The GALEX Ultraviolet Virgo Cluster Survey (GUViCS)[★]

III. The ultraviolet source catalogs

E. N. Voyer¹, A. Boselli¹, S. Boissier¹, S. Heinis^{1,2}, L. Cortese^{3,4}, L. Ferrarese⁵, P. Cote⁵, J.-C. Cuillandre⁶,
 S. D. J. Gwyn⁵, E. W. Peng^{7,8}, H. Zhang^{7,8}, and C. Liu^{9,10}

¹ Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, LAM (Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Marseille) UMR 7326, 13388 Marseille, France
 e-mail: elysse.voyer@oamp.fr, [alessandro.boselli@lam.fr;samuel.boissier@lam.fr]

² Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742-2421, USA

³ Centre for Astrophysics & Supercomputing, Swinburne University of Technology, Mail H30, PO Box 218, Hawthorn, VIC 3122, Australia

⁴ European Southern Observatory, Karl Schwarzschild Str. 2, 85748 Garching bei München, Germany

⁵ Herzberg Institute of Astrophysics, National Research Council of Canada, Victoria, BC, V9E 2E7, Canada

⁶ Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope Corporation, Kamuela, HI 96743, USA

⁷ Department of Astronomy, Peking University, 100871 Beijing, PR China

⁸ Kavli Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, PR China

⁹ Center for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 800 Dongchuan Road, 200240 Shanghai, PR China

¹⁰ INPAC, Department of Physics and Astronomy and Shanghai Key Lab for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 200240 Shanghai, PR China

Received 20 August 2013 / Accepted 13 May 2014

ABSTRACT

In this paper we introduce the deepest and most extensive ultraviolet extragalactic source catalogs of the Virgo Cluster area to date. Archival and targeted GALEX imaging is compiled and combined to provide the deepest possible coverage over $\sim 120 \text{ deg}^2$ in the NUV ($\lambda_{\text{eff}} = 2316 \text{ \AA}$) and $\sim 40 \text{ deg}^2$ in the FUV ($\lambda_{\text{eff}} = 1539 \text{ \AA}$) between $180^\circ \leq \text{RA} \leq 195^\circ$ and $0^\circ \leq \text{Dec} \leq 20^\circ$. We measure the integrated photometry of 1770 extended UV sources of all galaxy types and use GALEX pipeline photometry for 1 230 855 point-like sources in the foreground, within, and behind the cluster. Extended source magnitudes are reliable to $m_{\text{UV}} \sim 22$, showing a $\sim 0.01\sigma$ difference from their asymptotic magnitudes. Point-like source magnitudes have a 1σ standard deviation within $\sim 0.2 \text{ mag}$ down to $m_{\text{UV}} \sim 23$. The point-like source catalog is cross-matched with large optical databases and surveys including the SDSS DR9 (>1 million Virgo Cluster sources), the Next Generation Virgo Cluster Survey (NGVS; >13 million Virgo Cluster sources), and the NED ($\sim 30\,000$ sources in the Virgo Cluster). We find that 69% of the entire UV point-like source catalog has a unique optical counterpart, 11% of which are stars and 0.01% (129) are Virgo cluster members that are neither in the VCC nor part of the bright CGCG galaxy catalog (i.e., $m_{\text{pg}} < 14.5$). These data are collected in three catalogs containing the UV extended sources, the UV point-like sources, and the most relevant optical parameters of UV-optically matched point-like sources for further studies from SDSS. The GUViCS catalogs provide a unique set of data for future work on UV and multiwavelength studies in the cluster and background environments.

Key words. catalogs – galaxies: clusters: individual: Virgo – galaxies: star formation – ultraviolet: galaxies – galaxies: photometry

1. Introduction

Observational studies of the cosmic star-formation rate density of the universe over multiple wavelengths show that present day galaxies are forming stars at the lowest rate compared to their cosmic past (Lilly et al. 1996; Madau et al. 1996; Connolly et al. 1997; Hopkins & Beacom 2006; Bouwens et al. 2009). Ongoing extragalactic astrophysical research is largely focused on the investigation of potential physical mechanisms and properties that have driven the evolution of the star-formation rate density to its current state. Several studies have found that galaxy mass and environment are the two major drivers evolution throughout

time (Dressler 1980; Gavazzi et al. 1996; Cowie et al. 1996; Boselli et al. 2001; Boselli & Gavazzi 2006; Clemens et al. 2006; Poggianti et al. 2009; Clemens et al. 2009). However, questions remain as to how these two drivers influence the star-formation rate of galaxies, even within the local volume of the universe. Studies of star formation in local galaxies contribute to our understanding of an initial benchmark for observational and theoretical evolutionary studies, as well as enable detailed physical analysis of star-forming galaxies that require high resolution.

Young, massive, short-lived O and B stars emit the majority of their radiation in the ultraviolet (UV) spectral region $< 3000 \text{ \AA}$. Thus, observations in broad UV bandpasses map the regions of the unobscured star formation within a galaxy (Kennicutt 1998; Boselli et al. 2009). Over the past decade, imaging from the Galaxy Evolution Explorer (GALEX) satellite have fueled a plethora of studies that explore unobscured

[★] Full Tables H.1, I.1, J.1 and the GUViCS catalogs presented in Tables H.2, I.2, and J.2 are only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via <http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/qcat?J/A+A/569/A124>

star formation in galaxies near and far. GALEX took broadband near-UV (NUV; $\lambda_{\text{eff}} = 2316 \text{ \AA}$; $\Delta\lambda = 1771\text{--}2831 \text{ \AA}$) and far-UV (FUV; $\lambda_{\text{eff}} = 1539 \text{ \AA}$; $\Delta\lambda = 1344\text{--}1786 \text{ \AA}$) images within a 1.2° diameter field-of-view. It has performed extensive surveys at shallow ($\sim 100 \text{ s}$), medium ($\sim 1500 \text{ s}$), and deep ($\sim 30\,000 \text{ s}$) exposure times, providing a rich archive of publicly available data.

The goal of the GALEX Ultraviolet Virgo Cluster Survey (GUViCS; Boselli et al. 2011) is to provide the most extensive ($\sim 120 \text{ deg}^2$) UV source catalogs of the Virgo Cluster to date from GALEX imaging data for in-depth and multiwavelength studies that are focused on the effects of the cluster environment on star formation in all types of local galaxies. The Virgo Cluster has been the interest of a multitude of work, since it is the largest cluster of galaxies nearest to the Milky Way (16.5 Mpc ; Mei et al. 2007; Blakeslee et al. 2009) and is still in the midst of virialization (Gavazzi et al. 1999; Mei et al. 2007). These two key factors permit detailed analysis of galaxies that are undergoing (and have undergone) physical transformations that are attributable to a range of processes, including tidal interactions, galaxy harassment, and ram-pressure stripping (Boselli & Gavazzi 2006; Boselli et al. 2008a,b). The cluster is made up of galaxies of nearly every observed type in the local universe from giant ellipticals and extended late-type galaxies to dwarf elliptical (dE) and ultra compact dwarfs (UCDs). However, it is primarily dominated by quiescent systems, as shown by the morphology segregation effect (Dressler 1980; Binggeli et al. 1987; Sandage et al. 1985).

Initial work has been performed on the GUViCS data set over the past three years. In GUViCS Paper I, Boselli et al. (2011) discuss the science objectives of GUViCS and present the first measurement of the NUV and FUV luminosity functions in the central 12 deg^2 of the cluster (centered on M87) down to $M = -10$. They find slopes similar to those measured for the UV luminosity functions in the field and in the B -band in Virgo. In GUViCS Paper II, Boissier et al. (2012) combine UV and multiwavelength observations (H I, optical, H α) to measure the level of star formation in gas that has been ram-pressure stripped from cluster galaxies. They find the amount of star formation is low compared to the amount of fuel available and provide constraints for future spectro-photometric models of galaxy evolution. The GUViCS project is also complementary to several recent multiwavelength surveys of the Virgo Cluster, including the Next Generation Virgo Cluster Survey (NGVS; Ferrarese et al. 2012) which is done in optical bands ($u^*g'r'i'z'$) with the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT), the *Herschel* Virgo Cluster Survey (HeViCS; Davies et al. 2010) in the far-infrared ($100\text{--}500 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$), and the Arecibo Legacy Fast ALFA survey (ALFALFA; Giovanelli et al. 2005) in H I. Together, these data can facilitate large statistical studies on detailed properties of Virgo Cluster members and galaxies in the background of the cluster (Boselli et al., in prep.).

In this paper, we construct and present UV catalogs of extended and point-like sources within and behind the Virgo Cluster. We use targeted and archival NUV and FUV GALEX observations to construct the deepest possible data set in each band, and we identify extended sources from well known catalogs, including the Virgo Cluster Catalog (VCC; Binggeli et al. 1985) and the Catalog of Galaxies and of Clusters of Galaxies (CGCG; Zwicky et al. 1961). The resulting GUViCS catalogs are extensive, containing 1 230 855 point-like sources and 1770 extended sources. The point-like source catalog is matched with existing large optical databases and catalogs to obtain optical photometry, identify stars, find additional extended galaxies, and

provide redshifts that can differentiate background galaxies and cluster members. We also perform integrated UV photometry on all GUViCS extended sources and test its reliability, as well as the reliability of the GALEX pipeline photometry for the point-like catalog.

This full set of data is fundamental for several reasons. The Virgo Cluster covers one of the largest areas of the sky mapped from the UV to the far-infrared (500 microns) at an unprecedented depth and is thus ideal for future cosmological studies. The UV data are necessary to determine accurate photometric redshifts for distant objects and to study the star-formation history of galaxies at different redshifts from the great wall at $\sim 7000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ to the distant universe. The large volume sampled allows the study of stellar populations in background ellipticals and of their dependence on environment or redshift. For example, 452 clusters from the MaxBCG catalog of Koester et al. (2007) are included in the coordinate range considered here. The GUViCS FUV and NUV data are available for ~ 170 central bright cluster galaxies of these clusters below redshift ~ 0.3 , allowing for an analysis of the evolution of their FUV-NUV colors (Cucciati et al., in prep.). This could reveal potential star formation or the evolution of the UV upturn. More generally, the pilot survey of the NGVS (central 4 square degrees) found thousands of early type galaxies in the redshift range of $0.2\text{--}0.7$, predicting that the final NGVS catalog will be extremely rich with these galaxies. The GUViCS FUV, NUV, and NGVS u^* -band data will allow the study of their rest-frame UV properties. Additionally, between 500 to 1000 clusters will be identified in the NGVS data (Mei et al. 2013), allowing us to study how these properties are affected by the environment. The GUViCS extended and point-like source catalogs will be the starting point for all future studies in the Virgo Cluster and in the background of Virgo.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we introduce the GALEX UV data used here. In Sect. 3, we describe the methods used for the detection of UV extended sources in the GALEX catalogs and the procedure used to reduce the GALEX catalogs for point-like sources. In Sect. 4, we measure and discuss the limitations on UV photometry for extended and point-like sources. In Sect. 5, we discuss the matching of the GUViCS point-like source catalog to optical data sets. In Sect. 6, we present the analysis of extended source statistics and magnitudes and of new Virgo members and background sources from the UV point-like source catalog. Section 7 gives the summary and results of this work. Finally, Appendices A–G provide further technical details of this work, that are not discussed in the main text, and Appendices H–J present the GUViCS catalogs.

2. Ultraviolet data

The GUViCS survey is a combination of archival and targeted GALEX observations over an area of $\sim 120 \text{ deg}^2$ centered on M87, covering $180^\circ \leq \text{RA} \leq 195^\circ$ and $0^\circ \leq \text{Dec} \leq 20^\circ$ (Boselli et al. 2011). Here, we use GALEX archival observations of all available data, since the 7th GALEX data release (GR7; Feb. 27th, 2013) from

- the Nearby Galaxies Survey (NGS);
- the All-Sky Imaging Survey (AIS);
- the Medium Imaging Survey (MIS);
- the Deep Imaging Survey (DIS);
- all public guest investigator observations.

New observations were awarded specifically for the GUViCS project during the GALEX guest investigator cycle 6 (GI6-001, P.I. Boselli). These data cover 94 new NUV pointings to

Table 1. GALEX tiles in the GUViCS area.

GALEX survey	# NUV tiles	# FUV tiles
new GUViCS	94	0
AGES	27	0
NGS	23	20
AIS	305	295
MIS	19	14
DIS	13	12
Guest investigator	101	83

complete the GALEX coverage of the cluster at $\sim$ MIS depth (~ 1.5 ks). The majority of new GUViCS data was collected between March–April 2010, and the observations were completed in March 2011. Due to the non-operational status of GALEX’s FUV detector in early 2010, it was not possible to observe any GUViCS program fields in the FUV. Additionally, we include 27 NUV and FUV guest investigator fields from program GI2-125 (P.I. A. Boselli) that are part of a previous Virgo Cluster observational campaign, as proposed by several members of this team covering the Arecibo Galactic Environment Survey (AGES) area down to MIS depths. Further discussion of the GALEX data reduction is in Appendix A.

Figures 1 and 2 show the combined archival and targeted GUViCS data coverage maps with respect to the depth of each pointing. This represents the initial data set that we use as a base for the UV Virgo Cluster catalogs. Table 1 summarizes the number of GALEX tiles available in the GUViCS area from each survey for the NUV- and FUV-bands. It shows that $\sim 78\%$ of the GALEX image tiles in the GUViCS region have an observation in the FUV-band, but the majority of these are very shallow AIS images. The gaps in GALEX coverage shown in Figs. 1 and 2 (i.e., black empty regions) were purposely avoided during observations due to the presence of bright stars in those areas. These figures also demonstrate how heavily the FUV observations are affected by Galactic cirrus compared to the NUV-band.

In this work we utilize the GALEX *merged object catalogs* (*mcats*) that are the final combined versions of the NUV and FUV catalogs output for each GALEX tile by the automated pipeline. The *mcats* are produced by matching the NUV and FUV detections in the same GALEX tile that have signal-to-noise (S/N) > 2 within a tolerance of $3''$, according to their pairwise match probabilities (Morrissey et al. 2007). The *mcats* catalog provides a single set of coordinates for each GALEX source as well as NUV and FUV photometric parameters in several different aperture types, where the data is available.

3. Catalog construction

3.1. The GUViCS Extended Source Catalog

Extended sources are treated separately from point-like sources since their large angular sizes require a separate method of source detection and photometry (Boselli et al. 2011). To initially identify extended sources in the GUViCS data set, we match 2096 VCC galaxies (Binggeli et al. 1985), 353 CGCG¹ galaxies (Zwicky et al. 1961), and 95 galaxies in various other catalogs with the GALEX *mcats*. These are selected from either

Table 2. Extended source statistics.

Catalog	Total	Virgo members ^d	Background
VCC	1340	1033	307
CGCG	335	88	247
Other (NED)	95	15	80

Notes. ^(a) Membership determinations of CGCG and other extended sources are based on velocity measurements of those sources provided in GOLDMine or NED. Membership determination of VCC sources comes from Binggeli et al. (1985, 1993), where in some cases, it is based on galaxy velocity, while in other cases, it is based on the surface brightness versus photographic magnitude ($\sim m_B$ in Vega system) of the galaxy.

the GOLDMine² (Gavazzi et al. 2003) or NED³ databases. We obtain the following galaxy parameters from GOLDMine for sources in the VCC and CGCG: central coordinates, optical diameters (from photographic plates), apparent photographic Vega magnitude (m_{pg}), galaxy types, velocities (heliocentric), and membership to the various Virgo substructures. NED provides central coordinates, major diameters, minor diameters, galaxy types, and velocities (heliocentric). Position angles for 404 VCC late-type galaxies are taken from Meyer & Lisker (in prep.) and were calculated based on the r' -band light within two Petrosian apertures. For CGCG sources, position angles are obtained from NED, where available.

The deepest GALEX image available for each extended source is used for its inspection and photometry. We perform a visual inspection of each galaxy by comparing its UV image with its optical color-combined image to determine if it is a real UV detection. We use the NGVS Graphical Search Tool⁴ to examine deep optical images. The UV-optical comparison is particularly important for cases where small red extended sources have bluer UV background sources interloping in their optical apertures. If the optical image is not examined in these cases, then a UV background detection might be mistaken for emission from the foreground galaxy. Ultimately, we find that 1770 extended sources are real UV detections, where 1136 are Virgo member galaxies and 634 are background galaxies. Hereafter, we refer to this catalog as the UV Virgo Cluster extended source (UV-VES) catalog. Table 2 provides a statistical breakdown of UV-VES catalog’s Virgo members and background galaxies by catalog of origin.

3.2. The GUViCS Point-Like Source Catalog

All UV Virgo point-like sources are from the GALEX *mcats*. Since there is a significant amount of GALEX tile overlap in the GUViCS area, we initially reduce the *mcats* corresponding to any overlapping tiles. Sources from the deepest observations in the regions of overlap are retained, and sources from the shallower observations are discarded. Next, all *mcats* are combined into a single catalog. In this way, we construct the deepest possible unified point-like source catalog from the original *mcats*. Further technical details of the catalog reduction procedure are discussed in Appendix B. Hereafter, we refer to this catalog as the UV Virgo Cluster point-like source (UV-VPS) catalog.

