Towards universal hybrid star formation rate estimators

M. Boquien^{1,2}, R. Kennicutt¹, D. Calzetti³, D. Dale⁴, M. Galametz⁵, M. Sauvage⁶, K. Croxall⁷, B. Draine⁸, A. Kirkpatrick³, N. Kumari¹, L. Hunt⁹, I. De Looze^{1,10}, E. Pellegrini¹¹, M. Relaño¹², J.-D. Smith¹³, and F. Tabatabaei¹⁴

¹ Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK
e-mail: mederic.boquien@uantof.cl

² Unidad de Astronomía, Fac. Cs. Básicas, Universidad de Antofagasta, Avda. U. de Antofagasta 02800, Antofagasta, Chile

³ Department of Astronomy, University of Massachusetts-Amherst, Amherst, MA 01003, USA

⁴ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82071, USA

⁵ European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 2, 85748 Garching-bei-München, Germany

⁶ Laboratoire AIM, CEA/IRFU/Service d'Astrophysique, CNRS, Université Paris Diderot, Bât. 709, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

⁷ Department of Astronomy, The Ohio State University, 140 W 18th Ave., Columbus, OH 43210, USA

⁸ Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

⁹ INAF-Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, Largo E. Fermi 5, 50125 Firenze, Italy

¹⁰ Department of Physics & Astronomy, University College London, Gower Place, London WC1E 6BT, UK

¹¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Toledo, 2801 West Bancroft Street, Toledo, OH 43606, USA

¹² Department Física Teórica y del Cosmos, Universidad de Granada 18071 Granada, Spain

¹³ Ritter Astrophysical Observatory, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH 43606, USA

¹⁴ Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, vía Láctea s/n, 38205 La Laguna, Spain

Received 16 November 2015 / Accepted 27 March 2016

ABSTRACT

Context. To compute the star formation rate (SFR) of galaxies from the rest-frame ultraviolet (UV), it is essential to take the obscuration by dust into account. To do so, one of the most popular methods consists in combining the UV with the emission from the dust itself in the infrared (IR). Yet, different studies have derived different estimators, showing that no such hybrid estimator is truly universal.

Aims. In this paper we aim at understanding and quantifying what physical processes fundamentally drive the variations between different hybrid estimators. In so doing, we aim at deriving new universal UV+IR hybrid estimators to correct the UV for dust attenuation at local and global scales, taking the intrinsic physical properties of galaxies into account.

Methods. We use the CIGALE code to model the spatially resolved far-UV to far-IR spectral energy distributions of eight nearby star-forming galaxies drawn from the KINGFISH sample. This allows us to determine their local physical properties, and in particular their UV attenuation, average SFR, average specific SFR (sSFR), and their stellar mass. We then examine how hybrid estimators depend on said properties.

Results. We find that hybrid UV+IR estimators strongly depend on the stellar mass surface density (in particular at 70 μm and 100 μm) and on the sSFR (in particular at 24 μm and the total infrared). Consequently, the IR scaling coefficients for UV obscuration can vary by almost an order of magnitude: from 1.55 to 13.45 at 24 μm for instance. This result contrasts with other groups who found relatively constant coefficients with small deviations. We exploit these variations to construct a new class of adaptive hybrid estimators based on observed UV to near-IR colours and near-IR luminosity densities per unit area. We find that they can reliably be extended to entire galaxies.

Conclusions. The new estimators provide better estimates of attenuation-corrected UV emission than classical hybrid estimators published in the literature. Taking naturally variable impact of dust heated by old stellar populations into account, they constitute an important step towards universal estimators.

Key words. galaxies: star formation – infrared: galaxies – ultraviolet: galaxies – galaxies: spiral

1. Introduction

Understanding when, where, and how stars form from the highest redshifts to the local Universe is key to understanding the formation and evolution of galaxies. Originating directly from the photosphere of young, massive stars living up to a few 100 Myr, the ultraviolet (UV) emission of galaxies is one of our main windows into star formation. Because rest-frame UV is easily accessible from the ground for distant galaxies, it has even become the star formation tracer of choice for large cosmological surveys. The launch of the Galaxy Evolution Explorer (GALEX; [Martin et al. 2005](#)) in 2003 has considerably furthered our insight into the UV emission of galaxies by providing us with a

large amount of observations of nearby galaxies in the rest-frame far-UV (FUV; 151.6 nm) and near-UV (NUV; 226.7 nm) bands, which are not accessible from the ground.

Yet, as a star formation tracer the UV suffers from a major issue: it is very efficiently attenuated by dust. [Burgarella et al. \(2013\)](#) showed that in the nearby Universe $69 \pm 10\%$ of star formation is invisible in the rest-frame FUV because of dust. This obscuration peaks at $89 \pm 4\%$ at $z = 1.35$. It means that correcting for the presence of dust is a crucial issue if we want to use the UV as a reliable star formation rate (SFR) estimator.

To correct the UV for dust attenuation, one of the most popular techniques is to translate the UV spectral slope to an

attenuation using the IRX- β relation. While this method works remarkably well for starburst galaxies (Meurer et al. 1999), it fails, sometimes considerably, for more quiescent galaxies (Kong et al. 2004; Dale et al. 2007). This is probably due to a combination of age effects and variations in the attenuation laws (Bell 2002; Calzetti et al. 2005; Boquien et al. 2009, 2012; Mao et al. 2012, 2014; Grasha et al. 2013, and many others).

An alternative approach has seen important developments over the past decade: hybrid (or composite) estimators (e.g. Buat et al. 1999, 2005; Calzetti et al. 2007; Zhu et al. 2008; Kennicutt et al. 2009; Hao et al. 2011). Because part of the energy emitted in the mid-IR (MIR) and far-IR (FIR) bands is due to the reprocessing by dust of UV photons emitted by massive stars, these hybrid estimators aim to correct the UV for the attenuation using the emission of the dust in a given IR band,

$$L(\text{UV})_{\text{int}} = L(\text{UV})_{\text{obs}} + k_i \times L(\text{IR})_i, \quad (1)$$

where $L(\text{UV})_{\text{int}}$ is the intrinsic UV luminosity (defined as νL_ν) in the absence of dust, $L(\text{UV})_{\text{obs}}$ the observed UV luminosity, $L(\text{IR})_i$ the luminosity in the IR band i , and k_i the scaling coefficient for the corresponding IR band. Or equivalently it can be written, in terms of SFR, as

$$\text{SFR} = c_{\text{UV}} \times [L(\text{UV})_{\text{obs}} + k_i \times L(\text{IR})_i], \quad (2)$$

where c_{UV} is the UV-to-SFR calibration coefficient. The scaling coefficient can then be calibrated observationally by combining the attenuated and attenuation-corrected UV luminosities with the observed luminosities in the given IR band,

$$k_i = \frac{L(\text{UV})_{\text{int}} - L(\text{UV})_{\text{obs}}}{L(\text{IR})_i}, \quad (3)$$

or by assuming c_{UV} and combining the estimated SFR with the attenuated UV luminosities and the observed luminosities in the given IR band,

$$k_i = \frac{\text{SFR} - c_{\text{UV}} \times L(\text{UV})_{\text{obs}}}{c_{\text{UV}} \times L(\text{IR})_i}. \quad (4)$$

While this method can be appealing, different studies have found different k_i coefficients, depending on whether the IR emission unrelated to recent star formation has been subtracted (e.g. the resolved study of Liu et al. 2011) or not (e.g. the unresolved study of Hao et al. 2011). This emission is often visible in resolved galaxies as a diffuse component that varies with galaxy type (Savage & Thuan 1992). This perhaps translates differences in the age of stellar populations, or equally in the relative contributions of young and old stellar populations to the dust-heating interstellar radiation field. Even in actively star-forming galaxies, recent results based on idealised hydrodynamical simulations find that up to a third of the total IR luminosity (TIR, which we define here as the integral of dust emission over all wavelengths) can be due to stars older than 100 Myr and, therefore, not related to recent star formation (Boquien et al. 2014); in line with results obtained assuming a constant SFR (Crocker et al. 2013). This fraction can be even higher in individual IR bands. This is consistent with various *Herschel* (Pilbratt et al. 2010) studies, which have shown that the warm dust emission is driven by recent star formation whereas the emission of the colder dust is rather driven by older stellar populations (Popescu et al. 2000; Bendo et al. 2010, 2012, 2015; Boquien et al. 2011; De Looze et al. 2012b,a; Lu et al. 2014; Natale et al. 2015).

As dust emission is not entirely related to UV-emitting stars, it is necessary to take this into account when deriving hybrid estimators. One approach to tackle this issue is to systematically subtract the diffuse emission (e.g. Calzetti et al. 2007), assuming that it is unrelated to recent star formation. This may not be valid if a significant percentage of the diffuse emission is actually due to radiation having escaped from star-forming regions (Kirkpatrick et al. 2014). In any case, this method requires observations at a high enough spatial resolution to enable the subtraction of the diffuse emission on a local basis. This can be achieved for instance by directly processing the images or by estimating the level of the diffuse emission from an ancillary dataset (e.g. from a gas map, assuming the gas-to-dust mass ratio and the radiation field intensity due to old stars, Leroy et al. 2012). An alternative approach is to statistically take the average diffuse dust emission into account by calibrating k_i against a star formation tracer insensitive to the emission of old stars, such as the extinction-corrected $\text{H}\alpha$ (Kennicutt et al. 2009). While hybrid SFR estimators provide good results on average, they have so far been limited to constant values in terms of k_i . This ignores not only galaxy-to-galaxy variations, which can be large, but also variations within galaxies when applied on local scales. Ultimately, they run the risk of being strongly dependent on the intrinsic properties of the calibration sample. This may induce systematic biases on the SFR if the observed sample has different properties.

The aim of this paper is to derive a parametrisation of k_i that naturally includes the varying degrees of dust heating from old stars at 24 μm , 70 μm , 100 μm , and for the TIR. Following this idea, we propose to parametrise k_i on 1) the FUV–NIR (NIR, J to 3.6 μm bands) colours, which are sensitive to the SFH, and 2) NIR luminosity densities per unit area, which are sensitive to the stellar mass surface densities. Such an approach would serve as a basis for new hybrid SFR estimators applicable at local and global scales across a wide range of physical conditions. To do so, we first need to quantify and understand how the relation between the UV and the IR varies within and between galaxies. With this in mind, we carry out a spatially resolved, multi-wavelength study of eight star-forming spiral galaxies drawn from the Key Insights on Nearby Galaxies: a Far-Infrared Survey with *Herschel* (KINGFISH; Kennicutt et al. 2011) sample (Sect. 2). These data allow us to carry out a detailed spectral energy distribution (SED) modelling including stellar populations of all ages, nebular emission, attenuation by dust in the UV-to-NIR domains, and the re-emission of the absorbed energy at longer wavelengths. In turn, such a modelling allows us to compute the local physical properties of galaxies such as their UV attenuation, stellar mass, and mean intrinsic and specific SFR over 100 Myr (Sect. 4). Combining these physical properties with the observations, we investigate how k_i depends on the local properties of galaxies in Sect. 5. This allows us to provide new practical methods to correct the FUV for dust attenuation at local scales in Sect. 6, which we generalise to entire galaxies in Sect. 7. We discuss the limits of this new approach and we provide practical guidelines on how to apply it to correct the UV for the attenuation in different cases in Sect. 8. Finally, we summarise our results in Sect. 9.

2. Sample

2.1. Selection

To carry out this study we need to perform a detailed SED modelling to determine the local physical properties of nearby

Table 1. Main properties of the eight galaxies in the selected sample.

Name	Morphological type	Angular size (arcmin)	Distance (Mpc)	12 + log O/H (Kobulnicky & Kewley 2004)	log $sSFR$ (yr^{-1})
NGC 628	SAC	10.5×9.5	7.2	9.02	-9.73
NGC 925	SABd	10.5×5.9	9.12	8.79	-9.76
NGC 1097	SBb	9.3×6.3	14.2	9.09	-9.86
NGC 3351	SBb	7.4×5.0	9.33	9.19	-10.48
NGC 4321	SABbc	7.4×6.3	14.3	9.17	-9.88
NGC 4736	SAab	11.2×9.1	4.66	9.01	-10.76
NGC 5055	SAbc	12.6×7.2	7.94	9.14	-10.53
NGC 7793	SAd	9.3×6.3	3.91	8.88	-9.59

Notes. Data extracted from Table 1 of Kennicutt et al. (2011). See references therein.

galaxies and in particular their UV attenuation, which is key as we see in Sect. 5. To do so, we rely on the KINGFISH (Kennicutt et al. 2011) sample of nearby galaxies. It provides us with a broad range of spatially resolved, FUV to FIR data over many galaxy types.

We restrict our subsample to star-forming spiral galaxies (Sa and later types) that have a minor axis of at least $5'$ and an inclination no larger than 60° . This ensures that we have at least several tens of ~ 1 kpc resolution elements across each galaxy at $24''$, the resolution of *Herschel* SPIRE $350 \mu\text{m}$, which is the coarsest data we consider, as we see later. Such large resolution elements ensure that there is little leakage of energetic photons between neighbouring pixels (leaking photons should be absorbed within a radius of the scale-height of the diffuse ionised gas, 900 pc in the Milky Way, Reynolds 1989), which would affect the energy balance assumption of the modelling (Sect. 4.1). We also only select galaxies without a strong active nucleus (for instance we eliminate galaxies with a Seyfert nucleus but we keep LINER galaxies) to limit the contamination of the UV and the IR by emission from the nucleus. In addition, we also eliminate galaxies at low Galactic latitude because of the very large number of foreground stars in the field, which makes their removal daunting and uncertain (see Sect. 3.2 for the removal procedure). This would lead to a possible contamination of the emission of the galaxies by foreground objects. Finally, we also eliminate galaxies with data of insufficient quality for this study. This is for instance the case for galaxies that have only been observed with the GALEX All-Sky Imaging Survey, which is too shallow to allow for a reliable pixel-by-pixel determination of the UV fluxes.

