LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Constraints on photoevaporation models from (lack of) radio emission in the Corona Australis protoplanetary disks[★]

Roberto Galván-Madrid^{1,2}, Hauyu Baobab Liu³, Carlo Felice Manara¹, Jan Forbrich⁴, Ilaria Pascucci⁵, Carlos Carrasco-González², Ciriaco Goddi⁶, Yasuhiro Hasegawa³, Michihiro Takami³, and Leonardo Testi^{1,7,8}

¹ European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 2, 85748 Garching, Germany
 e-mail: r.galvan@crya.unam.mx; rgalvan@eso.org

² Centro de Radioastronomía y Astrofísica, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, 58090 Morelia, Mexico

³ Academia Sinica, Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics, PO Box 23-141, Taipei 106, Taiwan

⁴ Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna, Türkenschanzstraße 17, 1180 Vienna, Austria

⁵ Lunar and Planetary Laboratory, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

⁶ Joint Institute for VLBI in Europe, Postbus 2, 7990 AA Dwingeloo, The Netherlands

⁷ INAF-Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, Largo E. Fermi, 50125 Firenze, Italy

⁸ Excellence Cluster Universe, Boltzmannstr. 2, 85748 Garching, Germany

Received 18 July 2014 / Accepted 24 September 2014

ABSTRACT

Photoevaporation due to high-energy stellar photons is thought to be one of the main drivers of protoplanetary disk dispersal. The fully or partially ionized disk surface is expected to produce free-free continuum emission at centimeter (cm) wavelengths that can be routinely detected with interferometers such as the upgraded Very Large Array (VLA). We use deep (rms noise down to $8 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$ in the field of view center) 3.5 cm maps of the nearby (130 pc) Corona Australis (CrA) star formation (SF) region to constrain disk photoevaporation models. We find that the radio emission from disk sources in CrA is surprisingly faint. Only three out of ten sources within the field of view are detected, with flux densities of about $10^2 \mu\text{Jy}$. However, a significant fraction of their emission is nonthermal. Typical upper limits for nondetections are $3\sigma \sim 60 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$. Assuming analytic expressions for the free-free emission from extreme-UV (EUV) irradiation, we derive stringent upper limits to the ionizing photon luminosity that impinges on the disk surface $\Phi_{\text{EUV}} < 1\text{--}4 \times 10^{41} \text{ s}^{-1}$. These limits constrain Φ_{EUV} to the low end of the values needed by EUV-driven photoevaporation models to clear protoplanetary disks within the observed few Myr timescale. Therefore, at least in CrA, EUV-driven photoevaporation is unlikely to be the main agent of disk dispersal. We also compare the observed X-ray luminosities L_X of disk sources with models in which photoevaporation is driven by such photons. Although predictions are less specific than for the EUV case, most of the observed fluxes (upper limits) are roughly consistent with the (scaled) predictions. Deeper observations, as well as predictions spanning a wider parameter space, are needed to properly test X-ray driven photoevaporation.

Key words. protoplanetary disks – stars: formation – stars: pre-main sequence

1. Introduction

The mechanisms that drive the dispersal of disks around young stars are not well understood. Photoevaporation of the disk driven by high-energy radiation from the central star is thought to act in concert with viscous accretion and the planet formation. A recent review of the topic is presented by Alexander et al. (2014). Several models have been put forward to describe disk photoevaporation, including analytical flow solutions (e.g., Hollenbach et al. 1994; Gorti & Hollenbach 2009) and hydrodynamical simulations (e.g., Alexander et al. 2006; Owen et al. 2010). Mid-infrared forbidden lines such as the [Ne II] have been used to infer the presence of photoevaporative flows (e.g., Pascucci & Sterzik 2009) from disks around low-mass young stellar objects (YSOs).

