Ultraviolet variability of quasars: dependence on the accretion rate
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ABSTRACT

Aims. Although the variability in the ultraviolet and optical domain is one of the major characteristics of quasars, the dominant underlying mechanisms are still poorly understood. There is a broad consensus on the relationship between the strength of the variability and such quantities as time-lag, wavelength, luminosity, and redshift. However, evidence on a dependence on the fundamental parameters of the accretion process is still inconclusive. This paper is focused on the correlation between the ultraviolet quasar long-term variability and the accretion rate.

Methods. We compiled a catalogue of about 4000 quasars including individual estimators for the variability strength derived from the multi-epoch photometry in the SDSS Stripe 82, virial black hole masses \( M \) derived from the Mg II line, and mass accretion rates \( \dot{M} \) from the Davis-Laor scaling relation. Several statistical tests were applied to evaluate the correlations of the variability with luminosity, mass, Eddington ratio, and accretion rate.

Results. We confirm the existence of significant anti-correlations between the variability estimator \( V \) and the accretion rate \( \dot{M} \), the Eddington ratio \( \epsilon \), and the bolometric luminosity \( L_{\text{bol}} \), respectively. The Eddington ratio is tightly correlated with \( \dot{M} \). A weak, statistically not significant positive trend is indicated for the dependence of \( V \) on \( M \). As a side product, we find a strong correlation of the radiative efficiency \( \eta \) with \( M \) in our sample. We show via numerical simulations that this trend is most likely produced by selection effects in combination with the mass errors and the use of the scaling relation for \( M \). The anti-correlations of \( V \) with \( M, \epsilon, \) and \( L_{\text{bol}} \) cannot be explained in such a way. The strongest anti-correlation is found between \( V \) and \( M \). However, it is difficult to decide which of the quantities \( L, \epsilon, \) and \( M \) is intrinsically correlated with \( V \) and which of the observed correlations of \( V \) are produced by the \( L - \epsilon - M \) relation. A \( V - \dot{M} \) anti-correlation is qualitatively expected for the strongly inhomogeneous accretion disks. We argue that the observed amplitudes of the variability at far-UV wavelengths, the stochastic nature of variability, and the variability time-scales are not adequately explained by the simple multi-temperature black-body model of a standard disk and suggest to check whether the strongly inhomogeneous disk model is capable of reproducing these observations better.
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1. Introduction

It has long been suggested that the power for the large luminosities \((10^{11} \leq L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\odot} \leq 10^{14})\) of quasars is provided by mass accretion onto supermassive black holes (BHs; Salpeter 1964; Lynden-Bell 1969; Rees 1984). In the steep gravitational potential of a BH with the mass \( M \), the gravitational energy of the accreted matter is transformed into radiation with an efficiency \( \eta \). The relative growth rate of the BH can be described by the Eddington ratio \( \epsilon \equiv L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}} = \dot{M}/M_{\text{Edd}} \), where \( L_{\text{Edd}} \) and \( M_{\text{Edd}} \) are the luminosity and the accretion rate, respectively, for the critical stable case where the inward gravitational pressure of the accretion flow is just balanced out by the outward pressure of the radiation flow. According to the standard picture (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; Novikov & Thorne 1973; Shields 1978; Frank et al. 2002; Alexander & Hickox 2012), the outward angular momentum transfer of the accreting matter leads to the formation of an optically thick and geometrically thin accretion disk (AD), provided that the accretion rate is not too small. The particles in the AD are caused to lose angular momentum because of friction of adjacent layers. In the simplest models, the released gravitational energy is emitted locally as black-body radiation at the local effective temperature and the UV/optical spectrum is thus thought to be comprised of multi-temperature black-body components.

While the standard model basically agrees with many observed properties of X-ray binaries and AGNs, several observations of the spectral energy distribution and the variability of quasars imply modifications beyond the simplest models (e.g., Koratkar & Blaes 1999; Agol & Krolik 2000; Lawrence 2005; Bonning et al. 2007; Davis et al. 2007; MacLeod et al. 2010; Schmidt et al. 2012). In the last years, microlensing observations of quasars confirmed the model prediction that the AD size increases with \( M \). However, the disks appear to be about 5 times larger than predicted (Pooley et al. 2007; Morgan et al. 2010; Blackburne et al. 2011; Jiménez-Vicente et al. 2012). Dexter & Agol (2011; see also Dexter & Quataert 2012) argued that a modification of the standard model by the additional assumption of local inhomogeneities in the AD can solve the size problem while matching the stochastic properties of quasar variability.

Variability is an important diagnostic of quasar geometry and statistical relations between empirical variability estimators and other properties were frequently invoked to constrain the physical processes in quasars (e.g., Kawaguchi et al. 1998; Trèvese et al. 2001; Kelly et al. 2009). Numerous studies have
investigated the relations between the variability amplitudes on the one hand and time-lag, rest-frame wavelength, luminosity, and redshift, respectively, on the other hand. Most of the earlier studies (Angione 1973; Uomoto et al. 1976; Bonoli et al. 1979; Netzer & Scheffer 1983; Pica & Smith 1983; Cutri et al. 1985; Trèvese et al. 1989; Cristiani et al. 1990; Giallongo et al. 1991; Kinney et al. 1991; Hook et al. 1994; Meusinger et al. 1994; Véron & Hawkins 1995; Givone 1999; Hawkins 2000; Helfand 2001) confirmed a correlation of the variability amplitudes with the time-lag and anti-correlations with luminosity, redshift, and rest-frame wavelength. However, with the relatively small quasar samples and the limited number of observation epochs it was difficult to disentangle the effects of the different parameters. Substantial improvement has been achieved with the advance of large surveys. Over approximately the last decade, large and well-defined quasar samples have provided a solid base for the statistical investigation of quasar variability (Vanden Berk et al. 2004; de Vries et al. 2005; Rengstorf et al. 2006; Wold et al. 2007; Wilhite et al. 2005, 2008; Bauer et al. 2009; Ai et al. 2010; MacLeod et al. 2010; Schmidt et al. 2010, 2012; Zuo et al. 2012).

In our previous study (Meusinger et al. 2011; hereafter Paper I), we exploited the Light-Motion Curve Catalogue (LMCC; Bramich et al. 2008) of 3.7 million objects with multi-epoch photometry from the Stripe 82 (S82) of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 7 (SDSS DR7; Abazajian et al. 2009) to analyse the light curves for about 9000 quasars in the five SDSS bands. Because of the increase of the variability with the time-lag, we created a variability indicator that is related to the same length of the rest-frame time-lag interval for all quasars. We confirmed the anti-correlations of $V$ with luminosity and redshift and could show that the observed $V \sim z$ anti-correlation is caused by the combined effect of the $V \sim L$ and $L \sim z$ relations. From the analysis of the ratios of the lag-corrected variability indicators $V_j$ in adjacent photometric bands $j$ we found that the variability spectrum can be described by $\sigma_V \propto \lambda^2$ ($\sigma_V$: rms of the flux $F_\lambda$), in good agreement with the result reported by Wilhite et al. (2005) for about 300 quasars with SDSS spectra from several epochs. In principle, this behaviour can be accounted for by a standard AD that is varying from one steady state to another by changing its mean accretion rate (Pereyra et al. 2006; Li & Cao 2008). However, this model cannot explain the flat $\propto \lambda^{-1}$ variability time-scales. Moreover, the flat power spectrum of the variations suggests a stochastic nature of variability rather than coherent variations of the entire disk (Kelly et al. 2009; MacLeod et al. 2010). Recently, Dexter & Agol (2011) argued that quasar variability is probably the added effect of many, independently varying regions of the AD.

