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ABSTRACT

Context. The variation of the dimensionless fundamental physical constant $\mu = m_p/m_e$ – the proton to electron mass ratio – can be constrained via observation of Lyman and Werner lines of molecular hydrogen in the spectra of damped Lyman alpha systems (DLAs) in the line of sight to distant QSOs.

Aims. Our intention is to maximize the possible precision of quasar absorption spectroscopy with regard to the investigation of the variation of the proton-to-electron mass-ratio $\mu$. The demand for precision requires an understanding of the errors involved and effective techniques to handle present systematic errors.

Methods. An analysis based on UVES high resolution data sets of QSO 0347-383 and its DLA is put forward and new approaches to some of the steps involved in the data analysis are introduced. We apply corrections for the observed offsets between discrete spectra and for the first time we find indications for inter-order distortions.

Results. Drawing on VLT-UVES observations of QSO 0347-383 in 2009 our analysis yields $\Delta\mu/\mu = (4.3 \pm 7.2) \times 10^{-6}$ at $z_{\text{abs}} = 3.025$.

Conclusions. Current analyzes tend to underestimate the impact of systematic errors. Based on the scatter of the measured redshifts and the corresponding low significance of the redshift-sensitivity correlation we estimate the limit of accuracy of line position measurements to $\sim 220$ m s$^{-1}$, consisting of roughly 150 m s$^{-1}$ due to the uncertainty of the absorption line fit and about 150 m s$^{-1}$ allocated to systematics related to instrumentation and calibration.
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1. Introduction

The standard model of particle physics contains several fundamental constants whose values cannot be predicted by theory and need to be measured through experiments (Fritzsch 2009). They are the mass of the elementary particles and the dimensionless coupling constants which are assumed time-invariant although in theoretical models which seek to unify the four forces of nature they vary naturally on cosmological scales. The fine-structure constant $\alpha \equiv e^2/(4\pi\varepsilon_0\hbar c)$ and the proton-to-electron mass ratio, $\mu = m_p/m_e$, are two constants that can be probed in the laboratory as well as in the Universe by means of observations of absorption lines due to intervening systems in the spectra of distant QSOs and have been the subject of numerous studies. The former is related to the electromagnetic force while the latter is sensitive primarily to the quantum chromodynamic scale (see Flambaum 2004).

A probe of the variation of $\mu$ could be obtained by comparing relative frequencies of the electro-vibro-rotational lines of H$_2$ as first applied by Varshalovich & Levshakov (1993) after Thompson (1975) proposed the general approach to utilize molecule transitions for $\mu$-determination. The original paper by Thompson (1975) did not take into account the different sensitivities within the molecular bands, which is the key of the modern approach.

The method is based on the fact that the wavelengths of vibro-rotational lines of molecules depend on the reduced mass, $M_\text{red}$, of the molecule. For molecular hydrogen $M = m_p/2$ so that the comparison of an observed vibro-rotational spectrum with its present analog will give information on the variation of $M_\text{red}$ and $m_e$. Comparing electro-vibro-rotational lines with different sensitivity coefficients gives a measurement of $\Delta\mu/\mu$.

The observed wavelength $\lambda_{\text{obs},i}$ of any given line in an absorption system at the redshift $z$ differs from the local rest-frame wavelength $\lambda_{0,i}$ of the same line in the laboratory according to the relation

$$\lambda_{\text{obs},i} = \lambda_{0,i}(1+z)\left(1 + K_i \frac{\Delta \mu}{\mu}\right),$$

where $K_i$ is the sensitivity coefficient of the $i$th component computed theoretically for the Lyman and Werner bands of the H$_2$ molecule (Varshalovich & Levshakov 1993; Varshalovich & Potekhin 1995; Potekhin et al. 1998; Meshkov et al. 2007; Ubachs et al. 2007).