¹ GOLDMine contains 111 Virgo CGCG sources since the database only includes the CGCG catalog up to apparent photographic Vega magnitude $m_{pg} = 14.5$. The 242 fainter CGCG sources are obtained from NED.

² <http://goldmine.mib.infn.it/>

³ <http://ned.ipac.caltech.edu/>

⁴ <http://www2.cadc-ccda.hia-ihp.nrc-cnrc.gc.ca/gsky/ndata.html>

Fig. 1. NUV GALEX coverage of the GUViCS survey area. Both RA and Dec are given in units of degrees. The black and white sky image mosaic is created from the AIS-like GALEX fields (exposure time < 800 s) in the GUViCS area. The individual circles represent 0.6° radial GALEX fields with deeper exposure times than AIS. Dashed circles indicate that the field is either a targeted GUViCS or AGES field (P.I. A. Boselli). Red circles are GALEX fields with exposure times between 800 s–1500 s, cyan circles are GALEX fields with exposure times between 1500 s–30 000 s, and dark blue circles are GALEX fields with exposure times $> 30\,000$ s. Gaps in GALEX coverage (i.e., black empty regions) were purposely avoided during observations due to the presence of bright stars in those areas. The large yellow footprint represents the area covered by the NGVS in optical bands (CFHT $u^*g'r'i'z'$), the green footprints represent the area covered by the HeViCS in the infrared ($100\,\mu\text{m}$, $160\,\mu\text{m}$, $250\,\mu\text{m}$, $350\,\mu\text{m}$, and $500\,\mu\text{m}$), and the ALFALFA survey in radio (HI) covers the entire GUViCS area between $0^\circ < \text{Dec} < 20^\circ$.

Initially, we clean the UV-VPS catalog of sources that are matched to bright stars and sources within extended VCC and CGCG galaxy apertures. We remove 12 211 bright foreground

stars that are matched to SIMBAD Astronomical Database⁵ sources. Point-like matches to UV-detected extended sources are

⁵ <http://simbad.u-strasbg.fr/simbad/>

Fig. 2. FUV GALEX coverage of the GUViCS survey area. Both RA and Dec are given in units of degrees. The explanation of the figure is the same as for Fig. 1. The background image demonstrates how dominant Galactic cirrus is in FUV wavelengths compared to the NUV.

removed, as well as 6702 confirmed stars and background galaxies⁶ within their UV apertures. Additionally, we remove the 197 pipeline detections matched to VCC sources that are non-UV detections based on our visual inspection of VCC galaxies (see Sect. 3.1). We considered these pipeline detections to be spurious. If they remained in the point-like source catalog it would incorrectly include non-UV detected VCC sources that could present false matches with other surveys and catalogs.

⁶ Object type confirmations are made with the SDSS database.

Next, we clean the UV-VPS catalog of spurious point-like detections (i.e., detections of noise) based on GALEX magnitude limits in the literature (Morrissey et al. 2007; Hammer et al. 2010; Geach et al. 2011; Haberzettl et al. 2012). The UV-VPS catalog is not uniform in depth, since it combines many different GALEX observing campaigns with a large range of exposure times. Therefore, cuts in magnitude for spurious detections vary depending on the exposure time of each point-like source. Table 3 provides the m_{NUV} and m_{FUV} cuts with respect to

Table 3. Magnitude limits for the removal of spurious UV sources from the GALEX *mcats*.

GALEX Image type	Exposure time range (s)	m_{NUV} limit	m_{FUV} limit	σ_{NUV}^a	σ_{FUV}^a	$\text{mean}_{\Delta\text{NUV}}^a$	$\text{mean}_{\Delta\text{FUV}}^a$
AIS-like	$t \leq 381$	21.3	20.4	0.215	0.301	-0.025	-0.026
MIS-like	$381 < t \leq 2500$	23.2	23.1	0.303	0.354	-0.026	-0.053
DIS-like	$2500 < t \leq 30\,000$	24.9	25.3	0.342	0.380	-0.037	0.088
DEEP	$30\,000 < t$	25.5	25.5	0.344	0.367	-0.092	-0.090

Notes. ^(a) See Fig. 6 for the distributions of point-source photometry from which these values are calculated.

exposure time. They are ~ 0.5 mag fainter than the Morrissey et al. (2007) 5σ limiting magnitudes for the AIS, MIS, and DIS surveys. A few fields⁷ have exposure times > 30 ks in the GUViCS area. Our determination of the magnitude limit (i.e., DEEP in Table 3) after which detections are considered spurious in these images is based on investigations of detection completeness in deep GALEX fields (Hammer et al. 2010; Geach et al. 2011; Haberzettl et al. 2012). Finally, random visual checks are made on samples of spurious detections in each exposure time range in Table 3. They confirm that we are indeed removing spurious detections and not real UV sources. In total, these magnitude cuts eliminate a significant fraction of sources, removing $\sim 44\%$ of NUV sources and $\sim 53\%$ of FUV sources.

After cleaning stars, extended sources, and spurious detections from the UV-VPS catalog, 1 231 331 UV sources remain. There are 1 197 637 sources ($\sim 97\%$) with NUV detections, 201 004 sources ($\sim 16\%$) with FUV detections, and 33 694 sources ($\sim 2.7\%$) that are only detected in the FUV-band. A discussion of the reliability and caveats of the UV-VPS can be found in Appendix C.

4. Photometry

As discussed in Boselli et al. (2011), the photometry of extended and point-like UV sources must be treated separately. We get the total magnitudes of point-like sources from the GALEX *mcats* m_{NUV} ⁸ and m_{FUV} ⁹ measurements, along with their respective errors. These are GALEX calibrated measurements of *MAG_AUTO* Kron magnitudes (Kron 1980) that are calculated by SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996).

Photometry for extended sources must be performed manually. Despite some similarities to their optical morphologies, the UV observations of local galaxies tend to be clumpy in comparison, since the UV picks out regions of on-going star formation and not the more homogeneous evolved stellar populations. Thus, it is quite difficult to implement a standard routine for automatic photometry (e.g., SExtractor) on a large set of nearby UV galaxies. Additionally, the large photometric apertures of extended sources are prone to contamination by foreground stars and background galaxies that must be removed on a case-by-case basis. Following the methods of Boselli et al. (2011), we utilize the FUNTOOLS analysis package in DS9 to measure UV extended source photometry in manually created apertures.

⁷ NUV: G14_012001_PG1216p069 (33 699.85 s), G14_012004_RXJ1230d8p0115 (46 087.9 s), and G14_012003_3c273 (50 050.5 s); FUV: G14_012001_PG1216p069 (30 170.35 s) and G14_012004_RXJ1230d8p0115 (31 246.25 s).

⁸ NUV magnitudes and errors are from the GALEX *mcats* fields *nuv_mag* and *nuv_magerr*.

⁹ FUV magnitudes and errors are from the GALEX *mcats* fields *fuv_mag* and *fuv_magerr*.

These measurements provide aperture magnitudes that are well suited for many studies (e.g., the luminosity function of the cluster). The aperture parameters can also be used as initial input for measurements of the extrapolated UV magnitudes (Cortese et al. 2012). Details of the UV aperture construction are given in Appendix D.

To calculate the average background flux in the vicinity of each galaxy, we fill a circular annulus that extends $1.5'$ from the edge of the semi-major axis with small circular background apertures that have $7.5''$ radii. For each galaxy, the background apertures are manually moved to a dark region of the sky if we find they contain any small UV sources. Figure 3 provides examples of the final galaxy and background apertures for three VCC sources. To calculate the statistical errors on the UV magnitudes we follow the error estimation procedures described in Boselli et al. (2003), Gil de Paz et al. (2007), and Cortese et al. (2012). The calculation is described in Appendix E.

Testing photometric accuracy of extended sources

We compare the results of our photometry with the catalog of Cortese et al. (2012), as shown in Fig. 4. Cortese et al. (2012) measure the UV asymptotic and D_{25} magnitudes from GALEX data of sources in the *Herschel* Reference Survey (Boselli et al. 2010). They have 143 VCC sources in common with our UV-VES catalog. We find that the standard deviation of differences between our integrated and their asymptotic magnitudes is ~ 0.1 mag, and there is an average difference of -0.05 mag, where the asymptotic magnitudes are brighter for both the NUV- and FUV-bands, on average. This offset results from Cortese et al. (2012) providing an extrapolated magnitude value that, by definition, is always larger than any possible measure within a given aperture. Additionally, we find no clear trend when comparing our integrated magnitudes to their D_{25} magnitudes.

4.1. Variations with exposure time

An effective way to estimate the uncertainty of a given magnitude is to determine the change in magnitude when it is measured in an image of lower exposure time. By performing this test with larger statistics in images of similar depths, it is possible to build up a quantitative estimate of magnitude uncertainty, and an understanding of the faint magnitude limits. We perform these tests for both extended and point-like sources in the final GUViCS catalogs.

Figure 5 shows the NUV and FUV change in magnitude with respect to the magnitude in the deepest image for all extended sources that have coverage in a shallower GALEX field. The upper two plots show the magnitude differences between fields within the same exposure time ranges from Table 3, while the lower two plots show magnitude differences between fields in

Fig. 3. Examples of NUV galaxy and background photometry apertures for extended sources VCC 125, VCC 950, and VCC 483. Horizontal axis coordinates are degrees of right ascension (J2000), and vertical axis coordinates are degrees of declination (J2000). Solid red ellipses are the UV photometry apertures, smaller red circle-backslash apertures are masked regions, and black dashed circles are the background apertures.

Fig. 4. Comparison of GUViCS photometry to GALEX UV photometry for VCC sources in common with the Cortese et al. (2012) HRS galaxy catalog. The lightly shaded rectangle spanning the length of each plot represents the 1σ spread in the magnitude of all the differences. The dotted horizontal line marks the value of the mean of all the differences. The numerical values of these two quantities are given in the upper left corner in each plot.

different exposure time ranges. The exposure time of the deepest field is indicated by the color of each point as shown in the legend. First, the NUV data shows much smaller differences in magnitude, on average, compared to the FUV data. This could be explained by larger NUV statistics and deeper NUV coverage as a result of the early decommissioning of the GALEX FUV instrument. However, the uncertainty in magnitude appears to

increase at similar points for both sets of plots: $m_{UV} \sim 20$ between images of differing exposure times and $m_{UV} \sim 23$ between images of similar exposure times. Thus, sources fainter than $m_{UV} \sim 20$ can have an uncertainty of at least ± 0.3 magnitude (or ± 1 in a few cases) in an image shallower than MIS or DIS data. This uncertainty largely increases for sources fainter than $m_{UV} \sim 22$.

Fig. 5. Difference in UV magnitudes versus UV magnitudes of GUViCS extended galaxies with more than one independent measurement. The NUV and FUV data are plotted in the *left and right panels*, respectively. The legend shows each point colored with respect to the GALEX field type of the magnitude taken from the field with the deepest exposure time in the comparison (i.e., the magnitude in the GUViCS catalog). The *upper set of plots* show magnitude differences for photometry done in fields from the same exposure time categories (i.e., in the ranges provided in Table 3). The *lower set of plots* show magnitude differences for photometry done in fields from different exposure time categories (e.g., DIS-MIS). The lightly shaded rectangle spanning the length of each plot represent the 1σ spread in the magnitude of all the differences. The dotted horizontal line marks the value of the mean of all the differences. The numerical values of these two quantities are given in the upper left corner of each plot.

We can quantify the uncertainty in GALEX pipeline magnitudes of point-like sources by comparing magnitudes taken in images of different depths for the same source. Figure 6 shows the differences in magnitude as a function of the deepest magnitude for point-like sources with shallower overlapping GALEX fields within similar exposure time ranges (Table 3). From top to bottom are the AIS, MIS, DIS, and DEEP distributions. Their average 1σ variation is represented by the large shaded rectangles, and the 1σ variation within each magnitude bin is marked by the green transparent boxes centered at the median value in each bin on the vertical axis. The low statistics in the AIS plots are a result of the initial cutting a majority of spurious AIS pipeline sources from the catalog (i.e., AIS-depth sources fainter than $m_{\text{NUV}} = 21.3$ and $m_{\text{FUV}} = 20.4$), leaving an average of ~ 180 NUV and ~ 35 FUV sources in AIS fields. Thus, the chance of finding a source match between overlapping AIS images of different exposure times is highly unlikely. For most plots in Fig. 6, excluding the FUV DIS and DEEP plots, the bin-by-bin uncertainty estimates remain lower than the overall 1σ uncertainty until $m_{\text{uv}} \sim 23$. Thus, we can reasonably say that pipeline photometry up to this magnitude has uncertainties within $\pm 0.2\sigma$, on average.

5. Matching with optical data

In the following sections, we discuss the details of cross-matching the UV-VPS catalog with the SDSS 9th data release (DR9), the NGVS source catalog, and NED. We do this to categorize as many UV sources as possible as background objects, Virgo Cluster members, and stars, as well as to obtain optical photometry in various aperture types, z_{phot} , and z_{spec} , where available. Additionally, matching the UV-VPS catalog with the NED database locates additional extended Virgo sources that are not part of the VCC and CGCG dataset from GOLDMine.

We note that two scenarios of multiple source matching can occur in the cases of matching to SDSS and NGVS. First, GUViCS sources tend to find two or more optical matches in situations where two or more individual sources are blended in the UV image. This is especially problematic for identifying the optical counterparts of chance aligned background sources, as well as background sources aligned with foreground stars or relatively small Virgo member galaxies. Secondly, there is a small percentage of multiple GUViCS sources matched to the same optical source when UV sources are shredded by the GALEX pipeline. Since all known extended sources and bright stars have

Fig. 6. Difference in UV magnitudes versus UV magnitudes of GUViCS point-like sources. The NUV and FUV data are plotted in the left and right panels, respectively. All plots show magnitude differences for photometry done in fields from the same exposure time categories (i.e., in the ranges provided in Table 3). The lightly shaded rectangle spanning the length of each plot represents the 1σ spread in the magnitude of all the differences. The dotted horizontal line marks the value of the mean of all the differences. The numerical values of these two quantities are given in the upper left corner of each plot. The transparent boxes marking each magnitude bin in each distribution provide the 1σ spread within that magnitude bin and are centered at the mean value for that bin.

already been removed from the UV-VPS catalog, this population represents cases of shredding of point-like sources only. Examples of both multiple match scenarios with SDSS data are shown in Fig. 7.

Below, we discuss the details of matching with each of these optical datasets.

5.1. GUViCS-SDSS matching

The SDSS provides the most extensive set of broadband optical data of extragalactic sources to date down to an r' -band point source magnitude of $m_{r'} = 22.2$ at 95% completeness with a median point spread function $FWHM = 1.3''$. DR9¹⁰ (released

¹⁰ <http://www.sdss3.org/dr9/>

Fig. 7. Examples of two multiple matching scenarios between the UV-VPS catalog and the SDSS database. The *upper panel* shows GALEX NUV images, where GUViCS sources are inside the solid red Kron apertures, and SDSS sources are in the dashed black 5'' radii apertures. The *lower panel* shows SDSS i' images for comparison with SDSS sources inside the dashed black regions. *Left:* a single GUViCS source finds a match to two SDSS sources within 5''. *Right:* a single SDSS source has two GUViCS matches within 5'' of its center. These types of multiple matches are excluded from the UV-VPS catalog in Appendix I but can be recovered in the full SDSS-GUViCS matched catalog in Appendix J using the *VPS_ID* catalog parameter.

August 2012; Ahn et al. 2012) includes all data from prior SDSS data releases with improvements in the determination of stellar parameters and astrometry since DR8 (Aihara et al. 2011).

We match GUViCS to SDSS optical sources within a tolerance of 5'' of angular separation on the sky. This tolerance was selected based on the GALEX point spread function ($\sim 5''$); the GALEX point spread function cannot distinguish two sources within $\sim 5''$ of one another and thus two or more optical sources within this radius should most probably be matched with the same GALEX source. However, we note that this match tolerance introduces a notable number of multiply-matched sources (Budavári et al. 2009). We find 926 147 UV sources (75% of the UV-VPS catalog) with one or more optical counterparts in the SDSS database. About 86% of these are one-to-one matches, 13% are one-to-multiple optical-to-UV matches, and 1% are one-to-multiple UV-to-optical matches. The complete statistics are provided in Table 4.

5.1.1. One-to-one matches

Only the one-to-one GUViCS-SDSS matches are categorized as stars, Virgo Cluster members, and background sources. To select these sources, we follow the same criteria outlined in Boselli et al. (2011). Stars are determined from SDSS parameters in two ways. First, we find all spectroscopically identified stars in the SDSS data¹¹. Secondly, we select stars determined

¹¹ I.e. SDSS parameter *class* = STAR.

Table 4. Statistics of GUViCS-to-SDSS UV-optical matching.