Pascucci et al. (2012) and Owen et al. (2013, hereafter Pascucci12 and Owen13, respectively) published predictions for the radio-continuum emission from (partially) ionized disk surfaces around low-mass YSOs that may be photoevaporating. Pascucci12 showed that free-free emission from the disk surface

is directly proportional to the ionizing stellar radiation¹ that reaches the disk. If the photoevaporation is driven by X-rays ($h\nu > 0.1 \text{ keV}$), the interaction of photons with matter is more complex and the resulting gas temperatures and ionization fraction can depart considerably from the $\sim 10^4 \text{ K}$ and ~ 1 characteristic of the EUV case. The effects of far-UV radiation ($6 < h\nu < 13.6 \text{ eV}$) add to the chemical complexity (Gorti & Hollenbach 2009), but this type of radiation is relevant even in photoevaporation models dominated by X-ray heating since it regulates the destruction of molecular coolants. Deep radio observations of many protoplanetary disks are needed to better constrain photoevaporation models. Recently, Pascucci et al. (2014) analyzed the cm emission from 14 circumstellar disks and found EUV photon luminosities (Φ_{EUV}) lower than $1 \times 10^{42} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for sources with no jets and lower than $5 \times 10^{40} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for three older systems in their sample, thus placing a tight constraint on photoevaporation models.

In this Letter we analyze the 8.5 GHz (3.5 cm) continuum emission of the ten protoplanetary disks within our VLA field of view toward the central part of the Corona Australis (hereafter CrA) star formation region. This nearby region has been studied in the past at all wavelengths from the cm radio to the X-rays

[★] Appendix A is available in electronic form at <http://www.aanda.org>

¹ The extreme-UV (EUV) radiation, with $13.6 < h\nu < 100 \text{ eV}$.

Table 1. Disks in CrA within the VLA primary beam.

(1) Name	(2) RA [h:m:s]	(3) Dec [deg:arcmin:arcsec]	(4) CSA11	(5) SA13	(6) $S_{3.5\text{ cm}}$ [μJy]	(7) PB-corrected noise [μJy]	(8) L_X [erg s^{-1}]	(9) Spectral type	(10) Comments
G-95	19:01:28.72	-36:59:31.7	TD	...	<180	60	1.03×10^{30}	M1	...
G-87	19:01:32.32	-36:58:03.0	TD	TD	<60	20	...	M1.5	...
G-85	19:01:33.85	-36:57:44.8	PD	pre-TD	<60	20	4.28×10^{29}	M0.5	...
V709	19:01:34.84	-37:00:56.7	TD/DD	...	892 ± 79	50	4.17×10^{30}	K1	a
HBC-677	19:01:41.62	-36:59:53.1	PD	PD	<45	15	2.21×10^{29}	M2	...
IRS8	19:01:51.11	-36:54:12.5	PD	...	<120	40	...	M2	...
R CrA	19:01:53.67	-36:57:08.3	PD	...	285 ± 10	10	7.7×10^{29}	A5	b
CrA-465	19:01:53.74	-37:00:33.9	PD	...	<60	20	...	M5-M7.5	...
G-32	19:01:58.33	-37:00:26.7	PD	...	<60	20	...	>M5	...
T CrA	19:01:58.79	-36:57:50.1	PD	PD	181 ± 9	15	...	F0	c

Notes. Columns 1 to 3: names and coordinates from CSA11 (who use the *Chandra* positions of [Forbrich & Preibisch 2007](#)) and SA13. Columns 4 and 5: classification in CSA11 and SA13. Primordial disk (PD), pre-transitional disk (pre-TD), transitional disk (TD), debris disk (DD). A blank field means that the source is either not detected or not mentioned, or that the photometry was affected by extended nebulosity, mostly an issue in the *Herschel* observations (SA13). Column 6: flux density at 3.5 cm or 3σ upper limits. For the detections, the VLA coordinates from Gaussian fits are given as a comment, together with the estimation of the 3.5 cm flux due to free-free emission from the coadded nondetection epochs. Column 7: primary-beam-corrected noise, measured individually around the position of each source. Column 8: X-ray luminosity in the 0.2–8 keV band from [Forbrich & Preibisch \(2007\)](#). A blank field means that only $\sim 10^1$ counts were detected, and the X-ray spectral shape and luminosity could not be derived. However, under typical assumptions, their measured count number would imply $L_X \sim 1 \times 10^{29} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. Column 9: spectral types reported by CSA11. Compiled from [Forbrich & Preibisch \(2007\)](#), [Meyer & Wilking \(2009\)](#), [López Martí et al. \(2005\)](#), and CSA11. Column 10: comments. a) RA = 19:01:34.86, Dec = -37:00:55.8. $S_{V709, \text{ff}} \lesssim 507 \pm 88 \mu\text{Jy}$. b) RA = 19:01:53.68, Dec = -36:57:08.0. $S_{\text{RCrA, ff}} \lesssim 183 \pm 20 \mu\text{Jy}$. Intermediate-mass YSO ([Acke & van den Ancker 2004](#)). c) RA = 19:01:58.79, Dec = -36:57:49.9. $S_{\text{TCrA, ff}} \lesssim 170 \pm 26 \mu\text{Jy}$. Intermediate-mass YSO ([Acke & van den Ancker 2004](#)).