We have therefore selected eight galaxies in our sample: NGC 628, NGC 925, NGC 1097, NGC 3351, NGC 4321, NGC 4736, NGC 5055, and NGC 7793.

2.2. Properties

As we can see in Table 1, the sample is made of fairly regular star-forming galaxies in the nearby Universe, spanning the Hubble sequence from Sab to Sd. This ensures different levels of star-forming activity (from $\log sSFR = -10.76 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for NGC 4736 to $\log sSFR = -9.59 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for NGC 7793) and different levels of diffuse dust emission (Sauvage & Thuan 1992). This range of star formation activity of over one dex is also expanded by the spatially-resolved nature of this study, each galaxy containing relatively more active and more quiescent regions. In the end, this range of star formation activity represents the sweet spot to calibrate hybrid SFR estimators. More extreme galaxies from the

point of view of star formation are either dominated by diffuse emission, such as early-type galaxies, or quite the opposite have a negligible diffuse emission compared to dust heating by massive stars, such as young starbursts.

In terms of sizes, all galaxies are well-resolved; NGC 3351 is the smallest with a size of $7.4' \times 5.0'$ and NGC 5055 is the largest with a size of $12.6' \times 7.2'$, providing many resolution elements within each object (see Sect. 3).

Finally, the metallicities are typically super-solar according to the estimators of Kobulnicky & Kewley (2004). This is because of the criteria mentioned in Sect. 2.1. They tend to eliminate low metallicity star-forming galaxies as they are often smaller and are not well-resolved with *Herschel*, if their FIR emission is detected at all. While this reduces the volume of the space of physical properties covered by our sample, those galaxies have in general little attenuation and little dust emission. This means that in their case, uncertainties on hybrid estimators have an especially small impact.

3. Data

For all of these galaxies, we have spatially resolved data in the UV (FUV and NUV bands from GALEX), NIR (in the J , H , and K_s -bands with 2MASS, and at $3.6 \mu\text{m}$ and $4.5 \mu\text{m}$ with IRAC¹ on-board *Spitzer*), MIR (IRAC $5.8 \mu\text{m}$, $8 \mu\text{m}$, and MIPS $24 \mu\text{m}$ from *Spitzer*), and FIR (PACS $70 \mu\text{m}$, $100 \mu\text{m}$, $160 \mu\text{m}$, and SPIRE $250 \mu\text{m}$ and $350 \mu\text{m}$ from *Herschel*²). This broad set of data enables us to estimate their local physical properties along with their uncertainties from SED modelling (Sect. 4). We do not consider the SPIRE $500 \mu\text{m}$ band because it would further degrade the angular resolution (the beam size at $500 \mu\text{m}$ is $\sim 35''$) for no gain in the present context because the TIR luminosity, which is important to constrain the UV attenuation, is already securely determined without the $500 \mu\text{m}$ band. Finally, we do not consider optical data either here as our initial investigations on three galaxies in the sample (NGC 628, NGC 1097, and NGC 3351) have shown that their presence does not allow

¹ The calibration of IRAC data has been converted from point-source to extended emission using the aperture corrections described in <https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/SPITZER/docs/irac/iracinstrumenthandbook/29/>

² PACS (Poglitsch et al. 2010) and SPIRE (Griffin et al. 2010) data were processed to Level 1 using HIPE version 11.1.0 with calibration products PACS_CAL_56_0 and SPIRE_CAL_11_0. Subsequent processing and map-making was carried out with Scanamorphos (Roussel 2013) version 24. For SPIRE, the beam areas at $250 \mu\text{m}$ and $350 \mu\text{m}$ were assumed to be $465.39''^2$ and $822.58''^2$.

us to obtain significantly better measurements of the physical parameters we are interested in. In addition, they come from heterogeneous sources, contrary to the other bands here.

3.1. Milky-Way foreground dust extinction correction

The emission from the FUV to the NIR is affected by the presence of foreground dust in the Milky Way. We unreddened the SED adopting the Cardelli et al. (1989) Milky-Way extinction curve including the O'Donnell (1994) update. The $E(B - V)$ normalisation is obtained from NASA/IPAC's Galactic Dust Reddening and Extinction service³ as the mean $E(B - V)$ computed from the Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) extinction maps in a 5' radius circle, which is similar to the typical size of the galaxies in the sample.

3.2. Convolution

To carry out a spatially resolved modelling and analysis, we need to ensure that for each galaxy all the maps have the same angular resolution and pixel size. To do so, we need to convolve all images to the same point spread function and project them on a common grid of pixels.

In a first step, to ensure there is no contamination from prominent foreground stars, we edit them out from the images using IRAF's IMEDIT procedure. This procedure replaces the pixels contained within a manually defined rectangular aperture enclosing a star with pixels that have the same mean and standard deviation as those in the immediate surrounding area. The stars are identified by eye, combining UV, optical, and NIR data. Depending on the galactic latitude of the target and the band considered, the number of stars removed varies from a few per image to several dozens. The *Spitzer* 3.6 μm and 4.5 μm images are generally the most affected by the presence of foreground stars.

Once the images have been cleaned, we degrade their angular resolution to that of the SPIRE 350 μm band using the convolution kernels of Aniano et al. (2011). All images are then registered onto a common grid with a pixel size of 24'', close to the resolution of the SPIRE 350 μm band, corresponding to physical scales from 0.5 kpc (NGC 7793) to 1.7 kpc (NGC 1097). The pixel size is similar to the angular resolution so that individual pixels are physically independent from one another. This registration is carried out using IRAF's WREGISTER module with the DRIZZLE interpolant.

Finally, the background is subtracted from the registered images by averaging the mean values measured typically from several tens of 3×3 pixel boxes randomly located in empty regions around the galaxy.

3.3. Uncertainties

The uncertainties on the fluxes are computed by summing in quadrature the standard deviation of the background level measurements with the mean of the standard deviation of the pixel values in each of the 3×3 pixel boxes. A systematic uncertainty of 5% is added to reflect calibration errors.

For the SED modelling, we only select pixels detected at least at a 1σ level in all bands. The lowest signal-to-noise images are the PACS 70 μm and 100 μm bands. While this necessarily increases the uncertainties on the total IR (TIR) luminosity, these

uncertainties are fully taken into account in the modelling and therefore propagated to the estimation of the physical parameters. This yields a final sample of 1364 pixels distributed over eight galaxies.

4. Estimation of the physical parameters

4.1. CIGALE modelling

To compute k_i , it is essential that we are in a good position to determine either the intrinsic UV emission (Eq. (3)) or the SFR (Eq. (4)). In principle for deriving new hybrid SFR estimators, using Eq. (4) would appear to be the most direct option as there are multiple conversion factors (c_{UV}) available in the literature. In practice, however, these conversion factors strongly rely on multiple hypotheses, and in particular on the assumed shape of the SFH, which (1) is difficult to know a priori; (2) is not always adequate (Boquien et al. 2014); (3) varies from region to region and from galaxy to galaxy; and (4) makes the estimated SFR somewhat model dependent. To avoid such issues it appears safer to rely on Eq. (3) and therefore on the UV attenuation, which has a weaker dependence on the exact SFH. This means that while we do not explicitly provide hybrid SFR estimators, we provide relations to correct the UV for the attenuation and leave open the choice of the most adequate UV-to-SFR conversion coefficient for a given case, limiting model dependence and ensuring enhanced flexibility compared to hybrid estimators provided in the literature.

At the same time, we also want to understand whether and how hybrid estimators depend on local physical properties such as the SFR or the stellar mass, so we also need to be in a position to compute those. To reach these objectives, we model the FUV to FIR emission pixel-by-pixel using the python CIGALE⁴ SED modelling code (Boquien et al., in prep.) version 0.9.

Briefly, the model is based on an energy balance principle: the energy absorbed by dust in the UV, optical, and NIR domains is self-consistently re-emitted in the MIR and the FIR. Each SED is computed from the following high-level procedure.

The star formation history (SFH) is modelled with two decaying exponentials. The first with a long e -folding time of a few billion years models the long-term star formation of the galaxy that has created the bulk of the total stellar mass. The second with a much shorter e -folding time under 100 Myr models the latest episode of star formation. This modelling of the SFH represents a compromise. Because we are using only broadband data, it is not possible to constrain the shape of the SFH in more detail. At the same time, we are studying regions of a typical size of 1 kpc whose SFH is expected to vary with more amplitude and more rapidly than in the case of entire galaxies. Modelling the SFH with two decaying exponentials allows us to take such variations into account without unnecessary complexity that would not be constrained with broadband observations. We see later that this flexibility is important to include the impact of a variable SFH on the estimation of other physical properties, and as a consequence on the computation of k_i (see Sect. 5.2).

The stellar spectrum is calculated by convolving the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) single stellar populations with the SFH, assuming a Chabrier (2003) initial mass function and a metallicity of $Z = 0.02$, which corresponds to $12 + \log \text{O}/\text{H} = 8.90$ assuming the solar metallicity ($Z = 0.0134$) and oxygen abundance ($12 + \log \text{O}/\text{H} = 8.69$) from Asplund et al. (2009). One of the main uncertainties on the stellar models is the impact

³ <https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/applications/DUST/>

⁴ <http://cigale.lam.fr/>

Table 2. Main CIGALE parameters

Free parameters	Values
<i>e</i> -folding time of the old population	0.001, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 Gyr
<i>e</i> -folding time of the latest episode of star formation	5, 10, 25, 50, 100 Myr
Fraction of the stellar mass formed in the latest episode of star formation	0, 0.0001, 0.0005, 0.001, 0.005, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.25
Age of the oldest stars	13 Gyr
Age of the onset of the latest episode of star formation	5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 200, 350, 500 Myr
$E(B - V)$ of the young population	0.01, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15, 0.20, 0.25, 0.30, 0.35, 0.40, 0.45, 0.50, 0.55, 0.60, 0.65, 0.70 mag
Differential reddening	0.25, 0.50, 0.75
δ	-0.5, -0.4, -0.3, -0.2, -0.1, 0.0
UV bump strength	0 (no bump), 1, 2, 3 (Milky Way-like)
α	1.5000, 1.5625, 1.6250, 1.6875, 1.7500, 1.8125, 1.8750, 1.9375, 2.0000, 2.2500, 2.5000, 2.7500, 3.0000, 3.2500, 3.5000, 3.7500, 4.0000
Fixed parameters	Value
Metallicity	0.02
Ionisation parameter	10^{-2}
Dust absorbed fraction	10%
Escape fraction	0%

of thermally pulsating asymptotic giant branch stars. In total, systematics can induce mass inaccuracies of up to 0.3 dex (Conroy 2013). In addition, because of the degeneracy between the metallicity, age, and attenuation, the choice of the metallicity can also have an impact on the estimates of the physical properties we present in Sect. 4.2. We evaluate these uncertainties in Appendix A.

The nebular emission, which includes both the recombination lines and continuum (free-free, free-bound, and 2-photon processes), but does not include lines from photodissociation regions, is computed from dedicated CLOUDY (Ferland et al. 1998) templates based on the models of Inoue (2011). The emission is directly proportional to the production rate of Lyman continuum photons with the Lyman continuum escape fraction assumed to be negligible and the dust absorbing 10% of Lyman photons. The ionisation parameter is assumed to be 10^{-2} , which has an impact on line ratios but little impact on broadband fluxes.

The stellar and nebular emissions are then attenuated using a power-law-modified starburst curve (Calzetti et al. 1994, 2000): $A(\lambda) = A(\lambda)_{\text{SB}} \times (\lambda/550 \text{ nm})^\delta$, with δ the free parameter modifying the slope of the attenuation curve. We also include a UV bump with a variable strength ranging from no bump at all to a strong bump similar to that of the Milky Way. This modelling allows for a flexible shape for the attenuation law and also for a variable differential reddening between the emission due to stars younger and older than 10 Myr, following the model of Charlot & Fall (2000). This means that stellar populations of all ages, including old stars, contribute to dust heating and that stars older than 10 Myr are less attenuated than their younger counterparts.

Finally, the energy absorbed by the dust is re-emitted in the IR using the latest Dale et al. (2014) templates. We assume a broad range for α , a “parameter that represents the relative contributions of the different local SEDs” (Dale & Helou 2002) and defined as $dM_d(U) \propto U^{-\alpha} dU$, where M_d is the dust mass and U the radiation field intensity.

The full grid consists of a little over 80 million models. The list of the main parameters and their respective values is presented in Table 2.

Fig. 1. Example of a typical best fit (median reduced χ^2 of the best fits for the entire sample). This fit corresponds to a region to the east of NGC 4736. Different components are shown: unattenuated stellar emission (dashed blue line), attenuated stellar emission (yellow line), nebular emission (magenta line), and dust emission (red line). The model fluxes in broadbands are shown as red dots and the observed fluxes as green crosses with their corresponding uncertainties.

In the final step, we fit these models to the observed SED. For each of the output parameters, we compute the marginalised probability distribution functions (PDF) from the χ^2 distribution. The estimated values of the parameters and their uncertainties are taken as the likelihood-weighted means and standard deviations. The precision and uncertainty of the evaluation of the physical properties is described in Appendix B. As the different physical components contribute to part or all of the bands considered and as we fit all of them simultaneously, all components potentially have an effect on the determination of the physical properties. For instance, a change in the SFH also has an effect on the stellar mass and the attenuation and, therefore, indirectly on the relative amount of dust heating by younger and older stars. An example of a best fit with the stellar, nebular, and dust components is shown in Fig. 1.

