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ABSTRACT

Context. The Ophiuchus cluster, at a redshift \( z = 0.0296 \), is known from X-rays to be one of the most massive nearby clusters, but its optical properties have not been investigated in detail because of its very low Galactic latitude.  

Aims. We discuss the optical properties of the galaxies in the Ophiuchus cluster, in particular, with the aim of understanding its dynamical properties better.  

Methods. We have obtained deep optical imaging in several bands with various telescopes, and applied a sophisticated method to model and subtract the contributions of stars to measure galaxy magnitudes as accurately as possible. The colour–magnitude relations obtained show that there are hardly any blue galaxies in Ophiuchus (at least brighter than \( r' \leq 19.5 \)), and this is confirmed by the fact that we only detect two galaxies in H\(_{\alpha}\). We also obtained a number of spectra with ESO-FORS2, which we combined with previously available redshifts. Altogether, we have 152 galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts in the \( 0.02 \leq z \leq 0.04 \) range, and 89 galaxies with both a redshift within the cluster redshift range and a measured \( r' \) band magnitude (limited to the Megacam \( 1 \times 1 \) deg\(^2\) field).  

Results. A complete dynamical analysis based on the galaxy redshifts available shows that the overall cluster is relaxed and has a mass of \( 1.1 \times 10^{15} M_\odot \). The Sernic-Gerbal method detects a main structure and a much smaller substructure, which are not separated in projection.  

Conclusions. From its dynamical properties derived from optical data, the Ophiuchus cluster seems overall to be a relaxed structure, or at most a minor merger, though in X-rays the central region (radius \( \sim 150 \) kpc) may show evidence for merging effects.  
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1. Introduction

Though the study of clusters is presently more often devoted to large surveys than to individual objects, it remains useful to analyse clusters individually when they appear to have

\textsuperscript{*} Based on observations obtained with MegaPrime/MegaCam (program 10AF02), a joint project of CFHT and CEA/DAPNIA, at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT), which is operated by the National Research Council (NRC) of Canada, the Institut National des Sciences de l’Univers of the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique of France, and the University of Hawaii. Based on observations performed with ESO Telescopes at the La Silla Paranal Observatory under programme ID 085.A-0016(C). Based on observations obtained at the Southern Astrophysical Research (SOAR) telescope (programme 2009B-0340 on SOI/SOAR), which is a joint project of the Ministério da Ciência, Tecnologia, e Inovação (MCTI) da República Federativa do Brasil, the US National Optical Astronomy Observatory (NOAO), the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (UNC), and Michigan State University (MSU). This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED), which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and of the SIMBAD database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France.

\textsuperscript{+} Tables A.1–A.3 are only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/qcat?J/A+A/583/A124

\textsuperscript{**} At a redshift of 0.0296 (as inferred from our dynamical analysis, see Sect. 4.2), the Ophiuchus cluster was discovered by Coldwell et al. (2014), based on infrared data.  

Successful attempts have been made by several teams to detect extragalactic objects behind the Milky Way. First, Kraan-Korteweg (1989) searched for individual galaxies in the zone of avoidance at optical wavelengths, and subsequently published a series of papers with more detections, including clusters such as the Norma cluster (Kraan-Korteweg et al. 1996; Skelton et al. 2009). Nagayama et al. (2006) performed a near-infrared study of CIZA J1324.7-5736, the second richest cluster of galaxies in the Great Attractor. Ebeling et al. (2002) performed a systematic search for clusters (and even superclusters) of galaxies in X-rays; in this paper, these authors provide a first catalogue of 73 clusters at redshifts \( z < 0.26 \), and discuss the identification of the Great Attractor. Other X-ray detections were obtained by Lopes de Oliveira et al. (2006), who discovered Cl 2334+48 at \( z = 0.271 \) in the Zone of Avoidance in the XMM-Newton archive, and by Mori et al. (2013), who detected the rich cluster Suzaku J1759-3450 at \( z = 0.13 \) behind the Milky Way bulge. The latter cluster was then confirmed by Coldwell et al. (2014), based on infrared data.
Fig. 1. 2MASS near-infrared image of the Milky Way taken from the Aladin database, showing the positions of the Galactic centre and of the Ophiuchus and Norma clusters.

Ophiuchus is mostly known for its X-ray properties since it is the cluster with the second highest X-ray flux after Perseus (Edge et al. 1990). Matsuzawa et al. (1996) obtained the first results with ASCA, by finding for the temperature and metallicity of the X-ray gas the respective values of $kT = 9.8 \text{ keV}$ and $Z = 0.24 Z_\odot$. Based on a mosaic of ASCA data, Watanabe et al. (2001) later derived that Ophiuchus was formed by the merging of two clusters with different iron abundances. They also detected the presence of a small group of galaxies with a colder temperature superimposed on the main cluster. Ophiuchus is part of the sample of clusters detected by Ebeling et al. (2002) in their systematic X-ray search for clusters behind the Milky Way. Ascasibar & Markevitch (2006) found that the X-ray emission map of Ophiuchus showed the presence of several sharp edges (see their Fig. 1), and argued that these could arise from gas sloshing, set up by a minor merger (as explained in detail by Markevitch & Vikhlinin 2007). The X-ray properties of the central part of Ophiuchus were also analysed in detail by Million et al. (2010), based on Chandra data. These authors showed the existence of a small displacement between the X-ray peak and the cD galaxy ($\sim 2 \text{ kpc}$), and of several strong features, such as sharp fronts and a very steep temperature gradient between the cool core ($kT = 0.7 \text{ keV}$) and a region located only 30 kpc away ($kT = 10 \text{ keV}$). All these properties suggest that the central regions of Ophiuchus (within 150 kpc of the cluster centre) show evidence for merging, whereas at radii larger than 150 kpc the cluster appears relatively isothermal with a constant metallicity. At even higher energies, Ophiuchus is also part of the sample of nearby clusters where evidence for indirect detection of dark matter has been searched for in Fermi gamma-ray data (Hektor et al. 2013).