(e.g., [Choi et al. 2008](#); [Lindberg et al. 2014](#); [Peterson et al. 2011](#); [Forbrich et al. 2007](#), and references therein). The distance to CrA is $\approx 130 \pm 10 \text{ pc}$ ([Neuhäuser & Forbrich 2008](#)).

2. Data

The data analyzed here were presented in [Liu et al. \(2014, hereafter Liu14\)](#) and are part of a larger VLA² program to monitor the time variability of radio sources in nearby, low-mass star formation regions. One of the main products of the program are radio continuum images with sensitivity down to a few microjansky.

The main continuum map used here concatenates 14 VLA epochs in 2012. It covers the continuous frequency range from 8 GHz (3.7 cm) to 9 GHz (3.3 cm) and reaches an rms noise of $\sigma \sim 8 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$ in the center of the field. The half-power beam width (HPBW) of the primary beam is $315''$, and the beamwidth at 10% power is $530''$. The synthesized HPBW is $4.6'' \times 2.1''$, PA = -179.4° . More details of the observations are described in Liu14. Analysis of the data was performed in CASA ([McMullin et al. 2007](#)).

3. Results

3.1. Source list

We compiled a list of the protoplanetary disks in CrA and searched for their radio continuum emission in our deep VLA images, restricting ourselves to sources within the 10% response level of the primary beam (the interferometric field of view). Protoplanetary disks were identified based on the catalogs of Currie & Sicilia-Aguilar (2011; hereafter CSA11) and Sicilia-Aguilar et al. (2013; hereafter SA13). CSA11 used *Spitzer* IRAC and MIPS photometry and IRS spectroscopy in their models, whereas SA13 complemented their data with *Herschel* far-infrared PACS photometry.

² The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is operated by Associated Universities, Inc. under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

Fig. 1. Deep coadded VLA 3.5 cm (8.5 GHz) image of CrA. The image is corrected for primary-beam attenuation down to 10% response ($530''$ diameter). The synthesized HPBW is $4.6'' \times 2.1''$, PA = -179.4° . The disk sources listed in Table 1 are marked with circles and labeled. The intensity scale is in units of $\mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$.

Table 1 lists the basic properties of the disks in CrA, and Fig. 1 shows the deep radio map with the targets labeled. We only consider objects classified as primordial, pre-transitional, or transitional disks (roughly equivalent to class II YSOs). The age of the considered sources is 1 to 3 Myr (CSA11).

3.2. Radio-continuum of disks in CrA

The radio-continuum emission of YSOs associated with disks can in principle receive contributions from several physical mechanisms. Disk-photoevaporation models emphasize the contribution from the free-free emission of the photoionized disk wind ([Avalos & Lizano 2012](#), [Pascucci12](#), [Owen13](#)). Free-free emission from magnetohydrodynamical jets dominates in class 0 and I YSOs (e.g., [Anglada et al. 1998](#); [Reipurth et al. 2004](#)), but

their contribution in class IIs is probably smaller. The models of Shang et al. (2004) (aimed at class I YSOs) predict a 3.5 cm flux of $16 \mu\text{Jy}$ at 140 pc for their lowest jet mass-loss rate calculation of $3 \times 10^{-8} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$. Typical mass-loss rates in class II YSOs are lower. Therefore, we expect the jet free-free contamination to be below the noise. In contrast, gyrosynchrotron emission from active magnetospheres can contribute significantly and even dominate the radio-continuum emission in class II and III YSOs (e.g., Gibb 1999; Forbrich et al. 2007). Finally, it is also possible that large dust grains emit significantly at short cm wavelengths, for example, in TW Hydrae (Wilner et al. 2005, Pascucci12). However, this may not be typical. Rodmann et al. (2006) investigated the dust and free-free contributions at 7 mm in protoplanetary disks and find that $\sim 80\%$ of the emission stems from dust. Assuming a dust spectral index typical of disks $\alpha = 2$ to 2.5 (e.g., Ricci et al. 2010), this translates into only $\sim 11\%$ to 5% dust emission at 3.5 cm.