Fig. 2. FUV attenuation (*left*), stellar mass surface density (*centre*), and current SFR surface density (*right*) estimated with CIGALE for all the galaxies in the sample. All surface densities are corrected for inclination. For easier comparison between each galaxy, the colour scale is fixed across all objects.

4.2. Parameters maps

For each galaxy in the sample, we show in Fig. 2 the maps of three of the main physical properties estimated by CIGALE: the FUV attenuation, stellar mass surface density, and current SFR surface density.

First of all, the FUV attenuation shows a broad range of behaviours. Galaxies such as NGC 925 and NGC 7793, which are the two lowest metallicity galaxies in the sample, have low attenuations across the disk. At the opposite end, NGC 5055 exhibits high values of attenuation on a large part of the disk. Conversely, NGC 1097 and NGC 3351 have a very strong attenuation in the inner regions but more moderate values further out in the disk. The higher attenuation is probably because of the high concentration of molecular gas and dust in the central parts of these two well-known barred galaxies.

The stellar mass maps are markedly different from attenuation maps. We see that all galaxies have a very smooth, radially declining stellar mass profile. This shows the ability of the model to recover older stellar populations even in regions of intense star

formation where they could be outshined by younger stellar populations at some wavelengths.

Finally, the SFR maps also present interesting features. There are broadly decreasing radial gradients in the SFR density. The nuclear starbursts in NGC 1097 and NGC 3351 present very strong peaks compared to the typical star formation across the rest of the disk. Such nuclear starbursts are expected as a result of the central gas concentration in barred galaxies (e.g. [Tabatabaei et al. 2013](#)). A strong peak is also present in NGC 4321 and NGC 5055. Conversely, NGC 628, NGC 925, and NGC 7793 have much shallower radial gradients. Of particular interest, NGC 4736 has a strong star-forming ring that shows prominently on the map.

5. Estimation of k_i and dependence on the local physical parameters

The estimation of the FUV attenuation with CIGALE allows us to compute k_i for each pixel and for each IR band. This easily

Fig. 3. Stacked distributions of k_i from $24\ \mu\text{m}$ (top left) to $100\ \mu\text{m}$ and the TIR (bottom right) for NGC 628, NGC 925, NGC 1097, NGC 3351, NGC 4321, NGC 4736, NGC 5055, and NGC 7793. The solid black line indicates the mean value for the sample whereas the dashed black line indicates the luminosity-weighted mean value. We selected only regions detected at least at a 5σ level in the relevant band. This affects mostly the $70\ \mu\text{m}$ and $100\ \mu\text{m}$ bands.

comes from Eq. (3),

$$k_i = \frac{L(\text{UV})_{\text{obs}}}{L(\text{IR})_i} \times [10^{A(\text{UV})/2.5} - 1]. \quad (5)$$

This means that for individual IR bands, the derivation of k_i relies on modelling only for the determination of the UV attenuation (see Appendix C for an explanation of why SED modelling is required to estimate the UV attenuation). The UV and IR luminosities are directly obtained from the observations. In the case of the TIR only, k_i also depends on the estimation of the TIR luminosity by the model, i.e. a very securely determined quantity as shown in Appendix B.

5.1. Distribution of k_i in galaxies

In Fig. 3 we present the distributions of k_i for all the galaxies in the sample at $24\ \mu\text{m}$, $70\ \mu\text{m}$, and $100\ \mu\text{m}$, in addition to the TIR. We drop bands at longer wavelengths as it was shown that their emission is mainly driven by dust heated by the old stellar populations (Bendo et al. 2010, 2012, 2015; Boquien et al. 2011; Lu et al. 2014). The first important aspect to note is the broad width of the distributions. For instance, as we can see in Table 3, k_{24} ranges from 1.55 to 13.45 ($\langle k_{24} \rangle = 8.11 \pm 2.10$), so a variation

of over a factor 8, and k_{TIR} ranges from 0.19 to 0.85 ($\langle k_{\text{TIR}} \rangle = 0.63 \pm 0.12$) so a variation of over a factor 4.

In order to test whether the k_i values from different galaxies are drawn from the same underlying distribution or not, we carried out Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests. We find that except for a few galaxy pairs at each wavelength that have $p \geq 0.01$, where p is the probability that the values have been drawn from the same underlying distribution, it appears that the samples were drawn from different distributions. This alone already shows that there must be important physical differences driving the variation of k_i between each of those galaxies.

A first indication of the physical origin of these variations can be obtained if we consider carefully the k_{24} and k_{TIR} distributions in relation to the morphological type of the galaxies. The earlier type a galaxy is, the lower k_i should be because the percentage of diffuse emission should be higher in such galaxies (Sauvage & Thuan 1992). It is therefore not surprising that NGC 4736, the earliest type galaxy in the sample, shows some of the lowest k_i . At the other end of the Hubble sequence of spiral galaxies, NGC 925 and NGC 7793 are of SABd and SAd types, respectively. We see in Fig. 3 that they indeed have among the highest values of k_i . This shows that the diversity in galaxy types likely contributes to the differences in the distribution of k_i . Our results here show the possible dangers of blindly applying

Table 3. Minimum, maximum, mean, and luminosity-weighted mean of k_i at 24 μm , 70 μm , 100 μm , and for the TIR (from left to right columns).

Band	Min(k_i)	Max(k_i)	$\langle k_i \rangle$	$\langle k_i \rangle_{L_i}$	k_i (Hao et al. 2011)	k_i (Liu et al. 2011)
24	1.55	13.45	8.11 ± 2.10	6.17 ± 2.17	3.89 ± 0.15	6.0
70	0.19	2.71	1.57 ± 0.39	1.12 ± 0.50		
100	0.22	1.95	1.12 ± 0.25	0.94 ± 0.29		
TIR	0.19	0.85	0.63 ± 0.12	0.58 ± 0.12	0.46 ± 0.12	

estimators to individual galaxies and regions within galaxies if they have markedly different intrinsic physical properties compared to the calibration samples of the estimators.

Related to the first point, when we consider our entire sample we find, as mentioned earlier, that $\langle k_{24} \rangle = 8.11 \pm 2.10$ and $\langle k_{\text{TIR}} \rangle = 0.63 \pm 0.12$. These values are higher than the values determined by Hao et al. (2011), i.e. $\langle k_{25} \rangle = 3.89 \pm 0.15^5$ and $\langle k_{\text{TIR}} \rangle = 0.46 \pm 0.12$, and Liu et al. (2011), i.e. $k_{24} = 6.0$. To compare more readily with values derived for entire galaxies, we also computed the luminosity-weighted means $\langle k_{24} \rangle_{L(\text{IR})} = 6.17 \pm 2.17$ and $\langle k_{\text{TIR}} \rangle_{L(\text{IR})} = 0.58 \pm 0.12$. These values are closer to the results of Hao et al. (2011) and Liu et al. (2011). This shows that at such scales, the global value for each galaxy is driven by the most luminous regions. Nevertheless, applying a variable k_i has a clear impact on the integrated dust-corrected FUV luminosity. For instance, adopting $k_{24} = 3.89$ ($k_{24} = 6.0$) can lead to galaxy-integrated, dust-corrected FUV luminosities that are lower (higher) by 38% (40%) when compared to luminosities computed with a variable k_{24} . Deviations can be much larger locally. There are also important variations from one galaxy to another, showing the necessity to have a k_i adapted for each galaxy. The actual impact of using a potentially inadequate k_i naturally depends on the percentage of UV photons absorbed by dust. The lower the attenuation, the less the impact an error on k_i has. We see in Sect. 7 how we can relate locally derived k_i to global values adapted to unresolved objects.

We can complement this first insight by examining the maps of k_i at 24 μm , 70 μm , 100 μm , and for the TIR that we present in Fig. 4. The distribution of k_i shows in general a broad gradient with larger k_i in the outer parts of the galaxies. Some complex structures can also be seen with variations from one band to the other, probably translating variations of the relative influence of the different dust heating sources. The structures also appear to vary with wavelength, translating the different modes of dust heating for dust emitting at different wavelengths. The variations in the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) with wavelength, however, do not allow for a comparison over the full extent of each galaxy. While the lower S/N in some bands makes it difficult the study of k_i in outer regions, this has a limited impact on the computation of the SFR in such regions. Indeed, most of star formation is visible in the UV and only a small part is visible in the IR. Therefore even a large uncertainty for k_i translates into a lower uncertainty on the attenuation-corrected UV and thus on the SFR. The pixel-by-pixel study of inner regions still allows us to gain considerable information on k_i in the most interesting range for hybrid estimators.

5.2. Variation of k_i with physical properties

As we have just seen, there are strong variations of k_i within and between galaxies. To identify the physical origin of these variations, in Fig. 5 we plot k_i versus the FUV attenuation, stellar mass surface density, SFR surface density, and sSFR; the latter two are averaged over the past 100 Myr. A first inspection already provides us with interesting information beyond the systematic galaxy-to-galaxy differences in k_i we found in Sect. 5.1:

1. There is very little to no variation of k_i as a function of either the FUV attenuation and SFR surface density.
2. There is a decrease of k_i with an increase of the stellar mass surface density.
3. There is a clear increase of k_i with the sSFR.

Separating the respective impact of the different physical properties considered here is difficult as they are not independent from one another. For instance, as we can see in Fig. 2, inner regions in our sample tend to have higher attenuations, higher stellar mass surface densities, and they also tend to have more star formation than outer regions. This means that even if the variation of k_i is exclusively because of one of these physical properties, in some cases some correlations may also appear with other physical properties. We concentrate here on the relations between k_i , stellar mass surface density, and sSFR.

We first examine in detail the variations of k_i with the stellar mass surface density. There is a clearly defined decreasing trend of k_i with increasing stellar mass surface densities ($-0.73 \leq \rho \leq -0.58$, with ρ Spearman's rank correlation coefficient). This trend suggests that evolved stellar populations, which contribute the bulk of the total stellar mass, may play an important role in governing k_i . This role is probably not exclusive though. If we consider that these populations are responsible for the diffuse emission at all wavelengths, then for a fixed SFR we can expect that at low (high) stellar mass surface density a larger (smaller) percentage of the IR emission is due to UV-emitting stellar populations. If this is indeed the case, there should be an excellent correlation between k_i and the sSFR. Even though there are important uncertainties on the average SFR over 100 Myr, we see a positive trend between the average sSFR over 100 Myr and k_i ($0.50 \leq \rho \leq 0.97$).

To further understand the origin of the relations of k_i with the different physical properties, we need to distinguish IR bands based on whether their emission is proportional or not to the incident radiation field intensity. This is the case for the TIR and the 24 μm band. The reason is obvious for the TIR, which by definition measures the total dust-absorbed luminosity. The 24 μm also behaves similarly because it is dominated by stochastic heating of very small grains (see in particular Fig. 15 from Draine & Li 2007, however the contamination by the emission from grains at the equilibrium can become significant for large radiation field intensities, which would render this approximation invalid). This linear relation between the absorbed and emitted luminosities means that if, for instance, 50% of the absorbed luminosity originates from old stellar populations, correspondingly 50% of

⁵ While defined from the IRAS 25 μm band rather than from the *Spitzer* 24 μm band, Kennicutt et al. (2009) showed that $L(24 \mu\text{m})/L(25 \mu\text{m}) = 0.98 \pm 0.06$. We therefore make no distinction between those two bands.

Fig. 4. Maps of k_i at $24\ \mu\text{m}$, $70\ \mu\text{m}$, $100\ \mu\text{m}$, and for the TIR, from *left to right*, for each of the galaxies in the sample. Only pixels detected at least at a 5σ level in the corresponding band are shown here.

the emission can also be attributed to old stellar populations. In consequence, the portion of IR emission from star formation can be indirectly estimated from the sSFR. This explains the excellent correlation between k_i and the sSFR at $24\ \mu\text{m}$ and for the TIR (top-right and bottom-right panels of Fig. 5). The relation between k_i and the stellar mass surface density appears however much weaker. This is expected as this only probes the radiation field from old stars and therefore only gives weak and indirect information on the percentage of the emission due to young stars.

Conversely, the black-body emission that dominates the $70\ \mu\text{m}$ and $100\ \mu\text{m}$ bands is in general not linearly proportional to the incident radiation field intensity. As the radiation field intensity increases (decreases), the emission peaks at shorter (longer) wavelengths following Wien’s displacement law. This induces a superlinear or a sublinear variation of the emission with respect to the radiation field intensity, depending on whether the band probes the Wien or the Rayleigh-Jeans tail of the black body. This means that unlike the $24\ \mu\text{m}$ and TIR, the sSFR alone is not sufficient to estimate the relative amount of the emission that is related to star formation. This is probably because regions with the same sSFR can have different radiation field intensities and, therefore, different dust temperatures and different k_i . However as suggested by the higher Spearman rank correlation coefficient between k_{100} and the sSFR, the issue is not as severe at $100\ \mu\text{m}$ as it is at $70\ \mu\text{m}$. This is likely owing to its closer location to the peak of the black-body emission in terms of luminosity. As the absolute value of the derivative of the black-body emission with respect to wavelength is smaller

near the luminosity peak; it somewhat weakens the dependence on the temperature and therefore it makes the sSFR a better estimator of k_{100} than for k_{70} , as the emission is more directly linked to the radiation field intensity. These differences from one band to the other, and the contrast with the $24\ \mu\text{m}$ band and the TIR, illustrate the complexity to disentangle the emission due to star formation and old stellar populations for bands that are sensitive to the emission of dust at thermal equilibrium.

