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I. Lyman alpha emitting galaxies at z ~ 2 in GOODS North
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ABSTRACT

Context. Lyman alpha (Lyα) emitting galaxies (LAEs) are used to probe the distant universe and are therefore important for galaxy evolution studies and for providing clues to the nature of the epoch of reionization. However, the exact circumstances under which Lyα escapes a galaxy are still not fully understood.

Aims. The Trident project is designed to simultaneously examine Lyα, Hα, and Lyman continuum emission from galaxies at redshift z ~ 2, thus linking these three aspects of ionizing radiation in galaxies. In this paper, we outline the strategy of this project and examine the properties of LAEs in the GOODS North field.

Methods. We performed a narrowband LAE survey in GOODS North using existing filters and two custom made filters at the Nordic Optical Telescope with MOSCA. We use complementary broadband archival data in the field to make a careful candidate selection and perform optical to near-IR SED fitting. We also estimate far-IR luminosities by matching our candidates to detections in Spitzer/MIPS 24 μm and Herschel/PACS catalogues.

Results. We find a total of 25 LAE candidates, probing mainly the bright end of the LAE luminosity function with $L_{\text{Ly}\alpha} \sim 1 - 15 \times 10^{42} \text{erg s}^{-1}$. They display a range of masses of $\sim 0.5 - 50\times10^9 M_\odot$, and average ages from a few tens of Myr to 1 Gyr when assuming a constant star formation history. The majority of our candidates also show signs of recent elevated star formation. Three candidates have counterparts in the GOODS-Herschel far-IR catalogue, with luminosities consistent with ultra-luminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs).

Conclusions. We find that Lyα luminosities, LAEs do not necessarily have to be young dwarfs, and that a lack of dust is not required for Lyα to escape.
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1. Introduction

Few emission lines have been studied as extensively in the distant universe as 1216 Å (Lyα). First suggested by Partridge & Peebles (1967) as a means of finding high-redshift galaxies, it has been a signature to look for in galaxy surveys for decades. However, it was not until deep dedicated surveys were performed that any significant quantities of these Lyα emitting (LAE) galaxies were found (Cowie & Hu 1998). Ever since, Lyα has been successfully used to find galaxies across redshifts $z \sim 2 - 7$ (e.g. Rhoads et al. 2000; Kudritzki et al. 2000; Malhotra & Rhoads 2002; Ouchi et al. 2003, 2005, 2010; Gawiser et al. 2006; Ajiki et al. 2006; Gronwall et al. 2007; Pirkkalainen et al. 2007; Finkelstein et al. 2008; Nilsson et al. 2009; Hayes et al. 2010a; Yuma et al. 2010; Guaita et al. 2011; Adams et al. 2011; Blanc et al. 2011; Shibuya et al. 2012; Vargas et al. 2014), even probing the end of the epoch of reionization (e.g. Malhotra & Rhoads 2004; Dijkstra et al. 2007; Ono et al. 2010; Jensen et al. 2013; Matthee et al. 2014).

* Based on observations made with the Nordic Optical Telescope, operated by the Nordic Optical Telescope Scientific Association at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos, La Palma, Spain, of the Instituto de Astrofisica de Canarias.

** Tables 4–6 and Appendix A are available in electronic form at http://www.aanda.org

It quickly became apparent in the earliest studies that Lyα is nowhere near as bright and ubiquitous in high-redshift galaxies as was first imagined (see e.g. Pritchet 1994 for a review of non-successful Lyα surveys). Recombination theory tells us that roughly one third of all of the ionizing flux from hot stars should be reprocessed into the Lyα emission line as the atomic hydrogen gas recombines (Osterbrock 1962), but something is keeping Lyα from escaping most galaxies.

It was natural to assume that interstellar dust would play an important role in absorbing Lyα photons, as dust absorbs rest-frame ultraviolet light very effectively. Indeed, early studies showed a tentative anti-correlation between dust content and Lyα emission (Meier & Terlevich 1981). However, it was soon shown that this could not be the only factor governing Lyα escape, as there are examples of strongly emitting Lyα galaxies rich in dust, and nearly dust-free galaxies where Lyα is completely absorbed (Kunth et al. 1994; Giavalisco et al. 1996). Kunth et al. (1998) showed the importance of the interstellar medium (ISM) kinematics, as the Lyα photons resonantly scatter on neutral H1 gas. Lyα radiation at the rest wavelength is optically thick already at column densities $N_H \sim 10^{14} \text{cm}^{-2}$, so even a tiny amount of neutral hydrogen will affect the emergent line significantly. With multiple scatterings, the path length is greatly increased, and even a small amount of dust can in principle absorb a large number of photons. However, if the neutral H1 gas...
is in rapid motion compared to the Lyα sources, for example due to supernova feedback or strong stellar winds, Lyα can be shifted out of resonance and escape more easily. With a large range of observational studies, combined with advanced simulations of Lyα radiative transfer through galaxies (e.g. Verhamme et al. 2006, 2012; Laursen et al. 2013; Duval et al. 2014), we are only now starting to build a coherent picture of how Lyα is produced and escapes from galaxies.

There are still, however, many questions that remain unanswered. Two galaxies with essentially the same properties in terms of mass, star formation rate, age, gas-mass ratio, dust content, etc., can show completely different behaviour when it comes to Lyα (e.g. Hayes et al. 2014). We are still not certain which parameters are dominant for governing Lyα escape. As the number of LAE surveys is rapidly increasing, and the volumes and numbers probed can now be measured in whole degrees on the sky and amount to thousands of galaxies, it is more important than ever that we truly understand the mechanisms governing these galaxies.

Another outstanding question in the study of high-redshift galaxies is the manner of escape of photons with an energy above 13.6 eV (<912 Å), capable of ionizing neutral hydrogen. We refer to this as Lyman continuum (Ly C) radiation in this paper. As the universe was gradually reionized somewhere at $z \gtrsim 6$ (Fan et al. 2006), the natural ingredient that is assumed responsible are the first stars and galaxies. However, in order to reionize all of space, the amount of ionization radiation escaping out of these galaxies must have been very large. However, when we try to measure this quantity in strongly star-forming galaxies ("starbursts"), we see relatively modest escape fractions (see e.g. Vanzella et al. 2012, and references therein). The Ly C escape fraction remains difficult to measure directly, as the signal from nearby sources is absorbed by Galactic H1 gas and requires space-based ultraviolet observatories with high sensitivity even at redshifts up to $z \lesssim 3$.

With these unresolved questions in mind, we started the project that we call Trident. In this first paper, we present the general outline of the project and our first results, focusing on Lyα emitting galaxies in GOODS North. The Hα to Lyα properties and the Ly C escape will be the subject of future papers. In this paper, we focus on the properties of the Lyα emission, estimating stellar properties from spectral energy distribution (SED) analysis. We also identify three individual candidates in far-IR survey data and discuss the implications on their dust content.

In Sect. 2 we outline the general idea of Trident and how it is structured. In Sect. 3 we describe the data we have collected and how it was reduced. Section 4 describes how we selected our candidates from the data. In Sect. 5 we measure far infrared properties of our candidates found in the GOODS-Herschel catalogue. In Sect. 6 we explain how we combined our data with archival survey data of GOODS North, and how this was then used for the SED fitting routine. In Sect. 7 we summarize and discuss the implications of these results.

