The impact of rotation on the line profiles of Wolf-Rayet stars
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ABSTRACT

Context. Massive Wolf-Rayet stars are recognized today to be in a very common, but short, evolutionary phase of massive stars. While our understanding of Wolf-Rayet stars has increased dramatically over the past decades, it remains unclear whether rapid rotators are among them. There are various indications that rapidly rotating Wolf-Rayet stars should exist. Unfortunately, due to their expanding atmospheres, rotational velocities of Wolf-Rayet stars are very difficult to measure. However, recently observed spectra of several Wolf-Rayet stars reveal peculiarly broad and round emission lines. Could these spectra imply rapid rotation?

Aims. In this work, we model the effects of rotation on the atmospheres of Wolf-Rayet stars. We further investigate whether the peculiar spectra of five Wolf-Rayet stars may be explained with the help of stellar rotation, infer appropriate rotation parameters, and discuss the implications of our results.

Methods. We make use of the Potsdam Wolf-Rayet (PoWR) non-LTE model atmosphere code. Since the observed spectra of Wolf-Rayet stars are mainly formed in their expanding atmospheres, rotation must be accounted for with a 3D integration scheme of the formal integral. For this purpose, we assume a rotational velocity field consisting of an inner co-rotating domain and an outer domain, where the angular momentum is conserved.

Results. We find that rotation can reproduce the unique spectra analyzed here. However, the inferred rotational velocities at the stellar surface are large (∼200 km s$^{-1}$), and the inferred co-rotation radii (∼10R$_{*}$) suggest the existence of very strong photospheric magnetic fields (∼20 kG).
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1. Introduction

All massive stars at solar metallicity with initial masses larger than ∼20 $M_{\odot}$ eventually become Wolf-Rayet (WR) stars (Sander et al. 2012). WR stars have a tremendous influence on their host galaxies, owing to their ionizing radiation and the immense transfer of kinetic energy and momentum to the surrounding interstellar matter, carried with their powerful stellar winds. Their study is thus fundamental to our understanding of the evolution of massive stars, and as a consequence, of galaxies (cf. Crowther 2007, for a thorough review on the properties of WR stars).

Knowing whether WR stars might exhibit rapid rotation is crucial in this context. Rotation dramatically affects the chemical stratification of the star due to rotationally induced mixing (e.g. Heger & Langer 2000), may cause surface deformations such as gravity darkening (von Zeipel 1924) or disk formation (Bjorkman & Cassinelli 1993), and may also contribute to the driving of the wind (Friend & Abbott 1986).

Various theoretical considerations and models suggest that rapidly rotating WR stars may exist. It is observed that many O stars, which are the direct progenitors of WR stars, exhibit rotational velocities of several hundred km s$^{-1}$ (cf. Penny 1996; Vanbeveren et al. 1998). It has been recently suggested (e.g. de Mink et al. 2013) that the highest rotational velocities observed in O stars might be the result of binary interaction or even merging processes. Indeed, the stellar wind severely dampens the rapid rotation of the star shortly before the WR phase initiates. On the other hand, the continuous contraction of the star leads to faster rotation, so that the stellar rotation of a WR star is determined by these two counteracting mechanisms.

Evolutionary models calculated by Meynet & Maeder (2005) with initial rotational velocities of 300 km s$^{-1}$ predict, especially at low metallicities, a considerable increase of the rotational velocity as the WR star evolves from a late subtype (WNL) to an early subtype (WNE), reaching values of up to 200 km s$^{-1}$. WR stars with rapidly rotating cores have been proposed as progenitors of long-duration gamma-ray bursts (LGRBs) by various authors (e.g. Vink & de Koter 2005; Hirschi et al. 2005; Woosley & Heger 2006; Gräfener et al. 2012), relying on the collapsar model of Woosley (1993).

Usually, rotational velocities of stars are determined from the rotational broadening of their absorption lines. However, this method can hardly be applied to WR stars. The spectra of WR stars, especially of early subtypes, are predominantly formed in their dense, extended winds, and thus rarely exhibit such absorption lines in their observed spectra.

Various methods to probe the rotation of WR stars have been proposed throughout the years. A few WR stars have been observed to show periodic photometric variations (e.g. Marchenko et al. 2000; Izotov et al. 2003; Noll et al. 2007) which may be attributed to so-called co-rotating interaction regions (CIRs) in the wind (Cranmer & Owocki 1996; Chené & St-Louis 2005). Rapid rotation may also lead to an axisymmetric density structure (e.g. Cox et al. 2000; Ignace et al. 2000). If layers in which electron scattering occurs become axisymmetric, the departure from spherical symmetry may be detected with linear polarimetry via the so-called line effect (e.g. Harries et al. 1998), i.e. an enhanced polarization of the continuum radiation relative to that of emission lines. While a positive detection of the line effect may be associated with rapid rotation, other scenarios such as close binaries...
(Brown & McLean 1977) or strong magnetic fields (Poe et al. 1989) were shown to also cause it. Moreover, rotational velocities are very hard to quantify on the basis of polarimetric measurements alone.

Recent spectroscopic studies performed with the non-LTE Potsdam Wolf-Rayet (PoWR) model atmosphere code potentially imply the existence of rapidly rotating WR stars. Hamann et al. (2006) performed a flux-convolution with a rotation profile (e.g. Unsöld 1955) in order to reproduce the broad and round emission lines of the Galactic WN2 star WR 2. Hainich et al. (2014), who extensively analyzed 102 WN stars residing in the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC), also made use of flux-convolution with rotation profiles of very large rotational velocities for a few of them. Sander et al. (2012) used the same technique for two WO stars. So far, at least ten WR stars have been identified to exhibit round and broad line profiles, and as many as half of them show no signs for binarity.

Alas, the method of flux-convolution with rotation profiles is not valid in the case of expanding atmospheres. The emergent radiation is not simply emitted from one single rotating layer, but rather formed in the expanding stellar wind, a region that extends over tens of stellar radii. To account for rotation in the case of expanding atmospheres, a model of the rotational velocity field is prerequisite. The evaluation of the formal integral must include the effects of the modified velocity field, which is comprised of radial and rotational components. Nevertheless, the fact that rotation profiles help to reproduce the observed spectra of several WR stars is potentially a beacon for rapid rotation in these stars.

To emphasize the striking uniqueness of the spectra analyzed here, we show a comparison (Fig. 1) between the observed spectra of two WN4b stars residing in the LMC: BAT99 7 (solid blue line), analyzed in this work for rapid rotation, and BAT99 134 (dashed brown line). Note the distinct qualitative difference between the two spectra – and this despite their identical spectral class! As opposed to the sharp, triangular-like emission lines of BAT99 134, which are very typical for WNE stars, the spectrum of BAT99 7 exhibits extremely round and broad spectral lines. Note also how the C IV λ 4861 multiplet appears stretched and flat-topped in the spectrum of BAT99 7. Out of hundreds of WR stars previously analyzed, only a handful exhibit such exceptional features.

