Symbiotic stars are a heterogeneous class of interacting binaries. Among them, RT Cru has been classified as prototype of a subclass that is characterised by hard X-ray spectra that extend past ~20 keV. We analyse ~8.6 Ms of archival INTEGRAL data collected during the period 2003–2014, ~140 ks of Swift/XRT data, and a Suzaku observation of 39 ks, to study the spectral X-ray emission and investigate the nature of the compact object. Based on the 2MASS photometry, we estimate the distance to the source of 1.2–2.4 kpc. The X-ray spectrum obtained with Swift/XRT, JEM-X, IBIS/ISGRI, and Suzaku data is well fitted by a cooling flow model modified by an absorber that fully covers the source and two partially covering absorbers. Assuming that the hard X-ray emission of RT Cru originates from an optically thin boundary layer around a non-magnetic white dwarf, we estimated a mass of the white dwarf of $M_{\ WD} \approx 1.2 \ M_\odot$. The mass accretion rate obtained for this source might be too high for the optically thin boundary layer scenario.

Therefore we investigate other plausible scenarios to model its hard X-ray emission. We show that, alternatively, the observed X-ray spectrum can be explained with the X-ray emission from the post-shock region above the polar caps of a magnetised white dwarf with mass $M_{\ WD} = 0.9–1.1 \ M_\odot$.
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**1. Introduction**

Symbiotic stars are interacting binaries discovered in the early 1900’s and defined as objects whose optical spectra show bright emission lines (indicating the presence of a hot source) superimposed on a late-type stellar continuum (commonly a M giant; e.g. Allen 1984; Luna et al. 2013). This vague definition makes symbiotic stars a very heterogeneous class of objects. RT Crucis (hereafter RT Cru), which is the subject of this paper, is a member of this class.

RT Cru was discovered as a variable star (Leavitt & Pickering 1906; Dunér et al. 1911) and later classified as a symbiotic star by Cieslinski et al. (1994). It shows optical flickering in the $V$ and $B$ bands on timescales of 10–30 min and amplitudes of up to 0.09 mag. Optical flickering has been observed in other symbiotic stars with accreting white dwarfs (WD; e.g. CH Cyg, CI Cyg, T CrB, RS Oph, Cieslinski et al. 1994) and accreting neutron stars (GX 1+4, Braga et al. 1993; Jablonski et al. 1997). Cieslinski et al. (1994) noted that the optical spectrum of RT Cru shows strong similarity to the recurrent nova T CrB in quiescence. A search in historical data revealed a large magnitude variation ($\Delta m \sim 1.7$ in Leavitt & Pickering 1906; $\Delta m \sim 2.4$ in Uiterdijk & van Houten 1960) compatible with those observed in other symbiotic stars (Cieslinski et al. 1994). Gromadzki et al. (2013) detected two periodicities in ASAS, OGLE, and MACHO lightcurves, $P_{\ orb} = 325 \pm 9 \ d$ and $P_{\ puls} = 63 \pm 1 \ d$, interpreted as the orbital period and the pulsation of the red giant. More than a hundred years after its discovery, RT Cru has recently acquired a significant interest, after the observation of hard X-ray emission detected up to ~150 keV (Chernyakova et al. 2005; Kennea et al. 2009). RT Cru was observed by INTEGRAL in 2003 and 2004 with a 20–60 keV flux of $4 \times 10^{-11} \ erg \ cm^{-2} \ s^{-1}$ (Chernyakova et al. 2005) and initially classified as a new source (IGR J12349–6434). Masetti et al. (2005) noted that the position of IGR J12349–6434 was compatible with that of RT Cru. The association between these two sources was later confirmed by Tueller et al. (2005) thanks to the refined position of IGR J12349–6434 obtained with Swift/XRT. RT Cru was detected again with INTEGRAL with a 20–40 keV flux $F_{\ x} > 4 \times 10^{-11} \ erg \ cm^{-2} \ s^{-1}$ (Sguera et al. 2012, 2015). The bright, hard X-ray emission properties of RT Cru are shared with a small group of symbiotic stars: T CrB, CB–57 3057, and CH Cyg (Luna & Sokoloski 2007). In particular, the recurrent nova T CrB, which is believed to be a non-magnetic $1.3 \ M_\odot$ WD where the hard X-ray emission is produced in the optically thin boundary layer of the accretion disc, has a high X-ray luminosity similar to RT Cru ($L_x \approx 10^{34} \ erg \ s^{-1}$, e.g. Kennea et al. 2009; Luna et al. 2008). Luna & Sokoloski (2007) reported on a Chandra observation of RT Cru. They proposed that, similarly to T CrB, the 0.3–8 keV X-ray emission and the flickering variability originates from an optically thin boundary layer around a massive non-magnetic WD powered by an accretion disc. Kennea et al. (2009) studied RT Cru using the data from XRT and BAT instruments on board Swift and suggested that the X-ray variability on timescales of a few days is caused by variable absorption from a clumpy medium moving across the line.
of sight. Eze (2014) studied the iron line complex at 6.4−7 keV of RT Cru with Suzaku. He proposed that the 6.4 keV emission line (Fe Kα) is caused by the interaction between the hard X-rays and the absorbing material around the system, while the 6.7 keV (Fe XXV) and 7 keV (Fe XXVI) emission lines are produced by photoionization and collisional excitations in the hot plasma in the vicinity of the WD.