Since Ophiuchus is very massive and very old (since it is in the nearby Universe), it can be considered a perfect example of a cluster in which the influence of the cluster on the member galaxies is as strong as can be in the Universe, and it can be taken as a reference for studies of galaxies in clusters such as red sequence or luminosity functions. Ophiuchus is also expected to be one of the clusters with very little star formation, and this motivated our attempt to detect star formation in Ophiuchus by observing it in a narrowband filter containing the Hα line at the cluster redshift. However, because of its low Galactic latitude Ophiuchus has not been observed much at optical wavelengths. An analysis was performed of the galaxy distribution at a very large scale (covering a $12^\circ \times 17^\circ$ area) by Hasegawa et al. (2000), based on 4021 galaxies with measured spectroscopic redshifts. It was then shown by Wakamatsu et al. (2005) that Ophiuchus has a large velocity dispersion of $1050 \pm 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, agreeing with its high X-ray luminosity, and that several groups or clusters are located within $8^\circ$ of the cluster, thus forming a structure comparable to a supercluster, close to the Great Attractor. They also found a large foreground void, implying that it is a continuation of the Local Void.

The difficulty of observing Ophiuchus at optical wavelengths is illustrated in Fig. 1, which indicates that it is even closer to the Galactic centre than the Norma cluster.

For a redshift of 0.0296, Ned Wright’s cosmology calculator\(^1\) gives a luminosity distance of 120.8 Mpc and a spatial

\(^1\) http://www.astro.ucla.edu/~wright/CosmoCalc.html
scale of 0.585 kpc/arcsec, leading to a distance modulus of 35.54 (assuming a flat $\Lambda$CDM cosmology with $H_0 = 71$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.27$ and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.73$). The cluster centre is taken to be the position of the cD galaxy: RA = 258.1155°, Dec = −23.3698° (J2000.0). This is practically coincident with the peak of the X-ray emission, which is <3 kpc (0.07 arcmin) away (Million et al. 2010).

The paper is organized as follows. We describe our optical data in Sect. 2. Results concerning the red sequence detected in the imaging, star formation, internal structure, and dynamical properties are presented in Sect. 3. The cluster merging state is discussed in Sect. 4.

## 2. Optical imaging and spectroscopy

### 2.1. The data

We obtained optical images in various bands with several telescopes, as summarized in Table 1. At the Ophiuchus cluster redshift, the Hα line falls in the wavelength range covered by the filter adapted to observe the Galactic [SII]6717, 6731 emission, so we observed Ophiuchus in this filter with the SOAR telescope and SOI instrument during an observing run dedicated to galaxy clusters in 2009. We also took brief exposures in the Galactic Hα and R band filters to provide good continuum subtraction in deriving the Hα emission and the star formation rate in the galaxies belonging to the cluster.

### 2.2. Galaxy selection

As a pilot survey of galaxies, we chose an area of $10.1 \times 9.3$ arcmin$^2$ in RA and Dec centred on the cD galaxy. This area covers the whole VLT and SOAR fields.

Initially, we tried an automated galaxy survey, but failed because too many blended stars were selected erroneously. Instead, we conducted our galaxy survey by eye inspection on the combined $g'$ and $r'$ band images by changing the image brightness and contrast on the ds9 and Gaia viewers. The detections of galaxies of small angular sizes are severely disturbed by many foreground stars. To overcome this difficulty, we performed a by eye inspection of the original images and of the star-subtracted images (see Sect. 2.3).

On these star-subtracted images, extended objects of small angular sizes show donut-shaped structures around their removed cores, while stars are clearly removed. This is our discrimination between stars and galaxies. For objects that are difficult to classify, we referred to stellarity indexes deduced from SExtractor and to results of image profiles measured with “imexam” in IRAF. We selected 225 objects, and later closely re-examined 63 of these objects. Out of these 63 objects, 2/3 are found to be residuals around bright stars (see in Sect. 2.3) or objects with stellarity index >0.15, and the remaining 1/3 are too faint to obtain reliable stellarity indexes. In Table A.1, we list 162 objects likely to be galaxies with their coordinates and magnitudes. Although the completeness of our survey is difficult to estimate in this complex area, we roughly estimate it to be higher than 70% for galaxies with angular sizes larger than 2.5 to 3 arcsec. Indeed, we independently performed a galaxy selection based on the VLT image, which has the best seeing, and found only two additional galaxies. We missed some small galaxies lying close to bright stars because they are buried in diffraction spiers and reflexion residuals of bright stars. Besides, some dwarf galaxies of low surface brightnesses with diameters larger than 3 arcsec are also missed because they are buried in irregularities of the sky background.