Throughout the paper, we assume $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.3$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$.

2. The Trident survey

We chose the name Trident because we are trying to find three types of emission related to atomic hydrogen from the same galaxies in one combined survey. The idea for a combined Lyα and Hα study builds largely on the Double-Blind Deep project (Hayes et al. 2010a,b), in which these emission lines were successfully studied in galaxies in the GOODS South field, using the FORS1 and HAWK-I instruments at ESO VLT. Being a relatively deep study (reaching line fluxes at 5σ of 6.8 and 7.8 x $10^{-19}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ in Hα and Lyα, respectively), it probed the faint end of the Lyα luminosity function (LF) at $z \sim 2$ to an unprecedented accuracy. A similar approach was used by Nakajima et al. (2012) who studied Lyα, [OIII] λ 3727 and Hα galaxies at $z \sim 2.2$ in the SXDS field.

Drawing on our experience gained from Double-Blind Deep, we started our current project. One of the drawbacks of Double-Blind Deep was that it was designed to find predominantly faint emitters. However, constraining the bright end of the Lyα LF requires a larger survey volume, as bright emitters are rare, and this is one of the goals of the current project. In this paper, we present our first results from a shallow survey over a large volume, specifically targeting the brightest Lyα emitters. We are thus not expecting to find large numbers of candidates, but rather we are interested in their Hα to Lyα properties and use ancillary information in well studied fields to connect these LAE candidates to other galaxy populations.

We target well studied extragalactic fields to maximize the available multi-wavelength data, including observations with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) in the ultraviolet, in order to add the third prong to our trident. In addition to the GOODS North observations presented here, we are currently observing with NOT/MOSCA two Frontier Fields and Abell 1689 -- lensing clusters with existing deep HST UV observations -- using several new optical filters with deeper exposures than those presented here (~10 h per narrowband pointing). The total volumes probed per cluster will be similar to the central pointing of GOODS North presented here, but reaching fainter emitters, also possibly magnified by the foreground clusters. We have started gathering Hα observations of Abell 1689 in the near-infrared, and we plan to observe our two Frontier Fields with CFHT/WIRCam in the near future. Once these observations are completed, they will be the subject of future Trident project papers.

Observing fields with HST UV coverage allows us to investigate the leakage of Ly C photons from the galaxies we detect in the survey. These ionizing photons are intimately linked to the Lyα emission, as they are required for Lyα to form. The high absorption cross-section in H1 for both types of emission may cause them to escape together, probably through ionized cones or channels with low column densities and/or covering fractions, as models of porous multi-phase media suggest (e.g. Clarke & Oey 2002; Neufeld 1991; Laursen et al. 2013; Verhamme et al. 2015). Observations and models of intermediate-redshift galaxies support this picture, as LAE galaxies appear to emit more Ly C photons than what Lyman break galaxies (LBGs) do (Iwata et al. 2009; Nestor et al. 2013; Mostardi et al. 2013). Models also suggest that escape fractions of Ly C are higher in lower-mass haloes (Yajima et al. 2011; Mitra et al. 2013). All LAEs must show a Lyman break, and selection effects will make an LAE survey find relatively more low-mass galaxies on average for a given exposure time.

Adding to this the observed steep UV LFs of faint galaxies at $z \gtrsim 2$ (Alavi et al. 2014), it therefore seems possible that low-mass Lyα emitting galaxies did have large enough Ly C escape fractions to ionize the universe (see e.g. Bouwens et al. 2009, 2012; Robertson et al. 2013), and recent observations of the faint end of the Lyα and UV LFs at $z \sim 6$–8 are well in line with this picture (Dressler et al. 2015; Atek et al. 2015). However, owing to the rapidly increasing opacity of the IGM beyond $z \gtrsim 4$ (Inoue & Iwata 2008; Inoue et al. 2014), this Ly C escape can never be directly observed. Linking the Hα, Lyα and...
Ly C emission together at $z \sim 2$ is therefore one of very few ways of quantitatively studying Ly C escape in galaxies.

3. Observations and data reduction

3.1. Custom filters

The general idea of finding a galaxy in a narrowband emission line survey is to do photometry of a large piece of the sky, using a narrowband filter where the wavelength is centred on a redshifted emission line. When comparing the brightness of an object in this narrowband filter to a nearby broadband filter, anything with a strong emission feature in the narrowband filter will show a narrowband colour excess (see e.g. Guaita et al. 2010; Nakajima et al. 2012; Sobral et al. 2013, for recent descriptions of the narrowband technique and how it can be used). This therefore gives a signature that can be used to find candidates for follow-up studies.

One can of course combine narrowband filters at different wavelengths in order to see different emission lines. In our case, we want to look for galaxies not only in Ly$\alpha$ but also in H$r$, in a way that the redshift range is the same for both surveys. We therefore attempted to match near-IR narrowband filters with optical filters in terms of the redshifts of these emission lines. To this end, we had two custom filters made to exactly match existing near-IR filters, which extends our Ly$\alpha$ redshift range and thus in-creases the volume probed. We refer to the narrowband filters as e.g. NB392_6, where 392 and 6 are the central wavelength and width, in nanometres. NB392_6 and NB401_6 were designed to match H$\alpha$ and Br$\gamma$ near-IR filters. The properties of all the narrowband filters, and how they give an almost complete redshift coverage between $z = 2.2$ and 2.46, are summarized in Table 1.

Our Ly$\alpha$ observations were performed at the 2.56 m Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT) on La Palma, Spain, using the MOSCA instrument. MOSCA is a mosaic camera that is mounted at the Cassegrain focus, and it consists of four CCDs laid out to form a roughly 7.5 arcmin wide field of view. MOSCA’s CCDs are especially sensitive in the blue (with a quantum efficiency near 97% at 430 nm), and with few internal surfaces it is an ideal instrument for our purposes.

We observed GOODS North, using a central pointing of 12°36′54″, +62°14′50″ (J2000). For NB401_6, we observed a grid of positions shown in Fig. 1, covering a surface area of $\sim$400 square arcminutes. Table 2 lists the exposure times and seeing conditions for each pointing. The other narrowband filters we used comprised the same coordinates but rotated 45 deg to better match with the HST/ACS data footprint. The corresponding volume that we cover in the NB401_6 filter is roughly 83 000 comoving Mpc$^3$, with an additional $\sim$60 000 Mpc$^3$ in supplementing filters. This is comparable to e.g. the Guaita et al. (2010) MUSYC study, which covers $\sim$135 000 Mpc$^3$ of LAEs at $z \sim 2.07$, but goes considerably deeper.

The H$r$ data for GOODS North cover circa 22 arcmin$^2$ (roughly the size of the view in Fig. 1) in a narrowband filter matching the NB401_6 filter in redshift space. The details of these observations are described in a forthcoming paper.

3.2. Data reduction

The NOT/MOSCA data discussed in this paper were taken in March and May 2011 and February and May 2012. Table 2 summarizes these observations.