In this work we coherently analyse the largest possible set of X-ray data (∼12 yrs of archival INTEGRAL data, ∼140 ks of Swift/XRT data and ∼39 ks of Suzaku observation) to further investigate the properties of RT Cru (Sects. 2 and 3) and clarify the nature of the compact object (Sect. 4).

2. Observations and data analysis

2.1. INTEGRAL

We analysed archival data of the INTEGRAL Gamma-Ray Astrophysics Laboratory (INTEGRAL, Winkler et al. 2003) collected with the coded-mask telescope imager on board INTEGRAL satellite (IBIS, Ubertini et al. 2003) and the detector INTEGRAL soft gamma-ray imager (ISGRI, Lebrun et al. 2003). IBIS/ISGRI has a fully-coded field of view of 9′ × 9′, a partially coded field of view of 29′ × 29′ and operates in the ∼15–400 keV energy band. We also used data from the X-ray monitors joint european monitor for X-ray (JEM-X, Lund et al. 2003), which are two co-aligned coded-mask telescopes on board INTEGRAL that operate simultaneously with IBIS/ISGRI in the energy range 3–35 keV. Their fields of view have a diameter of 13.2′. Each orbital revolution of INTEGRAL consists of pointings called science windows (ScWs). We performed the reduction and data analysis using the off-line science analysis (OSA) 10.2 software provided by the ISDC Data Centre for Astrophysics (Goldwurm et al. 2003; Courvoisier et al. 2003). We analysed the data between 2003 January 29 and 2014 December 20 where RT Cru was within 12′ of the centre of the IBIS/ISGRI field of view because, at larger off-axis angles, the IBIS response is not well known1. Data were filtered to exclude bad time intervals. The resulting data set consists of 2880 ScWs, corresponding to an exposure time of 8.6 Ms.

RT Cru was never detected, being below the 5σ threshold of detection, in the individual 22−50 keV sky images of each pointing, while it was detected with a significance of ∼30σ in the total mosaic image obtained from the combination of the individual images of the whole data set.

We extracted the average JEM-X1 and IBIS/ISGRI spectra from the mosaics using the OSA tool mosaic_spec, which is especially suitable for faint sources. Owing to poor statistics, we did not extract the average JEM-X2 spectrum (the source was observed only in a few pointings). We used the exposure-weighted average ancillary response files and the rebinned response matrices specifically generated for the IBIS/ISGRI and JEM-X datasets. Before fitting, we added systematic uncertainties of 2% and 3% to the IBIS/ISGRI and JEM-X spectra, respectively.

2.2. Swift/XRT

RT Cru was observed with the X-ray Telescope (XRT, Burrows et al. 2003) on board the Swift satellite (Gehrels et al. 2004) since 2005. We collected all the available XRT observations of the target in the time period from 2005 August 20 to 2012 December 24 10:45:58 UTC (38 observations, total exposure time of ∼140 ks). Part of these data (first six observations) were analysed and the results presented in Kennea et al. (2009). We processed the XRT data obtained in photon-counting (PC) mode with the standard procedures (xrtpipeline v0.13.1) and the calibration files CALDB 4.5.6. Standard grade filtering (0–12) and screening criteria were applied. We checked that no pile-up correction was required.

