Polarization properties of OH masers in AGB and post-AGB stars[★]

P. Wolak¹, M. Szymczak¹, and E. Gérard²

¹ Toruń Centre for Astronomy, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Gagarina 11, 87-100 Toruń, Poland
 e-mail: wolak@astro.uni.torun.pl

² GEPI, UMR 8111, Observatoire de Paris, 5 place J. Janssen, 92195 Meudon Cedex, France

Received 15 May 2011 / Accepted 22 September 2011

ABSTRACT

Context. Ground-state OH maser emission from late-type stars is usually polarized and remains a powerful probe of the magnetic field structure in the outer regions of circumstellar envelopes if observed with high angular and spectral resolutions. Observations in all four Stokes parameters are quite sparse and this is the most thorough, systematic study published to date.

Aims. We aim to determine polarization properties of OH masers in an extensive sample of stars that show copious mass loss and search for candidate objects that are well-suited for high angular resolution studies.

Methods. Full-polarization observations of the OH 1612 and 1667 MHz maser transitions were carried out for a sample of 117 AGB and post-AGB stars. Several targets were also observed in the 1665 MHz line.

Results. A complete set of full-polarization spectra together with the basic polarization parameters are presented. Polarized features occur in more than 75% of the sources in the complete sample and there is no intrinsic difference in the occurrence of polarized emission between the three classes of objects of different infrared characteristics. The highest fractional polarization occurs for the post-AGB+PN and the Mira+SR classes at 1612 and 1667 MHz, respectively. Differences in the fractional polarization between the sources at different evolutionary stages appear to be related to depolarization caused by blending. The alignment of the polarization angles at the extreme sides of the shell implies a regular structure of the magnetic field of a strength of 0.3–2.3 mG.

Conclusions. Polarized OH maser features are widespread in AGB and post-AGB stars. The relationship between the circular and linear fractional polarizations for a representative sample are consistent with the standard models of polarization for the Zeeman splitting higher than the Doppler line width, whereas the polarized features are the σ components.

Key words. stars: AGB and post-AGB – polarization – masers

1. Introduction

The ground-state OH maser lines at 1612, 1665 and 1667 MHz are frequently detected in late-type stars that show mass loss at high rates. A recent compilation by Engels & Bunzel (2008) gives 2297 circumstellar masers of which 1945 show the classical double-peak profile at 1612 MHz. The polarization information is not available or neglected in the interferometric surveys of the Galactic plane (Sevenster et al. 1997a,b, 2001) in numerous single-dish studies of IRAS colour-selected objects (e.g., Eder et al. 1988; Le Squeren et al. 1991; te Lintel Hekkert et al. 1991) and in earlier blind surveys (e.g., Bowers 1978; Baud et al. 1979a,b). Earlier studies (e.g., Wilson et al. 1970; Wilson & Barrett 1972) suggested that the 1612 MHz circumstellar emission is essentially unpolarized but the observations with a spectral resolution better than 0.1 km s^{-1} revealed several circularly polarized features (Cohen et al. 1987; Zell & Fix 1991). The OH emission in the 1665 and 1667 MHz lines shows a substantial degree of circular polarization up to 50–80% (Wilson et al. 1972; Claussen & Fix 1982) but linear polarization occurs in only $\sim 10\%$ of sources (Olmon et al. 1980). Highly polarized OH maser features are observed in flaring Miras (Reid et al. 1977; Fix 1979; Etoka & Le Squeren 1997), hypergiant IRC+10420 (Benson et al. 1979) and post-AGB star OH17.7–2.0 (Szymczak & Gérard 2005). There is a general consensus that the polarization measurements of OH maser emission can

be properly explained with the classic model of Goldreich et al. (1973) in which the magnetic field plays a key role (e.g., Gray & Field 1996; Elitzur 1996).

The presence of circular polarization is itself evidence for Zeeman splitting (Deguchi & Watson 1986) and, despite the difficulties in identifying classical Zeeman patterns, provides a direct estimate of the strength and direction of the magnetic field. From observations of the linear polarization we can draw conclusions about the orientation of the circumstellar magnetic field.