---

Table 1. Narrowband filter properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>$\lambda_c$ (Å)</th>
<th>$\lambda_w$ (Å)</th>
<th>$z$ range</th>
<th>ZP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB392_6</td>
<td>#134</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.20—2.25</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6</td>
<td>#133</td>
<td>4013</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.27—2.33</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB406_6</td>
<td>#121</td>
<td>4058</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.31—2.36</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB410_6</td>
<td>#131</td>
<td>4102</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.35—2.40</td>
<td>22.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB412_18</td>
<td>#115</td>
<td>4120</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>2.32—2.46</td>
<td>23.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Nordic Optical Telescope ID number, central wavelength, width and corresponding approximate redshift range of Ly$\alpha$ for the narrowband filters used in this study. The final column lists the average zeropoint for each filter, in AB magnitudes, acquired with $a \sim 6$ arcsec aperture. The uncertainty in all zeropoints is roughly 0.05 mag.
Many of the basic reduction steps were developed from Tapio Pursimo’s notes\(^1\) on reducing MOSCA data using the IRAF\(^2\) MSCRED package.

After the basic bias, flat and dark reduction steps in MSCRED, the IRAF FIXPIX task was used to apply a bad pixel mask to the data. Next, the MSCSETWCS task from MSCRED was used to correct for individual rotation and distortions in the four CCDs of MOSCA by changing the WCS projection. This step uses a plate solution which we constructed with the WCS of each frame. The MSCSETWCS task from MSCRED was used to apply a bad pixel cleaning was then applied using v0.4 of cosmics.py, a python single fits extension using the MSCIMAGE task. Cosmic ray frames before combining.

The sky level was then subtracted using Source Extractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996), and the images were combined to a final astrometric solution was obtained by running MSCCMATCH with a set of relatively bright HST b-band sources, in order to match our astrometry to that of Giavalisco et al. (2004) which is commonly used for sources in GOODS North. We then used GREGISTER to register all of our ground-based data in all filters to a common set of physical image coordinates. This is a crucial step for doing the photometry with the correct position. The science data were then stacked using the MSCSTACK task, which uses the WCS of the frames to align them before combining.

The final astrometric solution was obtained by running MSCCMATCH with a set of relatively bright HST b-band sources, in order to match our astrometry to that of Giavalisco et al. (2004) which is commonly used for sources in GOODS North. We then used GREGISTER to register all of our ground-based data in all filters to a common set of physical image coordinates. This is a crucial step for doing the photometry with SExtractor in dual mode, where one image is used for detection and the other for photometry.

For our ground-based data, we use aperture corrected photometry where the correction is calculated from isolated bright sources in the field. We use aperture diameter sizes of 22 and 60 pixels, corresponding to radii of 2.3 and 6.3 arcsec. Detections were made using DETECT_THRESH = 1.2 and DETECT_MINAREA = 32 in SExtractor, meaning that anything with 32 contiguous pixels that are all 1.2 times brighter than the background is considered a detection. This can be compared to the seeing disk which for a full width at half maximum of 1 arcsec would correspond to an area of about 17 pixels. Defining the thresholds this way allows for low surface brightness detections with unknown morphologies.

We estimate the depth of our NB401.6 imaging to reach 24.3 (24.6) AB mag at the 90% (50%) completion level, for the areas with a total exposure time of ~4.5 h. This corresponds to a limiting Lyα line flux (assuming a 20 Å EW cut in the rest-frame) of 9.8 (7.3) \texttimes 10^{-17} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}. In the NE and SW outskirts of the NB401.6 image, and in our other filters the effective exposure time is ~2–3 h, and the depth reached consequently 0.2–0.4 mag shallower.

### 3.3. Standard stars

Our custom made filters require the use of spectrophotometric standard stars for the photometric calibration. We used white dwarf stars from Oke (1990), as these stars do not show a strong Balmer break around 4000 Å. We corrected the Oke (1990) absolute fluxes according to Colina & Bohlin (1994, usually a shift of 0.4 mag shallower).

The CFHT/WIRCam/WC8305 narrowband image was reduced using the Simple-Wircam pipeline developed by Wei-Hao Wang\(^3\). NB401.6 is designed to match this filter, which was used for the matching Hα survey, in redshift space. The Hα results will be discussed in a forthcoming paper, but the postage stamps in Fig 3 show a cutout for reference purposes here.

---

2. IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
3.4. Archival and catalogue data

A large set of multi-wavelength data already exists for various regions around GOODS North. We have chosen to make use of ground-based $UBVRI_z$ broadband imaging using the KPNO 4.0 m and Subaru 8.3 m telescopes, described in Capak et al. (2004). We use the $R$-band detection catalogue, using auto photometry. We chose this photometric catalogue over the HST/ACS catalogue for several reasons. The covered area on the sky is larger, and includes the entire region that we examined with the NB401_6 filter. The resolution is very similar to our data, as they are all ground-based, which makes the photometry easier to handle in a consistent manner. The KPNO $U$-band data is also important for our narrowband selection criteria.

For our near-infrared data, we use the deep $K_s$ and Spitzer catalogue from Wang et al. (2010), who carefully matched Spitzer/IRAC data to each $K_s$ source. To fill in the $J$ and $H$ bands where available, we make use of the MOIRCS Deep Survey near-IR catalogue (Kajisawa et al. 2010), and calculate a $J - K$ and $H - K_s$ colour. The MOIRCS Survey covers a horizontal strip through a large portion of the field.

We do see a shift between the two $K_s$ catalogues of about 0.2 ± 0.1 mag. We investigated whether one of the two surveys would give a better optical-to-infrared colour by measuring the average and median colours of all sources, but the end result is somewhere in between the two with quite a large uncertainty. We have therefore chosen to use the average value of the catalogues, and have added in quadrature an uncertainty of 0.2 mag to all of our near-infrared and Spitzer data points. This reflects our uncertainty in the relative optical to near-infrared photometry.

4. Candidate selection

There are several possible approaches to finding LAE candidates in a narrowband survey. A common way is to simply measure a narrowband to broadband colour, where the broadband covers the nearby continuum. If a strong emission line falls within the narrow filter, this will produce a narrowband excess and possible candidates will therefore show a colour signature. This method is relatively stable if the broadband is centred approximately on the narrowband, or if the continuum is expected to be flat throughout the probed wavelength range.

In this simplified case, the colour signature in the narrowband filter can easily be estimated. The flux density in the narrowband filter can be described as

$$f_{\nu,NB} = f_{EL,NB} + f_{cont,NB} = \int f_{EL}(\lambda) T_{NB}(\lambda) e^{-\tau_{IGM}(\lambda)} d\lambda$$

where $f_{EL}$ and $f_{cont}$ are the flux density contributions from the emission line and continuum, $T_{NB}$ is the transmission curve of the filter, and the IGM transmissivity is described as a simple exponential with appropriate $\tau_{IGM}$ (e.g. Madau 1995). Such IGM absorption bluewards of Ly$\alpha$ can be significant, especially at higher redshifts. The expression for the broadband filter will have an identical appearance.