Source counts were accumulated from a circular region with a radius of 20 pixels (1 pixel ∼2.36′′) and background counts were accumulated from a circular nearby source-free region at RA(J2000) = 12:34:17.84, Dec(J2000) = −64:32:08.7 with a radius of 40 pixels. The spectral energy channels are grouped to have at least 30 counts per bin for good statistical quality of the spectrum.

2.3. Suzaku

Suzaku (Mitsuda et al. 2007) observed RT Cru in 2007 July 2 (∼39 ks). We used data from the X-ray imaging spectrometers (XIS, Koyama et al. 2007) and the PIN layer of the hard X-ray detector (HXD Takahashi et al. 2007), which were operated in standard mode during the observation.

The data were processed with the standard Suzaku pipeline included in the HEASOFT software package (version 6.16) and screened according to standard criteria.

XIS events of RT Cru were extracted in each of the three detectors (front-illuminated: XIS 0, 3; back-illuminated: XIS 1) in circular regions with radius 2′ centered on the target. Background counts were accumulated from a source-free circular region far from the point-spread function of the source (coordinates of the background region: RA(J2000) = 12:35:01.81, Dec(J2000) = −64:41:56.2, radius = 2′). Ancillary response and redistribution matrices files for the XIS spectra were generated using the Suzaku tools xisrmfgen and xissimarfgen. For the background subtraction in PIN data, we used the tuned non X-ray background (NXB) models specifically generated for these two observations by the HXD team. For the cosmic X-ray background (CXB), we used the simulated spectrum generated by the Suzaku tool hxdpxnrbpi. The PIN response files appropriate for the epoch of the observations and for a point source were selected. The XIS and PIN spectra were rebinned using the optimal binning method of Kaasstra & Bleeker (2016). For the timing analysis we correct the event arrival times to the solar system barycenter with the Suzaku specific tool aebarycen.

3. Results

3.1. Distance

We compared the published optical/near-infrared spectrum of RT Cru (Fig. 2 of Cieslinski et al. 1994) with those of the spectral atlas of Pickles (1998). Based on the general shape of the spectra, we classified the donor star of RT Cru as an M4III−M5III, which is in agreement with the M4−M5 spectral type obtained by Cieslinski et al. (1994). Since the optical part of the spectrum might be affected by the emission from the compact object companion (Hellier 2001), we estimated the distance using the infrared magnitudes of the 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006) counterpart 2MASS J12345374−6433560. We converted the observed colours and magnitudes to the photometric system of Bessell & Brett (1988) using the transformation equations.

---

1. See the INTEGRAL data analysis documentation [http://www.isdc.unige.ch/integral/analysis](http://www.isdc.unige.ch/integral/analysis)
of Carpenter (2001)\(^2\). Using this information and the intrinsic colours and magnitudes of M4III–M5III stars from Pickles (1998) and Flacs (1998), we calculated the interstellar reddening \(E(J-K)\). We obtained the extinction \(A_I\) (where \(I = J, H, K\)) using the formula of Draine (1989), \(A_I/E(J-K) = 2.4(\lambda/\mu m)^\beta\) (which is valid in the range 0.9 < \(\lambda < 6 \mu m\), and \(\beta\) ranges from 1.70 to 1.80, Draine 2003). MJ giants show intrinsic variability, so we added a maximum amplitude variation of \(\Delta mag = 0.25\) (Eyer & Mowlavi 2008; Tabur et al. 2009) to the 2MASS magnitude uncertainties of RT Cru. We found that the distance of RT Cru is in the range \(1.2\)–\(2.4\) kpc. This result is in agreement with the value 1.5–2 kpc reported in Luna & Sokoloski (2007) from a private communication from J. Mokolajewska (2006).