Ignace (2009) managed to obtain round and broad line profiles as an analytical solution of the transfer equation in the case of optically thick lines and for a specific parameter selection. However, his assumptions (e.g. constant wind velocity, LTE population numbers) do not hold in the case of WR stars. Most importantly, such line profiles are not obtained using non-LTE spectral modeling, independently of the parameter choice. One might further argue that round emission lines could be reproduced by assuming much larger terminal velocities. While a larger terminal velocity indeed yields broader lines, it does not help reproduce their round profiles, as we later show. We therefore currently see no alternatives which can explain the unique spectra analyzed here other than rotation. The prediction that rapidly rotating WR stars may exist, along with the existence of such peculiar spectra, provide the motivation for a proper treatment of rotation in expanding atmospheres of WR stars.

Our paper is structured as follows: Sect. 2 consists of a short description of our sample and of the corresponding observational data. In Sect. 3, we briefly describe the model atmosphere code and the rotational velocity field. In Sect. 4, we explain our methods in detail and present our results. Finally, in Sect. 5, we thoroughly discuss the plausibility and implications of our results.

2. Sample and observational data

We analyze five stars in this work, comprising four LMC stars (BAT99 7, 51, 88, and 94), and one Galactic star (WR 2). These are the only stars, out of the ~180 WN stars analyzed by Hamann et al. (2006) and Hainich et al. (2014), which exhibit peculiarly broad and round spectral emission lines and are not classified as binaries. Stars which were classified as binaries in previous studies (e.g. Schnurr 2008; Foellmi et al. 2003), or whose spectrum appears to be a composite of two spectra, are omitted from this analysis: their study is postponed to future work. No WC stars out of those analyzed by Sander et al. (2012) show round lines in their observed spectra. To restrict the discussion to WN stars, the analysis of WO stars is not included in this work.

The observation used for WR 2 were taken at the “Deutsch-Spanisches Astronomisches Zentrum (DSAZ)”, Calar Alto, Spain with the Boller & Chivens Cassegrain spectrograph at the 2.2 m-telescope. The spectrum covers a spectral range of 3320 to 7400 Å with a spectral resolution of ≈3600 (cf. Hamann et al. 1995, for more details). The optical spectrum of the LMC star BAT99 7 in the domain 4000−6000 Å was taken on 2010 December 23 with FORS2 at the VLT (PI: W.-R. Hamann) with a spectral resolution of ≈1600. For the spectral domain 6000−6800 Å of the star BAT99 7 and for the remaining LMC stars analyzed here, we use co-added, rectified spectra, kindly provided by C. Foellmi. The individual spectra were taken with various instruments, as described by Foellmi et al. (2003), with a spectral resolution of about 1000.

3. Model

3.1. PoWR model atmospheres

The PoWR model atmosphere code solves the radiative transfer and rate equations in the co-moving frame, calculates the non-LTE population numbers, and delivers a synthetic spectrum by evaluating the formal integral in the observer’s frame. A closer description of the assumptions (spherical symmetry, stationary mass-loss) and methods used in the code is given by Gräfener et al. (2002) and Hamann & Gräfener (2004). Clumping in the wind is accounted for by the use of a constant clumping factor $D$

---

Footnote 1: The single spectra are available at [http://wikibinbad.obs.ujf-grenoble.fr/Category_Wolf-Rayet_Star.html](http://wikibinbad.obs.ujf-grenoble.fr/Category_Wolf-Rayet_Star.html)
which describes the density ratio of a clumped wind to an identi-
cal homogenous one (cf. Hamann & Koesterke 1998). Line blank-
eting is treated using the superlevel approach (Gräfener et al.
2002), as originally implemented by Hillier & Miller (1998).

The inner boundary of a model is denoted with \( R_{\text{max}} \). It is de-
defined at the Rosseland optical depth \( \tau_{\text{Ross}} = 20 \) (cf. Hamann et al.
2006). For a given luminosity \( L_* \), the stellar temperature \( T_* \) is
defined via the Stefan-Boltzmann relation.

We do not calculate hydrodynamically consistent model atmos-
pheres in this work, but rather use a pre-specified wind velocity
law. In the subsonic region, the velocity field is defined such that a hydrostatic density stratification is approached. In the
supersonic region, the radial wind velocity at a distance \( r \) from
the center takes the form of the so-called \( \beta \)-law:

\[
v_r(r) = v_{\text{co}} \left(1 - \frac{R_{\text{max}}}{r}\right)^\beta.
\]

The exponent \( \beta \) is fixed to the standard value \( \beta = 1 \) for all mod-
els calculated here. The influence of different values of \( \beta \) is dis-
cussed in Sect. 4.4. The terminal velocity \( v_{\text{co}} \) is determined indi-
vidually for each star analyzed here. For the Doppler velocity \( v_D \)
corresponding to microturbulent and thermal motion, we adopt the
constant value \( v_D = 100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) (cf. Hamann & Koesterke
2000).

Once the population numbers in the wind have been estab-
lished, the emergent intensities are calculated by solving the
transfer equation along rays parallel to the line-of-sight (the for-
mal integral). Without rotation, it is sufficient to evaluate the
emergent intensities at distinct impact parameter values only,
and finally to integrate over all impact parameters. The az-
imuthal integration is in this case redundant.

If spectral lines are formed in a non-extended stellar pho-
tosphere, the azimuthal integration can be avoided even in the
presence of rotation. In this case, the effect of rotation may be
accounted for very accurately by performing a flux-convolution
with rotation profiles (e.g. Unsöld 1955). However, since the
spectra of WR stars are formed in their dense and extended
winds, the use of rotation profiles is not justified. Rather, for the
calculation of the flux \( f_r \); at a distance \( d \) from the star, the
emergent intensities \( I_r^+(p, \varphi) \) are to be integrated over all impact
parameters \( p \) and all azimuthal angles \( \varphi \):

\[
f_r = \frac{1}{d^2} \int_{\varphi = 0}^{2\pi} \int_{p = 0}^{R_{\text{max}}} I_r^+(p, \varphi) \, dp \, d\varphi
\]

where \( R_{\text{max}} \) is the upper integration boundary which includes the
entire wind (see Fig. 2).

The emergent intensity \( I_r^+(p, \varphi) \) is obtained by integrating the
transfer equation over the optical depth \( \tau \) along the ray specified
by \( p \) and \( \varphi \). This evaluation of the formal integral over \( r \),
together with the integration of \( I_r^+(p, \varphi) \) over \( p \) and \( \varphi \), covers the wind
in all three dimensions, and hence we name this calculation the
“3D integration scheme”.

3.2. The rotational velocity field

The rotational velocity field adopted here relies on a few as-
sumptions. The stellar atmosphere (including the wind) is di-
vided into two radial domains:

1. the co-rotating domain \( r \leq R_{\text{cor}} \), where we postulate the
existence of a radius \( R_{\text{cor}} \geq R_* \), up to which the wind co-
rotates with the star with the angular velocity \( \omega \).

2. the outer domain \( r > R_{\text{cor}} \), where the only forces present
are all radial, and where the angular momentum is therefore
conserved.

