The formation of long-period eccentric binaries with a helium white dwarf
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ABSTRACT

The recent discovery of long-period eccentric binaries hosting a He-white dwarf or a subdwarf star has been challenging binary-star modeling. Based on accurate determinations of the stellar and orbital parameters for IP Eri, a K0 + He-WD system, we propose an evolutionary path that is able to explain the observational properties of this system and, in particular, to account for its high eccentricity (0.25). Our scenario invokes an enhanced-wind mass loss on the first red giant branch (RGB) in order to avoid mass transfer by Roche-lobe overflow, where tides systematically circularize the orbit. We explore how the evolution of the orbital parameters depends on the initial conditions and show that eccentricity can be preserved and even increased if the initial separation is large enough. The low spin velocity of the K0 giant implies that accretion of angular momentum from a (tidally-enhanced) RGB wind should not be efficient.
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1. Introduction

The recent discovery of several long-period binaries ($P \sim 10^3 \text{ d}$) hosting a subdwarf (sdB) star (Ostensen & Van Winckel 2011, 2012; Vos et al. 2012; Deca et al. 2012; Barlow et al. 2012, 2013) or a He white dwarf (WD) like HR 1608 = 63 Eri (Landsman et al. 1993; Vennes et al. 1998) or IP Eri studied here (Merle et al. 2014) have challenged our understanding of their formation. These two classes of stars are closely related, since sdB stars consist of He-burning cores surrounded by extremely thin H envelopes (Heber 1986), while He WDs are degenerate, nuclearly extinct He cores.

The formation of sdB stars and He WDs require that the progenitor star loses its envelope as it ascends the red giant branch (RGB). The most likely scenario, if not the only one for the He WDs, requires a binary companion. Among the 5 different evolutionary channels proposed by Han et al. (2002, 2003) for the formation of sdBs, the only one that leads to long-period systems involves stable mass transfer on the RGB. To avoid dynamical Roche lobe overflow (RLOF) and subsequent common envelope (CE) evolution, the initial system mass ratio must be less than some critical value on the order of $\sim 1.2-1.5$ (e.g. Webbink 1988; Soberman et al. 1997). This scenario is likely to produce circular systems with periods $P \leq 500 \text{ d}$ (Podsiadlowski et al. 2008) that may be too short to account for the thousand days period of the previously mentioned objects. An alternative scenario has emerged from the binary population-synthesis models of Nelemans (2010). Using the $\gamma$-prescription for the CE efficiency (Nelemans & Tout 2005), which is based on the angular momentum balance rather than the energy balance, the authors showed that CE channels can produce systems with main-sequence companions and periods on the order of years but likely to be circular.

More recently, Clausen & Wade (2011) proposed a different evolutionary path leading to eccentric, long-period sdB + main-sequence (MS) binary systems, starting from hierarchical triple systems whose inner binaries merge and form sdBs, while the outer MS star had no part in the sdB formation. Thus, unlike stable RLOF- and CE-produced systems, which should have nearly circular orbits, no limitations exist on the eccentricities of these binaries other than a requirement that the periastron separation of the outer binary not be too small. This results in eccentric systems with final orbital periods on the order of 1000 d. The application of this scenario to the formation of a long-period eccentric binary involving a He WD is more problematic, because it requires the merger product of the inner binary to be a He WD, i.e. with a mass below $\sim 0.45 \, M_\odot$.

Based on state-of-the-art binary-evolution calculations done with BINSTAR, we present a consistent evolutionary channel to explain the properties of systems like IP Eri involving a He WD in a long-period eccentric orbit. The paper is organized as follows: in the next two sections we summarize the main physical ingredients of BINSTAR and properties of IP Eri. Then in Sects. 4 and 5, we present the results of our calculations for the RLOF and tidally-enhanced wind models and conclude in Sect. 6.