The dependence of the variability amplitudes on $e$, $M$, and $M$ has been the subject of several previous studies. Wold et al. (2007) estimated virial BH masses of about 100 SDSS quasars from the $H\beta$ line. They found a significant correlation between $M$ and the variability amplitudes from the QUEST1 Variability Survey but did not reproduce the $V \sim L$ anti-correlation. Wilhite et al. (2008) criticised that the $V \sim M$ correlation found by Wold et al. would be more convincing for a larger sample. They studied a sample of about 2500 SDSS quasars with a sufficiently high redshift ($z > 1.69$) such that $M$ can be estimated from the C IV line profile. By comparing the ensemble variability of several sub-samples, Wilhite et al. reproduced both the $V \sim L$ anti-correlation and the $V \sim M$ correlation and identified the Eddington ratio as a possible driver of quasar variability, perhaps related to the quasar’s accretion efficiency. These results were confirmed both by the investigation of the ensemble variability of 23,000 quasars from the Palomar QUEST Survey by Bauer et al. (2009) and the study of the individual variability indicators of about 300 lower-redshift ($z = 0.3–0.8$) AGNs from the SDSS S82 by Ai et al. (2010). Recently, Zuo et al. (2012) studied the individual variability estimators of more than 7000 SDSS S82 quasars and found that the anti-correlations of $V$ with $L$ and the Eddington ratio are confirmed but that the $V \sim M$ relationship is uncertain. They concluded that other physical mechanisms may still need to be considered.

In the present paper, we search for correlations between the UV variability and the primary quantities determining the accretion process: accretion rate, Eddington ratio, BH mass, and luminosity for a large number of SDSS S82 quasars. We use the individual variability indicators from Paper I in combination with the Catalog of Quasar Properties from Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 7 (Shen et al. 2011). Accretion rates are computed following Davis & Laor (2011) where it is assumed that the properties of the standard AD model are basically correct. In Sect. 2, we argue that the spectral energy distribution predicted by the standard model is in good agreement with the observed quasar composite spectra from the far-UV (FUV) to the near-IR (NIR). The quasar sample of the present study and its basic properties are presented in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4, we discuss the slope-luminosity relations (quasar-to-quasar and intrinsic, respectively) of the quasars in our sample. The statistical analysis of the observed relations between the variability and the accretion parameters is the subject of Sect. 5. Finally, summary and conclusions are given in Sect. 6.

### 2. Quasar continuum from the extreme UV to the near-IR

For BH masses of $M \approx 10^8...10^9 M_\odot$, thought to be typical for quasars, the peak effective temperature of a thermal AD is expected to be $10^3...10^5 K$. This is roughly consistent with the high continuum radiation of quasars in the UV (Shang et al. 2011). This so-called big blue bump is thus often identified with the putative AD. The observed quasar continuum from the FUV to the NIR is broadly consistent with the predictions from the standard model (e.g., Malkan 1983; Czerny & Elvis 1987; Laor 1990; Pereyra et al. 2006; Kishimoto et al. 2008). Figure 1 displays various composite spectra with high signal-to-noise ratio (S/N): 657 quasars from the FIRST Bright Quasar Survey (FBQS; Brotherton et al. 2001), 718 quasars from the Large Bright Quasar Survey (LBQS; Francis et al. 1991¹), and 2200 quasars from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; Vanden Berk et al. 2001). Also plotted is the composite from the 8744 SDSS S82 quasars in our basic sample (Paper I). All spectra were normalised at 2200 Å. The FUV to NIR continuum flux can be approximated by a power law

$$F_\lambda \propto \lambda^{\alpha_1}$$

with $\alpha_1 = -1.54$ in the UV at $\lambda \gtrsim 1000 \AA$ (Vanden Berk et al. 2001). The slope slightly steepens for increasing $\lambda$. Kishimoto et al. (2008) derived $\alpha_1 = -2.44$ in the NIR. This value is close to the expected “characteristic slope” $\alpha_1 = -2.33$ ($\alpha_c = 1/3$; Lynden-Bell 1969)².


² I.e., the spectral slope at frequencies $\nu \propto k_\lambda T_{in}/h$, where $T_{in}$ is the temperature at the inner edge of the AD. For typical quasars this condition is fulfilled in the IR.
Redwards of Hβ, most composites rise above the extrapolation from the UV. The substantial differences between different composites in the optical are most likely the result of different contributions from the host galaxies owing to different luminosity cuts of the samples. For example, Vanden Berk et al. (2001) estimated a stellar contribution of about 7% at 4000 Å for the SDSS composite from the presence of stellar absorption lines. In the IR, the AD is expected to be revealed in the polarised light where the polarisation is interpreted as caused by scattering of the disk spectrum by electrons interior to the broad line region (Kishimoto et al. 2008).

The investigation of the extreme UV (EUV) continuum in the optical spectra of high redshift (z > 2.5) quasars is complicated by the dense intergalactic Ly α absorption along the line of sight (Ly α forest). Available composites derived from space-borne UV spectra of low-redshift quasars observed either with the HST Faint Object Spectrograph (Telfer et al. 2002), the HST Cosmic Origins Spectrograph (Shull et al. 2012), or with the Far-Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer (Scott et al. 2004) lead to somewhat discrepant results, probably because of the small numbers of quasars in combination with large quasar-to-quasar variations and luminosity effects.

In the multi-temperature black-body (MTBB) model, the entire radiation flux $F_\lambda$ of the AD is the superimposition of the thermal spectra from concentric cylinder rings with different radii

$$F_\lambda \propto R^2 S \int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} B_\lambda[T(r')] r' dr'$$

where $r \equiv R/R_S$ is the normalised radius in units of the Schwarzschild radius $R_S$, $r_{in}$ is the radius of the innermost stable circular orbit, and $r_{out}$ is the outermost radius of the AD. Assuming that the viscously dissipated gravitational energy of the in-falling matter is radiated away locally by a black-body of the local effective temperature, the radial temperature profile of the AD is $T = 5.1 \times 10^5 M^{1/2} R^{1/2} r^{-3/4} [1 - (r/r_{in})^{-1/2}]^{1/4}$ (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973) where $M_S = M/10^8 M_\odot$, $M$ in $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, $T$ and $T^*$ in K. Replacing $r$ by $s \equiv r/r_{in}$ leads to the alternative expression

$$T = T^* s^{-3/4} [1 - s^{-1/2}]^{1/4}$$

with $T^* = 2.2 \times 10^5 K \left( \frac{M}{M_8^*} \right)^{1/4}$ (e.g., Pereyra et al. 2006). The spectral shape of the continuum is completely determined by $T^*$ and $r_{out}$.