We thoroughly discuss the motivation behind these assumptions
in Sect. 5. As a first order approximation, we account for rotation
only in the formal integral. Petrenz & Puls (2000) discuss possi-
ble effects of rotation on the population numbers in early-type
stars. For simplicity, we ignore effects caused by deformations
of the stellar surface such as gravity darkening and disk forma-
tion. The angular velocity \( \omega \) of the co-rotating domain \( r \leq R_{\text{cor}} \)
is assumed to be independent of the latitude \( \theta \). Based on hydro-
dynamical studies by Owocki et al. (1994), we neglect the polar
components of the velocity field, which are much smaller than
the rotational and radial components.

These assumptions allow one to deduce the form of the ro-
tational velocity field on the basis of simple physical arguments.
Denoting with \( r \) the distance between a wind element and the
center of the star, with \( \hat{\phi} \) the azimuthal unit vector relative to
the rotation axis \( \omega \), and with \( \theta \) the latitude (measured from the
positive direction of the rotation axis), one finds the rotational
velocity field \( v_{\phi} \) to be:

\[
v_{\phi}(r, \theta) = v_{\text{eq}}(R_{\text{cor}}) \sin \theta \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{r}{R_{\text{cor}}} & r \leq R_{\text{cor}} \\
\frac{R_{\text{cor}}}{r} & r > R_{\text{cor}}
\end{array} \right.
\]

where \( v_{\text{eq}}(R_{\text{cor}}) \) is the equatorial rotational velocity at the co-
rotation radius.

Since we observe the star at an unknown inclination angle \( i \)
(the angle between the line-of-sight and the rotation axis \( \omega \)),
the only parameter having a direct observable influence on the spec-
trum is \( v_{\text{eq}}(R_{\text{cor}}) \sin i \), denoted as of now simply with \( v_{\text{cor}} \sin i \).
\( R_{\text{cor}} \) and \( v_{\text{cor}} \sin i \) fully define the rotational velocity field, and
are regarded as free parameters in this analysis.

\( v_{\text{cor}} \sin i \) is not to be confused with the stellar rotational
velocity \( v_* \sin i \), defined as the rotational velocity at \( r = R_* \). Since
the velocity increases linearly in the rigid-body regime, the rota-
tional velocity at \( r = R_* \) is given by

\[
v_* \sin i = \frac{v_{\text{cor}} \sin i}{R_{\text{cor}}/R_*}.
\]

It is thus important to keep in mind that \( v_* \sin i \) values could easily
be an order of magnitude smaller than corresponding \( v_{\text{cor}} \sin i \)
values. \( v_\sin i \) is the velocity one usually refers to when discussing the stellar rotational velocity.

The extended version of PoWR was tested on several stars which are known to rotate. For stars with photospheric lines, the new version was shown to yield almost identical synthetic spectra to those obtained by means of flux-convolution with a suitable rotation profile. Furthermore, the code was tested on the spectra to those obtained by means of flux-convolution with a suitable rotation profile. Additionally, it was found that rotation, accounted for with the 3D integration scheme, reproduces the observed emission lines much better than a simple flux-convolution.

4. Analysis

4.1. Stellar parameters

The stellar parameters used for the calculation of the synthetic spectra are summarized in Table 1. The basic stellar parameters are listed at the upper part of the table. The values are based on previous studies performed by Hainich et al. (2014) and Hamann et al. (2006), though slight modifications were performed due to the inclusion of rotation in the present studies. The velocity parameters inferred in this work are listed at the bottom part of Table 1. The method of their determination is discussed in Sect. 4.3.

\( R_\star \), given in Table 1, is the so-called “transformed radius”, originally introduced by Schmutz et al. (1989), and later generalized to include the effect of clumping by Hamann & Koesterke (1998). Schmutz et al. (1989) noticed that emission lines belonging to models calculated with identical temperatures \( T_\star \), chemical abundances, and \( R_\star \) values, have similar equivalent widths, independent of the mass-loss rate \( \dot{M} \), radius \( R_\star \), and terminal velocity \( v_\infty \).

The wind efficiency \( \eta_{\text{mom}} \), given in Table 1, is defined as the ratio of the wind momentum to the radiation momentum

\[
\eta_{\text{mom}} := \frac{M v_\infty}{L/c}.
\]

If the wind is driven only by radiation, \( \eta_{\text{mom}} \) corresponds to the average number of scatterings in the wind per photon.

4.2. Parameter study

To demonstrate how the synthetic spectra of WR stars respond to the rotation parameters \( v_\infty \sin i \) and \( R_\text{cor} \), we focus on the WN4 star BAT99 7 residing in the LMC. The model parameters are summarized in Table 1. Figure 3 depicts several synthetic He \( \alpha \) lines calculated with a constant \( R_\text{cor} \) value and different \( v_\infty \sin i \) values (upper panels), and the same lines calculated with a constant \( v_\infty \sin i \) value and different \( R_\text{cor} \) values (bottom panels). For the exact values, see caption and legend of Fig. 3.

As is evident from Fig. 3, it is only with large co-rotation radii that rotation significantly affects the spectrum. It is especially striking how the He \( \alpha \) 4686 line hardly responds to the huge rotational velocities applied when \( R_\text{cor} \approx R_\star \). The reason that large \( R_\text{cor} \) values are needed to significantly affect the spectra is that the line forming regions (LFRs) are situated very far above the stellar surface.

To discuss the radial stratification of the emission strength for the individual emission lines, we make use of the quantity \( \xi(r) \), originally introduced by Hillier (1987). \( \xi(r) \) serves as a measure for the energy emitted due to a line transition as a function of the distance \( r \) from the stellar center. An expression for \( \xi \) is obtained by means of the Sobolev approximation (Castañ 1970). The energy \( E \) emitted in the considered line satisfies:

\[
E \propto \int_{R_\star}^{\infty} \xi \, d(\log r).
\]

Figure 4 depicts normalized \( \xi(\log r) \)-plots for several prominent He \( \alpha \) emission lines belonging to the model of BAT99 7, while Fig. 5 schematically illustrates the rotational velocity as a function of \( r \) for two cases: \( R_\text{cor} = R_\star \) (dashed red line) and \( R_\text{cor} = 16 \, R_\star \) (solid blue line). We also indicate in Fig. 5 the LFR of the line He \( \alpha \) 4686, determined by its corresponding \( \xi \)-plot.

As discussed in Sect. 3.2, the rotational velocity field consists of two domains: the rigid rotation domain \( r < R_\text{cor} \), where the \( \phi \)-component increases linearly with \( r \), and the outer domain \( r > R_\text{cor} \), where the \( \phi \)-component decreases with \( 1/r \). As can be readily seen, without large co-rotation radii, the rotational velocity (dashed red line) falls off rapidly and does not reach significant values in the LFR. The same reasoning holds for all...
prominent lines of the WR stars analyzed here. One cannot expect a change of the line profile to occur if the rotational velocity field does not reach significant values in the corresponding LFR.

The same analysis was performed with all relevant WNE models, and the result remained identical: rotation without co-rotation hardly affects the synthetic spectra, independently of the WNE model used. It is thus clear that, if stellar rotation is to explain the observed spectra, large co-rotation radii have to be assumed.