### 2.3. The method to subtract stars from the images

On our $r'$ band Megacam image, the number density of foreground stars that disturb the galaxy surface photometry in Ophiuchus is about 350 stars arcmin$^{-2}$, and therefore 100–200 stars are superposed on large bright galaxies. Hence, star subtraction is essential to achieve good quality galaxy photometry in Ophiuchus.

The present star subtraction procedure is a modified version of the method originally developed by Nagayama et al. (2006). It consists of 1) extraction of the point spread function (PSF); 2) star subtraction; and 3) cleaning of residuals such as those due to saturated stars or to diffraction on the spider. All these procedures were done using the DAOPHOT and STSDAS packages in IRAF.

We first describe the extraction of the PSF. Since almost all the stars that should be removed are blended with one another as a result of the high star density in the Galactic disk, a precise determination of the PSF is crucial. This requires isolated non-blended stars. However, almost all PSF candidate stars within an appropriate magnitude range are blended. Therefore, we obtained the final PSF after iterating three times the deblending process for these stars. We detected a spatial variation of the PSF even within a few arcminutes caused by the optics of the CFHT wide-field Megacam camera and the different performances of the mosaic CCDs of the camera. Therefore, star subtraction was processed by considering locally extracted PSFs.

Simple star subtraction from an original image is not appropriate, because the profiles of stars superimposed on a galaxy are disturbed by the light of the target galaxy. So, before star subtraction, the galaxy light should be removed from the original image by subtracting a brightness model of the galaxy. This model is extracted from a crude surface photometry of the galaxy with the "ellipse" and "bmodel" tasks in the IRAF STSDAS package.

## Table 1. Optical imaging observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telescope</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>Exp. time (s)</th>
<th>Field (arcmin$^2$)</th>
<th>Pixel size (arcsec)</th>
<th>Seeing (arcsec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CFHT</td>
<td>Megacam</td>
<td>$g'$</td>
<td>4830</td>
<td>60 × 60</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$r'$</td>
<td>2450</td>
<td>60 × 60</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLT</td>
<td>FORS2</td>
<td>$\zeta'$</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>9.9 × 9.1</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOAR</td>
<td>SOI</td>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>5 × 5</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>360</td>
<td>5 × 5</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2460</td>
<td>5 × 5</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The field and pixel size are the values of the reduced dithered and binned images.
Fig. 2. Images illustrating our method for star subtraction. The field of view of each image is about $1.1 \times 1.3$ arcmin$^2$ in the east-west and north-south directions, respectively. The small red circles indicate the position of the nucleus and the green ellipses represent the outer boundary of the galaxy. Top left a): original $r'$ band image of 2MASX J17121895-2322192 = OPH 171218.98-232219.1, one of the brightest galaxies. Bottom left b): stellar component after subtracting the galaxy model from image a). This image is almost free of galaxy light, and so it is appropriate for the evaluation of stellar parameters and measuring the PSFs. Top middle c): extracted galaxy image after automated star subtraction with PSFs. Since irregular residuals of saturated stars are not well removed, they disturb the galaxy profile and its surrounding sky area. Bottom middle d): image showing the automatically subtracted stars. This is the difference between images a) and c) and shows that more than 100 stars superposed on the galaxy are removed. Top right e): final image of the galaxy after manual cleaning of residuals around saturated stars in image c). Bottom right f): residuals left after manual cleaning. This is the difference between images e) and c).

After subtracting this model galaxy from the original image, an image without the galaxy component is created (Fig. 2b). Based on this image, the stellar parameters for star subtraction with the series of PSFs are calculated with the “daofind”, “phot”, etc. tasks. By subtracting these stars from the original subimage with the “allstar” task, we then obtain a galaxy image without the superposition of foreground stars. Starting with this crudely removed galaxy image, we repeat this loop two or three times (Fig. 2c).

The automated star subtraction described above is almost perfect for non-saturated stars superposed on galaxies even if they are blended in a complicated way (Fig. 2c). However, this process works very poorly for saturated stars, which are always accompanied by large residuals, e.g. scattered light, spider diffraction patterns, etc. These have irregular shapes, therefore, we are obliged to remove them manually one by one with the “imedit” task in IRAF. If the outer annular zone encircling these residuals is clean and not disturbed by other residuals, the aperture around the residuals is replaced by an aperture interpolated from this boundary annulus with replacement option “b” in “imedit”. If replacement by interpolation is not appropriate because of neighbouring residuals or diffraction patterns, the residual aperture is substituted with the replacement option “m”, by a nearby aperture region of similar surface brightness to that of the galaxies (Fig. 2e). This cleaning process is the most delicate and painstaking job, since it must not modify the luminosity profiles and total magnitudes of the galaxies.