Match type	Number	% of Sources matched	% Total UV-VPS
One-to-One	799 486	86.3	65.0
NUV	785 823	86.7	65.6
FUV	141 548	82.6	70.7
Multiple			
SDSS-to-GUViCS	119 090	12.9	9.7
NUV	116 634	12.9	9.7
FUV	25 787	15.1	12.9
Multiple			
GUViCS-to-SDSS	9697	1.0	0.8
NUV	5138	0.6	0.4
FUV	4897	2.9	2.4

Notes. These statistics are calculated from the final version of the UV-VPS catalog in Appendix I that has 1 230 85 UV sources. This catalog has been cleaned of all sources within apertures of additional extended sources found in NED (see Sect. 5.3).

photometrically by SDSS with r' -band magnitudes ≤ 21 ¹². This criteria has been tested and confirmed by several authors (Lupton et al. 2001; Oyaizu et al. 2008; Hammer et al. 2010). Next, we categorize sources with $z_{\text{spec}} < 0.01167$ ($v_{\text{vel}} < 3500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ taking the elongated structure of the Virgo Cluster (Gavazzi et al. 1999) into account) as Virgo Cluster members and sources with $z_{\text{spec}} \geq 0.01167$ as background sources. In the remaining catalog, we consider any sources with clean photometry, r' -band magnitudes¹³ < 20 , and $z_{\text{phot}} \geq 0.1$ as background sources (Oyaizu et al. 2008).

All sources remaining uncategorized after the application of these conditions are considered “to be determined” (TBD) sources and are flagged as such in the final UV-VPS catalog¹⁴. GUViCS source matches are found with 134 006 stars, 86 921 background sources, 103 Virgo members, and 575 500 TBD matches. We present the matched GUViCS-SDSS data in Appendices I and J.

5.2. GUViCS-NGVS matching

The NGVS (Ferrarese et al. 2012) is a Large Program carried out on the 3.6 m CFHT using the 1 deg² MegaCam imager (Boulade et al. 2003). The survey targets 104 square degrees in Virgo, covering the cluster from its core to its virial radius in 117 pointings. At the time of writing, the survey is complete in the u^* , g' , i' , and z' filters with only limited coverage in r' . The NGVS is significantly deeper than the SDSS, reaching a point source magnitude depth $m_g = 25.7$ at a $S/N = 10$ and a surface brightness depth of $\mu_g = 29 \text{ mag arcsec}^{-2}$. The spatial resolution (between 0.5 and 0.9 arcsec, depending on the filter) is also superior to the SDSS which improves morphological identification of background galaxies. Details of the NGVS source catalog, that are used here, are provided in Appendix F.

Again, we match the UV-VPS and NGVS catalogs within a tolerance of 5'' in angular separation since this is

¹² I.e. Objects have SDSS parameters *type* = 6 and *cModelMag_r* ≤ 21 . SDSS photometrically separates stars from galaxies by comparing their *cModelMag* and *psfMag* measurements. Any source that has *psfMag* - *cModelMag* ≤ 0.145 is classified as a star.

¹³ I.e. SDSS parameters *clean* = 1, and *cModelMag_r* < 20 .

¹⁴ See the *SDSS_SRCSTBD* field in Table I.1.

Table 5. Statistics of GUViCS-to-NGVS UV-optical matching within NGVS footprint.

Match type	Number	% of Sources matched	% Total UV-VPS
One-to-One	215 631	31.5	30.5
NUV	209 597	31.5	30.5
FUV	42 932	39.8	38.9
Multiple			
NGVS-to-GUViCS	466 450	68.2	66.0
NUV	455 947	68.4	66.3
FUV	63 923	59.3	57.9
Multiple			
GUViCS-to-NGVS	9211	1.3	1.3
NUV	6013	0.9	0.9
FUV	3703	3.4	3.4

Notes. These statistics are calculated from the final version of the UV-VPS catalog in Appendix I that has 1 230 85 UV sources. This catalog has been cleaned of all sources within apertures of additional extended sources found in NED (see Sect. 5.3).

approximately the minimum point spread function of GALEX. Considering only GUViCS sources located in the NGVS footprint (706 847 UV sources, $\sim 57\%$ of the entire UV-VPS catalog), we find 683 888 (96%) have at least one match in the NGVS source catalog. Of these, 31.5% are one-to-one matches, 68% are multiple NGVS-to-GUViCS matches, and 1% are multiple GUViCS-to-NGVS matches. Table 5 provides the complete matching statistics. We use the NGVS catalog parameters of one-to-one GUViCS-NGVS matches to categorize UV objects as stars, Virgo members, background sources, and sources “to be determined” (TBD). Background sources and Virgo members are selected solely on their z_{spec} , where available, and the majority of sources we find within the cluster are most likely globular clusters or UCDs. The TBD sources consist of any one-to-one matches that are not in the other three categories and with a good m_g . There are 16 016 UV sources matched to stars, 521 matched to background sources, 8 matched to Virgo members, and 154 865 TBD matches.

Currently, we do not provide NGVS photometric data for these sources in the UV-VPS catalog since the final NGVS catalog is still in preparation. However, we do provide columns that indicate which UV sources have NGVS counterparts, which are stars and note whether these are one-to-one or multiple matches¹⁵, in Appendix I. Once the NGVS source catalog is published (Gwyn et al., in prep.), the full data will be added to the UV-VPS catalog on the GUViCS website.

5.3. GUViCS-NED matching

We make a final cross-match of the UV-VPS catalog with NED to obtain any further redshift information on GUViCS sources and to find any other extended sources that are not CGCG sources in the GOLDMine database. We batch query the NED database within the areas of 32 circular fields with 300' radii each that together provide complete coverage of the GUViCS footprint. Since there are large overlaps between the 32 fields, we remove any duplicate sources from our query output. We retrieve NED object names, central coordinates, redshifts, and redshift quality flags (QF) for each NED source. The QFs provide

¹⁵ I.e. fields *NGVS_NumMchs*, *NGVS_STAR*, and *NGVS_multUV* in Table I.1.

Table 6. Statistics of GUViCS-to-NED UV-optical matching.

Match type	Number	% of Sources matched	% Total UV-VPS
One-to-One	5916	95.9	0.5
NUV	5762	96.9	0.5
FUV	2935	96.5	1.5
Multiple			
NED-to-GUViCS	121	2.0	0.0
NUV	117	2.0	0.0
FUV	41	1.3	0.0
Multiple			
GUViCS-to-NED	130	2.1	0.0
NUV	65	1.1	0.0
FUV	67	2.2	0.0

Notes. These statistics are calculated from the initial version of the UV-VPS catalog that has 1 231 331 UV sources.

information on how each redshift was obtained. We use the QFs to make sure we use spectroscopically based redshifts to further categorize the UV sources. We select QFs of type SPEC (spectroscopic redshift) and 1LIN (spectroscopic redshift from a single spectral line) as reliable redshifts with the ability to sort between foreground and background sources in the cluster. All sources with redshifts associated with any other QF are flagged as TBD sources in the UV-VPS catalog. The final NED catalog contains 35 575 sources, and 26 915 (76%) of these have z_{spec} , enabling the separation of Virgo Cluster members from background sources for those objects also detected in the UV data.

About 0.5% of objects in the UV-VPS find a match in the NED catalog within a tolerance of 4". This tolerance is 1" lower than that used for matching with the SDSS and NGVS data because we know that NED sources are resolved and extended; thus, the poor GALEX point spread function is less problematic in this situation. Statistics of one-to-one and multiple matches are provided in Table 6. There are 332 point-like source matches of which 284 are background sources (i.e., $z_{\text{spec}} \geq 0.01167$), 27 are new Virgo members (i.e., $z_{\text{spec}} < 0.01167$), 18 are stars, one is a globular cluster, and two objects are questionable. The NED redshifts and flags are listed in the UV-VPS catalog in Appendix I. An additional 95 sources are matched to NED extended sources. Therefore, we remove these sources from the UV-VPS catalog and add them to the UV-VES catalog.

6. Analysis

6.1. UV Extended Sources

Through a visual investigation, we find that 65% (1436) of all VCC and CGCG Virgo Cluster sources are UV detections, and 44% of these (625) do not have any GALEX FUV observations. There are 366 VCC and CGCG extended sources that are not detected in the UV, that is, their signal is at the level of noise in the GALEX image. The majority of these galaxies are dEs, irregulars, and unknown types. However, 136 of these sources only have coverage in AIS-depth images and might be detectable at faint levels in deeper data. Figure 8 shows three examples of non-UV detections in GALEX images deeper than AIS-depth.

Figure 9 shows the distribution of extended galaxy types detected in the UV data for VCC and CGCG GOLDMine sources. The NUV detects $\geq 90\%$ of all galaxy types in Virgo, except for dE, dS0, Sdm, and dIm/dE types. The majority of extended Virgo Cluster galaxies emitting in the FUV are Sa-Sd, BCDs,

Fig. 8. Extended sources VCC 364 (type Im), VCC 721 (type dE), and VCC 1776 (type dIm/dE) are three examples where an extended source finds a match in the GALEX pipeline catalogs, but, upon visual inspection is not detected in the UV. The horizontal axis coordinates are degrees of right ascension (J2000), and the vertical axis coordinates are degrees of declination (J2000). The *upper panel* shows GALEX NUV images, and the *bottom panel* shows the NGVS i' -band images for comparison. The thick white regions are the VCC optical apertures, and the thin green regions are the GALEX pipeline Kron elliptical apertures.

and peculiar galaxy types. The majority of galaxy types detected in the NUV are dE and dS0 types. However, they only represent 48% of total number of dEs and dS0s in the entire cluster. Additionally, they are also the lowest number of detections per type in the FUV-band due to their red colors (Boselli et al. 2005). We note that the lower percentage of FUV detected S0s compared to Es detected in the FUV is most likely due to a lack of deep FUV coverage for S0s. The morphology-density effect (Dressler 1980; Binggeli et al. 1987; Whitmore et al. 1993) states that Es dominate cluster cores and that S0s are more abundant in central parts of clusters but are generally lacking in the core. The central part of the Virgo Cluster has deep FUV data, and thus coverage for Es, while these data are more sporadic towards the periphery where the fraction of S0/E increases (see Fig. 2). The periphery is primarily covered by AIS FUV fields that are too shallow to detect many S0s located in the GUViCS footprint.

Through our visual investigation, we find that $\sim 13\%$ of UV detected dEs have nucleated UV cores, and we flag these objects in the UV-VES catalog. Nucleated dE are a typical class of objects in Virgo first identified by the VCC survey (Binggeli et al. 1985) and discussed in Binggeli & Cameron (1991). They have been extensively discussed in Côté et al. (2006), and first detected in the UV by Boselli et al. (2008a).

6.1.1. Magnitude distributions

The distributions of extended source magnitudes in the NUV and FUV are shown in Fig. 10. The NUV distribution begins

to drop-off after $m_{\text{NUV}} \sim 22$ and the FUV distribution after $m_{\text{FUV}} \sim 20$. Figure 11 separates these plots into bins of galaxy type (CGCG from GOLDMine and NED, and VCC from Binggeli et al. 1985, 1993) showing that the distributions are qualitatively similar between UV bands for each galaxy type, except for the dIm/dE/? types that have a brighter peak in their FUV distribution and not in their NUV distribution.

6.2. UV point-like cluster and background sources

The combined statistics from matching with SDSS, NGVS, and NED are provided in Table 7. In Appendix G we provide a deeper analysis of GUViCS matches to SDSS and NGVS sources within the NGVS footprint. We find that 69% of the entire UV-VPS catalog have a one-to-one match in at least one of these optical catalogs. However, the majority of these matches (73%) do not have available measurements that can distinguish if they are in the foreground or background of the cluster (i.e., TBD sources). The other 27% of one-to-one matches are either categorized as stars, Virgo members, or background sources. We find 129 new UV Virgo Cluster members that are not in the VCC or CGCG catalog, and 88 291 confirmed cluster background sources. Figure 12 shows the redshift distribution of the new Virgo members (bottom plot) and background sources (top plot). The background sources identified via z_{phot} are from SDSS with $m_r < 20$; however, SDSS z_{phot} cannot reliably identify Virgo Cluster members.

Fig. 9. Distribution of GOLDMine UV VCC and CGCG extended source galaxy types detected in the GUViCS area. Percentages are of the total number of that optical galaxy type within the GUViCS area per band. This does not necessarily indicate the total percent detected for these types in the UV because some of these galaxies could have been categorized as non-detections due to shallow coverage in GALEX data.

Fig. 10. Distributions of m_{NUV} and m_{FUV} for all extended sources in the Virgo Cluster area.

The majority of the new Virgo members (121 sources) are blue dwarf-like galaxies, ranging from extremely bright to very diffuse sources in both the UV and optical images. The remaining eight members are either globular clusters (GCs) or ultra-compact dwarfs (UCDs). Given the elongated structure of the cluster, we use the same selection for Virgo members as Boselli et al. (2011), where everything is at $z_{\text{spec}} < 0.01167$ ($vel < 3500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) to include sources from all of Virgo's substructures (Binggeli et al. 1988, 1993; Gavazzi et al. 1999).

A multiwavelength gallery of the new UV cluster members is presented in Fig. 13. The gallery displays images from the FUV-band, NUV-band, NGVS or SDSS g' -band, and SDSS color combined images ($g'r'i'$).

The eight GC and UCD sources are detected by matching with the NGVS catalog. Three of these sources were originally identified by Hanes et al. (2001), and they are flagged as such in the UV-VPS catalog. The remaining five NGVS-matched new Virgo members are identified in Peng et al. (in prep.) and Zhang et al. (in prep.). They have made further observations of NGVS GCs and UCD candidates with the Hectospec instrument on the 6.5 m MMT and the Anglo-Australian Telescope. Based on their initial results, we have identified these five as GC/UCD cluster members from Hectospec data. The five GCs/UCDs are classified in the NGVS catalog as stars based on their photometry, and they all have $m_{\text{NUV}} > 21$. Additionally, this team has made spectroscopic observations of 519 of the UV background sources with matches in the NGVS catalog as UV sources. Upon the publication of Peng et al. (in prep.) and Zhang et al. (in prep.), we will update the GUViCS catalog with these data.

In addition to these eight compact Virgo members identified in GUViCS-NGVS matching, we find 15 more UCDs in the UV-VPS catalog. Six were first identified as GUViCS UV sources in Boselli et al. (2011), and nine are UCDs from Brodie et al. (2011). Ultimately, these 23 total compact sources identified in the UV-VPS catalog are excellent candidates for further studies of the UV-optical properties and stellar populations of compact objects in Virgo.

Fig. 11. Distribution of NUV and FUV AB magnitudes in morphological bins for all UV extended sources in the Virgo Cluster area. Morphologies of CGCG sources are from GOLDMine and NED and morphologies of VCC sources are from [Binggeli et al. \(1985, 1993\)](#).

Table 7. Number of UV sources categorized from one-to-one optical catalog matching.

	Total	SDSS only	NGVS only	NED only	SDSS+NGVS	SDSS+NED	NGVS+NED	All
Net optical matches	847 257	628 163	46 634	971	166 880	2492	166	1951
Stars	137 617	121 584	3597	14	12 419	3	0	0
Virgo members	129	91	8	18	0	12	0	0
Background sources	88 291	82 715	238	1131	281	3924	1	1
TBD	620 995	466 130	45 495	0	109 370	0	0	0

Fig. 12. Redshift distributions for Virgo Cluster members (*bottom*) and Virgo background galaxies (*top*). In the *upper plot*, spectroscopic redshifts are represented by the solid line histogram and photometric redshifts are represented by the dashed line histogram. All redshifts in the *lower plot* are spectroscopic, and $z < 0.01167$.

Figure 14 shows the two-dimensional spatial distribution of the 121 new UV Virgo galaxies and the 23 UV-detected compact objects in the cluster. The majority of compact sources are located around M 87, except for one GC around M60. The new blue dwarf-like galaxies avoid the central regions of the cluster and extend to the cluster outskirts, following the well studied morphology-density relation (Dressler 1980; Whitmore et al. 1993), which shows that redder early-type sources mainly populate the central regions of a cluster and the highest density of bluer, less evolved sources are typically at larger cluster radii. Boselli et al. (2008a,b) show that dwarf galaxies falling into the cluster are affected by ram-pressure stripping that quenches their star formation. They also show that dwarf galaxies may also be the remnants of low luminosity disk galaxies affected by ram-pressure stripping as they fall into the cluster. Figure 14 demonstrates that star-forming dwarf galaxies are rare in the center of the cluster, lending evidence to the idea that star-formation is quenched by the ram-pressure stripping scenario in the cluster outskirts. This sample provides a good data set for further studies on the fate of star-forming dwarf galaxies in Virgo and their contributions to the overall cluster evolution (Boselli et al., in prep.).

We investigate the remaining UV point-sources within the NGVS footprint that were not matched to any optical counterpart in SDSS, NGVS, and NED. If we consider all these sources to be spurious UV detections, they would contaminate the catalog at extremely low levels. Down to completeness limits (Morrissey et al. 2007) for AIS-depth images, the contamination would be $<1\%$ in NUV and 3.5% in FUV. For MIS-depth and DIS-depth, it would be $<1\%$ in NUV and FUV. Therefore, we can estimate that statistical studies making use of the entire UV-VPS catalog can expect very low levels of spurious source contamination, $\sim 1\%$ on average, in both the NUV and FUV. However, we further investigate these unmatched UV sources to determine if they are actually all spurious detections or if other situations occur.