### 4.3. Determination of the rotation parameters

The determination of the most suitable $v_{\text{cor}} \sin i$ and $R_{\text{cor}}$ values is a very difficult task. Firstly, different combinations of $v_{\text{cor}} \sin i$ and $R_{\text{cor}}$ may yield similar line profiles if they imply a similar rotational velocity in the LFR. Secondly, since the inclusion of rotation could affect the equivalent width, previously determined stellar parameters may require readjustment when including rotation. Lastly, the radial wind velocity $v_r(r)$, characterized by the terminal velocity $v_{\infty}$, has a large influence on the width and shape of the lines. The value of $v_{\infty}$ thus becomes coupled to the rotation parameters.

---

Fig. 4. Normalized $\xi(\log r)$-plots for several He II emission lines belonging to the model of the star BAT99 7. The model parameters are listed in Table 1. The individual lines are formed many stellar radii above the surface.

---

Fig. 5. Equatorial rotational velocity as a function of $r$. Both curves correspond to $v_{\infty} = 2500$ km s$^{-1}$. However, the dashed red curve is plotted with $R_{\text{cor}} = R_*$, while the solid blue curve is plotted with $R_{\text{cor}} = 16 R_*$. We also denote an estimate of the LFR of the line He II 4686, determined by its corresponding $\xi$ plot. Note that the LFR remains unaffected by rotation unless large co-rotation radii are assumed.

---

Fig. 3. Determination of the rotation parameters

The determination of the most suitable $v_{\text{cor}} \sin i$ and $R_{\text{cor}}$ values is a very difficult task. Firstly, different combinations of $v_{\text{cor}} \sin i$ and $R_{\text{cor}}$ may yield similar line profiles if they imply a similar rotational velocity in the LFR. Secondly, since the inclusion of rotation could affect the equivalent width, previously determined stellar parameters may require readjustment when including rotation. Lastly, the radial wind velocity $v_r(r)$, characterized by the terminal velocity $v_{\infty}$, has a large influence on the width and shape of the lines. The value of $v_{\infty}$ thus becomes coupled to the rotation parameters.
Values of $v_{\infty}$, derived using different methods, are given by e.g. Niedzielski et al. (2004), Niedzielski & Skorzyński (2002), Hamann & Koesterke (2000), and Pinjha et al. (1990). Unfortunately, corresponding $v_{\infty}$ values often differ by ~1000 km s$^{-1}$ between these studies, depending on the spectral line considered. We thus cannot blindly adopt values from previous studies for our rotation analysis, which is sensitive to the value of $v_{\infty}$. Instead, the terminal wind velocity $v_{\infty}$ becomes an additional free parameter of our analysis. Determining the three free parameters ($v_{\infty}$, $i$, $R_{\text{tor}}$, $v_{\alpha}$) without some guiding strategy can be very tricky, especially due to the computational cost.

If we assume that the line width is determined only by Doppler shifts, an analytical expression for the maximum line width can be derived. The velocities responsible for the Doppler shift are the expansion velocity given by the $\beta$-law (Eq. (1)), the rotational velocity (Eq. (3)), and the Doppler velocity $v_{\alpha}$. Let us assume for a moment that a spectral line $i$ with the wavelength $\lambda_i$ is formed in a relatively well-defined region determined by $r \approx r_i$. Because the rotational and expansion velocity vectors depend solely on $r$ and are always perpendicular to each other, the maximum line width due to rotation and expansion is determined at the latitude $\theta = i$ and is given by $\sqrt{\Delta \lambda^2(r_i) + \Delta \lambda^2(r_i, i)}$. This width is slightly increased due to the much smaller intrinsic thermal and microturbulent motion described by $v_{\alpha}$, so that the maximum line width is approximately:

$$\frac{c}{D_{\text{max}}} = v_{\infty}(r_i, i) = \sqrt{\Delta \lambda^2(r_i) + \Delta \lambda^2(r_i, i) + v_{\alpha}}$$  \(7\)

$r_i$ may be very roughly defined as the radius where $\xi(r)$ reaches its maximum (cf. Fig. 4). The observed maximum width of the line $\Delta \lambda_{\text{max}}$ can be measured from a careful inspection of the observed spectrum. Having obtained a set of data points $(r_i, \Delta \lambda_{\text{max}})$ for a specific star, we can approximately compare a function of the form of Eq. (7) to the data points in the $r$-$v$ space. Of course, the assumption that the lines are formed in a specific radius is an artificial one, so that exact parameter values cannot be derived with this method. However, this method does help us to find a suitable parameter domain of $v_{\infty}$, $i$, $R_{\text{tor}}$, and $v_{\alpha}$.

Once a suitable parameter domain has been found, we examine the agreement between observations and synthetic spectra calculated with different parameter values in the domain. The final values inferred in this work are determined by identifying the synthetic spectra showing the best agreement with the observations. Any uncertainties here (i.e. the choice of $r_i$) therefore do not bear an effect on the final values presented in Table 1.

4.4. Results

Table 1 compiles the stellar parameters used for the models of the five stars analyzed here. Figure 6 compares the synthetic spectra calculated with rotation (dotted red lines) and without rotation (dashed black lines) to the observations (solid blue lines) for the five stars analyzed. For the synthetic spectrum without rotation, we use the same parameters from Table 1. Figure 7 zooms on several prominent emission lines of BAT99 94 (upper panels) and BAT99 88 (bottom panels) as two illustrative examples which emphasize how rotation helps to reproduce the observations. As can be seen from Figs. 6 and 7, by taking rotation into account, we manage to obtain a good overall agreement along the spectrum for all five stars analyzed. Rotation manages to account for both the broad and round emission profiles as well as the flat-topped ones. Figure 7 illustrates the qualitative difference between the line profiles calculated with and without rotation.

The observed line widths are not always reached for the individual lines. Furthermore, some synthetic lines exhibit asymmetrical features of self-absorption which do not appear in the observation. This is especially notable in the case of WR 2, though almost all stars exhibit them at certain lines (Note, for example, the He II $\lambda6568$ line of BAT99 88 in Fig. 7). While the models calculated without rotation exhibit such asymmetric features as well, rotation can either dampen these features or enhance them. This could partially be due to the discontinuity of the rotational velocity field, to which some lines react strongly because their corresponding LFRs intersect the discontinuous domain. These discrepancies could be avoided if the lines were to be treated individually, because distinct sets of parameters can reproduce both their shapes as well as their widths. However, since we aim to treat many lines simultaneously, a compromise has to be found.

We mentioned in Sect. 1 that the round line profiles cannot be reproduced solely by increasing the terminal velocity $v_{\infty}$. As an example, we focus again on the star BAT99 94. Figure 8 compares the observation (solid blue line) and the calculated synthetic spectrum without rotation (dashed black line) for two prominent emission lines of BAT99 94.

For the synthetic spectrum, we use the same stellar parameters as listed in Table 1, but choose a larger terminal velocity, so that the line widths of BAT99 94 are approximately reproduced by the model, and calculate it without rotation. The suitable value is found to be $v_{\infty} \approx 2500$ km s$^{-1}$. Note that while the line widths are approximately matched, the shape of the observed spectral lines appears strikingly different from the synthetic one. We therefore see that larger terminal velocities alone do not help to reproduce the unique spectra analyzed here.

