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ABSTRACT

Aims. We study the impact of a subsolar metallicity on various properties of non-rotating and rotating stars, such as surface velocities and abundances, lifetimes, evolutionary tracks, and evolutionary scenarios.

Methods. We provide a grid of single star models covering a mass range of 0.8 to 120 $M_\odot$, with an initial metallicity $Z = 0.002$ with and without rotation. We discuss the impact of a change in the metallicity by comparing the current tracks with models computed with exactly the same physical ingredients but with a metallicity $Z = 0.014$ (solar).

Results. We show that the width of the main-sequence (MS) band in the upper part of the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram (HRD), for luminosity above $\log (L/L_\odot) > 5.5$, is very sensitive to rotational mixing. Strong mixing significantly reduces the MS width. Here for the first time over the whole mass range, we confirm that surface enrichments are stronger at low metallicity provided that the angular velocity are steeper, and thus the mixing of the chemical elements is more efficient.
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1. Introduction

Many physical properties of stars depend on the initial metallicity, such as the mass loss by stellar winds, the equation of state, the opacity, and the nuclear energy generation rate. In addition to these classical dependencies, some others may be recognised in the future. For instance, the initial angular momentum content of stars on the zero-age main-sequence (ZAMS), or the proportion of stars in close binary systems may somehow depend on the metallicity. Concerning the impact of rotation on the evolution of low-metallicity massive stars, several effects have already been found in previous works (e.g. Maeder & Meynet 2001; Meynet & Maeder 2002; Brott et al. 2011a; Yusof et al. 2013):

– At low metallicity, stars are more compact, the gradients of the angular velocity are steeper, and thus the mixing of the chemical elements is more efficient.

– Since the mass-loss rates by radiatively line-driven winds are weaker at low metallicity, less angular momentum is lost by this process at the surface, and therefore stars keep a larger amount of their initial angular momentum content in their interiors. The most massive stars thus show higher surface velocities than their more metal-rich siblings, which seems to be supported by observations (Martayan et al. 2007).

The effects mentioned above have been studied for stars more massive than about $9 M_\odot$. In the present work, we explore to what extent similar consequences are also attained in the low and intermediate mass range (see also Georgy et al. 2013). Although we focus here on single star models, the physics of rotation is also relevant for modelling stars in close binaries. Indeed, any close binary evolution model needs a well constrained theory for the effects of rotation. Single or non-interacting stars (which may be in binary systems) are certainly more appropriate to checking this physics than interacting binaries, where these effects are mixed with other ones.

* Evolutionary tracks are only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/qcat?J/A+A/558/A103
This grid is part of a larger database of stellar models. At the moment this database contains models for the metallicity $Z = 0.014$ (solar metallicity, Ekström et al. 2012, hereafter Paper I) computed with exactly the same physics. This grid thus offers an ideal comparison basis to discuss the impact of rotation at a lower metallicity.

The paper is structured as follows. The physical ingredients of the models are discussed in Sect. 2. The computed stellar models and the electronic tables, which we make publicly available via a web page, are presented in Sect. 3. The properties of the non-rotating (or initially slowly rotating) tracks are discussed in Sect. 4, while the rotating models are presented in Sect. 5. We briefly conclude in Sect. 6.

2. Physical ingredients of the models

The physical ingredients used in this paper are exactly the same as in Paper I, and are briefly summarised below. For the few cases where the change in the metallicity induced changes in the physics of our models, it is explicitly mentioned in the text.

The initial abundances of H, He, and metals are set to $X = 0.747$, $Y = 0.251$, and $Z = 0.002$ (see Georgy et al. 2013, for more details on how these quantities have been chosen). The mixture of heavy elements is assumed to be the same as in Paper I, the absolute abundances being just scaled to the metallicity considered here. This choice makes direct comparisons with the observed surface abundances of stars in the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC) a little tricky because in the SMC, the N/C and N/O ratios measured in HIII regions (considered to be equivalent to the initial abundances of stars recently formed in the SMC) are much lower than in the Sun (Heap et al. 2006; Hunter et al. 2009). We shall come back to this point in Sect. 5.3. For all other purposes (age determinations, studies of stellar populations in the SMC to mention just a few), the present tracks can be used without any restrictions.

The opacities are generated with the OPAL tool\footnote{\url{http://adg.llnl.gov/Research/OPAL/opal.html}} (based on Iglesias & Rogers 1996) for this particular mixture. They are complemented at low temperatures by the opacities from Ferguson et al. (2005) adapted for the high Ne abundance used in this work (Cunha et al. 2006).

Solar-type models with $M < 1.25 \, M_\odot$ are computed with the OPAL equation of state (EOS, Rogers & Nayfonov 2002). For the higher mass models, the EOS is that of a mixture of perfect gas and radiation, and accounts for partial ionisation in the outermost layers, as in Schaller et al. (1992), and for the partial degeneracy in the interior in the advanced stages.

The nuclear reaction rates are the same as in Paper I. They are taken mainly from the Nacre database (Angulo et al. 1999), although some have been re-determined more recently and updated (see details in Paper I). The models of massive stars ($M > 9 \, M_\odot$) were computed by incorporating the NeNa-MgAl cycle. The energy loss in plasma, pair, and photo-neutrons processes are taken from Itoh et al. (1989, 1996).

The convective zones are determined with the Schwarzschild criterion. For the H- and He-burning phases, the radius of the convective core is extended with an overshoot parameter $d_{\text{ov}} = 0.1 \, H_P$ for $1.7 \, M_\odot$ and above, $0.05 \, H_P$ between 1.25 and $1.5 \, M_\odot$, and 0 below, where $H_P$ is the pressure scale height. To avoid an extension of the core greater than 10%, the extension of the radius is limited to $R_{\odot}(1 + d_{\text{ov}}/H_P)$ in case $H_P$ is greater than the radius of the convective core $R_{\odot}$ (without overshooting).

The value of the overshoot parameter was calibrated in the mass domain $1.35 - 9 \, M_\odot$ at solar metallicity to ensure that the rotating models closely reproduce the observed width of the main sequence (MS) band. In convective zones, due to the very short turn-over time compared to the nuclear timescale, the chemical mixing is assumed to be instantaneous. Moreover, the convective zones are supposed to rotate as a solid body.

In the low-mass stars range ($M \leq 1.25 \, M_\odot$), the outer convective zone is treated according to the mixing length theory, with a solar calibrated value for the mixing-length parameter $\alpha_{\text{MLT}} = \ell/H_P = 1.6467$, $\ell$ being the mixing length, see e.g. Kippenhahn & Weigert (1990). For stars with $M > 1.25 \, M_\odot$, the difference in the EOS implies a slightly lower value for this parameter: $\alpha_{\text{MLT}} \approx 1.6$.