For some OH stellar sources, high-sensitivity observations with $\sim 0.1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ spectral resolution have shown an amount of circularly and linearly polarized features large enough to measure the strength of circumstellar magnetic fields and to map their structure with high accuracy using the interferometric technique (e.g., Chapman & Cohen 1986; Szymczak et al. 1998, 2001; Bains et al. 2003; Amiri et al. 2010). These case studies revealed the presence of highly ordered magnetic fields of a strength of a few mG at distances of a few thousands stellar radii. Full-polarization measurements of OH circumstellar masers with high sensitivity and spectral resolution are scarce. A small sample of Miras (Claussen & Fix 1982) and a moderate sample of proto-planetary nebula candidates (Szymczak & Gérard 2004) only were studied up to date and no comprehensive picture of the polarization properties of circumstellar OH masers was obtained. We hereby extend those studies for a sample containing oxygen-rich objects that evenly populate the whole evolutionary sequence in the IRAS two-colour diagram (van der Veen & Habing 1988). There is evidence that the IRAS

[★] Appendices are available in electronic form at <http://www.aanda.org>

colours increase through the sequence from the semi-regular variables (SRs), long period variables of Mira types, OH/IR objects, proto-planetary nebulae (PPN) and planetary nebulae (PN). The colours probably reflect the evolution of the circumstellar shell from optically thin to optically thick and the location of an object in the diagram could be also related to the age, initial mass and possibly metallicity (e.g., Bedijn 1987; van der Veen & Habing 1988; Likkel 1989). The observations of a representative sample reported here attempt to examine whether the OH maser polarization depends on the properties of the central star and its envelope or whether it is caused by propagation effects.

2. Data

2.1. The sample

The initial source list consisted of 152 late-type stars at $\delta \gtrsim -39^\circ$ of which 147 objects were taken from Benson et al.'s catalogue (1990), the rest from te Lintel Hekkert et al.'s survey (1991). Several sources were discarded after the initial test observations because their total peak fluxes were lower than 0.15 Jy at both frequencies. The final sample consisted of 117 stellar OH sources that clearly exhibit either the 1612 MHz satellite line or/and the 1665/1667 MHz main lines. Targets with different infrared characteristics were chosen to probe the whole evolutionary sequence of oxygen-rich objects in the IRAS two-colour diagram (van der Veen & Habing 1988). The location of an object in this diagram depends on the circumstellar envelope thickness, age and initial mass. It is assumed that the visible semi-regular and Mira variables with relatively thin envelopes evolve towards OH/IR objects with thick envelopes, and when their pulsations cease, they leave the tip of the asymptotic giant branch and become post-AGB objects and eventually young planetary nebulae (Habing 1996, for review). Alternatively, OH/IR stars have higher progenitor masses than Miras (Likkel 1989) and may come from an intrinsically more massive population (Whitelock et al. 1994). These categories of objects differ in pulsational and mass-loss properties and can be accompanied by OH maser emission.

There are 36 SRs and Miras, 57 OH/IR objects and 24 post-AGB and PN candidates in the sample (Table A.1). We are concerned here only with these three groups of objects. Note that the latter group was included in a sample studied by Szymczak & Gérard (2004) but the spectra used there were generally taken at other epochs. One hundred and five out of 117 targets have good flux quality at the 12, 25 and 60 μm IRAS bands, and we show their two-colour diagram in Fig. 1. The colour is defined as $[\lambda_i] - [\lambda_j] = -2.5 \log(S(\lambda_i)/S(\lambda_j))$, where $S(\lambda_i)$ is the uncorrected flux in the IRAS λ_i band. The colours of OH/IR and post-AGB objects overlap, partly because of inaccurate classification criteria of the transition objects between AGB and post-AGB phases. Figure 1 shows that our targets sample all types of oxygen-rich stars with a circumstellar envelope associated with OH maser emission. The sample is therefore appropriate to properly address whether the polarization parameters of OH masers depend on the characteristics of the central stars/envelopes or are dominated by propagation effects.