6. Recipes to correct the FUV for attenuation

Following our results, a powerful approach to correct the UV for attenuation by dust with hybrid estimators would be to parametrise the variation of k_i against the sSFR or the stellar mass surface density. However, such a parametrisation would actually be difficult to put in practice. Parametrisations involving the sSFR would imply that we somehow already know the intrinsic FUV emission, defeating the purpose of attenuation correction. As for the stellar mass, its determination is somewhat dependent on the assumed model of stellar populations. With improvements on models, a parametrisation on the stellar mass would become invalid if current models prove to have systematic biases. Therefore, rather than relying on derived physical properties, we attempt to parametrise k_i directly on observed quantities that are good tracers of the sSFR (FUV–NIR colours, Sect. 6.1) and stellar mass surface density (NIR luminosity densities per unit area, Sect. 6.2). This approach has the advantage that it is purely observational, and does not rely on the sometimes strong assumptions behind empirical sSFR estimators and

Fig. 5. k_i versus the FUV attenuation (*left*), stellar mass surface density (*centre left*), the SFR surface density averaged over 100 Myr (*centre right*), and sSFR averaged over 100 Myr (*right*) for all the galaxies in the sample. Each dot represents one pixel and the colour indicates the galaxy following the colour bar on the right. We only selected regions detected at least at a 5σ level in the relevant band. This affects mostly the $70\ \mu\text{m}$ and $100\ \mu\text{m}$ bands as they are the shallowest. The number of regions and the Spearman's rank correlation coefficient are shown at the top of each plot. Finally, triangles represent the global value for each galaxy in the sample, taking into account all pixels detected at least at a 1σ level in all bands.

does not require full-fledged SED modelling to compute the SFR, which may not be possible for lack of appropriate data or experience.

6.1. Observed colours as a parametrisation for k_i

One approach to parametrise k_i is to estimate the sSFR of a galaxy through its colour. For instance [Salim et al. \(2005\)](#) showed that the $\text{NUV} - r$ colour of a galaxy is tightly linked

to its birthrate parameter (the ratio of the average SFR over the last 100 Myr to the lifetime average SFR), a quantity closely related to the sSFR. This means that in principle we should be able to parametrise k_i against $\text{NUV} - r$. One obstacle in doing so in our case is that we do not have reliable optical data for the entire sample. Instead of relying on the r -band emission, we rather explore the effectiveness of a parametrisation against $\text{FUV} - \text{NIR}$ colours. The FUV band is chosen not to require additional UV data and NIR bands are good proxies for the stellar mass ([Bell & de Jong 2001](#)).

Fig. 6. k_i versus the FUV–J, FUV–H, FUV–Ks, and FUV–3.6 colours (AB magnitudes) for each galaxy in the sample at 24 μm , 70 μm , 100 μm , and for the TIR. The colour of each dot indicates the galaxy following the colour bar to the right. We have only selected regions detected at least at a 5σ level in the relevant band. This affects mostly the 70 μm and 100 μm bands. The number of regions and Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient are shown at the top of each plot. The black lines represent the best linear fits using an orthogonal distance regression algorithm (see the caption of Table 4 for a more detailed description). The best-fit parameters along with the corresponding uncertainties are provided in Table 4.

In Fig. 6 we show the variation of k_i versus the FUV–J, FUV–H, FUV–Ks, and FUV–3.6 μm colours. We see that there is a very clear relation between k_i and the FUV–NIR colours at 24 μm ($-0.83 \leq \rho \leq -0.82$) and for the TIR ($-0.97 \leq \rho \leq -0.96$). The relation is however less clear at 100 μm ($-0.72 \leq \rho \leq -0.68$) and especially at 70 μm ($-0.44 \leq \rho \leq -0.40$). For the latter two bands, it appears however that individual galaxies tend to follow their own relation. One explanation is that as we have seen previously, the sSFR alone is not sufficient to estimate reliably the portion of the TIR emission emerging in a

given IR band. This problem is naturally non-existent for the TIR, which by definition includes all of the dust emission and not just a portion as for individual bands. The 24 μm , which is dominated by non-equilibrium emission, appears to suffer much less from this issue.

To provide an easy-to-use recipe to estimate k_i from FUV–NIR colours, we compute the best linear fit of the form

$$k_i = a_{\text{FUV-NIR}} + b_{\text{FUV-NIR}} \times (\text{FUV} - \text{NIR}), \quad (6)$$

Table 4. Coefficients to estimate k_i at 24 μm , 70 μm , 100 μm , and for TIR from luminosities in the NIR: $k_i = a + b \times \text{colour}$, with the colour in AB magnitudes.

IR band	Colour	a	b	σ_{ab}	Δk_i
24	FUV– J	16.429 ± 0.265	-2.122 ± 0.066	-0.017	0.000 ± 1.393
24	FUV– H	16.236 ± 0.248	-2.005 ± 0.059	-0.014	0.000 ± 1.446
24	FUV– K	15.332 ± 0.215	-1.923 ± 0.055	-0.011	0.000 ± 1.404
24	FUV–3.6	15.044 ± 0.227	-2.169 ± 0.068	-0.015	0.000 ± 1.405
70	FUV– J	2.272 ± 0.145	-0.177 ± 0.035	-0.005	0.000 ± 0.339
70	FUV– H	2.247 ± 0.143	-0.164 ± 0.033	-0.005	0.000 ± 0.340
70	FUV– K	2.194 ± 0.132	-0.162 ± 0.033	-0.004	0.000 ± 0.340
70	FUV–3.6	2.109 ± 0.122	-0.166 ± 0.035	-0.004	0.000 ± 0.347
100	FUV– J	1.770 ± 0.120	-0.163 ± 0.029	-0.003	0.000 ± 0.180
100	FUV– H	1.752 ± 0.118	-0.153 ± 0.027	-0.003	0.000 ± 0.180
100	FUV– K	1.695 ± 0.107	-0.149 ± 0.027	-0.003	0.000 ± 0.179
100	FUV–3.6	1.632 ± 0.101	-0.157 ± 0.030	-0.003	0.000 ± 0.190
TIR	FUV– J	1.012 ± 0.099	-0.098 ± 0.024	-0.002	0.000 ± 0.039
TIR	FUV– H	0.998 ± 0.097	-0.092 ± 0.023	-0.002	0.000 ± 0.043
TIR	FUV– K	0.961 ± 0.088	-0.089 ± 0.022	-0.002	0.000 ± 0.043
TIR	FUV–3.6	0.943 ± 0.083	-0.099 ± 0.025	-0.002	0.000 ± 0.041

Notes. The uncertainties on each coefficient are indicated along with the covariance σ_{ab} . The last column indicates the mean offset and standard deviation between the measured k_i and the estimation from the fit. The fitting procedure is carried out using the ODR module of the PYTHON SCIPY package.

taking the uncertainties on both k_i and FUV–NIR into account. Selecting all pixels detected at least at 1σ in all bands and at 5σ in band i , the coefficients $a_{\text{FUV–NIR}}$ and $b_{\text{FUV–NIR}}$, along with the corresponding uncertainties, are presented in Table 4. This means that we are now in a position to parametrise k_i simply from the FUV–NIR colours.

We should note that this approach is somewhat similar to that of Arnouts et al. (2013). While they do not explore the variations of k_i , they have derived relations to estimate the IR luminosity observationally based solely on the 24 μm and NUV– r and r – K colours with results that are better than 0.3 dex. In our case, the absence of r -band data does not allow us to adopt the same strategy for the estimation of k_i .

For reference, we have also carried out a similar derivation for the NUV band. Results are presented in Appendix D.

6.2. The NIR as a parametrisation for k_i

A complementary approach to parametrise k_i is to estimate the stellar mass surface density of a galaxy through its NIR emission. Indeed, as old stellar populations dominate the NIR emission, the latter acts as a proxy for the total stellar mass even though in principle some colour terms can be required (Bell & de Jong 2001).

In Fig. 7 we show the variation of k_i versus the J , H , K s, and 3.6 μm luminosity densities per unit area. As expected, this approach provides us with a stronger correlation at 70 μm even though the scatter remains large ($-0.60 \leq \rho \leq -0.56$ versus $-0.44 \leq \rho \leq -0.40$). At 100 μm the results are similar as with the FUV–NIR colour ($-0.72 \leq \rho \leq -0.65$ versus $-0.72 \leq \rho \leq -0.68$). Finally, for the 24 μm band and the TIR, the correlation appears much weaker ($-0.61 \leq \rho \leq -0.58$ versus $-0.83 \leq \rho \leq -0.82$ at 24 μm and $-0.52 \leq \rho \leq -0.48$ versus $-0.97 \leq \rho \leq -0.96$ for the TIR). This shows that taking the NIR luminosity density per unit area into account is especially important for bands sensitive to the black-body emission of the dust.

To provide an easy-to-use recipe to estimate k_i from the NIR luminosity densities per unit area, we compute the best linear fit of the form

$$k_i = a_{\text{NIR}} + b_{\text{NIR}} \times \log \Sigma_{\nu}(\text{NIR}), \quad (7)$$

taking the uncertainties on both k_i and $\Sigma_{\nu}(\text{NIR})$ into account. Selecting all pixels detected at least at 1σ in all bands and at 5σ in band i , the coefficients a_{NIR} and b_{NIR} along with the corresponding uncertainties are presented in Table 5. This means that we are now in a position to parametrise k_i simply from observations in the NIR. For reference, we have also carried out a similar derivation for the NUV band. Results are presented in Appendix D.

6.3. Comparison of attenuation corrected FUV with different methods

To examine the impact of a variable k_i , we compare in Fig. 8 the estimated attenuation-corrected FUV luminosities per unit area using 1) a constant k_i from Liu et al. (2011) and Hao et al. (2011) (y -axis, first two rows); 2) a variable k_i estimated from one of the linear relations with the FUV–NIR colours given in Table 4 and with the NIR luminosity density per unit area given in Table 5 (y -axis, other rows); and 3) the estimated FUV attenuation directly obtained from the CIGALE SED modelling (x -axis). We carry out this comparison at 24 μm (left column) and for the TIR (right column).

The relation of Liu et al. (2011) leads to values of the attenuation-corrected FUV that are on average compatible with that from our modelling by -0.064 ± 0.077 dex at 24 μm whereas Hao et al. (2011) leads to values that are lower by -0.177 ± 0.062 dex at 24 μm and -0.077 ± 0.053 dex for the TIR. Conversely, our relations give a mean offset smaller than 0.032 dex at 24 μm and smaller than 0.009 dex for the TIR.

If estimates of the attenuation-corrected FUV luminosity are very good on average, some regions are nevertheless clearly discrepant, especially when estimating k_i from the NIR luminosity density per unit area. At 24 μm , this is particularly the case

Fig. 7. k_i versus the J , H , Ks , and $3.6 \mu\text{m}$ band luminosity densities per unit area in $\text{W Hz}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-2}$ for each galaxy in the sample at $24 \mu\text{m}$, $70 \mu\text{m}$, $100 \mu\text{m}$, and for the TIR. The colour of each dot indicates the galaxy following the colour bar on the right. We selected only regions detected at least at a 5σ level in the relevant band. This affects mostly the $70 \mu\text{m}$ and $100 \mu\text{m}$ bands. The number of regions and the Spearman's rank correlation coefficient are shown at the top of each plot. The black lines represent the best linear fits using an orthogonal distance regression algorithm (see the caption of Table 4 for a more detailed description) taking the uncertainties on both axes into account. The best-fit parameters along with the corresponding uncertainties are provided in Table 5.

for regions with $\log \Sigma FUV \times 10^{A(FUV)/2.5} > 34 \text{ W kpc}^{-2}$ with a strong underestimate of the attenuation-corrected FUV luminosity; i.e. $\Delta = -0.510 \pm 0.405 \text{ dex}$, using the estimator based on the $3.6 \mu\text{m}$ band. This offset is because of the strong deviation from the k_i -NIR best fit shown in Fig. 7. Where the fit led to $k_i < 0$ for the most extreme regions, we assumed $k_i = 0$. This illustrates one of the main limits of relying on the NIR; it does not trace the presence of intense star-forming episodes locally. Regions with a particularly high sSFR then see their attenuation-corrected FUV emission underestimated. This effect can be seen more strongly at $24 \mu\text{m}$ than for the TIR.

The clear luminosity-dependent trends with the attenuation-corrected FUV we see when estimating k_i from the

NIR luminosity density per unit area are nearly non-existent when estimating k_i from the FUV–NIR colours. In the latter case, there is a slightly higher but still very small systematic offset and a smaller scatter. This means that the FUV–NIR colours based estimators provide us with results that are much less affected by possible FUV luminosity dependent biases.

Another interesting result is that the scatter is systematically lower for the TIR than at $24 \mu\text{m}$. We also retrieve this effect when considering the relations of Hao et al. (2011). This likely comes from the much smaller dynamical range of estimated k_i for the TIR compared to $24 \mu\text{m}$: from 0.35 to 0.90 for the former and from 2.07 to 14.09 for the latter, when considering the $3.6 \mu\text{m}$ band. In other words, the absorbed FUV emission from young

Table 5. Coefficients to estimate k_i at 24 μm , 70 μm , 100 μm , and for TIR from luminosities in the NIR: $k_i = a + b \times (\log \Sigma_{\nu}(\text{NIR}) - 20)$ with Σ_{ν} the luminosity density per unit area in terms of $\text{W Hz}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-2}$.