It is useful to measure variations in velocities with comparison to the redshift of a given system defined by the redshift position of the lines with $K_i \approx 0$, then introducing the reduced redshift $\xi_i$:

$$\frac{\Delta V_i}{c} \approx \xi_i \equiv \frac{z_i - z}{1 + z} = K_i \frac{\Delta \mu}{\mu}.$$  

The velocity shifts of the lines are linearly proportional to $\Delta \mu/\mu$ which can be measured through a regression analysis in the $\Delta V_i - K_i$ plane.
This method was used to obtain a bound on the secular variation of the proton-to-electron mass ratio at $\Delta \mu/\mu = (2.1 \pm 3.6) \times 10^{-6}$ from observations of the newly discovered H$_2$ absorption systems at $z_{\text{abs}} = 3.0$ towards QSO 0347-383 (Levshakov et al. 2002). Subsequent measures of the absorption systems of QSO 0347-383 and QSO 1232+082 provided a strong indication of a variation $(2.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$ at $3.5 \sigma$ (Reinholdt et al. 2006; Ubachs et al. 2007). Earlier works (Ivanchik et al. 2005) also find hints for variation, but are still dominated by inaccuracies of the laboratory wavelengths. However, more recently King et al. (2008), Wendt & Reimers (2008), Thompson et al. (2009a), Wendt & Molaro (2011), and Bagdonaite et al. (2012) with $\Delta \mu/\mu = (-6.8 \pm 27.8) \times 10^{-6}$ at $z_{\text{abs}} = 2.426$ towards QSO 2348-011 reported a result in agreement with no variation.

The more stringent limits on $\Delta \mu/\mu$ have been found from the combination of three H$_2$ systems at $\Delta \mu/\mu = (2.6 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-6}$ (King et al. 2008) and taking into account additional transitions from deuterated molecular hydrogen (HD) in King et al. (2011). A fourth system has provided $\Delta \mu/\mu = (+5.6 \pm 5.5_{\text{stat}} + 2.9_{\text{syst}}) \times 10^{-6}$ (Malec et al. 2010).

An independent method relies on the inversion spectrum of ammonia as shown by Flambaum & Kozlov (2007). Ammonia NH$_3$ inversion transitions are very sensitive to changes in $\mu$ due to a tunneling effect. The sensitivity coefficient of the inversion transition can be almost two orders of magnitude more sensitive to $\mu$-variation than H$_2$ molecular rotational frequencies. Thus by comparing the inversion frequency of NH$_3$(1,1) with a rotational frequency of another co-spatial molecule it is possible to find a variation of $\mu$.

Flambaum & Kozlov (2007) combine three detected NH$_3$ absorption spectra from B0218+357 with rotational spectra of CO, HCO$^+$, and HCN to place a limit of $(0.6 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-6}$ for a look-back time of 6 Gyr (redshift $z = 0.68$). More recently Kanekar (2011) obtained $\Delta \mu/\mu < 3.6 \times 10^{-7}$ (3-$\sigma$ level) for the same object. Murphy et al. (2008) with newly obtained high signal-to-noise rotational spectra of HCO$^+$ and HCN obtained $<1.8 \times 10^{-6}$ at a 95% CL.

Henkel et al. (2009) obtained a firm upper limit of $10^{-6}$ for a look-back time of 7 Gyr ($z = 0.89$) towards PKS 1830-211. This method is limited to low redshifts due to the small number of NH$_3$ sources in general and to the large line widths and chemical segregation of different molecules at higher redshifts. For sources in the local Milky Way, however, a very strict upper limit of $|\Delta \mu/\mu| < 3 \times 10^{-8}$ was found utilizing both the ammonia method (Levshakov et al. 2010a,b), and the methanol method (Levshakov et al. 2011). Using ammonia and methanol, Ellingsen et al. (2012) found $\Delta \mu/\mu < 4 \times 10^{-7}$ at $z \sim 0.886$ towards B1830-210 at a 95% CL. Laboratory experiments by comparing the rates between clocks based on hyperfine transitions in atoms with a different dependence on $\mu$ restrict the time-dependence of $\mu$ at the level of $(\mu/\mu)_{\text{nl}} = (1.6 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-15}$ yr$^{-1}$ (Blatt et al. 2008).

In the following we will concentrate on the H$_2$ system observed towards QSO 0347-383 to trace the proton-to-electron mass ratio $\mu$ at high redshift ($z_{\text{abs}} = 3.025$). The motivation for re-analysis of QSO 0347-383 is given by the dramatically enhanced quality of the recent data of this quasar for the purpose of setting constraints on $\Delta \mu/\mu$. The single velocity component in H$_2$ absorption renders QSO 347-383 a prime target to further investigate the impact of wavelength calibration issues. Trying to reach a sensitivity of few parts per million everywhere becomes important and the special requirements of the observations as described in the following section are absolutely mandatory.

