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ABSTRACT

Binary populations in young star clusters show multiplicity fractions both lower and up to twice as high as those observed in the Galactic field. We follow the evolution of a population of binary stars in dense and loose star clusters starting with an invariant initial binary population and a formal multiplicity fraction of unity, and demonstrate that these models can explain the observed binary properties in Taurus, ρ Ophiuchus, Chamaeleon, Orion, IC 348, Upper Scorpius A, Praesepe, and the Pleiades. The model needs to consider solely different birth densities for these regions. The evolved theoretical orbital-parameter distributions are highly probable parent distributions for the observed ones. We constrain the birth conditions (stellar mass, M⊙, and half-mass radius, r⊙) for the derived progenitors of the star clusters and the overall present-day binary fractions allowed by the present model. The results compare very well with properties of molecular cloud clumps on the verge of star formation. Combining these with previously and independently obtained constraints on the birth densities of globular clusters, we identify a weak stellar mass – half-mass radius correlation for cluster-forming cloud clumps, r⊙/pc ∝ (M⊙/M⊙)0.13 ± 0.04. The ability of the model to reproduce the binary properties in all the investigated young objects, covering present-day densities from 1−10 stars pc−3 (Taurus) to 2 × 104 stars pc−3 (Orion), suggests that environment-dependent dynamical evolution plays an important role in shaping the present-day properties of binary populations in star clusters, and that the initial binary properties may not vary dramatically between different environments.
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1. Introduction

It has been observationally established that the properties of binary populations in star clusters depend on environment: the frequency of binaries is lower in denser and older populations. For instance, the Taurus star-formation region, which is about 1 Myr old, has a very low density (1−10 stars pc−3) and a binary population which has about twice the frequency (Ghez et al. 1993; Leinert et al. 1993; Simon et al. 1995; Kohler & Leinert 1998; Kraus & Hillenbrand 2009) of that for solar-type main sequence stars in the Galactic field (the canonical sample used here for comparison, Duquennoy & Mayor 1991). On the other hand, the similarly aged Orion Nebula Cluster has a much higher density (2 × 104 stars pc−3) and its binary fraction is smaller than that in the field (Reipurth et al. 2007). The density of IC 348 is comparable to that of NGC 2024, but the former is 3−5 times older. IC 348 has a smaller binary fraction than NGC 2024 (Duchêne 1999; Levine et al. 2000).

A similar behaviour is reported for older open (Sollima et al. 2010) and globular clusters (Sollima et al. 2007) of the Galaxy, as inferred from anti-correlations between the binary proportion and cluster age, as well as between binary proportion and cluster luminosity (i.e. mass).

Theoretical approaches to explaining these differences can be categorized into two major groups. One proposes that the formation of binaries depends on the environment. In this case the prevalent conditions in the star-forming cloud can determine the outcome of binary star formation (Durisen & Sterzik 1994; Sterzik et al. 2003). The second group of scenarios propose environment-dependent dynamical evolution, in which the properties of binary populations seen in star clusters cannot be assumed to be primordial, i.e. they are not directly related to the birth properties of binaries. In these scenarios, the characteristics are modified by interactions between the stars and binaries. Even if the clusters are still very young (≤1 Myr), the binary properties can strongly deviate from the birth conditions produced in the star-formation process since the binary proportion is reduced rapidly and orbital parameters are altered efficiently over only a few initial crossing-times (Kroupa 1995a; Duchêne 1999; Fregeau et al. 2009; Parker et al. 2009).

In this paper, we investigate whether dynamical evolution is able to explain observations of the multiplicity properties in very different environments, starting with an assumed universal initial binary population in star clusters (Kroupa 1995b). In particular, we apply the concept of inverse dynamical population synthesis to constrain the properties of star clusters at their birth.

In Sect. 2, we present the model and the procedure to analyse the eight investigated regions we describe in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4, we present the outcome of our analysis and Sect. 5 summarizes and discusses our results.
2. Binaries in star clusters

2.1. Stimulated evolution, dynamical equivalence, and the age-density degeneracy

A stellar binary population in a star cluster evolves as binaries interact gravitationally with other stars or binaries. As energy and momentum between these centre-of-mass systems is exchanged, binary orbital parameters are altered or, if the transferred amount of energy is sufficient, a binary dissolves. Generally during encounters, a soft binary, which has an internal binding energy that is lower than the kinetic energy of a typical centre-of-mass system in the cluster, becomes on average less bound (softens), while a hard binary with a higher binding energy becomes more strongly bound (hardens, Heggie 1975; Hills 1975). This stimulated evolution of binaries depletes the binary population. The process is most efficient in wide low-energy systems, which are those most likely to be disrupted.

The resultant change in the orbital parameters for populations of binaries evolving in star clusters driven by stimulated evolution is quantified by Marks et al. (2011) by means of a comprehensive set of N-body computations of binary-rich star clusters. In these models, all stars are initially members of a binary. The component masses of late-type stars are selected randomly from the canonical stellar initial mass-function (IMF, Kroupa 2001). The IMF is conveniently described as a two-part power-law in the stellar regime,

\[ \xi(m) \propto m^{-\alpha_2} \text{ where } \begin{cases} \alpha_1 = 1.3 & 0.08 \leq m/M_\odot \leq 0.5, \\ \alpha_2 = 2.3 & 0.5 < m/M_\odot \leq 150, \end{cases} \]  

with an average stellar mass \( \bar{m} \approx 0.4 \) where \( dN = \xi(m) dm \) is the number of stars in the mass interval \([m, m+dm]\). Random pairing results in late-type binaries having a flat initial mass-ratio distribution which is affected only in a minor way through pre-main sequence eigen-evolution (Fig. 17 in Kroupa 2008; and Fig. 2 in Marks et al. 2011). The mass ratio distribution of late-type binaries remains approximately flat after dynamical processing. The orbital periods of the binaries are selected from an initial period distribution function that increases with increasing period and flattens at the longest periods (Kroupa 1995b, Fig. 1). This distribution agrees with pre-main sequence and proto-stellar multiplicity data (Kroupa & Petr-Gotzens 2011; Marks et al. 2011). The period distribution is assumed to be the universal outcome of binary formation (Kroupa 2011).