IR band	NIR band	a	b	σ_{ab}	Δk_i
24	J	5.103 ± 0.350	-7.238 ± 0.691	0.199	0.000 ± 2.801
24	H	5.629 ± 0.294	-6.857 ± 0.621	0.140	0.000 ± 2.693
24	K	4.987 ± 0.323	-6.492 ± 0.557	0.150	0.000 ± 2.645
24	3.6	2.858 ± 0.556	-7.449 ± 0.732	0.378	0.000 ± 2.784
70	J	1.475 ± 0.053	-0.826 ± 0.125	0.002	0.000 ± 0.312
70	H	1.525 ± 0.051	-0.786 ± 0.118	0.001	0.000 ± 0.311
70	K	1.448 ± 0.053	-0.758 ± 0.113	0.002	0.000 ± 0.311
70	3.6	1.222 ± 0.074	-0.855 ± 0.132	0.007	0.000 ± 0.327
100	J	1.005 ± 0.041	-0.465 ± 0.081	0.002	0.000 ± 0.183
100	H	1.034 ± 0.039	-0.451 ± 0.078	0.001	0.000 ± 0.179
100	K	0.990 ± 0.042	-0.430 ± 0.074	0.002	0.000 ± 0.179
100	3.6	0.870 ± 0.058	-0.463 ± 0.083	0.004	0.000 ± 0.193
TIR	J	0.575 ± 0.037	-0.118 ± 0.056	0.001	0.000 ± 0.103
TIR	H	0.580 ± 0.034	-0.122 ± 0.055	0.001	0.000 ± 0.101
TIR	K	0.567 ± 0.038	-0.119 ± 0.052	0.001	0.000 ± 0.101
TIR	3.6	0.537 ± 0.050	-0.124 ± 0.058	0.002	0.000 ± 0.103

Notes. The fitting procedure and computation of the uncertainties are performed as for Table 4.

stars contributes a much more variable percentage of the 24 μm than for the TIR. This is not surprising as for a fixed absorbed FUV luminosity, the dust SED is very dependent on the local physical conditions, as we have seen earlier in Sect. 5.2. This is not the case for the TIR, however. Considering energy conservation, at the equilibrium the total luminosity emitted by the dust in the IR is exactly the luminosity it absorbs from the UV to the NIR. This means that the TIR only depends on the absorbed luminosity by dust and not on its temperature. This emphasises the importance of the TIR as an SFR estimator even if it can also be contaminated by dust emission unrelated to recent star formation. The induced variations appear small enough that the uncertainties on FUV dust correction remain small or, at least, smaller than for individual IR passbands.

Finally, we need to keep in mind a caveat of this comparison. We are limited here to the case of the 24 μm band and the TIR, which are the most favourable cases for the FUV–NIR estimators. Given the visibly poorer results at 70 μm and 100 μm , which are particularly clear when comparing Fig. 6 with Fig. 7, the NIR luminosity density per unit area would yield better (albeit not perfect) estimates of k_i in such cases.

7. Estimators for unresolved galaxies

We derived new hybrid estimators at local scales by parametrising k_i on FUV–NIR colours and NIR luminosity densities per unit area. These estimators are directly applicable when resolved observations are available. However, this is generally not the case beyond the local Universe and the application to unresolved observations is non-trivial. This is because in that case the effective $\langle k_i \rangle$ for an entire galaxy does necessarily not correspond to that derived for a given FUV–NIR colour or NIR luminosity density per unit area. This is because at the scale of a galaxy, the effective $\langle k_i \rangle$ is weighted towards the more luminous regions⁶, which in star-forming spiral galaxies are often located in the inner regions; these, in turn, have a redder FUV–NIR colour and

higher NIR luminosity density per unit area. If we simply considered the average FUV–NIR colour or the average NIR luminosity density per unit area of the galaxy, this would lead to an overestimate of $\langle k_i \rangle$. For example, when considering the 3.6 μm luminosity density, the estimated total attenuated FUV luminosity from the 24 μm band (in effect $k_{24} \times L(24)$) is up to 70% higher when applying the relations in Table 5 on integrated galaxies rather than when applying these relations at local scales. This is especially the case for galaxies where star formation is very concentrated, such as NGC 1097 for instance. For galaxies such as NGC 628 and NGC 925 for which star formation is more spread out, the difference is within 10%. Interestingly, the relations based on the FUV–NIR provide very similar results when applied on local or global fluxes. We therefore concentrate on extending the relations based on the NIR luminosity densities per unit area to unresolved galaxies.

By making some assumptions on the distributions of the old and young stellar populations across the disk, it is possible to derive a relation to extend our local k_i estimates to global estimates. To explore this possibility, we assumed that the SFR surface density and NIR luminosity density per unit area both follow decaying exponential profiles. The derivation, which is detailed in Appendix E, leads to the following effective $\langle k_i \rangle$ when using the NIR luminosity density per unit area:

$$\langle k_i \rangle = a_{\text{NIR}} + b_{\text{NIR}} \times \left[\log \Sigma_{\nu, \text{NIR}}(0) - \frac{2}{\ln 10} \times \frac{r_{\text{SFR}}}{r_{\text{NIR}}} - 20 \right], \quad (8)$$

where $\Sigma_{\nu, \text{NIR}}(0)$ is the luminosity density per unit area in the NIR at the centre of the galaxy in $\text{W Hz}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-2}$, r_{SFR} the scale length of the SFR exponential profile, and r_{NIR} the scale length of the NIR exponential profile. Such relations therefore require a priori knowledge of the young and old stellar populations scale lengths. They are not always available or easy to estimate. In such cases, it may be valuable to use average values. Using the GALEX Nearby Galaxies Survey sample (Gil de Paz et al. 2007), Muñoz-Mateos et al. (2007) measured the SFR and stellar mass surface density scale lengths of a large number of galaxies. Using a subsample of 131 galaxies for which both quantities were computed reliably and assuming that the NIR luminosity density per unit area and stellar mass surface density scale

⁶ In our sample, typically the 20% to 30% most luminous pixels dominate the integrated luminosity. The global $\langle k_i \rangle$ appear to be driven by those pixels.

Fig. 8. *Left:* difference between the CIGALE attenuation-corrected FUV luminosities per unit area and those estimated using a constant k_i from Liu et al. (2011) and Hao et al. (2011) (y -axis, *first two rows*), a variable k_i estimated from one of the linear relations with the FUV–NIR colours given in Table 4 (y -axis, *other rows*), and the estimated FUV attenuation directly obtained from the CIGALE SED modelling (x -axis). The first column is based on the 24 μ m band and the second column on the TIR. *Right:* same but estimating k_i from one of the linear relations with the NIR luminosity density per unit area given in Table 5.

lengths are similar, we find that $\langle r_{\text{SFR}}/r_{\text{NIR}} \rangle \simeq 1.18 \pm 0.46$. Injecting this into Eq. (8), we find

$$\langle k_i \rangle = a_{\text{NIR}} + b_{\text{NIR}} \times [\log \Sigma_{\nu, \text{NIR}}(0) - 21.03], \quad (9)$$

or equivalently,

$$\langle k_i \rangle = a_{\text{NIR}} + b_{\text{NIR}} \times \left[\log \frac{L_{\nu, \text{NIR}}}{2 \times \pi \times r_{\text{NIR}}^2} - 21.03 \right]. \quad (10)$$

The dispersion found from the Muñoz-Mateos et al. (2007) sample induces a typical uncertainty on $\langle k_i \rangle$ of ± 1.7 at 24 μ m, ± 0.3 at 70 μ m, ± 0.2 at 100 μ m, and ± 0.05 for the TIR. This can be considered a lower limit as deviations from exponential profiles for either the SFR or the NIR also increase the uncertainty on $\langle k_i \rangle$.

To test whether the previous relations provide us with reasonable estimates of $\langle k_i \rangle$, we applied Eq. (9) to all the galaxies in our sample from 24 μ m to the TIR and for each of the four NIR bands considered. In Table 6 we compare these estimates with an effective $\langle k_i \rangle$ derived from SED modelling: we compute the global attenuation-corrected FUV luminosity by correcting each individual pixel for the attenuation and then we apply Eq. (3) to compute $\langle k_i \rangle$ from the integrated luminosities.

Taking the estimates based on the CIGALE modelling as a reference, we find that Eq. (9) provides us with excellent estimates. In the vast majority of cases Eq. (9) gives closer estimates of $\langle k_i \rangle$ than adopting the fixed values of Hao et al. (2011). There are some cases where the estimates are particularly discrepant though. This concerns the three earlier type galaxies in our sample: NGC 1097, NGC 3351, and NGC 4736. This is especially clear at 24 μ m. If we inspect the parameters maps of NGC 1097 and NGC 3351, shown in Fig. 2, we see that star formation in the galaxy is strongly dominated by the nuclear starburst. As these two galaxies clearly deviate from the assumption of a decaying exponential profile, it is therefore expected that $\langle k_i \rangle$ estimated from CIGALE is heavily weighted towards the local k_i in the most luminous regions. For NGC 4736, the issue may be different. The four central pixels, which all overlap with the nucleus, present a suspiciously large estimate of the stellar mass. After close inspection, it turns out that the fit of these pixels is particularly poor. Depending on the actual AGN type, it is possible that a very significant part of the flux from these regions actually originates from the active nucleus, with hot dust strongly increasing the IR emission. This leads to an overestimate of the stellar mass and SFR, resulting in an uncertain impact on the sSFR and to an overall misestimate of the physical properties we

Table 6. Comparison of the effective $\langle k_i \rangle$ coefficient from 24 μm to the TIR for each galaxy derived either from the CIGALE model or estimated from Eq. (9) using the central NIR luminosity density per unit area in the J , H , Ks , or 3.6 μm band.

Galaxy	Band	$\langle k_i(\text{CIGALE}) \rangle$	$\langle k_i(J) \rangle$	$\langle k_i(H) \rangle$	$\langle k_i(Ks) \rangle$	$\langle k_i(3.6) \rangle$
NGC 628	24	7.76	7.17	7.18	7.06	7.57
NGC 925	24	9.67	12.49	12.17	11.86	12.73
NGC 1097	24	4.77	5.36	5.17	4.97	4.66
NGC 3351	24	4.07	6.83	6.66	6.49	6.83
NGC 4321	24	7.02	7.57	7.40	7.11	7.31
NGC 4736	24	4.71	0.84	1.04	1.15	0.86
NGC 5055	24	6.82	5.65	5.43	5.31	5.42
NGC 7793	24	9.80	9.57	9.56	9.44	10.10
NGC 628	70	1.72	1.71	1.70	1.69	1.76
NGC 925	70	1.50	2.32	2.27	2.25	2.36
NGC 1097	70	1.12	1.50	1.47	1.45	1.43
NGC 3351	70	1.08	1.67	1.64	1.62	1.68
NGC 4321	70	1.50	1.76	1.73	1.70	1.73
NGC 4736	70	0.66	0.99	1.00	1.00	0.99
NGC 5055	70	1.38	1.54	1.50	1.48	1.52
NGC 7793	70	1.66	1.98	1.98	1.97	2.05
NGC 628	100	1.25	1.14	1.14	1.13	1.16
NGC 925	100	1.19	1.48	1.46	1.45	1.48
NGC 1097	100	1.00	1.02	1.00	0.99	0.98
NGC 3351	100	0.88	1.12	1.10	1.09	1.12
NGC 4321	100	1.08	1.16	1.15	1.13	1.15
NGC 4736	100	0.64	0.73	0.73	0.74	0.75
NGC 5055	100	0.87	1.04	1.02	1.01	1.03
NGC 7793	100	1.21	1.29	1.29	1.29	1.32
NGC 628	TIR	0.68	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.62
NGC 925	TIR	0.73	0.70	0.70	0.69	0.70
NGC 1097	TIR	0.58	0.58	0.57	0.57	0.57
NGC 3351	TIR	0.50	0.60	0.60	0.59	0.60
NGC 4321	TIR	0.61	0.62	0.61	0.61	0.61
NGC 4736	TIR	0.48	0.51	0.50	0.50	0.50
NGC 5055	TIR	0.53	0.58	0.58	0.57	0.58
NGC 7793	TIR	0.73	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.66

are interested in. This means that for these pixels Eq. (9) does not provide us with reliable results. More generally, this also means that the estimators we provide in this article should not be used in regions where physical processes other than star formation contribute in a non-negligible way to the emission.

In conclusion, the new relations we provide can be reasonably extended to entire galaxies as long as there is no strong deviation from the assumption of exponential profiles. For other profiles, similar relations can also be derived following the method outlined in Appendix E.

8. Limits and recommendations

8.1. Validity range of the new estimators

One of the main dangers in using the new parametrisations we have derived is extrapolating the relations provided in Tables 4 and 5 beyond the domain upon which they have been derived. In that case k_i could be assigned unrealistically low or high values. This is in part because we assume a simple linear relation between k_i and the FUV–NIR colours or NIR luminosity densities per unit area. In reality k_i is physically bounded. For instance, $k_{\text{TIR}} \simeq 0$ if the TIR is exclusively due to old stellar populations and $k_{\text{TIR}} \lesssim 1$ if the TIR is exclusively due to the youngest stellar populations, with the actual maximum value depending on the portion of the dust luminosity coming from absorbed

FUV photons. The range of k_i values probed in this study is probably representative of the typical boundaries one may encounter as we have a wide dynamical range in terms of physical conditions. Nevertheless, it is important to apply the new estimators exclusively in the range in which they were derived. In terms of dust luminosity per unit area, the estimators we defined are valid over

- $4.93 \leq \log \Sigma(24) \leq 8.36 L_{\odot} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$;
- $5.83 \leq \log \Sigma(70) \leq 8.99 L_{\odot} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$;
- $5.84 \leq \log \Sigma(100) \leq 8.97 L_{\odot} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$;
- $6.12 \leq \log \Sigma(\text{TIR}) \leq 9.19 L_{\odot} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$.