The left panel of Fig. 1 shows MTBB model spectra for $r_{in} = 3$ (Schwarzschild BH) and $T^* = 6 \times 10^4$ K to $11 \times 10^4$ K (bottom to top, in steps of $10^4$ K). The outer edge is set to $r_{out} = 10^4$, the distance where the Toomre stability parameter of the disk falls below unity under standard assumptions (Goodman 2003). The exact value of $r_{out}$ does not have a significant influence on the results. Over-plotted in Fig. 1 are those model curves for $r_{in} = 1$ (maximum rotating Kerr BH) that fit the $r_{in} = 3$ model at longer wavelengths. We do not want to confront specific AD models but just to illustrate roughly the combined effect of more realistic AD models (Hubeny et al. 2000, 2001). We estimated a simple correction function by comparing the

Fig. 1. Left: observed quasar composite spectra compared with the predicted continuum in the MTBB model with different temperature parameters (labels in units of $10^3$ K) for a Schwarzschild black hole (solid) and for a maximum rotating Kerr BH (dotted). Right: the same as left, but for corrected model curves of the CMTBB model. The dashed vertical lines indicate the positions of continuum windows. References: 1 – Scott et al. (2004); 2 – Shull et al. (2012); 3 – Telfer et al. (2002); 4 – Brotherton et al. (2001); 5 – Meusinger et al. (2011); 6 – Vanden Berk et al. (2004); 7 – Francis et al. (1991); 8 – Kishimoto et al. (2008).
spectra from the MTBB and the elaborated models of Hubeny et al. (2001) for $M_8 = 1$ and we applied this correction to the spectra from all MTBB models. Though oversimplified, this naive treatment may illustrate some general trends. The spectra from the corrected MTBB (CMTBB) models (right panel of Fig. 1) have lower fluxes at long wavelengths and higher fluxes at short wavelengths. (For $M_8 = 1$ the transition is in the EUV at $\lambda \approx 200$ Å). For wavelengths longwards of Ly$\alpha$, the corrected spectrum is slightly flattened and a weak Balmer edge is predicted. The Balmer edge becomes less pronounced for higher masses ($M_8 > 1$). Comptonisation has only little effect when $M_8 > 1$ (Hubeny et al. 2001).

The comparison between the model spectra and the observed composites must be restricted to those spectral windows where the contamination of the observed flux by emission lines is negligible. In Fig. 1, five pseudo-continuum windows from Tsuzuki et al. (2006) and Sameshima et al. (2011) are indicated. As mentioned above, host galaxy contamination can become significant at $\lambda \approx 4000$ Å, dependent on the sample selection criteria. Taking these effects into account, the agreement between the observed and the model spectra is very good for wavelengths longwards of the Ly$\alpha$ line. The mean relative deviation between the SDSS composite spectrum and the best-fitting model curves is only a few percent.

3. Quasar sample and basic data

3.1. Black hole masses, luminosities, Eddington ratios

The spectroscopic quasar catalogue from the SDSS DR7 (Schneider et al. 2010) contains 105 783 bona fide quasars with absolute $i$-band magnitudes $M_i < -22.0$. The computation of BH mass estimates for such a large sample of quasars has become possible by the availability of scaling relations (see e.g., Vestergaard 2011). The scaling relations are built on the assumptions that (1) the gas in the broad line region (BLR) is virialised; (2) the width of the broad emission line is a proxy for the virial velocity; and (3) the distance of the BLR from the central source scales with the continuum luminosity $L_{\lambda_4}$. This approach enables estimating BH masses of quasars from single-epoch spectra.

Shen et al. (2011) compiled a catalogue of properties of all quasars from the SDSS DR7, including particularly the emission line widths of H$\beta$, Mg$\text{\textsc{ii}}$, and C$\text{\textsc{iv}}$, the continuum flux around these lines, and virial black hole masses $M$. The mass is computed from various calibrations of the scaling relations. Shen et al. give also a fiducial BH mass derived either from Vestergaard & Peterson’s (2006) calibrations for H$\beta$ and C$\text{\textsc{iv}}$ or from their own calibration for Mg$\text{\textsc{ii}}$. In addition, $L_{\text{bol}}$, $\epsilon$, and many other parameters are provided. The bolometric luminosity was computed from the monochromatic luminosity in a continuum window at 1350 Å, 3000 Å, or 5100 Å, respectively, using a constant bolometric correction. In the following, we identify the BH mass with the fiducial mass from Shen et al. (2011). Figure 2 shows the distributions of the SDSS quasars in the $L_{\text{bol}} - M - z$ space and in the $\epsilon - M - z$ space.

3.2. Quasar sample

We started with the quasar sample from Paper I. It was constructed from the inspection and analysis of the SDSS spectra of all those $2 \times 10^4$ objects in S82 that were classified as quasars or quasar candidates by the spectroscopic pipeline of the SDSS. We selected 9855 quasars. The variability data for the quasars were computed from the monochromatic luminosity in a continuum window at 1350 Å, 3000 Å, or 5100 Å, respectively, using a constant bolometric correction. In the following, we identify the umu window at 1350 Å, 3000 Å, or 5100 Å, respectively, using a constant bolometric correction.
3.3. Accretion rates and radiative efficiencies

3.3.1. Scaling relations

The rate of the mass accretion onto the BH is directly related to the total energy radiated by the quasar:

\[ \dot{M}_{\text{bol}} = \eta \dot{M} c^2. \]

where \( \eta \) is determined by the smallest stable orbit behind which matter is expected to fall directly into the BH without emitting radiation, i.e., it depends on the spin of the BH. \( \dot{M}_{\text{bol}} \) can be taken as a proxy for the accretion rate. However, both the radiative efficiency \( \eta \) and the bolometric correction suffer from substantial uncertainties. Estimates of the average radiative efficiency using different methods yield \( \eta \tilde{=} 0.1 \) (Soltau 1982; Elvis et al. 2002; Yu & Tremaine 2002; Wang et al. 2006; Davis & Laor 2011; hereafter DL11). Individual values of \( \eta \) may not only scatter over about one order of magnitude (depending on the accretion history from chaotic to coherent accretion; see Dotti et al. 2013) but may also depend on \( M \). A correlation between spin and mass was predicted by numerical simulations of the BH growth (Dotti et al. 2013) and seems to be present in different quasar samples (DL11; Laor & Davis 2011a; Li et al. 2012; Raimundo et al. 2012; Chelouche 2013; see also below). At highest temperatures, the T profile is determined by \( r_m \) (Eq. (3)). Hence, the uncertainty of the bolometric correction is mainly produced by the uncertain fraction of energy radiated in the X-ray and EUV domains from the innermost part of the AD.

Estimating the accretion rate of a steady-state AD from the optical luminosity is far less affected by the uncertain innermost part of the disk. For \( r \gtrsim r_m \) the temperature profile (Eq. (3)) becomes \( T \approx T^\alpha r^{-3/4} \) and the integral in Eq. (2) can be expressed by the simple relationship between luminosity, accretion rate, and black hole mass:

\[ \dot{M} = 2.0 \dot{L}_{\text{bol}} M_8^{3/4} (\text{DL11}) \]

for \( \lambda = 4681 \text{ Å} \) and a typical disk inclination of \( \cos i = 0.8 \) where \( \dot{L}_{\text{bol}} = \dot{L}_{45} M_8^{3/4} \) (DL11) becomes 10^8 erg s^{-1}. DL11 demonstrated that more sophisticated models provide similar relations. In particular, they found \( M = 3.5 \dot{L}_{45} M_8^{0.89} \) (their Eq. (8)) from low-z Palomar-Green quasars where \( M \) was derived from the bulge stellar velocity distribution. DL11 suggested that their simple fitting relations “could be used in place of a detailed model fitting method for future work”.

