. 2015). Higher ages are not consistent with the age estimate of the primary, but even at 20 Myr we find a mass of CVSO 30 c of $\leq 12.1 M_{\text{Jup}}$ at $\leq 340$ pc. With the Roche stability criterion for
CVSO 30 b, the previous calculations result in a mass estimate of \( M = 4.3^{+1.2}_{-0.7} \) Jup for CVSO 30 c.

For the approximate age of CVSO 30, 2–3 Myr BT-Settl evolutionary models (Allard 2014; Baraffe et al. 2015) predict an apparent brightness of \( m_K \sim 18.5 \) mag (assuming the distance to 25 Ori), effective temperature \( \sim 1575 \) K, mass 4–5 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \), and log \( L_{\text{bol}}/L_\odot \sim -3.8 \) dex. These expected values are very close to the best-fit atmospheric model spectra fits above, and even the derived visual extinction of about 0.19 mag is very close to the value of the primary \(-0.12 \) mag (Briceño et al. 2005).

Of course, these evolutionary models can also be used to determine the resulting mass, or as mass depends on initial entropy assumptions rather a lower limit (Marleau & Cumming 2014), from the luminosity and age of the companion candidate and system, respectively. To put CVSO 30 c into context, we show the models and several of the currently known directly imaged planet candidates in Fig. 9. The new companion is one of the youngest and lowest mass companions, and we find a mass of \( 4.7^{+3.6}_{-2.0} \) Jup because the luminosity is not very precise as a result of the rather scarce knowledge of the distance of the system. However, if we take temperature additionally into account, we find a more precise mass determination of \( 4.7^{+3.6}_{-2.0} \) Jup, which places CVSO 30 c well within the planetary regime and would mean that it is very close in mass to the probable inner companion of the system CVSO 30 b with about 2.8–6.9 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \) (van Eyken et al. 2012; Barnes et al. 2013).

In Fig. 10 we show the depth reached per pixel in the \( K_s \)-band epoch of 20.2 mag, corresponding to 2.8 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \) at the age of CVSO 30, using the same models as above. Brown dwarfs could be found from 30 au outwards, planets from 79 au outwards, and CVSO 30 c could have been found from 171 au outwards.

The core-accretion model (Safronov & Zvjagina 1969; Goldreich & Ward 1973; Pollack et al. 1996), one of the much debated planet formation scenarios, is unlikely to form an object in situ at 2662 au because the timescale would be prohibitively long at such separations. In principle, the object could also have formed in a star-like fashion by turbulent core fragmentation as in the case of a binary star system, since the opacity limit for fragmentation is a few Jupiter masses (Bate 2009), but the large separation and high mass ratio argue against this hypothesis.

The even more obvious possibility would be planet-planet scattering because an inner planet candidate CVSO 30 b of comparable mass is present that could have been scattered inward at the very same scattering event. Several authors simulated such
In this context, it would also be important to clarify the nature of the unusually blue $H - Ks$ colour of CVSO 30 c. It is consistent with colours of free-floating planets (Fig. 2) and might be caused by its youth, allowing the companion to be very bright, still already being at the L-T transition, which would be consistent with simulations of cluster brown dwarfs at very young ages and their colours in Saumon & Marley (2008; Fig. 11). This would imply a temperature at the lower end of the $1\sigma$ errors found for CVSO 30 c, ≤1400 K, which is consistent with the lower sharp $H$-band in comparison to $\beta$ Pic b of about 1600–1700 K (Chilcote et al. 2015), however, as shown in Fig. 6. For old brown dwarfs the L-T transition occurs at $T_{\text{eff}}$ 1200–1400 K, when methane absorption bands start to be ubiquitously seen. However, in the ~30 Myr old planet candidates around HR 8799 no strong methane is found, while the spectrum of the ~90 Myr old object around Gl 379 P shows strong methane absorption (Naud et al. 2014) all at temperatures of about 1000–1100 K. Thus the L-T transition might be gravity dependent (Marley et al. 2012). Binarity of CVSO 30 c cannot be excluded either, which would also explain the unusual blue $H - Ks$ colour.

