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ABSTRACT

Context. Converging flows with strong magnetic fields of different polarity can accelerate particles through magnetic reconnection. If the particle mean free path is longer than the reconnection layer is thick, but much shorter than the entire reconnection structure, the particle will mostly interact with the incoming flows potentially with a very low escape probability.

Aims. We explore, in general and also in some specific scenarios, the possibility of particles to be accelerated in a magnetic reconnection layer by interacting only with the incoming flows.

Methods. We characterize converging flows that undergo magnetic reconnection, and derive analytical estimates for the particle energy distribution, acceleration rate, and maximum energies achievable in these flows. We also discuss a scenario, based on jets dominated by magnetic fields of changing polarity, in which this mechanism may operate.

Results. The proposed acceleration mechanism operates if the reconnection layer is much thinner than its transversal characteristic size, and the magnetic field has a disordered component. Synchrotron losses may prevent electrons from entering in this acceleration regime. The acceleration rate should be faster, and the energy distribution of particles harder than in standard diffusive shock acceleration. The interaction of obstacles with the innermost region of jets in active galactic nuclei and microquasars may be suitable sites for particle acceleration in converging flows.
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1. Introduction

The interaction of outflows with themselves or their environment usually leads to particle acceleration (e.g. Rieger et al. 2007), and the most common acceleration mechanism in these circumstances is thought to be diffusive shock (Fermi I) acceleration (e.g. Krymskii 1977; Bell 1978a, b; Blandford & Ostriker 1978; Drury 1983). This process works well when a plasma with a weak disordered magnetic field (B) suffers a strong shock. The Fermi I acceleration efficiency depends on the energy gain when particles cross the shock, and on the escape probability downstream the shock, which is related to the shock compression ratio \( R = v/v_0 \), \( v_0 \) being the post(pre)-shock velocity.

In strong non-relativistic adiabatic shocks in the test-particle approximation \( R \) equals 4, and the resulting energy distribution \( Q(E) \propto 1/E^2 \) (e.g. Drury 1983). In radiative shocks, \( R \gg 4 \), but densities become very high and energy losses and damping of magnetic irregularities may limit the efficiency of the acceleration process (e.g. Drury et al. 1996; Reville et al. 2007; see nevertheless Sect. 2.2). For plasmas that carry a strong perpendicular B-component, \( R \sim 1 \), and Fermi I acceleration is suppressed. However, if the B-field has reversals, energy can be dissipated via magnetic reconnection in regions where the charge density is not sufficiently high to sustain different polarity B-lines (e.g. Parker 1957; Petschek 1964; Speiser 1965; Sonnerup 1971; Zenitani & Hoshino 2001), allowing for \( R \gg 1 \) (e.g. Drury 2012).

Studies show that magnetic reconnection can accelerate the bulk of particles up to the pre-reconnection Alfvénic speed, with some particles reaching even higher energies (e.g. Zenitani & Hoshino 2001; Lyubarsky & Liverts 2008; Kowal et al. 2011). Magnetic reconnection may also lead to the formation of strong shocks, accelerating particles through the standard Fermi I mechanism in an otherwise weakly compressive flow (e.g. Forbes 1988; Blackman & Field 1994; Sironi & Spitkovsky 2011). Fermi I particle acceleration may operate in the reconnection region itself (e.g. de Gouveia Dal Pino & Lazarian 2005; Giannios 2010; Drury 2012), since particles can bounce back and forth between the layer and the converging flows. The mean free path \( \lambda \) of these particles can eventually overcome the layer thickness, \( \Delta Y \), and then particles will not interact significantly with the reconnection layer, but with the perpendicular B-lines of the converging flows (Giannios 2010). In this case, the advection escape probability becomes formally zero because the flows do not move away from the reconnection layer. This is true as long as the mean free path of the particle parallel to \( B \), \( \lambda_p \), is much shorter than the characteristic size of the entire reconnection region, \( \Delta X \) (to order the magnetic field reversal scale). Escape in the opposite direction to the incoming flow can be neglected unless the region extension in this direction is \( \ll \Delta X \). A schematic picture of this scenario is presented in Fig. 1, which shows two cases: the interaction of a flow carrying different polarity B-lines with an obstacle (described in Sect. 3); and the interaction of two flows with different polarity B-lines. As shown in the figure,
particles can spiral back and forth between the two sides of the
reconnection layer until they diffuse away from the region.

In this note, we analytically explore the Fermi I mechanism when particles interact with converging flows in the null-escape probability regime. We assume that particles can effectively diffuse through the incoming flows. We focus on the case when magnetic reconnection is the mechanism that leads to fusion through the incoming flows. We discuss the flow dynamics below.