As mentioned in Sect. 3.1, we adopted the value $\beta = 1$ for all models in this work, despite the fact that different values of $\beta$ of the order of 10 were inferred in the case of a few WR stars (cf. Lépine & Moffat 1999). The value $\beta = 1$ was shown by e.g. hydrodynamically consistent models performed by Gräfener & Hamann (2005) to yield an appropriate approximation of the wind velocity field for WR stars. Moreover, $\beta = 1$ enables us to compare our current results with previous ones. Still, one could wonder whether a special form of the velocity law could explain the round shape of the line profiles. We have tested the effect of varying $\beta$, as well as two-$\beta$ velocity laws, on the synthetic spectra. These tests showed that the effect of $\beta$ on most emission line profiles is marginal. The obvious reason is that almost all emission lines seen in the optical spectrum are formed in regions where $v_r \approx v_{\infty}$, regardless of the detailed form of the velocity law (see Fig. 4).

4.5. Error estimation

The uncertainties of the stellar parameters are hard to quantify, since they originate in a multitude of sources, e.g. deficient atomic data. On the basis of past studies, we estimate the error in log $T_*$ to be 0.05 dex, and the error in log $R_*$ to be 0.1 dex. The corresponding uncertainty in $M$ is 0.15 dex. For WR 2, which resides in the Galaxy, we estimate an uncertainty in log $L$ of 0.3 dex. For the LMC stars, whose distances are known with much better certainty, we estimate an uncertainty in log $L$ of 0.1 dex. The uncertainty in $v_{\infty}$ is 20%. As a consequence, $\eta_{\text{mech}}$ Values are given with a factor 2 uncertainty.
Fig. 6. Comparison between synthetic spectra calculated with rotation (dotted red line), without rotation (dashed black line), and observations (solid blue line) for the five stars analyzed. The synthetic spectra are convolved with a Gaussian of $FWHM = 3$ Å to account for instrumental broadening.
5. Discussion

The results obtained in this work indicate that rotation, even with the simplified model now implemented in PoWR, is capable of explaining almost all peculiarities of the spectra analyzed here: the models reproduce the round emission line profiles and flat-topped lines simultaneously. A good agreement between model and observation is achieved along a large segment of the optical spectrum. But are the inferred parameters plausible? We discuss this in the following section.

5.1. A closer inspection of the stars in the sample

A glance at the spectral types of the few stars which exhibit round emission lines reveals an interesting fact: all round-lined stars are of subtype WNE. Furthermore, all but WR 2 are classified as broad-lined WN stars (suffix b). While emission lines of such broad-lined WN stars indeed tend to be somewhat "roundish" compared to the majority of WN stars, the stars in our sample show a striking difference, as already illustrated in Fig. 1. Most importantly, the spectra of all other broad-lined WN stars in the Galaxy and the LMC can be reproduced without assuming rotation (Hainich et al. 2014; Hamann et al. 2006).

The fact that WR 2 is classified as weak-lined (suffix w) could be the result of dilution of its intrinsic spectrum due to a luminous OB companion. It was recently brought to our attention (A. Moffat, priv. comm.) that WR 2 has a faint B-star companion. However, it contributes only 5−10% to the visual continuum, and thus cannot explain the weak-lined appearance of the spectrum of WR 2.

Since WNE stars are more compact than their WNL counterparts, faster rotation in the WNE phase is plausible. Moreover, the stars in this sample are very compact even compared to typical WNE subtypes, which implies that these stars approach the end of their life cycle. Indeed, a WR star gradually loses its angular momentum due to the stellar wind, so it is not obvious that an evolved WR star should rotate more rapidly than a young one. However, as will be discussed below, contraction can win over the loss of angular momentum, especially at lower metallicities,
thus leading to an enhancement of the rotational velocity as the WR star evolves.

It is interesting to note that all stars in our sample have a low metallicity. WR 2 is located in the metal-poor outskirts of our Galaxy, while the average metallicity in the LMC is about 35% solar (e.g. Grocholski et al. 2009). A lower metallicity leads to a smaller opacity of the lines which drive the wind, which in turn implies a smaller mass-loss rate, a fact which was verified by consistent hydrodynamical models calculated by Gräfener & Hamann (2008). One may therefore conclude that lower metallicities reduce the loss of angular momentum. Since the outer layers of the original progenitor star, which rotates approximately as a rigid body, possess more specific angular momentum than the inner layers, and since it is these outer layers which are ejected from the star, a lower mass-loss rate means faster rotation. A lower metallicity would therefore spur a more rapid rotation of the continuously contracting star.

One could argue that the WR phase initiates when the hydrogen has been almost fully removed from the stellar surface, so that, independently of the mass-loss rate, a certain mass already had to be ejected prior to the WR phase. However, rotationally induced mixing can also lead to a hydrogen-poor stellar atmosphere, meaning that rapidly rotating stars at low metallicities could enter the WR phase with a larger angular momentum (e.g. Yoon & Langer 2005). More critical, however, is the effect of low metallicities during the WR phase. Recall that two counteracting mechanisms dictate the rotational velocity of a WR star: the stellar wind, depleting the star of its angular momentum, and the contraction, making it rotate faster. Meynet & Maeder (2005) calculated evolutionary tracks of massive stars with initial masses $M_i > 20 M_\odot$ and with initial rotation velocities of 300 km s$^{-1}$. Their models clearly predict, at LMC metallicities, and in the mass range $20 M_\odot < M_i < 60 M_\odot$, a significant increase of the rotational velocity as the WR star evolves from a late WN subtype to an early WN subtype. According to these models, while the star enters the WR phase with $\sim 50$ km s$^{-1}$ as a WNL, its equatorial rotational velocity can increase to a value of up to $\sim 200$ km s$^{-1}$ in the evolved WNE phase. In other words, at LMC metallicities, the contraction of the star seems to dominate over the loss of angular momentum due to the stellar wind, causing it to rotate more rapidly. This is in agreement with our finding that only WNE subtypes exhibit round emission line profiles, and that most stars in our sample are observed at lower metallicity.

Note that all stars in our sample have wind efficiencies $\eta_{\text{mom}}$ larger than unity. For winds which are driven solely by radiation, this implies that “multiple scattering” occurs. While it is not unusual for $\eta_{\text{mom}}$ to exceed unity in the case of WNE subtypes, a comparison with the wind efficiencies of $\sim 70$ WNE subtypes in the LMC ($\eta_{\text{mom}} \sim 2.0$), analyzed by Hainich et al. (2014), reveals that the LMC stars in our sample have slightly higher than average wind efficiencies. BAT99 51 and BAT99 94, with $\eta_{\text{mom}} > 5$, are especially striking in this sense, while WR 2 has a more or less average wind efficiency. Gräfener & Hamann (2008) calculated hydrodynamically consistent models for WN stars and obtained, at LMC metallicities, a maximum wind efficiency of $\eta_{\text{mom}} \sim 1.2$. For a WC model with solar metallicity, wind efficiencies of up to $\sim 2.5$ were reproduced (cf. Gräfener & Hamann 2005). Monte Carlo simulations performed by Vink et al. (2011) do not yield higher values. While such studies verify that multiple scattering occurs in stars approaching the Eddington limit, it is still not clear whether multiple scattering alone can explain the very large values of $\eta_{\text{mom}}$ which are inferred from spectral analyses, a discrepancy known as the “momentum problem”.