Since we cannot confirm that CVSO 30 c is co-moving with its host star from our proper motion analysis, we cannot exclude the possibility that CVSO 30 c is a free-floating young planet belonging to the 25 Ori cluster, which is not gravitationally bound to CVSO 30. However, such a coincidence is highly improbable. Zapatero Osorio et al. (2000) searched 847 arcmin$^2$ of the $\sigma$ Orionis star cluster for free-floating planets and found only six candidates in the survey with similar colours as CVSO 30 c. This means that the probability to find a free-floating planet by chance within a radius of 1.85$\sigma$ around the transiting planet host star CVSO 30 is about 2 $\times$ $10^{-5}$

With a mass ratio of planet candidate to star $q = 0.0115 \pm 0.0015$, CVSO 30 c (and CVSO 30 b) is among the imaged planets with the lowest mass ratio (see e.g. De Rosa et al. 2014).

In summary, CVSO 30 b and c for the first time allow a comprehensive study of both a transiting and a directly imaged planet candidate within the same system, hence at the same age and even similar masses, using RV, transit photometry, direct imaging, and spectroscopy. Within a few years, the GAIA satellite mission (Perryman 2005) will provide the distance to the system to a precision of about 10 pc, which will additionally restrict the mass of CVSO 30 c. Simulations of a possible scattering event will profit from the current (end) conditions found for the system. Considering that the inner planet is very close to the Roche stability limit and the outer planet is far away from its host star, the future evolution and stability of the system is also very interesting for dedicated modelling. To investigate how frequent these scattering events occur, inner planets need also be searched for around other stars with directly imaged wide planets.
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**Fig. 11.** Colour–magnitude diagram of a simulated cluster brown dwarf population from Saumon & Marley (2008). Each sequence corresponds to a different age as given in the legend. Superimposed we show the positions of several planet candidates and CVSO 30 c. Its unusual blue colour can most likely be attributed to its youth; it is about 2.4 Myr old. The younger the objects, the brighter they are because of not-yet-occurred contraction. Hence they reach the L- and T-dwarf regime at higher brightnesses. If this extrapolation is correct, CVSO 30 c is at present 30 Myr old planet candidates around HR 8799 no strong methane is found, while the spectrum of the ~90 Myr old object around Gl 379 P shows strong methane absorption (Naud et al. 2014) all at temperatures of about 1000–1100 K. Thus the L-T transition might be gravity dependent (Marley et al. 2012). Binarity of CVSO 30 c cannot be excluded either, which would also explain the unusual blue $H - Ks$ colour.

Since we cannot confirm that CVSO 30 c is co-moving with its host star from our proper motion analysis, we cannot exclude the possibility that CVSO 30 c is a free-floating young planet belonging to the 25 Ori cluster, which is not gravitationally bound to CVSO 30. However, such a coincidence is highly improbable. Zapatero Osorio et al. (2000) searched 847 arcmin$^2$ of the $\sigma$ Orionis star cluster for free-floating planets and found only six candidates in the survey with similar colours as CVSO 30 c. This means that the probability to find a free-floating planet by chance within a radius of 1.85$\sigma$ around the transiting planet host star CVSO 30 is about 2 $\times$ $10^{-5}$

With a mass ratio of planet candidate to star $q = 0.0115 \pm 0.0015$, CVSO 30 c (and CVSO 30 b) is among the imaged planets with the lowest mass ratio (see e.g. De Rosa et al. 2014).