2. Particle acceleration in converging flows

In converging flows, particles slowly diffuse perpendicularly to the B-lines, with $\lambda_2 \sim r_c/\chi$, where $\chi$, with value $\gtrsim 1$, is the total to the disordered magnetic energy density ratio. Remarkably, a disordered $B$-component can enhance the reconnection rate and the reconnecting flow velocity $v$, as shown for instance in Lazarian & Vishniac (1999). The following limit for Fermi I to operate between the converging flows can be imposed: in the current sheet, $\lambda_2 > \Delta Y$, i.e. $E_{\text{min}} \sim \chi q B \Delta Y$, where $B_i$ is the $B$-field in there. Although one can expect that $B_i \ll B$ close to the center of the current sheet, we conservatively assume that over the whole reconnection layer $B_i \sim B$. On the other hand, the B-lines become more and more entangled close to the reconnection layer, so one can set there $\chi = 1$. The previous condition becomes

$$E > E_{\text{min}} \sim 0.3 B_0 \Delta Y_0 \, \text{TeV.}$$

(1)

In addition, to be efficiently accelerated, particles in the incoming flows should not drift too early along the B-lines, parallel to the layer, out of the reconnection region (cross-field diffusive escape will be slower by $1/\chi^2$). This is a sort of Hills limit: $\lambda_1 \sim 2 < \Delta X$, or

$$E_{\text{max}} \sim q B \Delta X / \chi \approx 3 B_0 \Delta X_{10} \chi^{-1} \, \text{TeV.}$$

(2)

This gives the maximum dynamical range

$$E_{\text{max}} / E_{\text{min}} \sim \chi^{-1} (\Delta X_{10} / \Delta Y_0).$$

(3)

Giannios (2010) accounted for the electric field between the sides of the reconnection layer: $e \sim v B$. The $e$-field accelerates the particles perpendicularly to $B$ and $v$, with $E_{\text{max}} \sim (e/c) q B \Delta X$. The fraction of time spent by particles within the reconnection layer during an acceleration cycle is $\Delta Y / v_{\text{cycle}}$, and thus this effect will be important at $E \sim E_{\text{min}}$ or for very ordered fields.

From Eqs. (2),(3) one can see that the quantities $\chi$ and $\Delta X / \Delta Y$ are crucial for the efficiency of the discussed acceleration mechanism. The derivation of $\chi$ requires a detailed treatment of the plasma, but as mentioned, given the dramatic change of the $B$-geometry, close to the reconnection layer $\chi \sim 1$. On scales of $\Delta X$, and given the high plasma characteristic speeds, the disordered $B$-field generated in the reconnection layer may propagate to the converging flows, although such a $B$-component may also have an external origin. To estimate $\Delta X / \Delta Y$, we briefly discuss the flow dynamics below.

2.1. Flow dynamics

The plasma that enters, flows along, and eventually leaves the reconnection layer, as shown in Fig. 2, can be described by the particle and energy flux conservation equations:

$$A_p \rho v = \rho' v'$$

(4)

and

$$A v B^2 / 8 \pi = \rho' \rho' e^3 / 2,$$

(5)

where unprimed/primed quantities correspond to the incoming/outgoing flows, $\rho$ is the density, $v$ the velocity, and $A \sim \Delta X^2$ and $A' \sim 4 \Delta X \Delta Y$ are the in- and out-flow areas, respectively. The flow pressure is assumed to be negligible in the converging flows because of strong $B$-dominance. When leaving the region, under negligible external pressure and reasonable geometries, the flow kinetic energy becomes dominant and pressure can be neglected as well.

Given the symmetry of the problem, there is no momentum conservation equation, and the conditions deep within the reconnection layer are hard to determine. Equations (4) and (5) yield $v' \approx v_{\text{A}} = \sqrt{B^2/4 \pi p}$ and thus $\rho' \approx \rho (A/A')(v/v_{\text{A}})$, but one has to make additional assumptions to obtain $\Delta X / \Delta Y$. An estimate
may be derived assuming one-dimensional adiabatic compression of $B_0$, the remaining field after reconnection in the layer, until it balances the incoming field pressure. This gives $\rho' / \rho \sim (B_0 / B_1)$ and therefore an area ratio $A' / A \approx (B_1 / B_0)(\epsilon_1 / \epsilon_0)$, or $\Delta X / \Delta Y \sim 4(B_1 / B_0)(\epsilon_1 / \epsilon_0)$. An upper limit for $\Delta X / \Delta Y$ would come from $\Delta Y \sim (r_0)$, where $(r_0)$ is the gyroradius of the average particle in the reconnection layer. A lower limit may be derived assuming equilibrium between the upstream magnetic pressure and the thermal pressure inside the layer of thickness $\Delta Y$, implying $(\Delta X / \Delta Y) \sim 4(\epsilon_1 / \epsilon_0)$. One can therefore conclude that the dynamical range may span several orders of magnitude in energy, but it needs an efficient magnetic-to-kinetic energy transfer (which may be reasonable, as suggested in Drury 2012).