### Table 1. Journal of the observations (2009 data).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>Exp [s]</th>
<th>DIMM [arcsec]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2009-09-20</td>
<td>05:05:46</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>1.21(0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2009-09-20</td>
<td>08:28:48</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>3812</td>
<td>1.73(0.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2009-09-21</td>
<td>04:45:51</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>1.15(0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2009-09-21</td>
<td>06:18:45</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>1.21(0.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2009-09-21</td>
<td>07:59:24</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>1.08(0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2009-09-22</td>
<td>04:41:37</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>0.97(0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2009-09-22</td>
<td>06:14:25</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>1.03(0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2009-09-22</td>
<td>07:59:19</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>1.00(0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2009-09-23</td>
<td>04:24:05</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>1.36(0.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2009-09-23</td>
<td>05:56:49</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>1.18(0.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2009-09-23</td>
<td>07:29:35</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>0.95(0.23)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The recent observations of QSO 0347-383 were performed with UVES on VLT on the nights of September 20–24, 2009. The journal of these observations is given in Table 1. The DIC 2 setting was used with blue setting and the 437 nm grating. The CCDs were not binned with pixel size of 0.013–0.015 Å, or 1.12 km s$^{-1}$ at 400 nm along the dispersion direction. The observations are comprised of 11 exposures on four successive nights, of which 10 exposures were of 5400 s and one of 3812 s. Eight of the spectra with setting 437+760 and three the 437+860 setting, providing a coverage in the blue spectral ranges between 373–500 nm. QSO 0347-383 has no flux below 370 nm due to the Lyman discontinuity of the $z_{\text{abs}} = 3.023$ absorption system. The slit width was set to 0.7'' for all observations providing a mean resolving power of $\lambda/\Delta \lambda = 66000$. Within each order the resolving power varies by about 15–20% being higher at the starting wavelength of each order. The average seeing along the exposures as recorded by the DIMM at Paranal is given in the last column of Table 1. We note, however, that the actual seeing at the UT2 of VLT was significantly better than that recorded by the DIMM.

The 11 different spectra and the corresponding co-added data (bottom) are shown in a region around L4R1 in Fig. 1.

### 2.2. Reduction

The last version of the UVES pipeline$^1$ has been used for data reduction. The pipeline first uses a set of five bias to make a spectrum, a 30 s calibration frame was recorded.

---

$^1$ Version 4.9.5.
changes move in the cross dispersers in different ways, thus introducing relative shifts between the different spectral ranges in different exposures.

It should be emphasized that this effect has not been taken into account in the analysis performed so far on UVES data for μ variability.

There are no measurable temperature changes for the short exposures of the calibration lamps but during the much longer science exposures the temperature drifts generally by 0.1 K, and in two cases the drift is of 0.2 K while in other two there is no measurable change. Pressure values are surveyed at the beginning and end of the exposures and changes range from 0.2 to 0.8 mbar. The estimates for UVES are of 50 m s$^{-1}$ for $ΔT = 0.3$ K or a $ΔP = 1$ mbar (Kaufer et al. 2004), thus assuring a radial velocity stability within $∼50$ m s$^{-1}$.

Individual spectra are corrected for the motion of the observatory about the barycenter of the Earth-Sun system and then reduced to vacuum. The velocity component along the direction to the object of the barycentric velocity of the observatory was calculated using the date and time of the midpoint of the integration to minimize the influence of changes. The changes in radial velocity during exposure induce a symmetric modification of the line profile. The absorption profile is not strictly Gaussian (or Voigt) anymore but rather slightly squared-shaped (since the FWHM of the line is $≈5$ km s$^{-1}$ and the smearing of the line by Earth motions of $±40$ m s$^{-1}$ the effect is negligible. The line shapes remain symmetric in any case and possible changes of radial velocities during exposure effects only the quality of the fit, it does not influence the measured centroid of an absorption line. The wavelength scale was then corrected for this motion so that the final wavelengths are vacuum wavelengths as observed in a reference frame at rest relative to the barycenter.