A comparison of the 1612 MHz peak flux density for 105 detected sources in the sample with that for 808 objects in the te Lintel Hekkert et al. (1991) catalogue indicates that our sample probes the brightest sources; the median values are 8.32 and 0.86 Jy, respectively (Table 1). We note, however, that the older observations may underestimate the peak flux because of frequency dilution.

Fig. 1. IRAS colour-colour diagram for the sample studied. The regions defined by van der Veen & Habing (1988) for different types of objects are marked by dotted lines. The three classes of objects discussed in the text can be distinguished by the symbols.

Table 1. Comparison of mean and median peak flux densities in our sample and that of te Lintel Hekkert et al. (1991).

Sample	Number of sources	Frequency (MHz)	Mean (SD ^a) (Jy)	Median (Jy)
this paper	105	1612	31.70 (8.12)	8.32
	85	1667	3.59 (0.74)	1.21
te Lintel Hekkert	808	1612	4.37 (0.84)	0.86

Notes. ^(a) Standard deviation.

2.2. The observations

The data were obtained with the Nançay Radio Telescope (NRT) during several runs between February 2002 and December 2008. This transit instrument is the equivalent of a dish of 93 m in diameter and the half-power beam-width is $3'.5(\text{RA}) \times 19'(\text{Dec})$ at 1.6 GHz. At Dec = 0° , the beam efficiency is 0.65 and the point-source efficiency is 1.4 K Jy^{-1} , the system temperature is about 35 K. More technical details on the radio telescope and its upgrade are given in van Driel et al. (1996).

Initially, we observed 152 sources in the 1612.231 and 1667.359 MHz OH lines, 38 of which were also observed at 1665.402 MHz (including 31 sources that were not detected at 1612.231 MHz). We used a correlator configuration providing eight banks of 1024 channels. To cover all OH maser profiles easily, we used two spectral resolutions, $\sim 0.14 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for about two thirds of the targets and $\sim 0.07 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for the rest.

Two transitions were simultaneously observed and we registered the two orthogonal linear polarizations and two opposite circular polarizations for each transition. The system directly provided three of the four Stokes parameters, namely I , Q and V , while the fourth parameter U was extracted by a horn rotation of 45° . The linearly polarized flux density, $p = \sqrt{Q^2 + U^2}$, fractional linear polarization, $m_L = p/I$, fractional circular polarization, $m_C = V/I$ and polarization position angle,

Table 2. Average and median values of the fractional circular (m_C) and linear (m_L) polarization for the strongest features at the two spectral resolutions.

Line (MHz)	Fractional polzn.	Number of sources	Mean (SD) ^o (%)	Median ^o (%)	Mean (SD) ^s (%)	Median ^s (%)
1612	$ m_C $	11	7.5(1.8)	5.8	8.2(2.3)	5.5
	m_L	12	4.5(0.6)	4.0	4.2(0.6)	3.9
1667	$ m_C $	12	27.0(9.4)	10.1	27.0(9.0)	10.2
	m_L	6	7.1(2.6)	4.2	7.5(2.6)	5.6

Notes. Spectral resolution: ^(o) $\sim 0.07 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; ^(s) $\sim 0.14 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

$\chi = 0.5 \times \tan^{-1}(U/Q)$, were derived from the Stokes parameters. The methods of observation, polarization calibrations, data reduction and estimation of uncertainties on the Stokes parameters were the same as described in Szymczak & Gérard (2004, Sect. 2). Briefly, we observed in frequency switching mode, using a noise diode to measure the gain of each polarization bank at the start of each scan. The absolute and relative accuracies of gain were $\sim 5\%$ and $\sim 1\%$, respectively. The instrumental polarization was regularly checked with observations of W12 and W3OH and the absolute flux density scale was accurate to within 7–8%. The error in the polarized intensity caused by the polarization leakage between the orthogonal feeds was about 2%. The typical integration time for each horn position was about 20 min. This resulted in a rms noise level in the Stokes I of about 35 mJy for 0.14 km s^{-1} spectral resolution. The median 3σ rms noise levels of the Stokes Q and U spectra were 0.15 Jy. In those features that showed polarization, the polarization was only considered believable if either or both of the linearly or circularly polarized fluxes were higher than 0.15 Jy.