The error sources on the star subtraction processes for galaxy photometry are: 1) the subtraction of non-saturated stars with PSFs; 2) the replacement of residuals by interpolation and/or substitution as described above. Besides, bright stars located around the peripheries of galaxies cause uncertainty in the integration boundary for galaxy photometry. For elliptical and S0 galaxies that have smooth and symmetric structures, interpolation and substitution may not be a serious problem, but the situation is more difficult for spiral galaxies with knotty arms and for interacting galaxies with asymmetric tails and bridges. Fortunately, the Ophiuchus cluster is of cD type and therefore rich in E and S0 galaxies, and poor in spiral and interacting galaxies. To estimate errors in our photometric measurements, we considered two sets of images with different star subtractions, and obtained photometric measurements twice for each band. By comparing these two results, we estimate the errors in the three measured bands as follows:

- 0.07, 0.14, and 0.25 mag for objects with $r' < 19$, $19 < r' < 21$, and $r' > 21$, respectively;
measurements were achieved for 162 galaxies in the
range between 100 and 300 km s$^{-1}$, using the
Gerbal software to search for substructures (see Sect. 3.3.3).

- 0.08, 0.15, and 0.25 mag for objects with $g' < 20$,
- 0.05, 0.10, and 0.25 mag for objects with $z' < 20$,
20 $< g' < 22$, and $g' > 22$ respectively; and
20 $< z' < 21$, and $z' > 21$ respectively.

These processes are illustrated in Fig. 2.

2.4. The photometric catalogue

After subtracting the star contribution (as described above), we
extracted small subimages containing each galaxy and its imme-
diate surroundings and measured magnitudes and morphological
parameters with SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). This was
done in the $g'$, $r'$, and $z'$ bands for all the galaxies located in
the field covered by the VLT/FORS2 image. Good quality mea-
surements were achieved for 162 galaxies in the $g'$, $r'$, and $z'$
bands. In the region covered by Megacam but not by FORS2,
only $r'$ band magnitudes were measured for the galaxies with
spectroscopic redshifts to generate the largest possible sample
of galaxies with both spectroscopic redshifts and magnitudes on
which to apply the Serna & Gerbal analysis (see Sect. 3.3.3).
The photometric catalogues are given in Tables A.1 and A.2.

2.5. The spectroscopic catalogue

Redshifts of bright galaxies were obtained covering a very large
area on the sky with the AAO 6dF, CTIO 1.5 m and Lick 3 m
telescopes (Wakamatsu et al. 2005), and these redshifts are listed
in Table A.3. We also obtained spectroscopic data with the ESO
VLT/UT1 telescope using FORS2 in two adjacent fields cover-
ing the very central region of the cluster, for a total exposure time
of 1500 s. Spectra were obtained for 55 objects, out of which 54
gave reliable redshifts. Out of these, 17 proved to be galaxy spec-
tra (about 14 belonging to the Ophiuchus cluster) and 37 were
stars.

We retrieved redshifts in the NED data base in a region
shown in Fig. 3. We also retrieved a spectrum of the cD galaxy
taken by John Huchra at CTIO and measured its velocity at
$cz = 8844 \pm 60$ km s$^{-1}$. This is within the error of the systemic
velocity of the cluster 8878 $\pm 76$ km s$^{-1}$ (as inferred from the
dynamical analysis, see Sect. 4.2).

We built a spectroscopic redshift catalogue by combining the
Wakamatsu et al. (2005) measurements and our FORS2 mea-
surements, and adding redshifts available in NED for galaxies
that were not in the two previous catalogues. The resulting cat-
ologue was limited to the redshift range 0.02 $\leq z \leq 0.04$, some-
what broader than the cluster range, in order not to lose galaxies.
For the galaxies with several redshift measurements, we took
the FORS2 value, the Wakamatsu et al. (2005) value, and the
NED value in decreasing order of priority. Our final redshift cat-
ologue is given in Table A3, with measured redshifts in the 0
Fig. 3. Spatial distribution of the galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts,
colour-coded as follows: magenta crosses: our FORS2 measurements;
green crosses: Hasegawa measurements; and black crosses: values
found in NED. The two magenta circles have radii 0.5 deg (1 Mpc)
and 1 deg (2 Mpc) and the blue square shows the size of the Megacam $g'$
and $r'$ band images.

3. Results

3.1. The red sequence

For the galaxies with measured $g'$, $r'$ and $z'$ magnitudes, we drew
the three possible colour–magnitude diagrams, which are shown
in Fig. 5. We can see from the positions of the galaxies with
spectroscopic redshifts in these diagrams that the red sequence
is very well defined in all three plots. This confirms the validity
of our photometric treatment and star subtraction.
Fukugita et al. (1995) transformations, we find for Ophiuchus, 

\[ (g' - r') \approx (-0.3955 \pm 0.0114)r' + (1.72 \pm 0.21). \]

This relation can be compared to that estimated for a cluster of comparable richness and redshift, such as Coma. Eisenhardt et al. (2007) give the following relation for Coma (see their Table 13)

\[ (B - R) = (-0.055 \pm 0.006)R + (2.259 \pm 0.074). \]

If we translate our relation to B and R magnitudes using the Fukugita et al. (1995) transformations, we find for Ophiuchus,

\[ (B - R) = (-0.03955 \pm 0.0114)R + (2.54 \pm 0.21). \]

Though the slope for Ophiuchus is flatter than for Coma, the slopes are consistent within error bars, and the normalization parameters are of the same order of magnitude.