We applied Eq. (8) from DL11 to the quasars in our sample. However, because the optical luminosity is not directly accessible for the majority of the SDSS quasars we estimated \( \dot{L}_{\text{bol}} \) by the extrapolation of the monochromatic luminosity at 3000 Å, \( \dot{L}_{3000} \), from Shen et al. (2011) adopting the power law from Eq. (1):

\[ \dot{M} = 3.5 \cdot 0.64^{-1.5(1+\alpha)} \dot{L}_{3000}^{1.5} M_8^{-0.89}. \]

We derived the spectral slope \( \alpha \) for each quasar individually by fitting a power law to the foreground extinction-corrected SDSS spectrum in the pseudo-continuum windows. These individual continuum slopes were used for all those quasars having spectra with \( S/N > 3 \) in at least three windows. For quasars with noisier spectra we simply adopted the mean slope \( \alpha = -1.52 \) from the composite spectrum of the parent sample (Paper I). The overwhelming majority of the quasars in our sample have accretion rates within \( \pm 1 \) dex around a mean value of about 1 M_\odot yr^{-1}.

DL11 estimated \( \dot{M} \) by fitting model spectra to the individual optical spectra. The bolometric luminosity could be estimated from the broadband spectral energy distribution because

\[ \text{(DL11)} \]

There was a numerical error in the corresponding Eqs. (5) and (7) in DL11. The correct numerical factors are given in a footnote by Laor & Davis (2011b).
the quasars in their sample have ample coverage from optical to X-ray, with some uncertainty in the EUV. DL11 used $L_{\text{bol}}$ and $M$ to compute the radiative efficiency $\eta$ from Eq. (4).

Here, we applied Eqs. (5) and (4) in combination with the assumption of a constant bolometric correction $K = L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{opt}}$ to estimate $\eta$ from the optical luminosity as

$$\eta = 6.25 \times 10^{-3} M_8^{0.89} L_{\text{opt},45}^{-0.5}$$

where we assumed $K = 6.3$ (mean value in the final sample) and an AD inclination $\cos i = 0.8$. The assumption of a bolometric luminosity is supported by the findings of a constant ratio $L_{\text{opt}}/L_{\text{bol}}$ by DL11 and an only weak dependence of this ratio on $L_{\text{bol}}$ by Marconi et al. (2004). The resulting mean radiative efficiency is $\bar{\eta} = 0.22$. Most quasars (76%) have values between those corresponding to the Novikov-Thorne efficiencies 0.057 $< \eta < 0.321$ for $0 < a' < 0.998$. For 19% of the quasars the estimated $\eta$ is higher than the upper limit for $a' = 0.998$ and a very small fraction (0.5%) has $\eta > 1$. Given the uncertainties in $M$ and $\eta$, these results can be considered as broadly consistent with the Novikov-Thorne limits.

**3.3.2. Correlation diagrams**

(a) Observed correlations

Figure 4 displays eight correlation diagrams for the quasars in our final SDSS quasar sample. These diagrams will be briefly discussed in this paragraph and will be analysed further in paragraph (b) below.

Figure 4a shows $L_{\text{opt}}$ versus redshift. As expected for a flux-limited sample, there is a clear trend of $L_{\text{opt}}$ to increase with $z$. The diagram illustrates the major selection effects inherent in our quasar sample: the strictly defined $z$ interval (vertical dotted lines) and the $z$-dependent $L_{\text{opt}}$ interval (diagonal dashed lines; see the discussion in paragraph (b) below). There is also a tendency for the masses to increase with $z$ (Fig. 4b). The Eddington ratio $\varepsilon$ (not shown) has only a weak trend with $z$. Linear regression yields $\log \varepsilon \approx -0.42 \log L_{\text{opt}}$ and $\log \varepsilon < 0.43 \log L_{\text{bol}}$.

Figure 4c reveals a remarkable trend towards higher radiative efficiencies at higher masses. The best-fit relation $\log \eta = -2.25 + 0.57 \log M_8$ from the linear regression roughly agrees with $\log \eta \approx -2.05 + 0.52 \log M_8$ derived by DL11 (see also Laor & Davis 2011a) from their sample of 80 low-$z$ Palomar-Green quasars. There is, on the other hand, no significant mass dependence of $M$ (Fig. 4d).

Figures 4e,f indicate that the situation is opposite for the luminosity: $\eta$ is uncorrelated with $L_{\text{bol}}$ while $M$ and $L_{\text{bol}}$ are strongly correlated. Finally, there is a strong anti-correlation of $\varepsilon$ and $\eta$ (Fig. 4g), where we find $\varepsilon \approx \eta^{0.89}$ as the best-fit relation, and a tight correlation ($\log \varepsilon < 0.62 \log M$) between $\varepsilon$ and $M$ (Fig. 4h), i.e., the Eddington ratio is a good proxy for the accretion rate.

All relations shown in Figs. 4c-h can be understood from Eqs. (5) and (6) in combination with the observed $\varepsilon - L_{\text{opt}}$ and $\varepsilon - M$ relations and assuming a constant bolometric correction, i.e., $L_{\text{opt}} \propto L_{\text{bol}}$. For example, $\varepsilon \propto M^{-0.42}$ means $L_{\text{bol}} \propto M^{0.58}$. Replacing the luminosity in Eq. (6) yields $\eta \propto M^{-0.60}$, very close to the observed relation (Fig. 4c). On the other hand, it is easily seen that $\eta$ should be independent of $L_{\text{bol}}$ (Fig. 4c); the observed relation $\varepsilon \propto L_{\text{bol}}^{0.41}$ means $M \propto L_{\text{bol}}^{0.57}$ and thus $\eta \propto L_{\text{bol}}^{-0.5} \propto L_{\text{bol}}^{-1}$. Further, replacing the luminosity in Eq. (5) shows that $M$ is nearly independent of $L_{\text{opt}}$ (Fig. 4d). In a similar way one finds $\eta \propto M^{0.22} \propto \varepsilon^{-1}$ (Fig. 4g) and $M \propto \varepsilon$ (Fig. 4h).

(b) Simulations

The accretion theory explains different radiation efficiencies as an effect of different black hole spins. A systematic trend of $\eta$ with $M$, as found by DL11 and apparently confirmed by our larger sample of higher-$z$ quasars, could provide an important constraint on the evolution of SMBHs (Dotti et al. 2013) if it could be unambiguously shown to be not produced by selection effects and uncertainties in the input data. DL11 discussed the effects of the errors in $M$ and disfavoured mass measurement errors alone as an explanation for the observed correlation. Raimundo et al. (2012) argued that the distribution of $\eta$ in the DL11 sample is shaped by the selection criteria of the sample in combination with an almost constant bolometric correction. To verify this argument, they used a simulated quasar sample.