### 2.2. Acceleration and radiation processes

Like in standard diffusive shock acceleration, particles gain energy by moving back and forth between the incoming flows as $\Delta E / E \sim v / c$ per cycle. In addition, since there is no advection directed outwards, the probability to cross back to the other side of the reconnection layer becomes 1 after covering few $\lambda_\perp$ in each incoming flow, i.e., $t_{cycle} \sim (k / \chi) r_0 / c$, with $k \sim 10$. This $t_{cycle}$ gives an acceleration rate

$$E_{acc} \sim \Delta E / t_{cycle} = (\chi / k)(v / c) q B c .$$

(6)

and the zero advection escape probability renders a particle energy distribution $Q(E) \propto 1 / E$ up to energies a few times lower than $E_{max}^\parallel$, at which the distribution drops very quickly. Note that this mechanism is faster in $E_{acc}$ $(t / c)$ vs. $(v / c)^2$ and yields a harder $Q(E) (1 / E vs. 1 / E^2)$ than standard Fermi I. Such a hard distribution of accelerated particles can become dominant in pressure. This should smooth the hard distribution of accelerated particles can become dominant.

In general, to accelerate particles to TeV energies, at least mildly converging flow acceleration is to be expected. The acceleration process may take place naturally in a current sheet that originated from the accretion disc (e.g. Blumenthal & Gould 1970), but magnetically dominated astrophysical outflows, such as pulsar winds, and microquasar and AGN jets, are expected to be magnetically dominated at their formation and acceleration zones (e.g. Corinov 1990; Bogovalov & Tsinganos 1999; Beskin & Nokhrina 2006; Komissarov et al. 2007). In pulsar winds, magnetic reconnection may take place naturally in a current sheet that originated at the wind equatorial region (Corinov 1990; Lyubarsky & Kirk 2001). In relativistic jets, a B-field with reversals could come from the accretion disc (e.g. Barkov & Baushev 2011), but magnetic dissipation may need to be triggered through jet acceleration, which could drive magnetic reconnection through the Kruskal-Schwarzschild instability (see Lyubarsky 2010). A similar though more extreme effect can be expected if an obstacle is entrained by the jet (e.g. Hubbard & Blackman 2006; Araudo et al. 2009, 2010; Barkov et al. 2010, 2012; Peruchot & Bosch-Ramon 2012; Bosch-Ramon et al. 2012) with a dominant B-field by polarity reversals of size $\Delta X$. Under the ongoing magnetic reconnection, the incoming jet material and the obstacle itself can play the role of converging flows with a high $\Delta X / \Delta Y$ ratio. To avoid the suppression of the acceleration process, the shocked obstacle must fulfill the following conditions: high inertia, to avoid quick dragging by the jet; not too high density, to avoid fast radiation cooling; and a mean free path much shorter than the obstacle size $(D_0)$, to avoid fast particle escape.

Before exploring the case of magnetized jets, we note that our results may also be applied to weakly magnetized flows with radiative shocks. Particle acceleration between the upstream and the cooled downstream would require sufficiently energetic particles to cross the adiabatic region of the post-shock flow and reach the cooled downstream medium. Another condition would be that the particle cooling/escape timescales were longer everywhere than the acceleration one. In this context, young stellar object jet shocks, or the dense winds of two massive stars colliding, may be sources worthy of being investigated.

### 3. The case of magnetized jets

Powerful astrophysical outflows, such as pulsar winds, and microquasar and AGN jets, are expected to be magnetically dominated at their formation and acceleration zones (e.g. Corinov 1990; Bogovalov & Tsinganos 1999; Beskin & Nokhrina 2006; Komissarov et al. 2007). In pulsar winds, magnetic reconnection may take place naturally in a current sheet that originated at the wind equatorial region (Corinov 1990; Lyubarsky & Kirk 2001). In relativistic jets, a B-field with reversals could come from the accretion disc (e.g. Barkov & Baushev 2011), but magnetic dissipation may need to be triggered through jet acceleration, which could drive magnetic reconnection through the Kruskal-Schwarzschild instability (see Lyubarsky 2010). A similar though more extreme effect can be expected if an obstacle is entrained by the jet (e.g. Hubbard & Blackman 2006; Araudo et al. 2009, 2010; Barkov et al. 2010, 2012; Peruchot & Bosch-Ramon 2012; Bosch-Ramon et al. 2012) with a dominant B-field by polarity reversals of size $\Delta X$. Under the ongoing magnetic reconnection, the incoming jet material and the obstacle itself can play the role of converging flows with a high $\Delta X / \Delta Y$ ratio. To avoid the suppression of the acceleration process, the shocked obstacle must fulfill the following conditions: high inertia, to avoid quick dragging by the jet; not too high density, to avoid fast radiation cooling; and a mean free path much shorter than the obstacle size $(D_0)$, to avoid fast particle escape.