The continuum can be described as a power law with slope $\alpha$, so that $f_{cont} = N \lambda^{-\alpha}$, where $N$ is a normalization factor. If we assume the Ly$\alpha$ line to be a delta function, and the IGM effects to be negligible, we can simplify (1) to

$$f_{\nu,NB} = \frac{F_{EL} T_{NB}}{\int T_{NB}(\lambda) d\lambda} + \frac{N \lambda^{-\alpha+2} T_{NB}(\lambda) d\lambda}{\int T_{NB}(\lambda) d\lambda},$$

where $F_{EL}$ is the flux in the emission line and $T_{NB}$ is the integral averaged transmissivity of the filter. Describing $F_{EL}$ in terms of the equivalent width, $F_{EL} = EW \times N \lambda^{\alpha+2}$, we arrive (with (2)) at an expression for the flux in a given filter as a function of equivalent width and the continuum parameters $N$ and $\alpha$. The colour for a given narrowband to broadband is then simply given by the usual magnitude definition,

$$m_{NB} - m_{BB} = -2.5 \log_{10} \left( \frac{f_{\nu,NB}}{f_{\nu,BB}} \right).$$

With a flat spectrum in $f_{\nu}$ ($\alpha = 0$) and an observed EW cut of ~65 Å (20 Å in the rest-frame at $z \sim 2.3$), (3) and the method described above would give us an excess with a colour cut $q' \sim NB \approx 0.6$, or $U - NB \approx 0.7$.

The NB412_18 filter is three times wider than the other narrowband filters. This means it is probing a three times wider redshift space but at the same time reaches a lower significance due to decreased contrast between continuum and line emission. We can only reliably resolve sources in this filter with an equivalent width in the observed frame similar to the width of the filter (>180 Å).

**Fig. 3.** Postage stamps for an example candidate galaxy. The field of view is 10 × 10 arcsec. The large RGB picture shows an HST $bei$ colour composite made with the scale in each colour channel related to the true total intensity, with an arcsinh intensity scaling relation. This colouring scheme is similar to that described in Lupton et al. (2004). The white circle has a one arcsec radius – typically twice the size of the ground-based seeing disk. Postage stamps for all candidates are compiled in the Appendix.
For a more realistic calculation, we need to take the complications mentioned above into account. Our narrowbands have wavelengths near the edges of our broadband filters, and moreover, the continuum on either side of Ly\textsc{a} cannot be expected to be flat. Absorption of Ly\textsc{a} in HI gas in the IGM will tend to attenuate the spectrum bluewards of Ly\textsc{a}. A strong continuum slope can therefore create false candidates, or make real sources disappear, if a classic narrowband excess technique is used (see e.g. Hayes & Östlin 2006). We have therefore chosen to combine two broadbands, the $U$ and $g'$ bands, on either side of Ly\textsc{a} at $z \approx 2$.

In order to estimate our colour selection criteria, we first created model spectra using our SED fitting code GaLMC (see Sect. 6). We convolved these spectra with our measured filter transmission curves to calculate the expected brightness in each filter.

Using an EW(Ly\textsc{a}) cut of $\sim 20$ Å (rest-frame), we start by a colour cut $U - NB > 0.7$, corresponding to the limit of no IGM absorption. This colour also has a significance criterion, so that the photometric error is not larger than the colour itself. To allow for reddening up to $E(B - V) = 0.5$, we set our limits at $g' - NB > 0.1$ and $g' - NB > -1.2(U - NB) + 1.4$. Allowing for stronger reddening could introduce a group of interlopers at slightly different redshift that may show a strong $U - NB$ excess due to the Lyman break, but have no actual Ly\textsc{a} signal in the narrowband. Our limit is therefore chosen to balance these effects as well as possible. Many of our candidates have a large photometric uncertainty due to this being a shallow survey, and we therefore also chose this double-excess method to get a more reliable selection, although we will always have some interlopers in our candidate sample. The $\sim 1.2$ slope was calculated from our GaLMC models, and is set by the relative widths of the $U$ and $g'$ filters.

In order to deal with possible low-redshift interlopers with [O\textsc{ii}] $3727$ Å emission, we combine these criteria with the standard $BzK \equiv (z - K) - (B - z) > -0.2$, designed to select star forming galaxies at $z > 1.4$. Figures 4 and 5 show these criteria applied to our NB401\_6 data. Using the BzK criterion requires a detection in these broadbands, and this criterion reduces our total number of LAE candidates from 42 to 30 sources. Given that the broadband data is considerably deeper than our narrowband data, and that we are looking specifically for bright emitters, we use this also as a criterion to remove spurious sources.

We correlate our sample of candidates to the spectroscopic catalogues compiled by Barger et al. (2008) and Adams et al. (2011). These catalogues only contain sources for which the redshift could be determined with several spectral features. We find five candidates to already have spectra consistent with the expected narrowband wavelengths (as indicated in Tables 4--6), but there are also spectroscopically discovered LAEs which are too faint to be detected in our sample. A thorough analysis of the Ly\textsc{a} and H\textsc{z} brightness of galaxies which are already spectroscopically confirmed will be included in a forthcoming paper.

We match our candidates to the Chandra Deep Field North X-ray survey (Alexander et al. 2003) and deep VLA data (Morrison et al. 2010) in order to remove AGN. Anything with an X-ray luminosity $>10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$ or with a 20 cm detection $>10^{24}$ W/Hz is considered an AGN. We remove 5 candidates based on these criteria, leaving 25 LAE candidates for further analysis. Our AGN fraction of $\sim 17\%$ is broadly consistent with similar works (see Sect. 5.1).

5. Warm dust properties

We have performed a match against the photometric GOODS-Herschel catalogues of Elbaz et al. (2011) and Magnelli et al. (2013). The latter catalogue combines the deeper data from Elbaz et al. (2011) with the PEP 100 and 160 $\mu$m data of Lutz et al. (2011). 19 of our candidates are in the field of view of these surveys.

We find five candidates with $24 \mu$m Spitzer/MIPS detections within 1 arcsec of the catalogue coordinates. These are NB401\_6-10,-14,-19 and NB392\_6-1,-2. Three of these five candidates have detections in the Magnelli et al. (2013) PEP-Herschel catalogue; NB401\_6-19, NB392\_6-1 and NB392\_6-2 (see Sect. 6).
Fig. 6. Fits to the far-IR data points from the Elbaz et al. (2011) GOODS-Herschel catalogue, using two templates of star forming galaxies (M 82 and Arp 220 in solid red and dashed blue, respectively) as well as two AGN templates (QSO2 and Seyfert 2 in dot-dashed green and dotted cyan). Only the infrared >8 μm catalogue data were used in the fit, and the optical to near-infrared data are only shown here for comparison. The numbers in the bottom right of each panel show the integrated 8–1000 μm luminosities in solar units for each template.

Assuming the M82 template fit value as our value for $L_{IR}$, we compute the total IR to UV luminosity, $IRX = \log(L_{IR}/L_{1600})$, where $L_{1600}$ is the monochromatic luminosity at rest-frame 1600 Å, calculated from the photometry in the V band. Meurer et al. (1999) found a tight correlation between the rest-frame UV slope $\beta$ and IRX from a local sample of starburst galaxies, indicating that the energy absorbed by dust in the UV is being proportionately re-emitted at far infrared wavelengths. Reddy et al. (2010) found that this relation breaks for ultra-luminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs), probably due to saturation as part of the UV-bright star forming regions are being completely obscured by dust.

Fig. 7. Left panel: $\beta$ vs. IRX plot for our three candidates detected in GOODS-Herschel. The Meurer et al. (1999) data and relation have been corrected with the 1.75 factor as quoted by Reddy et al. (2010), to convert from $L_{IR}$ to the total $L_{V}$. Also shown are the more recent Takeuchi et al. (2012) and Buat et al. (2012) $\beta$-IRX relations, derived for local galaxies and $z \sim 1$–2 sources, respectively. Right panel: IRX vs. bolometric luminosity for the same candidates, compared to the sample of BzK selected galaxies from Daddi et al. (2007) and the empirical relation for BM/BX-selected galaxies found by Reddy et al. (2006).