Here we followed a similar approach to understand the correlation diagrams in Fig. 4. The purpose of this exercise is to investigate whether properties that are intrinsically uncorrelated in the underlying basic (parent) sample display apparent correlations in the observed sample as a consequence of selection effects. We simulated a quasar sample with a $z$ distribution similar to that of our SDSS quasar sample (Fig. 3c) and with a “reasonable mass” distribution. Modelling a realistic SMBH mass function is clearly beyond the scope of the present paper. Instead we simply assumed a $z$-independent one-sided Gaussian to account for the decrease of the mass distribution at high masses (Fig. 5a). This is our underlying basic sample. Next, we assigned a randomly chosen value of $\eta$ to each quasar, where $\eta$ is uniformly distributed between the Novikov-Thorne limits 0.057 and 0.321 for $a' = 0$ and $a' = 0.998$, respectively (Fig. 5b). There is no correlation of $\eta$ with $M$ in the basic sample. Now, with $\eta$ and $M$ given, we used Eqs. (4) and (5) to compute the optical luminosity $L_{\text{opt}}$ for each quasar adopting a constant bolometric correction.

A general selection effect in real quasar samples is produced by the flux limitation of the survey. The flux limit produces a lower luminosity cut that increases with redshift. About half of the objects in the Shen et al. (2011) catalogue were selected uniformly using the final quasar target selection algorithm (Richards et al. 2002), the other half were selected via various algorithms. That is, the distribution in the $L - z$ plane shows a sharp lower $L(z)$ limit only for the uniformly-selected sub-sample whereas the other quasars populate also the area below that lower limit (see e.g., Fig. 1 of Shen et al. 2011). The lower luminosity limit is thus not strictly defined in our sample. The upper luminosity limit, on the other side, is set mainly by the quasar luminosity function and is also not well defined. Therefore we simply used the selection area indicated in Fig. 4a for our SDSS sample and selected all quasars from the basic sample within this area. To account for the incompleteness of our SDSS catalogue, and thus for the incompleteness of our SDSS sample near the lower luminosity limit, the lower luminosity part of the selected (simulated) sample has been randomly thinned out in a somewhat arbitrary way. Figure 5c illustrates the selection. Figure 5d shows the distribution of the selected sample on the $M - z$ plane.

The selected quasars show a rhomboidal distribution in the $\eta - M$ plane (Fig. 5e). That means there is a tendency towards higher efficiencies for higher masses, even though $\eta$ and $M$ are not correlated in the underlying basic sample. The shape of this distribution can be easily explained: the lower and upper edges are set by the limits of the SMBH spin. At a fixed
Fig. 4. Properties of the sample of SDSS quasars. Panels a) and b): optical luminosity a) and mass b) as function of redshift (solid lines: linear regression curves, other lines in a): limits for our simulated sample). Other panels: radiative efficiency $\eta$ and mass accretion rate $\dot{M}$ as function of mass c), d), bolometric luminosity e), f) and Eddington ratio $\varepsilon$ (g), h)). Thin diagonal lines in panel c): linear regression curves from DL11 (dashed) and from our SDSS sample (solid); horizontal dotted lines: Novikov-Thorne efficiencies for three critical values of the BH spin parameter $a^*$. See the text for a more detailed description.
Fig. 5. Simulated basic quasar sample (a–c); small green dots), selected sample (c–e); small blue crosses), and simulated “observed” quasar sample (f–h; small red crosses). The selection is based solely on the luminosity limits of the SDSS sample (c); see also Fig. 4a). The thick diagonal lines in the lower right corner of panels e) and f) indicate the displacement for a 0.5 dex shift in $M$. The “observed” sample is identical with the selected sample but mass errors were involved in the latter. Panels f) to h) show correlations in the “observed” sample. Solid line in f): linear regression curve. See the text for a more detailed description.
value of $\eta$, the black hole masses are distributed over the range $k \nu_{\lambda_{\text{opt,low}}}^{1.52} \nu_{\lambda_{\text{opt,up}}}^{0.56}$ where $\nu_{\lambda_{\text{opt,low}}}$ and $\nu_{\lambda_{\text{opt,up}}}$, respectively, are the lower and higher luminosity limits and $k$ is a constant value. Hence, the limits on the left and on the right hand side, respectively, reflect the luminosity limits of the survey.

The observed quasar sample is subject to uncertainties of the mass estimation. The direction of the displacement in the $\eta - M$ plane is indicated by the diagonal line in Fig. 5c. Mass errors have the effect to enhance the trend of increasing mean $\eta$ with $M$ seen in Fig. 5c. From the uncertainties given in the Shen et al. catalogue we found a weakly $\nu_{\lambda_{\text{opt}}}$-dependent standard deviation $\sigma(\log M) = 0.26 - 0.03 \times \log \nu_{\lambda_{\text{opt,45}}}$ for our SDSS quasar sample. We adopted this relation for simulating Gaussian distributed mass errors. Luminosity errors are much smaller and can be neglected. The thus corrected data from the selected simulated masses and Eddington ratios. For the sake of completeness we briefly summarise only a few facts that may be important in the context of the present paper and refer to Paper I for more details.

The variability estimator was described in Paper I. Here we computed the rest-frame first-order structure function (SF) $D(\tau_{\text{ff}})$ for each light curve in each band from the LMCC light curves. The SF is a sort of running variance of the magnitudes as a function of the (rest-frame) time-lag $\tau_{\text{ff}}$, i.e., the time difference between two arbitrary measurements. $D(\tau_{\text{ff}})$ increases monotonically up to the characteristic variability time-scale. As the maximum variability time-scale of quasars is not well known, the time-lags must be long in order to characterise the strength of the variability by $D$. We defined the individual variability estimator $V_m$ of a quasar by the arithmetic mean of all noise-corrected SF data points in the interval $\tau_{\text{ff,max}} \approx 1 - 2\text{ yr}$. In other words, the quantity used to describe the strength of the variability of a quasar is the variance of its magnitude differences from all those pairs of two measurements that have rest-frame time-lags between 1 and 2 yr. $V_m$ was computed for each of the five SDSS bands.