In terms of NIR luminosity densities per unit area, they were defined over

- $18.31 \leq \log \Sigma_{\nu}(J) \leq 21.62 \text{ W Hz}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$;
- $18.30 \leq \log \Sigma_{\nu}(H) \leq 21.70 \text{ W Hz}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$;
- $18.31 \leq \log \Sigma_{\nu}(Ks) \leq 21.62 \text{ W Hz}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$;
- $18.12 \leq \log \Sigma_{\nu}(3.6) \leq 21.30 \text{ W Hz}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$.

Finally, in terms of FUV–NIR colours (AB magnitudes)

- $0.97 < \text{FUV} - J < 6.66 \text{ mag}$;
- $0.49 < \text{FUV} - H < 6.92 \text{ mag}$;
- $0.41 < \text{FUV} - Ks < 6.72 \text{ mag}$;
- $0.44 < \text{FUV} - 3.6 < 5.98 \text{ mag}$.

Another possible limit is that the new estimators were derived on a sample of eight star-forming galaxies. While we are ensured to cover early- and late-type spiral galaxies, such derivations are by nature dependent on the sample used. As such, they should not be used on galaxies earlier than Sa. Their use on irregular galaxies is equally uncertain. We also caution against using them when there is any indication of a strong active nucleus. A type 1 nucleus can strongly contaminate the FUV and the NIR bands (Ciesla et al. 2015). More generally, active nuclei provide an additional heating mechanism that is independent from stellar populations.

8.2. Choice of the estimators

The new hybrid estimators that we derived represent a generalisation and an extension to new wavelengths of hybrid estimators previously published in the literature. We show in this work that if these estimators physically depend on the sSFR and stellar mass surface density, they can be efficiently parametrised based on FUV–NIR colours or on the NIR luminosity densities per unit area. We have seen in Sect. 6.3 that they can bring important improvements on FUV attenuation estimates and, therefore, on the SFR. This however comes at the cost of a slightly increased complexity with the additional requirement of NIR observations. If we take the estimators of Hao et al. (2011) as our baseline comparisons, there are several main scenarios. We suggest a few possibilities to guide the choice of the estimator.

8.2.1. Dependence on wavelength

We have shown that each of the two methods we presented have symmetric strengths and weaknesses. For the 24 μm and TIR, we suggest to estimate k_i from the FUV–NIR colour. For the 70 μm and 100 μm bands, we suggest estimating k_i from the NIR luminosity density per unit area.

8.2.2. Resolved observations of low redshift galaxies

In case the study benefits from resolved observations of nearby galaxies, given the all-sky coverage in the NIR brought by 2MASS and WISE, we recommend applying the estimators provided in this paper. This is especially important at 24 μm as this band appears to exhibit large variations of k_i . Even though we cannot compare our results with the Hao et al. (2011) estimators at 70 μm and 100 μm , this is also likely to be true. For the TIR, given the small dynamical range of k_i , the difference between our estimators and that of Hao et al. (2011) is much smaller on average. If data are available, given the excellent relation with the FUV–NIR colours, we recommend applying the k_i derived in this paper.

Finally, the new estimators were computed on spatial scales ranging from 0.5 kpc (NGC 7793) to 1.7 kpc (NGC 1097). Their application on much smaller scales is not advised, if only because a number of assumptions for the modelling (fully sampled initial mass function, continuous SFH, etc.) necessarily break down at some point at small spatial scales (Boquien et al. 2015).

8.2.3. Unresolved observations of low redshift galaxies

To deal with the case of unresolved galaxies, we extended our new locally derived estimators to entire galaxies in Sect. 7. We showed in Table 6 that they appear to provide closer estimates of the effective k_i than when adopting a constant value

from Hao et al. (2011). The main difficulty is that it requires at least the central NIR luminosity density per unit area of the galaxy, if we take the SFR and NIR scale lengths provided by Muñoz-Mateos et al. (2007). If the galaxies are nevertheless resolved in the NIR only, Eq. (9) can be directly applied. This still requires the assumptions behind it to be valid. That is, the young and old stellar populations follow exponentially decaying profiles. This particular point is even more important when there is no resolved observation available in the NIR. In this case it may be safer to apply the average relations of Hao et al. (2011), which were derived on a larger sample of unresolved galaxies.

8.2.4. The conversion from FUV luminosity to SFR

To compute the SFR from the relations given in this paper one needs to adopt a conversion factor, for instance one of those given in Boquien et al. (2014) for a Chabrier (2003) IMF. However in this study, we show that the sSFR is an important parameter to understand how to combine the FUV and IR to correct the former for the presence of dust. In other words, it is important to take the SFH into account to correct for attenuation. At the same time, however, the SFH also has an impact on the conversion factor from the FUV luminosity to the SFR owing to the contamination of the FUV emission by long-lived stars (Johnson et al. 2013; Boquien et al. 2014), whereas a constant SFR over 100 Myr is generally assumed (e.g. Kennicutt 1998; Kennicutt et al. 2011). In other words, as k_i depends on the exact SFH of the galaxy, the FUV-to-SFR conversion factor also depends on the SFH, albeit in a different way. This emphasises the necessity to take the impact of the SFH into account to reliably measure the SFR of galaxies from hybrid estimators.

9. Summary

In this article, we investigated why and how hybrid SFR estimators based on the combination of UV and IR emission, $(L(\text{UV})_{\text{int}} = L(\text{UV})_{\text{obs}} + k_i \times L(\text{IR})_i)$, depend on the local physical conditions. To do so, using CIGALE (Boquien et al.; Burgarella et al., in prep.), we modelled the FUV-to-FIR SED of eight nearby spatially resolved, star-forming spiral galaxies drawn from the KINGFISH sample. This allowed us to characterise their local physical properties such as the stellar mass, SFR, sSFR, TIR luminosity, and UV attenuation at a typical scale of 1 kpc. Our main findings are the following:

1. There are important region-to-region variations within galaxies and galaxy-to-galaxy variations of k_i from 1.55 to 13.45 at 24 μm for instance (for comparison, Hao et al. 2011 and Liu et al. 2011 found a constant factor of 3.89 ± 0.15 and 6.0, respectively). This shows that hybrid estimators using a fixed value for k_i cannot be appropriate for the full diversity of galaxies and may provide systematically biased estimates when applied on galaxies whose physical properties differ from that of the original calibration sample.
2. When considering the combination of the FUV with the IR luminosity, k_i varies with the average sSFR over 100 Myr; increasing values of sSFR also yield increasing values of k_i . The reason is that as the sSFR increases, the IR emission is increasingly linked to recent star formation and the relative importance of dust heating by older stellar populations diminishes. This is more particularly the case for k_{24} and k_{TIR} . However, as these values are sensitive to the black-body emission of the dust, k_{70} and k_{100} show a more complex

behaviour with a particular sensitivity to the stellar mass surface density.

3. Exploiting the physical insights provided by these correlations, we parametrised k_i against (a) the FUV–NIR colours, and (b) the NIR luminosity densities per unit area. As a result, these new parametrisations bring strong improvements compared to a constant k_i to correct the FUV for the attenuation. This shows that when using individual passbands in the IR, it is crucial to take into account the variability of k_i . The TIR emission is not as sensitive to a variation of the diffuse emission and the difference with the k_{TIR} of Hao et al. (2011) remains minor.
4. Building on the success of the parametrisation of k_i for the FUV, we expanded those to the NUV (see Appendix D). We also found clear correlations of k_i with the sSFR and stellar mass surface density, showing that we can use such estimators efficiently to correct the NUV emission for the presence of dust and retrieve the SFR in the absence of FUV data.
5. Assuming exponentially decaying radial profiles for the stellar populations, we expanded the parametrisation on the NIR luminosity densities per unit area to the case of unresolved galaxies. We found that it provides better estimates of the effective $\langle k_i \rangle$ than adopting a constant factor as for classical hybrid estimators. This shows that these new estimators can work well both on resolved and unresolved data.

These new estimators provide better estimates than classical hybrid estimators published in the literature. By statistically taking the impact of dust heated by old stellar populations into account, these estimators constitute an important step towards universal estimators.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the referee whose useful comments helped make the paper clearer. M.B. would like to thank Andrew Connolly for enlightening discussions about fitting techniques and the handling of uncertainties. This publication was financed by the FIC-R Fund, allocated to the project 30321072. F.S.T. acknowledges financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (MINECO) under grant number AYA2013-41243-P. This research made use of the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive, which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration; APLpy, an open-source plotting package for Python hosted at <https://aplpy.github.com>; Astropy, a community-developed core Python package for Astronomy (Astropy Collaboration 2013); the IPython package (Pérez & Granger 2007); matplotlib, a Python library for publication quality graphics (Hunter 2007); SciPy (Jones et al. 2001). This publication makes use of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation. Based on observations made with the NASA Galaxy Evolution Explorer. GALEX is operated for NASA by the California Institute of Technology under NASA contract NAS5-98034. This work is based in part on observations made with the *Spitzer* Space Telescope, which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology under a contract with NASA. Support for this work was provided by NASA through an award issued by JPL/Caltech. *Herschel* is an ESA space observatory with science instruments provided by European-led Principal Investigator consortia and with important participation from NASA.

References

- Aniano, G., Draine, B. T., Gordon, K. D., & Sandstrom, K. 2011, *PASP*, **123**, 1218
- Arnouts, S., Le Floc'h, E., Chevillard, J., et al. 2013, *A&A*, **558**, A67
- Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., & Scott, P. 2009, *ARA&A*, **47**, 481
- Astropy Collaboration, Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., et al. 2013, *A&A*, **558**, A33
- Bell, E. F. 2002, *ApJ*, **577**, 150
- Bell, E. F., & de Jong, R. S. 2001, *ApJ*, **550**, 212
- Bendo, G. J., Wilson, C. D., Pohlen, M., et al. 2010, *A&A*, **518**, L65
- Bendo, G. J., Boselli, A., Dariush, A., et al. 2012, *MNRAS*, **419**, 1833
- Bendo, G. J., Baes, M., Bianchi, S., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, **448**, 135
- Boquien, M., Duc, P., Wu, Y., et al. 2009, *AJ*, **137**, 4561
- Boquien, M., Calzetti, D., Combes, F., et al. 2011, *AJ*, **142**, 111
- Boquien, M., Buat, V., Boselli, A., et al. 2012, *A&A*, **539**, A145
- Boquien, M., Buat, V., & Perret, V. 2014, *A&A*, **571**, A72
- Boquien, M., Calzetti, D., Aalto, S., et al. 2015, *A&A*, **578**, A8
- Bruzual, G., & Charlot, S. 2003, *MNRAS*, **344**, 1000
- Buat, V., Donas, J., Milliard, B., & Xu, C. 1999, *A&A*, **352**, 371
- Buat, V., Iglesias-Páramo, J., Seibert, M., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, **619**, L51
- Buat, V., Giovannoli, E., Takeuchi, T. T., et al. 2011, *A&A*, **529**, A22
- Buat, V., Noll, S., Burgarella, D., et al. 2012, *A&A*, **545**, A141
- Burgarella, D., Buat, V., & Iglesias-Páramo, J. 2005, *MNRAS*, **360**, 1413
- Burgarella, D., Buat, V., Gruppioni, C., et al. 2013, *A&A*, **554**, A70
- Calzetti, D., Kinney, A. L., & Storchi-Bergmann, T. 1994, *ApJ*, **429**, 582
- Calzetti, D., Armus, L., Bohlin, R. C., et al. 2000, *ApJ*, **533**, 682
- Calzetti, D., Kennicutt, R. C., Bianchi, L., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, **633**, 871
- Calzetti, D., Kennicutt, R. C., Engelbracht, C. W., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **666**, 870
- Cardelli, J. A., Clayton, G. C., & Mathis, J. S. 1989, *ApJ*, **345**, 245
- Chabrier, G. 2003, *ApJ*, **586**, L133
- Charlot, S., & Fall, S. M. 2000, *ApJ*, **539**, 718
- Ciesla, L., Charmandaris, V., Georgakakis, A., et al. 2015, *A&A*, **576**, A10
- Conroy, C. 2013, *ARA&A*, **51**, 393
- Cortese, L., Boselli, A., Franzetti, P., et al. 2008, *MNRAS*, **386**, 1157
- Crocker, A. F., Calzetti, D., Thilker, D. A., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **762**, 79
- Dale, D. A., & Helou, G. 2002, *ApJ*, **576**, 159
- Dale, D. A., Gil de Paz, A., Gordon, K. D., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **655**, 863
- Dale, D. A., Helou, G., Magdis, G. E., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **784**, 83
- De Looze, I., Baes, M., Bendo, G. J., et al. 2012a, *MNRAS*, **427**, 2797
- De Looze, I., Baes, M., Parkin, T. J., et al. 2012b, *MNRAS*, **423**, 2359
- Draine, B. T., & Li, A. 2007, *ApJ*, **657**, 810
- Ferland, G. J., Korista, K. T., Verner, D. A., et al. 1998, *PASP*, **110**, 761
- Galametz, M., Kennicutt, R. C., Calzetti, D., et al. 2013, *MNRAS*, **431**, 1956
- Gil de Paz, A., Boissier, S., Madore, B. F., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, **173**, 185
- Giovannoli, E., Buat, V., Noll, S., Burgarella, D., & Magnelli, B. 2011, *A&A*, **525**, A150
- Grasha, K., Calzetti, D., Andrews, J. E., Lee, J. C., & Dale, D. A. 2013, *ApJ*, **773**, 174
- Griffin, M. J., Abergel, A., Abreu, A., et al. 2010, *A&A*, **518**, L3
- Hao, C.-N., Kennicutt, R. C., Johnson, B. D., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, **741**, 124
- Hunter, J. D. 2007, *Comput. Sci. Eng.*, **9**, 90
- Inoue, A. K. 2011, *MNRAS*, **415**, 2920
- Johnson, B. D., Weisz, D. R., Dalcanton, J. J., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **772**, 8
- Jones, E., Oliphant, T., Peterson, P., et al. 2001, SciPy: Open source scientific tools for Python
- Kennicutt, Jr., R. C. 1998, *ARA&A*, **36**, 189
- Kennicutt, R. C., Hao, C., Calzetti, D., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **703**, 1672
- Kennicutt, R. C., Calzetti, D., Aniano, G., et al. 2011, *PASP*, **123**, 1347
- Kirkpatrick, A., Calzetti, D., Kennicutt, R., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **789**, 130
- Kobulnicky, H. A., & Kewley, L. J. 2004, *ApJ*, **617**, 240
- Kong, X., Charlot, S., Brinchmann, J., & Fall, S. M. 2004, *MNRAS*, **349**, 769
- Leroy, A. K., Bigiel, F., de Blok, W. J. G., et al. 2012, *AJ*, **144**, 3
- Liu, G., Koda, J., Calzetti, D., Fukuhara, M., & Momose, R. 2011, *ApJ*, **735**, 63
- Lu, N., Bendo, G. J., Boselli, A., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **797**, 129
- Mao, Y.-W., Kennicutt, Jr., R. C., Hao, C.-N., Kong, X., & Zhou, X. 2012, *ApJ*, **757**, 52
- Mao, Y.-W., Kong, X., & Lin, L. 2014, *ApJ*, **789**, 76
- Martin, D. C., Fanson, J., Schiminovich, D., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, **619**, L1
- Meurer, G. R., Heckman, T. M., & Calzetti, D. 1999, *ApJ*, **521**, 64
- Muñoz-Mateos, J. C., Gil de Paz, A., Boissier, S., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **658**, 1006
- Natale, G., Popescu, C. C., Tuffs, R. J., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, **449**, 243
- O'Donnell, J. E. 1994, *ApJ*, **422**, 158
- Pérez, F., & Granger, B. E. 2007, *Comput. Sci. Eng.*, **9**, 21
- Pilbratt, G. L., Riedinger, J. R., Passvogel, T., et al. 2010, *A&A*, **518**, L1
- Poglitsch, A., Waelkens, C., Geis, N., et al. 2010, *A&A*, **518**, L2
- Popescu, C. C., Misiriotis, A., Kylafis, N. D., Tuffs, R. J., & Fischera, J. 2000, *A&A*, **362**, 138
- Reynolds, R. J. 1989, *ApJ*, **339**, L29
- Roussel, H. 2013, *PASP*, **125**, 1126
- Salim, S., Charlot, S., Rich, R. M., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, **619**, L39
- Sauvage, M., & Thuan, T. X. 1992, *ApJ*, **396**, L69
- Schlafly, E. F., & Finkbeiner, D. P. 2011, *ApJ*, **737**, 103
- Tabatabaei, F. S., Weiß, A., Combes, F., et al. 2013, *A&A*, **555**, A128
- Zhu, Y., Wu, H., Cao, C., & Li, H. 2008, *ApJ*, **686**, 155