Allowing this invariant initial binary population to evolve for 5 Myr in clusters of different initial masses and sizes, Marks et al. (2011) find that for their set of models (of stellar mass in the computed clusters \( M_{\text{ecl}} \leq 10^{3.5} M_\odot \) and half-mass radii \( r_h \leq 0.8 \) pc), the evolution is driven by the initial cluster density, \( \rho_{\text{ecl}} = 3 M_{\text{ecl}}/8 \pi r_h^3 \), i.e. models of the same \( \rho_{\text{ecl}} \) evolve their population in the same way. They are dynamically equivalent (over the 5 Myr integration time).

Marks et al. (2011) quantify a stellar dynamical operator, \( \Omega_{\text{dyn}}^{M_a-r_h}(t) \), which transforms an initial orbital-parameter distribution, \( \Phi_{\text{in}}(x) \) (e.g., \( x \approx \text{semi-major axis or } x = \text{mass-ratio}) \), into an evolved distribution, \( \Phi_{\text{ecl}}^{M_a-r_h}(t) \), after some time, \( t \), of stimulated evolution

\[ \Phi_{\text{ecl}}^{M_a-r_h}(t) = \Omega_{\text{dyn}}^{M_a-r_h}(t) \times \Phi_{\text{in}}. \]  

Equation (2) allows the efficient calculation of the evolution of a binary population in a star cluster of a given initial density without the need for expensive individual N-body computations. Figure 1 depicts how the operator works. The evolution of the binary population has largely ended by 5 Myr, the time for which the models in Marks et al. (2011) are computed. We note that there is an age-density degeneracy, i.e. a high density cluster of age \( t(1) \) may have the same binary population as a somewhat lower-density cluster of age \( t(2) > t(1) \) since stimulated evolution in the latter cluster is less efficient.

In this paper, the considered orbital parameter distribution is always the distribution of semi-major axes, \( \log_{10} a \), of the considered region. For a range of primary masses around \( m_1 \), it is defined as the distribution of binary-fractions \( f_{\text{bin}} = N_b/N_{\text{cns}} \) as a function of \( \log_{10} a \)

\[ \phi_{\log_{10} a}(m_1) = \frac{d f_{\text{bin}}(\log_{10} a)}{d \log_{10} a} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{cns}}} \frac{d N_b(\log_{10} a)}{d \log_{10} a}. \]  

where \( N_b \) and \( N_{\text{cns}} \) are the number of binaries and centre-of-mass systems (singles+binaries), respectively. The total binary fraction equals the area below the distribution, i.e. the integral over the semi-major axis distribution is

\[ f_{\text{bin}}(m_1) = \int \phi_{\log_{10} a}(m_1) \, d \log_{10} a. \]  

For a description on the method and extraction of distribution functions, the reader is referred to Marks et al. (2011).
2.2. Inverse dynamical population synthesis

In this paper, the inverse operator, \((\Omega_{\text{dyn}}^{M_{\text{tot}}, r_{\text{h}}})^{-1}(t)\), is applied to the observed binary distributions in clusters of age \(t\) in order to see whether stimulated evolution in a cluster of initial density \(\rho_{\text{ecl}}\) can turn the universal initial distribution into a shape which serves as a parent function of the observational data.

This inverse dynamical population synthesis (IDPS) relies on assuming an invariant birth binary star population and matching which serves as a parent function of the observational data. We construct the observationally inferred semi-major axis distribution from a dynamical model from which the initial conditions of the population in terms of its density are derived. Kroupa (1995a) introduced this concept and demonstrated that physically relevant solutions are obtainable. He postulated that two stellar dynamical operators, \(\partial \Omega_{\text{dyn}}^{M_{\text{tot}}, r_{\text{h}}} / \partial t\), and \(\partial \Omega_{\text{dyn}}^{M_{\text{tot}}, r_{\text{h}}} / \partial M_{\text{tot}}\), exist. The first one \((\partial \Omega_{\text{dyn}}^{M_{\text{tot}}, r_{\text{h}}} / \partial t)\) evolves the period distribution function of a binary population through stellar-dynamical encounters, while the other one \((\partial \Omega_{\text{dyn}}^{M_{\text{tot}}, r_{\text{h}}} / \partial M_{\text{tot}})\) evolves the mass-ratio distribution. As both distribution functions are not correlated for periods \(\gg 10^3\) days, solutions for \(\partial \Omega_{\text{dyn}}^{M_{\text{tot}}, r_{\text{h}}} / \partial t\) and \(\partial \Omega_{\text{dyn}}^{M_{\text{tot}}, r_{\text{h}}} / \partial M_{\text{tot}}\) can only be obtained if these operators correspond to physical processes. Kroupa (1995a) not only discovered the existence of these operators, but also demonstrated that they are equal, \(\partial \Omega_{\text{dyn}}^{M_{\text{tot}}, r_{\text{h}}} / \partial t = \partial \Omega_{\text{dyn}}^{M_{\text{tot}}, r_{\text{h}}} / \partial M_{\text{tot}}\), i.e. the same process (stimulated evolution) shapes these distributions. Thus, a single operator, \(\Omega_{\text{dyn}}^{M_{\text{tot}}, r_{\text{h}}}\), exists to turn both the initial period and the initial mass-ratio distribution into the observed shape simultaneously. It is given by a star cluster with \(M_{\text{ecl}} = 128 M_{\odot}\) and \(r_{\text{h}} = 0.8\) pc, which corresponds to the typical embedded cluster from which the majority of Galactic field binaries originate (cf. also Marks & Kroupa 2011). Kroupa (2002) and Marks et al. (2011) formalized the mathematical concept of \(\Omega_{\text{dyn}}^{M_{\text{tot}}, r_{\text{h}}}\), which is here used for the first time to derive the initial conditions of observed star clusters.