The NUV and FUV S/N and magnitude distributions for the remaining sources with no optical counterparts are shown in Fig. 15. The majority of these sources have UV magnitudes beyond the reliability estimates for the UV-VPS catalog, as discussed in Appendix C.1. The S/N distributions in both UV bands peak near zero, and we find (via visual checks) that the majority of these unmatched sources are indeed spurious detections. We

have also investigated the 22 NUV sources with $S/N > 100$ and find the majority of these sources are stars not identified in the NGVS or the SDSS; a few are spurious detections in ghosts of stars, and at least one is a real background source that is not in the SDSS catalogs or in the current version of the NGVS catalog. These sources may also be found in the distributions at lower S/N. There are 273 NUV and 96 FUV sources that have $m_{UV} < 21$. We randomly select and investigate several of these sources and find them to be one of the following:

- a spurious detection in the ghosts of a stars¹⁶;
- a source within the halo of a bright star that has been masked in SDSS and NGVS;
- a real, bright, UV-optical source that is not in the current version of the NGVS source catalog, nor in SDSS;
- a small background source that is blended by the GALEX pipeline into a single source with a center $>5''$ from the optical center of any of the individual sources (thus, not matched to any SDSS or NGVS source).

We expect that the real, bright, UV-optical sources will find one-to-one matches in the final NGVS catalog. We reiterate that the optical sources blended in the UV are a symptom of the GALEX pipeline catalogs. In a brief investigation of GUViCS sources with $m_{UV} \geq 21$, we find a combination of the situations listed above and additional spurious UV detections.

7. Summary and results

The GUViCS catalogs provide the most extensive UV dataset of the Virgo Cluster to date, covering $\sim 120 \text{ deg}^2$ in the NUV-band and $\sim 40 \text{ deg}^2$ in the FUV-band, which are between $180^\circ \leq \text{RA} \leq 195^\circ$ and $0^\circ \leq \text{Dec} \leq 20^\circ$. These catalogs are available at the Strasbourg Astronomical Data Center¹⁷ (CDS), and updated versions will be kept on the GUViCS website¹⁸ shortly after the publication of this paper.

There are a total of 1 232 626 UV source detections, of which 1771 are classified as extended galaxies and the remainder are classified as point-like sources. About 69% (847 257 sources) of the point-like sources are either new Virgo members (129 sources), background galaxies (88 291 sources), or foreground stars (137 617 sources). We find UV emission in the majority of all extended galaxies and BCDs in Virgo, suggesting some level of star formation not hidden by dust or the presence of a UV-upturn for ellipticals. However, UV emission is detected in just less than half of all dwarf galaxies (dE, dS0, dIm) in Virgo. Additionally, we find that $\sim 13\%$ of UV detected dE galaxies have nucleated UV cores (Binggeli & Cameron 1991; Côté et al. 2006; Lisker et al. 2006; Boselli et al. 2008a). The majority of newly detected Virgo galaxies are extremely blue objects primarily with diffuse or compact dwarf-like galaxy types. Their spatial distribution in Virgo shows that these galaxies extend to the outskirts of the cluster and sparsely populate the cluster core. This evidence suggests that the environment plays a role in shutting down star formation and is consistent with the picture proposed by Boselli et al. (2008a,b), where ram-pressure stripping acts to this effect. These newly cataloged dwarf-like Virgo members might also be low-luminosity disks that have been affected by ram-pressure stripping during infall. In the future, it would be compelling to study these objects with respect to the

¹⁶ I.e. [FUV][NUV]_GoodPhotFlag=1

¹⁷ <http://cds.u-strasbg.fr/>

¹⁸ <http://galex.oamp.fr/guvics/index.html>

Fig. 13. Gallery of UV Virgo Cluster sources in the UV-VPS catalog that are spectroscopically confirmed Virgo Cluster members from the SDSS and NED. Shown from left to right are the SDSS $g'r'i$ image, the SDSS or NGVS g' image, the GALEX NUV image, and GALEX FUV image, where available. Right ascension (J2000) is given on the horizontal axis in degrees and declination (J2000) on the vertical axis in degrees. The UV-VPS catalog ID is provided in the top right corner of the $g'r'i$ image. The full gallery is available in Appendix K.

Fig. 14. Spatial mapping of Virgo Cluster members that are neither part of the VCC, the CGCG, nor an additional extended source from NED. The positions of M 49 and M 87 are shown for reference. Three globular clusters are identified from the NGVS and one from NED. The six UCDs from [Boselli et al. \(2011\)](#) and nine UCDs from [Brodie et al. \(2011\)](#) are also shown. Although we currently find low statistics for GCs and UCDs in Virgo from the literature, ongoing spectroscopic studies (e.g., Peng et al., in prep.) are expected to find larger samples of these sources. Thus, we highlight in this figure that the brightest GCs and UCDs are detectable in the UV, and future studies can be performed with upcoming larger samples.

Fig. 15. Distributions of NUV (3948 sources) and FUV (1163 sources) signal-to-noise and magnitudes for the UV-VPS catalog that did not find an optical counterpart in the SDSS, NGVS, or NED within the NGVS footprint. From left to right, the vertical lines in the left-hand plots indicate the spurious magnitude limits for the AIS (red), MIS (yellow), DIS (green), and DEEP (blue) GALEX images (Table 3).

known dE, dS0, BCD, and dIm populations, as detected in the UV-VES catalog.

Finally, several interesting compact objects are identified among the UV point-like sources. There are 4 confirmed globular clusters (NED, [Hanes et al. 2001](#)), 9 UCDs identified from [Brodie et al. \(2011\)](#), and 6 UCDs originally identified in GUViCS by [Boselli et al. \(2011\)](#). We also find five additional globular cluster/UCD candidates from preliminary matching to the catalogs of Peng et al. (in prep.) and Zhang et al. (in prep.) from Hectospec observations of NGVS compact object candidates. We hope that the UV detection of these sources motivates future studies on the UV properties of compact objects using larger statistical samples that will be available soon.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Hagen Meyer and Thorsten Lisker for sharing their position angles of VCC galaxies. We would like to thank Alan McConnachie for his work on the NGVS point-source separation statistics (APS) and for instructive discussions on its application to the GUViCS point-like source catalogs. We would also like to thank Ted Wyder for useful discussions on the GALEX pipeline data and catalogs. This work is supported by the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) Grant Program Blanc VIRAGE (ANR10-BLANC-0506-01). We wish to thank the GALEX Time Allocation Committee for the generous allocation of time devoted to GUViCS, and the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope direction and staff for the support that enabled the NGVS. Finally, we thank the anonymous referee for helping to improve this manuscript. GALEX is a NASA Small Explorer, launched in 2003 April, operated for NASA by the California Institute of Technology under NASA contract NAS5-98034. We gratefully acknowledge NASA's support for the construction, operation and science analysis for the GALEX mission, developed in cooperation with the Centre National d'Études Spatiales of France and the Korean Ministry of Science and Technology. This work uses data

from the SDSS-III database, the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED), the GOLD Mine Database, and the SIMBAD database. Funding for SDSS-III has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Science Foundation, and the US Department of Energy Office of Science. The SDSS-III web site is <http://www.sdss3.org/>. SDSS-III is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium for the Participating Institutions of the SDSS-III Collaboration including the University of Arizona, the Brazilian Participation Group, Brookhaven National Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Carnegie Mellon University, University of Florida, the French Participation Group, the German Participation Group, Harvard University, the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, the Michigan State/Notre Dame/JINA Participation Group, Johns Hopkins University, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Max Planck Institute for Astrophysics, Max Planck Institute for Extraterrestrial Physics, New Mexico State University, New York University, Ohio State University, Pennsylvania State University, University of Portsmouth, Princeton University, the Spanish Participation Group, University of Tokyo, University of Utah, Vanderbilt University, University of Virginia, University of Washington, and Yale University. NED is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The SIMBAD database is operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France. This research used the facilities of the Canadian Astronomy Data Centre operated by the National Research Council of Canada with the support of the Canadian Space Agency.

Appendix A: GALEX pipeline data reduction

The details of the GALEX image reduction are described in [Morrissey et al. \(2007\)](#). All GALEX images used here were reduced via various versions of the GALEX “Ops7” pipeline. The source detection and UV photometry portion of the GALEX pipeline utilizes the Source Extractor (SExtractor) software ([Bertin & Arnouts 1996](#)) in combination with a program developed by the GALEX team, *poissonbg*. Both the FUV and NUV data generally have low, non-Gaussian, background count rates of $\sim 10^{-3}$ and $\sim 10^{-4}$ counts, respectively. Since SExtractor assumes Gaussian statistics to generate its background maps, it is necessary for the GALEX pipeline to create its own background and detection threshold maps via *poissonbg* using a modified sigma clipping procedure sampling the entire Poisson distribution. Further details of the *poissonbg* procedure are provided in [Morrissey et al. \(2007\)](#). To produce the final NUV and FUV source catalogs, SExtractor and *poissonbg* are run twice. During the first run the background map and detection threshold map, which are made with *poissonbg*, are inputs to SExtractor along with the background-subtracted intensity map. This run produces a segmentation map that is used to mask bright sources in a second run of *poissonbg* to produce the final background and threshold maps. These maps are then used as input for the final run of SExtractor. In both runs the detection threshold maps are used to detect sources while the background-subtracted intensity map is used for source photometry.

Appendix B: GUViCS Point-Like Source Catalog construction

We make an initial reduction of all GALEX merged catalogs (*mcats*) by removing sources beyond a radius of 0.5° from the field centers. Beyond this radius, GALEX photometry is unreliable for several reasons: uncertainties in detector sensitivity, distortions in the point spread function, high probability of reflections from adjacent bright stars, and frequent concentrated areas of high detector background. There are three GALEX tiles¹⁹ that have irregular NUV image geometries due to the telescope drifting during observations. An example is shown in Fig. B.2. For

¹⁹ NGA_Virgo_MOS01, NGA_Virgo_MOS07, and NGA_Virgo_MOS11

Fig. B.1. Flow chart summarizing the steps taken to produce the deepest NUV and FUV point-like source catalogs from the original GALEX *mcats*.

these fields, we include all *mcat* sources inwards of 0.1° from the field edge, excluding the small upper portion that is cut off.

Following this, we determine the areas where individual GALEX tiles overlap in the GUViCS field and retain only those sources from the deepest overlapping GALEX *mcat* (i.e., highest exposure time) in each case. This procedure is carried out separately for NUV and FUV detected sources, producing separate deepest NUV and deepest FUV GUViCS catalogs at this stage of reduction. This is necessary since there are cases where the deepest image for the NUV and FUV bands in a configuration of overlapping GALEX tiles do not always come from the same GALEX tile because the exposure time for the observation of a tile in the NUV does not necessarily directly scale with its exposure time in the FUV. This could be due to technical issues while carrying out an observation, or to the varying initial objectives of the different GALEX data sets. Finally, the separated NUV and FUV catalogs are cleaned of sources without detections in the respective bands; that is, they are sources from the original *mcats* that are only detected in the opposite band. The flow chart in Fig. B.1 summarizes all of the above steps.

At this point, we assess the reliability of the GALEX pipeline photometry from each source’s artifact flag that was originally set during the automated SExtractor source detection. The artifact flag is the bitwise combination of all values within a source-centered 3×3 pixel box in the artifact pipeline FITS image. Here, we define bit values of 2, 4, and 512 to indicate unreliable photometry for a given source. The bit value of 2 warns that a source’s flux could be affected by an interloping detector window reflection in the NUV only. The bit value of 4 warns that a flux measurement could be affected by a reflection of the instrument’s dichroic beam splitter. The bit value of 512 only applies to GALEX observations taken after May 2010 and warns of the potential inclusion of the ghost image of a bright object within a source’s flux measurement. To indicate whether the GALEX pipeline photometry is considered reliable for each source, we add a flag to the NUV and FUV catalogs²⁰. We set this flag to 0 if the photometry is considered reliable and 1 if it is considered unreliable. We find that $\sim 3\%$ of the NUV detections and $\sim 0.1\%$ of FUV detections have poor photometry based on these artifact flags. However, sources with unreliable photometry remain

²⁰ That is, [NUV][FUV]_GoodPhotFlag, see Appendix I for details

Fig. B.2. NUV and FUV images of GALEX tile NGA_Virgo_MOS01 is an example of the irregular NUV image geometry that also occurs for NGA_Virgo_MOS07 and NGA_Virgo_MOS11 tiles. Sources are only considered within the cyan region, which are inward of 0.1° from the image edge.

in the final point-like source catalogs, since these artifacts primarily affect the source flux measurement and not necessarily the detection of that source. We urge users to proceed cautiously when selecting these objects with questionable photometry for further study.

Next, the NUV and FUV catalogs are recombined into a single bandmerged catalog via a two step cross-matching procedure. First, the NUV and FUV catalogs are cross-matched by their GALEX *mcat* ID is used to rematch sources detected in the NUV and FUV images from the same tile. These sources were originally matched in the GALEX reduction pipeline as described in Appendix A. Sources originally detected in only the NUV or FUV image for each tile are added to the tile's re-merged catalog. Finally, sources originally having detections in both bands for a GALEX tile, but now only appearing in a single band's deepest reduced catalog, should have an equivalent detection in another deeper GALEX tile in the opposite band (i.e., this detection was removed from the opposite band's reduced catalog for the same field due to deeper field overlap in the area where that source is located). These objects are flagged accordingly.

The second cross-matching is performed between all the recombined band-merged GALEX tile catalogs. In this way, we recover dual-band pipeline photometry of individual sources located in areas where the deepest NUV and FUV GALEX images are from two different overlapping tiles. The same criteria used for the GALEX *mcat* band-merging is used here: match tolerance of $3''$ and $S/N > 2$. This procedure recovers sources that always had an NUV-to-FUV match. It also finds new NUV-to-FUV matches where the detection in the opposite band may have been too faint in the original GALEX tile but is a definite detection in a deeper overlapping tile, or, if there never was an FUV image taken for a given NUV only tile, but an older FUV image is found to serendipitously cover the same area. The flow chart in Fig. B.3 summarizes this final set of steps taken to create the deepest UV Virgo Cluster point-like source (UV-VPS) catalog. We keep track of the rematching origins for dual-band photometry per source by adding a flag to the UV-VPS catalog²¹. The possible values of this flag are listed and explained in Table B.1.

Fig. B.3. Flow chart summarizing the final steps to produce the deepest UV Virgo Cluster point-like source (UV-VPS) catalog by cross-matching the deepest NUV and FUV catalogs.

Table B.1. Description of *NUV/FUV_SRCrem_FLAG*.

Flag value	Description
−1	No image taken in this band
0	Source is detected in this band
1 ^a	Source never detected in this band
2 ^b	Source detected in this band but deeper
3 ^c	GALEX image overlaps source location
	Spurious source detection in this band

Notes. ^(a) Null values for this band in the catalog are from the original GALEX pipeline and set to -99.0 and -999.0 . ^(b) Null values for this band in the catalog are set to -77.0 and -777.000 . ^(c) Section 3.2 describes the exposure time dependent spurious detection limits applied based on Morrissey et al. (2007). Null values for this band in the catalog are set to -77.0 and -777.000 .

Appendix C: Reliability and caveats of the GUViCS Point-Like Source Catalog

C.1. Reliability

Several studies have already tested the reliability and completeness of GALEX data at various depths. The 5σ limiting magnitudes of GALEX data with AIS, MIS, and DIS depths have been calculated by Morrissey et al. (2007) to be $m_{\text{NUV}} = 20.8, 22.7, 24.4$ and $m_{\text{FUV}} = 19.9, 22.6, 24.8$, respectively. Xu et al. (2005) calculate the UV number counts in a combination of GALEX MIS and DIS data down to magnitudes of 23.6 and 23.8 in NUV and FUV, respectively, selecting GALEX sources with a modified version of the pipeline SExtractor input parameters to improve the deblending of faint UV point sources. They find the data is 80% complete at depths of m_{NUV} and $m_{\text{FUV}} = 24.5$ (Arnouts et al. 2005). Hammer et al. (2010) calculate the NUV and FUV number counts in the background of the Coma Cluster. They work with ~ 26 ks (i.e., DIS-like) GALEX imaging, use pipeline catalog photometry for sources up to m_{NUV} and $m_{\text{FUV}} = 21$, and Bayesian deblending photometry at fainter magnitudes.

²¹ [NUV][FUV]_SRCrem_FLAG.

Table C.1. Bins of signal-to-noise for investigation of reliability of the UV-VPS catalog.

Bin #	NUV Range	FUV Range
1	$2.0 < S/N < 2.5$	$3.0 < S/N < 3.5$
2	$2.5 < S/N < 3.0$	$3.5 < S/N < 4.0$
3	$3.0 < S/N < 3.5$	$4.0 < S/N < 4.5$
4	$3.5 < S/N < 5.0$	$4.5 < S/N < 5.0$
5	$5.0 < S/N$	$5.0 < S/N$

They calculate the completeness of the GALEX pipeline catalogs for their data and find these data to be $\sim 90\%$ complete at $m_{\text{NUV}} \sim 22$ and $m_{\text{FUV}} \sim 23$ and only show marginal differences with completeness magnitudes calculated using the modified SExtractor run parameters from Xu et al. (2005). The primary cause of incompleteness in the GALEX data is source confusion, that is when sources and possibly the background of an image experience distortions resulting in underestimated fluxes. Xu et al. (2005) estimate that their GALEX pipeline data became limited by source confusion after $m_{\text{NUV}} \sim 24$ and $m_{\text{FUV}} \sim 25.3$, while Hammer et al. (2010) estimated brighter limits between $m_{\text{NUV}} = 23\text{--}23.5$ for an upper limit of $m_{\text{FUV}} = 24$.