2. The binary evolution code BINSTAR

The BINSTAR code (Siess et al. 2013; Davis et al. 2013; Deschamps et al. 2013) used in this work is based on the stellar evolution code STAREVOL (Siess 2006) and is specifically designed to study low- and intermediate-mass binaries. The evolution of the orbital parameters (semi-major axis and eccentricity)...
is calculated simultaneously along side the internal structure and rotation of the two stellar components.

The evolution of the orbital parameters is governed by the conservation of the system’s angular momentum (hereafter AM, J_z), according to the relation

$$J_z = J_d + J_g + J_{orb}$$

where \( J_{orb} \), \( J_d \) and \( J_g \) are the torques applied to the orbit, donor and gainer star, respectively. The stellar torques that act on the stellar spins account for the action of tides (synchronization) and change in AM due to the accretion or loss of mass. Note that in all the simulations, we consider solid-body rotation and assume the initial stars to be (pseudo-)synchronized. The evolution of the semi-major axis \((a)\) is given by

$$\frac{\dot{a}}{a} = 2 \frac{\dot{J}_{orb}}{J_{orb}} - 2 \left( \frac{M_d + M_g}{M_d + M_g} \right) + \frac{M_d + M_g}{M_d + M_g} \frac{2 e \dot{e}}{1 - e^2},$$

where \( \dot{J}_{orb} \) is evaluated from Eq. (1) and \( M_d-g \) corresponds to the net\(^1\) mass change rate of star \(i\). RLOF mass transfer rates are computed according to the Kolb & Ritter (1990) prescription and the Eggleton (1983) formulation for the Roche lobe radius \((R_{1i})\) is used.

Changes in the eccentricity \((e)\) due to the tidal interaction of each star (\(e_{\text{tid},d}\)) are calculated from Zahn (1977, 1989) (for details, see Siess et al. 2013, hereafter Paper I). However in an eccentric orbit, the specific AM of the ejected material depends on the star’s position along its orbit and is potentially able to generate some eccentricity. Following Soker (2000)

$$\dot{e}_{\text{wins}}(v) = \frac{[M_{wind}^d + M_{wind}^g]}{(M_d + M_g)} (e + \cos v),$$

where \(v\) is the true anomaly and \(M_{wind}^d\) and \(M_{wind}^g\) the wind mass loss rate from star \(i\). Aged in these calculations to follow the Reimers (1975) prescription. The total rate of change of the eccentricity is given by

$$\dot{e} = \dot{e}_{\text{wins}} + \dot{e}_{\text{tid},d} + \dot{e}_{\text{tid},g}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

The specific AM of the wind material ejected from the system is assumed to be equal to the specific orbital AM of the star at its position on the orbit, i.e.

$$J_z = \sum_{i=d,g} M_i^{wind} \omega d_i = \left( \frac{M_i^{wind}}{q} + q M_i^{wind} \right) J_{orb},$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \(\omega\) is the orbital angular velocity, \(d_i\) the distance of star \(i\) to the center of mass of the system \((a_1 + a_2 = a)\), \(q = M_d/M_g\) the mass ratio and \(J_{orb} = J_{orb}/(M_d + M_g)\) the specific orbital AM.

When the mass exchange rate depends on the orbital phase, as is the case in an eccentric orbit for the RLOF mass transfer rate and tidally enhanced stellar winds (see Sect. 4.2), averaged quantities must be used in order to follow the secular evolution of the system over millions of orbits. To achieve this goal, we use a Gaussian quadrature integration scheme which involves the calculation of the mass transfer properties at very specific locations (i.e. at given true anomalies) along the orbit. Once the instantaneous Roche radius is known, at that orbital phase one can calculate the tidal torques \((\dot{e}_{\text{tid},d})\) and the mass transfer rates (either due to RLOF or tidally enhanced wind) from which the “local”

\(^1\) This includes contributions from mass accretion/loss via RLOF and/or wind.