The air wavelengths have been transformed into vacuum by means of the dispersion formula by Edlen (1966). Drifts in the refractive index of air inside the spectrograph between the ThAr and quasar exposures will therefore cause miscalibrations. According to the Edlen formula for the refractive index of air, temperature and atmospheric pressure changes of 1 K and 1 mbar would cause differential velocity shifts between 370 nm and 440 nm of $∼10$ m s$^{-1}$.

3. Preprocessing

3.1. Spectral radial velocity shifts

Wendt & Molaro (2011) showed the presence of overall shifts between spectra obtained with slit spectrographs such as UVES. For checking such a possibility in the new data set we obtained a median velocity per each exposure by fitting as many lines as possible in each of the eleven spectra. The 50 H$_2$ lines were initially selected for that, but due to the relatively low SN spectra in single exposures, not all could be fitted, and for instance most of the weak $J = 0$ transitions have been missed. The median radial velocity in respect to the chosen absorber redshift derived from all detected lines within an individual exposure ranges from $−200$ m s$^{-1}$ to $+354$ m s$^{-1}$ for the 11 spectra. Due to the low quality of the fits to single lines of individual spectra with low signal-to-noise, the median was chosen. Despite the low quality of the individual fits the median velocities listed in Table 2 are well defined as shown in Fig. 2. Bootstrapping is a useful practice of estimating properties of an estimator (i.e. its error). For example 48 line positions were determined in spectrum 8 (see Table 2). Their median velocity offset corresponds to 310 m s$^{-1}$.

The bootstrap histograms were implemented by constructing a
Table 2. Median velocities of single spectra.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spectrum</th>
<th>Median velocity [km s(^{-1})]</th>
<th>Lines considered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.136</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.206</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.107</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-0.004</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0.0446

Fig. 2. Exemplary bootstrap histogram of the median position of lines in spectrum 8 with respect to \( z = 3.02488917 \). The Gaussian fit corresponds to \( 302 \pm 4 \) m s\(^{-1}\) (compare Table 2).

number of resamples of the observed line positions (and of equal size to the observed data set), each of which is obtained by random sampling with replacement from the original data set.

For the data at hand the obtained velocity offsets bear no statistical significance because of the large scatter of the individual position measurements per single spectrum. The resulting offsets are, however, comparably well defined which made us confident to apply this procedure. The described method had no significant impact on the final result at the current level but it might provide a helpful tool in the future to check for potential inter-spectra shifts. The resulting velocities reflect the offsets of the individual spectra to a reference redshift of the \( \text{H}_2 \) absorber. Of the 11 shifts a mean velocity offset (relative to the assumed \( z_{\text{abs}} \)) of \( 46 \) m s\(^{-1}\) was computed\(^2\). This low residual offset verifies the assumed redshift for the absorption system.

4. Selection of lines and line fitting

For the analysis a total set of 50 \( \text{H}_2 \) lines are fitted. This pre-selection is based on earlier line identifications (see Wendt & Molaro 2011) including curve of growth analysis to avoid blends with the Lyman-\( \alpha \) forest or other \( \text{H}_2 \) lines. The separate spectra are not coadded in this step since the fitting algorithm works on the different data sets simultaneously. This allows us to omit further rebinning of the data. The fitting code is based on an evolutionary algorithm, which tracks the global minimum via an interactive process of covering the parameter space (see Quast et al. 2005). Each set of fitting parameters is evaluated via \( \chi^2 \) in every single spectra. Thus, there is no need to redistribute the flux of each exposure to pixels of equal central wavelengths. Constant velocity offsets, however, potentially influence the shapes of the absorption features as would be the case of coadded spectra. Evolutionary fitting algorithms are less prone to converge in a local minimum rather than find the global minimum. An advantage over Monte Carlo chain methods as applied for the purpose of line fitting in simple cases for example in King et al. (2008) is the drastically reduced need for computer power. Additionally, the principle of evaluating multiple groups of parameters independently allows for consequent parallel computing.

For each set of lines sharing the same rotational level a common column density and a common broadening parameter is fitted with simplified pseudo-Voigt-function profiles. For weak lines a mere Gaussian profile would suffice since natural line broadening has no noticeable impact on the line shapes. In case of QSO 0347-383, only a single component is observed in \( \text{H}_2 \). The only free parameter per each individual line is the radial velocity with respect to the absorber redshift. Out of the 50 \( \text{H}_2 \) lines analyzed, eight were excluded since they showed a comparably large positioning error of more than \( 300 \) m s\(^{-1}\). This is mostly due to a continuum highly contaminated by the presence of hydrogen absorption in the environment of the affected lines.