We also find from the colour–magnitude relations that there are very few bright blue galaxies in Ophiuchus: in the \((g' - r')\) versus \(r'\) diagram, for example, there is not a single galaxy below the red sequence for \(r' \leq 19.5\). This result is comparable to that found, for example, in the Coma cluster, where few galaxies bluer than the red sequence are detected (see Fig. 17 in Adami et al. 2007). This agrees with our idea that Ophiuchus is an old cluster, and this is also confirmed by the very small number of galaxies showing ongoing star formation (see next subsection).

### 3.2. Star formation in Ophiuchus galaxies

As explained in the introduction, we expect the majority of galaxies of Ophiuchus to be old, and therefore lacking star formation. To test this hypothesis, we observed the cluster in a narrowband filter containing H\(\alpha\) and [NII] at the cluster redshift, as explained in Sect. 2. We consider, in the following, H\(\alpha\) and [NII] as a single line noted H\(\alpha\).

We first renormalize the H\(\alpha\), [SII], and R band SOAR fluxes to take the different passbands of these filters and different exposure times into account.

For a given object in Ophiuchus, we denote as I the flux measured in the H\(\alpha\) filter. This flux includes the Milky Way H\(\alpha\) flux (MWH\(\alpha\)) plus the continuum of the observed object at the filter wavelength (C1). We denote as II the flux received by the [SII] filter. This includes the Milky Way [SII] flux (MWSII), plus the H\(\alpha\) emission of the observed object (OH\(\alpha\)), plus the continuum of the observed object at the filter wavelength (C2). These fluxes are calculated as follows:

\[ I = C1 + MWH\alpha; \]
\[ II = C2 + OH\alpha + MWSII. \]

Our goal is to measure the H\(\alpha\) emission of the observed object: OH\(\alpha\).

From Fig. 8 of Haffner et al. (1999), we estimate the ratio between the Milky Way H\(\alpha\) and [SII] emissions to be \(4.7 \pm 0.3\) at the Ophiuchus position

\[ \text{MWH}\alpha/\text{MWSII} = 4.7 \pm 0.3. \]

Moreover, we can safely assume that C1 = C2, since the wavelengths of the H\(\alpha\) and [SII] filters are very similar, i.e.

\[ C1 = C2 = C. \]

Combining Eqs. (1) to (4), yields

\[ \text{OH}\alpha = II - (I/(4.7)) - C \times (1 - (I/(4.7))). \]

We must now estimate C (our H\(\alpha\) and [SII] images and spectra are not flux calibrated). We assume this value to be the same for all the Ophiuchus galaxies, a reasonable hypothesis since we are considering a very homogeneous population of cluster galaxies. We then select the galaxies for which no H\(\alpha\) line is visible in our spectra. In this case, Eq. (5) becomes

\[ C = (II - (I/(4.7)))/(1 - (I/(4.7))). \]

We then estimate C for the previously selected Ophiuchus galaxies with no H\(\alpha\) emission, and apply this value to all the considered objects. We therefore have direct access to the uncalibrated OH\(\alpha\) flux with Eq. (5) for all the observed objects.

To have a calibrated flux, we compare our SOAR R-band and CFHTLS \(r'\) fluxes and apply the corresponding zero point to OH\(\alpha\) fluxes. We then generate Fig. 6. Considering the galaxies for which we have a spectrum (we did not detect any H\(\alpha\) lines in our spectroscopic sample), we estimate the maximum value below which the H\(\alpha\) emission is not detectable to be about \(10^{-16}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\).

Only two galaxies in Fig. 6 show a significant H\(\alpha\) emission. Their coordinates are \((258.149^\circ, -23.3223^\circ)\) and \((258.115^\circ, -23.3669^\circ)\) and their respective H\(\alpha\) fluxes are \(1.45 \times 10^{-15}\) and \(0.69 \times 10^{-15}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\).

After converting H\(\alpha\) fluxes into star formation rates (hereafter SFR) with the Kennicutt (1998) relation, our detection limit
translates to $1.5 \times 10^{-3} \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$, a fairly low value. Even the two galaxies in which we do detect H$\alpha$ have fairly low SFRs of the order of $0.02 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$ and $0.01 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$ respectively.

We therefore conclude that the Ophiuchus galaxies have very low SFRs, as expected.

3.3. The cluster internal structure and dynamics

3.3.1. Selection of spectroscopic cluster members

We examined the positions of the galaxies in the blue peak (i.e. those with $0.02 \leq z < 0.032$) and those in the red peak ($0.032 \leq z \leq 0.04$) of Fig. 4, but were not able to separate them spatially. Therefore, if the blue and red distributions correspond to two clusters in the process of merging, the merger must take place along a direction close to perpendicular to the plane of the sky.

To identify the cluster members among the 152 galaxies with measured redshift, we use the “shifting gapper” method of Fadda et al. (1996). This method searches for gaps of 1000 km s$^{-1}$ in the velocity distributions, within overlapping radial intervals of 0.4 $h^{-1}$ Mpc (corresponding to 0.56 Mpc for our adopted cosmology). These gaps are used to separate interlopers from cluster members. Five galaxies are identified as interlopers with this procedure.