2.3. Modelling observed binary populations

We construct the observationally inferred semi-major axis distribution, \(\Phi^{\text{obs}}_{\log_{10} a}\), from \(N\) orbits distributed into \(m_{\text{bin}}\) bins, and the evolved theoretical distribution, \(\Phi_{\log_{10} a}(t)\), where \(t\) is chosen to correspond to the age of the investigated region for which the \(\rho_{\text{ecl}}\) is known (Eq. (2)). The best-fit solution for \(\rho_{\text{ecl}}\) is then found by performing a \(\chi^2\)-test with \(s_{\text{bin}} = 1\) degree of freedom, i.e. the sum of the squared deviations between \(\Phi_{\log_{10} a}^{\text{obs}}\) and \(\Phi_{\log_{10} a}(t)\) is minimized for \(\rho_{\text{ecl}}\):

\[
\chi^2(\rho_{\text{ecl}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_{\text{bin}}} \frac{\left(\Phi_{\log_{10} a}^{\text{obs}}(t) - \Phi_{\log_{10} a}^{\text{obs}}(t)\right)^2}{\left(\Delta \Phi_{\log_{10} a}^{\text{obs}}(t)\right)^2} = \text{min},
\]

where \(\Delta \Phi_{\log_{10} a}^{\text{obs}}(t)\) is the error in the observational data in bin \(i\), which is assumed to be \(\sqrt{N}\) errors for all data sets.

To estimate the likelihood that the resulting \(\Phi_{\log_{10} a}^{\text{obs}}(t)\) is indeed a parent function of \(\Phi_{\log_{10} a}^{\text{obs}}\), we calculate the probability, \(P(\geq \chi^2_{\text{min}})\), that a poorer fit occurs (Press et al. 1994). For instance, at a given \(\chi^2_{\text{min}}\), \(P(\geq \chi^2_{\text{min}}) = 0.3\) means that if one rejects the null hypothesis (that \(\Phi_{\log_{10} a}^{\text{obs}}(t)\) is a parent function for \(\Phi_{\log_{10} a}^{\text{obs}}\)), then there is a 30 percent chance that \(\Phi_{\log_{10} a}^{\text{obs}}(t)\) is a parent function, even though it has been withdrawn. Confidence intervals around the best \(\rho_{\text{ecl}}\) are defined by the condition \(P(\geq \chi^2_{\text{min}}) = 10\) percent, outside which the null hypothesis is falsely withdrawn with \(\leq 10\) percent chance only.

The \(\chi^2\)-test allows the identification of a typical birth density \(\rho_{\text{ecl}}\) for the considered region and via Eq. (4) the overall present-day binary fraction can be assessed using the best-fit theoretical distribution.

While the dynamical operator acts on a population of binaries of all primary masses, the observations mostly probe masses of a restricted primary-mass range. As both the binary properties and their distributions are mass dependent (Kouwenhoven et al. 2009; Marks & Kroupa 2011), this effect is important to consider. The analytical model allows us to extract only those binaries in the theoretical population that cover the same range of stellar masses as the observations (see Sect. 2.4 in Marks & Kroupa 2011). However the effect on the results is negligible as the observational uncertainties are much larger than the mass-dependent effects discussed here.

3. The young cluster sample

We now introduce the young cluster sample that we analyse with the model described in Sect. 2.3. For each cluster, we compile information about their present-day masses and sizes and their binary population. We emphasize that when discussing the dynamical processing it is indispensable to distinguish between the dynamically active sub-clumps or individual clusters that always have radii of about 0.5 pc and the whole coeval stellar population that may extend over more than a few pc (see also the discussion in Sect. 5).

We ascertain from the literature whether the initial stellar mass distribution in the observed object is compatible with the canonical IMF, which is used as input into the \(N\)-body models of Marks et al. (2011). The theoretical distributions to compare with the observational data are evolved for the age of the respective region. Since these ages are often uncertain or an age spread is present, we use mostly upper age-limits, as indicated in Figs. 2 to 4, to construct the theoretical distribution. Owing to the age-density degeneracy (Fig. 1), this will result in lower limits to the inferred initial densities. In addition, when the ages are chosen to differ slightly the resulting change in the densities is smaller than the given confidence intervals, which are rather large given the observational uncertainties. Therefore, the results are considered reasonable estimates of the initial densities.

3.1. Taurus

The Taurus star-formation region has a distance of 140 pc (Kenyon et al. 1994; Wichmann et al. 1998), which is nearby, and extends over a region of \((100)^2\) on the sky. The age of its population is \(\approx 1\) Myr. Six distinct clusters of stars in Taurus were detected by Gomez et al. (1993) to have projected radii of 0.5–1 pc with \(\approx 15\) stars or binaries (with a mass of about \(6 M_{\odot}\) for \(\approx 0.4\) each and a median separation between the stellar centre-of-mass systems within each cluster of 0.3 pc. The individual clusters are separated by a few pc, thus do not interact dynamically. Taurus contains more than 300 known members and the stellar density in each of its clusters is at \(1–10\) stars pc\(^{-3}\) very low (Luhman et al. 2009). The \(N\)-body models of Kroupa & Bouvier (2003) show that Taurus Auriga like clusters are largely unevolved and in particular that the binary properties should be similar to those at birth.

Taurus was originally proposed to be deficient in low-mass stars (Briceno et al. 2002), but many brown dwarfs have
Fig. 2. Left column: semi-major axis distribution functions. Both panels show the initial (Kroupa 1995b) semi-major axis distribution in star clusters (dashed curve), as well as the canonical solar-type Galactic field distribution (solid curve, Duquennoy & Mayor 1991). The black squares are observational data from the references given in the legend to which the model is fitted (in Figs. 2−4 corresponding to the most recent data or the largest sample). Vertical errorbars indicate $\sqrt{N}$ errors, the horizontal ones indicate the width of the bin. The initial semi-major axis distribution evolves into the solid histogram for an initial stellar density within the half-mass radius, $\rho_{ecl}$, as indicated in the legends. The respective $\chi^2$-tests yield the indicated $\chi^2_{\text{min}}$-and $P(\geq \chi^2_{\text{min}})$-values, if the initial distribution is evolved for the time $t$ in a cluster of density $\rho_{ecl}$ (note that $t$ is mostly an upper limit to the age of the population). The resulting distributions are likely parent functions for the observational data. The dashed histograms are 10 percent confidence limits, outside which a distribution would be rejected as a probable parent function with $\gtrsim 90$ percent confidence. The overall model binary-fraction, $f_{\text{bin}}$ (integrated over the whole semi-major axis range), is indicated in the legend.