We searched for radio continuum emission in our deep map toward the disks listed in Table 1. The main result of our search is that seven out of ten targets are not detected. Five out of the seven non-detections have 3σ upper limits to the peak intensity $\leq 60 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$ (see Table 1). This sets a tight constraint on disk-photoevaporation models (Sect. 4). The flux density for the detections was measured using the task *imfit* in CASA. Figure A.1 shows the individual field around each YSO.

Although it is difficult to quantify the relative contributions of thermal (free-free) and nonthermal (gyrosynchrotron) emission for the detections, the dominant mechanism can be identified from the spectral index and the variability properties. There is currently no information on the spectral index of the radio detections. However, the three detected disk sources (V709, R CrA, T CrA) were identified by Liu14 as highly variable. In maps of the 14 individual epochs that were coadded in the final deep image, these sources can sometimes be detected at a level of a few $\times 10^2 \mu\text{Jy}$ (or up to $\sim 1 \text{ mJy}$ for V709) and then be nondetections even between observations separated by as little as less than one day (Liu14). This large variability shows that most of the cm flux of the detected sources is not due to stable, free-free emission from a photoevaporative flow, but most likely due to nonthermal (gyro)synchrotron emission from magnetic activity closer to the stellar surface (e.g., Liu14, Forbrich et al. 2007). We estimated the free-free contribution at 3.5 cm in the detections by assuming that free-free is relatively stable in time compared with (gyro)synchrotron emission (Liu14). Then we produced images that included the concatenated data from each of the individual epochs in which each of them is not detected and measured the fluxes. We obtain free-free fluxes $S_{\text{ff,V709}} \lesssim 507 \pm 88 \mu\text{Jy}$ ($\sim 57\%$ of the total flux), $S_{\text{ff,RCrA}} \lesssim 183 \pm 20 \mu\text{Jy}$ ($\sim 64\%$), and $S_{\text{ff,TCrA}} \lesssim 170 \pm 26 \mu\text{Jy}$ ($\sim 94\%$). These are still strict upper limits to the free-free emission. If any of the additional processes mentioned above is significant, it would push down the upper limits to the ionizing fluxes derived in Sect. 4.

The X-ray properties of the radio-detected sources also indicate that radio emission, when bright, is mainly due to magnetospheric activity. Forbrich & Preibisch (2007) presented a deep *Chandra* observation of CrA. From the spectral shape and time-domain properties of the sources in CrA, these authors conclude that the YSOs X-ray emission is dominated by coronal activity, consistent with our interpretation of the cm detections as having significant coronal (gyro)synchrotron emission. Table 1 also lists the X-ray luminosities in the 0.2–8 keV band of the disk sources in CrA. Note that V709 is the most luminous in X-rays (it also shows variations up to $\sim 50\%$ within days, Forbrich & Preibisch 2007). R CrA is the third most luminous X-ray source and shows

variations of a factor of 5 within days. In contrast, T CrA is barely detected in X-rays, and there is no information on its variability (Forbrich & Preibisch 2007).

4. Implications for models of disk photoevaporation

We used our observations to constrain possible models of protoplanetary disk photoevaporation. First we compared them quantitatively with predictions from EUV-driven photoevaporation models. Because the free-free emission is directly proportional to the EUV luminosity that reaches the disk (Φ_{EUV}), we can use Eq. (2) of Pascucci12 to derive upper limits to Φ_{EUV} . The main assumptions here are that the surface of the disk is almost fully ionized at 10^4 K and that the fraction of EUV photons absorbed by the disk is 0.7 (Hollenbach & Gorti 2009). We then compared this with X-ray driven models, for which there are still considerable uncertainties on the assumptions (Alexander et al. 2014). Therefore, in this case the comparison is more qualitative.