We then refine our membership identification using another procedure, which is similar to that developed by Biviano et al. (1996) for the Coma cluster. We determine two adaptive kernel maps of the galaxy number densities, one unweighted, and another weighted by the galaxy rest-frame velocities. The ratio of the two maps defines an adaptive kernel map of local velocities. We then run 1000 bootstrap resamplings to establish the significance of the adaptive kernel map of galaxy velocities, at each galaxy position, $\sigma_{\text{boot}}$ (see Appendix A of Biviano et al. 1996, for more details). When the adaptive kernel value of the velocity at the $i$th galaxy position deviates from zero at more than $3\sigma_{\text{boot}}$, we reject galaxy $i$ from the list of cluster members. We reject five galaxies using this procedure, and, hence, we are left with a total of 142 cluster members. The projected-phase space distribution of all galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts in the cluster region is shown in Fig. 7. It shows a decrease of velocity dispersion with increasing distance from the cluster centre, as seen in many nearby clusters (e.g. Biviano et al. 1997).

3.3.2. Dynamical analysis

The histogram of rest-frame velocities for the cluster members is shown in Fig. 8. The mean redshift and velocity dispersion of the cluster members are $\bar{z} = 0.0296 \pm 0.0003$ and $\sigma_v = 954^{+58}_{-55} \, km \, s^{-1}$, respectively (derived using the robust biweight estimator; see Beers et al. 1990). Our new estimate of $\sigma_v$ is significantly lower than the value obtained by Wakamatsu et al. (1050 $\pm$ 50 km s$^{-1}$). Using our new values of $\bar{z}$ and $\sigma_v$, we draw the corresponding Gaussian distribution in Fig. 8. A Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (e.g. Press et al. 1992) yields a probability of 0.82 that the observed velocity distribution is a random draw of the Gaussian distribution. In other terms, the observed distribution is statistically indistinguishable from a Gaussian.
This is generally taken as evidence for a relaxed dynamical configuration (e.g. Girardi et al. 1993; Ribeiro et al. 2011). Additional support for a dynamically relaxed status of the cluster also comes from the position of the cD in projected phase space (Beers et al. 1991). The position of the cD is in fact spatially coincident with the peak of the X-ray emission, and its velocity is consistent within the errors with the mean cluster velocity, \( \Delta v = 47 \pm 97 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \).

It is possible to obtain a first, preliminary estimate of the cluster mass from the \( \sigma \) estimate, via the scaling relation of Munari et al. (2013); Eq. (1.1): \( M_{200} = 9.3 \times 10^{14} \, M_\odot \), corresponding to \( r_{200} = 2.0 \, \text{Mpc} \), where the values in brackets correspond to the 1\( \sigma \) confidence intervals\(^2\). We proceed by estimating the cluster mass profile using the MAMPOSSSt technique of Mamou et al. (2013). This technique performs a maximum likelihood fit of selected mass and velocity-anisotropy models to the projected phase-space distribution of cluster members.

We consider three models for the mass distribution: 1) Burkert (1995; “Bur”), 2) Hernquist (1990; “Her”); and 3) the popular Navarro et al. (1996; “NFW”). The three models are characterized by different logarithmic slopes of their mass density profiles, 0, −1, and −3 near the centre, and −3, −4, −5 at large radii, for Bur, Her, and NFW, respectively. Each of these models is characterized by two free parameters: the virial radius \( r_{200} \) and the scale radius. The NFW scale radius corresponds to the radius where the logarithmic slope of the mass density profile equals −2, and we therefore denote it by \( r_{-2} \). The Bur scale radius approximately corresponds to \( 2/3 \, r_{-2} \), while the Her scale radius corresponds exactly to \( 2 \, r_{-2} \). For the sake of homogeneity, we rescale the scale radii of the Bur and Her model to always quote the results for \( r_{-2} \).

We consider two models for the velocity anisotropy profile,

\[
\beta(r) = 1 - \frac{\sigma^2(r) + \sigma^2_\phi(r)}{2 \sigma^2_\theta(r)} = 1 - \frac{\sigma^2_\phi(r)}{\sigma^2_\theta(r)},
\]

where \( \sigma_\theta, \sigma_\phi \) are the two tangential components, and \( \sigma_r \) the radial component, of the velocity dispersion, and the last equivalence is obtained in the case of spherical symmetry. Negative, null, and positive values of \( \beta \) correspond to galaxy orbits, which are tangential, isotropic, and radial, respectively. One of the two models (“C”) assumes constant \( \beta \) at all radii. The other (“T” from Tret et al. 2007) is of the form: \( \beta(r) = \beta_0 + r/(r + r_2) \). The two models are both characterized by only one free parameter, the constant value of \( \beta \) for the C model, and \( \beta_0 \) for the T model, since \( r_2 \) in this model is the same scale radius parameter of the mass models.

In MAMPOSSSt and, in general, in all dynamical analyses based on the Jeans equation (Binney & Tremaine 1987), knowledge of the radial dependence of the completeness of the spectroscopic sample is required. An unknown, or uncorrected, radial dependence of the incompleteness would bias the determination of the number density profile of the tracer of the gravitational potential, which enters the Jeans equation. On the other hand, the velocity distribution of the tracers is in general unaffected by incompleteness problems since observations do not generally select cluster members in velocity space. In the case of Ophiuchus, an estimate of the radial completeness of the spectroscopic sample is not available because of the stellar crowded field. As a consequence, we must make the assumption that the number density profile of the tracers and the mass density profile of the cluster have the same shape, and are therefore characterized by the same scale parameter, \( r_{-2} \). This case was already considered by Mamou et al. (2013), and denoted TLM (for Tied Light and Mass). They showed that MAMPOSSSt can also work very well within this restrictive assumption.