For the most luminous models, the turbulence pressure and acoustic flux need to be included in the treatment of the envelope. As in Schaller et al. (1992), this is done according to Maeder (1987, see also Maeder 2009, Sect. 5.5), using a mixing length taken on the density scale: $\alpha_{\text{MLT}} = \ell/H_\rho = \ell/(\alpha - \text{df})/H_\rho = 1$. The use of $H_\rho$ instead of $H_P$ removes the risk of having an unphysical density inversion in the envelope (Stothers & Chin 1973). The side effect of this treatment is that the redwards extension of the tracks in the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram (HRD) is reduced by 0.1–0.2 dex in $T_{\text{eff}}$ (see Maeder & Meynet 1987). For this reason, we restrict the use of $H_\rho$ only to the models with $M \geq 40 \, M_\odot$, which naturally do not extend to the extreme red part of the HR diagram.

Atomic diffusion due to concentration and thermal gradients is included for low-mass models exhibiting an effective temperature lower than a given value on the ZAMS. This limit is fixed to an effective temperature on the ZAMS of 6300 K, which corresponds to the limit of 1.25 $M_\odot$ used for the computation of the grid at solar metallicity (Paper I) and to 1 $M_\odot$ in this work. However, the radiative acceleration is neglected in our computations. This is well justified for low-mass stellar models with extended convective envelopes (Turcotte et al. 1998). For stars with shallow convective envelopes, when only atomic diffusion due to concentration and thermal gradients is included in the computation, helium and heavy elements are rapidly drained out of the envelope leading to surface abundances in obvious contradiction with spectroscopic observations. This indicates that another transport mechanism needs to be introduced for these models (radiative acceleration, rotational mixing, etc.) to counteract the effects of diffusion due to concentration and thermal gradients in the external stellar layers (see for instance Fig. 10 of Carrier et al. 2005). In the present models, the diffusion due to concentration and thermal gradients is thus simply not included for these stars and models more massive than a given limit are then computed without atomic diffusion. This is also motivated by recalling that for more massive stars the typical timescale associated to atomic diffusion becomes rapidly much longer than the MS lifetime.

Magnetic braking of the stellar surface is taken into account for models having an effective temperature on the ZAMS lower than 7900 K, which corresponds to the limit of 1.7 $M_\odot$ used at solar metallicity (Paper I) and to 1.35 $M_\odot$ in this work. This braking is taken into account using a solar calibrated value for the braking constant.

The treatment of rotation is the same as in Paper I and accounts for the transport of the angular momentum and chemical species by the meridional currents and shear turbulence. Models are assumed to be in a shellular rotation state, i.e. each shell rotates uniformly at all latitudes. For the rotating models, we started with a solid-body rotation on the ZAMS. The justification of this choice is that meridional circulation will
adjust the rotational profile to an equilibrium profile (Zahn 1992; Denissenkov et al. 1999; Meynet & Maeder 2000) in a short timescale compared to stellar evolution, so that we expect the effects of the previous evolution to be rapidly cancelled. Interestingly, we show in Sect. 5.2 that indeed the rotational profile on the ZAMS resulting from a fully consistent computation of the pre-main-sequence phase (PMS) with accretion is very near from a flat rotational profile, providing thus an additional justification for starting with a solid-body rotation. Advection is followed during the MS. In later stages, because of the shortest evolution time scale, it has no time to efficiently transport angular momentum, and we thus account for it in its diffusion approximation, which gains in computational simplicity and efficiency. Our models do not take the effects of transport due to internal magnetic field into account.

Similarly, the mass-loss rates are implemented as in Paper II. The only difference is that we accounted for a metallicity dependence of the mass loss rates with the initial metallicity according to the following rules:

- during the MS phase and blue supergiant phase, for stars more massive than 7 $M_\odot$, we use a scaling of the mass-loss rates with the metallicity of the form $\dot{M}(Z) = (Z/Z_\odot)^{\alpha} M(Z_\odot)$. The parameter $\alpha$ is set to 0.85 when the Vink et al. (2001) mass-loss rate is used, and to 0.5 when the de Jager et al. (1988) mass-loss rate is used;
- for stars with effective temperatures lower than 3700 K (red giants and supergiants) no metallicity dependence of the mass-loss rates is applied. Indeed, according to van Loon et al. (2005) and Groenewegen (2012a,b) the metallicity dependence of the mass-loss rates for these stars do appear weak at best;
- during the Wolf-Rayet (WR) phase, we follow the metallicity dependence proposed by Eldridge & Vink (2006), with $M(Z) = (Z/Z_\odot)^{0.66} M(Z_\odot)$.

3. The stellar models and electronic tables
We present models of 0.8, 0.9, 1, 1.1, 1.25, 1.35, 1.5, 1.7, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 12, 15, 20, 25, 32, 40, 60, 85, and 120 $M_\odot$. For each mass, we computed both a rotating and a non-rotating model.

The rotating models start on the ZAMS with a value of $V_{\text{ini}}/V_{\text{crit}} = 0.4^2$. This choice is based on the peak of the velocity distribution of young solar-metallicity B stars in Huang et al. (2010), see their Fig. 6. As already recalled above (see also Maeder & Meynet 2001), at low metallicity, stars are more compact and have a higher critical velocity at a given mass. Therefore, a given value of $V_{\text{ini}}/V_{\text{crit}}$ on the ZAMS corresponds to a higher rotational velocity during the MS phase. This initial rotation rate corresponds to a mean MS velocity between 150 and 320 km s$^{-1}$ for the stars that are not magnetically braked ($M_\text{ini} \geq 1.7 M_\odot$). The corresponding velocity obtained in our previous grid at solar metallicity lies between 110 and 220 km s$^{-1}$.

In the high mass range, this velocity is higher than the peak of the observed velocity distribution of MS stars in the SMC, which is around 150–180 km s$^{-1}$ for unevolved O-type stars.

2 Note however that in contrast with the Z case, the increased mass-loss rates we use during the RSG phase for stars more massive than 20 $M_\odot$ has only a negligible effect in this work due to the very short duration of the RSG phase (see below).

3 The critical velocity is defined as $V_{\text{crit}} = \left(\frac{4GM}{R_{\odot}}\right)^{1/2}$ with $R_{\odot}$ the polar radius at the break-up velocity (see Maeder & Meynet 2000).

4 See the web page http://obswww.unige.ch/Recherche/evol/-Database- or the CDS database at http://vizier.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/VizieR-2

4. Properties of the non-rotating models
In this section, we compare the present non-rotating tracks at $Z = 0.002$ shown in Fig. 1 with the corresponding non-rotating models computed at $Z = 0.014$ presented in Paper I. Many of the effects described below have already been discussed in previous works (see e.g. the review by Chiosi & Maeder 1986; Bertelli et al. 2008). We note the following differences between these two sets of models (going from the upper to the lower part of the HRD):

- In the present grid, the MS band widens in the upper part of the HRD and reaches its greatest extension for the highest mass of our sample, the 120 $M_\odot$. At solar metallicity, the MS band widens between 40 and 120 $M_\odot$, with a maximal extension at around 60 $M_\odot$. The widening is linked to the efficiency of stellar winds. If the winds are strong and the mass lost during the MS is significant, the mass fraction of the
core increases with respect to the total mass (like an overshoot would do). However if the mass loss is still stronger and sufficient to remove a significant part of the H-rich envelope and keep the star in a blue position in the HRD, the MS width shrinks back. These effects produce the elbow appearing in different mass ranges depending on the strength of the stellar winds. At $Z_{\odot}$, the shrinking occurs for stars with $M_{\text{ini}} > 60 M_{\odot}$. At low $Z$, mass-loss rates are globally weaker, hence only the widening occurs in the highest masses range.