In summary, CVSO 30 b and c for the first time allow a comprehensive study of both a transiting and a directly imaged planet candidate within the same system, hence at the same age and even similar masses, using RV, transit photometry, direct imaging, and spectroscopy. Within a few years, the GAIA satellite mission (Perryman 2005) will provide the distance to the system to a precision of about 10 pc, which will additionally restrict the mass of CVSO 30 c. Simulations of a possible scattering event will profit from the current (end) conditions found for the system. Considering that the inner planet is very close to the Roche stability limit and the outer planet is far away from its host star, the future evolution and stability of the system is also very interesting for dedicated modelling. To investigate how frequent these scattering events occur, inner planets need also be searched for around other stars with directly imaged wide planets.
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Appendix A: Supplementary material

CVSO 30 is currently not suitable for a common proper motion analysis (Table 1). Because orbital motion around the host star might be detectable, we simulated the expected maximum separation (top) and position angle (bottom) change, dependent on inclination and eccentricity of the companion for an epoch difference of three years (early in 2016, since the first calibrated epoch was made at the end of 2012).

A first spectrum of CVSO 30 c at an intermediate reduction step, shown in Fig. 3 (central panel), by subtracting an average spectrum of the spike, left and right of the companions psf from the superposition of companion and spike is given in Fig. A.2. We find the results before (red spectrum) and after (blue spectrum) spike subtraction, which also removes the still-present OH lines. In addition, the spectrum of the host star CVSO 30 is shown in black for comparison.

In Fig. A.3 we show the expected signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) for the given conditions and integration times (Tables 3 and 1) using ESO’s exposure time calculator for SINFONI and the latest available Pickles template spectrum M6 (blue). We derive an almost identical S/N using the flux of the companion after spike removal (Fig. 3) compared to the noise of the background next to the spike (black). However, these S/N estimates are not achieved for our final extracted spectrum and its noise estimate (Fig. 4) because the spike itself adds slight additional noise, and more importantly, because of the imperfect removal of the spike that dominates the final S/N (red). To take this effect that is most likely caused by imperfect primary star positioning into account, we derived our final noise estimate, given as noise floor in Fig. 4, as the standard deviation of the neighbouring spectral channels after removing the continuum at the spectral position of interest. This noise was also used for the spectral model fitting (Figs. 4 and 5) and the reduced $\chi^2$ estimation for several comparison objects (Table 6).

We show the colour-magnitude diagram given in Fig. 11 in the main document with the identification of all the unlabelled objects in a full version in Fig. A.5 with the corresponding references in Table A.2. The objects seem to follow the prediction of Saumon & Marley (2008) quite well, especially around 10 Myr. Only 2M1207 b seems to be far off, possibly because of an edge-on disk that heavily reddens the object (Mohanty et al. 2007). Whether HR 8799 c and d are unusual can hardly be judged because no similar object with a very low luminosity is known at this age. HR 8799 b is very low in luminosity (Fig. 9), however. The younger the objects, the higher in luminosity they are at similar spectral type because of their larger radius because they are still experiencing gravitational contraction. The plot (Fig. A.5) implies that CVSO 30 c is the first very young (<10 Myr) L-T transition object.

The core-accretion model (Safronov & Zvjagina 1969; Goldreich & Ward 1973; Pollack et al. 1996), was also discussed in models for HR 8799 bcd by Close (2010), who argued that the inner planet was most likely formed by core accretion, while for the outer planets the gravitational instability of the disk (Cameron 1978; Boss 1997) is the more probable formation scenario. However, HR 8799 is an A- or F-star, and recent numerical simulations (Vorobyov 2013) showed that disk fragmentation fails to produce wide-orbit companions around stars with mass <0.7 $M_\odot$, hence this is unfeasible for the ~0.34–0.44 $M_\odot$ M3 star CVSO 30. In addition, the disk would have to be large enough for in situ formation. The most massive disks around M stars (e.g. IM Lupi) might be large enough, but in this case, it was shown to possess almost all of its dust within 400 au
Fig. A.3. Signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) achieved for the brightest pixel vs. the background noise in the combined cube (black). For comparison the expected and almost identical S/N is shown, simulated using the exposure time calculator (ETC) of ESO/SINFONI (blue). In red we present the final achieved S/N of the extracted companion spectrum after removing a superimposed spike (Fig. 3), as shown in Fig. 4.