Right column: the thick solid and dashed lines are lines of constant volume density within the half-mass radius according to the best-fit $\rho_{ecl}$ and the confidence intervals, respectively. Thus, the model suggests that the total stellar mass and half-mass radius in a typical, dynamically active region (e.g. a sub-clump) of the star-forming environment’s progenitor lies somewhere in the grey shaded region. For comparison, observed present-day masses and spatial extensions are shown (Sect. 3). Note that the masses and sizes shown denote different spatial scales (e.g. the constant density lines depict the typical total stellar masses, $M_{ecl}$, and the half-mass radius, $r_h$, required to produce the present binary population, while the symbols might refer to different quantities as indicated in the legend – i.e. the total stellar+gas mass within the whole region, not solely individual clumps).

since been discovered (Luhman 2004b; Guieu et al. 2006). Kroupa et al. (2003) and Thies & Kroupa (2008) show that there is no need to invoke a non-canonical IMF in Taurus.

Among the 43 observed primary stars in Taurus, Ghez et al. (1993) find 16 binaries with angular separations between 16−252 AU. The binary fraction is thus 37 ± 9 percent over this separation range. Simon et al. (1995) find 22 binaries and 4 triples among 47 systems. The binary frequency in the range 3−1400 AU is at least 1.6 ± 0.3 times the value of the canonical Galactic field sample. Kraus & Hillenbrand (2009) find 27 wide binaries in Taurus in the separation range 500−5000 AU, which is consistent with a log-flat distribution but inconsistent with the Galactic field log-normal distribution. Here the combined data of Leinert et al. (1993) and Kohler & Leinert (1998) are used, who identified in total 74 binaries or multiples among 174 systems. The binary fraction of 42.5 ± 4.9 percent is larger by a factor of
Fig. 3. As Fig. 2, but for Chamaeleon, the ONC, and IC 348. The hashed area in the middle-right panel is an allowed region for initial masses and sizes of the ONC according to the expanding cluster models of Kroupa (2000, his Fig. 5).
**UScoA**

- $70 \, M_\odot \, pc^{-3}$, $f_{bin}=0.78$
- $4200 \, M_\odot \, pc^{-3}$, $f_{bin}=0.51$
- $45000 \, M_\odot \, pc^{-3}$, $f_{bin}=0.28$
- Kraus et al. 2009
- Brandner et al. 1998
- Kraus et al. 2008
- Galactic field

$\chi^2_{min}=7.25$

$P(>\chi^2_{min})=0.40$

- UScoA complete today
- est. initial (stars+gas, Preibisch 2003)

**Praesepe**

- $250 \, M_\odot \, pc^{-3}$, $f_{bin}=0.70$
- $2900 \, M_\odot \, pc^{-3}$, $f_{bin}=0.53$
- $41000 \, M_\odot \, pc^{-3}$, $f_{bin}=0.39$
- Patience et al. (2002)
- Bouvier et al. (2001)

$\chi^2_{min}=3.38$

$P(>\chi^2_{min})=0.50$

**Pleiades**

- $12000 \, M_\odot \, pc^{-3}$, $f_{bin}=0.45$
- $37450 \, M_\odot \, pc^{-3}$, $f_{bin}=0.39$
- $90000 \, M_\odot \, pc^{-3}$, $f_{bin}=0.35$
- Bouvier et al. (1997)

$\chi^2_{min}=5.57$

$P(>\chi^2_{min})=0.13$

---

**Fig. 4.** As Figs. 2 and 3, but for USco-A, Praesepe, and the Pleiades. Although Praesepe and the Pleiades are much older (0.6 and 0.125 Gyr, respectively), the initial distribution is evolved for $t=5$ Myr only when binary-burning has largely ended (see the text).
1.93 ± 0.26 than that of solar-type main sequence stars over the accessible separation range.

3.2. ρ Ophiuchus

The ρ Ophiuchi cloud is a complex of dark nebulae and molecular clouds with ongoing star formation located at about \( \approx 120–160 \) pc distance from the Sun (Chini 1981; Knude & Hog 1998; Motte et al. 1998, 140 pc is adopted). Its densest part is the L1688 dark cloud, which is itself structured into the six major clumps Oph-A to Oph-F, each containing a few tens of solar mass in young stellar objects (YSOs) and each having a diameter of \( \approx 0.3 \) pc (Stamatellos et al. 2007). Loren et al. (1990) use a total mass (YSOs + gas) for each clump of \( \approx 200 M_\odot \).

The ages of the stars are inferred to lie in the range 0.3–1 Myr (Wilking et al. 1989; Greene & Meyer 1995; Luhman & Rieke 1999). Bontemps et al. (2001) find, after correcting for an assumed binary fraction of 75 percent, a stellar mass-function for young stellar objects (YSOs) that is consistent with the slope of the canonical stellar IMF for low masses (0.55–0.55 \( M_\odot \)) and agrees with earlier (Comerón et al. 1993; Strom et al. 1995; Motte et al. 1998; Johnstone et al. 2000) and later (Tachihara et al. 2002; Stanke et al. 2006) studies. A somewhat steeper mass-function than the canonical IMF is observed for higher stellar masses (\( \alpha_2 = 1.7 \) in Eq. (1), Bontemps et al. 2001). The same authors estimate that there is 92 \( M_\odot \) of mass in YSOs in L1688 and given an average radius of 0.4 pc for the whole region they find a stellar mass density of 340 \( M_\odot \) pc\(^{-3} \). The extents of the clumps are about 0.1–0.2 pc. The total gas mass of the region is between 550 \( M_\odot \) (Wilking & Lada 1983) and 1500 \( M_\odot \) (Liseau et al. 1995). Ratzka et al. (2005) state a value of 600 \( M_\odot \) for the total mass within a region of 1 \times 2 \( \text{pc} \).