- The non-rotating tracks at $Z = 0.002$ give a maximum luminosity for the red supergiants (RSGs) around 5.7, the same value as the $Z = 0.014$ non-rotating models. However, the value of 5.7 at $Z = 0.002$ is obtained by the $40 M_{\odot}$ model that spend only a very short time as a RSG (about 1000 yr). Thus the effective upper luminosity is rather in the range of 5.5 corresponding to the luminosity reached by the $32 M_{\odot}$ stellar model during its RSG phase (which lasts 45 000 yr).

- Models with $M_{\text{ini}} \geq 40 M_{\odot}$ at $Z = 0.002$ evolve back to the blue after a luminous blue variable (LBV) or a RSG phase. The corresponding inferior mass limit for evolving back to the blue is $25 M_{\odot}$ for the non-rotating solar metallicity models. As a consequence of weaker stellar winds, this mass limit...
is increased at low Z. We shall discuss this point in more details in Sect. 5.1.

- Extended blue loops, crossing the Cepheid instability strip\(^5\), occur for masses between 3 and 9 \(M_\odot\) at \(Z = 0.002\). At solar metallicity, the mass range presenting such a blue loop lies between 5 and 9 \(M_\odot\). The corresponding luminosity ranges are \(2.5 \leq \log(L/L_\odot) \leq 4.25\) at \(Z = 0.002\) and \(2.75 \leq \log(L/L_\odot) \leq 4.25\) at \(Z_0\).

- The tip of the red giant branch at \(Z = 0.002\), i.e. the luminosity at which the He-flash occurs is around \(\log(L/L_\odot) = 3.5\) for stars in the mass range between 1.35 and 1.7 \(M_\odot\), slightly more luminous than at solar metallicity (around 3.4).

- As already found in previous non-rotating models (e.g. Schaller et al. 1992), central He-burning at low metallicity occurs mostly in the blue part of the HRD, in contrast with what happens at solar metallicity.

In Fig. 1, the colour code indicates the ratio of the nitrogen to the hydrogen number abundance at the surface of the stars, on a logarithmic scale (the initial value is \(\log(N/H) + 12 = 6.95\)). No change in the surface abundances is expected during the MS phase for all the stars considered here. For masses below about 40 \(M_\odot\), the changes in the surface abundances occur after the star has passed through the RSG or red giant stage (first dredge-up). At solar metallicity, stars with \(M_{\text{ini}} > 60 M_\odot\) show some nitrogen enhancements already at the end of the MS phase because of the strong mass loss.

Figure 2 compares the tracks at \(Z = 0.002\) and 0.014 of a few selected models \((M_{\text{ini}} = 1, 5, 9,\) and 20 \(M_\odot\), dotted lines for the non-rotating models). As it is well known, low-metallicity tracks are shifted to the blue and to a higher luminosity with respect to tracks at higher \(Z\). This behaviour is the result of the combination of two effects: first the opacity effect, and second the CNO effect. The opacity effect is the decrease of the opacity in the outer layers when the metallicity decreases. This favours a higher luminosity. At low \(Z\), the lower initial CNO abundances force the star to reach a higher central temperature and a higher central density than at high \(Z\) to get a given energy generation rate through CNO burning. This makes the star more compact.

The opacity effect is the main driver for the shift in effective temperature and luminosity of the 1 \(M_\odot\). Indeed, for that mass, the abundances of the CNO elements do not have a significant effect on the energy generation since the energy is mainly produced through the pp-chains. In contrast, in the 20 \(M_\odot\) model the opacity is dominated by the free electron scattering process, with only a slight dependence in the metallicity, while the CNO abundances play the most influential role.

For the 1 \(M_\odot\) model, the change in metallicity does not produce a significant change in the radius. At \(Z = 0.014\), the radius on the ZAMS is 0.894 \(R_\odot\), while it is slightly larger, 0.899 \(R_\odot\) at \(Z = 0.002\). For the more massive models shown in Fig. 2, the stars on the ZAMS are more compact at \(Z = 0.002\) than at 0.014. Typically, the radius of the 5 \(M_\odot\) stellar model at that stage is equal to 2.42 \(R_\odot\) at \(Z = 0.014\) and to 1.91 \(R_\odot\) at \(Z = 0.002\), i.e. 21% smaller. For the 20 \(M_\odot\) model, the corresponding radii are 5.30 \(R_\odot\) at \(Z = 0.014\) and 4.56 \(R_\odot\) at \(Z = 0.002\). The decrease amounts to 14%.

The size of the convective core depends also on the metallicity, and is regulated by two mechanisms. On the one hand, lower opacities allow the radiation to escape more easily, reducing the size of the convective core. On the other hand, a higher central temperature induces that the energy production is more concentrated near the core, favouring convection. On the ZAMS, all our models between 0.8 and 20 \(M_\odot\) at \(Z = 0.002\) have a larger core than at solar metallicity. Above 25 \(M_\odot\), the initial size of the convective core is the same between both metallicities. During the subsequent evolution, the mass of the convective core is always larger at \(Z = 0.002\) than at \(Z = 0.014\) (for the high mass range, this is due to the fact that mass loss is much stronger at solar metallicity, tending to reduce the size of the core).

For the post-MS evolution, three features are worth noting:

1. the blue loops appearing along the tracks for the 5 and 9 \(M_\odot\) are more extended at \(Z = 0.002\) than at \(Z = 0.014\),
2. for a given initial mass, the loop occurs at a higher luminosity,
3. the positions of the red giant branch and of the RSG are shifted towards the blue. All these features have already been obtained and discussed in previous works (Schaller et al. 1992; Stothers & Chin 1973; Girardi et al. 2000).

5. Properties of the rotating models

5.1. Hertzsprung-Russell diagram and lifetimes

The tracks in the HRD for all the rotating models are presented in Fig. 3, with lines of iso-surface velocities drawn across the diagram. Compared to Fig. 1, we note the following differences with respect to the non-rotating models:

- There is no longer any widening of the MS band occurring above 60 \(M_\odot\) as in the non-rotating case. This is a consequence of the rotational mixing that prevents any significant redwards evolution during the MS phase by reducing the opacity in the outer layers. This shows that in this upper mass range \((M > 60 M_\odot)\), the MS width is very sensitive to rotational mixing, a sensitivity which was also found at solar metallicity.