To solve it, additional wind driving mechanisms were suggested throughout the years (cf. Maeder 1985; Underhill & Fahey 1987; Poe et al. 1989). Specifically, Poe et al. (1989) showed that rapid rotation and magnetic fields can contribute to the driving of the wind, a fact we shall return to in Sect. 5.4.

After first submission of this paper, our attention has been drawn to a recent study of line profile variations (LPV) in WR 2 performed by Chené et al. (in prep.). They detect LPVs of the order of 0.5% rms, which is smaller than found in other WR stars (cf. Lépine & Moffat 1999), and which they attribute to stochastic clump activity. A periodicity of the LPVs could not be established. Such periodic variations should occur if co-rotating structures exist which dominate over the stochastic clump activity.

5.2. Are the inferred rotational velocities plausible?

All stars analyzed in this work require rapid rotational velocities in order to significantly influence their corresponding synthetic spectra. But are such velocities conceivable?

The critical rotation velocity at a distance $r \geq R_*$ from the center of a star with an effective mass $M_{\text{eff}}$ is given by

$$v_{\text{crit}}(r) = \sqrt{\frac{GM_{\text{eff}}(r)}{r}},$$

where $M_{\text{eff}} = M_* (1 - \Gamma_e)$ is the stellar mass corrected due to the radiative force on the electrons.

If we take BAT99 51 as an example, using the parameters from Table 1 and an Eddington factor $\Gamma_e (R_*) = 0.23$ as obtained from our model, we find the critical velocity at the stellar surface to be $v_{\text{crit}}(R_*) \approx 1050 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The rotational velocity at the stellar surface (cf. Table 1) is therefore far below the critical velocity: $v_\sin i \approx 0.2 v_{\text{crit}}(R_*)$. This implies that the star approximately maintains spherical symmetry even for the rotation parameters found in this analysis. The same holds for all stars in our sample, with WR 2 having the largest value of $v_\sin i \approx 0.27 v_{\text{crit}}$ at the stellar surface. However, due to the co-rotation, the rotational velocity of BAT99 51 grows linearly with $r$ until it reaches the maximum value of $v_{\text{crit}}$ at the stellar surface. We see that the rotational velocity at the co-rotation radius exceeds the critical velocity by far. This means that the wind should be partially driven by centrifugal forces.

Centrifugal forces can, in principle, have two effects on the wind. Firstly, they can affect the mass-loss rate, causing it to no longer be isotropic, but rather enhanced at the equator and reduced towards the poles. Secondly, they can affect the hydrodynamics of the wind, altering the wind velocity field.

The first effect is not expected to occur with the parameters inferred here. According to the theory of radiation driven winds, the mass-loss is not affected by forces which act beyond the so-called “critical point” (Castor et al. 1975, CAK hereafter). Since the centrifugal forces become significant only much further away than the critical point, they do not affect the mass-loss rate. The mass flux can thus be assumed to be isotropic.

However, the centrifugal forces implied from our analysis should bear an effect on the wind velocity, perhaps even to the extent that the $\beta$-law (Eq. (1)) no longer holds. The centrifugal force vanishes towards the poles, and reaches its maximum at the equator. The result is a latitude-dependent wind velocity field, which also induces a latitude-dependent density structure. While this potentially has important consequences, a proper treatment of this problem would require a consistent hydrodynamical modeling of the wind, which is beyond the scope of the current work.
However, the departure from spherical symmetry in the wind may be observable with linear polarimetry, as described in Sect. 5.3.

5.3. The line effect

Natural light scattered off free electrons (Thomson scattering) is partially linearly polarized. If the scattering layers of a star are spherically symmetric, the net linear polarization of the continuum radiation due to scattering vanishes. However, if the scattering layers of the star depart from spherical symmetry, the linear polarization of the continuum no longer cancels out. To cope with the contamination of polarimetric data due to interstellar polarization, it is essential to compare the observed continuum polarization to that observed in emission lines. Emission lines formed far from the stellar surface are not expected to show significant polarization because such emission mainly follows recombination processes and is thus unpolarized. A subsequent scattering of these line photons is rare compared to the scattering of continuum photons, as can be also deduced from the general weakness of the electron scattering wings (Hillier 1991; Hamann & Koesterke 1998). Thus, line radiation is less polarizing of continuum photons, as can be also deduced from the combination processes and is thus unpolarized. A subsequent significant polarization because such emission mainly follows reformed far from the stellar surface are not expected to show significant polarization, it is essential to compare the observed continuum polarization to that observed in emission lines.

The relevant physical quantity for assessing the relative amount of scattered photons in each layer is the Thomson optical depth, $\tau_{\text{Th}}$. In our model of WR 2, $\tau_{\text{Th}}$ is smaller than 0.1 for radii $r > 4 R_*$, 0.3 in the vicinity of $r \sim 2 R_*$, 2/3 at $r \sim 1.3 R_*$, and larger than 1 for radii $r < 1.1 R_*$. At radii $r > 1.1 R_*$, the continuum optical depth $\tau_{\text{cont}}$ is almost completely dominated by $\tau_{\text{Th}}$, meaning that the atmosphere becomes optically thin to continuum at $r \sim 1.3 R_*$. As the number of scatterings is roughly proportional to $\tau_{\text{Th}}$, we see that most observed photons have been scattered for the last time in the vicinity of $r \sim 1.3 R_*$. Only few photons are scattered at radii $r > 2 R_*$.

The pole-to-equator density contrast $C = \rho(r,\pi/2)/\rho(r,0)$ can be expressed in terms of the mass flux per solid angle $\dot{m}(\theta)$ and the radial wind velocity $v_r(r,\theta)$ at pole and equator via the mass-continuity equation. For this purpose, we adopt the standard line-driving formalism by Castor et al. (1975), albeit the CAK theory fails to explain the high mass-loss rates of WR stars and also does not account for rotation.

We now follow the arguments by Owocki et al. (1998): $\dot{m}(\theta)$, determined at the critical point $r_c$ very close to the stellar surface, can be expressed in terms of the radiative flux $F(\theta)$, the effective gravity $g_{\text{eff}}(\theta)$, and the force-multiplier parameter $\alpha$.

The polarimetric data with respect to our rotation are inferred and larger than 1 for radii $r \sim 1.3 R_*$, the containing result of the net polarization for a constant density pole-to-equator contrast of $C = 11$. The net polarization is very hard to estimate without consistent modeling. For example, Hillier (1994, Fig. 2) presented modeling results of the net polarization for a constant density pole-to-equator contrast $C = 11$ throughout the scattering atmosphere for various total optical depths and inclination angles. Even in the relatively simplified atmosphere structure he assumed (e.g. constant contrast, point source approximation), the net polarization is a complicated function of the total optical depth and inclination, and may cancel out even with the extreme density contrast adopted by him, depending on these parameters. We therefore stress the need for modeling polarized radiative transfer in co-rotating atmospheres. Whether an obvious contradiction between the polarimetric data and the rotation hypothesis here arises remains to be seen.