We note that our procedure is different from the one followed by King et al. (2008). They fitted numerous additional components in a region of \( \text{H}_2 \) absorption to narrow down the \( \chi^2 \) of the fit to the data.

Figure 3 compares a portion of spectrum used in the analyses by Ivančik et al. (2005), King et al. (2008), Thompson et al. (2009), and Wendt & Molaro (2011) with the same portion of the new data we are analyzing here. The solid red vertical lines mark the \( \text{H}_2 \) component L4R1 and L4P1 and the dotted red lines indicate the 12 additional lines in that region. The upper plot corresponds to the data of 2009 and reveals that some of the extra components clearly recreate the flux observed in 2002 but evidently do not correspond to factual properties of the absorber.

In King et al. (2008) the evolution of \( \chi^2 \) with an increasing number of additional free lines is the main criterion to fix the total number of components. While that approach clearly reduces the residuals of the fit, it may not reflect the physical properties of the absorber. Though it is likely that the absorber structure is too complex to be represented by a single component, we prefer to integrate the uncertainty of the true nature of the velocity components into the fitting uncertainty rather than “generating” components to fill up the flux in a poorly known continuum. Higher resolution spectra may verify or falsify some of the decisions on additional components and help to distinguish between apparent precision (lower \( \chi^2 \)) and reached accuracy (better description of the physical conditions of the absorber) or rather the limit on information on the absorber.

5. Results

In Fig. 4 the measured radial velocities of the 42 \( \text{H}_2 \) lines are plotted against the sensitivity towards \( k \) coefficients of the corresponding transition. Any correlation therein would indicate

\(^2\) A non-zero mean radial velocity directly reflects a deviation from the assumed absorber redshift.

\(^3\) Their individual positions are extracted from the plot in King et al. (2008).
a variation of $\mu$ at $z = 3.025$ with respect to laboratory values.

Table 3 lists the broadening parameter and the column density for all the lines from one particular $J$ level fit together and consistently with one $N$ and one $b$ per rotation level.

The data give no hint towards variation of the proton-to-electron mass ratio in the course of cosmic time. The uncertainties in the line positions of the H$_2$ features due to the photon noise are estimated by the fitting algorithm. These are shown in the errorbars in Fig. 4 and reported in Table 4. The mean error in the line positioning is of 152 m s$^{-1}$. Even at first glance the given errorbars in Fig. 4 appear to be too small to explain the observed scatter.

Figure 5 shows the same line data as is Fig. 4 but lines with similar $k$ values are binned. For a better overview, errorbars are omitted. The red data points (crosses) reflect the radial velocity within a small sensitivity range (given as x-errorbar). The $y$-errorbars correspond to the standard deviation of the mean value of the scatter within such a bin. The scatter within the bins can not be attributed to possible variations of $\mu$ since it is present for basically the same sensitivity parameter. The scatter is of the order of 220 m s$^{-1}$ and thus larger than the positioning error of the individual lines. That is also reflected by a reduced $\chi^2$ of 2.7 for a weighted linear fit to the data (corresponding to $\Delta\mu/\mu = (1.8 \pm 8.2) \times 10^{-6}$ at $z_{abs} = 3.025$). The true scatter of the data is of the order of 220 m s$^{-1}$ and constitutes an absolute limit of precision. The above mentioned errors of the fitting procedure require an additional systematic component to explain the observed scatter:

$$\sigma_{obs} \sim \sqrt{\sigma^2_{pos} + \sigma^2_{sys}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

with $\sigma_{obs} = 220$ m s$^{-1}$, $\sigma_{pos} = 152$ m s$^{-1}$, and $\sigma_{sys} = 151$ m s$^{-1}$.