- All models with \(M_{\text{ini}} \leq 40 M_\odot\) evolve to the red part of the HR diagram (typically below \(\log(T_{\text{eff}}) \sim 3.7\)). However the duration of the RSG phase for the 40 and 32 \(M_\odot\) is quite short, 2500 and 1760 yr, respectively. The RSG lifetime for the 25 \(M_\odot\) is 12 600 yr, and 162 500 yr for the 20 \(M_\odot\). Thus, the maximum luminosity of rotating RSGs is in the range \(\log(L/L_\odot) = 5.3-5.5\), similar to non-rotating models. This value closely agrees with the upper limit given by Levesque et al. (2006) as can be seen in Fig. 4. The position of the RSG branch also well reproduces the observations from Davies et al. (2013).

- Rotating models with \(M_{\text{ini}} \geq 32 M_\odot\) evolve back to the blue after a RSG phase or an LBV phase. The corresponding mass limit for non-rotating models is 40 \(M_\odot\) so slightly above the rotating ones.

- Extended blue loops, crossing the Cepheid instability strip occur for masses between 3 and 7 \(M_\odot\) (corresponding to a luminosity range between 2.75 \(\leq \log(L/L_\odot) \leq 4\)). The blue-wards extension is similar or slightly wider than for the non-rotating models. The 9 \(M_\odot\) rotating model seems to jump directly on the tip of a blue loop starting from a blue position in the HRD.

- The tip of the red giant branch does not appear to be very different in the rotating models. We must stress here that not all the models have been computed strictly up to the He-flash, preventing us from reaching more firm conclusions. The above assessment relies on the case of the 1.7 \(M_\odot\) model that was computed up to that stage.

---

\(^5\) We take the limits of the instability strip given by Tammann et al. (2003).
Fig. 2. Comparisons of evolutionary tracks with and without rotation for models with $M_{\text{ini}} = 1, 5, 9, \text{ and } 20\, M_\odot$ at respectively $Z = 0.002$ (red) and $0.014$ (blue). The solid lines are for rotating models, the dotted ones for non-rotating models.

– Changes in the surface abundances of rotating models occur at a much earlier evolutionary stage than in non-rotating ones of similar initial mass. This point is discussed in more detail in Sect. 5.3.

Figure 2 (already commented in Sect. 4) compares also the rotating tracks (solid lines). We have to keep in mind that for the $1\, M_\odot$, the two models for the two metallicities start their evolution on the ZAMS with the same equatorial velocity (50 km s$^{-1}$). For the more massive models shown in Fig. 2, the models start on the ZAMS with the same ratio $V/V_{\text{crit}} = 0.40$. At $Z = 0.014$, this value implies an initial equatorial velocity equal to 219, 248 and 274 km s$^{-1}$ for the 5, 9, and $20\, M_\odot$ models, respectively. At $Z = 0.002$, a slightly higher velocity is obtained: 228 (+4%), 255 (3%) and 305 (11%) km s$^{-1}$ for the 5, 9, and $20\, M_\odot$, respectively.

For the initial velocity considered here, the track of the $1\, M_\odot$ is nearly unchanged by rotation at $Z = 0.014$ and at $Z = 0.002$. For the higher masses, we find that at the end of the MS phase, the rotating tracks reach a higher luminosity. This comes from the more massive helium core and the more He-rich envelope resulting of rotational mixing (Meynet & Maeder 2000; Brott et al. 2011a, and Paper I). However, this result depends strongly on the prescription used for the treatment of rotation (see Chieffi & Limongi 2013). Figure 6 shows the evolution of the mass of the convective core as a function of time inside the 5 and $9\, M_\odot$ models. Rotation increases the mass of the H-burning convective core and thus the MS lifetime. On the other hand, the mass of the
He-burning core is less affected by rotation. The core He-burning lifetime is also barely changed by rotation. The comparison of the size of the convective core between the rotating models at $Z = 0.002$ and $Z_\odot$ leads to the same conclusion as in the non-rotating case.

For the 5 and 9 $M_\odot$ models at both metallicities, rotation does not change the extension in effective temperature of the blue loop, but shifts it to a higher luminosity. The rotating 20 $M_\odot$ model at $Z_\odot$ evolves back to the blue after the RSG stage, while the similar model at $Z = 0.002$ ends its evolution in the red (like the non-rotating one) because of the lower mass loss.

As shown in Fig. 5 (red solid line), an initial rotation of $V_{ini}/V_{crit} = 0.40$ enhances the time spent on the MS by about 20%. The increase in the MS lifetime is caused by rotational mixing, which refuels the core in fresh hydrogen. It is striking to see how relatively constant the increase in the MS lifetime appears to be over the whole mass range. This illustrates the fact that choosing the same value of $V_{ini}/V_{crit}$ for all the masses is equivalent to consider more or less similar strengths for the effects of rotation on all the initial mass models. This was already noted on the basis of the $Z = 0.014$ models and is observed to be true at $Z = 0.002$ too.

The MS lifetime for $M_{ini} \geq 10 M_\odot$ are nearly the same for both metallicities (see the blue line in Fig. 5). The models in this mass range have a slightly shorter MS lifetime at $Z = 0.002$ than at $Z = 0.014$ but the difference is in general less than 10%.
Fig. 4. Location of the RSGs in the SMC from Levesque et al. (2006; red circles) and from Davies et al. (2013; green squares). The evolutionary tracks are the rotating models from the present work. The dotted lines show some isoradius locations.

Fig. 5. Ratio of the MS lifetime durations. Comparison between the present work rotating and non-rotating models (red curve), and comparison between the present work’s rotating and the Paper I models (blue curve).

The surface velocity and its evolution are depicted by the lines of iso-velocities drawn across the diagram in Fig. 3 and the data given in Table 2. Figure 8 presents the evolution of the equatorial velocity (left) during the MS for all models, and that of the \( V_{eq}/V_{crit} \) ratio (right) for a few selected models \( (M_{ini} = 1.7, 15, \) and \( 60 \, M_\odot) \) at \( Z = 0.002 \) and \( Z = 0.014 \).

Since we computed models with identical initial \( V_{eq}/V_{crit} \) ratio, the initial equatorial velocity varies with the mass considered: the higher the mass, the higher is the equatorial velocity needed to attain a given ratio. In Fig. 8 (left), this is clearly visible: the 1.7 \( M_\odot \) model draws the bottom black line, starting its MS evolution with \( V_{eq} = 201 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1} \), while the most massive 120 \( M_\odot \) model draws the upper red line on the ZAMS, starting its MS evolution with \( V_{eq} = 438 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1} \).