The data for our sample have been collected over nearly seven years. Each target was observed at least three times on an irregular basis but there are several sources that were observed over a 3–7 years period so that the light curves could be easily obtained. Here we only report data for the epoch during which the maximum flux density occurred. Results of the whole long-term observations will be published in a separate paper.

3. Results and analysis

Table A.1 contains a list of the 117 detected sources and their polarization parameters. Full-polarization spectra are shown in Fig. B.1.

3.1. Effect of spectral resolution

We tested whether the fractional polarization decreases as the spectral resolution is degraded by observing a few targets at both spectral resolutions. For the two sources OH17.7–2.0 and OH138.0+7.2 the maximum values of m_C and m_L at 1612 and 1667 MHz decreased by less than 0.2% and 0.5%, respectively, when the resolution was lowered from ~ 0.07 to $\sim 0.14 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Additionally, the 1612 and 1667 MHz spectra of $\sim 0.07 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ resolution with detected polarized features were smoothed to a resolution of $\sim 0.14 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The average and median values of the fractional polarizations for the strongest features before and after the smoothing are given in Table 2. No significant difference in the fractional polarizations is found for both observed lines. These two tests clearly indicate that our data taken with the two different spectral resolutions can be treated together in the subsequent analysis.

Table 3. Number of sources with polarized features at the OH lines.

Line (MHz)	Number of detection	Number of sources with features		
		elliptical	circular	linear
1612 only	32	10	4	3
1667 only	12	3	7	0
1612/1667	73	39	16	7
1667/1612	73	15	10	4
total				
1612	105	49	20	10
1667	85	18	17	4

3.2. Occurrence of polarized emission

The detection of polarization is limited by the noise level of 0.15 Jy in any Stokes parameter and the minimum believable fractional polarization of 2%. Thus, our sample is complete for objects that are higher than 2% polarized and whose total intensity exceeds 7.5 Jy. The weaker sources only have detectable polarization if they are more strongly polarized. Table 3 summarizes the detection statistics for elliptical, circular and linear polarizations towards the sources divided into three groups depending on the occurrence of the 1612 MHz or/and 1667 MHz lines. Column 2 gives the number of detected sources and Cols. 3–5 list the number of sources with features of given types of polarization. The emission at 1612 and 1667 MHz was detected in 105 and 85 targets, respectively. Polarized features were detected in 75% (79/105) and 46% (39/85) of the sources at 1612 MHz and 1667 MHz, respectively. This is because the 1612 MHz emission is generally stronger than the 1667 MHz emission. The study indicates that elliptical polarization dominates at 1612 MHz. There is also a high detection rate of linearly polarized emission (58% at 1612 MHz and 26% at 1667 MHz) in the sample, never reported previously (Cohen 1989, for review). Surprisingly, we detected 14 sources with linearly polarized features without apparent circular polarization (see Sect. 3.5).

3.3. Fractional polarization

The polarization properties of maser spectra are commonly determined relative to the peak flux density of Stokes I parameter. However, we found that the velocities of the Stokes I peak do not coincide with those of the Stokes V and p peaks for 62% and 65% of the sources, respectively.

The median velocity differences between the peaks of Stokes I and Stokes V and p are 0.14 and 0.27 km s^{-1} , respectively. Generally, the most strongly polarized features coincide with the Stokes I peaks within 2–3 spectral channels.