Interestingly, NB401_6-10 has a detection at 160 μm in the Elbaz et al. (2011) catalogue, but with no detection at 100 μm and not being included in the 3σ catalogue of the combined Magnelli et al. (2013) data. It seems that the original flux may have been overestimated, and that this candidate no longer meets the significance cut in the combined catalogue. At the same time, NB392_6-1 is not listed in the Elbaz et al. (2011) catalogue, but with the deeper data it is detected at 3σ.

For the three matches in Magnelli et al. (2013), we have fitted an M82 template to the far-IR data points, i.e. only to the datapoints from 24 μm or longer wavelengths, to derive an estimate of the total IR luminosity, $L_{IR}$, integrated from the rest-frame 8–1000 μm. Figure 6 shows the fit to the data, with estimates of $\log(L_{IR}/L_{1600})$ in the bottom right corner of each graph. Also shown are two AGN templates (Polletta et al. 2007) – one quasar template and a Seyfert 2 galaxy template – as well as an Arp220 template for comparison.

Assuming the M82 template fit value as our value for $L_{IR}$, we compute the total IR to UV luminosity, $IRX = \log(L_{IR}/L_{1600})$, where $L_{1600}$ is the monochromatic luminosity at rest-frame 1600 Å, calculated from the photometry in the V band. Meurer et al. (1999) found a tight correlation between the rest-frame UV slope $\beta$ and IRX from a local sample of starburst galaxies, indicating that the energy absorbed by dust in the UV is being proportionately re-emitted at far infrared wavelengths. Reddy et al. (2010) found that this relation breaks for ultra-luminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs), probably due to saturation as part of the UV-bright star forming regions are being completely obscured by dust.

We estimated the $\beta$ slopes for our three candidates by fitting a power-law through the observed $V$, $R$ and $I$ magnitudes, corresponding roughly to the 1600–2600 Å range in the rest frame. Overplotting our three candidates in the left panel of Fig. 7, they appear to fall into the same locus as the $z \sim 2$ ULIRG sample.
from Reddy et al. (2010). Indeed, we find $L_{\text{IR}} \gtrsim 10^{12} L_\odot$ for two of our three candidates, which is the very definition of a ULIRG. In this panel we also show the Meurer et al. (1999) relation as corrected by Reddy et al. (2010) for comparison. The GALEX IRX ratios used by Meurer et al. (1999) are believed to have been overestimated (Overzier et al. 2011; Takeuchi et al. 2012). We show for comparison the new relation derived for local galaxies as presented by Takeuchi et al. (2012), and the relation derived for $z \sim 1$–2 sources from Buat et al. (2012). In the right panel of Fig. 7 we see how our candidates compare with the IRX-luminosity ratios of $z \sim 2$–3 sources from Daddi et al. (2007) and Reddy et al. (2006). All three candidates appear to lie within the normal spread, indicating that our FIR-detected LAEs do not possess unusual UV to IR properties, compared with other samples at similar redshift.

We estimate the energy budget for our candidate LAEs by calculating the amount of flux absorbed by dust in the optical-UV part of the spectrum, and express it in terms of luminosity as $L_{\text{abs,UV}}$. We create model spectra based on simple SED fitting (modelling only age and $E(B - V)$ for constant star formation rate; see model A in Sect. 6), both with the best fit value of $E(B - V)$ and with a value of 0. We then integrate both spectra for each candidate, and estimate the amount of flux absorbed by dust as the difference between the two spectra. $L_{\text{abs,UV}}$ is thus not an observed quantity but an estimate of the amount of dust absorption, expressed in terms of the absorbed luminosity. The results are shown in Fig. 8, plotted against the estimated far-IR luminosity. Our three (U)LIRGs are found among the candidates that appear to have the highest amount of $L_{\text{abs,UV}}$, as expected. All candidates show $L_{\text{abs,UV}} < L_{\text{IR}}$, which is also expected in the scenario where a dust screen model may underestimate the total dust content. Since these estimates of $L_{\text{abs,UV}}$ should correspond to a lower limit to $L_{\text{IR}}$, we thus expect at least one of them to be bright enough to be detected as a ULIRG in the far-IR (NB401_6-19, which is also our brightest candidate in the far-IR). A handful of the rest would appear to be at least in the LIRG regime ($11 < \log(L_{\text{UV,abs}}/L_\odot) < 12$), and as discussed, two of these are also detected as LIRGs or ULIRGs in the far-IR.

5.1. Comparison to previous work

Our findings are broadly consistent with several previous works. Although the LAE population is normally associated with small, dust-free systems, evidence of Ly$\alpha$ emission from large, dusty galaxies does exist in the literature, but the AGN contribution to the Ly$\alpha$ emissivity is not always well known.

Chapman et al. (2005) present a spectroscopic follow-up study of 98 sub-millimetre galaxies detected at 850 $\mu$m and deep VLA 1.4 GHz data, and obtained reliable redshift estimates for 73 of these. 25 of those sources show a “strong” Ly$\alpha$ line. The AGN fraction is not certain, as they cannot rule out faint AGN features, but they note that the Ly$\alpha$ to [C IV] $\lambda 1549$ line ratios are generally consistent with what you would expect from normal starbursts. They conclude that a patchy or inhomogenous dust distribution might allow Ly$\alpha$ to escape from these systems.

Casey et al. (2012) performed a spectroscopic study of 767 galaxies individually detected in $Herschel$/SPIRE data. 36 of those galaxies are found to be at $z > 2$, with $L_{\text{IR}} \sim 2$–6 $\times 10^{11} L_\odot$, the majority of which show Ly$\alpha$ in emission. 17 of the Ly$\alpha$ emitting galaxies do not show any [C IV] emission, and they estimate an AGN fraction of roughly 25%.

HST/COS spectroscopy in the ultraviolet of 11 local ULIRGs is presented in recent work by Martin et al. (2015). 8 of the ULIRGs show Ly$\alpha$ in emission, three of which appear to be AGN dominated galaxies. For the five remaining ULIRGs they estimate AGN to contribute no more than 15% to 35% to the bolometric luminosity.

Oteo et al. (2012a) perform a match of 56 spectroscopically detected LAEs at $z \sim 2$–3.5 in GOODS South to the GOODS/$Herschel$ catalogues and find 10 of them to be detected by MIPS at 24 $\mu$m, four of which have detections in the PACS-160 $\mu$m band within 2 arcseconds of the optical detection. All four candidates show integrated luminosities $L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{12} L_\odot$, placing them in the ULIRG regime, while the other six galaxies detected in MIPS are at least in the LIRG regime ($L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{11} L_\odot$). Their study of 23 LAEs at $z \sim 0.3$ with mid-IR/far-IR detections showed only one such IR-luminous galaxy, with the rest of the sample having $L_{\text{IR}} < 10^{11} L_\odot$ (Oteo et al. 2011, 2012b). To echo the conclusions of Oteo et al. (2012a), this indicates that the Ly$\alpha$ selection technique might trace different galaxies at different redshifts; as seen for example in GALEX LAEs which are generally not as luminous as their higher redshift counterparts (see e.g. Cowie et al. 2011).