We bring our models onto the ZAMS assuming solid-body rotation, an assumption that is no longer made after the ZAMS. As soon as we allow for differential rotation, a quick readjustment of the \( \Omega \)-profile occurs inside the model, which explains the rapid drop in equatorial velocity at the very beginning of the evolution (Denissenkov et al. 1999). One may wonder whether the solid-body assumption is justified and if that drop is in any way physical. To test this hypothesis, we computed the PMS evolution of a 9 \( M_\odot \) at \( Z = 0.002 \) with accretion and rotation. For this model, we adopt the same mass-accretion law as the one adopted in Behrend & Maeder (2001) and we assume that the angular momentum of the accreted mass is the same as the angular momentum at the surface of the accreting object. We start from an initial hydrostatic core of 0.7 \( M_\odot \) on the Hayashi line. Since at that stage the star is completely convective, we assume that the object rotates as a solid body. When through mass accretion 9 \( M_\odot \) have accumulated onto the star, accretion is stopped and the PMS object evolves at constant mass towards the ZAMS. In this model we do not consider any disk-locking effect during that contraction phase. We choose the initial rotation of the accreting core in such a way that on the ZAMS the total angular momentum of the two models, i.e. the one used as starting condition for the present grid and the one resulting from the modelling of the PMS phase, are the same.
Fig. 6. Comparisons of the evolution of the mass of the convective core during the H- and He-burning phases inside the 5 $M_\odot$ (left panels) and 9 $M_\odot$ models (right panels). The solid (dotted) lines are for rotating (non-rotating) models, respectively. The upper panels show the $Z = 0.014$ models, the lower ones the $Z = 0.002$ models.

The variation of $\Omega$ inside the two models is shown in the inset of Fig. 9. By construction the model used as a starting point for the present grid shows a flat rotational profile, while the one resulting from the modelling of the PMS phase shows a very shallow gradient, with a ratio of the central to surface angular velocity equal to 1.24.

To ascertain however that the small differential rotation obtained in the model with the computed PMS does not affect the evolution during the MS phase, we pursued the evolution of this model during the MS phase. After a small duration corresponding to 5% of the MS duration, we see that the rotation profile of the model started with a flat profile is already relaxed and does not differ significantly any more from the model computed with rotation during the whole PMS phase. The evolutionary tracks are very similar. The evolution of the surface abundances, the lifetimes, the evolution of the surface velocity and of the internal distribution of angular momentum are very similar in the two models. Concerning the drop in the surface velocity at the very beginning of the MS, the model computed with the fully-consistent PMS phase presents also a similar feature, showing that there is indeed a structural readjustment at the beginning of the MS. However, the drop is less deep in this model (9%) than in the one brought on the ZAMS with solid-body rotation (20%).

Thus this computation supports the view that starting with a solid body rotation on the ZAMS is well justified. It must however be emphasised that this question should be investigated for
other initial masses, other values of the initial rotation, probably also for other accretion laws for the mass and the angular momentum (Haemmerlé et al. 2013).

Once the equilibrium profile is reached, the equatorial velocity evolves under the action of the internal transport mechanisms (which tend to bring angular momentum from the contracting core towards the external layers, spinning up the stellar surface) and mass loss (which removes angular momentum from the surface, spinning down the stellar surface).

The tracks can be classified in three families:

1. the models with $M_{\text{ini}}$ between 20 and 120 $M_\odot$ undergo a significant braking due to mass loss at the end of the MS phase. The higher the mass, the earlier the braking starts and the more efficient it is;
2. the models with $M_{\text{ini}}$ between 1.7 and 15 $M_\odot$ present no important change of their surface velocity once the first readjustment has operated. This illustrates, as already indicated above, that in the absence of strong stellar winds, the surface continuously receives angular momentum transported by the meridional currents, and to a smaller extent by shears. Were this not the case, the surface would slow down simply as a result of its inflation and the local conservation of the angular momentum;
3. the models with $M_{\text{ini}} \leq 1.5 M_\odot$ have $\tilde{V}_{\text{MS}}$ much lower than $V_{\text{ini}}$, but very similar to the velocity at the end of the MS phase. This is the result of the very rapid braking exerted by the magnetic fields at the beginning of the evolution.

Note that none of the present models reach the critical velocity during their lifetime. At every moment the $V_{\text{eq}}/V_{\text{crit}}$ ratio remains below or around 0.5 (see Fig. 8, right panel).

The comparison of the evolution of the $V_{\text{eq}}/V_{\text{crit}}$ ratio at different metallicities (see right panel of Fig. 8) shows that for the 1.7 and 15 $M_\odot$ models the change in metallicity does not bring any significant changes, while important differences, due to the effects of stronger mass-loss rates at $Z = 0.014$ than at $Z = 0.002$ are visible for the 60 $M_\odot$ models.

After the MS phase, the surface velocity rapidly decreases during the crossing of the Hertzsprung gap for all the models (see the lines of iso-velocities drawn across the diagram in Fig. 3 and the data given in Table 2).

5.3. Evolution of the surface abundances

A comparison between Figs 1 and 3, where the colour map indicates various levels of nitrogen surface enrichments, shows how rotation greatly extends the portions of the tracks where a nitrogen enhancement occurs. As already discussed in Maeder et al. (2009), the enrichment level depends both on the initial mass (higher at higher mass) and on the age (higher at more advanced stages). This trend is valid down to 1.7 $M_\odot$. Below this mass, magnetic braking, by enforcing a large gradient in the rotational velocity in the outer layers, boosts the mixing of the chemical species (see also Meynet et al. 2011) and produces the peak seen in the low mass range in Fig. 13. As mentioned in Paper I, the magnetic braking might be overestimated in these low-mass models, since the resulting enrichment is higher than expected in this mass domain. A weaker magnetic braking would probably allow the models to closely fit both the surface velocity and the enrichment levels that are observed in stars of this mass range. The present models, however, give the solution obtained when a solar magnetic braking law is applied to stars with $M_{\text{ini}} = 1 - 1.5 M_\odot$.

At the end of the MS phase, the $[\text{N}/\text{H}]$ are increased by more than a factor of 3 with respect to the initial ratio at the surface of all rotating stars with $M_{\text{ini}} > 2.5 M_\odot$, while in the corresponding non-rotating models, this ratio has kept the same value as on the ZAMS.

In Fig. 10, we compare the surface nitrogen enrichment in models with $M_{\text{ini}} = 3 - 9 M_\odot$ at $Z = 0.002$ and 0.014. The nitrogen enrichment is higher at $Z = 0.002$ than at $Z = 0.014$, a result that is in conformity with previous works (Meynet & Maeder 2000; Brott et al. 2011a).

The models have the same value of $V_{\text{ini}}/V_{\text{crit}} = 0.4$, so the higher enrichment comes from the mixing being more efficient at low metallicity. The causes are twofold:

- the stars are more compact at low $Z$, thus for similar values of the diffusion coefficients, the mixing timescale is shorter since it scales with the square of the radius;
- the shear diffusion coefficient, which is the main responsible for the mixing of chemical species, is higher at a given radius in the models at $Z = 0.002$ than at $Z = 0.014$ (see Fig. 11).

The enrichment obtained in the present models can be compared with the one observed by Hunter et al. (2009) at the surface of B-type stars in the SMC. Figure 12 present all the stars listed in their Table 2 that are observed in the directions of the two SMC clusters NGC 346 and NGC 330, and for which an estimate for the nitrogen to hydrogen ratio is given. We did not consider here the stars for which only an upper limit for this quantity is given, since they represent a poor constraint on the models. Using Fig. 12, we assign to each observed star a value for its initial mass. Using that value, we plot in the plane $M_{\text{ini}}$ versus $\Delta \log (\text{N/H})$ the position of the observed stars (Fig. 13) and compare them with the predictions of the present models.