Fig. 2. Polarization properties of OH emission in three classes of late-type stars. The flux density measurements are made at the Stokes I peak. *Top:* histograms of circular (m_C) and linear (m_L) fractional polarizations for each class of objects at both OH lines. *Middle:* mean and median values of the circular and linear fractional polarizations for three classes of objects. The number of objects for each class and OH line is given. *Bottom:* circularly polarized peak flux density (Stokes V) (*left*) and linearly polarized peak flux density (parameter p) (*right*) versus the total peak flux density (Stokes I) for the two OH lines. The coloured (online) symbols represent classes of objects while the grey symbols mark the sources with polarized emission lower than the individual detection level (3σ). The dotted lines show the mean upper limit 0.15 Jy. Some objects have much lower individual upper limits because they were observed longer. The dashed lines labelled 2, 10, 30 and 100 indicate the percentage of polarization.

Table 4. Mean and median values of fractional polarization determined at the velocity of the Stokes I peak flux density.

Class	Line (MHz)	Number of sources	$ m_C (\%)$		Number of sources	$m_L(\%)$	
			mean (SD)	median		mean (SD)	median
Mira+SR	1612	9	8.70(1.14)	4.62	9	3.89(0.66)	3.89
	1667	14	24.98(4.95)	10.13	6	7.70(0.66)	5.14
OH/IR	1612	33	5.81(0.48)	4.28	25	4.70(0.29)	4.34
	1667	11	8.28(1.36)	4.55	8	5.71(0.59)	5.05
post-AGB+PN	1612	10	24.71(1.17)	14.14	11	5.50(0.44)	4.52
	1667	3	11.30(0.82)	3.87	2	5.60(0.24)	5.60

However, for a few sources these velocity differences are as large as 5.2 km s^{-1} in IRAS19114+0002, 1.7 km s^{-1} in OH12.8–1.9 and 0.9 km s^{-1} in RR Aql, then the V and p peaks are distinct features. Velocity separations could be caused by Zeeman splitting (see Sect. 3.6). Blending of differently polarized components along the line of sight would also cause depolarization relative to the total intensity. In the following sections, we perform separate statistical analyses for the fractional polarization at the

Stokes I , Stokes V and p peaks. We compare the results for the three classes of objects defined in Table A.1.

3.3.1. Measurements relative to the Stokes I peak

Figure 2 summarizes the polarization properties of OH emission for the three classes of objects in the sample, and Table 4

Table 5. Mean and median values of fractional circular and linear polarization determined at the velocities of the Stokes V and p peak flux densities, respectively.

Class	Line (MHz)	Number of sources	$ m_C $ (%)		Number of sources	m_L (%)	
			mean (SD)	median		mean (SD)	median
Mira+SR	1612	14	12.62(1.68)	5.57	12	7.13(1.15)	4.07
	1667	18	39.31(8.76)	26.53	8	11.34(0.93)	8.56
OH/IR	1612	38	7.37(0.68)	6.13	37	5.46(0.40)	4.75
	1667	12	11.54(1.71)	8.70	9	11.32(1.41)	8.77
post-AGB+PN	1612	18	23.41(3.47)	13.47	11	6.79(0.44)	5.19
	1667	6	24.51(3.42)	26.28	4	4.23(0.24)	2.96

gives the mean and median values of circular and linear fractional polarization. The fractional circular polarization in the OH sources is commonly lower than 20% and is as high as 60–100% in a few objects. In contrast, the fractional linear polarization is usually lower than 10% and reaches a slightly higher value in a few sources. The differences in the fractional polarization distributions between the three classes and the two lines were investigated using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. In the post-AGB+PN objects the mean value of m_C at 1612 MHz is higher ($p < 0.01$) than that for the other two classes. The mean degree of circular polarization at 1667 MHz of the Mira+SR objects is higher ($p < 0.01$) than that of the OH/IR and post-AGB+PN objects. There is no significant difference in the distribution of m_L between the three classes of objects. There are 10 Mira+SR stars, 21 OH/IR objects and 8 post-AGB+PN sources with $I_p > 10$ Jy without detectable polarization (Fig. 2).