3. Observational and evolutionary constraints

Merle et al. (2014) derive the first orbital solution for IP Eri (+HD 18131 = EUVE J0254-053), a K0IV + DA WD system with \(P = 1071.00 \pm 0.07 \text{ d and } \epsilon = 0.25 \pm 0.01\). An analysis of the WD atmospheric lines by Burleigh et al. (1997) and Vennes et al. (1998) yielded an effective temperature of \(\sim 30000 \text{ K and gravity log } g \sim 7.5\). These values, combined with structural models and a revised Hipparcos distance estimate of \(\sim 100^{+26}_{-17} \text{ pc\), leads to a mass close to 0.4 \pm 0.03 M\odot for the hot companion, implying that the WD is made of He and not of carbon-oxygen. The stellar metalliclicity of the K0 giant is close to solar with \([\text{Fe/H}] \sim 0.1\), with an effective temperature \(T_{eff} = 4960 \pm 100 \text{ K and log } g = 3.3 \pm 0.3\). These parameters indicate that the star is located at the base of the RGB in the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram (HRD) and its initial mass ranges between 1.2–1.3 \(M_{\odot}\). The low system mass function \((f = 0.0036 M_{\odot})\) only sets an upper limit on \(M_{\text{giant}} < 4.26 M_{\odot}\). A detailed chemical analysis of the giant reveals no s-process enhancement, implying that the WD progenitor avoided the asymptotic giant branch (AGB) phase, consistent with its He composition. No sign of fast rotation was detected in the giant with \(v \sin i < 5 \text{ km s}^{-1}\).

Given the mass of the He WD, its progenitor must have been less massive than 3 M\odot initially, because stars of higher masses leave the main sequence with a H-depleted core more massive than the derived WD mass. We also inferred from single-star calculations performed with STAREVOL, that the age of the He WD on its cooling track is \(\sim 10^7 \text{ yr}\).

Evolutionary timescales also impose some constraints on the mass of the WD progenitor. If we consider the system to be \(\sim 5 \text{ Gyr old}, compatible with its solar-like composition, this imposes the mass-lossing star to be at least 1.2–1.3 M\odot, so that it can reach the RGB and start losing mass in that time interval.

Furthermore, the initial mass ratio must be close to unity so that, by the time the He WD forms and reaches its observed position in the HRD, the companion star has evolved substantially off the main sequence to comply with the observed values.

4. Stable RLOF channel

4.1. Circular systems

We start by investigating the stable RLOF channel, first for circular systems (Sect. 4.1) and then for eccentric ones (Sect. 4.2).

The key point in this approach is to start with a binary having a mass ratio just above unity, so that when RLOF starts, \(q\)

\(^2\) See e.g. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gaussian_quadrature
rapidly drops below unity ensuring stable mass transfer. The initial orbital period is chosen in such a way that the donor star fills its Roche lobe on the RGB. A set of evolutionary tracks has been computed for systems with initial masses $M_1 = 1.2$ and $M_2 = 1.0 M_\odot$ and initial periods of 30, 100, 200 and 365 d.

As shown in Fig. 1, the final orbital periods range from 200 to 1400 d, covering the observed value. With increasing initial periods, mass transfer starts at higher luminosities corresponding to larger core masses. As a consequence, the mass of He WD increases from 0.34 $M_\odot$ to 0.44 $M_\odot$. In all simulations, the mass-transfer rate peaks around $10^{-2} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, decreases once the mass ratio has been reversed and then stabilizes around $10^{-8} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. We note that the phase of rapid mass transfer ($M > 10^{-5} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) occurs over a larger mass range if RLOF starts later in the evolution. The reason for this behavior is because, as the star climbs the RGB, the energy demand from the H-burning shell (HBS) increases. To compensate for the higher radiative losses from the surface, H is burnt at a higher rate and the core growth rate ($M_{\text{HBS}}$) increases. Because the expansion of the star is driven by the HBS, a higher $M_{\text{HBS}}$ leads to a larger overfilling factor ($R/R_{\text{L1}}$) and hence mass-transfer rate. When all the envelope is removed, the star leaves the RGB and moves to the blue as the hot He core is progressively exposed.