Since the GALEX coverage of the GUViCS field has large variations in depth, the reliability and completeness of the UV Virgo Cluster point-like source (UV-VPS) catalog also varies from region to region. To provide a qualitative assessment of the reliability of the UV-VPS catalog, that is where source confusion and spurious pipeline detections begin to dominate the data, we visually investigate 100 randomly selected $10' \times 10'$ regions in each of the exposure time regimes outlined for AIS, MIS, DIS, and DEEP integrations in Table 3 with respect to the S/N ratios of objects within these areas. The S/N of sources are investigated in five different bins in the NUV- and FUV-bands listed in Table C.1. We find the NUV pipeline point-like source detections to be trustworthy until the range of $3 < S/N < 3.5$ in AIS images, $3.5 < S/N < 5$ in MIS and DIS images, and no less than $S/N = 5$ in DEEP images. For the FUV GUViCS fields, we find AIS pipeline detections to be trustworthy up to a range of $3.5 < S/N < 5$ for AIS and DEEP images and up to a range of $3 < S/N < 3.5$ for MIS and DIS images. In the FUV, the AIS and DEEP images appear to have similar detection limitations due to the low signal of the AIS data that provides very low statistics in the FUV, while the DEEP images suffer much less from low-level noise. Thus, we recommend that lower-signal data should be used with caution when selecting sources from the UV-VPS catalog and to always investigate potential biases that may result from a given data selection.

C.2. Caveats

The GALEX pipeline has well documented issues with source detection in particular situations. In UV observations, galaxies are often clumpy and irregular compared to their optical morphologies, causing single sources to be identified as multiple detections (i.e., shredding). This can occur for large nearby galaxies and for distant objects. For example, we find cases of large Virgo Cluster galaxies that have extended UV emission which is far enough away from the main source to be detected as separate sources by the GALEX pipeline. A good case is VCC1217, which is studied in Fumagalli et al. (2011). They investigate the properties of 12 “fireball” sources associated with this dwarf irregular galaxy that extend up to $3.5'$ southeast of the galaxy. Several of these sources are independently detected

Fig. C.1. GALEX NUV image centered on VCC 167 (NGC 4216). The yellow dashed regions indicate the locations of known background sources from the SDSS, while the red regions are the GALEX pipeline catalog sources detected in this NUV image. This clearly demonstrates how the GALEX pipeline excludes source detections in a proximity to extended sources $> 1'$ in diameter.

in the GALEX pipeline catalogs and could be mistaken as background sources. However, such cases are rare compared to the statistical size of the catalog. Additionally, GALEX data is also susceptible to blending effects where two or more sources are detected as a single source due to the large point spread function of $\sim 5''$. Source blending is more problematic in the background of the cluster than for foreground Virgo members, which mainly affects photometry for higher redshift sources. Several studies have used Bayesian deblending methods to obtain photometry of distant sources (Guillaume et al. 2006; Hammer et al. 2010); however, we do not apply this technique here with positive results.

It is also known that the GALEX pipeline excludes detections of smaller sources in proximity to larger UV extended sources $< 1'$ in diameter (see Sect. 3.1). We inspect several of these cases in the UV-VPS catalog, selecting the small UV-bright sources near extended galaxies in deep NGVS optical images. We find that these are obvious background galaxies and not HII regions associated with the extended source. Figure C.1 shows examples of several such sources around NGC 4216 in its NUV image. The cyan circles are the SExtractor detections from the original GALEX merged catalog (*mcat*) for this tile, and the dashed yellow circles mark known background objects near the galaxy that were not detected by the pipeline. Additionally, this explains why there are sources detected in FUV images that are not detected in the respective NUV images. In such cases, the FUV profile diameter of a given extended source is more compact than in the NUV, causing the automatic GALEX algorithms to detect nearby background sources in the FUV and not the NUV.

During our investigation of the UV-VPS catalog we notice some additional issues to be cautious of specifically concerning GALEX pipeline detection and photometry of point-like background sources in the cluster area. First, pipeline-derived Kron apertures (Kron 1980) can be too large compared to actual galaxy size in cases where background sources are larger and less point-like. In some cases this is a result of the pipeline blending visually close background sources; the Kron aperture will

stretch to encompass adjacent background sources. Secondly, during an investigation of known brightest (background) cluster galaxies in the Virgo area, we find that several obvious UV brightest cluster galaxies are not detected by the GALEX pipeline. We believe this could be a side effect of the double image processing procedure applied to all GALEX data in the pipeline (see Appendix A). Finally, in very crowded areas of the cluster background, we have noticed individual sources that are included in the photometric apertures of multiple neighboring background sources. The GALEX pipeline photometry is unreliable in these cases since the flux of a single source is included in the flux measurements of multiple adjacent sources.

One must be mindful of all the above caveats when using the UV-VPS catalog. Potential source selections and their corresponding catalog data should not be used without an initial investigation to see if the issues discussed here are present in the data set.

Appendix D: UV aperture construction for extended sources

Extended source UV apertures are produced using optical semi-major and semi-minor radii and position angles, where available. First, we view these apertures in the deepest GALEX NUV and FUV image of each source to determine if the galaxy is a real UV detection in both bands. Many sources are only detected in NUV observations, and in these cases, their FUV data entries in the UV-VES catalog are set to null. Next, the apertures are adjusted from their optical shapes to their NUV shapes. When a galaxy's aperture is increased from the optical size to fit the full UV profile, the aperture edges are checked against their location in the deep NGVS optical imaging, or in the SDSS imaging when the NGVS is not available. We do this to make sure that the aperture was not extended too far and that it does not include a nearby source separate from the primary galaxy. Finally, masks are applied to distinct interloping, background sources and foreground stars within the NUV apertures. We confirm the presence of background sources within apertures by, once again, checking the NGVS optical images. Stars are confirmed by identification in the SDSS database. The final NUV apertures are also used for FUV photometry.

Appendix E: Extended source magnitude error calculation

To calculate the error on extended source aperture magnitudes, we follow the procedures from Boselli et al. (2003), Gil de Paz et al. (2007), and Cortese et al. (2012). The components of the error are the error on the sky level (err_{sky}), the instrumental error (err_{inst}), the shot noise (err_{Pois}), and the GALEX calibration error in each band ($\text{err}_{\text{GALEX}}$). The $\text{err}_{\text{GALEX}}$ is a constant value essentially representing the zero point errors of 0.03 and 0.05 in the NUV and FUV filters, respectively (Morrissey et al. 2007). The err_{Pois} is calculated from the net pixels in the galaxy,

$$\text{err}_{\text{Pois}} = \sqrt{N_e} \frac{1}{Gt}, \quad (\text{E.1})$$

where N_e is the number of electrons, G is the image gain in $e^- \text{ADU}^{-1}$, and t is exposure time in seconds. The err_{sky} and err_{inst} are calculated from the pixels in the galaxy aperture and the pixels in ~ 10 square sky regions of randomly selected sizes surrounding the galaxy. These errors account for variations in

flux measurements resulting from Galactic cirrus and flat-field variations across the galaxy aperture. They are defined as,

$$\text{err}_{\text{sky}} = N_{\text{pix}} \text{STD}[\langle b_1 \rangle \dots \langle b_n \rangle] \quad (\text{E.2})$$

$$\text{err}_{\text{inst}} = \sqrt{N_{\text{pix}}} \langle \text{STD}[b_1] \dots \text{STD}[b_n] \rangle, \quad (\text{E.3})$$

where N_{pix} is the number of pixels in the galaxy aperture, $\text{STD}[b_n]$ is the standard deviation of the values of all pixels in sky box n , and $\langle b_n \rangle$ is the average of all pixels in sky box n . Thus, the total error on a UV magnitude of an extended source is,

$$\text{err}_{\text{Tot}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{2.5}{\ln(10)} \sqrt{\text{err}_{\text{sky}}^2 + \text{err}_{\text{inst}}^2 + \text{err}_{\text{Pois}}^2} \right)^2 + \text{err}_{\text{GALEX}}^2}, \quad (\text{E.4})$$

where the factor of $2.5/\ln(10)$ converts the total statistical error into AB magnitude units. The uncertainty is then err_{Tot} over the magnitude.

Appendix F: The NGVS Source Catalog

The NGVS source catalog was created using SExtractor on 117 individual field tiles covering the survey area (Gwyn et al., in prep.). SExtractor uses the deep g' -band data for source detection, creating separate photometric catalogs in the five bands that are combined into the final source catalog of 24 934 007 objects used here. We note that this catalog is tailored to the detection of point sources (stars and globular clusters) and relatively compact, high surface brightness galaxies; it is not designed to detect faint, low surface brightness dwarf galaxies, the brightest VCC galaxies, or sources that fall in high surface brightness areas near the centers of bright VCC galaxies. The catalog provides a star/galaxy classification. It uses the g' - and i' -band data to calculate a statistic that quantifies the distance from a stellar locus, which is defined by the difference in flux between two apertures, taking the measured width of the locus and the photometric error on the magnitude into account. The “pointiness” of a source increases with higher absolute values of this statistic, and it is reliable up to $m_{g'} = 24$; more positive values identify image noise while more negative values select increasingly extended galaxies. Stars are identified as having probabilities > 1.5 (i.e., within 1.5σ of the growth curve).

The NGVS catalog is supplemented by a compilation of spectroscopic redshifts from SDSS DR7 (Abazajian et al. 2009), NGVS follow-up observations with the Hectospec instrument on the 6.5 m MMT (Peng et al., in prep.), the VCC, and the database of globular cluster from Hanes et al. (2001) and Strader et al. (2011). From these data, redshifts are further selected based on reasonable errors, confidence intervals, and warnings, resulting in 6108 spectroscopic redshifts. Here, we exclude SDSS DR7 redshifts from use in the categorization of GUViCS point-like sources since the UV catalog has already been matched with SDSS DR9.

Appendix G: Examining UV-optical matches between the SDSS and the NGVS

The NGVS catalog reaches point source depths at $S/N = 10$ that are ~ 3.5 mag deeper than the 95% point source completeness limits of SDSS in the i' - and g' -bands. Within the area of GUViCS covered by the NGVS footprint (see Fig. 1), we compare UV-optical matches between the SDSS and the NGVS.

There are 40 270 GUViCS-to-NGVS 1-to-1 source matches that do not have a SDSS counterpart at magnitudes that are fainter than the g' -band 95% completeness level of the SDSS. This shows how the NGVS detects a large number of additional sources at faint optical magnitudes, thus demonstrating the importance of deep optical imaging for studying UV sources. We remind the reader that the current NGVS source catalog is an ongoing work and currently lacks certain types of sources, such as new faint Virgo dwarf galaxies and high-surface brightness objects like ultra-compact dwarfs or globular clusters that happen to lie close to bright galaxies.

Since the NGVS is incredibly deep, it is reasonable to assume that all sources with magnitudes brighter than the g' -band completeness of the SDSS (i.e., <22.2) would be detected in both surveys. To examine this assumption, we calculate the statistics for non-stellar GUViCS-SDSS and GUViCS-NGVS one-to-one matches. There are 198 569 SDSS-GUViCS and 108 884 NGVS-GUViCS matched sources with g' -band magnitudes <22.2 . Of the SDSS sources, 43.8% correspond to a 1-to-1 GUViCS-NGVS match, 55.4% correspond to a GUViCS-to-multiple-NGVS match, and 0.8% have no GUViCS-NGVS counterpart. Of the NGVS sources, 95.4% correspond to a 1-to-1 GUViCS-SDSS match, only 3.5% correspond to a GUViCS-to-multiple-SDSS match, and 1.1% have no SDSS counterpart.

The small percentage of sources with SDSS matches and no NGVS counterparts could be reduced when the NGVS catalog is complete. They could also be sources that were removed from the edges of NGVS fields where the nominal exposure time drops by one half. In contrast, the small percentage of sources with NGVS matches are brighter than the SDSS completeness limit, and no SDSS matches have two possible explanations. Either the SDSS source is not in the DR9 database because it is too close to an SDSS image edge and thus removed, or the SDSS field itself was not included in DR9 due to timeout issues²² during image processing.

At g' -band magnitudes fainter than the SDSS completeness limit of 22.2, there is no longer an expected correspondence between the SDSS and NGVS GUViCS matches. However, there are still many GUViCS-to-SDSS matches towards fainter magnitudes. Interesting candidates for future work could be the bright UV sources that are very blue with extremely faint optical counterparts, which are observable only at NGVS depths. These sources would have magnitudes that are fainter than the completeness limit of the SDSS and brighter than the completeness limit of the NGVS.

Figure G.1 shows the UV-optical color magnitude diagrams for all NUV and FUV detected sources in the UV Virgo Cluster point-like source (UV-VPS) catalog. The sharp upper edges of these distributions are due to the detection limit of GALEX. Generally, we detect very blue galaxies with the NGVS; however, colors below -1 and -1.5 are physically unrealistic for the NUV-band and FUV-band, respectively. The extremely blue colors are unrealistic because these limits are the typical colors of instantaneous bursts of star formation observed at the peak of activity. We believe the overly blue colors could result from unrealistic Kron apertures output by the GALEX pipeline. Source blending effects, due to the poor resolution of GALEX, might result in unphysical colors if several optical sources are associated with one UV source (see Appendix C.2). This would cause

Fig. G.1. Color-magnitude diagrams for all NUV (*top*) and FUV (*bottom*) UV-VPS catalog sources with i' -band coverage in NGVS. The dashed line at $m_{i'} = 21.3$ marks the SDSS 95% i' -band completeness limit, and the dashed line at $m_{i'} = 24.9$ marks the NGVS point-source depth at $S/N=10$. The red horizontal lines at $m_{\text{NUV}} - m_{i'} = -1$ and $m_{\text{FUV}} - m_{i'} = -1.5$ represent the physical color limits for the typical colors of instantaneous bursts of star formation observed at the peak of activity.

Fig. G.2. Color-magnitude diagrams for NUV (*top*) and FUV (*bottom*) UV-VPS catalog sources with i' -band coverage in NGVS that have UV Kron apertures with semi-major axis $<10''$. The dashed line at $m_{i'} = 21.3$ marks the SDSS 95% i' -band completeness limit, and the dashed line at $m_{i'} = 24.9$ marks the NGVS point-source depth at $S/N=10$. The red horizontal lines at $m_{\text{NUV}} - m_{i'} = -1$ and $m_{\text{FUV}} - m_{i'} = -1.5$ represent the physical color limits for the typical colors of instantaneous bursts of star formation observed at the peak of activity.

SExtractor to calculate an unrealistic aperture size for the UV source, resulting in very blue UV- i colors. This is one potential cause of the extremely blue colors for sources with i' -band magnitudes that are brighter than the NGVS completeness limit.

We test this hypothesis by constructing the same color-magnitude diagrams for only those sources with compact Kron apertures, which are semi-major axis sizes $<10''$, shown in Fig. G.2. At magnitudes brighter than the NGVS completeness limits, the color-magnitude diagrams now behave physically. Therefore, it is likely that incorrect GALEX pipeline apertures are the cause of the unrealistic colors in Fig. G.1. However, the unphysical result is still found at magnitudes that are fainter than the completeness limit in Fig. G.2. Two plausible explanations may be that these sources are spurious UV and optical detections, or, the sources with $m_{i'} > 25$ have weak UV magnitudes.

²² Timeout issues affect $\sim 1\%$ of DR8 fields that are then included in DR9 and the issue occurs when extended galaxies or bright stars cause larger processing and deblending times in the newer methods implemented for SDSS sky-subtraction.

Fig. G.3. NUV and FUV magnitude distributions for UV-VPS catalog sources between the SDSS and NGVS i' -band limits in Fig. G.2, which are sources with UV Kron aperture semi-major axis $<10''$.

Clearly, the error on the magnitude becomes very important here. This analysis also warns that GALEX pipeline Kron magnitudes should not be trusted automatically when taken from the GUViCS catalogs, particularly for use in color analysis.

The UV and optical magnitude distributions for sources between the SDSS and the NGVS i' -band limits of the color-magnitude diagram in Fig. G.2 are shown in Fig. G.3. UV-optical images of several of these sources are provided in the galleries in Figs. G.4 and G.5. All UV sources have m_{NUV} and $m_{\text{FUV}} > 22$ with the distributions peaking ~ 24.2 in both bands. The first gallery in Fig. G.4 shows examples of good UV detections, while the second gallery in Fig. G.5 shows examples of spurious UV detections that remain in the UV-VPS catalog after cleaning. For two objects in Fig. G.4 (ID 387550 and ID 462789), the UV image is clearly blending multiple optical sources within the GALEX Kron aperture, while the majority of other objects have one optical-to-faint-UV detection. These sources should be tested further to determine whether they are background or cluster members and, thus, are good candidates for the application of improved GALEX photometry techniques focused on point-like or higher redshift sources (e.g., Bayesian deblending and photometry). Overall, the analysis in this appendix demonstrates the great advantage of having deep multiwavelength data in the Virgo Cluster.