3.3.2. Measurements relative to the Stokes V and p

The velocity offset of the Stokes V and p peaks from the Stokes I peaks, as noted in Sect. 3.3, is most pronounced for the Mira+SR stars where it is observed in 70–75% of the objects. The result of the analysis of the fractional polarization based on the measurements concerning the peak fluxes in the V and p parameters is summarized in Table 5. Generally, the mean and median values of m_C and m_L are 2–3 times higher than the values measured at the Stokes I peak (Table 4). The increase in m_C is greater at 1667 than at 1612 MHz. There is a remarkable increase of both $|m_C|$ and m_L for the 1667 MHz Mira+SR objects (Fig. 3). The trends described in Sect. 3.3.1 remain valid. We also measured the dispersion of m_C and m_L in consecutive spectral channels with polarized signal. It appears that at 1612 MHz the dispersion of m_C for the Miras+SR and post-AGB+PN stars is nearly twice higher than in the OH/IR objects. The Mira+SR variables also show the highest dispersion of m_C at 1667 MHz. The present analysis clearly shows that for the majority of sources the most highly polarized features are weaker than the line peaks. In the following analysis of the polarization properties, the measurements relative to the Stokes V and p are used because they are the best estimates of the maximum values of the polarization parameters in the sources (Tables 4 and 5).

3.3.3. Ratios of the integrated flux densities

There are several sources in the sample that exhibit complex profiles in the Stokes V and p so that their polarization properties can only be properly determined using the integrated flux densities of the Stokes parameters. The number of sources versus

Fig. 3. Comparison of the mean fractional polarizations obtained with two different methods (Tables 4 and 5). The mean values of m_L and m_C correspond to the rectangle centres and the standard deviations are marked by the borders. The origins of the arrows mark the mean fractional polarizations estimated at the Stokes I peaks, whereas the arrow-heads mark the mean fractional polarizations estimated at the Stokes V and p peaks.

the integrated Stokes I flux density for both OH lines are shown in Fig. 4. Here $M_C = V_{\text{int}}/I_{\text{int}}$ and $M_L = p_{\text{int}}/I_{\text{int}}$, where I_{int} , V_{int} and p_{int} are the integrated Stokes I , V and p flux densities, respectively. A distinction is made between weakly (M_C , $M_L < 3\%$) and more strongly ($>3\%$) polarized sources. There is evidence for higher circular and linear polarization in the 1667 MHz sources with $I_{\text{int}} < 10\text{--}20$ Jy km s $^{-1}$. Less polarized 1667 MHz sources are usually the brightest in the sample. For the 1612 MHz line there is a slight excess of weak (<10 Jy km s $^{-1}$) sources with $M_C > 3\%$. For the majority of the 1612 MHz sources M_C and M_L do not depend on the integrated Stokes I flux density. Here the defined ratios estimate the net circular and linear polarizations. The net polarization would be zero for a uniformly filled maser shell with symmetric magnetic and velocity fields and density gradient. Hence, the trend to higher integrated fractional circular polarization at lower integrated total intensity may suggest that these objects have less homogeneous velocity and/or density distributions.

Fig. 4. Histograms of all sources versus the integrated Stokes I flux density for weak and more strongly polarized 1612 and 1667 MHz lines. The fractional polarizations M_C and M_L are the ratios of the integrated flux densities. Note that there are statistically significant differences ($p < 0.01$) between the two distributions in each panel.

3.4. Highly polarized sources

Fractional polarizations referred to in this section as m_C and m_L are determined at the velocities of the V and p peaks. Table 6 lists the sources with fractional circular and linear polarizations greater than 20% and/or 10%, respectively. There are 26 sources with $m_C > 20\%$ and 17 sources with $m_L > 10\%$.