Table A.1. Evolutionary plot (Fig. 9) references.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HD 95086</td>
<td>Mamajek &amp; Bell (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Chauvin et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b, c</td>
<td>Mohanty et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR 8799</td>
<td>Mohanty et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Zuckerman et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Moya et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1RXS</td>
<td>Lagrange et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1609 b</td>
<td>Bonnefoy et al. (2014b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT Cha b</td>
<td>Schmid et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144 b</td>
<td>Toddorff et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144 b</td>
<td>ChXR 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>Quanz et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100546 b</td>
<td>Quanz et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, c</td>
<td>Sallum et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROXs</td>
<td>Currie et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 12</td>
<td>Kuzuhara et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>428 b</td>
<td>Ab c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH Tau</td>
<td>Inth et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB Pic b</td>
<td>Chauvin et al. (2005c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuhäuser &amp; Schmidt (2012)</td>
<td>MHD 0103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Eri b</td>
<td>MHD 0103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M044</td>
<td>Ab c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pic b</td>
<td>Chauvin et al. (2005c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106906 b</td>
<td>Gauza et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pic b</td>
<td>MHD 0103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montet et al. (2015)</td>
<td>MHD 0103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USco</td>
<td>Béjar et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab b</td>
<td>Cesaro et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTIO</td>
<td>CHXR 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab b</td>
<td>NGC 7129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab b</td>
<td>Kuzuhara et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M0219 b</td>
<td>Artigau et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Jenkins et al. (2013) | to speculate that HR 8799 c formed by core accretion and not by gas instability.

We can place CVSO 30 c best into context by comparing it with the recent M-dwarf survey of Bowler et al. (2015), who found that fewer than 6% of M dwarfs harbour massive giant planets of 5–13 Jup at 10–100 au and that there is currently no statistical evidence for a trend of giant planet frequency with stellar host mass at large separations. We note, however, that CVSO 30 c would probably not have been found at the distance of their targets because it would not have been in the field of view as a result of its large separation of about 662 au. About 20 of the 49 directly imaged planet candidates at www.exoplanet.eu have an M dwarf as host star.

At a projected separation of ~662 au, the system is above the long-term stability limit of ~390 au for an M3 primary star of 0.34–0.44 M⊙ (Table 1), following the argumentation of Weinberg et al. (1987) and Close et al. (2003). However, as shown in Mugrauer & Neuhäuser (2005), 2M1207 and its companion (Chauvin et al. 2005a) are also exceeding this long-term stability limit at about three times the age of CVSO 30.

The currently acquired data are consistent with planet-planet scattering simulations in Ford & Rasio (2008), showing that massive planets are more likely to eject one another, whereas smaller planets are more likely to collide, resulting in stabilised systems, as supported by Kepler satellite and Doppler survey results that find predominantly smaller (Wright et al. 2009; Latham et al. 2011) low-density (e.g. Lissauer et al. 2013) planets in compact close multi-planet systems.
Fig. A.4. Direct images of CVSO 30 c. Top row, left to right: quasi-simultaneous VLT NACO J-, H-, and Ks-band data, taken in a sequence and shown in the same percentage of upper cut-off and lower cut-off value 0. Lower row, left to right: VLT NACO J-band with double exposure time per single image, the same in total, Keck image of data by van Eyken et al. (2012), re-reduced. We note that the companion is north-east, not a contaminant south-east, as given in van Eyken et al. (2012), and a JHKs colour composite, showing that CVSO 30 c has similar colours as its host star (Fig. 2).

Fig. A.5. Colour–magnitude diagram of the simulated cluster brown dwarf population from Saumon & Marley (2008). Each sequence corresponds to a different age as given in the legend. Superimposed we show the positions of several planet candidates with full identification and CVSO 30 c. See Fig. 11 and Table A.2 for further details.