The dominant variability modes are associated with physical processes playing a role in the behaviour of disks. These processes are often characterised by fundamental time-scales (e.g., Collier & Peterson 2001; Frank 2002) such as the orbital time-scale $t_{\text{orb}} = 1/\Omega$, where $\Omega$ is the angular frequency, and the thermal time-scale $t_{\text{th}} = t_{\text{orb}}/\alpha$, where $\alpha$ is the viscosity parameter. Using the radial temperature profile of the standard AD in combination with Wien’s displacement law, the radius dependence of the time-scales can be transformed into a wavelength dependence. Furthermore, adopting the relation $\eta = 0.056 M_{\odot}^{0.37}$ for the quasars in our sample (Sect. 3.3), the thermal time-scale (rest-frame) can be written as

$$t_{\text{th}} = 0.23 M_{\odot}^{0.21} \frac{\nu_{0.1}^{1/2}}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\lambda_{\text{obs,5000}}}{1 + z}\right)^2 \text{yr}$$

with $\nu_{0.1} = \nu/0.1$ and $\lambda_{\text{obs,5000}} = \lambda_{\text{obs}}/5000 \text{Å}$, where $\lambda_{\text{obs}}$ is the wavelength in the observer frame. Assuming $M_{\odot} = 1 - 10$, $\nu_{0.1} = 1$, $\lambda_{\text{obs,5000}} = 1$, $z = 1.5$, and $\alpha \approx 0.03 - 0.2$ (e.g., Liu et al. 2008) we find $t_{\text{th}} \approx 0.2 - 2\text{ yr} \leq \tau_{\text{ff,max}}$. Hence, if quasar variability is dominated by thermal processes, this estimate supports the assumption that the chosen time-lag interval is suitable to derive a characteristic variability indicator. (Needless to say that the viscosity parameter $\alpha$ is poorly known however.) The viscous time-scale, i.e., the time-scale of the accretion flow to radiate away the energy released by viscous dissipation, is $t_v = t_{\text{th}}(r/h)^2$, where $h$ is the scale height of the AD in units of $R_c$. For a thin AD with $h \ll r$ one has to expect therefore $t_v \gg \tau_{\text{ff,max}}$. From the analysis of the variability of SDSS quasars by means of a random walk model, a characteristic variability time-scale of SDSS quasars of about 200 days was derived (Kelly et al. 2009; MacLeod et al. 2010).

### 4. Slope-luminosity effects

#### 4.1. Slope-luminosity and slope-temperature relations

For the standard AD one expects a steeper spectral slope $\alpha_1$ for more luminous quasars (Fig. 1). A slope-luminosity effect has been known for a long time (e.g., Mushotzky & Wandel 1989; Lawrence 2005; Davis et al. 2007). In Fig. 6a, $\alpha_1$ is plotted versus $L_{3000}$ for those 1200 quasars where the continuum flux is measured in the windows at 2200 Å and 4200 Å (i.e., $0.7 \leq z \leq 1.2$) with $S/N > 5$ (see Sect. 3.3). There is no significant trend in our data. Davis et al. (2007) measured the spectral slopes of two large samples of SDSS quasars at 2200–4000 Å and 1450–2200 Å, respectively. No clear variation with luminosity was found for $\alpha_1(1450–2200 \text{ Å})$ but was indicated for $\alpha_1(2200–4000 \text{ Å})$ with steeper (bluer) slopes at higher luminosity. This trend was found to be significant, though smaller than predicted by the model. One scenario for differences of the $\alpha_1(2200–4000 \text{ Å})$ values from Davis et al. and ours could be that the measured flux is contaminated by the host galaxy and that this contamination is stronger at 4200 Å (here) than at 4000 Å (Davis et al.). Consequently, our slopes would be slightly flatter (redder). However, if the host contribution is independent of the quasar luminosity, the flattening effect should be stronger at low luminosities, where our slopes tend to be slightly steeper (bluer) compared to Davis et al. Another possible reason could be differences in the composition of the quasar samples. The Davis et al. sample covers a slightly higher redshift interval ($z = 0.76–1.26$) and is about three times larger than ours (because of the $S/N$ criterion in our sample). As a consequence, our sample covers only 1.5 dex in luminosity, compared to 2 dex for the Davis et al. sample. Assuming a mean spectral slope $\alpha_1 = -1.5$, our luminosity interval $L_{3000} \approx 44.6–46.1 \text{ erg s}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-2}\text{arcsec}^{-2}$ corresponds to $L_{2200} \approx 44.8–46.3 \text{ erg s}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-2}$ where the mean slopes $\alpha_1(2200–4000 \text{ Å})$ in luminosity bins from Davis et al. (their Fig. 3) changes from $-1.5$ to $-1.8$ with increasing $L_{2200}$ and to $L_{4000} \approx 44.8–46.3 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ where $\alpha_1(2200–4000 \text{ Å})$ varies with increasing $L_{4000}$ from $-1.6$ to $-1.7$ (their Fig. 5). These differences are clearly within our error bars and we argue therefore that Fig. 6a is not contradictory to Davis et al. (2007).
The discrepancy between the observations and the model prediction becomes stronger when the dependence of \( \alpha_{\lambda} \) on the disk temperature is considered (Fig. 6b). The temperature parameter \( T^* \) was computed from Eq. (3) with \( \dot{M} \) from Eq. (5). At low temperatures the observed slopes show a trend to become slightly steeper (bluer) with increasing disk temperature, but this trend inverts at \( T^* \approx 40000 \) K. From Wien’s displacement law, one would naively expect that cooler (and thus less luminous) ADs have redder colours. Real ADs depart from the simple MTBB model because of relativistic effects and radiation transport. However, Bonning et al. (2007) and Davis et al. (2007) revealed a similar discrepancy also for more elaborated models. Instead of a more detailed analysis, that is clearly beyond the scope of this paper, we refer to Davis et al. for a comprehensive discussion. These authors mention in particular that reddening by dust intrinsic to the quasar or the host galaxy and difficulties in modelling the emission near the Balmer edge may play an important role for the discrepancies.

4.2. The bluer-when-brighter behaviour of variability

There exists also an intrinsic slope-luminosity effect: It has been known for a long time that quasars become bluer as they become brighter. In Paper I, we found that the wavelength dependence of the variability can be expressed by \( \sigma_F \propto \lambda^{-2} \), where \( \sigma_F \) is the rms deviation of the flux \( F_\lambda \) from its mean value. A similar result was reported by Wilhite et al. (2005) for about 300 quasars with SDSS spectra from several epochs. Again, this behaviour is qualitatively expected for a black-body model.

For the simple MTBB model the spectral slope depends only on \( T^* \) (Eq. (3)). The observed spectral variability of quasars has been suggested to be explained by sudden changes in the accretion rate, i.e. by jumps of the AD from one stationary state to another (Pereyra et al. 2006; Li & Cao 2008). However, this explanation is faced with two major problems: (i) Changes in the accretion flow are characterised by time-scales much longer than the time span of the observations (Sect. 3.4). Moreover, fluctuations of the accretion rate are expected to smooth out as they move inwards. (ii) We simulated variable spectra by varying \( \dot{M} \) in Eq. (3) and computed the corresponding \( V_m - \lambda \) relation. Figure 7 shows the results for the simple MTBB model ADs from Fig. 1. The model curves are normalised to the observed \( V_m - \lambda \) relation at 2200 Å. Note that the comparison has to be restricted to the continuum windows. After accounting for the dilution effect from the star light of the host galaxy at \( \lambda > 4000 \) Å, the model predictions are roughly in agreement with the observed relation for wavelengths longwards of the C iv line. At shorter wavelengths, however, the variability of real quasars is stronger than predicted.

4.3. Discussion

These discrepancies are difficult to explain by the simplest version of the standard AD and seem to require an additional component. In such a two-component model, the standard AD is the (more or less) static component, whereas a second component is responsible for the strong variability at short wavelengths. Possible explanations include irradiation of the AD by a central X-ray source, reprocessing of high-energy photons from the inner regions in the outer disk, or non-intrinsic components of the observed quasar variability.