Appendix A: Impact of the assumption on the metallicity

To model the local FUV-to-FIR SED of galaxies, we assumed a constant metallicity $Z = 0.02$ throughout the disk. The reason for doing so is two-fold. First, the selected sample is metal-rich. Measurements using the Kobulnicky & Kewley (2004) estimator yield high average oxygen abundances $\langle 12 + \log \text{O}/\text{H} \rangle = 8.79$ to 9.19 (Kennicutt et al. 2011). The metallicity $Z = 0.02$ corresponds to an oxygen abundance $12 + \log \text{O}/\text{H} = 8.90$ assuming the solar metallicity ($Z = 0.0134$) and oxygen abundance ($12 + \log \text{O}/\text{H} = 8.69$) from Asplund et al. (2009). This assumption therefore appears reasonable as the sample is made of galaxies with similar metallicities. The second reason is that there are age-metallicity and age-attenuation degeneracies, which make disentangling their respective effects an especially difficult problem. To limit the impact of these degeneracies, we decided to set a constant metallicity $Z = 0.02$.

Nevertheless, to assess the actual impact of the assumption of the metallicity on our results, we also ran the models assuming $Z = 0.008$. We find that the average SFR over 100 Myr is then lower by 0.17 ± 0.12 dex. The stellar mass, however, only increases by 0.02 ± 0.03 dex. At the same time, the FUV attenuation is also systematically lower by 0.07 ± 0.05 mag. This has a direct impact on k_i , yielding values lower by $\sim 8\%$ but preserving the relations with the physical parameters examined in Sect. 5.2. This difference is probably an upper limit because it is likely that only few regions in our sample have a metallicity as low as $Z = 0.008$. In conclusion, the impact of the assumption of the metallicity appears minor compared to the total dynamic range spanned by the physical properties and by k_i .

Appendix B: Uncertainties on estimated physical parameters

If we want to understand what impacts hybrid estimators, it is important to determine whether the physical parameters we derive are measured reliably. To ascertain this point, we need to ensure that the models we consider can reasonably reproduce the observations (Sect. B.1) and that we can retrieve the intrinsic physical parameters precisely and accurately (Sect. B.2).

B.1. Ability of the models to reproduce observations

The most basic requirement for properly modelling galaxies or regions within galaxies is that the adopted set of models is able to reproduce the observations. To verify this, we compare in Fig. B.1 some of the observed colours of our sample with the range of theoretical colours covered by the models.

We clearly see that the models well reproduce the observations for the selected colours. However, when examining the MIR and FIR colours, we find that there are a number of regions with low 8.0-to-24 and 24-to-100 ratios that cannot be reproduced with the Dale et al. (2014) dust templates. These regions belong to different galaxies but, in particular, to NGC 925 and NGC 7793. It appears that the overwhelming majority correspond to faint regions with a rather low S/N. Here we include all regions detected down to a 1σ level in all bands, even though the derivation of k_i is then limited to regions detected at least at the 5σ level in the considered band. The effect is mostly prevalent at $70 \mu\text{m}$ and, to a lesser extent, at $100 \mu\text{m}$. Only considering the regions detected at the 5σ level, we find fewer discrepant regions and most of these appear to be compatible with this noise level. This is a lower limit as we implicitly assume here that the

Fig. B.1. Comparison of some of the observed colours for all the regions in the sample (coloured points) with the colour space covered by the models (grey hexagons). The selected colours are representative of young and old stellar populations and of the dust. The colour of each dot indicates the galaxy following the colour bar on the right. The density of models is indicated by the shade of the hexagonal bins, following a logarithmic scale. Black indicates the highest density of models, whereas white indicates the absence of models in the particular bin.

$24 \mu\text{m}$ does not contribute significantly to the uncertainty. However, as we see in Sect. B.2, even with the low S/N of the $70 \mu\text{m}$

and 100 μm bands we can retrieve the TIR luminosities with excellent precision and accuracy as dust emission is constrained simultaneously using seven bands from 8 μm to 350 μm .

To assess whether a different method would provide us with different estimates, we compared the dust luminosities computed from the modelling to those estimated from the empirical recipes of [Galametz et al. \(2013\)](#), which were calibrated on local scales; using the more complex [Draine & Li \(2007\)](#) dust models from 24 μm to 250 μm , we find TIR luminosities within 0.03 dex on average for the most discrepant galaxy (NGC 925). This suggests that the low S/N bands do not have a dramatic influence on the estimation of the TIR luminosity.

B.2. Precision and accuracy

Another important aspect to model galaxies or regions within galaxies is a reliable quantification of the precision and accuracy of the derived physical properties.

The precision can be estimated by examining the uncertainties computed from the marginalised PDF. We find that on average the FUV attenuation and stellar mass have small mean relative uncertainties of $9.8 \pm 2.7\%$ and $21.2 \pm 10.2\%$, respectively. The uncertainties on the SFR are much larger: $52.6 \pm 23.2\%$ for the current SFR and $97.6 \pm 23.3\%$ for the average SFR over 100 Myr ($\langle \text{SFR} \rangle_{100}$). This reflects the difficulty in constraining the SFH of galaxies from broadband data. Two different SFHs can yield very similar SEDs because of various degeneracies, such as the degeneracy between the strength of an episode of star formation and its age. At the same time, it shows that it is important to include diverse SFHs in the modelling to estimate the uncertainties properly. Failing this, the PDF of some physical properties may be narrower than they should be, which in turn would induce an underestimate of the uncertainties of these physical properties.

To estimate the accuracy of the estimates, we need to quantify whether the modelling allows us to retrieve the true value of the physical properties on average. To do so, a standard approach is to model the SED of galaxies or regions within galaxies whose physical properties are perfectly known. We can then compare these exact values to the values we estimate from the modelling. The results are dependent on the physical characteristics of the sample, the set of models adopted, and the set of bands used. Therefore they cannot be established in general. Such a test has to be carried out independently for each study.

In the first step for this test, we build a dedicated simulated catalogue of regions for which we know the physical properties with infinite accuracy. To do this, we follow the procedure outlined in [Giovannoli et al. \(2011\)](#) and [Boquien et al. \(2012\)](#). We model the SED of each region in our sample using the set of models described in Table 2 and we compute the SED of the best-fit model along with the associated physical properties. We then simulate an observation of the SED of the best model by adding a random Gaussian noise to the flux in each band based on the 1σ uncertainty of the observed fluxes. This yields a simulated catalogue of observed regions in galaxies for which we know the true physical properties. The main advantage of this method is that it yields a set of SEDs that are very similar to the observed sample. Conversely, the limitation is that the models used for the simulated catalogue are not independent from the models used to fit this catalogue. This means that while this method allows us to test whether we can retrieve the physical properties in an internally consistent way, it does not detect systematic differences between models and observations, such as systematic biases in the stellar evolution tracks.

In the second step, we model and analyse this new catalogue as if it was made of real observations. We compare the true and estimated values in Fig. B.2 for the TIR luminosity, FUV attenuation, stellar mass, and average SFR over 100 Myr. First of all, we find that the TIR luminosity, is very well constrained with a logarithmic mean offset between the estimated and true values of $\Delta = 0.001 \pm 0.031$ dex. It is therefore reliable. The FUV attenuation is also very well determined with only a slight systematic underestimate, i.e. $\Delta = -0.033 \pm 0.169$ mag. This is important as the FUV attenuation is the critical parameter in this study; it is directly involved in the computation of k_i (Eq. (5)). Another very well constrained physical property is the stellar mass, i.e. $\Delta = 0.012 \pm 0.108$ dex. The case of the SFR is however more complex. If we consider the current SFR (not shown here), the estimates are both very imprecise and very inaccurate, i.e. $\Delta = 0.382 \pm 1.050$ dex. A large part of this discrepancy is due to artificial regions with extremely low SFR. Using the full PDF results in large overestimates of the current SFR for these regions. However, we do not see similarly catastrophic overestimates of the average SFR. While the estimates for many regions are close to the true values, it shows that the SFR from the modelling should be interpreted with particular caution. This is a consequence of the difficulties in reconstructing the SFH of galaxies from broadband photometry and, therefore, retrieving the current SFR. That said, the average SFR over 100 Myr shows much more promising results, i.e. $\Delta = 0.023 \pm 0.656$ dex, suggesting it is a considerably more accurate parameter than the current SFR. If the scatter is large, it remains much smaller than the dynamical range probed by the sample, i.e. approximately 3 dex. One drawback is that in the present case we only assume decreasing SFH, which means the average SFR is always larger than the current SFR. For this study, to enjoy much more reliable estimates of the SFR, we only consider these estimates averaged over a timescale of 100 Myr. Such timescales also have the advantage that they are more comparable to the typical age of dust heating populations ([Boquien et al. 2014](#)), which is important to understand the physical origin of the variation of hybrid estimators.

Finally we examine the question of the consequence of waiving the optical data on the determination of the stellar mass. Indeed, some of the optical images available for KINGFISH galaxies have unreliable calibrations and, in any case, they are not homogeneous as they come from different telescopes and surveys. This means that in this study the stellar mass is essentially determined from the 2MASS J , H , and K_s -bands along with the *Spitzer* 3.6 μm and 4.5 μm bands. To estimate the effect of the presence of optical data on the estimate the stellar mass, we ran our model on NGC 3351 as because it is in the SDSS footprint, it has well-calibrated ugriz data and therefore represents the most favourable case. We show the estimation of the stellar mass for this galaxy with and without ugriz data in Fig. B.3. The inclusion of SDSS optical data makes little difference with an increase of the stellar mass of $\Delta = 0.004 \pm 0.015$ dex. Of greater importance, however, is the question of the UV attenuation because it is critical to compute k_i as we can see in Eq. (5). Comparing the two estimates, we find that including SDSS optical data decreases the FUV attenuation by $\Delta = 0.009 \pm 0.062$ mag. We conclude that waiving the optical data does not have adverse consequences on the reliability of our results.