The evolution of the secondary component is not very sensitive to the initial period. It lands on the main sequence with a mass on the order of 1.6–1.7 $M_\odot$ when a conservative evolution is considered. As described at the end of Sect. 5, some fine tuning is required to match the position in the HR diagram for both stars. It is also worth noticing that in this process, the accretion of AM spins up the gainer close to its breakup velocity which is incompatible with observations.

4.2. Eccentric systems

If we now consider a system with an initial eccentricity $e = 0.3$ and re-perform the previous calculations, we find that in all cases, the orbit has circularized by the time RLOF starts. The reason is that mass transfer begins when the star has already evolved along the giant branch and possesses a deep convective envelope. Convection is the most efficient mechanism for dissipating the kinetic energy of the tidally-induced large-scale flows (e.g. Zahn 2008). According to Zahn (1978), the circularization timescale can be expressed as

$$\tau_{\text{circ}} \approx \frac{1}{84\overline{q}(1 + \overline{q})k_2} \left(\frac{MR^2}{L}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{R}{a}\right)^{8} \approx \frac{2}{\overline{q}(1 + \overline{q})} \left(\frac{R}{a}\right)^{8} \text{yr}, \quad (6)$$

where $k_2$ is the apsidal motion constant and $\overline{q} = M_1/M_{\text{L1}}$, the other quantities having their usual meanings. Inserting the Roche lobe radius ($R_{\text{L1}}$) formula of Paczyński (1971) in Eq. (6) and assuming $\overline{q} = 1$ as required by our scenario, we find that $\tau_{\text{circ}} \approx 4600(\overline{q})^8$ yr which is extremely short in comparison to the evolutionary (Kelvin-Helmholtz) timescale along the RGB. Therefore, as the star expands and progressively fills its Roche lobe, the orbit circularizes before RLOF had even started. So, within this paradigm, it is impossible to prevent the circularization of the orbit, at least for the low- and intermediate-mass stars that we consider.

5. The enhanced wind scenario

The only solution to form a He WD and preserve some eccentricity is to remove mass from the giant while keeping it well inside its Roche radius so that the tidal effects remain weak. One possibility to meet these requirements is to boost the wind mass-loss rate prior to RLOF as proposed by Tout & Eggleton (1988). In their model, the authors advocate that tidal interactions and/or magnetic activity are responsible for the stellar wind enhancement and assume that the multiplying factor has the same dependence on the radius and separation as the tidal torque applied onto that star. This lead Tout & Eggleton (1988) to propose the following expression for the mass-loss rate

$$M_{\text{wind}} = M_{\text{Reimers}} \times \left\{1 + B_{\text{wind}} \times \min\left\{\left(\frac{R}{R_{\text{L1}}}\right)^6, \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right\}, \quad (7)$$

where $M_{\text{Reimers}}$ is the Reimers’ mass-loss rate and the constant $B_{\text{wind}} = 10^4$ was found to match the properties of Z Her, a RS CVn system with a mass ratio below unity.

The results of our new simulations including the tidally enhanced wind are depicted in Fig. 2 (we use the same initial conditions as in the previous section). Several points draw our attention: first, this mechanism leads to smaller He WD masses because, for a given initial period, the envelope is removed faster (to prevent the radius from approaching $R_{\text{L1}}$ too closely) which in turn leaves less time for the H-burning shell to advance outward. Second, the higher amount of mass lost by the system reduces the final separation compared to the previous (conservative) RLOF evolution. For example, in the RLOF calculation, the one-year initial-period system leads to the formation of a 1400 d period system with a 0.43 $M_\odot$ He WD. For the enhanced wind prescription, we obtain a 0.36 $M_\odot$ He WD binary in a 850 d orbital period. This implies that in order to reproduce the observed period of IP Eri, a larger initial separation must be selected.

