Can we trace very cold dust from its emission alone?∗,∗∗
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ABSTRACT

Context. Dust is a good tracer of cold dark clouds but its column density is difficult to quantify.
Aims. We want to check whether the far-infrared and submillimeter high-resolution data from Herschel PACS and SPIRE cameras combined with ground-based telescope bolometers allow us to retrieve the whole dust content of cold dark clouds.
Methods. We compare far-infrared and submillimeter emission across L183 to the 8 μm absorption map from Spitzer data and fit modified blackbody functions towards three different positions.
Results. We find that none of the Herschel/SPIRE channels follow the cold dust profile seen in absorption. Even the ground-based submillimeter telescope observations, although more closely following the absorption profile, cannot help to characterize the cold dust without external information such as the dust column density itself. The difference in dust opacity can reach up to a factor of ~3 in prestellar cores of high extinction.
Conclusions. In dark clouds, the amount of very cold dust cannot be measured from its emission alone. In particular, studies of dark clouds based only on Herschel data can miss a large fraction of the dust content. This has an impact on core and filament density profiles, mass and stability estimates.

Key words. ISM: clouds – infrared: ISM – submillimeter: ISM – dust, extinction – ISM: individual objects: L183

1. Introduction

Dark clouds are the places where stars form. We try to follow the different steps that lead from a low-density cloud to main-sequence star. Some steps have been clearly identified like the Class 0 to Class III protostar evolution (Lada 1987; Andre et al. 1993) but, the early phases, such as the formation of a prestellar core (PSC), the collapse of this core, are still not well understood. Studies of clouds and of PSCs first attempt to determine their mass. This is not a simple task. The first difficulty is to know the distance of the object, and the second is to trace the column density of the material itself. The main components, H2 and He are not directly visible, with the exception of a little H2 seen in absorption in the UV at the cloud edges, and surrogate tracers are needed. Molecules are not good tracers in general because CO, which is the standard tracer, is depleted in the PSCs (Lemme et al. 1995; Willacy et al. 1998; Tafalla et al. 2002; Pagani et al. 2005; Brady Ford & Shirley 2011). Tracers of the PSCs like NH3 or N2H+ do not extend beyond the PSCs themselves, and contrary to CO, their peak abundance is variable. Detailed radiative transfer models are therefore needed to retrieve the H2 + He densities via the modelling of their collisions with the tracers (followed by integration along the line of sight to obtain the total column density). On the other hand, dust is traceable from the edge of the clouds to the centre. Its relative abundance to H2 is not accurately known but is thought to be in the range ~1/130–1/100 in the Milky Way (Flower et al. 2005; Compiègne et al. 2011). It can be traced either by its extinction of background stars (Wolf 1923; Bok 1956; Bok & Cordwell 1973) with a higher efficiency in the near-infrared (NIR, Lada et al. 1994; Lombardi & Alves 2001; Lombardi 2009) or of background diffuse light in the mid-infrared (MIR, Bacmann et al. 2000), by its scattering of the interstellar radiation field (ISRF) in the NIR and MIR (Lehtinen & Mattila 1996; Juvela et al. 2006; Lefèvre et al. 2014), or by its emission in the far-infrared (FIR, Ward-Thompson et al. 1994). However, all these methods have difficulties. The use of background stars do not allow to reach a high spatial resolution outside the galactic plane and become absent typically when $A_V > 40$ mag. Scattering is a promising but difficult method, highly dependent on the type of grains (size distribution, extinction, albedo, phase function), on the background intensity, and on the ISRF anisotropy and strength (see Lefèvre et al. 2014, for an exhaustive study). Emission depends on the knowledge of the grain properties, spectral index and temperature (e.g. Juvela & Ysard 2012, for a discussion of the degeneracy of the problem). The advantage of dust emission measurements in the FIR and submillimetre domains is that it remains optically thin up to $N$(H2) ~ 2×1024 cm−2 at 300 μm and even higher at longer wavelengths. Dust observed in emission is therefore able to trace the whole cloud content from the edge to the centre. However, it remains difficult to convert this emission into the actual column density of dust.

Before the launch of Herschel (Pilbratt et al. 2010), FIR measurements of dust emission in the range 200–500 μm were scarce (e.g. Stepnik et al. 2003) and many studies limited themselves to fit the dust in emission by building single spectral energy distribution (SED) fits based on a mix of measurements shorter
than 200 µm and longer than 850 µm. However, Pagani et al. (2004) showed that in a dark cloud without embedded sources, the 200 µm emission traces only the envelope of the cloud ($A_V \leq 7.5$ mag from the surface) and totally misses the bulk of the dust too cold to emit significantly at that wavelength. Hence, it could be deduced from that result that single SED fits were not realistic because short wavelengths are mostly tracing emission from the envelope and long wavelengths emission from the core.