Note that the enrichment obtained at the surface of the $Z = 0.002$ models do not result from a calibration of the diffusion coefficients. The enrichment is the one predicted by applying the $\Delta \log (\text{N/H})$ is the logarithm of the N/H ratio normalised to the initial one.
Fig. 8. Evolution of rotation on the MS as a function of the central mass fraction of hydrogen for all the stellar models from 1.7 to 120 $M_\odot$. Left: evolution of the equatorial velocity. The curve for the 1.7 $M_\odot$ is the bottom black line at the beginning of the evolution, and that for the 120 $M_\odot$ the upper red line (increasing initial equatorial velocity for increasing mass). Right: evolution of the $V_{eq}/V_{crit}$ ratio for models with $M_{ini}=1.7, 15$, and 60 $M_\odot$ at two different metallicities.

Fig. 9. Evolution of a rotating 9 $M_\odot$ model at $Z=0.002$ computed with a fully-consistent PMS evolution with accretion (blue solid line), compared to the track of the corresponding model of the present work (started on the ZAMS with a flat rotational profile, red dashed line). The black square shows the point where the accretion is stopped. The open square indicates the ZAMS. The dotted lines are isoradius lines. The inserted panel compares the $\Omega$-profile as a function of the Lagrangian mass inside the two models on the ZAMS (top) and after 5% of the MS (bottom).

When comparing the theoretical curves with the observation points, a preliminary remark must be made: our models have been computed with scaled solar abundances for the heavy elements. This means that the initial values for the ratios $C/N$ and $O/N$ (in mass fractions) are 3.5 and 8.7, respectively. According to Hunter et al. (2009), the corresponding observed ratios of the HII regions in the SMC (expected to be equal to the composition same physics as the one used for the $Z=0.014$ models (and calibrated\(^7\) for this metallicity only).

\(^7\) The $Z_\odot$ models were calibrated in the sense that they were computed with a shear diffusion coefficient set for reproducing the averaged observed chemical enrichments of B-type stars rotating with an average surface velocities.

When comparing the theoretical curves with the observation points, a preliminary remark must be made: our models have been computed with scaled solar abundances for the heavy elements. This means that the initial values for the ratios $C/N$ and $O/N$ (in mass fractions) are 3.5 and 8.7, respectively. According to Hunter et al. (2009), the corresponding observed ratios of the HII regions in the SMC (expected to be equal to the composition
and the blue one for the models at the center of the MS phase. The red curve is for the model at $Z = 0.002$ and the blue one for the models at $Z = 0.014$ (from Paper I).

The observational points are SMC stars observed by Hunter et al. (2007; blue squares: MS stars; red circles: post-MS stars). Typical error bars are indicated on the bottom-right corner: 0 blue squares: MS stars; red circles: post-MS stars). Typical errorbars are used (see text). The observational points are from the same stars as in Fig. 12. The big cross in square (circle) shows the mean observed values for the MS (post-MS) stars. The error bars on the values of $\Delta \log (N/H)$ are between 0.2 and 0.3 dex (see Table 2 in Hunter et al. 2009).

Fig. 11. Variation of the shear diffusion coefficient inside the 9 $M_\odot$ at the middle of the MS phase. The red curve is for the model at $Z = 0.002$ and the blue one for the models at $Z = 0.014$ (from Paper I).

Fig. 12. Evolutionary tracks in the log($g$) vs. log ($T_{\text{eff}}$) plane for the 7, 9, 12, 15, 20, and 25 $M_\odot$ rotating models (from right to left) at $Z = 0.002$. The observational points are SMC stars observed by Hunter et al. (2007; blue squares: MS stars; red circles: post-MS stars). Typical errorbars are indicated on the bottom-right corner: 0.2 dex in $g_{\text{crit}}$ and 1000 K in $T_{\text{eff}}$ (corresponding to the smallest error shown at log ($T_{\text{eff}}$) = 4.6 and to the biggest one at log ($T_{\text{eff}}$) = 4.0.

of the complete processing of carbon and oxygen into nitrogen, the maximum value of $\Delta \log (N/H)$ in our models would be 1.12, while it would be 1.6 in the observed stars. Of course these are extreme values in the sense that to be obtained, the material should have undergone a complete CNO processing. The data points we compare our models to do not correspond to such an extreme case, since the material processed in the stellar interior is partially mixed with that of the envelope, whose composition has not been affected by the CNO cycle. But we expect that the enrichment we obtain in our models underestimate the one obtained in the SMC stars, because of this difference in the initial composition.

We now focus on the subset of stars for which the position in the log($g$) versus log($T_{\text{eff}}$) plane identifies them as MS stars (the blue squares in Figs. 12 and 13). We computed for the observed sample an average initial mass $\langle M_{\text{ini}} \rangle = 13.3 M_\odot$, an averaged $\langle \Delta \log (N/H) \rangle = 0.73$, and an averaged $\langle V_{\text{eq}} \rangle = \langle V \sin(i) \rangle 4/\pi = 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. For the same initial mass, our models obtain $\langle \Delta \log (N/H) \rangle = 0.60$ for $\langle V_{\text{eq}} \rangle = 220 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. If we do the same exercise for stars beyond the MS phase, we obtain for the observations $\langle M_{\text{ini}} \rangle = 12.3 M_\odot$, $\langle \Delta \log (N/H) \rangle = 0.98$, and $\langle V_{\text{eq}} \rangle = 60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The models give for this initial mass $\langle \Delta \log (N/H) \rangle = 0.77$, and $\langle V_{\text{eq}} \rangle$ between 50 and 100 km s$^{-1}$ (see Fig. 3). Thus the present models provide nitrogen enrichments which are slightly below the observed ones, but at such a level that the difference can be explained by the differences in the assumptions made for the initial compositions and/or velocity. To check this point, we computed a rotating 15 $M_\odot$ with $V_{\text{ini}}/V_{\text{crit}} = 0.4$ using for the initial composition the one measured for the SMC (see for instance Hunter et al. 2009; Brott et al. 2011a,b). In that case the values of $\Delta \log (N/H)$ obtained at the middle and the end of the MS are 0.92 and 1.15, respectively, i.e. they are shifted by slightly more than a factor of two with respect to the enrichment obtained at the same stage when scaled solar initial abundances for the heavy elements are used. Using smaller initial rotations, more in line with the observed velocities in the sample of Hunter et al. (2009) should provide a reasonable fit to the observations.

Fig. 13. Variation of the nitrogen enrichment as a function of the initial mass for the present rotating models (blue solid line: middle of the MS; red solid line: end of the MS). The short dashed lines show the value of $\Delta \log (N/H)$ for a 15 $M_\odot$ model when initial abundances for the SMC are used (see text). The observational points are from the same stars as in Fig. 12. The big cross in square (circle) shows the mean observed values for the MS (post-MS) stars. The error bars on the values of $\Delta \log (N/H)$ are between 0.2 and 0.3 dex (see Table 2 in Hunter et al. 2009).
Fig. 14. Durations of the BSG (blue region) and RSG (red region) phases as well as of the WR phase (purple region) for stars as a function of the initial mass. Left panels: $Z = 0.002$; right panels: $Z = 0.014$. Top panels: non-rotating models; bottom panels: rotating models.