However, the most interesting feature is the preservation and even increase of the eccentricity in the long-period systems. We remark that, if the initial separation is less than ~200 d for our
1.2 + 1.0 $M_{\odot}$ system, the mass-loss rate enhancement occurs too early, when $M_{\text{wind}}^{\text{end}}$ is relatively low. As a consequence, the eccentricity pumping term ($\dot{e}_{\text{winds}}$) in Eq. (4) remains small, $|\dot{e}_{\text{tides}}|$ dominates and the eccentricity globally decreases. Thus, there exists a critical initial period above which $\dot{e}_{\text{winds}} > |\dot{e}_{\text{tides}}|$ and eccentricity can be preserved but its analytical derivation is not straightforward because of the dependence of the mass loss rate on the eccentricity (via the determination of the Roche radius) and the simplistic approach of Soker (2000), which assumes a constant mass-loss rate independent of the orbital phase, cannot be reiterated here.

However, the final eccentricity still remains lower than the observed value. To improve the situation, we explored the parameter space, first varying the initial mass ratio and eccentricity. The results are presented in Fig. 3 and show the absence of any obvious relation between the initial and final eccentricities. In particular, a system initially more eccentric will not necessarily end up with a higher final eccentricity and the reason is because quantities are averaged over an orbit. For a given initial period, with increasing eccentricity, the stars get closer to each other at periastron. On one hand, this contributes to further enhance the mass-loss rate due to its strong dependence on the Roche radius (which depends on the instantaneous separation) and hence $\dot{e}_{\text{winds}}$, but on the other hand, the star spends a longer fraction of its time at greater distances, where the wind is weak. The result is that the mean wind mass-loss rate decreases with increasing eccentricity, leading to less efficient eccentricity pumping and in the end to a stronger circularization of the orbit. Due to the non-linearity of these effects, this conclusion may, however, depend on the initial configuration.

Given the uncertainties in the initial-system mass ratio, we performed several simulations, starting with the same initial period of 550 days and varying $q$. All mass-lossing stars end up with about the same WD mass between 0.37 and 0.43 $M_{\odot}$. From the curves depicted in Fig. 4, we see that increasing the donor’s mass for a given companion mass leads to longer final period (green vs. blue curve) because in this nonconservative evolution, a larger amount of mass has been removed from the system to form the He WD (this response of the orbital parameters to system mass loss is demonstrated in the appendix). If we now fix the mass of the WD progenitor but increase that of the companion (cyan vs. red curve), the systems evolve toward shorter final periods. In these configurations about the same mass is ejected from the system but, in the binaries with the higher mass companion, a much larger amount of AM will be taken away by the wind because the specific AM of the ejected material (Eq. (5)) increases with increasing system mass ($\omega \propto \sqrt{M_{\text{g}} + M_{\text{e}}}$) and decreasing mass ratio.

Finally, systems with similar mass ratios (red and black curves) evolve along the same $q$ vs. $P_{\text{orb}}$ trajectory as demonstrated in the appendix (Eq. (A.6)). This is a consequence of our prescription for the systemic AM loss rate (Eq. (5)) and of the fact that the gainer is not accreting mass. As discussed previously, the final periods lengthen with increasing donor mass.

The final eccentricity (which in Fig. 4 always ends up being too small with respect to IP Eri observed value) is dictated by the competition between $\dot{e}_{\text{winds}}$ and $\dot{e}_{\text{tides}}$ and, for a given initial period, depends mostly on the donor’s initial mass because the $\dot{e}$ contributions are imposed by the mass-lossing star ($\dot{e}_{\text{tides},\text{d}} \gg \dot{e}_{\text{tides},\text{g}}$ and $M_{\text{wind},\text{d}}$ responsible for $\dot{e}_{\text{winds}}$). We also notice that systems with higher initial masses require larger initial separations to avoid RLOF. Consequently, they end their evolution at much longer periods that are incompatible with observations.