There was no connection between the two sides of the (modified) blackbody curve and therefore no physical meaning to that fit. The question arises again today now that all wavelengths between 100 and 1200 µm have been sampled in a number of clouds with several telescopes, including Spitzer (Werner et al. 2004), AKARI (Murakami et al. 2007), ground-based bolometers, and above all, Herschel (Pilbratt et al. 2010) and Planck (Tauber et al. 2010), to find out if we can accurately retrieve the dust content from fitting SEDs alone. Indeed, dust at 10 K is brightest at 300 µm, while dust as cold as 6 K is brightest at 500 µm. Both wavelengths are inside the range observed by SPIRE, the FIR camera of Herschel (Griffin et al. 2010) and not far from the two highest frequency channels of Planck/HFI (Lamarre et al. 2010). Using Herschel data, Nielbock et al. (2012) achieved a successful temperature analysis of B68 but they combined data from NIR to submm. Roy et al. (2014) attempted to fit two cores (B68 and L1689B) using Herschel data alone. They both find temperatures lower than provided by single blackbody fittings of the SEDs. Some aspects of dust emission fitting of mock clouds have also been numerically explored by Malinen et al. (2011).

3. Analysis

Figure 2 shows the extinction profile along the cut (as defined in Fig. 1), derived from the 8 µm data degraded to the resolution of, and compared with, the 250 µm and the 870 µm cuts. The 8 µm opacity values are only a qualitative representation of the expected extinction. It is based on a previous estimate by Pagani et al. (2004), on the necessity to reach zero extinction at the edges of the cloud, and on the estimated ratio in column density between the PSC and the N-PSC, which is 1.5–2 at the edges of the cloud, and on the estimated ratio in column density between these two ratio values. These values are only indicative since the 8 µm absorption is in fact strongly contaminated by scattered light and cannot be safely converted to an opacity map without a 3D model taking the scattering due to micron-sized grains into account (Steinacker et al. 2010; Pagani et al. 2010; Lefèvre et al. 2014). A better estimate of the extinction based on NIR and MIR absorption and scattering, including the 8 µm map, will be presented in Lefèvre et al. (in prep.).
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While the strong features from the 8 µm data and the 870 µm data are clearly correlated, the weak peaks southward (negative offsets in Fig. 2) are at 8 µm but are missing at 870 µm. This is due to the loss of extended features with LABOCA. These features are too small to be resolved by Planck and are detected only as a smooth increase in emission in this Planck and LABOCA combined data cut. The 250 µm emission detects the two southern peaks (with a small shift for the most opaque one, most probably due to an anisotropic heating). However, it does not correctly trace the main PSC and the northern peak have almost the same intensity instead of the ratio $1.4-1.7$ we expect from submm observations. Both peaks are also too weak compared to the saddle intensity. This is reminiscent of the similar result reported by Pagani et al. (2004) in which they showed that the ISOPHOT 200 µm map does not follow the dust column density in the inner part of the cloud. The low resolution (90″) of the ISOPHOT map could have been a partial explanation, but it can now be seen that resolution is not an issue and the dust is simply too cold to contribute significantly to the 250 µm emission in the central parts of the cloud. Indeed, the peak intensity ratio between the southern peak at offset $-4′40″$ and the two main peaks is about 2 at 250 µm, while it is larger than 3 for the northern peak and in the range 4–5 for the main PSC in terms of relative opacity at 8 µm. Figure 3 shows the dust emission along the cut at wavelengths from 100 to 1200 µm. The resolution of all the data has been aligned on that of the SPIRE 500 µm channel (37″). The longer the wavelength, the better the tracing of the two dust peaks. Figure 4 displays the SEDs for the three points of interest along the cut: the main PSC, the saddle, and the northern PSC. For all three positions, we tried to fit the SED with either two or three modified blackbodies, one at 17 K, one at $10 K$, and an optional one at 6 K, 

$$I_x = \sum_{n=1}^{N} B_n(T_{d,n})\tau_{\nu o}(\frac{\nu}{\nu_0})^\beta_n = \sum_{n=1}^{N} B_n(T_{d,n})m_\nu m_{\nu H_2}(N(\nu H_2)) (\frac{\nu}{\nu_0})^\beta_n,$$