The YSO content of L1688 has been intensively surveyed in the past two decades. Ghez et al. (1993) observed a binary frequency for \( \text{T Tauri} \) stars of 29 ± 11 percent (sample size of 21 stars only) in the linear separation range 16–252 AU, which is significantly higher than that in the field. Simon et al. (1995) find 10 binaries, 2 triples, and 1 quadruple among 35 \( \text{T Tauri} \) primaries in \( \rho \) Oph in the separation range 3–1400 AU, i.e. a binary fraction of 37 ± 10 percent. Since they do not perform a completeness correction, this is at least 1.1 times larger than that in the field over the same range. Duchêne (1999) correct Ghez et al. (1993)’s and Simon et al. (1995)’s data for incompleteness and re-evaluated the \textit{companion-star fraction} of \( \rho \) Oph to be 26 ± 5 percent. Barsony et al. (2003) find 24 ± 11 percent within 14–154 AU among 80 primaries in their restricted sample. Duchêne et al. (2004) observe 41 YSOs in a deep near-infrared survey, finding 14 companions to 11 primaries, i.e. a companion star fraction of 34 ± 7 percent.

In this work, the data by Ratzka et al. (2005) are used, having observed the to-date largest sample of YSOs in \( \rho \) Oph for their multiplicity properties. They find 112 single, 43 binaries, and 3 triples among their 158-object-sized sample, resulting in a binary fraction of 29.1 ± 4.3 percent in the separation range from 18 to 900 AU. This is slightly larger than the corresponding field value (23.5 ± 4.8 percent). Their semi-major axis distribution is indistinguishable from those published in Ghez et al. (1993), Simon et al. (1995) and Barsony et al. (2003, see Fig. 2, lower left panel).

3.3. Chamaeleon

The star-formation region Chamaeleon is 160–170 pc away from the Sun (Whittet et al. 1997; Wichmann et al. 1998; Bertout et al. 1999) and has a median age of about 2 Myr (Luhman 2004a). Cha I and Cha II are the main cloud complexes in Chamaeleon where the majority of stars are being formed. The IMF is compatible with that in the field as well as IC348 and the Orion Nebula Cluster (Comerón et al. 2000; Luhman 2008). The total mass in the dark clouds of Chamaeleon is about 5000 \( M_\odot \). Cha I containing 237 known members, and 50 members having been identified in Cha II (Luhman 2008). The total stellar mass in Cha I is about 100 \( M_\odot \), most of it being contained within a radius of 1 \( \text{pc} \) (Luhman 2007), corresponding to 2.88 pc at the distance of Chamaeleon.

Among 77 observed primaries, Köhler (2001) find after correction for background stars 10.3 binaries and 0.5 triples, respectively. The binary fraction in the separation range 10–480 AU is thus 14 percent, about nine percent smaller than the 23 percent determined for solar type stars in the Galactic field. Interestingly, this deficit occurs only in their two largest separation bins, while the smaller separation bins neatly agree with the observed field separation distribution. This deficit of wide binaries is also reported by Brandeker et al. (2006). The most recent study of Lafrenière et al. (2008), which finds 30 binaries and 7 triples among 126 primaries, does not however suggest that there is a strong depletion for the widest binaries. These authors provide projected angular separations for their observed binaries and triples, but they do neither show nor discuss a separation distribution. Lafrenière et al. (2008)’s data is used here and the distribution agrees also at the largest separations with the field distribution.

3.4. Orion Nebula Cluster

The Orion Nebula Cluster (ONC) is a young cluster (≤1 Myr) that is part of the Orion complex at a distance of about 414–343 pc (Menten et al. 2007; Kraus et al. 2007) from the Sun. With its high central density, it is probably the densest nearby star-formation region. Hillenbrand & Hartmann (1998) review earlier work on the ONC and determine its main properties. They recover 3500 centre-of-mass systems in total out to a radius of 2.5 pc. They calculate that if the cluster were in virial equilibrium a total mass of \( \approx 4500 M_\odot \) would be needed within 2 pc, which differs from the observed stellar mass within this radius of 1800 \( M_\odot \). In the core of the ONC (size 0.16–0.21 pc), the stellar density is about \( 2 \times 10^4 \text{ stars pc}^{-3} \). Stellar masses range from 0.1 to 50 \( M_\odot \) with an average mass of 0.8 \( M_\odot \) with the most massive stars being found in the centre. The core contains the Trapezium system consisting of (at least) 6 stars with a total mass of 88.4 \( M_\odot \) (Hillenbrand 1997). Brown dwarfs have since been detected in the centre of the cluster (Hillenbrand & Carpenter 2000; Luhman et al. 2000; Lucas et al. 2001; Muench et al. 2002), so that the mean mass may decreases to 0.5 \( M_J \) (Scally et al. 2005), in good agreement with the canonical IMF.

Existing dynamical \( N \)-body models suggest that the ONC must have been far more compact initially to appear in its present shape. Kroupa (2000) computed models that start in virial equilibrium and are either dynamically hot (expanding) or cold (collapsing), with the same realistic initial binary population used here and expulsion of residual gas. He searched which initial conditions reproduce the observed velocity dispersion and observed number of stars in the core simultaneously. The models initially in virial equilibrium were basically excluded, owing to the large number of stars needed to match the observational properties. Models starting with 3500 to 10 000 stars initially (corresponding to 1400 to 4000 \( M_\odot \) for \( \bar{m} = 0.4 M_\odot \)) that collapse and expand allow for ONC progenitors with half-mass.
radii of 0.5–1.2 pc and <0.5 pc, respectively. Scally et al. (2005) compute very similar models to the Kroupa (2000) ones but without gas and binaries. Their computations agree in their main results, although virial equilibrium models most closely reproduce the observed density profile. In this case, the initial density may have been one to two orders of magnitude higher than that today. Pfalz-Allenburg & Kroupa (2006) investigate the decay of the ONC core. Models with initially 40 massive stars (>600 $M_\odot$), which is the expected number if stars in the ONC were selected from the canonical IMF at birth, in a region of 0.025 pc end up with about the observed number of massive objects (10 stars above 5 $M_\odot$) and display a Trapezium structure.