Fig. 15. Profiles of hydrogen (in mass fraction, dotted lines) and of helium (solid lines) as a function of the Lagrangian mass coordinate inside a $15 \, M_\odot$ model at $Z = 0.002$ with $V_{ini}/V_{crit} = 0.50$ for different prescriptions for the $D_{\text{shear}}$ (blue: M97; red: TZ97). Left: profiles in the last third of the MS phase. Right: just after the MS phase, when $\log (T_{\text{eff}}) = 4.3$. The shaded areas represent the extension of the convective zone associated to the H-burning shell (dark grey: M97 model; light grey: TZ97 model).
5.4. Blue and red evolution

We defer a detailed discussion of massive star populations expected from our models to a forthcoming paper. Here we just want to emphasise some important differences between the models at $Z = 0.002$ and 0.014 in the context of the blue or red evolution. In Fig. 14, the durations of the red and blue supergiant (BSG) phases are shown for different stellar models. For the most massive stars, the durations of the Wolf-Rayet (WR) phase are also shown. To build this plot, we count as RSGs all the post-MS models with an effective temperature log ($T_{\text{eff}}$) $\leq$ 3.66 (see e.g. Eldridge et al. 2008) and an initial mass $\geq 9 M_\odot$, as BSGs all the models with a log ($T_{\text{eff}}$) $\geq 4.15$ and a surface gravity log ($g$) $> 2.75$, and as WR all the models with a surface hydrogen mass fraction inferior to 0.3 and a log ($T_{\text{eff}}$) $\geq 4.0$. Let us mention that these definitions may differ from those that we would obtain on the basis of spectral features. For instance, WR features may appear in the spectra of stars that have surface hydrogen abundance still higher than 0.3. These remarks have to be kept in mind when comparisons are made with observations. Here however we only focus on theoretical aspects.

The main striking facts when looking at Fig. 14 are the following:

1. At $Z = 0.002$, our stellar models with $M_{\text{ini}} \leq 20 M_\odot$ spend most of their core He-burning lifetime as BSGs, while at $Z = 0.014$, most of the core He-burning phase is spent in the RSG phase. This is true for rotating and non-rotating models. The question of the blue to red evolution is still a very debated point in the literature (see e.g. Renzini et al. 1992; Stancliffe & Eldridge 2009; Meynet et al. 2013). We revisit this question below studying the impact of various prescriptions for rotation.

2. Stars passing through a RSG stage before entering in the WR region only appear at $Z = 0.014$ in a mass range between 32 and 50 $M_\odot$ for non-rotating models, and between 20 and 32 $M_\odot$ for models with $V_{\text{ini}}/V_{\text{crit}} = 0.4$.

3. For both metallicities, rotation lowers the inferior mass limit for single stars to become WR stars and increases the WR lifetimes as was already discussed in previous works (Meynet & Maeder 2005; Georgy et al. 2009, 2012; Chieffi & Limongi 2013).

The first point above is in sharp contrast with a result we obtained previously. In Maeder & Meynet (2001, hereafter MM01), we computed models for $Z = 0.004$, only for stars in a limited mass range between 9 and 60 $M_\odot$. The non-rotating models are qualitatively similar to those presented here. In contrast, rotating models with initial masses between 9 and 20 $M_\odot$ from MM01 show a nearly equal shear between a blue and red position in the HRD. This is very different from the present results, and the reason for this comes from the differences in the choice we made for the shear diffusion coefficient. The shear diffusion coefficient used for computing the present models is higher in regions with mean molecular weight gradients than the one used to compute the MM01 models (both expressions give similar results in regions with no abundance gradient).

Would a stronger shear mixing in regions with an abundance gradient change so much the duration of the first crossing of the gap in the HRD? This effect is subtle and we have to proceed step by step to understand what causes it. To do so, we study two models of 15 $M_\odot$ taken from Meynet et al. (2013). These models have the same metallicity as in the present paper but a slightly higher initial rotation velocity, and they differ by the choice of the shear diffusion coefficient (see Table 1): one with the same prescription as in the present work (Maeder 1997, hereafter M97), and one with the prescription by Talon & Zahn (1997, hereafter TZ97).

Table 1. Summary of the properties of the two models used to discuss the position of the star in the HRD during the central He-burning phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>$M_{\text{ini}} [M_\odot]$</th>
<th>$Z$</th>
<th>$V_{\text{ini}}/V_{\text{crit}}$</th>
<th>$D_{\text{shear}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M97</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Maeder (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZ97</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Talon &amp; Zahn (1997)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further out from the border of the convective core, the $\mu$-gradients become shallower and the $D_{\text{shear}}$ becomes the dominant coefficient. The value of the M97 $D_{\text{shear}}$ is higher than the TZ97 one. Figure 15 shows the distributions of hydrogen and helium inside the models. On the left panel, corresponding to the last third of the MS, the blue curves (M97) are steeper, although they result from the highest values of $D_{\text{shear}}$. This might appear surprising at first sight: in both models, helium diffuses from the core outside in the radiative envelope in the same way (same $D_{\text{he}}$). But in the model with the highest $D_{\text{shear}}$, helium is brought further away in a more efficient way, and is mixed in a larger portion of the radiative envelope. The net result is a steeper abundance gradients at the border of the core and a smoother one further out inside the star.

Just after the MS phase and before the ignition of helium in the core (right panel of Fig. 15), the chemical configuration is very different in the two models. In the M97 model, the convective H-burning shell is wide (dark grey hatched zone), while in the TZ97 model, the convective zone is much narrower (light grey hatched zone). The difference in the width of the convective zones comes from two factors:

1. in the TZ97 model, the gradient of helium at the border of the core is shallower, therefore the H-burning shell will rapidly migrate outward and thus will decrease in strength, and the mass of the core will increase more rapidly;
2. the larger helium abundance decrease slightly the opacity.

Both factors tend to give a prominent role to the core for compensating the loss of energy by the surface. The core has to contract more rapidly in this model than in the M97 one, and this will produce an expansion of the envelope by mirror effect. In contrast, the H-burning shell of the M97 model stays in a deeper region of the star (in mass) where hydrogen remains abundant. This triggers a stronger energy generation which activates a large convective H-burning shell. This convective shell prevents the He-core to increase in mass as fast as in the TZ97 model. This results in less energy being released by its contraction and the star keeping a blue position in the HR diagram.