with $n = 2$ or 3. The parameter $B_n$ is the Planck function at dust temperature $T_d$, $\nu_0 = 1 \text{ THz}$ ($A = 300 \text{ µm}$), $\mu = 2.33$ the mean molecular weight, $m_\nu = 1.67 \times 10^{-24} \text{ g}$ the proton weight, $N(\nu H_2)$ the gas column density, and $\nu_o$, the dust opacity at 300 µm. The dust opacity $\kappa_\nu = 0.111 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ corresponds to the thin ice case at density $1 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ from Ossenkopf & Henning (1994) for a gas-to-dust ratio of 133 (Compiègne et al. 2011), which is compatible with Ysard et al. (2013). The spectral index $\beta$ describes the modification of the dust opacity $\kappa_\nu$ with frequency. The main blackbody is optimized for all three parameters, $T_d, \beta, N(\nu H_2)$. The cold blackbody, if included, is set at 6 K, and $\beta$ and $N(\nu H_2)$ can be adjusted. The third blackbody at 17 K, with $\beta \approx 1.8$, is set to fit the grains on the cloud surface, which contribute at 100 µm. Their only free parameter is $N(\nu H_2)$. Their temperature and spectral index are typical of diffuse and cloud surface dust temperatures (Zucconi et al. 2001; Planck Collaboration XXIV 2011). Their contribution is always less than 0.3% of the total mass and is not discussed any further. We independently adjust $\beta$ values in the range 1.5–4 with the constraint that $\beta T_k \leq \beta_0 K$. The fits are optimized so that $\chi^2 < 0.5$ in all cases (all parameter values are in Fig. 4). The striking result is that the fit is just as good with $n = 2$ (blackbodies at $-10$ and $17 K$) or $n = 3$ ($6, -10$, and $17 K$). The $\chi^2$ values remain basically identical. Towards the main PSC, the opacity at 300 µm varies from 0.02 ±0.07 ($n = 2$) to 0.058 ($n = 3$), almost a factor of three, in the case presented in Fig. 4, and could go up to 0.1 (a factor of five higher) in the extreme case where $\beta_0 K = 3.9$. As expected the difference is less for the saddle and the northern PSC but the increase in opacity can still be $\geq 50\%$. There is no way to discriminate between the fits simply based on these data. Of course, three blackbody fitting instead of two is still far from the real case (a continuous variation of temperature from $17 K$ at the surface of the clouds to $6 K$ in the densest cores, Zucconi et al. 2001; Evans et al. 2001) but comes much closer to it already.
The fits we find with an imposed 6 K blackbody are not unique. Since a solution can be found without a 6 K component, the opacity of this component can be varied from 0 up to 0.1 depending on the other parameters, $T_{\text{dust}}$ and $\beta$ in particular, with similar $\chi^2$ values. Constraints must come from other observations, which we discuss now.

For the main PSC, $N(H_2)$ is in the range $4.5 \pm 1.7 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ for $n = 2$ to $1.3 \pm 0.9 \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$ for $n = 3$. The second result encompasses the column density determined via gas modelling. Pagani et al. (2007) indicate $N(H_2) \sim 1.0 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ from $N_2$H$^+$ data when averaged in a 37″ beam (after correcting for the new $N_2$H$^+$–H$_2$ collisional coefficients, Lique et al. 2015). However $N_2$H$^+$ only traces the dense region and not the envelope of the cloud. From C$^{18}$O measurements (Pagani et al. 2005), we infer a cloud envelope column density of ~2 $\times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$. The total is ~1.2 $\times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$. The difference with the $n = 2$ fit (ratio of 2.5) is larger than the different uncertainties involved here. With the new $N_2$H$^+$–H$_2$ collisional coefficients, we also found that the gas temperature in the core is 6 K (7 K in Pagani et al. 2007) where the density is $\geq 5 \times 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$, which is a density high enough to efficiently thermalise gas and dust ($n > 1 \times 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$ is required, Goldsmith 2001). Therefore, dust and gas temperatures and column densities can be made consistent only if we introduce a 6 K dust component in the fit. Based only on Herschel data, Roy et al. (2014) also find a temperature lower than that given by a single SED fit (9.8 K instead of 11.6 K in the case of L1689B at its centre), and their fit is more realistic than the fits we present here by using a continuously varying temperature (dust in extinction, molecular emission). Therefore, critical and threshold masses, core and filament stabilities, and density profiles in studies limited to dust emission should be considered with caution.
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Appendix A: Multi-layered picture

Figure A.1 shows the layers of Fig. 3 assembled together for direct comparison, for pdf viewers not understanding Javascript, and for printers. Similarly, Fig. A.2 shows the layers of Fig. 4 (the three positions tested with two or three blackbody components) side by side.

![Graph showing multi-layered picture](image)

**Fig. A.1.** L183 cut for dust emission. It includes data from *Herschel* PACS and SPIRE, APEX/LABOCA, and IRAM-30 m/MAMBO data, all convolved to 37'' resolution. The fluxes are scaled down by the amount indicated to the right of the wavelengths to align all the fluxes on the saddle point. The 8 µm opacity range is displayed (dashed lines filled in grey), the 100 µm cut is omitted since it only traces the cloud content at its surface. This is the developed version of Fig. 3. The colour is changed for each wavelength to help separate them.
Fig. A.2. SEDs of the three points of interest defined in Fig. 2 along the cut (from left to right, the main PSC, the saddle and the northern PSC). Top row, the SEDs are fitted with three modified blackbodies, bottom row, they are fitted with two modified blackbodies. Opacity is given at 300 µm. This is the developed version of Fig. 4.
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