The binary fraction of the ONC has been found to be about half as large as that in Taurus (Prosser et al. 1994; Padgett et al. 1997; Petr et al. 1998; Simon et al. 1998; McCaughrean 2001). The high-mass population exhibits a higher binary frequency than the low-mass primaries (Preibisch et al. 1999; Schertl et al. 2009) add short period binaries to previous studies by using correcting for contamination. The field binary-fraction for solar-type stars in this range is about 1%.

Kohler et al. (2000) probe a similar separation range (19–400 AU) as an upper-right corner of each panel. This time roughly corresponds to a binary fraction of 11% in their sample up to a period of roughly 4000 days, as opposed to ~17.5% in the field. Unfortunately, however, they cannot assess their completeness or even report reliable orbital periods.

3.5. IC 348

IC 348 has a total stellar mass of 110 $M_\odot$ (Preibisch et al. 2003), a half-mass radius of 0.47 pc and an overall stellar density within this radius of 220 $M_\odot$ pc$^{-3}$ (Lada & Lada 1995). The IMF in IC 348 is Salpeter-like above 0.7 $M_\odot$ and flattens below that value (Muench et al. 2003), which agrees well with the field-star (i.e. canonical) IMF (Luhman et al. 1998; Preibisch et al. 2003). The cluster has a mean age of 2 Myr, but an age spread of 3 Myr, and is located at a distance of 320 pc from the Sun (Herbig 1998; Muench et al. 2003).

Duchêne et al. (1999)’s multiplicity data is used in the present work. They investigated the multiplicity properties in a near-infrared survey of 66 low-mass members of IC 348, finding 12 binaries in the separation range ~40 to 3200 AU. The binary fraction of 19 ± 5 percent agrees within the errors with 23 ± 3 percent in the field over the same range of separations.

3.6. USco-A

Upper Scorpius (USco) is the youngest (~5 Myr) region in the Scorpius-Centaurus OB association located at about 145 pc distance, which contains USco-A. USco-A’s stellar IMF between 0.1 and 20 $M_\odot$ is consistent with the field (i.e. canonical) stellar mass function and the total stellar mass is estimated to be about 2060 $M_\odot$ (Preibisch et al. 2002). The same authors estimate the total initial mass (gas+stars) to be ~800,000 $M_\odot$ and the initial diameter to be 15 pc given the present-day velocity dispersion.

Brandner & Koehler (1998) carried out observations of 68 T Tauri stars in USco-A finding 21 binaries with angular separations of between 15 and 435 AU, which is here used for the analysis. Köhler et al. (2000) probe a similar separation range (19–870 AU) but have a slightly smaller sample of 48 T Tauri stars. A natural complement to this data is the wide binary survey by Kraus & Hillenbrand (2009) who identify 21 binaries between 500–5000 AU. Here we use the data of Kraus et al. (2008), who observe 82 systems finding 27 companion stars at separations of 6–435 AU.

3.7. Praesepe

The Praesepe open cluster is located ~170 pc from the Sun (Hambly et al. 1995) and has an age of about 600 Myr (Boudreaux et al. 2010). Kraus & Hillenbrand (2007) identify 1050 ± 30 Praesepe members earlier than spectral type M5, corresponding to 550 ± 40 $M_\odot$. The mass-function in Praesepe agrees well with the present-day MF for field stars (Kraus & Hillenbrand 2007; Boudreaux et al. 2010; Baker et al. 2010). Adams et al. (2002) state the half-mass radius to be 1:25, corresponding to ~4 pc at the distance of Praesepe, and a total mass of ~600 $M_\odot$ within 4° ~11.8 pc.

Patience et al. (2002) find 12 binaries among 100 Praesepe members in their sample with separations of ~18–390 AU. The binary fraction is thus 12 percent, about half as large as in the field. Bouvier et al. (2001), in contrast, derive a corrected binary frequency of 25.3 ± 5.4 percent, which is in quite good agreement with that measured in the Galactic field. Their work, containing 26 binaries between 15 and 600 AU among their larger sample of 149 cluster members, is used here.

3.8. Pleiades

The Pleiades open cluster is located at a distance of about 125 pc (Robichon et al. 1999) and has an age of about 70–120 Myr (Stauffer et al. 1998; Martin et al. 1998). Its approximately 1245 member stars have a total mass of about 740 $M_\odot$ (Converse & Stahler 2008; Pinfield et al. 1998) and its half-mass radius is 2 pc (Raboud & Mermilliod 1998). Dynamical models of the Pleiades show that the IMF of the Pleiades might have been similar to the Galactic field-star (i.e. canonical) IMF for stellar masses below 2 $M_\odot$ (Moraux et al. 2004) and that it may have a precursor similar to the ONC (Kroupa et al. 2001). Its initial binary fraction might have been close to unity (Converse & Stahler 2010) and its initial distribution of periods similar to that in Taurus (Kroupa et al. 2001).

Bouvier et al. (1997) studied the binary properties of 144 systems in the Pleiades finding 22 binaries and 3 triples in the orbital separation range 10–900 AU. The corrected binary-fraction of 28 ± 4 percent agrees well with the Galactic field value of 27 percent over the same range.

4. Results

4.1. The young cluster sample

Figures 2–4 show the results of our analysis. Each best-fit theoretical distribution was evolved for the time indicated in the upper right corner of each panel. This time roughly corresponds to the age of the region (Sect. 3) or if the age is uncertain or shows a spread it is an estimate of the upper age limit.

Although the seven regions exhibit present-day stellar densities ranging from 1–10 stars pc$^{-3}$ (Taurus) to 2 × 10$^4$ stars pc$^{-3}$ (ONC), the model is able to reproduce the observational data for all of them (though only poorly for USco-A, although see below), requiring solely different initial densities.
All dynamically evolved initial binary populations are likely parent functions of the respective observational data according to the $\chi^2$-test.