On the other hand, our results do not appear to agree with a similar study recently performed by Kusakabe et al. (2015) on LAEs at $z \approx 2.18$ in the GOODS South field. After stacking 218 LAEs in the $Herschel$ bands they report only an upper 3$\sigma$ limit on $L_{\text{IR}}$ of $1.1 \times 10^{10} L_\odot$. Our study does not include the same removal of 24 $\mu$m detections and may therefore be more vulnerable to confusion from nearby sources. However, judging from the multi-wavelength imaging we have available, our sources are well-isolated (with the possible exception of NB401_6-19) in the Spitzer/IRAC imaging, which was used to identify detections for the $Herschel$ sample. According to Kusakabe et al. (2015), none of the 218 LAEs in the GOODS South sample were detected in MIPS 24 $\mu$m imaging at the 3$\sigma$ level. As previously stated, out of our 19 candidates overlapping with the MIPS footprint, five have a counterpart in the 24 $\mu$m band within 1 arcsec, as reported by Magnelli et al. (2013). The 218 LAEs discussed by Kusakabe et al. (2015) are however considerably fainter on average than the sample presented here (Table 3); the average Ly$\alpha$ luminosity is $6.6 \times 10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$, and the maximum is only $6.6 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$.
Table 3. Ly$\alpha$ luminosity and EW.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>$L_{\text{Ly}\alpha}(10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1})$</th>
<th>$EW_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB392_6-1</td>
<td>$4.2 \pm 3.3$</td>
<td>$116 \pm 90$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB392_6-2</td>
<td>$6.8 \pm 4.0$</td>
<td>$190 \pm 112$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-1</td>
<td>$4.2 \pm 4.2$</td>
<td>$66 \pm 65$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-2</td>
<td>$11.3 \pm 8.5$</td>
<td>$160 \pm 120$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-3</td>
<td>$2.3 \pm 2.4$</td>
<td>$112 \pm 117$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-4</td>
<td>$5.5 \pm 3.1$</td>
<td>$47 \pm 26$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-5</td>
<td>$2.8 \pm 2.1$</td>
<td>$93 \pm 71$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-6</td>
<td>$3.1 \pm 2.1$</td>
<td>$297 \pm 203$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-7</td>
<td>$2.8 \pm 3.7$</td>
<td>$24 \pm 32$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-8</td>
<td>$4.1 \pm 3.0$</td>
<td>$150 \pm 107$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-9</td>
<td>$3.5 \pm 2.4$</td>
<td>$75 \pm 52$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-10</td>
<td>$1.9 \pm 2.1$</td>
<td>$35 \pm 39$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-11</td>
<td>$5.1 \pm 4.3$</td>
<td>$30 \pm 26$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-12</td>
<td>$5.2 \pm 5.3$</td>
<td>$87 \pm 89$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-13</td>
<td>$1.5 \pm 1.5$</td>
<td>$58 \pm 55$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-14</td>
<td>$2.5 \pm 2.1$</td>
<td>$58 \pm 49$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-15</td>
<td>$2.4 \pm 2.7$</td>
<td>$66 \pm 74$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-16</td>
<td>$5.6 \pm 5.0$</td>
<td>$115 \pm 102$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-17</td>
<td>$2.3 \pm 2.3$</td>
<td>$98 \pm 99$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-18</td>
<td>$1.9 \pm 2.3$</td>
<td>$54 \pm 65$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-19</td>
<td>$3.3 \pm 2.2$</td>
<td>$60 \pm 41$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB406_6-20</td>
<td>$2.1 \pm 1.5$</td>
<td>$125 \pm 87$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB406_6-21</td>
<td>$7.6 \pm 6.5$</td>
<td>$120 \pm 103$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB412_18-1</td>
<td>$7.6 \pm 10.2$</td>
<td>$103 \pm 139$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Ly$\alpha$ luminosities and equivalent widths for our LAE candidates. These quantities were calculated using the SED fit from model A for the continuum estimation. Candidates with detections in the far-IR (Sect. 5) are marked in bold.

6. SED fitting

We combine catalogue data from Capak et al. (2004), Wang et al. (2010) and Kajisawa et al. (2010) as described in Sect. 3.4. In order to perform SED fitting on a relatively homogeneous and self-consistent data set, we chose to use archival Subaru+KPNO $UBVRI$ data rather than HST/ACS data. A collection of “postage stamps” from our imaging data is presented as an example in Fig. 3. The full set is included in the Appendix.

To perform the SED fitting, we use version 2.0 of the GaLCod (Acquaviva et al. 2011), with GALAXEV synthesis models of Charlot & Bruzual (2007; updated version of Bruzual & Charlot 2003) and a Salpeter initial mass function (IMF). We also ran some tests, comparing the original (2003) and the more recent (2007) Charlot-Bruzual model, as well as Chabrier and Kroupa IMFs, but found no strong differences for the galaxies dominated by young stellar populations that we are mainly interested in. We use a Calzetti et al. (2000) dust absorption model. For an overview on these different models and the general effects they have on SED fitting, see the discussion in Acquaviva et al. (2011). GaLCod uses the information on the reprocessing of ionizing photons in order to add nebular emission lines, similar to the approach of Schaerer & de Barros (2009). The Monte Carlo Markov chains were run using 20000 samples. The output chains were then analysed using the April 2013 version of the GetDist program from CosmoMC (Lewis & Bridle 2002).

For all of our SED fitting we have chosen to remove the $U$-band, corresponding to the spectrum bluewards of Ly$\alpha$, from the fit. The code does include a correction for IGM absorption according to Madau (1995), but this is only an average correction. Since this parameter is not known for the individual galaxy, including the $U$-band could skew the results. We have also chosen to subtract the contribution of the Ly$\alpha$ flux to the $B$-band. This is done simply by assuming the contribution from the continuum to be the same in $B$ as in the narrowband. The uncertainty in the $B$-band flux was then increased by adding in quadrature the photometric uncertainty of the narrowband flux contribution.

The metallicity is a notoriously difficult parameter to constrain in SED fitting, as it has a relatively modest effect on the overall SED shape and is also strongly degenerate with the dust reddening. We therefore chose to use a fixed metallicity of 0.2 $Z_\odot$ and only allowed the reddening to vary. We held the redshift fixed at the expected value, corresponding to Ly$\alpha$ being centred in the narrowband filter.

6.1. Selection of SED fitting parameters

Galaxies that we observe at $z \sim 2$ have already lived through over 2 Gyr of cosmic time. In that time, these galaxies have likely gone through several merging events with episodes of heavy star formation as well as times of more quiescent evolution. What we observe at this moment represents a snapshot in time somewhere along that evolutionary path. To accurately resolve the entry history of such a complex system based solely on the spectral energy distribution is a challenging task, which comes down to a matter of statistics and averages.

Based on certain assumptions, we can see which parameters would fit the observed SED the best. Rather than only assuming the standard SED fitting parameters and simply compiling our results, we wanted to see if we could say anything more definitive about the star formation histories of our LAE candidates. We therefore decided to run SED fitting using three different setups:

- Model A corresponds to the common approach of fitting for the age, reddening and mass of the galaxy, using a constant
star formation rate. For this model, the age values represent a form of luminosity-weighted age, based on the entire optical to near-IR SED. This age is in other words difficult to interpret in a physically meaningful way. A high (low) age is usually associated with a red (blue) spectrum, but this quantity is of course strongly degenerate with the dust reddening. In order to attempt to break some of these degeneracies, and explore the parameter space in more detail, we also attempted two more unconventional models.