A special version of a two-component model is the strongly inhomogeneous AD model predicted by Dexter & Agol (2011). These authors argue that quasar variability is probably the added effect of many, independently varying regions rather than caused by coherent variations of the whole disk. ADs are likely

\[ \text{Or alternatively by jumps of the state of an external heating source.} \]
magnetised and once magnetic fields are included, the ADs become subject to magneto-rotational instabilities that may be the driving mechanisms behind the accretion process (Balbus & Hawley 1991, 1998; Fragile et al. 2007; McKinney & Blandford 2009; Hirose et al. 2009). As was noticed already by Shakura & Sunyaev (1973), effects connected to magnetic fields and turbulence are expected to be important for the radiation of the disk if the viscosity is not too small. In this case, flares and hot spots on the surface produce an inhomogeneous disk. The local stochastic flux variations may be a dominant source of the observed optical/UV variability.

In their toy models, Dexter & Agol (2011) divided the AD into N evenly spaced zones in log r and \( \varphi \). The local effective temperature \( T \) and the local radiation flux \( F \) were assumed to vary with azimuth \( \varphi \) at given radius \( r \) and with time \( t \) at given \( r \) and \( \varphi \). Motivated by studies of the optical/UV variability of quasars (Kelly et al. 2009; MacLeod et al. 2010), log \( T \) was simulated to follow an independent damped random walk in each zone. The global, averaged properties of the standard thin AD model were assumed to be basically correct. The mean value of the temperature was chosen so that the flux averaged over azimuth and time corresponds to the standard thin disk model with

\[
F(r) \propto (T(r))^{\gamma},
\]

Dexter & Agol showed that ADs with large local temperature fluctuations \( \sigma_T \approx 0.4 \) dex in \( N \approx 10^2-10^3 \) independently varying zones can explain the observed discrepancy of the AD size (Sect. 1) while matching the observed random walk characteristics of the optical/UV variability.

These local instabilities affect the accretion flow on the one hand and the radiation flux variations on the other. We thus naturally expect a relation between the UV variability and the accretion rate. Dexter & Agol (2011) modelled the time-scale and the amplitudes of the temperature fluctuations as independent of \( r \). If we assume that these characteristics are also independent of the BH mass (and spin), the quasar-to-quasar differences in the observed variability may be related to different numbers \( N \) of independently varying zones. As the variance decreases with \( N \), we have to expect that more variable quasars have ADs with smaller \( N \) and that the variability is consequently anti-correlated with \( M \).

5. Correlations between variability, accretion rate, and black hole mass

5.1. Observed correlations

To search for possible correlations between the variability and the accretion parameters, we restricted the sample to those quasars with small measurement uncertainties of \( <0.25 \) dex in the fiducial virial BH mass. As pointed out by Shen et al. (2011; see also Sect. 3.1), the mass uncertainty was propagated from the measurement uncertainties of the continuum luminosities and the line widths, but does neither include systematic effects nor the statistical uncertainty from the calibration of the scaling relations which is probably larger than the 0.25 \( \sigma \). We also removed a small number (66) of broad absorption line (BAL) quasars (BAL flag \( >0 \)) from the sample because BALs can strongly affect the mass estimation. Finally, 68 radio-loud quasars (\( R \equiv F_{6\,\text{cm}}/F_{2500\,\text{Å}} > 10 \)) were removed because their variability may be influenced, or even dominated, by the non-thermal component. These restrictions result in a final sample of 2951 quasars. It should be noticed, however, that these additional limitations have an only marginal effect on the results.

Figure 8 shows the double-logarithmic diagrams of the variability estimator \( V_{\text{nu}} \) in the five SDSS bands uvgriz (left to right) versus (top to bottom) the bolometric luminosity, the BH mass, the Eddington ratio, and the accretion rate. Over-plotted are equally spaced density contours and regression curves. Linear regressions were performed for the unbinned data, but also for the mean values in 8 binning intervals. The two regression curves are generally in good agreement with each other.

The Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients \( r \) for the unbinned date are listed in Table 1 along with the test statistics \( t = r \sqrt{(N-2)/(1-r^2)} \). The null hypothesis \( H_0 \), that there is no correlation, has to be rejected on a significance level of 99\% if \( t > t_{\text{crit}, \alpha = 0.01} \approx 2.58 \). Though the scatter is large in all panels of Fig. 8, it is evident from Table 1 that \( H_0 \) can be rejected both for log \( L_{\text{bol}}, \log \epsilon \), and log \( M \) in all five SDSS bands. Highest confidence is indicated for log \( M \) followed by \( \log \epsilon \). Because \( M \) and \( \epsilon \) are strongly correlated with each other (Fig. 4), we conclude that our analysis reveals a significant anti-correlation between variability and accretion rate. The correlation between variability and BH mass is marginally significant in the \( g \) band only, whereas the null hypothesis has not to be rejected for the other bands.

To consolidate the results from the correlation test, we applied yet another statistical test: we divided the range of log \( M \) into three intervals with about the same number of quasars per interval defining thus three sub-samples \( S_1, S_2 \), and \( S_3 \) with log \( M(S_1) > \log M(S_2) > \log M(S_3) \). Now, we compared the distributions of log \( V_{\text{nu}} \) in the sub-samples \( S_1 \) and \( S_3 \) with each other. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to check the null hypothesis \( H_0 \) that both distributions are statistically indistinguishable. \( H_0 \) has to be rejected at level \( \alpha \) if the test statistic \( D_{\text{max}} \) is greater than a critical value \( D_{\text{crit}}(\alpha, n_1, n_3) \), where \( n_1 \) and \( n_3 \) are the numbers of quasars in \( S_1 \) and \( S_3 \), respectively.

The same test was applied also to log \( L_{\text{bol}}, \log M, \) and \( \log \epsilon \). The test statistic \( D_{\text{max}} \) and the critical values \( D_{\text{crit}}(\alpha) = 0.99 \) are listed in Table 1. Obviously, we have to reject the hypothesis that the variabilities of the low-\( M \) quasars and the high-\( M \) quasars come from the same distribution. The same conclusion can be drawn for \( \epsilon \) and \( L_{\text{bol}} \), but not for \( M \). Highest confidence is found for \( M \). The results from the correlation test are therewith clearly confirmed. Finally, we applied the Mann-Whitney U test to the same sub-samples. Though less sensitive to the presence of outliers, this test does not alter the conclusion derived from the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

We checked the impact of various factors that may potentially influence the correlations. First, we computed the correlation coefficients for the relations from Fig. 8 in \( \delta \) intervals of the width \( \Delta \delta = 0.1 \). Figure 10 shows that there is no significant redshift dependence of \( r \). Interestingly \( r \) is smaller than average (Table 1) for log \( L_{\text{bol}}, \log \epsilon, \) and log \( M \) in the bins at \( \delta = 1.05 \) and 1.55 where the \( \delta \) distribution has local maxima (Fig. 3) reaching \( r \approx -0.5 \) for log \( M \). Secondly, the influence of outliers was checked by a sigma clipping and was found to be negligible. Thirdly, the results are found to be essentially independent against the inclusion of radio-loud quasars and BAL quasars. Fourthly and lastly, we removed the quasars with low significance of the measured variability (e.g. \( \chi^2 < 3 \); see Paper I) and found again only a negligible effect on the correlations.