It is found that the more depleted the binary population with respect to the initial distribution, the higher the required initial stellar density, $\rho_{\text{cl,init}}$, to reproduce the observational data (for the same age of the population). Taurus (Fig. 2, upper panels) is very sparsely populated and still contains a large binary population. Therefore, the initial stellar density was also low, to ensure that the binary disruption was inefficient. According to the model, Taurus sub-clusters formed with $\rho_{\text{cl,init}} \approx 350 \, M_\odot \, pc^{-3}$, but is consistent with a semi-major axis distribution close to the initial distribution within the confidence intervals (Fig. 2, upper left panel). The current masses and sizes of the individual clusters in Taurus are indeed comparable to the inferred value of $\rho_{\text{cl,init}}$ (Fig. 2, upper right panel).

In contrast, the similarly aged $\rho$ Ophiuchus (Fig. 2, lower panels) and ONC (Fig. 3, middle panels) show a much stronger depletion of binaries and therefore require higher birth densities for more efficient stimulated evolution. The present-day values are thus farther away from the initial conditions constrained here. This is readily understood as a result of the stronger expansion within the same time of initially denser regions owing to the more effective two-body relaxation and binary burning (Fig. 4 in Marks et al. 2011) and/or expulsion of gas (Kroupa et al. 2001).

According to our model, the binary fraction above 1000 AU is two percent for the ONC. For a population of currently approximately 3500 systems, this would correspond to more than two more binaries in this semi-major range than the upper limit of three binaries estimated by Scally et al. (1999) for a Duquennoy & Mayor (1991)-type distribution. The model indeed suggests a semi-major axis distribution that is more strongly depleted in binaries (Fig. 3, middle panels). A detailed study including instrumental limitations and projection effects would need to be performed to address the Scally et al. (1999) analysis. This is however beyond the scope of the present study.

Our model provides only a poor fit to the data for USco A. Although a fit formally exists, the large confidence intervals would allow a large range of values for the initial density of USco A. It might thus be difficult to explain this data in terms if dynamical modification of the Kroupa (1995b) initial distribution. USco A is a complicated region, part of an OB association, and already far more extended than a typical open cluster. It is likely that USco A may contain projected members of the association. The USco-B subgroup in the Scorpius-Centaurus association is not analysed here, as the binary fraction increases with increasing period (Brandner & Koehler 1998). This is incompatible with the dynamical evolution of its binary population and may be a contamination effect (Kroupa & Petr-Gotzens 2011).

We note, however, that Parker & Goodwin (2012) caution that binary disruption is a highly stochastic process that influences the appearance of observed binary distributions.

The data for the open clusters Praesepe and the Pleiades (Fig. 4, 0.6 and 0.125 Gyr respectively) are also closely reproduced by the model. The initial distribution for the best-fit $\rho_{\text{cl,init}}$ was evolved for only 5 Myr, but by then the evolution of the binary distributions had effectively come to an end (Marks et al. 2011) so that a comparison with the much older regions should be possible. That the binary population does not evolve significantly after 3–5 Myr is clearly evident from a comparison of Marks et al. (2011) with Kroupa et al. (2001).

To the model, for IC 348 and the Pleiades the best-fit initial densities are very similar, as are those for Praesepe, $\rho$ Ophiuchus, and Chamaeleon (Fig. 5, not considering the rather large confidence intervals). Taurus and the ONC occupy the low- and high-density end, respectively. The large confidence intervals even allow Praesepe and the Pleiades to have formed with the ONC density, as discovered before by Kroupa et al. (2001) using independent arguments.

### 4.2. Cluster evolution depending on density and comparison with the initial conditions of globular clusters

Marks & Kroupa (2010) provide independently obtained constraints of the initial conditions for some Galactic globular clusters (GCs). They use the imprint of residual-gas expulsion still visible in the present-day stellar mass function of GCs to backtrace the present cluster properties to their initial values. Their results neatly join the densest young clusters investigated in Fig. 5, suggesting a connection between the initial and present-day densities of clusters, although this is difficult to infer from the young cluster sample alone. Marks et al. (2011) indeed find that a dense initial configuration can be less dense today than another initially less dense cluster.

Figure 6 shows that the constraints compare well with masses and densities of molecular clumps that have just begun to form stars (Mueller et al. 2002; Shirley et al. 2003; Fontani et al. 2005). The masses of the clumps from these sources have been multiplied with a star-formation efficiency of one-third for comparison with the stellar masses and densities calculated here.

Except for Taurus, all of the investigated regions lie close to or above the threshold of the surface density of massive-star

---

2 Note that larger values of $P(\geq \chi^2_{\text{min}})$ do not signify a statistically tighter fit than for the younger regions, but that one would be less confident that the theoretical distribution is not a parent function were the null-hypothesis to be rejected.
formation of 1 g cm$^{-2}$ suggested by Krumholz & McKee (2008, which is the same for all cluster masses). This value corresponds to $4788 M_\odot$ pc$^{-2}$ and, assuming an average pre-cluster molecular cloud diameter of 0.76 pc, the average clump size in the three aforementioned observational data sets, it corresponds to $\rho_{\text{ecl}} = 4788/0.76 = 6202 M_\odot$ pc$^{-3}$ (thin solid line, for a homogeneous mass distribution within the clumps).

According to this interpretation, far more-massive and denser clumps were needed to form proto-GCs. Considering our derived constraints (solid squares in Fig. 6) and the data sets for GCs (solid circles) we find a relation between stellar mass–half-mass radius dependence of star clusters at birth of the form

$$r_h = 0.10^{+0.07}_{-0.04} \times \left( \frac{M_{\text{ecl}}}{M_\odot} \right)^{0.13 \pm 0.04},$$

which is consistent with a relation between the effective radius and star cluster mass for a sample of clusters in non-interacting spiral galaxies, $R_{\text{eff}} \propto M_{\odot}^{0.10 \pm 0.03}$, found by Larsen (2004). Zepf et al. (1999) and Scheepmaker et al. (2007) similarly demonstrated that there is a mass – radius relation for clusters that is shallow for both the galaxy merger NGC 3256 and young clusters in M 51.