Overall we conclude that the model estimates of the physical parameters we are particularly interested in, such as the FUV attenuation, stellar mass, and average SFR over 100 Myr are sufficiently accurate to study their impact on hybrid estimators.

Fig. B.2. Comparison between the true (x -axis) and estimated (y -axis) properties for the TIR luminosity (*top left*), FUV attenuation (*top right*), stellar mass (*bottom left*), and average SFR over 100 Myr (*bottom right*). The red line indicates the one-to-one relation.

Fig. B.3. Comparison between the estimate of the stellar mass of the regions of NGC 3351 without optical SDSS with data (x -axis) and with SDSS optical data (y -axis). The red line indicates the one-to-one relation.

Appendix C: Comparison with popular recipes to compute the FUV attenuation

Beyond the SED modelling approach adopted in this study, a popular method for computing the FUV attenuation is to relate

it directly to IRX: the ratio of the TIR to the observed FUV luminosities. Several such recipes are available in the literature: for instance Cortese et al. (2008), which is theoretically motivated using an “à la Sandage” SFH ($SFR(t) \propto t \times \exp(-t^2/2\tau^2)$); Burgarella et al. (2005), Buat et al. (2011), and Boquien et al. (2012), which are based on polynomial fits between $A(\text{FUV})$ and IRX with these values computed from SED modelling; and finally Hao et al. (2011), which is based on a purely empirical derivation. Ultimately as we can see in Fig. C.1, they provide us with attenuation estimates that are typically within ~ 0.2 mag of one another.

If these methods provide us with reliable estimates of $A(\text{FUV})$, one limitation that is specific to the context of this study is that for a given IRX, each method associates a unique $A(\text{FUV})$. This can be understood as different galaxies with the same IRX have the same relative amount of dust heating by evolved stellar populations or in other words that the relative amount of heating by evolved stellar populations can be exactly determined from IRX only. However, in reality, a given IRX can give rise to different $A(\text{FUV})$ depending on the dust heating sources. To account for this possibility, we modelled each region in our sample with a large variety of SFH and attenuation curves. As a consequence, we can have different amounts of dust heating by these older stellar populations. This explains why we see in Fig. C.1 that the difference between our $A(\text{FUV})$ estimates and that of individual recipes depends on the sSFR. So while all these methods provide us with consistent estimates of $A(\text{FUV})$, in this study we need to

Fig. C.1. Difference between the FUV attenuation estimated from various methods and from the recipe of Hao et al. (2011) versus IRX. Each line represents a different recipe that depends on IRX: Boquien et al. (2012, blue); Buat et al. (2011, green); Burgarella et al. (2005, red); and Cortese et al. (2008, cyan ($\tau \leq 2.6$ Gyr) and purple ($\tau \geq 8$ Gyr)). The points represent the difference between the FUV attenuation estimated from CIGALE and Hao et al. (2011). Their colour is indicative of the average sSFR over 100 Myr ($\langle sSFR \rangle_{100}$), following the colour bar on the right.

rely on SED modelling to include the effect of a variable SFH on dust heating and ensure our results do not depend on the intrinsic link in IRX-based methods between the IRX and the portion of dust heating by evolved stellar populations.

Appendix D: NUV attenuation correction

As we have seen, it is possible to parametrise hybrid estimators in the FUV. This raises the question of whether the method we developed is also applicable to the NUV, another frequently used star formation tracer in the absence of FUV observations. One of the main uncertainties in the NUV is the possible presence of a bump in the attenuation curve, which affects estimates of the NUV attenuation. Determining its amplitude from just the two GALEX bands is not possible as a change in the attenuation curve slope in the UV is degenerate with the presence of a bump in the NUV band. Answering this question would require additional data and ideally NUV spectra (e.g. Buat et al. 2012) that are not available for our sample. That said, because we included the presence of a bump of variable strength in the models, the uncertainty on its strength is taken into account when estimating the physical parameters. With this caveat in mind, we computed the relations between k_i and the NUV–NIR colours and the NIR luminosity densities per unit area for the NUV. For reference, we provide the best-fit parameters in Table D.1 for the NUV–NIR colours and in Table D.2 for the NIR luminosity densities per unit area.

We find that we retrieve, for the NUV, the trends we found earlier for the FUV. The values for k_i are systematically lower, probably owing to a smaller attenuation in the NUV. For comparison, Hao et al. (2011) found $k_i = 2.26 \pm 0.09$ at $25 \mu\text{m}$ and $k_{\text{TIR}} = 0.27 \pm 0.02$ for the TIR.

Table D.1. Same as Table 4 for the NUV band.

IR band	Colour	a	b	σ_{ab}	Δk_i
24	NUV- J	9.636 ± 0.181	-1.312 ± 0.050	-0.009	0.000 ± 0.893
24	NUV- H	9.409 ± 0.165	-1.200 ± 0.044	-0.007	0.000 ± 0.900
24	NUV- K	8.868 ± 0.142	-1.145 ± 0.041	-0.006	0.000 ± 0.874
24	NUV-3.6	8.784 ± 0.152	-1.347 ± 0.053	-0.008	0.000 ± 0.896
70	NUV- J	1.305 ± 0.145	-0.088 ± 0.040	-0.006	0.000 ± 0.222
70	NUV- H	1.293 ± 0.142	-0.082 ± 0.038	-0.005	0.000 ± 0.222
70	NUV- K	1.266 ± 0.131	-0.080 ± 0.037	-0.005	0.000 ± 0.222
70	NUV-3.6	1.216 ± 0.119	-0.080 ± 0.041	-0.005	0.000 ± 0.225
100	NUV- J	1.039 ± 0.119	-0.094 ± 0.033	-0.004	0.000 ± 0.119
100	NUV- H	1.030 ± 0.116	-0.088 ± 0.031	-0.003	0.000 ± 0.119
100	NUV- K	0.996 ± 0.105	-0.086 ± 0.030	-0.003	0.000 ± 0.118
100	NUV-3.6	0.957 ± 0.099	-0.090 ± 0.034	-0.003	0.000 ± 0.124
TIR	NUV- J	0.596 ± 0.099	-0.058 ± 0.027	-0.003	0.000 ± 0.030
TIR	NUV- H	0.588 ± 0.096	-0.054 ± 0.025	-0.002	0.000 ± 0.030
TIR	NUV- K	0.566 ± 0.086	-0.052 ± 0.025	-0.002	0.000 ± 0.030
TIR	NUV-3.6	0.555 ± 0.081	-0.059 ± 0.028	-0.002	0.000 ± 0.030

Table D.2. Same as Table 5 for the NUV band.

IR band	NIR band	a	b	σ_{ab}	Δk_i
24	J	3.259 ± 0.223	-4.390 ± 0.445	0.082	0.000 ± 1.797
24	H	3.601 ± 0.182	-4.097 ± 0.389	0.055	0.000 ± 1.700
24	K	3.238 ± 0.198	-3.836 ± 0.343	0.057	0.000 ± 1.651
24	3.6	1.887 ± 0.358	-4.534 ± 0.474	0.159	0.000 ± 1.792
70	J	0.955 ± 0.043	-0.358 ± 0.097	0.001	0.000 ± 0.202
70	H	0.976 ± 0.042	-0.342 ± 0.093	0.000	0.000 ± 0.202
70	K	0.943 ± 0.044	-0.328 ± 0.091	0.001	0.000 ± 0.203
70	3.6	0.851 ± 0.058	-0.356 ± 0.100	0.004	0.000 ± 0.207
100	J	0.653 ± 0.038	-0.216 ± 0.075	0.001	0.000 ± 0.121
100	H	0.665 ± 0.036	-0.213 ± 0.072	0.001	0.000 ± 0.119
100	K	0.645 ± 0.039	-0.204 ± 0.069	0.002	0.000 ± 0.119
100	3.6	0.593 ± 0.053	-0.209 ± 0.076	0.003	0.000 ± 0.125
TIR	J	0.575 ± 0.037	-0.118 ± 0.056	0.001	0.000 ± 0.103
TIR	H	0.580 ± 0.034	-0.122 ± 0.055	0.001	0.000 ± 0.101
TIR	K	0.567 ± 0.038	-0.119 ± 0.052	0.001	0.000 ± 0.101
TIR	3.6	0.537 ± 0.050	-0.124 ± 0.058	0.002	0.000 ± 0.103

Appendix E: Derivation of galaxy-wide k_i

The new relations presented in Sect. 6 to estimate k_i from the FUV–NIR colours or the NIR bands are only applicable to resolved galaxies. As we have seen, individual regions in entire galaxies span a broad range in terms of k_i . However, the galaxy-wide k_i is weighted towards more luminous regions that have red FUV–NIR colours and a high NIR luminosity density per unit area. If we assume that both the FUV and NIR luminosities per unit area and the SFR surface density follow exponential profiles of scale-lengths r_{NIR} and r_{SFR} , we can compute an effective mean k_i for the entire galaxy.

$$\langle k_i \rangle = \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} k_i(r) \times \Sigma \text{SFR}(r) \times r \times dr d\theta}{\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \Sigma \text{SFR}(r) \times r \times dr d\theta}. \quad (\text{E.1})$$

In the case of the estimators based on the NIR luminosity density per unit area, if we assume exponential profiles

$$k_i(r) = a_{\text{NIR}} + b_{\text{NIR}} \times [\log \Sigma_{\nu, \text{NIR}}(r) - 20], \quad (\text{E.2})$$

$$k_i(r) = a_{\text{NIR}} + b_{\text{NIR}} \times [\log \Sigma_{\nu, \text{NIR}}(0) \times \exp(-r/r_{\text{NIR}}) - 20], \quad (\text{E.3})$$

$$k_i(r) = a_{\text{NIR}} + b_{\text{NIR}} \times \left[\log \Sigma_{\nu, \text{NIR}}(0) - \frac{r/r_{\text{NIR}}}{\ln 10} - 20 \right], \quad (\text{E.4})$$

$$k_i(r) = \underbrace{a_{\text{NIR}} + b_{\text{NIR}} \times [\log \Sigma_{\nu, \text{NIR}}(0) - 20]}_{\alpha} - \underbrace{\frac{b_{\text{NIR}}/r_{\text{NIR}}}{\ln 10}}_{\beta} \times r, \quad (\text{E.5})$$

and

$$\Sigma \text{SFR}(r) = \Sigma \text{SFR}(0) \times \exp(-r/r_{\text{SFR}}), \quad (\text{E.6})$$

integrating over 2π and simplifying,

$$\langle k_i \rangle = \frac{\int_0^{+\infty} [\alpha - \beta \times r] \times \exp(-r/r_{\text{SFR}}) \times r \times dr}{\int_0^{+\infty} \exp(-r/r_{\text{SFR}}) \times r \times dr}, \quad (\text{E.7})$$

$$\langle k_i \rangle = \alpha - \beta \times \frac{\int_0^{+\infty} \exp(-r/r_{\text{SFR}}) \times r^2 \times dr}{\int_0^{+\infty} \exp(-r/r_{\text{SFR}}) \times r \times dr}, \quad (\text{E.8})$$

and integrating over r ,

$$\langle k_i \rangle = \alpha - 2 \times \beta r_{\text{SFR}}, \quad (\text{E.9})$$

we finally obtain

$$\langle k_i \rangle = a_{\text{NIR}} + b_{\text{NIR}} \times [\log \Sigma_{\nu, \text{NIR}}(0) - 20] - 2 \times \frac{b_{\text{NIR}}}{\ln 10} \times \frac{r_{\text{SFR}}}{r_{\text{NIR}}}, \quad (\text{E.10})$$

$$\langle k_i \rangle = a_{\text{NIR}} + b_{\text{NIR}} \times \left[\log \Sigma_{\nu, \text{NIR}}(0) - \frac{2}{\ln 10} \times \frac{r_{\text{SFR}}}{r_{\text{NIR}}} - 20 \right]. \quad (\text{E.11})$$

This can also be expressed in terms of luminosity density rather than luminosity density per unit area, i.e.

$$\langle k_i \rangle = a_{\text{NIR}} + b_{\text{NIR}} \times \left[\log \frac{L_{\nu, \text{NIR}}}{2 \times \pi \times r_{\text{NIR}}^2} - \frac{2}{\ln 10} \times \frac{r_{\text{SFR}}}{r_{\text{NIR}}} - 20 \right]. \quad (\text{E.12})$$

To estimate k_i from the FUV–NIR colour, the computation is very similar and yields the following result:

$$\langle k_i \rangle = a_{\text{colour}} + 2.5 \times b_{\text{colour}} \times \left[\log \frac{\Sigma_{\nu, \text{NIR}}(0)}{\Sigma_{\nu, \text{FUV}}(0)} - \frac{2}{\ln 10} \times r_{\text{SFR}} \times [1/r_{\text{NIR}} - 1/r_{\text{FUV}}] \right]. \quad (\text{E.13})$$

As before, this can also be expressed in terms of luminosity densities rather than luminosity densities per unit area,

$$\langle k_i \rangle = a_{\text{colour}} + 2.5 \times b_{\text{colour}} \times \left[\log \left(\frac{L_{\nu, \text{NIR}}(0)}{L_{\nu, \text{FUV}}(0)} \times \frac{r_{\text{FUV}}^2}{r_{\text{NIR}}^2} \right) - \frac{2}{\ln 10} \times r_{\text{SFR}} \times [1/r_{\text{NIR}} - 1/r_{\text{FUV}}] \right]. \quad (\text{E.14})$$