5.2. Discussion

The findings of statistically significant correlations does not guarantee that they are really intrinsic. In Sect. 3.3, we demonstrated via simulations that significant correlations can be found in a selected quasar sample even though the same properties are

H. Meusinger and V. Weiss: Quasar variability and accretion rate
Fig. 8. Variability estimator $V_m$ versus $L_{\text{bol}}$, $M$, $e$, and $\log \dot{M}$ for the five SDSS bands. Over-plotted are density contours and the linear regression lines for binned (solid) and unbinned (dashed) data.
Fig. 9. Variability estimator $V_m$ versus $L_{opt}$, $M$, $\epsilon$, and $\dot{M}$ for the simulated quasar sample. In each row, the left panel shows the input correlation in the basic sample, the other diagrams show the expected correlations in the “observed” simulated sample with density contours over-plotted. The two numbers close to the bottom of each panel are the slope ($s$) and the regression coefficient ($r$) from the linear regression (solid).
of these discrepancies seem to require an additional component that may affect particularly the observed properties from the FUV to X-rays. The approach suggested by DL11 and adopted in the present study to estimate $M$ is based on the optical luminosity, i.e., on a spectral region where the observed flux is less influenced by regions emitting the highest energy photons. Similarly, the discrepancy between the observed and the expected variability amplitudes is found at $\lambda \leq 1500 \text{ Å}$ whereas the average redshift $z = 1.24$ of our quasars guarantees that the measured variabilities refer to longer wavelengths, particularly for the high-throughput SDSS bands $g, r,$ and $i$. In other words, the variability data are mainly restricted to the intrinsic wavelength range where the observed $V - \lambda$ relation can be explained by the standard model. There remains, however, the problem that the observed time-scales seem to disfavour jumps of the AD from one stationary state to another as the major source of variability (Sect. 4). In the standard model, the relationship between $V_m$ and $M$ depends on the fluctuation spectrum of $M$ and on the wavelength. For simplicity, we simulated ADs where the variations $\delta M$ are scaled with the mean accretion rate $\dot{M}$, i.e., $\langle \delta M \rangle = 0 \ldots \Delta M_{\text{max}}$ where $\Delta M_{\text{max}} \propto M$. We found $\log V_m \propto \log M$ with $c = -0.15 \ldots -0.07$ for $\lambda = 2000 \ldots 4000 \text{ Å}$ whereas the observed variability shows much steeper relations with $c = -0.38 \ldots -0.34$ in the three high-throughput SDSS bands (Table 1).

Following e.g. Dexter & Agol (2011), we argued here that the global properties of the standard thin AD model may be basically correct so that $M$ from Eq. (5) can be used at least as a proxy for the real accretion rate. The strongly inhomogeneous AD model predicted by Dexter & Agol invokes a stochastic component that may explain both the observed stochastic nature and the time-scales of quasar variability. We argued (Sect. 4.3) that
the variability should be anti-correlated with the accretion rate for such a model, qualitatively in agreement with the results presented here.

6. Summary and conclusions

The main aims of this study were to estimate the accretion rates for a suitable sample of quasars and to search for correlations of the UV quasar variability with the fundamental parameters of the accretion process. We have compiled a catalogue of about 4000 quasars including individual variability estimators from Paper I (derived from the multi-epoch photometry in the SDSS Stripe 82) and both luminosities and virial black hole mass estimates $M$ from Shen et al. (2011). The selection was restricted to the redshift interval $0.7 \leq z \leq 1.7$ where the black hole masses are estimated from the Mg II line and the mass errors are believed to be smallest (Fig. 3). We computed the mass accretion rates $\dot{M}$ from the optical continuum luminosity $L_{\text{opt}}$ and the black hole mass $M$, where $L_{\text{opt}}$ was extrapolated from $L_{\text{3000}}$ adopting a power-law continuum with individually measured spectral indexes $\alpha_{\lambda}$. The analysis leads to the following conclusions:

1. The quasar composite spectra from FUV to NIR are in accordance with the predictions from the standard AD model (Fig. 1). On the other hand, the individually determined spectral slopes $\alpha_{\lambda}$ do not show the expected dependence on $\lambda$ (Fig. 6). This result qualitatively confirms earlier findings by Bonning et al. (2007) and by Davis et al. (2007).

2. The wavelength dependence of the strength of the variability, $V(\lambda)$, is in agreement with the predictions from the standard thin AD model for wavelengths longwards of the C IV line. At shorter wavelengths, the observed variability is stronger than predicted by the model (Fig. 7). In the standard model, variability is explained by sudden changes of the accretion rate, but it is well known that such an interpretation is faced with a time-scale problem (e.g. MacLeod et al. 2010).

3. Despite the problems with the standard AD, we argued here that the global properties of the model may be basically correct and that the model predictions can be used to estimate $M$. We applied the scaling relation from DL11 to derive $M$ from the optical luminosity and $M$. We found that $M$ is correlated with the Eddington ratio $\epsilon$, $L_{\text{bol}}$, but not significantly with $M$ (Fig. 4). We estimated the radiative efficiency $\eta$ assuming a constant bolometric correction and found an $\eta - M$ relation (Fig. 4) very similar to that one reported by DL11 (see also Laor & Davis 2011a) from their study of a smaller sample of lower-$z$ Palomar-Green quasars. We also found $\eta$ to be tightly anti-correlated with $\epsilon$. We studied these relations via simple numerical simulations and concluded that they can be explained as artificial caused mainly by the selection effects in combination with the mass errors. A broadly similar result was recently reported by Wu et al. (2013).

4. We confirmed the existence of significant anti-correlations of the variability estimator $V_m$ in the five SDSS bands with both $\epsilon$ and $L_{\text{bol}}$, but not with $M$ (Fig. 8; Table 1). Our study reveals also, for the first time, an anti-correlation of $V_m$ and $M$. Based on numerical simulations we argued that these anti-correlations are not produced by the selection effects. On the other hand, $L$, $\epsilon$, and $M$ correlate with each other and it is thus difficult to decide which of these quantities is intrinsically correlated with $V_m$ and which correlations of $V_m$ are produced by the $L-\epsilon-M$ relation. As the trend is strongest for $M$ one can speculate that the quasar UV variability is related primarily to the accretion rate. However, caution is required because the differences from the tests (correlation tests, KS test) do not strongly favour either of the three correlations. One also has to take into account that $L$ is directly observed whereas $\epsilon$ and $M$ are derived quantities with explicit dependence on $L$. An anti-correlation between $V_m$ and $M$ is qualitatively expected for the standard AD, but the expected slope of this relation is much weaker than the observed one and, even more important, such an explanation is faced with the time-scale problem (see above).

5. An anti-correlation between variability and accretion rate is qualitatively expected in the scenario of strongly inhomogeneous ADs. This concept provides an interesting modification of the standard AD model that has been shown to be able to explain some of the discrepancies between observations and predictions for the standard AD (Dexter & Agol 2011), including the stochastic nature of the variability. It would be interesting to see whether proper modelling can provide an adequate description of both the observed $V_m - M$ relation and the strong variability in the FUV.
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