### 3.2. Lightcurve analysis

Figure 1 shows the IBIS/ISGRI lightcurve of RT Cru in the energy band 22–50 keV. For each bin (maximum binwidth = 100 d), we extracted the flux from the mosaic image. RT Cru is always detected with the exception of three bins, where 3σ have been reported. The source shows a significant variability resulting in \(\sigma_{detected}\) with the exception of three bins, where 3σ have been reported. The source shows a significant variability resulting in 3σ lower limits. Downward arrows are 3σ upper limits.

![Fig. 1. 22–50 keV IBIS/ISGRI lightcurve. Error bars correspond to 1σ confidence level. Downward arrows are 3σ upper-limit.](image)

#### 3.3. Spectral analysis

We performed the spectral analysis on two sets of data: (1) Swift/XRT data jointly with JEM-X and IBIS/ISGRI data; (2) Suzaku data. We included renormalising constant factors in the spectral fitting to allow for uncertainties between the instruments and differences caused by source variability in non-simultaneous observations. For the cross-normalisation between Suzaku XIS and PIN, we set the recommended value of 1.18 for observations at the HXD nominal position given in the suzakumemo-2008-06\(^3\).

We fitted the spectra with the isobaric cooling flow model (mkccflow in xspec\(^4\)), previously used by Luna & Sokoloski (2007), modified by an absorber that fully covers the source (phabs) and a Gaussian line (gauss) for the Fe K\(_\alpha\) line at ~6.4 keV. This model adequately fits the spectra when two partial covering absorbers (pcfabs) are included. In the framework of the “clumpy medium” scenario proposed by Kennea et al. (2009), variable partial covering components take into account the changes in the absorption caused by the clumpy absorbing material around the WD of RT Cru that passes across the line of sight.

The best-fitting parameters are reported in Table 1, with the plot of the spectra with residuals shown in Fig. 3.

We find that the absorption and covering fractions vary between the two data sets, in agreement with the results of Kennea et al. (2009). Similar to what was found by Luna & Sokoloski (2007), we find that the minimum cooling flow temperature is consistent with the smallest value allowed by the mkccflow model (\(kT_{\text{min}} = 80.8\) eV), while \(kT_{\text{max}}\) from the spectral fit of the Suzaku data is pegged at the largest value allowed by the model (\(kT_{\text{max}} = 79.9\) keV). The values of the parameter \(kT_{\text{max}}\) obtained from the spectral fit of the XRT+INTEGRAL and Suzaku data are different at 90% confidence level, while they are consistent within a 99% confidence level. \(kT_{\text{max}}\) governs the slope of the spectrum at high energies (the slope increases when \(kT_{\text{max}}\) decreases) and the overall shape of the spectrum which, however, also depends on the spectral parameter \(M_{\text{acc}}\). Therefore, \(kT_{\text{max}}\) and \(M_{\text{acc}}\) are correlated, and a higher \(kT_{\text{max}}\) in Suzaku spectrum (whose coverage

\(^2\) In particular, we adopted the updated version of the transformation equations of Carpenter (2001), which can be found in: [http://www.astro.caltech.edu/~jmc/2mass/v3/transformations/](http://www.astro.caltech.edu/~jmc/2mass/v3/transformations/)


\(^4\) All spectral fits have been performed by using xspec v12.8.1g (Arnaud 1996).
at high energies is limited, compared to the INTEGRAL spectrum, up to \( \sim 40 \) keV might not necessarily indicate a significant change of the spectral shape at high energies. In fact, when we fit the two spectra with a phenomenological model, an absorbed power law modified at energies \( E > E_0 \) with a high-energy cutoff \((M(E) = \exp[(E_0 - E)/E_0] \times \text{highcut in xspec})\), we find that the shapes of the spectra are consistent within the uncertainties (XRT+INTEGRAL: \( \Gamma = 0.60_{-0.10}^{+0.11} \), \( E_0 = 5.2_{-0.4}^{+0.4} \) keV, \( E_0 = 18 \pm 2 \) keV; Suzaku: \( \Gamma = 0.77_{-0.17}^{+0.17} \), \( E_0 = 5.1_{-0.8}^{+0.8} \) keV, \( E_0 = 20.1_{-4.5}^{+4.5} \) keV; uncertainties are at the 90% confidence level). The INTEGRAL spectrum of RT Cru has a better coverage at high energies compared to Suzaku data, hence it is better suited to constrain the parameter \( kT_{\max} \).