A direct linear fit to the unweighted data yields:

$$\Delta\mu/\mu = (4.2 \pm 7.7) \times 10^{-6}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Bootstrap analysis is a robust approach to obtain a linear fit to the data in Fig. 4 and estimate an error based on the true scatter of the data. The corresponding bootstrap histogram including Gaussian fit is illustrated in Fig. 6. The Gaussian fit gives:

$$\Delta\mu/\mu = (4.3 \pm 7.2) \times 10^{-6}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

6. Systematics

Any process which influences the measured redshift in dependence with the excitation energy would mimic a variation in $\mu$ since the transitions from higher excited states naturally show
a stronger sensitivity towards changes in $\mu$ (see Varshalovich & Levshakov 1993). Figure 7 marks the wavelength ranges covered by the different orders of the CCD spectrum. Decreasing wavelengths are plotted rightwards since K sensitivity factors are increasing almost linearly with decreasing wavelengths and therefore Fig. 7 is comparable with Fig. 4. This new figure shows no trend but again a rather high scatter within the individual orders can be perceived.

In the following, we consider a possible correlation of the line position uncertainty and its relative position within an order. Spectral distortions within the spectral orders have been investigated at the Keck/HIRES spectrograph by comparing the ThAr wavelength scale with a second one established from I2-cell observations of a bright quasar by Griest et al. (2010). In the wavelength range $\sim 5000–6200$ Å covered by the iodine cell absorption they found both absolute offsets which can be as large as 500–1000 m s$^{-1}$ and an additional saw-tooth distortion pattern with an amplitude of about 300 m s$^{-1}$. The distortions are such that transitions at the order edges appear at different velocities with respect to transitions at the order centers when calibrated with a ThAr exposure. This would introduce relative velocity shifts between different absorption features up to a magnitude the analysis with regard to $\Delta \mu/\mu$ is sensitive to.

Whitmore et al. (2010) recently repeated the same test for UVES with similar finding though the saw-tooth distortions show slightly reduced peak-to-peak velocity variations of $\sim 200$ m s$^{-1}$. The physical explanation for those distortions is not yet known, so it is still to be examined whether the deviations are the same at other wavelengths or depend on the specific exposure.

The available solar atlas can be used to check UVES interorder distortions as suggested in Molaro & Centurion (2011). For this purpose UVES observations of the solar spectrum reflected by the asteroid Iris were taken on Sep. 2009 with a resolving power $R \approx 85,000$.

The differences of the positions in the UVES spectrum and the absolute positions of the solar atlas for 238 solar photospheric lines in the region between 500–530 nm (Orders 121 to 116) are shown in Fig. 8. The schematic saw-tooth pattern detected by Whitmore et al. (2010) is also sketched in the figure.
The stochastic distribution of the data points does not allow for any conclusion to be drawn about an underlying pattern. The observed dispersion is of 82 m s\(^{-1}\). Since the typical error in the measurement of lines in the UVES Iris spectrum is of \(\approx 30\) m s\(^{-1}\) and wavelength calibration residuals are of 25 m s\(^{-1}\), there is an excess in the observed dispersion which suggests the presence of local deviations in the UVES spectrum. The saw-tooth pattern detected by Whitmore et al. (2010) is not revealed by our test.

Figure 9 sorts the observed lines according to their relative position within their order. The origin of the abscissa reflects the central position within an order. All observed orders are stretched to an identical scale and over-plotted for this purpose. Lines near \(-1/2\) on the X-axis are positioned near the left rim of the order, and so on. The distribution of obtained radial velocities seems to show a certain periodic pattern. The blue curve shows a fitted cosine with an amplitude of 151 m s\(^{-1}\). Considering the errorbars of the individual lines, this is no more than a slight indication which supports the presence of local distortions resulting from a non perfect calibration. Systematic errors at the level of few hundred m s\(^{-1}\) have been revealed also in the UVES data by comparison of relative shifts of lines with comparable response to changes of fundamental constants (Centurion et al. 2009) and Molaro et al. (in prep.). Molaro et al. (in prep.) suggest that these distortions may originate from the block stiching of the CCDs. A CCD device is built-up by means of several subunit blocks with typical sizes of 512 pixels. The stitching of the blocks process produces misalignments of the order of few 0.01 of the pixel size in the block conjunctions. The ThAr has not enough lines to follow these imperfections which are therefore flattened in the pixel-to-wavelength conversion by a low order polynomial resulting into the observed spectral distortions.