Fig. G.4. Image gallery of a selection of true UV source detections between the SDSS and NGVS i' -band limits in Fig. G.2, which are sources with UV Kron aperture semi-major axis $<10''$. Each line of the two columns shows the GALEX NUV, NGVS g' -band, NGVS i' -band, and NGVS z' -band images. The magnitudes in each band are listed at the top of each stamp, and the VPS_ID is listed vertically along the right edge of the z' -band image. The cyan apertures are the NUV Kron apertures that are automatically calculated in the GALEX pipeline.

Fig. G.5. Image gallery of a selection of spurious UV source detections between the SDSS and NGVS i' -band limits in Fig. G.2, which are sources with UV Kron aperture semi-major axis $<10''$. Each line of the two columns shows the GALEX NUV, NGVS g' -band, NGVS i' -band, and NGVS z' -band images. The magnitudes in each band are listed at the top of each stamp, and the *VPS_ID* is listed vertically along the right edge of the z' -band image. The cyan apertures are the NUV Kron apertures automatically calculated in the GALEX pipeline.

Appendix H: The UV Virgo Cluster Extended Source Catalog

The UV Virgo Cluster Extended Source (UV-VES) catalog provides the deepest and most extensive UV photometric data of extended galaxies in Virgo. The catalog contains 1770 sources and 23 fields of data for each source. If certain data is not available for a given source, then a null value is entered. For example, an extended source may not have GALEX FUV imaging available, so all FUV data entries are entered as -999.000 . Here, Table H.1 provides a full description of all columns in the UV-VES catalog, and Table H.2 is a preview of the catalog that highlights some of the most relevant columns. The full catalog is available at the CDS.

Table H.1. Description of columns in the UV-VES catalog.

Field label	Format	Units	Explanation
VCC_ID	A8	–	ID number from Binggeli et al. (1985, 1993)
NGC_ID	A8	–	NGC ID number
other_ID	A14	–	Identification from another catalog
RAdeg	F9.5	deg	Right Ascension
DEdeg	F8.5	deg	Declination
GtileNUV	A28	–	Deepest GALEX tile available for NUV photometry
GtileFUV	A28	–	Deepest GALEX tile available for FUV photometry
exptNUV	F8.2	s	Exposure time of NUV GALEX image
exptFUV	F8.2	s	Exposure time of FUV GALEX image
Bmag	F6.2	mag	B-band magnitude (Vega) from Binggeli et al. (1985, 1993) , where available
Vel	I6	km s ⁻¹	Galaxy velocity from Binggeli et al. (1985, 1993)
MajAxis	I3	arcsec	Semi-major axis of the object determined in the NUV
MinAxis	I3	arcsec	Semi-minor axis of the object determined in the NUV
PA	F6.2	deg	Position angle
Type	I3	–	Galaxy type from GOLDMine, where available (Binggeli et al. 1985, 1993)
NUVflux	F8.3	cnt/s	NUV integrated flux
e_NUVflux	F8.3	cnt/s	Error on NUV integrated flux
FUVflux	F8.3	cnt/s	FUV integrated flux
e_FUVflux	F8.3	cnt/s	Error on FUV integrated flux
NUVmag	F8.3	mag	NUV integrated magnitude (AB)
e_NUVmag	F8.3	mag	Error on NUV integrated magnitude (AB)
FUVmag	F8.3	mag	FUV integrated magnitude (AB)
e_FUVmag	F8.3	mag	Error on FUV integrated magnitude (AB)

Table H.2. Sub-set of the UV-VES catalog.

VCC_ID	NGC_ID	RAdeg	DEdeg	GalileNUV	GalileFUV	Vel	Type	NUVmag	e_NUVmag	FUVmag	e_FUVmag
VCC-0001	-	182.08458	13.68390	Gal6_001002_GUViCS002	-	2240	17	18.707	0.034	-999.000	-999.000
VCC-0003	-	182.11208	13.52390	Gal6_001002_GUViCS002	AIS_227_sg44	6801	20	18.211	0.032	18.527	0.057
VCC-0004	-	182.13042	15.09470	Gal6_001003_GUViCS003_0001	AIS_227_sg32	587	12	18.494	0.046	18.842	0.086
VCC-0005	-	182.14084	15.11860	Gal6_001003_GUViCS003_0001	-	0	-1	21.998	0.173	-999.000	-999.000
VCC-0006	-	182.21500	9.13170	AIS_227_sg70	AIS_227_sg70	8175	3	17.549	0.041	17.796	0.056
VCC-0007	-	182.32709	11.43030	Gal6_001005_GUViCS005	AIS_227_sg56	18887	7	17.920	0.034	18.487	0.071
VCC-0009	-	182.34250	13.99250	Gal6_001004_GUViCS004_0001	AIS_227_sg44	1716	-1	17.805	0.069	-999.000	-999.000
VCC-0010	-	182.35374	13.57440	Gal6_001002_GUViCS002	AIS_227_sg44	1980	17	17.797	0.031	18.389	0.065
VCC-0011	-	182.39917	6.74330	Gal6_001006_GUViCS006_0001	-	0	-1	20.731	0.161	-999.000	-999.000
VCC-0012	-	182.43459	12.12580	Gal6_001005_GUViCS005	AIS_227_sg56	8660	3	18.475	0.038	18.656	0.080
VCC-0014	-	182.46333	11.25670	Gal6_001005_GUViCS005	AIS_227_sg56	17918	17	18.452	0.031	18.864	0.058
VCC-0015	-	182.47749	13.05000	Gal6_001002_GUViCS002	-	2535	3	17.927	0.057	-999.000	-999.000
VCC-0016	-	182.50458	14.61560	Gal6_001004_GUViCS004_0001	-	6770	9	18.886	0.035	-999.000	-999.000
VCC-0017	-	182.50708	14.36690	Gal6_001004_GUViCS004_0001	AIS_227_sg33	817	12	17.154	0.159	17.451	0.066
VCC-0019	-	182.55750	13.18810	Gal6_001002_GUViCS002	-	6948	17	20.470	0.047	-999.000	-999.000
VCC-0021	-	182.59625	10.18860	AIS_227_sg69	AIS_227_sg69	485	-3	18.021	0.046	19.446	0.103
VCC-0022	-	182.60167	13.17060	Gal6_001002_GUViCS002	AIS_227_sg44	1699	17	18.569	0.032	19.244	0.069
VCC-0024	-	182.64874	11.76080	Gal6_001005_GUViCS005	AIS_227_sg56	1296	17	17.601	0.031	18.050	0.056
VCC-0025	NGC4152	182.65625	16.03310	Gal6_001007_GUViCS007_0001	AIS_227_sg31	2169	7	14.266	0.030	14.638	0.051
VCC-0026	-	182.67041	14.64670	NGA_NGC4192	-	2466	12	19.385	0.037	-999.000	-999.000
VCC-0027	-	182.67416	13.33140	NGA_NGC4168	AIS_227_sg44	6816	7	16.701	0.033	17.082	0.058
VCC-0028	-	182.68959	15.86530	Gal6_001007_GUViCS007_0001	AIS_227_sg31	6325	7	17.654	0.032	17.992	0.060
VCC-0029	-	182.70416	15.25250	Gal6_001003_GUViCS003_0001	-	462	-1	21.198	0.089	-999.000	-999.000
VCC-0030	-	182.72708	15.94640	Gal6_001007_GUViCS007_0001	-	2084	20	19.441	0.040	-999.000	-999.000
VCC-0031	-	182.73792	9.21940	Gal6_001005_GUViCS005	Gal2_125022_AGESstrip1_22	2240	20	17.569	0.030	17.919	0.050
VCC-0032	-	182.76125	12.10390	Gal6_001005_GUViCS005	-	1894	0	18.161	0.037	-999.000	-999.000
VCC-0033	-	182.78209	14.27470	Gal6_001004_GUViCS004_0001	-	1186	-1	19.122	0.038	-999.000	-999.000
VCC-0034	-	182.79124	13.58750	AIS_227_sg44	AIS_227_sg44	265	7	16.952	0.036	17.339	0.054
VCC-0035	-	182.83116	11.91006	Gal6_001005_GUViCS005	-	0	20	21.061	0.071	-999.000	-999.000
VCC-0038	-	182.94833	12.14360	Gal6_001011_GUViCS011_0001	AIS_227_sg55	4017	7	16.479	0.032	16.909	0.057
VCC-0039	-	182.99709	15.40140	AIS_227_sg32	-	7094	6	18.674	0.137	-999.000	-999.000
VCC-0040	-	183.01334	14.90440	NGA_NGC4192	AIS_227_sg32	6949	6	18.018	0.040	18.351	0.072
VCC-0045	-	183.03166	15.10940	NGA_NGC4192	AIS_227_sg32	15246	17	18.692	0.031	19.430	0.066
VCC-0046	-	183.04625	12.89310	NGA_NGC4168	-	1437	-1	20.133	0.054	-999.000	-999.000
VCC-0047	NGC4165	183.04916	13.24640	Gal6_001003_NGC4189	Gal1_079003_NGC4189	1862	3	17.021	0.048	17.531	0.054
VCC-0048	-	183.06291	12.48830	Gal6_001011_GUViCS011_0001	AIS_227_sg55	-8	10	16.364	0.031	16.717	0.053
VCC-0049	NGC4168	183.07208	13.20530	Gal6_001011_GUViCS011_0001	Gal1_079003_NGC4189	2307	0	16.912	0.047	18.658	0.127
VCC-0050	-	183.07916	15.48310	Gal6_001012_GUViCS012_0001	-	1230	-1	19.904	0.060	-999.000	-999.000
VCC-0051	-	183.08542	9.98640	Gal6_001013_GUViCS013	AIS_227_sg79	9651	5	18.004	0.032	18.454	0.061
VCC-0052	-	183.09459	6.97940	Gal2_125020_AGESstrip1_20	Gal2_125020_AGESstrip1_20	2088	12	19.733	0.037	19.951	0.056
VCC-0053	-	183.09416	8.77670	Gal2_125022_AGESstrip1_22	Gal2_125022_AGESstrip1_22	10329	3	18.218	0.032	18.549	0.052
VCC-0054	-	183.10542	15.39580	Gal6_001012_GUViCS012_0001	-	7183	1	20.305	0.079	-999.000	-999.000
VCC-0056	-	183.13000	15.27030	NGA_NGC4192	-	7089	5	18.205	0.046	-999.000	-999.000
VCC-0057	-	183.13583	11.35280	Gal1_079002_NGC4178	Gal1_079002_NGC4178	20241	5	17.879	0.032	18.318	0.052
VCC-0058	-	183.13458	12.12390	Gal6_001011_GUViCS011_0001	AIS_227_sg55	2209	5	15.423	0.030	15.950	0.053
VCC-0059	-	183.13583	12.31000	Gal6_001011_GUViCS011_0001	AIS_227_sg55	6995	7	17.405	0.031	17.873	0.057
VCC-0060	-	183.14375	11.07500	Gal1_079002_NGC4178	Gal1_079002_NGC4178	4528	11	17.413	0.031	17.748	0.051

Notes. This is sub-section of this table that shows a variety of columns as a preview of this catalog. The full Table is available at the CDS.

Appendix I: The UV Virgo Cluster Point Source Catalog

The primary GUViCS UV Virgo Cluster Point-Like Source (UV-VPS) catalog is the largest UV based compilation of archival and targeted observations in the Virgo Cluster to date. The catalog contains 1 230 855 sources and 99 data fields for each source. If certain data is not available for a given source a null value is entered. This catalog provides the most useful GALEX pipeline NUV and FUV photometric parameters and categorizes sources as stars, Virgo members, and background sources when possible. It also provides identifiers for optical matches in the SDSS and NED and indicates if a match exists in the NGVS, only when GUViCS-optical matches are one-to-one. The NED z_{spec} are also listed for GUViCS-NED one-to-one matches.

Additionally, the catalog is useful for quick access to optical data on one-to-one GUViCS-SDSS matches. It contains the most relevant SDSS parameters for each UV matched source including the following: SDSS ID, object type based on photometry, clean photometry flag, photometric redshift, spectroscopic survey (if applicable), spectroscopic warning flag, and spectroscopic redshift.

The only parameter available in the catalog for UV sources that have multiple SDSS matches is the total number of multiple matches, that is *SDSS_NumMtchs*. Multiple GUViCS sources matched to the same SDSS source are also flagged as “mUV” in the *SDSS_mUV* field and are given a total number of matches, *SDSS_NumMtchs*, of 1. All other fields for multiple matches are set to a null value of -9999. To obtain full optical SDSS data for multiple matched UV sources in both scenarios, the user can cross-correlate the GUViCS ID of the sources of interest with the full GUViCS-SDSS matched catalog in Appendix J.

Here, Table I.1 provides a full description of all fields in the UV-VPS catalog, and Table I.2 is a preview of the catalog that highlights some of the most relevant data fields. The full catalog is available at the CDS.

Table I.1. Description of columns in the UV-VPS catalog.

Field	Format	Units	Explanation
VPS_ID	I7	–	ID number from this paper
RAdeg	F12.8	deg	Right ascension at UV center
DEdeg	F11.8	deg	Declination at UV center
NUV_OrgPipFld	A35	–	Deepest NUV GALEX field where source located
FUV_OrgPipFld	A35	–	Deepest FUV GALEX field where source located
NUV_Expt	F8.2	s	Exposure time in seconds of NUV_OrgPipFld
FUV_Expt	F8.2	s	Exposure time in seconds of FUV_OrgPipFld
NUV_GUVfldOvlp	I4	–	Number of shallower NUV fields overlapping source location
FUV_GUVfldOvlp	I4	–	Number of shallower FUV fields overlapping source location
NUV_GoodPhotFlag	I4	–	Based on if NUV_ARTIFACT contains 2,4,512; 0=good and 1=bad
FUV_GoodPhotFlag	I4	–	Based on if FUV_ARTIFACT contains 2,4,512; 0=good and 1=bad
NUV_SrcRemFlag	I4	–	See Table B.1 of paper for description of values
FUV_SrcRemFlag	I4	–	See Table B.1 of paper for description of values
E_BV	F13.8	mag	Galactic reddening expressed as $E(B - V)$ from the Galactic extinctions maps Schlegel et al. (1998)
NUV_FOV_RADIUS	F13.8	deg	Distance in degrees from the center of FOV for NUV source
FUV_FOV_RADIUS	F13.8	deg	Distance in degrees from the center of FOV for FUV source
NUV_FLUX	F10.4	cnt/s	Calibrated NUV flux from SExtractor AUTO aperture. Value -999 means no NUV detection
NUV_FLUXERR	F9.4	cnt/s	Error on NUV_FLUX
NUV_MAG	F9.4	mag	Calibrated NUV AB magnitude from the SExtractor AUTO magnitude
NUV_MAGERR	F9.4	mag	Error on NUV_MAG
FUV_FLUX	F10.4	cnt/s	Calibrated FUV flux from SExtractor AUTO aperture. Value -999 means no FUV detection
FUV_FLUXERR	F9.4	cnt/s	Error on FUV_FLUX
FUV_MAG	F9.4	mag	Calibrated FUV AB magnitude from the SExtractor AUTO magnitude
FUV_MAGERR	F9.4	mag	Error on FUV_MAG
NUV_S2N	F9.4	–	NUV signal-to-noise from NUV_FLUX value
FUV_S2N	F9.4	–	FUV signal-to-noise from FUV_FLUX value
FUV_NCAT_FLUX	F11.4	cnt/s	The FUV flux derived using the source position given in the NUV catalog
FUV_NCAT_FLUXERR	F9.4	cnt/s	Error on FUV_NCAT_FLUX
FUV_NCAT_MAG	F9.4	mag	FUV calibrated AB magnitude derived from FUV_NCAT_FLUX
FUV_NCAT_MAGERR	F9.4	mag	Error on FUV_NCAT_MAG
FUV_NCAT_S2N	F9.4	–	Signal to noise for fuv_ncat_flux
NUV_SKYBG	F13.8	cnt/sec/arcsec ²	Background value in photons per second per square arcsecond at the source position taken in GALEX pipeline -nd-skybg.fits file image
FUV_SKYBG	F13.8	cnt/sec/arcsec ²	Background value in photons per second per square arcsecond at the source position taken in GALEX pipeline -fd-skybg.fits file image
NUV_ARTIFACT	I4	–	Logical OR of artifact flags for pixels within a 3 × 3 pixel box in GALEX pipeline -nd-flags.fits image
FUV_ARTIFACT	I4	–	Logical OR of artifact flags for pixels within a 3 × 3 pixel box in GALEX pipeline -fd-flags.fits image
NUV_NUMBER	I5	–	Running object number from original GALEX pipeline -xd-mcat.fits
NUV_FLUX_RADIUS_2	F10.5	pix	Half-light radius of NUV source from SExtractor AUTO aperture
NUV_FLUX_RADIUS_4	F12.5	pix	90% radius of NUV source from SExtractor AUTO aperture
NUV_KRON_RADIUS	F9.5	–	NUV Kron apertures in units of A or B
NUV_MU_MAX	F9.5	–	Peak NUV surface brightness above background
NUV_A_IMAGE	F9.5	pix	Profile RMS along NUV major axis
NUV_B_IMAGE	F9.5	pix	Profile RMS along NUV minor axis

Notes. Many of the GALEX column descriptions are taken directly from the *mcat* catalog column descriptions here: http://www.galex.caltech.edu/wiki/Public:Documentation/Appendix_A.1

Table I.1. continued.