Table 2 summarizes the comparison with the predictions of Pascucci12. The constraints to models of EUV-driven photoevaporation are strong. Nine out of ten 3σ upper limits to the ionizing-photon luminosity are in the range $\Phi_{\text{EUV}} < 1\text{--}4 \times 10^{41} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The EUV photon luminosity emitted by young pre-main sequence stars is constrained only for a handful of sources but is always found to be $> 10^{41}$ and often $> 10^{42} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Alexander et al. 2005; Herczeg 2007). However, these results are dependent on reddening, and not all the emitted photons necessarily reach the disk. Theoretical models typically use $\Phi_{\text{EUV}} = 10^{41} \text{ s}^{-1}$ or higher. On the lower end, Font et al. (2004) calculated models for $\Phi_{\text{EUV}} = 10^{40}$ to 10^{42} s^{-1} . More recent models that emphasize satisfying the constraint of a disk lifetime of a few Myr (e.g., Hernández et al. 2007) use $\Phi_{\text{EUV}} = 10^{42} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (e.g., Alexander et al. 2006). Alexander & Armitage (2009) presented a grid of models with coupled photoevaporation, viscous transport, and Type II migration. Using $\Phi_{\text{EUV}} = 10^{42} \text{ s}^{-1}$, these authors found a median disk lifetime of 4 Myr, consistent with observational constraints. Our observations show that at least for most of the disks in CrA, Φ_{EUV} is on the low side of the broad range of Φ_{EUV} used in models.

Upper limits to L_X from Pascucci12 are listed in Table 2. These limits are at least one order of magnitude higher than the observed L_X (Table 1). Therefore, if $S_{\text{ff}} \propto L_X$, from these models we would expect to detect the sources at a level of ~ 1 to $10 \mu\text{Jy}$ (below our sensitivity). For the three detections, the observed cm flux appears to be too high with respect to the model predictions. This could be explained if the stable cm flux, which we tentatively attribute to free-free emission from photoevaporation, still receives contributions from other processes (Sect. 3.2).

We now compare this with the radiative transfer calculations on hydrodynamical simulations presented by Owen13, who used a fiducial X-ray luminosity $L_X = 2 \times 10^{30} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. For a given L_X , Owen13 predicted a higher free-free flux than Pascucci12. The difference might be due to Owen13 using an unattenuated stellar spectrum, which may still contain substantial EUV and soft-X-ray emission, but this is not certain. If we scale the frequency and distance of their predictions to 8 GHz and 130 pc³, we find that the expected 3.5 cm flux is $S \sim 2 \times 10^2 \mu\text{Jy}$ (see Fig. 11 in Owen13). From Table 1 we see that, roughly, the three sources with L_X close to $10^{30} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ have free-free fluxes (V709⁴, R CrA) or upper limits (G-95) consistent with these

³ Owen13 provide spectral indices between 8 GHz and their anchor predictions at 15 GHz of $\alpha \sim 0.5$ for X-ray driven photoevaporation.

⁴ Note that the evolutionary stage of V709 is not clear: it is the only source in the sample that could be a debris disk, in which case no photoevaporation signal is expected.

Table 2. Comparison with Pascucci12.

Name	$\Phi_{\text{EUV,model}}$ [s^{-1}]	$L_{\text{X,model}}$ [erg s^{-1}]
G-95	$<4.0 \times 10^{41}$	$<4.9 \times 10^{31}$
G-87	$<1.3 \times 10^{41}$	$<1.6 \times 10^{31}$
G-85	$<1.3 \times 10^{41}$	$<1.6 \times 10^{31}$
V709	$\lesssim 1.1 \times 10^{42}$	$\lesssim 1.4 \times 10^{32}$
HBC-677	$<1.0 \times 10^{41}$	$<1.2 \times 10^{31}$
IRS 8	$<2.7 \times 10^{41}$	$<3.2 \times 10^{31}$
R CrA	$\lesssim 4.1 \times 10^{41}$	$\lesssim 5.0 \times 10^{31}$
CrA-465	$<1.3 \times 10^{41}$	$<1.6 \times 10^{31}$
G-32	$<1.3 \times 10^{41}$	$<1.6 \times 10^{31}$
T CrA	$\lesssim 3.8 \times 10^{41}$	$\lesssim 4.6 \times 10^{31}$