- **Model B** assumes an age of the galaxy equal to 2.2 Gyr, corresponding roughly to the age of the first stars in the universe at \( z \approx 2.0 \). This is not a typical value found for the stellar model age of LAEs, but the idea of this model is to see if we can constrain a general trend in the star formation history throughout the formation of the galaxy. The star formation rate \( \phi \) is then allowed to change according to a simple exponential law: \( \phi = \frac{1}{|\tau|} \exp(-t/\tau) \). By allowing the \( \tau \) parameter to take any value \(|\tau| > 1 \) Myr, the star formation may either decrease or increase with time, corresponding to positive or negative \( \tau \) values, respectively. The exponential models are restricted so that \(|\text{age}/\tau| < 13.78\).

- **Model C** assumes two separate populations, each with constant star formation histories, where one is fixed to an age of 2.2 Gyr and the other is restricted to be younger than 10 Myr. This age corresponds roughly to the maximum age for which a simple stellar population can be expected to produce ionizing continuum photons giving rise to nebular lines, including Ly\( \alpha \). This model is thus similar to the idea in model B, where we want to see how much more recent star formation is needed in order to reproduce the observed spectrum. We can then estimate the relative contributions of the two populations to the total mass as a measurement of whether the old or young stars dominate the SED.

The idea of using these three models is not to try to find the one model that best represents the spectral shape of our data. In fact, all three models can usually find reasonable fits to all of the observed photometry. None of the models represent a truly realistic view of the star formation history and so are not meant to be compared in that sense. Instead, our idea is to try to see what SED modelling can tell us about the star formation rates in general, under certain assumptions.

### 6.2. SED fitting results

The results of our fits for all our LAE candidates are presented in Tables 4–6 for models A, B and C respectively. The values given as best fit parameters are those corresponding to the lowest \( \chi^2 \) found. However, the probability density space may show a complicated structure, and the following “low” and “high” columns listed after each parameter represent the 68% confidence intervals marginalized over the entire parameter space. We note that the least-squares solution may even in some cases be located outside this region. This represents a case where most reasonably good models favour certain values for that parameter (e.g. a low age), while the single model with the lowest \( \chi^2 \) happens to correspond to a different value for that parameter in that particular case (e.g. a high age). The marginalized limits therefore give a slightly broader picture of which parameter values can still yield acceptable fits.

In cases of strong degeneracies with multiple local minima, this number may be larger, indicating that the different chains favour different solutions. \( R - 1 < 0.1 \) is typically considered as acceptable convergence. Any value above 0.1 is typeset in bold in Tables 4–6 for clarity.

The general picture that emerges from the different models is not unexpected; forcing an old age generally shifts the extinction values down, making the spectrum less reddened due to dust. For the exponential star formation in model B, a negative \( \tau \) value is often favoured, corresponding to exponentially rising star formation, again filling in the blue spectrum by adding more newly formed stars.

In model C, we wanted to test how important recent star formation truly is for reproducing the spectrum. Our results indicate that many of these LAEs seem heavily dominated by a recent burst of star formation, while some are consistent with a slow, steady rate. Figure 10 shows the age or the burst parameter \( b \) against the mass for our three models. The \( b \) parameter is defined as the current star formation rate (SFR) divided by the average past SFR. For model C, there are four candidates for which the best fit mass in the old population is negligible, corresponding to a system where \( b \sim 10 \) Myr population completely dominates the spectrum. These four systems are also clearly among the lowest mass systems in model C (see Table 6). Since we fixed the age of the old population to 2.2 Gyr, the resulting burst parameters are overly high (N.B. the log scale in the right panel of Fig. 10), and would for those four cases imply an essentially infinite \( b \) parameter. These four candidates are therefore not shown in the figure. The \( b \) parameters derived for both model B and C are not to be interpreted literally, due to the heavily restricted mode of star formation in the two models. Rather, we show the \( b \) parameters here as a way to understand the ratio of young to old stars in our models, and see the results only as an indication that the majority of our LAE candidates show signs of recent elevated star formation.

For model C, the least massive systems are more inclined towards being dominated by a young population, and vice versa. When including all data points, the Pearson \( r \) coefficient in log space between the \( b \) parameter and the mass is \( -0.659 \), with a Spearman rank \( \rho = -0.576 \). There is a tendency for this also in model A (left panel of Fig. 10), where the ages appear slightly lower on average for the less massive systems, although the \( r \) and \( \rho \) coefficients are only 0.261 and 0.303 for this case and the uncertainties are large. The trend is even less clear in model B (middle panel of Fig. 10), where the birthrate parameter is estimated as (e.g. Madgwick et al. 2003):

\[
b = \frac{\text{SFR}(t) - \text{SFR}(t_{\text{past}})}{\tau} = \frac{(t - t_f) e^{-|t-t_f|/\tau}}{1-e^{-|t-t_f|/\tau}}.
\]  

In Eq. (4), \( t - t_f \) is the difference between the current time and the time when star formation first began (i.e. the age of the galaxy), and \( \tau \) is the characteristic time-scale of star formation. Although we can estimate the birthrate parameter using Eq. (4), an exponential SFR implies a smoothly increasing or decreasing rate, rather than a sudden burst. We can thus only use model B to say whether our candidates appear to have increased or decreased their star formation rate in a more general sense.

The combined picture of these three models is clear; most, if not all, of our candidates show signatures consistent with recent, strong star formation, and the relative number of young stars appears to be higher in the least massive systems. This is broadly consistent with a scenario where our LAEs are galaxies that have
recently undergone an episode of strong star formation, across a large spread of galaxy masses.

The Ly$\alpha$ luminosities and equivalent widths are presented in Table 3. These values were calculated using the continuum fit around Ly$\alpha$ from model A. Comparing our sample to similar analysis of $z \sim 2$ LAEs in the literature, we can see for our model A, plotted in Fig. 9, our sample contains relatively massive (i.e. luminous) galaxies. Compare e.g. Hagen et al. (2014), their Fig. 7, Vargas et al. (2014), their Fig. 5 or Guaita et al. (2011), their Fig. 3. Nilsson et al. (2011) also found only higher stellar masses, similar to ours, for a sample of LAEs compiled in a $35 \times 34$ square arcminute survey using a two-metre class telescope.

The average star formation rate derived from SED fitting often shows signs of being elevated in samples of LAEs, as a function of stellar mass (e.g. Vargas et al. 2014; Hagen et al. 2014). This is consistent with a picture in which small, starbursting galaxies produce large numbers of ionizing photons, which are then reprocessed as Ly$\alpha$ which escapes relatively easily from the lower optical depth of the smaller systems. Looking at the star formation rate against stellar mass in Fig. 11, our candidates are equally consistent with BzK-selected samples of strongly star forming galaxies (Daddi et al. 2007) as with Ly$\alpha$-only selected galaxies at $z \sim 2-2.5$ (Whitaker et al. 2012). This is not surprising given our BzK selection criterion, as well as our aim to target brighter galaxies in this survey.