Among the various parameters influencing the final orbital period, the amount of AM lost by the wind is an important one. By default we assume that the wind carries away the orbital angular momentum of the star at its position on the orbit (Eq. (5)).
where $f$ use the prescription described by Eq. (37) of Siess et al. (2013):

$$J_2 = f_2 (M^\text{wind}_g + M^\text{wind}_d) J_{\text{orb}},$$

where $f_2$ is a free parameter encapsulating our ignorance of the exact mode of AM ejection. With decreasing values of this parameter, the wind removes less AM from the system. $J_{\text{orb}}$ is consequently larger, the separation larger and the tidal torques weaker. The system is thus able to keep a higher eccentricity and the separation keeps increasing as a result of nonconservative evolution, but to an extent that is no longer compatible with the observations (see for example the cases with $f_2 = 0.3$ or 1 in Fig. 5). If instead we set $f_2 = 2.0$, the period remains within the observed value but because of the shorter separation, the eccentricity is substantially reduced. So this alternative prescription for $J_2$ cannot at the same time increase the eccentricity and maintain the period close to $10^3$ d.

So far, we have neglected the possibility that a fraction of the tidally-enhanced wind could be captured by the companion. In a first simulation, we used the classical Bondi-Hoyle wind-accretion scheme where the default wind parameters of Eq. (25) of Paper I are adapted to the slow ($\lesssim 20$ km s$^{-1}$) wind of the giant ($\beta_w = 1/w$ and $a_{BH} = 0.15$ instead of $1/2$ and 1.5 respectively as suggested by Hurley et al. (2002) for classical Bondi-Hoyle accretion rates). Following Shapiro & Lightman (1976) and Jeffries & Stevens (1996), we approximate the torque exerted by the wind onto the gainer by

$$f_{\text{wind}} = f_{\text{acc}} \frac{M^\text{wind}_g}{a_{\text{acc}}} \frac{v^2 w}{2},$$

where $f_{\text{acc}}$ is a free parameter set to 0.1 as suggested by Jeffries & Stevens (1996). The gainer’s accretion radius is given by

$$r_{\text{acc}} = \frac{2GM_g}{v_{\text{orb}} + v_w}.$$
6. Discussion

The formation of a He WD requires a binary system in which the evolution of one of the components is truncated before it reaches the tip of the RGB. Three main evolutionary channels can lead to a binary system hosting a He WD and a main sequence or giant star:

1. unstable RLOF mass transfer. If the initial mass ratio exceeds $q_{\text{crit}} \approx 1.2$−1.5, the mass transfer is dynamical and the system most likely enters a common-envelope phase where the eccentricity is reduced to zero and the period is considerably shortened;
2. RLOF mass transfer is stable because initially $q < q_{\text{crit}}$. The system avoids a catastrophic evolution and ends up as a long-period binary in a circular orbit;
3. the initially most massive star ejected its envelope due to enhanced stellar winds and RLOF is avoided. If the period is long enough, eccentricity is preserved or can even be increased.

The properties of IP Eri, a system consisting of a giant K0 and a He WD with a period of 1071 d and an eccentricity of 0.23, can only be explained by the tidally-enhanced wind model, i.e. via scenario 3 mentioned above. Our exploration of this evolutionary channel indicates that there is a critical period below which eccentricity decrease due to tidal effects will always dominate over the eccentricity pumping due to the wind mass loss. But such a limit is difficult to determine without an extensive exploration of the parameter space. As presented in Sect. 3, this scenario also imposes some constraints on the initial mass ratio of IP Eri. It must not be too far above unity so that in the relatively short time interval during which the envelope is stripped and the WD cooled down, the initially lower-mass companion star has left the main sequence and started to climb the RGB. We also showed that (1) only stars less massive than $3 \, M_\odot$ may give birth to a He WD (see Sect. 3); and (2) at solar metallicity, $M_f \gtrsim 1.2 \, M_\odot$ for the He WD to form within 5 Gyr.