### 4. Discussion

Pandel et al. (2005) studied the X-ray emission from the boundary layers of ten dwarf novae. They found that the temperature \( T_{\max} \) of the cooling flow model is related to the virial temperature \( T_{\text{vir}} \), and therefore to the mass of the WD (e.g. Luna & Sokoloski 2007), by the equation:

\[
kT_{\max} = \frac{3}{5} kT_{\text{vir}} = \frac{1}{2} m_p v_K^2,
\]

where \( v_K \) is the Keplerian velocity, \( m_p \) is the mass of the proton. Assuming that the X-ray emission of RT Cru is produced in the boundary layer of an accretion disc around a non-magnetic WD, we used \( kT_{\max} \) obtained from the spectral fit of the INTEGRAL data described in Sect. 3.3, the WD mass-radius relation of Nauenberg (1972), and Eq. (1) to obtain an estimate of WD mass of \( M_{\text{WD}} \approx 1.2 M_\odot \). This is a refined measurement of the previous estimate obtained by Luna & Sokoloski (2007; \( M_{\text{WD}} \geq 1.3 M_\odot \)) that was based on the 0.3–8 keV Chandra data and therefore was highly uncertain owing to the limited spectral coverage at high energies.

As mentioned above, Luna & Sokoloski (2007) proposed that the X-ray emission of RT Cru is produced by an optically thin boundary layer of an accretion disc around a massive non-magnetic WD. The mass accretion rate obtained in this work (Sect. 3.3; Table 1), together with the values obtained by Luna & Sokoloski (2007) and from the formula of the X-ray luminosity of a boundary layer \( L_{\text{bd}} \leq 0.5(GM_{\text{WD}}/R_{\text{WD}})M_{\text{acc}} \), is \( M_{\text{acc}} \approx 2 \times 10^{-9} \) \( M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\). Narayan & Popham (1993) found that the boundary layer of a 1 \( M_\odot \) WD is expected to be optically thin for \( M_{\text{acc}} \approx 3 \times 10^{-10} \) \( M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\). Therefore, for a high mass-accretion rate like that of RT Cru, the boundary layer is expected to be optically thick and the X-ray spectrum soft, with \( kT \leq 0.1 \) keV (Pringle 1977; Frank et al. 2002)\(^5\). The transition from optically thick to optically thin boundary layer solutions depends on \( M_{\text{acc}} \), the mass and the rotational angular velocity of the WD, and the viscosity parameter \( \alpha \) of the accretion disc (Popham & Narayan 1995). Although

\(^5\) Some cataclysmic variables with an optically thick boundary layer show, in addition to the bright soft component, a residual hard X-ray emission whose luminosity and temperature are lower in comparison to those observed when their boundary layers are optically thin (e.g. Wheatley et al. 2003).
its exact location in the space of parameters is still not well known. Popham & Narayan (1995) found that it occurs at larger values of $M_{\text{acc}}$ for a more massive WD. On the other hand, Suleimanov et al. (2014) noted that the transition from optically thick to an optically thin boundary layer should occur at lower values of $M_{\text{acc}}$ (compared to the results of Popham & Narayan 1995), if a more realistic coefficient for the Kramers opacity is used. Although the optically thin boundary layer scenario cannot be excluded, its presence in RT Cru is questionable. Therefore, we consider alternative explanations for the hard X-ray emission of RT Cru.

An alternative scenario to explain the observed hard X-ray emission is the accretion onto the polar caps of a magnetised WD. In these objects, the magnetic field is strong enough to disrupt the accretion flow geometry (which can be quasi-spherical or an accretion disc) at some distance from the star surface, and channel the matter onto the polar caps. Shocks form above the polar caps and the hot, optically thin post-shock region (PSR) emits hard X-ray photons. Assuming that the compact object of RT Cru is a magnetic WD, we fitted the XRT+INTEGRAL and Suzaku spectra with the PSR model of Suleimanov et al. (2005) modified by an absorber that fully covers the source, one partial covering absorber, and three narrow Gaussians for the iron-line complex. The PSR model of Suleimanov et al. (2005), developed for intermediate polars, enables us to estimate the mass of the WD from the temperature and emissivity distribution in the post-shock region. We fitted the spectra above 3 keV, i.e. in the energy range of validity of the model of Suleimanov et al. (2005). The best fits of the spectra give $M_{\text{WD}} = 0.93^{+0.08}_{-0.07} M_\odot$ for XRT+INTEGRAL data set and $M_{\text{WD}} = 1.13^{+0.15}_{-0.12} M_\odot$ for Suzaku spectrum (uncertainties are at the 90% confidence level).