Figure 12 shows the relationship between the mass and dust reddening as found in our SED fitting model A. The data points are marked by a coloured circle, representing the amount of flux in the Spitzer/MIPS 24 $\mu$m band (see Sect. 5), as indicated by the colourbar on the right hand side. Non-detections are white in the figure. All five candidates with 24 $\mu$m detections are located in the upper half (corresponding to the highest dust obscuration) of the 19 candidates overlapping with the MIPS footprint. This indicates a strong connection between the amount of reddening of the spectrum in the optical and ultraviolet to the emission at infrared wavelengths. The 24 $\mu$m band corresponds to roughly
Fig. 11. Stellar mass vs. star formation rate for our LAEs, derived from the SED fitting in model A. The relation found for BzK selected galaxies by (Daddi et al. 2007; solid line) is also extrapolated to lower masses (dashed line). The Whitaker et al. (2012) relations for \( z = 2.0 \) and \( z = 2.5 \) are shown in red and black dotted lines, respectively.

Fig. 12. Stellar mass vs. \( E(B-V) \), derived from SED fit, model A. The 24 \( \mu \)m MIPS flux, as reported in Elbaz et al. (2011), is indicated by colour. Open circles represent no detection at 24 \( \mu \)m.

8 \( \mu \)m in the rest-frame, which is where strong PAH emission and hot dust dominates the spectrum.

7. Conclusions

We have performed a survey of Ly\( \alpha \) emitting galaxies (LAEs) at \( z \sim 2 \) in GOODS North using narrowband filters at NOT/MOSCA. Drawing upon the large quantity of ancillary data in the field, we use the entire optical to infrared catalogues to attempt to classify these LAE candidates.

We perform a detailed SED analysis using GalMC, a Monte Carlo Markov Chain tool, using the Charlot & Bruzual 2007 stellar population models (Acquaviva et al. 2011), testing the effects of exponential star formation histories and more than one stellar population.

8. Stellar population models (Acquaviva et al. 2011), testing the e

We find 25 candidates which show a wide range of ages, masses and dust reddening. Our selection is designed to catch more massive and luminous galaxies than similar recent examples in the literature (e.g. Hagen et al. 2014; Vargas et al. 2014; Whitaker et al. 2012; Gualia et al. 2011), which is expected given the relatively shallow depth but large volume probed.

We see a tendency for the least massive systems to be dominated by younger stars, and vice versa (see Fig. 10). This is usually seen in SED modelling when assuming a constant star formation rate (as in model A), since older galaxies are forced to be more massive (see e.g. Vargas et al. 2014). However, our models B and C indicate that most, if not all, of our LAE candidates show signs of recent elevated star formation, and that the light from the least massive systems is completely dominated by younger stars.

Three out of nineteen (within the footprint) LAE candidates are found in the GOODS-Herschel catalogue, showing far-IR fluxes \( L_{\text{IR}} \gtrsim 10^{12} L_{\odot} \), placing them in the LIRG-ULIRG regime. An estimate of the energy absorbed in the optical-UV shows these three candidates to have among the highest \( L_{\text{UV},abs} \) of the sample (Fig. 8), as expected. We argue that these LAEs are expected to be bright far-IR emitters based on their \( L_{\text{UV},abs} \) alone, and several of our remaining LAEs may be just below the detection threshold in Herschel/PACS based on this energy budget. In conclusion, a lack of dust does not appear to be a requirement for Ly\( \alpha \) escape.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Age (Gyr)</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>$E(B-V)$</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Mass (10$^4$ $M_\odot$)</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>$\chi^2$ dof</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-1</td>
<td>N/B</td>
<td>0.92±0.01</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.28</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>15.9±8.4</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-2</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td>0.99±0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>&lt;0.28</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>11.6±7.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-3</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td>2.78±0.01</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.36</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>11.9±7.7</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-4</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td>0.13±0.01</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.33</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>4.7±1.7</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-5</td>
<td>N/S</td>
<td>0.56±0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.35</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9.7±4.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-6</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td>0.00±0.01</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.23</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-7</td>
<td>N/I</td>
<td>0.10±0.01</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.9±0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-8</td>
<td>N/NI</td>
<td>0.10±0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.26</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-9</td>
<td>N/I</td>
<td>0.10±0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.23</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-10</td>
<td>N/S</td>
<td>0.10±0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.9±0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-11</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td>0.10±0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.26</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-12</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td>0.10±0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.23</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-13</td>
<td>N/S</td>
<td>0.10±0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.9±0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-14</td>
<td>N/I</td>
<td>0.10±0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.26</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-15</td>
<td>N/NI</td>
<td>0.10±0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.23</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-16</td>
<td>N/S</td>
<td>0.10±0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.9±0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-17</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td>0.10±0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.26</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-18</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td>0.10±0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.23</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-19</td>
<td>N/S</td>
<td>0.10±0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.9±0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-20</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td>0.10±0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.26</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB401_6-21</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td>0.10±0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.23</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Derived SED properties for model A. A detailed description on the setup of the SED fit is given in the text. The Quality column lists spectroscopically matched CEs or N for spectra of N or spectrum dominated by broad or narrow emission lines. The R value shows the $\chi^2$ statistic. The best-fit values and their upper and lower uncertainties correspond to the least-squares fit. The $\chi^2$ column represents the $\chi^2$ of the best fit model, divided by the degrees of freedom (d.o.f.), which is the number of data points minus the number of parameters fitted.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>$E(B-V)$</th>
<th>$log(M_{*})$</th>
<th>$&lt;r_{-}^{*}$</th>
<th>High $r^{*}$</th>
<th>Low $r^{*}$</th>
<th>$\chi^{2}$</th>
<th>R.I.</th>
<th>d.p.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB302_6-1</td>
<td>N/1</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB302_6-2</td>
<td>S/1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5:** Best fit SED parameters, model B.

Same as Table 4, but for model B. The Age of each candidate is fixed at 2.2 Gyr.

**Notes.**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Age (Myr)</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>$E(B-V)$</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>$M_{bol}$</th>
<th>$M_0$</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>$I_{0}$</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>d.p.</th>
<th>R-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB 392_6-1</td>
<td>N/N/I</td>
<td>6.94$^{+3.26}_{-2.51}$</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>10.02</td>
<td>$&lt;0.33$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>5.9$^{+7.9}_{-3.8}$</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>$&lt;7.5$</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB 392_6-2</td>
<td>S/I/I</td>
<td>4.31$^{+3.15}_{-2.22}$</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>10.02</td>
<td>0.26$^{+0.11}_{-0.13}$</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>18.6$^{+13.0}_{-5.0}$</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>3.9$^{+10.0}_{-3.3}$</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6.** Best fit SED parameters, model C.

- **Notes.** Same as Table 4, but for model C. $f_{\text{young}}$ represents the fraction of the mass found in the younger population. A missing value means the fraction could not be constrained.
Appendix A: Postage stamps of all candidate LAEs

We here show the postage stamps for all of our candidates in Fig. A.

Fig. A.1. Postage stamps for all of our candidate LAEs. The field of view of all stamps is 10 × 10 arcsec. The large RGB picture shows an HST biv colour composite made with the scale in each colour channel related to the true total intensity, with an arcsinh intensity scaling relation. This colouring scheme is similar to that described in Lupton et al. (2004). The white circle has a one arcsec radius – typically twice the size of the ground-based seeing disk.
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