Notes. Upper limits using Eqs. (2) and (3) of Pascucci12. The seven nondetections are marked as simple upper limits with a $<$ symbol. For the three detections, we list the estimates of their free-free flux from the coadded nondetections, which are still an strict upper limit to the free-free emission from a photoevaporating disk (see Sect. 2). We mark them with a $\lesssim$ symbol.

model predictions. We did not compare the results of Owen13 with our remaining sources with lower L_{X} , since it is not clear which fraction of the predicted free-free emission is only due to the X-rays in the input stellar spectrum of Owen13.

Since photoevaporation driven purely by X-rays would produce lower free-free fluxes, deeper observations are needed to probe this regime. Model predictions spanning parameter space toward lower EUV and X-ray luminosities and different X-ray hardness are also needed.

5. Conclusions

With the purpose of constraining models of protoplanetary disk clearing via photoevaporation, we inspected the 8.5 GHz (3.5 cm) continuum emission of disks in the nearby (130 pc) CrA star formation region. We used the deep (noise down to $8 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$ in the center of the field of view) maps from our monitoring survey of low-mass YSOs (Liu14).

We found that disks are radio faint: seven out of ten targets are not detected. Five of the nondetections have 3σ upper limits $\leq 60 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$, and all of them have $3\sigma \leq 180 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$. Furthermore, the radio variability and X-ray properties of the three radio detections indicate that a significant fraction of their radio flux is due to nonthermal processes, and not to the putative photoevaporative flow. We measured the stable 3.5 cm flux (~ 170 to $507 \mu\text{Jy}$) of the three detections and attribute it to free-free emission. Strictly, these are still upper limits to the fluxes from photoevaporation.

Using the prescription of Pascucci12 for EUV-driven photoevaporation, we derived tight upper limits to the rate of ionizing photons that reach the disk Φ_{EUV} : nine out of ten disks in our field of view have $\Phi_{\text{EUV}} < 1-4 \times 10^{41} \text{ s}^{-1}$. These upper limits discard the higher end of what EUV-driven photoevaporation models require to clear a protoplanetary disk within the observed timescale of a few Myr. In addition, the limits we derived from radio observations are lower than the previous estimates of the EUV photons emitted by the star derived by Alexander et al. (2005) and Herczeg (2007). This suggests that a significant fraction of the emitted EUV photons does not reach the disk. Our results, together with those recently reported by Pascucci et al. (2014), show that EUV photons are unlikely to be the main driver in disk dispersal.

We also compared our results with models of X-ray driven photoevaporation. This comparison is more qualitative because there is more room for variation in the model assumptions. Most of the observed fluxes (upper limits) are roughly consistent with the (scaled) predictions. Some detections appear to be too bright in the radio. However, their stable cm flux might still be slightly contaminated.

Future, deeper maps of this and other star formation regions (including variability information), together with X-ray and near-infrared data (to obtain accretion rates) will help to set tighter constraints on the mechanisms that drive protoplanetary disk photoevaporation. More specific predictions, in particular for lower EUV and X-ray luminosities, are also needed.

Acknowledgements. This research made use of APLpy, an open-source plotting package for Python hosted at <http://aplpy.github.com>. R.G.-M. acknowledges funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013/) under grant agreement No. 229517R. I.P. acknowledges support from the NSF Astronomy & Astrophysics Research Grant 1312962. The authors thank the anonymous referee for an insightful report.