Field	Format	Units	Explanation
NUV_THETA_IMAGE	F9.5	deg	Position angle
NUV_ERRA_IMAGE	F9.5	pix	RMS position error along NUV major axis
NUV_ERRB_IMAGE	F9.5	pix	RMS position error along NUV minor axis
NUV_ERRTHETA_IMAGE	F9.5	deg	Error ellipse NUV position angle
NUV_FWHM_WORLD	F9.5	deg	NUV FWHM assuming a gaussian core
NUV_FLAGS	I2	–	NUV extraction flags
NUV_CLASS_STAR	F7.5	–	SExtractor star/galaxy classifier
FUV_NUMBER	I5	–	Running object number from original GALEX pipeline -xd-mcat.fits
FUV_FLUX_RADIUS_2	F.5	pix	Half-light radius of FUV source from SExtractor AUTO aperture
FUV_FLUX_RADIUS_4	F11.5	pix	90% radius of NUV source from SExtractor AUTO aperture
FUV_KRON_RADIUS	F9.5	–	FUV Kron apertures in units of A or B
FUV_MU_MAX	F9.5	–	Peak FUV surface brightness above background
FUV_A_IMAGE	F9.5	pix	Profile RMS along FUV major axis
FUV_B_IMAGE	F9.5	pix	Profile RMS along FUV minor axis
FUV_THETA_IMAGE	F9.5	deg	Position angle
FUV_ERRA_IMAGE	F9.5	pix	RMS position error along FUV major axis
FUV_ERRB_IMAGE	F9.5	pix	RMS position error along FUV minor axis
FUV_ERRTHETA_IMAGE	F9.5	deg	Error ellipse FUV position angle
FUV_FWHM_WORLD	F9.5	deg	FUV FWHM assuming a gaussian core
FUV_FLAGS	I2	–	FUV extraction flags
FUV_CLASS_STAR	F7.5	–	SExtractor star/galaxy classifier
SDSS_NumMtxhs	I1	–	Number of optical SDSS sources matched to this UV source
SDSS_multUV	A3	–	Flag is “mUV” if this UV sources shares a SDSS match with one or more other UV sources
SDSS_ObjID	I19	–	SDSS object identifier
SDSS_MtxhSep	F14.8	deg	Angular separation between UV source and SDSS optical match
SDSS_phot_Clean	I5	–	SDSS flag indicates if photometry is clean; clean=1 and not clean=0
SDSS_phot_ObjType	I5	–	Type classification of object: 0=unknown; 1=cosmic ray; 2=defect; 3=galaxy; 4=ghost; 5=knownobj; 6=star; 7=trail; 8=sky; 9=notatype
SDSS_survey	A6	–	SDSS survey in which object is detected
SDSS_specz	F12.6	z	SDSS spectroscopic redshift
SDSS_specz_Err	F12.6	z	Error on SDSS_specz
SDSS_photzNN	F12.6	z	SDSS photometric redshift from “neural network” algorithm
SDSS_photzNN_Err	F12.6	z	Error on SDSS_photzNN
SDSS_photzRF	F12.6	z	SDSS photometric redshift from “random forest” algorithm
SDSS_photzRF_Err	F12.6	z	Error on SDSS_photzRF
SDSS_VM	A2	–	Flag value is “VM” if source is a member of the Virgo Cluster based on z_{phot} or z_{spec} , otherwise value is “-”
SDSS_BCKD	A2	–	Flag value is “BK” if object is behind the Virgo Cluster based on its SDSS photometric or spectroscopic redshift
SDSS_BCKDclass	A4	–	Flag value is “PHOT” if background source determined from z_{spec} , or “SPEC” if background source determined from z_{phot} , or “BOTH” if both methods apply
SDSS_BCKDclassBrkdn	A4	–	Flag value various combinations of “PNN”, “PRF”, and “SPEC” to show the details of which z_{phot} spec and if z_{spec} was used to classify the background source
SDSS_STAR	A1	–	Flag value is “*” if source is a star by any definition
SDSS_STARclass	A4	–	Flag value is “PHOT” if star determined via photo point spread function, “SPEC” if star found via spectra, or “BOTH” if both methods apply
SDSS_TBD	A1	–	Flag value is “TBD” if SDSS source is uncategorizable based on optical parameters, otherwise value is “-”
NGVS_NumMtxhs	I2	–	Number of optical NGVS sources matched to this UV source
NGVS_multUV	A3	–	Flag is “mUV” if this UV sources shares a NGVS match with one or more other UV sources
NGVS_STAR	A1	–	Flag is “*” if based on NGVS star/galaxy classification parameters
NED_NumMtxhs	I2	–	Number of optical NED sources matched to this UV source
NED_multUV	A3	–	Flag is “mUV” if this UV source has a NED match with on or more other UV sources
NED_ObjID	A30	–	Primary Object identification from the NED database
NED_RAdeg	F11.5	deg	Right ascension in degrees of NED optical match
NED_DEdeg	F11.5	deg	Declination in degrees of the NED optical match
NED_MtxhSep	F14.8	deg	Angular separation between UV source and NED optical match
NED_z	F11.5	z	Spectroscopic redshift of the NED source
NED_zQF	A4	–	The NED quality flag on NED_z
NED_VM	A2	–	Flag is “VM” if object is a Virgo Cluster member based on its NED spectroscopic redshift
NED_BCKD	A2	–	Flag is “BK” if object is behind the Virgo Cluster based on its NED spectroscopic redshift
NED_STAR	A1	–	Flag is “*” if object is a star based on visual investigation

Table I.2. Sub-set of the UV-VPS catalog.

VPS_ID	GFLD_NUV	NUV_Expt	<i>m</i> _{NUV}	<i>m</i> _{ErrNUV}	SDSS_ID	NED_ID	SDSS_TBD	NGVS_STARS	NGVS_BCKD	NED_VM
1	AIS_229_sg42	308.149993896	20.5348	0.122755	1237648720700244214	2QZ-J125816.9-002810	?	-	-	-
2	AIS_229_sg42	308.149993896	20.3257	0.10154	1237648720700178676	-	-	-	-	-
3	AIS_229_sg42	308.149993896	20.6323	0.126446	1237648720700244003	-	-	-	-	-
4	AIS_229_sg42	308.149993896	21.2726	0.17099	1237648720700244035	-	-	-	-	-
5	AIS_229_sg42	308.149993896	20.0739	0.0855449	1237648720700309536	-	-	-	-	-
6	AIS_229_sg42	308.149993896	20.7085	0.137504	1237648720700244142	-	-	-	-	-
7	AIS_229_sg42	308.149993896	20.729	0.150416	1237648720700309542	-	-	-	-	-
8	AIS_229_sg42	308.149993896	20.2624	0.0946499	1237648720700309513	-	-	-	-	-
9	AIS_229_sg42	308.149993896	21.2977	0.223296	1237648720700178852	-	-	-	-	-
10	AIS_229_sg42	308.149993896	20.7242	0.12678	1237648720700113080	-	-	-	-	-
11	AIS_229_sg42	308.149993896	20.4946	0.113604	-9999	-	-	-	-	-
12	AIS_229_sg42	308.149993896	20.4678	0.114593	-9999	-	-	-	-	-
13	AIS_229_sg42	308.149993896	19.8451	0.0737518	1237648704045187093	-	-	-	-	-
14	AIS_229_sg42	308.149993896	20.2797	0.112614	1237648704044990631	2dFGRS-N326Z076	?	-	-	-
15	AIS_229_sg42	308.149993896	20.8952	0.144493	1237648704045056121	-	-	-	-	-
16	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	22.2375	0.0924708	1237648704042304049	-	?	-	-	-
17	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	24.4108	0.386695	-9999	-	-	-	-	-
18	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	23.1221	0.166083	1237648704042303707	-	-	-	-	-
19	AIS_229_sg42	308.149993896	19.6255	0.066697	1237648704044990536	-	-	-	-	-
20	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	22.4737	0.228617	1237648704042304117	-	?	-	-	-
21	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	24.1593	0.341123	1237648704042304099	-	?	-	-	-
22	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	24.8536	0.771642	-9999	-	-	-	-	-
23	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	24.43	0.421629	1237648704042369790	-	?	-	-	-
24	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	21.441	0.0574551	1237648704042303675	-	-	-	-	-
25	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	23.8077	0.340966	1237648704042303898	-	-	-	-	-
26	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	22.1606	0.0836092	1237648704042303826	-	-	-	-	-
27	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	24.0378	0.330953	1237648704042370066	-	?	-	-	-
28	AIS_229_sg32	201.0	19.0389	0.055305	1237648704044597280	-	-	-	-	-
29	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	24.059	0.451261	1237648704042304454	-	?	-	-	-
30	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	22.4869	0.120524	1237648704042303950	-	?	-	-	-
31	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	24.4723	0.37787	1237648704042369450	-	?	-	-	-
32	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	23.5099	0.218884	1237648704042303784	-	?	-	-	-
33	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	24.4337	0.409638	-9999	-	-	-	-	-
34	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	23.1899	0.253893	-9999	-	-	-	-	-
35	AIS_229_sg42	308.149993896	20.9902	0.152329	1237648704045056031	-	-	-	-	-
36	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	21.3041	0.117376	-9999	-	-	-	-	-
37	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	23.3221	0.289265	-9999	-	-	-	-	-
38	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	24.5103	0.517109	-9999	-	-	-	-	-
39	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	22.3735	0.16679	1237648704042238492	-	?	-	-	-
40	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	24.6084	0.444212	-9999	-	-	-	-	-
41	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	24.5515	0.451711	-9999	-	-	-	-	-
42	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	24.3917	0.478081	1237648704042303639	-	-	-	-	-
43	AIS_229_sg42	308.149993896	20.5733	0.127777	-9999	-	-	-	-	-
44	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	23.9409	0.299737	1237648704045056132	-	-	-	-	-
45	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	22.7844	0.155426	1237648704042238731	-	?	-	-	-
46	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	24.7583	0.450381	1237648704042304295	-	?	-	-	-
47	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	21.4907	0.0512307	-9999	-	-	-	-	-
48	GH_033005_NGC4517	6402.60009766	24.7559	0.464035	1237648704042369167	-	-	-	-	-
49	-	-99.0	-999.0	-999.0	1237648704042304170	-	?	-	-	-
50	AIS_229_sg32	201.0	17.9924	0.0330155	-9999	-	-	-	-	-
					1237648704044597315	-	-	-	-	-

Notes. This is a sub-sample of the entire table that shows a variety of data fields (for NUV only) as a preview of this catalog. The full Table is available at the CDS.

Appendix J: The GUViCS-SDSS Matched Catalog

The GUViCS-SDSS matched catalog provides the most relevant SDSS data on all GUViCS-SDSS matches, including one-to-one matches and multiply matched sources. The catalog gives full SDSS identification information, complete SDSS photometric measurements in multiple aperture types, and complete redshift information (photometric and spectroscopic). It is ideal for large statistical studies of galaxy populations at multiple wavelengths in the background of the Virgo Cluster. The catalog can also be used as a starting point to study and search for previously unknown UV-bright point-like objects within the Virgo Cluster. The catalog contains 1 056 793 sources and 85 data fields for each source. If certain data is not available for a given source that field is given a null value. Here, Table J.1 provides a full description of all fields in the GUViCS-SDSS matched catalog, and Table J.2 is a preview of the catalog that highlights some of the most relevant data fields. The full catalog is available at the CDS.

Table J.1. Description of columns in the GUViCS-SDSS matched catalog.

Field	Format	Units	Explanation
VPS_ID	I7	–	ID number from this paper
SDSS_ObjID	I19	–	SDSS object identifier
SDSS_SpecObjID	I19	–	SDSS identifier pointing to spectrum of object if it exists
RAdeg	F9.5	deg	Right ascension at UV center
DEdeg	F8.5	deg	Declination at UV center
SDSS_MtchSep	F10.8	deg	Angular separation between UV source and SDSS optical match
SDSS_RAdeg	F12.8	deg	Right ascension in degrees at center of SDSS source in the r' -band
SDSS_DEdeg	F11.8	deg	Declination in degrees at center of SDSS source in the r' -band
SDSS_phot_Clean	I1	–	SDSS flag indicates if photometry is clean; clean=1 and not clean=0
SDSS_phot_ObjType	I1	–	Type classification of object: 0=unknown; 1=cosmic ray; 2=defect; 3=galaxy; 4=ghost; 5=knownobj; 6=star; 7=trail; 8=sky; 9=notatype
u_petroMag	F11.5	mag	Petrosian magnitude for u' -band in r' -band aperture
u_petroMagErr	F18.8	mag	Error on u_petroMag
u_modelMag	F11.5	mag	Better of De Vaucouleurs/exponential u' -band magnitude fit in the r' -band
u_modelMagErr	F14.8	mag	Error on u_modelMag
u_cModelMag	F11.5	mag	Linear combination of De Vaucouleurs+exponential magnitudes fit in the u' -band
u_cModelMagErr	F15.8	mag	Error on u_cModelMag
u_petroRad	F10.6	arcsec	Petrosian radius in u' -band
u_petroRadErr	F14.8	arcsec	Error on petroRad_u
u_petroR50	F12.6	arcsec	Radius containing 50% of the Petrosian flux in u' -band
u_petroR50Err	F14.8	arcsec	Error on u_petroR50
u_petroR90	F12.6	arcsec	Radius containing 90% of the Petrosian flux in u' -band
u_petroR90Err	F15.9	arcsec	Error on u_petroR90
g_petroMag	F11.5	mag	Petrosian magnitude for g' -band in r' -band Petrosian aperture
g_petroMagErr	F14.8	mag	Error on g_petroMag
g_modelMag	F11.5	mag	Better of De Vaucouleurs/exponential g' -band magnitude fit in the r' -band
g_modelMagErr	F14.8	mag	Error on g_modelMag
g_cModelMag	F11.5	mag	Linear combination of De Vaucouleurs+exponential magnitudes fit in the g' -band
g_cModelMagErr	F15.8	mag	Error on g_cModelMag
g_petroRad	F10.6	arcsec	Petrosian radius in g' -band
g_petroRadErr	F14.8	arcsec	Error on g_petroRad
g_petroR50	F12.6	arcsec	Radius containing 50% of the Petrosian flux in g' -band
g_petroR50Err	F14.8	arcsec	Error on g_petroR50
g_petroR90	F12.6	arcsec	Radius containing 90% of the Petrosian flux in g' -band
g_petroR90Err	F15.9	arcsec	Error on g_petroR90
r_petroMag	F11.5	mag	Petrosian magnitude for r' -band in r' -band Petrosian aperture
r_petroMagErr	F18.8	mag	Error on r_petroMag
r_modelMag	F11.5	mag	Better of De Vaucouleurs/exponential r' -band magnitude fit in the r' -band
r_modelMagErr	F14.8	mag	Error on r_modelMag
r_cModelMag	F11.5	mag	Linear combination of De Vaucouleurs+exponential magnitudes fit in the r' -band
r_cModelMagErr	F15.8	mag	Error on r_cModelMag
r_petroRad	F10.6	arcsec	Petrosian radius in r' -band
r_petroRadErr	F14.8	arcsec	Error on r_petroRad
r_petroR50	F12.6	arcsec	Radius containing 50% of the Petrosian flux in r' -band
r_petroR50Err	F14.8	arcsec	Error on r_petroR50
r_petroR90	F12.6	arcsec	Radius containing 90% of the Petrosian flux in r' -band
r_petroR90Err	F15.9	arcsec	Error on r_petroR90
i_petroMag	F11.5	mag	Petrosian magnitude for i' -band in r' -band Petrosian aperture
i_petroMagErr	F14.8	mag	Error on i_petroMag
i_modelMag	F11.5	mag	Better of De Vaucouleurs/exponential i' -band magnitude fit in the r' -band
i_modelMagErr	F14.8	mag	Error on i_modelMag
i_cModelMag	F11.5	mag	Linear combination of De Vaucouleurs+exponential magnitudes fit in the i' -band
i_cModelMagErr	F15.8	mag	Error on i_cModelMag
i_petroRad	F10.6	arcsec	Petrosian radius in i' -band
i_petroRadErr	F14.8	arcsec	Error on i_petroRad

Notes. Many of the SDSS field descriptions are taken directly from the SDSS DR9 schema page here: <http://skyserver.sdss3.org/dr9/en/help/browser/browser.asp>

Table J.1. continued.