7. Discussion

The result of \(\Delta \mu/\mu = (4.3 \pm 7.2) \times 10^{-6}\) we obtained is consistent with no variation of \(\mu\) between \(z_{\text{abs}} = 3.025\) and \(z = 0\). The null-result is in agreement with recent publications on \(\Delta \mu/\mu\) by King et al. (2011) and Weerdenburg et al. (2011) at \(z_{\text{abs}} = 2.811\) and \(z_{\text{abs}} = 2.059\), respectively. However, the present work utilizes the line-by-line fitting method (as in Ivanchik et al. 2005) in contrast to the other works which applied a comprehensive fitting method (CFM). The H\(_2\) system in the spectrum of QSO 0347-383 has the particular advantage of comprising a single velocity component, which renders observed transitions independent of each other. For absorption systems with two or more closely and not properly resolved velocity components many systematic errors may influence distinct wavelength areas. The CFM fits all H\(_2\) components along with additional H\(_{\text{I}}\) lines and handles an artificially applied \(\Delta \mu/\mu\) as free parameter in the fit. The best matching \(\Delta \mu/\mu\) is then derived via the resulting \(\chi^2\) curve. The CFM aims to achieve the lowest possible \(\chi^2\) via additional velocity components. In this approach the information
of individual transitions is lost though since merely the overall quality of the comprehensive model is judged.

In Weerdenburg et al. (2011) the number of velocity components is increased as long as the composite residuals of several selected absorption lines differ from flat noise. The residuals therein do not take into account the known inaccuracy of the estimated flux error (see Wendt & Molaro 2011; King et al. 2011).

As pointed out by King et al. (2011), for multi-component structures with overlapping velocity components the errors in the line centroids are heavily correlated and a simple \( \chi^2 \) regression is no longer valid. The same principle applies for co-added spectra with relative velocity shifts. The required rebinning of the contributing data sets implements further autocorrelation of the individual "pixels".

The uncertainties of the oscillator strengths \( f_i \) that are stated to be up to 50% (Weerdenburg et al. 2011) might further affect the criteria for additional velocity components. The method of CFM was applied for QSO 0347-383 by King et al. (2008). Section 4 and in particular Fig. 3 reveal some of the mentioned difficulties. The approach to fit individual lines with common physical properties which was applied here allows us to carry out an error analysis which reproduces the impact of differential shifts within the spectral orders. This yields a higher transparency of the error-budget for individual lines but at the possible cost of larger scatter resulting in a slightly larger error estimate. For the small number of H\(_2\) lines observed in the spectrum of QSO 0347-383 we prefer the method applied in this work. The immediate advantage is that we are not forced to estimate the different systematic errors based on assumptions. Instead the true limiting error can be gathered directly from the data distribution and we are further able to attribute it to different sources.

The new set of UVES observations of QSO 0347-383 this analysis is based has been taken with special care aimed to improve the measurement of \( \Delta \mu/\mu \) in a robust manner. In particular the observations have been taken with higher resolution, a \( 1 \times 1 \) binning and calibration lamp spectra in direct combination with the main exposures. These boost the precision of the analysis roughly by a factor two with comparison with Wendt et al. (2008). We have shown that at the current level, calibration issues become the dominant source of error. In addition to positioning errors, which are related to the signal-to-noise-ratio of the data, we observe for the first time inter order distortions which seem to be of the same order of magnitude of the uncertainties in the line positions. The conclusion is that we do not detect change in the value of \( \mu \) to 1 part in \( 10^5 \) over a time span of 11.5 Gyr, which is approximately 80% of the age of the universe.

High resolution data and attached calibration spectra are the key to understanding and handling the systematics which limit the precision of \( \Delta \mu/\mu \) measurements. It is important to fully control the analysis of individual absorption systems and to minimize the errors involved wherever possible before extending the \( \Delta \mu/\mu \) analysis to multiple systems. Different characteristics of individual absorbers tend to get lost while not all errors of the measurements are likely to average out.

Until new ways of wavelength calibration such as optical laser frequency combs (see Steinmetz et al. 2008) are installed for large optics, the data at hand is of the best quality available. High resolution VLT-data with special care regarding the calibration frames allows for the best precision that can be reached nowadays. In the context of \( \Delta \mu/\mu \) measurements, optical spectra of H\(_2\) at high redshifts are still of high importance to complement high precision determinations of \( \Delta \mu/\mu \) in the local universe via observations in the radio regime.
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