References

- Acke, B., & van den Ancker, M. E. 2004, *A&A*, 426, 151
- Alexander, R., Pascucci, I., Andrews, S., Armitage, P., & Cieza, L. 2014, in *Protostars & Planets VI* (University of Arizona Press), in press [[arXiv:1311.1819](https://arxiv.org/abs/1311.1819)]
- Alexander, R. D., & Armitage, P. J. 2009, *ApJ*, 704, 989
- Alexander, R. D., Clarke, C. J., & Pringle, J. E. 2005, *MNRAS*, 358, 283
- Alexander, R. D., Clarke, C. J., & Pringle, J. E. 2006, *MNRAS*, 369, 229
- Anglada, G., Villuendas, E., Estalella, R., et al. 1998, *AJ*, 116, 2953
- Avalos, M., & Lizano, S. 2012, *ApJ*, 751, 63
- Choi, M., Hamaguchi, K., Lee, J.-E., & Tatematsu, K. 2008, *ApJ*, 687, 406
- Currie, T., & Sicilia-Aguilar, A. 2011, *ApJ*, 732, 24
- Font, A. S., McCarthy, I. G., Johnstone, D., & Ballantyne, D. R. 2004, *ApJ*, 607, 890
- Forbrich, J., & Preibisch, T. 2007, *A&A*, 475, 959
- Forbrich, J., Preibisch, T., Menten, K. M., et al. 2007, *A&A*, 464, 1003
- Gibb, A. G. 1999, *MNRAS*, 304, 1
- Gorti, U., & Hollenbach, D. 2009, *ApJ*, 690, 1539
- Herczeg, G. J. 2007, in *IAU Symp.* 243, eds. J. Bouvier, & I. Appenzeller, 147
- Hernández, J., Hartmann, L., Megeath, T., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, 662, 1067
- Hollenbach, D., & Gorti, U. 2009, *ApJ*, 703, 1203
- Hollenbach, D., Johnstone, D., Lizano, S., & Shu, F. 1994, *ApJ*, 428, 654
- Lindberg, J. E., Jørgensen, J. K., Brinch, C., et al. 2014, *A&A*, 566, A74
- Liu, H. B., Galván-Madrid, R., Forbrich, J., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 780, 155
- López Martí, B., Eisloffel, J., & Mundt, R. 2005, *A&A*, 444, 175
- McMullin, J. P., Waters, B., Schiebel, D., Young, W., & Golap, K. 2007, in *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XVI*, eds. R. A. Shaw, F. Hill, & D. J. Bell, ASP Conf. Ser., 376, 127
- Meyer, M. R., & Wilking, B. A. 2009, *PASP*, 121, 350
- Neuhäuser, R., & Forbrich, J. 2008, *The Corona Australis Star Forming Region*, ASP Monograph Ser., ed. B. Reipurth, 735
- Owen, J. E., Ercolano, B., Clarke, C. J., & Alexander, R. D. 2010, *MNRAS*, 401, 1415
- Owen, J. E., Scaife, A. M. M., & Ercolano, B. 2013, *MNRAS*, 434, 3378
- Pascucci, I., & Sterzik, M. 2009, *ApJ*, 702, 724
- Pascucci, I., Gorti, U., & Hollenbach, D. 2012, *ApJ*, 751, L42
- Pascucci, I., Ricci, L., Gorti, U., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 795, 1
- Peterson, D. E., Caratti o Garatti, A., Bourke, T. L., et al. 2011, *ApJS*, 194, 43
- Reipurth, B., Rodríguez, L. F., Anglada, G., & Bally, J. 2004, *AJ*, 127, 1736
- Ricci, L., Testi, L., Natta, A., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 512, A15
- Rodmann, J., Henning, T., Chandler, C. J., Mundy, L. G., & Wilner, D. J. 2006, *A&A*, 446, 211
- Shang, H., Lizano, S., Glassgold, A., & Shu, F. 2004, *ApJ*, 612, L69
- Sicilia-Aguilar, A., Henning, T., Linz, H., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 551, A34
- Wilner, D. J., D'Alessio, P., Calvet, N., Claussen, M. J., & Hartmann, L. 2005, *ApJ*, 626, L109

Appendix A: Individual maps of the targets

Fig. A.1. Deep VLA 3.5 cm (8.5 GHz) images of disk YSOs in CrA. A circle of 5'' diameter is shown centered on the position given by CSA11 or SA13. Only the highly variable radio sources V709, R CrA, and T CrA are detected (see Table 1). The synthesized HPBW is $4.6'' \times 2.1''$, PA = -179.4° . The intensity scale is in units of $\mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$.