In contrast to the related Wind-Induced Rapidly-Rotating (WIRRING) systems like 2RE J0357+283 (Jeffries & Stevens 1996), the slow angular velocity of the K0 giant in IP Eri indicates that little angular momentum has been accreted in the He-WD formation process ($f_{\text{acc}} = 0.03$). The main reason for this difference is that in their model, Jeffries & Stevens (1996) consider the ejection of a massive AGB envelope and impose a significantly higher wind mass-loss rate ($>10^{-5} \, M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$). They also restrict their study to circular systems, assume a constant AGB wind mass-loss rate and do not follow the evolution of the structure of the stellar components which for our RGB is significant. Indeed, during the tidally-enhanced mass-loss phase, the radius of the giant increases by more than a factor 4 (see Fig. 6), reaching up to 40−60 $R_\odot$ before leaving the RGB. All these effects result in larger amounts of mass and AM being accreted by the companion of the AGB star which is spun up to much higher rotational velocities.

The tidally-enhanced wind model implies that a substantial amount of mass must be removed from the system. This mass may give rise to a large IR excess. However, by the time the He WD is observed (some 10$^7$ yr after the end of the envelope ejection phase for our 1.2+1.0 $M_\odot$ system), this material has long since been dispersed and mixed with the interstellar medium, making its detection difficult.

Scenarios 1 and 2 above most likely produce circular systems because of tidal effects acting before and during RLOF, unless some eccentricity can be generated either via the interaction between a circumbinary disc and the orbit (Goldreich & Tremaine 1979; Artymowicz & Lubow 1994; Dermine et al. 2012) or because of asymmetric mass loss (Soker 2000; Frankowski & Tylenda 2001).

The detection of He-WD + MS or giant binaries, and more recently of sdBs, with long periods and high eccentricities, support the tidally-enhanced wind model which is otherwise often advocated to explain the evolution of a handful of additional systems, including some RS CVn binaries, Algols and Barium stars as reviewed by Eggleton & Tout (1989). However the source of eccentricity may differ between these systems. For example the presence of a circumbinary disc (Goldreich & Tremaine 1979; Artymowicz & Lubow 1994; Dermine et al. 2012) could act in place or in parallel to the asymmetric mass loss mechanism.

Clearly this tidally-enhanced wind model provides a simple framework to reproduce the observed properties of IP Eri but such studies need to be extended to different classes of objects, in order to better constrain the parameters of our models and understand the source of this puzzling eccentricity.
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Appendix A: Evolution of the period as function of the mass ratio

In the framework of the tidally-enhanced stellar wind model, the companion star accretes almost no matter and the rate of change of the orbital AM is mainly due to the systemic AM loss rate resulting from the giant’s wind. Under these circumstances, $\dot{J}_\text{orb}$ and Eq. (2) simplifies to

$$\frac{\dot{a}}{a} = 2 \frac{\dot{J}_\text{orb}}{J_\text{orb}} = 2 \frac{\dot{M}_d}{M_d} + \frac{\dot{M}_q}{M}$$

(A.1)

With $q = M_d/M_q$ and $M_q = 0$, Eq. (5) can be recast into

$$J_\text{orb} = \frac{M_\text{wind}}{q}$$

(A.2)

Substituting Eq. (A.2) into Eq. (A.1) leads to

$$\frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \frac{M_\text{wind}}{M}$$

(A.3)

This last equation tells us that when systemic mass loss prevails and has the usual form of Eq. (5), the orbital separation increases independently of the mass ratio. Now using Kepler’s third law along with Eq. (A.3), we can relate the change in period $P$ to that of the system’s mass

$$\frac{\text{d} \ln P}{\text{d} t} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\text{d} \ln a}{\text{d} t} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{d} \ln M}{\text{d} t} = -2 \frac{\dot{M}_d}{M} = -2 \frac{\text{d} \ln M}{\text{d} t}$$

(A.4)

and with $M = (1 + q)M_q$, one finally obtains the relation

$$\frac{\text{d} \ln P}{\text{d} t} = -2 \ln (1 + q)$$

(A.5)

which, after integration leads to

$$P = P_0 \left( \frac{1 + q}{1 + q_0} \right)^{-2}$$

(A.6)

where $P_0$ and $q_0$ are the initial period and mass ratio. This relation explains why, when systemic mass loss dominates, the evolution of the period only depends on that of the mass ratio.
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