The red to blue evolution is a feature which is very sensitive to many physical ingredients of the models. The fact that it depends on subtle changes in the efficiency of mixing in different
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\mu_{\text{init}}$</th>
<th>$M_{\text{init}}$</th>
<th>$Y_{\text{init}}$</th>
<th>$C_{\text{init}}$</th>
<th>$N_{\text{init}}$</th>
<th>$T_{\text{init}}$</th>
<th>$t_{\text{end}}$</th>
<th>$t_{\text{burn}}$</th>
<th>$M_{\text{end}}$</th>
<th>$Y_{\text{end}}$</th>
<th>$C_{\text{end}}$</th>
<th>$N_{\text{end}}$</th>
<th>$T_{\text{burn}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>2.777</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1250</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>2.777</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>2.777</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>2.777</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1400</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>2.777</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1450</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>2.777</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>2.777</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1550</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>2.777</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>2.777</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.777</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The non-rotating $M_{\odot}$ undergoes He-flash and the non-rotating $9M_{\odot}$ does not succeed to go through the core C-burning phase.
regions of the star is just one illustration of this. The bottom line here is that a stronger mixing, not directly above the core, but in a region slightly shifted above the border of the core tends to favour a blue position. Such a stronger mixing makes the gradient of chemical composition steeper at the border of the core and produces a H-burning shell in a deeper position (in mass) in the star, thus with a stronger energy generation and a larger convective shell associated to it.

In the case of the non-rotating models, the difference between the \( Z = 0.002 \) models (which remain in the blue part of the HR diagram during the whole core He-burning phase) and the ones at \( Z = 0.014 \) (which in contrast spend most of their core He-burning phase in the red part of the HR diagram), the explanation is different. The difference comes on one side from the lower opacity and on the other side from the effect of a change in \( Z \) on the nuclear energy generation. A lower opacity means that any excess of energy produced by the contracting core will escape more easily. Typically the luminosity of the 15 \( M_\odot \) at \( Z = 0.002 \) at log \((T_{\text{eff}}) = 4.3 \) is \( \sim 57\,900 \, L_\odot \), i.e. 20\% larger than the luminosity at the same corresponding evolutionary stage of the 15 \( M_\odot \) at \( Z = 0.014 \). This is likely the main effect here.

At still lower \( Z \), the impact on the nuclear energy generation may be a key effect. The lower abundance of CNO elements forces the H burning to occur at higher temperatures. This means that the core does not need to contract as much as at solar metallicity to reach temperatures sufficient for helium burning at the end of the core hydrogen burning phase. Less energy is released by this contraction and thus less energy is available for inflating the envelope. This is why for instance, Pop III stars spend the whole core He-burning phase in the blue part of the HRD (Ekström et al. 2008). A lower CNO content also implies a deeper position of the H-burning shell and this also favours a blue position in the HRD during a significant part of the core He-burning phase.

5.5. Comparison with STAREVOL results

Lagarde et al. (2012) computed a grid of low- and intermediate-mass stellar models from 0.85 to 6 \( M_\odot \) from the PMS up to the AGB phase at the SMC metallicity with the stellar evolution code STAREVOL. At solar metallicity, Lagarde et al. (2012) show that the use of similar physical inputs (convection, opacity, nuclear reaction rates, mass loss, equation of state, initial abundance) leads to a difference in the MS lifetime of a 4 \( M_\odot \) non-rotating model of 4\% between the two codes. This difference is mainly due to a larger nuclear network in STAREVOL allowing to follow unstable nuclei which produce a smaller core and a lower luminosity. While this effect remains at the SMC metallicity, the difference becomes slightly lower due to the lower initial abundances, so the MS lifetime between two non-rotating models differs only by 2\%.

In rotating models, both codes follow the advection of angular momentum by meridional circulation and diffusion by the shear turbulence. However the exact prescription for the horizontal turbulence differs (see Lagarde et al. 2012), resulting in a lower transport of chemical elements above the convective core in the STAREVOL models. Besides as the STAREVOL models have an initial velocity 25\% lower than the present models, the MS lifetime becomes 12\% smaller in the rotating 4 \( M_\odot \) models.

6. Discussion and conclusion

We have studied for the first time at \( Z = 0.002 \), the impact of rotation on the evolution of single stars covering the mass domain from 0.8 to 120 \( M_\odot \) in a homogeneous way. The fact that the physics in the present models is the same as in Paper I, where models with an initial metallicity \( Z = 0.014 \) are examined in detail, allows us to study the impact of a change in the metallicity and in the rotation over an extended mass range. The main results are the following.

Effect of a change in \( Z \) on the non-rotating models: as found in previous works, we obtain that tracks at \( Z = 0.002 \) are shifted to higher effective temperature and luminosities with respect to those at \( Z = 0.014 \). These shifts are due to the effects of a change in the metallicity on the opacities and on the nuclear energy generation rates. In summary, when the metallicity goes from \( Z = 0.014 \) down to 0.002:

- the widening of the MS occurring in the upper part of the HRD is shifted to a higher luminosity;
- the mass range of models presenting a blue loop is extended;
- the upper luminosity of RSGs and of the red giant tips remains at the same value;
- the mass domain in which the models go through a RSG stage before becoming a WR star is reduced;
- for models with \( M_{\text{ini}} \leq 15 \, M_\odot \), the MS lifetimes are shorter;
- for models with \( M_{\text{ini}} \geq 20 \, M_\odot \), the final masses are 2–3 times higher.

Effect of rotation \( (V_{\text{ini}}/V_{\text{crit}} = 0.4) \) at \( Z = 0.002 \): with respect to non-rotating models at \( Z = 0.002 \), rotation induces the following characteristics:

- tracks no longer show any widening of the MS band in the upper part of the HRD: on the contrary, the MS band becomes narrower;
- the mass range of models presenting a blue loop is reduced;
- the upper luminosity of RSGs and of the red giant tips remains at the same value;
- the mass domain in which the models go through a RSG stage before becoming a WR star is extended;
- for models with \( M_{\text{ini}} \geq 1.7 \, M_\odot \), the MS lifetimes are increased by about 20–25\%.
- the final masses obtained with rotation show little difference with respect to the values obtained from the models with no rotation, except in the mass range above 50 \( M_\odot \), where the final masses obtained from the rotating models are higher than those obtained from the non-rotating ones;
- the N enrichment at the end of the MS is higher than a factor of three at the surface of the models with \( M_{\text{ini}} > 2.5 \, M_\odot \), while non-rotating models show no surface enrichments during the whole MS phase for the whole mass range from 0.8 up to 120 \( M_\odot \).

Effect of change in \( Z \) on the rotating models: rotational mixing is more efficient at low \( Z \), this is true for massive stars as well as for intermediate- and low-mass stars. The enrichment factors, when the metallicity goes from \( Z = 0.014 \) down to 0.002, increases when the initial mass decreases, all other characteristics as the initial rotation or the evolutionary stage being taken equal.

The present paper together with Paper I is part of a database of models for \( Z = 0.002 \) and 0.014. This dataset will be complemented in a near future with the following metallicities: \( Z = 0.006 \) (LMC), \( Z = 0.00028 \) (I Zw18), and \( Z = 0.020 \) (Galactic centre). All the data as well as the corresponding isochrones are made available through the web\(^9\).

\(^9\) [http://obswww.unige.ch/Recherche/evol/-Database-](http://obswww.unige.ch/Recherche/evol/-Database-) or through the CDS database at [http://vizier.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/VizieR-2](http://vizier.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/VizieR-2)
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