We find that Her+T provides the best fit among the six combinations of the three mass and the two anisotropy models. However, all models are statistically acceptable. The best-fit values for the three free parameters \( r_{200}, r_{-2}, \beta_0 \) are listed in Table 2, with their uncertainties obtained by marginalizing each parameter with respect to the other two. For completeness, we also translate the constraints on \( r_{200} \) into constraints on the cluster mass, \( M_{200} \). The values of \( \beta_0 \) indicate that the galaxy orbits are mostly radially elongated in this cluster.

In Fig. 9 we show the constraints obtained by MAMPOSSSt in the \( r_{-2} \) vs. \( r_{200} \) plane. The uncertainties on the \( r_{200} \) value of the best-fit models, Her+T, are larger than the differences among the values obtained with the different models. On the other hand, some of the \( r_{-2} \) values are more than 2\( \sigma \) below the value obtained with the Her+T model. The average \( [r_{200}, r_{-2}] \) value (the + symbol in the figure) among the different models is in fact below the value of the best-fit model. However, it is remarkable that the latter is in excellent agreement with the theoretically predicted value obtained using the concentration-mass relation of De Boni et al. (2013; the star symbol in the figure), and the average value of \( r_{200} \) because the theoretical estimate comes from a concentration-mass relation. Given \( r_{200} \), one has the mass, and from the mass, the concentration. Given the concentration and \( r_{200} \), one has \( r_{-2} \).

We also show, in Fig. 9, the \( r_{200} \) values obtained from \( \sigma_v \), using the scaling relation of Munari et al. (2013). The relatively narrow confidence region (grey shaded area in the figure) only reflects the uncertainty in the theoretical value of the scaling relation. In reality, this is an underestimate because several systematic uncertainties are not taken into account (see Munari et al. 2013, for more details). Considering that the formal uncertainties in the \( \sigma_v \)-determined \( r_{200} \) values are smaller than the real uncertainties, these values can be considered in fair agreement with the MAMPOSSSt results.

The MAMPOSSSt results are also in agreement with the \( r_{200} \) values obtained by applying the Caustic technique ofDiaferio & Geller (1997; see also Diaferio 1999) to our spectroscopic data set (red line and orange shaded region in Fig. 9). This technique does not require the assumption of dynamical equilibrium, but suffers from an uncertain calibration, which is generally determined using numerical simulations. We adopt here the caustic mass calibration factor of Gifford et al. (2013), \( F_{\beta} = 0.65 \).

Figure 10 shows the two mass profiles obtained by MAMPOSSSt and the Caustic technique. They are in agreement within their uncertainties, except in the central region, where the Caustic mass profile exceeds the MAMPOSSSt-derived mass

Table 2. Results of the MAMPOSSSt analysis.

| \( r_{200} \) [Mpc] | 2.1 [1.8, 2.2] |
| \( r_{-2} \) [Mpc] | 0.7 [0.5, 1.4] |
| \( \beta_0 \) | 0.8 [0.4, 1.0] |
| \( M_{200} [10^{14} \, M_\odot] \) | 11.1 [6.8, 13.7] |

Notes. Results are shown for the best-fit models Her+T. Values in brackets are 1\( \sigma \) lower and upper confidence levels.
profile. This is due to a well-known bias of the Caustic technique, which tends to overestimate the mass profile in the central regions (Serra et al. 2011). Overall we conclude that there is a very good agreement in the mass and mass profile estimates obtained with different techniques based on the projected phase-space galaxy distribution in the cluster.

It is also possible to determine $r_{200}$ (and therefore also $M_{200}$) from the cluster X-ray temperature. To accomplish this, we use the scaling relation of Vikhlinin et al. (2009) with fixed exponent $\alpha = 1.5$ and the most recent and accurate determinations of the cluster X-ray temperatures, $T_X = 9.1$ keV (Nevalainen et al. 2009) and $T_X = 9.7$ keV (Fujita et al. 2008). The derived range of values accounts for the uncertainties in the normalization of the scaling relation of Vikhlinin et al. (2009). As can be seen from Figs. 9 and 10, the allowed range of $r_{200}$ (and $M_{200}$) values obtained from the X-ray temperatures, overlaps with the range of values we infer from the kinematic analysis. The X-ray derived values, however, tend to be somewhat higher than the values derived from the kinematic analysis. Possibly, the observed cluster $T_X$ is somewhat increased by a past collision between a cluster and a subcluster.

3.3.3. The presence of substructures

We performed a dynamical analysis with the Serna-Gerbal technique (hereafter SG, 1996 release, Serna & Gerbal 1996), a hierarchical code (based on spectroscopic redshifts and optical magnitudes) designed to detect substructures in the optical. The SG method has proved to be very powerful to show evidence for substructures in nearby clusters (see Abell 496: Durret et al. 2000; Coma: Adami et al. 2005; Abell 85: Boué et al. 2008) as well as in more distant clusters (Guennou et al. 2014). We applied it here to the catalogue of 89 galaxies with both redshifts and $r'$ band magnitudes within the $1\times1$ deg$^2$ MegaCam area.