5.4. Are the inferred co-rotation radii plausible?

The postulated co-rotation of the wind is motivated by the possible existence of strong magnetic fields in WR stars. In this section, we estimate the required magnetic field strengths that would force the wind plasma to co-rotate with the star up to the inferred co-rotation radii.

Many mechanisms were considered throughout the years which could induce magnetic fields in massive stars. One possibility is that the magnetic fields are “fossil”, i.e. remnants from...
the time of the star formation (e.g. Donati & Landstreet 2009). Another possibility is that some dynamo mechanism induces the magnetic field, generated by e.g. Tayler-Spruit instabilities (cf. Spruit 2002), or by the convective core of the star (Moss 1989; MacGregor & Cassinelli 2003). A third possibility would be a dynamo generated by sub-surface convection zones that are caused due to iron opacity peaks (Cantiello et al. 2009), leading to the formation of so-called star spots. Magnetic spots have also been invoked as the likely cause of CIRs in hot-star winds. Such spiral patterns that function as footings of magnetic structures may give rise to the discrete absorption components (DACs) that are regularly observed in O-stars, and also to X-ray emitting shocks in WR winds (cf. Ignace et al. 2013). The latter mechanism probably does not generate a magnetic field which has a global structure. The other mechanisms mentioned should generate a global magnetic field.

To obtain an estimation for the magnetic field strengths, we follow the work of Weber & Davis (1967) and Belcher & MacGregor (1976). Constraining our discussion to the equation \( (\theta = \pi/2) \), we assume a global magnetic field of the form \( B(r) = B_\phi(r) \hat{\phi} + B_\theta(r) \hat{\theta} \). Assuming that the magnetic field lines are frozen in the plasma at the stellar surface, the magnetic field leaves the stellar surface radially. The \( \phi \)-component of the magnetic field is negligible up to the co-rotation radius, i.e. \( B_\phi \approx 0 \) for \( r \leq R_{\text{cor}} \) (cf. Belcher & MacGregor 1976). The Alfvénic Mach number \( M_a(r) \) in Gaussian units is defined by

\[
M_a^2 = \frac{4\pi \rho v_r^2}{B_r^2} \approx \frac{4\pi \rho v_r^2}{B_r^2} \tag{10}
\]

where \( \rho(r) \) is the mass density, and \( v_r(r) \) is the radial expansion velocity of the wind. The last equality holds for \( r \leq R_{\text{cor}} \).

\( M_r^2 \) gives the ratio of the kinetic expansion energy of the plasma \( \rho v_r^2/2 \) to the magnetic energy density \( B_r^2/8\pi \). The Alfvén radius \( r_\text{A} \) is set by the condition \( M_a(r_\text{A}) = 1 \). The wind co-rotates approximately up to the Alfvén radius, i.e. \( R_{\text{cor}} \approx r_\text{A} \).

As a consequence of Maxwell’s equation \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \), the total magnetic flux \( B_r r^2 \) is a conserved quantity. Since \( B_\theta \approx 0 \) up to the Alfvén radius, this means that \( B \propto (r/R_\star)^2 \) for \( r \leq r_\text{A} \). Eliminating \( B(r) \) from Eq. (10) with the mass continuity equation \( \dot{M} = 4\pi \rho r^2 v_r \), we can express the magnetic field in terms of the co-rotation radius:

\[
B_r^2 \approx \frac{M_a(r_\text{cor})}{M_a(r_\text{A})} \frac{M_{\text{cor}}}{M_\star} \left(1 - \frac{R_\star}{R_{\text{cor}}} \right)^2 \tag{11}
\]

where we used the beta-law (1) with \( \beta = 1 \) for \( v_r(r) \), and the fact that \( M_a(R_{\text{cor}}) \approx M_a(r_\text{A}) = 1 \).

Using Eq. (11), it is possible to estimate the stellar magnetic field \( B_\star \) necessary to confine the matter up to a given co-rotation radius. A more exact estimate should, however, include the effect of the centrifugal forces due to rotation. Table 2 compiles the inferred stellar magnetic fields \( B_\star \), derived with Eq. (11). We also give the magnetic fields \( B_{r=2/3} \) at the radius where the Rosseland mean optical depth \( \tau_{\text{Ross}} \) reaches \( 2/3 \), i.e. at the photosphere. The corresponding radii, denoted with \( r_{2/3} \), are also indicated in Table 2. The uncertainty in \( \log B_\star \) is determined by the uncertainties of the parameters in Eq. (11) and is estimated to be 0.45 dex.

Admittedly, the inferred magnetic fields are very strong. To date, attempts to detect magnetic fields in WR stars failed to detect any such fields down to several 100 G (e.g. Kholygin et al. 2011; de la Chevrotière et al. 2013). These studies, however, do not include any stars that show round profiles like the stars in our sample. If these magnetic fields are globally structured, they should be detectable with circular polarimetry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>( B_* )</th>
<th>( r_{2/3} )</th>
<th>( B_{r=2/3} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BAT99 7</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAT99 51</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAT99 88</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAT99 94</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WR 2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The values of \( B_{r=2/3} \) are extracted from the calculated model atmospheres. \( B_{r=2/3} \) values are calculated using \( B \propto r^{-2} \).

Nevertheless, a couple of OB stars were observed to exhibit strong magnetic fields of several kG (see Nazé 2013, for a review on the topic), with one star even reaching a value of \( \sim 20 \) kG (cf. Wade et al. 2012). Since these stars are recognized as progenitors of WR stars, it is plausible that some WR stars can have photospheric magnetic fields of at least this order of magnitude. Due to the contraction of the WR star relative to its progenitor O star, the stellar magnetic field \( B_* \) becomes accordingly stronger at the hydrostatic core if the magnetic flux was conserved during the contraction.

Taking this reasoning one step further, magnetic fields of neutron stars, and specifically those of magnetars, often reach values of \( 10^{14} - 10^{15} \) G (e.g. Esposito et al. 2009). WR stars were suggested as direct progenitors of magnetars (cf. Gaensler et al. 2005). A popular explanation for the remarkably strong magnetic fields of magnetars is the contraction of their progenitor stars along with the conservation of the magnetic flux, though this explanation alone might be overly simplistic (cf. Spruit 2008). Indeed, comparing a typical magnetar radius of \( \sim 10 \) km (e.g. Deibel et al. 2013) with a typical radius \( R_\star \) of an early WN star (cf. Table 1), flux conservation would imply a 10 orders of magnitude difference between the magnetic field strengths at the surface of a magnetar and an early WR star, which roughly agrees with the values given in Table 2. All in all, the magnetic field strengths inferred here are conveniently situated in a plausible intermediate domain between the progenitor and descendent stars.