The magnetic WD scenario explains reasonably well the main properties of the X-ray emission of RT Cru. In fact, accreting WDs with strong magnetic fields at the surface ($B \sim 10^6$–$10^7$ G) are observed at high X-ray luminosities ($10^{32}$–$10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$; e.g. Sazonov et al. 2006) and with hard X-ray spectra, which can be observed with the currently operating hard X-ray telescopes up to $\sim$100 keV (e.g. Scaringi et al. 2010 and references therein).

The X-ray emission of magnetic WDs is pulsed with typical pulsed fractions in the 40%–90% range (Kennea et al. 2009 and references therein). If RT Cru is a magnetic WD, the lack of detection of the pulsation can be explained with a relatively low pulsed fraction of the signal that is caused, for example, by aligned or nearly aligned rotation and magnetic axes. The aligned axes argument was proposed by Ramsay et al. (2008) to explain the lack of detection of coherent X-ray pulsations in V426 Oph and LS Peg, two cataclysmic variables with properties that are similar to intermediate polars. They also suggest that some of the unclassified cataclysmic variables with hard X-ray emission (20–100 keV), which do not show a spin period modulation might be intermediate polars with closely aligned magnetic and spin axes.

5. Conclusions

We presented a study of the spectral X-ray emission of RT Cru based on $\sim$8.6 Ms of archival INTEGRAL data, $\sim$140 ks of Swift/XRT data, and a Suzaku observation of 39 k. We found that a cooling flow model (mkcflow) modified by an absorber that fully covers the source, two partial covering absorbers, and a Gaussian line for the Fe Kα line at $\sim$6.4 keV adequately fits the X-ray spectrum. We found that the absorption components are variables, in agreement with the “clumpy medium” scenario proposed by Kennea et al. (2009). So far, the origin of the hard X-ray emission of RT Cru has been explained with an optically thin boundary layer around a non-magnetic WD. In this framework, we used the relation between the $kT_{\text{max}}$ temperature and the mass of the WD of Pandel et al. (2005) to refine the previous measurement of the mass of the WD obtained by Luna & Sokoloski (2007) to the new value of $M_{\text{WD}} \approx 1.2 M_\odot$. Since the mass accretion rate might be too high for the optically thin boundary layer scenario, we have shown that another plausible scenario is that the compact object is a magnetic WD, with the hard X-ray emission produced from the post-shock region above the polar caps. Using the spectral model of Suleimanov et al. (2005), we estimated a mass of the WD of $\sim$0.9–1.1 $M_\odot$. Furthermore, we used 2MASS photometry to estimate the distance to the source of 1.2–2.4 kpc.

Acknowledgements. We thank the anonymous referee for constructive comments, which helped to improve the paper considerably. This work is supported by the Bundesministerium für Wirtschaft und Technologie through the Deutsches Zentrum für Luft und Raumfahrt (grant FKZ 50 OG 1602), V.D. thanks the Deutsches Zentrum für Luft und Raumfahrt (DLR) for financial support (grant DLR SOR/0702), V.S. thanks DFG for financial support (grant WE 1312/48-1). This work is partially supported by the Polish NCN grant 2012/04/M/ST9/00780. This paper is based on data from observations with

Fig. 3. Left panel: Swift/XRT (black), JEM-X1 (green), IBIS/ISGRI (red) spectrum. Right panel: Suzaku/XIS front-illuminated (black), back-illuminated (red), Suzaku/PIN (green). For each panel, the residuals in units of standard deviation are shown. The best-fitting parameters are reported in Table 1.
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