Field	Format	Units	Explanation
i_petroR50	F12.6	arcsec	Radius containing 50% of the Petrosian flux in i' -band
i_petroR50Err	F14.8	arcsec	Error on i_petroR50
i_petroR90	F12.6	arcsec	Radius containing 90% of the Petrosian flux in i' -band
i_petroR90Err	F15.9	arcsec	Error on i_petroR90
z_petroMag	F11.5	–	Petrosian magnitude for z' -band in r' -band Petrosian aperture
z_petroMagErr	F15.8	mag	Error on z_petroMag
z_modelMag	F11.5	mag	Better of De Vaucouleurs/exponential z' -band magnitude fit in the r' -band
z_modelMagErr	F14.8	mag	Error on z_modelMag
z_cModelMag	F11.5	mag	Linear combination of De Vaucouleurs+exponential magnitudes fit in the z' -band
z_cModelMagErr	F15.8	mag	Error on z_cModelMag
z_petroRad	F10.6	arcsec	Petrosian radius in z' -band
z_petroRadErr	F14.8	arcsec	Error on z_petroRad
z_petroR50	F12.6	arcsec	Radius containing 50% of the Petrosian flux in z' -band
z_petroR50Err	F14.8	arcsec	Error on z_petroR50
z_petroR90	F12.6	arcsec	Radius containing 90% of the Petrosian flux in z' -band
z_petroR90Err	F15.9	arcsec	Error on z_petroR90
SDSS_survey	A6	–	SDSS survey name
SDSS_specz	F12.6	z	Best SDSS spectroscopic redshift
SDSS_specz_Err	F12.6	z	Error on SDSS_specz
SDSS_specz_class	A6	–	Classification of SDSS source as “GALAXY”, “QSO”, or “STAR” based on features in the objects spectrum
SDSS_specz_Warning	I4	–	Bitmask of warning values where 0=good
SDSS_specz_noQSO	F12.6	z	Best SDSS spectroscopic redshift when excluding QSO fit
SDSS_specz_Err_noQSO	F12.6	z	Error on SDSS_specz_noQSO
SDSS_specz_Warning_noQSO	I4	–	Same warning as SDSS_specz_Warning, but for SDSS_specz_noQSO
SDSS_specz_class_noQSO	A6	–	Same classification as SDSS_specz_class, but for SDSS_specz_noQSO
SDSS_photzNN	F12.6	z	SDSS photometric redshift from “neural network” algorithm
SDSS_photzNN_Err	F12.6	z	Error on SDSS_photzNN
SDSS_photzRF	F12.6	z	SDSS photometric redshift from “random forest” algorithm
SDSS_photzRF_Err	F12.6	z	Error on SDSS_photzRF

Table J.2. Sub-set of the GUViCS-SDSS catalog.

VPS_ID	SDSS_ObjID	SDSS_RAdeg	SDSS_DEdeg	phot_Clean	r_petroMag	r_petroR50	r_cModelMag	r_modelMag	SDSS_specz	SDSS_photzRF
1	1237648720700244214	194.570685056	-0.469382430018	1	19.86351	1.066034	19.87323	19.87323	-8888.0	0.0789564
2	1237648720700178676	194.5004379	-0.463474674025	1	19.05415	0.9225867	19.06404	19.06405	0.2451683	0.172791
3	1237648720700244003	194.597295792	-0.453630459169	1	17.06896	0.8109651	17.03068	17.03068	-8888.0	-8888.0
4	1237648720700244035	194.510200974	-0.454894912676	1	17.93353	0.779039	17.89274	17.89274	-8888.0	-8888.0
5	1237648720700309536	194.746662034	-0.452415921765	1	14.92753	0.8733402	14.89757	14.89757	-8888.0	-8888.0
6	1237648720700244142	194.586398592	-0.442746155224	1	18.16115	2.18277	18.11616	18.11616	-8888.0	0.195563
7	1237648720700309542	194.756667175	-0.438377969013	1	20.13555	0.9088713	20.14426	20.14426	-8888.0	-8888.0
8	1237648720700309513	194.677913463	-0.42809303877	1	16.83692	0.8310513	16.80174	16.80174	-8888.0	-8888.0
9	1237648720700178852	194.473059059	-0.422703589543	1	19.64554	1.304409	19.61404	19.61404	0.165244	0.165244
10	1237648720700113080	194.313610924	-0.422684388298	1	18.16351	1.884779	18.08863	18.08863	-8888.0	0.191196
11	1237648720700178501	194.428818676	-0.420628569975	1	16.20097	0.7421287	16.1603	16.1603	-8888.0	-8888.0
11	1237648720700178502	194.429401131	-0.41918924813	1	20.0843	1.37854	20.40982	20.39767	-8888.0	0.14831
12	1237648704045121647	194.614942964	-0.405337074673	1	19.43814	1.105869	19.42088	19.42088	-8888.0	0.14715
12	1237648704045121648	194.615503031	-0.40663203932	1	20.2391	1.305289	20.14555	20.29172	-8888.0	0.0865192
13	1237648704045187093	194.750201902	-0.404410099976	1	14.43311	0.5863197	14.37228	14.37228	-8888.0	-8888.0
14	1237648704044990631	194.343503017	-0.395526996972	0	17.89945	2.842504	17.87007	17.87007	-8888.0	0.0463287
15	1237648704045056121	194.466746745	-0.393085190011	1	19.13187	0.9382241	19.10153	19.14655	-8888.0	0.125337
16	1237648704042304049	188.162644227	-0.384860113267	1	21.05508	1.047097	21.01303	21.01303	-8888.0	0.162085
18	1237648704042303707	188.212979348	-0.38304090509	1	19.60042	0.80029	19.5685	19.5685	-8888.0	-8888.0
19	1237648704044990536	194.341050177	-0.382847557805	0	13.44909	1.178099	14.97935	13.59838	-8888.0	-8888.0
20	1237648704042304117	188.196194543	-0.38309669973	1	21.69966	1.448838	21.6634	21.6634	0.415683	0.415683
21	1237648704042304099	188.187575471	-0.380356371517	1	20.7378	1.063899	20.70365	20.748	-8888.0	0.198996
23	1237648704042369790	188.257982827	-0.380012386754	0	22.3031	0.9142082	22.2266	21.84088	-8888.0	0.342464
24	1237648704042303675	188.1800504	-0.378728375738	1	18.71882	0.8019442	18.68394	18.68405	-8888.0	-8888.0
25	1237648704042303898	188.107099202	-0.38019781207	0	20.77004	1.985193	20.99642	20.99642	-8888.0	-8888.0
26	1237648704042303826	188.192775827	-0.378245325478	1	20.56008	0.9576247	20.63448	20.63401	-8888.0	-8888.0
27	1237648704042370066	188.275799996	-0.378714343949	0	21.29313	3.762415	21.84264	21.86093	-8888.0	0.435027
28	1237648704044597280	193.384440771	-0.37779393787	0	14.16577	1.693035	14.61736	14.74322	-8888.0	-8888.0
29	1237648704042304454	188.225583678	-0.376638555902	0	23.6117	0.9046873	22.43729	23.80241	-8888.0	-8888.0
30	1237648704042303950	188.127495093	-0.37761584135	1	20.59755	1.751001	20.49957	20.53205	-8888.0	0.339657
31	1237648704042369450	188.263280895	-0.377826913289	1	21.65134	1.086265	21.3803	21.37473	-8888.0	0.392354
32	1237648704042303784	188.206375808	-0.377137995896	1	20.83275	0.9348387	20.78656	20.78661	-8888.0	0.253223
35	1237648704045056031	194.461259787	-0.375380895546	1	17.83416	0.617262	17.77811	17.77811	-8888.0	-8888.0
36	1237648704042369072	188.289622129	-0.375190667224	0	21.09913	1.281783	20.93743	20.93742	-8888.0	0.130005
36	1237648704042369076	188.289064923	-0.375377792797	0	24.09786	0.5908738	23.91677	23.87782	-8888.0	0.676087
36	1237648704042369077	188.289680512	-0.37501855036	0	23.38869	0.5280144	23.02468	23.02467	-8888.0	-8888.0
39	1237648704042238492	188.076385929	-0.373243019609	1	24.4804	0.2705168	24.11954	24.11954	-8888.0	-8888.0
41	1237648704042303639	188.144225366	-0.37393634897	1	19.24933	0.7845541	19.19751	19.19751	-8888.0	-8888.0
42	1237648704042303738	188.11778755	-0.37290420495	1	19.55833	0.8050651	19.53878	19.53878	-8888.0	-8888.0
42	1237648704042303739	188.115843754	-0.37316966269	1	20.84318	1.230178	20.78664	20.86171	-8888.0	0.0887103
43	1237648704045056132	194.49838628	-0.372234387989	1	19.41976	0.8397874	19.3998	19.3776	-8888.0	0.280437
44	1237648704042238731	188.1054057	-0.372172492487	1	22.25185	0.7856979	22.09014	22.09014	-8888.0	0.367416
45	1237648704042304295	188.151587834	-0.372551405353	1	22.75891	0.6515285	22.53342	22.53342	-8888.0	-8888.0
47	1237648704042369167	188.280957964	-0.371717788558	1	18.50293	0.7366127	18.45677	18.45677	-8888.0	-8888.0
48	1237648704042304170	188.216358795	-0.371422131258	1	21.63396	0.9046321	21.48607	21.48607	-8888.0	0.344373
50	1237648704044597315	193.478164871	-0.370592819405	0	14.67558	0.9659479	15.99006	15.99006	-8888.0	-8888.0

Notes. This is a sub-sample of the entire table that shows a variety of fields as a preview of this catalog. The full Table is available at the CDS.

Appendix K: Gallery of spectroscopically confirmed UV Virgo cluster members

Fig. K.1. Gallery of UV Virgo Cluster sources in the UV-VPS catalog that are spectroscopically confirmed Virgo Cluster members from the SDSS and NED. Shown from left to right are the SDSS $g'r'i$ image, the SDSS or NGVS g' image, the GALEX NUV image, and GALEX FUV image, where available. Right ascension (J2000) is given on the horizontal axis in degrees and declination (J2000) on the vertical axis in degrees. The UV-VPS catalog ID is provided in the *top right* corner of the $g'r'i$ image.

Fig. K.1. continued.

Fig. K.1. continued.

Fig. K.1. continued.

Fig. K.1. continued.

Fig. K.1. continued.

Fig. K.1. continued.

Fig. K.1. continued.

Fig. K.1. continued.

Fig. K.1. continued.

Fig. K.1. continued.

Fig. K.1. continued.

Fig. K.1. continued.

Fig. K.1. continued.

Fig. K.1. continued.

Fig. K.1. continued.

Fig. K.1. continued.

References

- Abazajian, K. N., Adelman-McCarthy, J. K., Agüeros, M. A., et al. 2009, *ApJS*, 182, 543
- Ahn, C. P., Alexandroff, R., Allende Prieto, C., et al. 2012, *ApJS*, 203, 21
- Aihara, H., Allende Prieto, C., An, D., et al. 2011, *ApJS*, 193, 29
- Arnouts, S., Schiminovich, D., Ilbert, O., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, 619, L43
- Bertin, E., & Arnouts, S. 1996, *A&AS*, 117, 393
- Binggeli, B., & Cameron, L. M. 1991, *A&A*, 252, 27
- Binggeli, B., Sandage, A., & Tammann, G. A. 1985, *AJ*, 90, 1681
- Binggeli, B., Tammann, G. A., & Sandage, A. 1987, *AJ*, 94, 251
- Binggeli, B., Sandage, A., & Tammann, G. A. 1988, *ARA&A*, 26, 509
- Binggeli, B., Popescu, C. C., & Tammann, G. A. 1993, *A&AS*, 98, 275
- Blakeslee, J. P., Jordán, A., Mei, S., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 694, 556
- Boissier, S., Boselli, A., Duc, P.-A., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 545, A142
- Boselli, A., & Gavazzi, G. 2006, *PASP*, 118, 517
- Boselli, A., Gavazzi, G., Donas, J., & Scodreggio, M. 2001, *AJ*, 121, 753
- Boselli, A., Sauvage, M., Lequeux, J., Donati, A., & Gavazzi, G. 2003, *A&A*, 406, 867
- Boselli, A., Cortese, L., Deharveng, J. M., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, 629, L29
- Boselli, A., Boissier, S., Cortese, L., & Gavazzi, G. 2008a, *ApJ*, 674, 742
- Boselli, A., Boissier, S., Cortese, L., & Gavazzi, G. 2008b, *A&A*, 489, 1015
- Boselli, A., Boissier, S., Cortese, L., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 706, 1527
- Boselli, A., Eales, S., Cortese, L., et al. 2010, *PASP*, 122, 261
- Boselli, A., Boissier, S., Heinis, S., et al. 2011, *A&A*, 528, A107
- Boulade, O., Charlot, X., Abbon, P., et al. 2003, in *SPIE Conf. Ser.* 4841, eds. M. Iye, & A. F. M. Moorwood, 72
- Bouwens, R. J., Illingworth, G. D., Franx, M., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 705, 936
- Brodie, J. P., Romanowsky, A. J., Strader, J., & Forbes, D. A. 2011, *AJ*, 142, 199
- Budavári, T., Heinis, S., Szalay, A. S., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 694, 1281
- Clemens, M. S., Bressan, A., Nikolic, B., et al. 2006, *MNRAS*, 370, 702
- Clemens, M. S., Bressan, A., Nikolic, B., & Rampazzo, R. 2009, *MNRAS*, 392, L35
- Connolly, A. J., Szalay, A. S., Dickinson, M., Subbarao, M. U., & Brunner, R. J. 1997, *ApJ*, 486, L11
- Cortese, L., Boissier, S., Boselli, A., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 544, A101
- Côté, P., Piatek, S., Ferrarese, L., et al. 2006, *ApJS*, 165, 57
- Cowie, L. L., Songaila, A., Hu, E. M., & Cohen, J. G. 1996, *AJ*, 112, 839
- Davies, J. I., Baes, M., Bendo, G. J., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 518, L48
- Dressler, A. 1980, *ApJ*, 236, 351
- Ferrarese, L., Côté, P., Cuillandre, J.-C., et al. 2012, *ApJS*, 200, 4
- Fumagalli, M., Gavazzi, G., Scaramella, R., & Franzetti, P. 2011, *A&A*, 528, A46
- Gavazzi, G., Pierini, D., & Boselli, A. 1996, *A&A*, 312, 397
- Gavazzi, G., Boselli, A., Scodreggio, M., Pierini, D., & Belsole, E. 1999, *MNRAS*, 304, 595
- Gavazzi, G., Boselli, A., Donati, A., Franzetti, P., & Scodreggio, M. 2003, *A&A*, 400, 451
- Geach, J. E., Ellis, R. S., Smail, I., Rawle, T. D., & Moran, S. M. 2011, *MNRAS*, 413, 177
- Gil de Paz, A., Boissier, S., Madore, B. F., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, 173, 185
- Giovanelli, R., Haynes, M. P., Kent, B. R., et al. 2005, *AJ*, 130, 2598
- Guillaume, M., Llebaria, A., Aymeric, D., Arnouts, S., & Milliard, B. 2006, in *SPIE Conf. Ser.* 6064, eds. E. R. Dougherty, J. T. Astola, K. O. Egiazarian, N. M. Nasrabadi, & S. A. Rizvi, 332
- Habertzell, L., Williger, G., Lehnert, M. D., Nesvadba, N., & Davies, L. 2012, *ApJ*, 745, 96
- Hammer, D., Hornschemeier, A. E., Mobasher, B., et al. 2010, *ApJS*, 190, 43
- Hanes, D. A., Côté, P., Bridges, T. J., et al. 2001, *ApJ*, 559, 812
- Hopkins, A. M., & Beacom, J. F. 2006, *ApJ*, 651, 142
- Kennicutt, Jr., R. C. 1998, *ApJ*, 498, 541
- Koester, B. P., McKay, T. A., Annis, J., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, 660, 239
- Kron, R. G. 1980, *ApJS*, 43, 305
- Lilly, S. J., Le Fevre, O., Hammer, F., & Crampton, D. 1996, *ApJ*, 460, L1
- Lisker, T., Glatt, K., Westera, P., & Grebel, E. K. 2006, *AJ*, 132, 2432
- Lupton, R., Gunn, J. E., Ivezić, Z., Knapp, G. R., & Kent, S. 2001, in *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems X*, eds. F. R. Harnden, Jr., F. A. Primini, & H. E. Payne, *ASP Conf. Ser.*, 238, 269
- Madau, P., Ferguson, H. C., Dickinson, M. E., et al. 1996, *MNRAS*, 283, 1388
- Mei, S., Blakeslee, J. P., Côté, P., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, 655, 144
- Mei, S., Licitra, R., Van Waerbeke, L., et al. 2013, in *AAS Meeting Abstracts*, 221, 43702
- Morrissey, P., Conrow, T., Barlow, T. A., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, 173, 682
- Oyaizu, H., Lima, M., Cunha, C. E., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, 674, 768
- Poggianti, B. M., Fasano, G., Bettoni, D., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 697, L137
- Sandage, A., Binggeli, B., & Tammann, G. A. 1985, *AJ*, 90, 1759
- Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., & Davis, M. 1998, *ApJ*, 500, 525
- Strader, J., Romanowsky, A. J., Brodie, J. P., et al. 2011, *ApJS*, 197, 33
- Whitmore, B. C., Gilmore, D. M., & Jones, C. 1993, *ApJ*, 407, 489
- Xu, C. K., Donas, J., Arnouts, S., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, 619, L11
- Zwicky, F., Herzog, E., Wild, P., Karpowicz, M., & Kowal, C. T. 1961, *Catalog of Galaxies and Clusters of Galaxies (CGCG)* (Pasadena: California Institute of Technology)