In Fig. 7, the clusters that we have studied appear to follow an evolutionary sequence that depends on the number of completed initial crossing-times,

$$I_{\text{cr, initial}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{G}} M_{\text{ecl}}^{-1/2} r_h^{3/2}.$$

The Galactic GCs (Marks & Kroupa 2010) are older and, thus, dynamically more evolved but show similar initial-to-present-day density ratios as Chamaeleon, Praesepe, and the Pleiades.
The molecular clumps presented in Fig. 6 are expected to lie at the bottom-left corner of Fig. 7 as these continue to collapse before star formation sets in.

The results presented here suggest that bound star clusters reduce their birth density by a factor of a few hundred within 10–100 initial crossing times and then evolve at about constant density. The standard secular evolution tracks (without gas and binaries) as predicted by N-body models (Küpper et al. 2010) with initial conditions as stated in the caption of Fig. 7 are unable to explain the constrained location for the young clusters and GCs. The energy that initially expands clusters considerably instead needs to be produced to achieve consistency with the young cluster and GC data presented here. This production may be possible by residual-gas expulsion and/or the burning of binaries, which enables the freshly-hatched clusters to ascend the track described by the real clusters in this work. The most massive clusters may evolve into old GCs, but, after their gas expulsion- and binary-burning-driven expansion, at a slower pace in terms of the evolution of their density, which is probably similar to the quiescent evolution of the present N-body models. In this sense, GCs and open clusters (Pleiades, Praesepe) may have formed via a single cluster formation mode, as previously suggested by Larsen (2002). The intrinsic slope evident for the GCs in Fig. 7 may however suggest that the picture is more complicated. This needs to be tested by self-consistent N-body models of star clusters including gas expulsion and binaries as cluster heating sources.

5. Discussion

We have shown and discussed that evolving an assumed universal initial binary population in star clusters for the age of the object leads to theoretical semi-major axis distributions that are consistent with the observed ones in six young clusters and star-formation regions, as well as in two older open clusters. We needed to carefully select only the stellar densities at birth to adjust the strength of stimulated evolution. For all investigated objects, the theoretical semi-major axis distributions turn out to be parent functions of observational data.

Marks et al. (2011) demonstrated that there is an age-density degeneracy, i.e. regions of different age can have the same binary population if their birth densities are properly adjusted. This degeneracy is lifted here because the investigated populations have a reasonably well-known age allowing IDPS to be
applied to each cluster so that the initial density of a typical regions’ progenitor (i.e. a \( \sim 0.5 \) pc sub-clump or individual cluster in the case of Taurus but the whole ONC) can be inferred. Taurus appears to host a largelyunevolved binary population, in agreement with explicit \( N \)-body modelling (Kroupa & Bouvier 2003). The present-day observed masses and sizes of individual \( \sim 0.5 \) pc clumps in Taurus are therefore close to what is expected based on IDPS of the regions’ initial conditions. In the case of the ONC we need to assume the highest density at birth to turn the initial separation distribution into the observed one and its present-day mass and radius are rather far away from the ones at birth. In-between these two extremes, IC 348 and the Pleiades on the one hand and Praesepe, \( \rho \) Ophiuchus, and Chamaeleon on the other share very similar initial densities according to this analysis. For each cluster, the calculated initial density agrees with the pre-stellar cloud clump densities observed in molecular clouds (Fig. 6). This therefore suggests that the expansion caused both by residual-gas expulsion and efficient energy generation owing to binary-burning increases with the ratio of age to \( t_{\text{cr,initial}} \) (Fig. 7).

Furthermore, by adding the constraints on the birth densities for Galactic GCs obtained by Marks & Kroupa (2010), we uncover a stellar mass – half-mass radius relation of star-forming cloud cores (Eq. (7)). This relation implies that the radii of birth clusters have a weak stellar mass dependence, \( r_0 \propto M_{ecl}^{0.13 \pm 0.04} \), that is consistent with other observational data (Zepf et al. 1999; Larsen 2004; Scheepmaker et al. 2007).

King et al. (2012) compare the binary properties of five young clusters analysed here (except USco-A). They find no correlation between the present-day binary fraction and the present-day (instead of initial) number density, at least if Taurus is not considered. The present-day density might however not necessarily reflect the initial conditions as the large density of an initial configuration (with strong binary burning) can drop below the density of an initially less dense configuration only within a few Myr (cf. Fig. 4 in Marks et al. 2011). Residual-gas expulsion may enhance this effect.

Using \( N \)-body computations, King et al. (2012) tentatively argue for fractal initial conditions in these regions, even though this is not the best \( N \)-body model for all their studied regions. They invoke this substructure on different scales (\( \sim 1 \) pc for ONC- and IC 348-type clusters, but \( \sim 10 \) pc for Taurus-type configurations). The sizes of the clumps in Taurus are however comparable to the extent of the ONC and IC 348, as evident in their Figs. 7–11. Thus, there appears to be one dynamically relevant scale, i.e. the scale on which dynamical (binary) evolution is important, of about \( \sim 0.5–1 \) pc. This size corresponds well to the sizes in the \( N \)-body models on which the Marks et al. (2011) analytical model is based and that are used here to derive the initial densities.

The analysis here finally suggests that stimulated evolution plays an important role in shaping the present-day properties of binary populations in star clusters. There exists a formal initial binary distribution function that is consistent with the available multiplicity data of pre-main sequence and Class I protostellar populations (Kroupa & Petro-Gotzens 2011) and that helps us to understand the binary distributions in the eight objects investigated here simultaneously, assuming dynamics only. This suggests that there are no significant variations in binary-star formation depending on the ambient condition required, but that variations in the binary populations are mainly caused by stellar and dynamical evolution. This agrees with previous work and such an assumption would be similar to the universality hypothesis of the stellar IMF (Kroupa 2011; Kroupa & Petro-Gotzens 2011).

The alternative is that the birth binary populations depend on the cloud density such that a larger fraction of binaries form in low-density environments. This is a trivial solution to the observed trend. However, the IMF is known to be invariant for the star-forming conditions probed here (Kroupa et al. 2012), and it is unclear how the IMF of systems could be separated from the IMF of all individual stars if there were a variation in the properties of the initial binary population.
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