For a jet/obstacle interaction at $z_i \sim 100 R_{sch}$ from the central object, where $R_{sch} \approx 3 \times 10^{15} (M / 10^8 M_\odot) cm$, a jet width $D_j \sim 0.1 z_i \sim 3 \times 10^{14} (M / 10^8 M_\odot) cm$ and magnetic field $B \sim 10^3 L_j^{3/4} (M / 10^8 M_\odot)^{-3/2} G$, and $D_o \gg X$, the luminosity budget available for dissipation will be $L_d \sim (D_o / D_j)^2 L_j \leq L_j$, where $L_j$ is the jet power. Assuming $\Delta X \sim R_{sch}$, the electron and proton maximum energies will be $E_{max}^e \sim 1 (M / 10^8 M_\odot)^{1/2} L_j^{1/4} TeV$, and $E_{max}^p \sim 10^4 L_j^{3/4} TeV$. 
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Given the strong magnetic fields, the condition $\Delta Y_0 \lesssim B_{\perp}^{-2}/s$ may not hold; if so, electrons could only be accelerated within the reconnection layer. Thus, in AGN jet/obstacle interactions, magnetic reconnection itself could potentially accelerate electrons up to TeV energies. Protons, on the other hand, could be accelerated in the converging flows\(^1\) to ultra high energies or even beyond depending on $\Delta X$, $L_j$, and $D_\perp$ (see Giannios 2010, for similar results). Electrons would yield hard synchrotron radiation peaking around 10 MeV. Proton synchrotron emission, of hard spectrum and peaking around 100 GeV, may be efficient as well under these conditions (see, e.g., Barkov et al. 2012). Emission through $pp$ inside the obstacle could be also significant (Barkov et al. 2010), as well as photomeson production in very bright AGN. Secondary very energetic $e^\pm$ pairs from $\pi^\pm$-decay would radiate ultra high-energy photons through synchrotron emission that could pair-create in the jet radio fields on pc-scales, being reprocessed as synchrotron and IC photons of lower energies.

In microquasar jets, where, say, $M \sim 10 M_\odot$ and $L_j \sim 10^{36}$ erg s\(^{-1}\), magnetic reconnection in the jet/outboundary at $z_j \sim 100R_{\text{sch}}$ could lead to synchrotron MeV flares produced by GeV electrons. A minor synchrotron self-Compton component in GeV may be also expected. PeV protons may be accelerated in the converging flows, and if they met a nearby thick target, for instance the obstacle itself or a dense field of energetic photons, they could produce hard emission peaking at $\sim 100$ TeV through $pp$ or photomeson production, plus hard TeV synchrotron radiation from the subsequent $e^\pm$ pairs. In compact and powerful objects photon-photon absorption would be severe, radiation being re-emitted as soft gamma rays via synchrotron emission. It is not clear which kind of obstacle may be present in the innermost regions of a microquasar jet, although in the (unlikely) event that the jet remained strongly magnetized up to the binary scales ($>100 R_{\text{sch}}$), a clumpy stellar wind could provide those obstacles (Araudo et al. 2009).

In both microquasars and AGN, the reconnection process can be efficient as long as the obstacle has a velocity different from that of the flow. In this process, for $D_\perp \sim D_j$, a significant fraction of $L_j$ may be released, with potential fluxes at high energies $F \sim 10^{−10}$ erg cm\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\) x $L_{d,44}$ ($d/100$ Mpc)\(^{-2}\) for AGN, and $\times L_{d,36}$ ($d/10$ kpc)\(^{-2}\) for microquasars. This radiation would be roughly isotropic, but for obstacles small and light enough to be accelerated by the jet, the emission would become progressively Doppler-boosted and enhanced for specific viewing angles. The obstacle may expand, enhancing the radiation, and possibly fragment while accelerated. All this should lead to complex spectral and variability patterns (e.g. Barkov et al. 2010, 2012). The typical timescale of the whole interaction process will be the time the obstacle remains as such (see, e.g., Bosch-Ramon et al. 2012), whereas the reconnection events will have a variability timescale $\sim \min(D_\perp, \Delta X)/v$, where $v \ll c$.
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\(^1\) Even if jets were formed only by $e^\pm$ pairs, protons may be entrained from the environment, e.g. the obstacle itself.