Assuming a value of the mass to luminosity ratio (here taken to be 100), the SG method allows us to estimate the masses of the structures that it detects. Although the absolute masses are not accurate (the typical uncertainty is clearly larger than $10^{14} M_\odot$), the mass ratios of the various structures are well determined (Guennou et al. 2014). The SG method has also been extensively tested on simulations by Guennou (2012), in particular, concerning the effect of undersampling on mass determinations.

One of the parameters that can be chosen is the minimum number $n$ of galaxies in a structure. We have applied the SG method with $n = 10$ and $n = 5$ and find similar results in both cases. The total mass of the system is found to be $M_{\text{tot}} = 3.7 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$. This estimate refers to the mass within the MegaCam area, i.e. within a radius of $\approx 1$ Mpc. We divide the masses of the detected substructures by this quantity to estimate the percentages of this total mass included in each of the substructures.

We find one large structure S1 of 75 galaxies and a much smaller structure S2 of 13 galaxies. The mass ratios of these two substructures to the main cluster component are 0.67 and 0.065. Structure S1 can be divided into two substructures, S11 and S12, with 65 and 10 galaxies respectively, and S11 can itself be divided into two substructures.
We now discuss the merging status of Ophiuchus. As mentioned above, only Watanabe et al. (2001) claim that it is a major merger based on a temperature map drawn from ASCA data. On the other hand, several studies, including ours, strongly suggest that Ophiuchus is only the result of a minor merger. From our data, there are several converging indices showing that Ophiuchus is not a cluster strongly perturbed by merging events. First, the centre of the cD galaxy coincides with the X-ray peak. Second, the velocity distribution is Gaussian, another evidence for global relaxation. Third, the cluster mass derived from the Jeans equation agrees with the cluster mass computed with the caustic method, which is still further evidence for relaxation. The masses derived from X-rays are a little higher, but still consistent. Finally, the SG analysis does not imply strong subclustering, given that a large fraction of the mass is not associated with any substructure.

Ophiuchus was originally believed to be a merging cluster, based on an X-ray temperature map derived from ASCA data (Watanabe et al. 2001), and shows two very hot regions to the west and south of the centre, some 20 arcmin away. The comparison of this map with simulations suggested that a merger had occurred about 1 Gyr ago. However, based on Suzaku data, these results were contradicted by Fujita et al. (2008), who did not detect the huge temperature variations found by Watanabe et al. (2001). On the contrary, they found that Ophiuchus was a cool core cluster, with isothermal gas (with a temperature $kT = 9.7^{+0.9}_{-0.8}$ keV) beyond 50 kpc from the cluster centre. However, even if Ophiuchus is a cool core cluster, it may have experienced a minor merger, as indicated for example by the simulations of Burns et al. (2008). Fujita et al. (2008) also wrote that the iron-line ratios measured on the X-ray spectra indicated that the ICM had reached an ionization equilibrium state, implying that the cluster could not be a major merger. However, based on Chandra data, Million et al. (2010) found evidence for a collision from the comet-like morphology of the X-ray emission near the cluster centre. These authors interpreted the very strong temperature gradient, from 0.7 keV within 1 kpc to 10 keV at 30 kpc, as due to the fact that the outer part of the cool core has been stripped by ram pressure.

Other results are consistent with Ophiuchus being a minor merger. For example, Murgia et al. (2009) have detected a mini radio-halo around the cluster dominant radio galaxy, with a radio emissivity typical of haloes in merging clusters. However, according to Zandanel et al. (2014), the mini-halo in Ophiuchus has a radio luminosity much lower than giant radio halos in merging clusters, hence, this suggests that any merger can only have been a minor merger. The hard X-ray component detected with XMM-Newton by Nevalainen et al. (2009) is indicative of relativistic electrons, which are also responsible for the mini radio halo. The hypothesis of a minor merger is consistent with the fact that Hamer et al. (2012) measured an offset between optical line emission and the BCG (about 2 kpc), and suggested it could be due to the merger-induced motion of the ICM relative to the BCG. From their data, the merger must have occurred recently, about 20–100 Myr ago.

Adding this evidence together, it seems likely that a merger has indeed occurred (probably rather recently), but that it was not a major merger, and perhaps since Ophiuchus is so massive, this merger has not perturbed its dynamical state much, though it may have affected the intra-cluster medium to some extent. At a smaller scale than the entire cluster, some perturbations have been observed. For example, an object, located 1.7 kpc from the BCG, shows low-ionization optical emission lines and is interpreted by Edwards et al. (2009) as a large cloud falling towards the BCG. This system would then be comparable to that described in the optical IFU observations of NGC 4696 by Farage et al. (2010). However, they cannot perturb the cluster properties, for example, as confirmed by the lack of star-forming galaxies.

Appendix A: Catalogues

The photometric catalogue in the $g^\prime$, $r^\prime$, and $z^\prime$ bands of the galaxies located within the VLT/FORS2 field is listed in Table A.1 for 162 objects.

The photometric catalogue in the $r^\prime$ band of the galaxies with a measured spectroscopic redshift but outside the VLT/FORS2 field is listed in Table A.2 for 65 galaxies.

The full catalogue of 152 spectroscopic redshifts (covering a region larger than our images) is listed in Table A.3. These Tables are available at the CDS.
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