This is not the first time such strong magnetic fields are invoked for WR stars. Poe et al. (1989) argued that the winds of some WR stars are partially driven by magnetic and centrifugal forces. Recall that all stars analyzed here have a wind efficiency \( \eta_{\text{wind}} \) larger than average, which potentially indicates that additional mechanisms are driving the wind (cf. Sect. 4.1). Poe et al. (1989) take into account the effects of radiation, rotation, and magnetic fields, to conclude that global photospheric fields of several kG are required to obtain mass-loss rates and terminal velocities observed in some WR stars. On the other hand, Mullan & MacDonald (2005) show that magnetic fields arising from Tayler-Spruit instabilities (cf. Spruit 2002) could also reach several kG.

There is, however, another fact we ought to consider. A star with a large mass-loss rate and a strong magnetic field cannot maintain rapid rotation over a long time. This is because the existence of magnetic torques efficiently transfer angular momentum from the star to its wind (e.g. Hartmann & MacGregor 1982, Friend & MacGregor 1984) estimated the “spin-down time”, i.e. the time scale over which the angular momentum \( J \) is lost, as

\[
\tau_J = \frac{J}{dJ/dt} \approx \frac{3 M R_\star^2}{5 M R_{\text{cor}}^2} \approx \frac{3 M R_\star^2}{5 M R_{\text{A}}^2}. \tag{12}
\]
We now ask ourselves whether we can actually observe rapidly rotating WR stars. To answer this question, we need to compare the spin-down times with a typical lifetime of a WR star. In order to discuss this important question properly, we restrict the following discussion to the LMC stars, since they form the majority in our sample and since they share a common environment.

As is readily seen from Eq. (12), the spin-down times strongly depend on the co-rotation radius (\(t_\tau \approx R_\text{cor}^2\)). At the beginning of its evolution, a WR star has hardly contracted relative to its O star progenitor, and therefore has a co-rotation radius of the order of the stellar radius, i.e. \(R_\text{cor} \approx R\). The mass-loss rate of a young WR star is smaller than that of an evolved one (Nugis & Lamers 2000; Hamann et al. 2006), and its mass is larger. Using the parameters from Table 1, we can find a lower limit for the “initial” spin-down times of the stars analyzed here. These lower limits are found to be of the order of \(10^6\) years, somewhat longer than the lifetime of a rotating WR star at LMC metallicities, i.e. \(\approx 400,000\) years (cf. Meynet & Maeder 2005). However, as the WR star contracts, the quantity \(R_\text{cor}/R\) becomes larger. The “current” spin-down times of the evolved WNE subtypes in our sample are of the order of \(5000–10,000\) years. As soon as the magnetic field enforces a large co-rotation radius, the spin-down times become much smaller.

It is in the WNE phase that WR stars become relatively compact. According to observations, there are approximately as many WNE stars as there are WNL stars, in the Galaxy (see e.g. Hamann et al. 2006) as well as in the LMC (Hainich et al. 2014). This means that the lifetime of WNE subtypes is about half of a full WR lifetime, i.e. \(\approx 200,000\) years. However, if the spin-down times at the WNE phase are \(\approx 10,000\) years, and assuming that most WR stars at LMC metallicities reach this rapid rotation phase at a certain point, we have, statistically, a 10/200/200,000 \(= 0.05\) chance of observing them. That is, \(\approx 5\%\) of the WNE subtypes in the LMC sample should exhibit round emission lines, if rapid rotation and magnetically enforced co-rotation are the causes for it, and as long as the rotation is not concealed due to an unlucky inclination of the star. And indeed, the four stars analyzed here, out of the \(\approx 70\) WNE subtypes analyzed by Hainich et al. (2014), make about \(5\%\) of the sample.

If a massive WR star is to form a GRB according to the collapsar model of Woosley (1993), the inner core of the star (up to \(\approx 3 M_\odot\)) should possess a sufficiently large angular momentum when collapsing. Are the sampled stars in this analysis potential progenitors of GRBs? All stars analyzed here are compact WNE subtypes which exhibit broad and round emission lines, i.e. potentially rapidly rotating stars approaching the end of their life cycle, thus fulfilling the main requirement of the collapsar model. On the other hand, it is also clear that not all low-metallicity WR stars end their lives as LGRBs (cf. Woosley & Heger 2006). GRB progenitors need to be rarer than rapidly rotating WR stars are. To become a GRB, the spin-down time should be smaller than the remaining lifetime of the stars. If the stars in our sample were to explode in the next 5000–10,000 years, they might indeed end their lives as GRBs. We currently see no good way of determining with certainty whether this is the case, however.

### 6. Summary

Among the \(\approx 180\) WN stars analyzed in the Galaxy and in the LMC, we identified five stars (one galactic, four LMC) whose spectra exhibit exceptionally broad and round emission line profiles. It has been suggested that these profiles might indicate rapid rotation (Hamann et al. 2006). Motivated by this and by the lack of alternative explanations, we extended our code to properly handle rotation in expanding atmospheres of hot stars. For this purpose, we assumed a rotational velocity field which is specified by two parameters: the co-rotation radius \(R_\text{cor}\) and the projected equatorial velocity at the co-rotation radius \(v_{\text{coe}} \sin i\). These parameters, together with \(v_{\text{esc}}\), were regarded as free parameters throughout the analysis. We conclude:

- Rotation helps to reproduce the unique features observed.
- If rotation is to explain the peculiar features of these spectra, large co-rotation radii have to be assumed (\(R_\text{cor} \gtrsim 10 R_\odot\)).
- The rotational velocities are large (\(v_{\text{esc}} \sin i \approx 200\) km s\(^{-1}\)) but remain significantly smaller than the critical velocity at the stellar surface. In the stellar wind, the rotational velocities exceed the critical velocities by far.
- The asymmetry arising in the outer wind layers is likely to induce a net polarization of the continuum, which is not observed in the case of WR 2. However, a conclusive interpretation of the polarimetric data requires a full modeling of polarized radiative transfer in co-rotating atmospheres.
- Very large magnetic fields (\(B_{r=2/3} \approx 20\) kG) are necessary to enforce co-rotation up to the inferred radii. If the fields are global, they should be detectable with circular polarimetry.

It might well be that the rotation model we applied here is too simplified. Even if the assumptions adopted in this work hold fully, the actual rotational velocity field, of course, would not consist of two artificially distinct domains, but would rather continuously switch from one domain to the other, as the magnetic field gradually loses control over the wind dynamics. Regardless, stellar rotation, as implemented here, was shown to help produce spectra which show a good agreement with observations along a large segment of the optical spectrum for all stars we analyzed.

And yet rotation is not only conjured up to help reproduce the unique spectra analyzed here. There are various arguments supporting the existence of rapidly rotating WR stars. The progenitors of WR stars are known to exhibit very rapid rotational velocities on average. Evolutionary models predict the existence of WR stars with significant rotational velocities. Moreover, rapid internal rotation is a prerequisite for single-star GRB models. Effects such as induced mixing and gravitational darkening, which were shown to play a role in the evolution of massive stars, originate in stellar rotation. Knowing whether WR stars exhibit rapid rotation is thus, in many aspects, important.

So far, no other consistent spectral models were able to reproduce the unique spectra discussed in this work. If not for rotation, the origin of these spectra remains a mystery.
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