This subsample contains 10 and 21 objects with the 1612 and 1667 MHz lines, respectively. About two thirds of the 1667 MHz highly polarized sources are the Mira+SR variables. Figure 5 shows the relation between the circular and linear fractional polarizations for the whole sample. The majority of moderately polarized sources, i.e. with well-determined $|m_C| < 25\%$ and $m_L < 10\%$ lies below the line of form $|m_C| = 2.7m_L + 1.5$. There are a few groups of outliers; the group of Mira+SR objects with strongly ($|m_C| > 75\%$) polarized 1667 MHz features without measurable linear polarization, the two OH/IR objects (OH17.7–2.0 and IRAS16342–3814) with features of $|m_C| > 80\%$ and $m_L \lesssim 15\%$, the two Miras (GY Aql and UX Cyg) with features of high linear and circular polarization, the group mainly of OH/IR objects with features of $m_L > 10\%$ and low or marginal circular polarization. We notice that the sources with highly polarized features are usually the Mira+SR objects and a few OH/IR objects showing outburst activity in OH maser

Table 6. Sources with highly polarized OH features.

Name	Line (MHz)	$m_C(V_p)$	$m_C(I_p)$	$m_L(p_p)$	$m_L(I_p)$
V CVn	1667	96.4			
RT Vir	1665	14.9	10.4	14.5	14.5
	1667	−90.7	−76.7		
R Cnc	1665	83.8	17.2	47.8	41.3
	1667	90.2			
Z Pup	1665	89.9			
	1667	77.2			
IRAS 17150–3754	1612	−89.8			
V778 Cyg	1665	58.1	58.1	49.1	34.9
	1667	83.6	63.8		
IRAS 16342–3814	1612	−82.7	−82.7	15.7	6.5
OH 17.7–2.0	1612	−81.5	−81.5	13.7	13.7
	1665	−67.2	−67.2	11.5	11.5
	1667	−39.8	−2.1	8.9	8.9
GY Aql	1665	25.5	12.5	12.2	6.2
	1667	−50.4		28.4	16.2
UX Cyg	1612	44.9		31.7	
R Cas	1665	43.9	39.2		
U Her	1665	−43.6	−2.4	7.6	3.4
	1667	−28.3	−5.3	9.3	5.6
V524 Cas	1612	42.9	21.3		
R LMi	1665	40.1	25.3		
OH 1.2+1.3	1667	−35.4	−18.1	15.0	
W Hya	1665	−35.0	9.4	30.4	19.2
	1667	−24.8	−10.6	15.0	14.3
IRAS 17115–3322	1612	−30.5	−26.3		7.7
	1667	33.1	14.1		
OH31.0+0.0	1667	27.9	27.9		
R Crt	1665	−27.3	−22.1	28.3	
	1667	−24.0	−17.6	17.0	
U Ori	1667	−26.2			
V1300 Aql	1667	−26.9	−26.9	4.7	4.7
OH 31.0–0.2	1612	26.1	26.1	12.6	2.1
OH 0.9+1.3	1667	−24.7			
OH 12.8–1.9	1612	−21.9	5.3	8.5	8.5
RR Aql	1667	−21.6	−9.7	7.9	
OH 138.0+7.2	1667	−20.6	−20.6	19.7	
OH 55.0+0.7	1667			19.4	3.3
OH 26.5+0.6	1667			16.3	2.8
OH 53.6–0.2	1667	−5.2	−5.2	13.1	11.8
IW Hya	1612			11.3	2.5
OH 25.1–0.3	1612			10.4	10.4

Notes. The fractional polarizations for the Stokes V and p peaks, V_p and p_p are given. For the Stokes I peak the fractional polarization is also given when we were able to reliably determine it.

lines (e.g., UX Cyg – Etoka & Le Squeren 2000; OH17.7–2.0 – Szymczak & Gerard 2005).

3.5. Polarization angle arrangement

There are 29 1612 MHz sources with linearly polarized emission in both parts of the double peaked profile. Several exemplary p spectra with the superimposed position angle of linear polarization are shown in Fig. 6. Most of the sources show only weak ($< 15^\circ$) variations of the polarization angle from channel to channel. Table 7 gives the average polarization angles for the four most blue- and red-shifted channels in the 1612 MHz p profiles (χ_B, χ_R) and the difference ($\Delta\chi_{BR}$). We found that $\Delta\chi_{BR}$ is lower than 20° for two thirds (20/29) of the sources and is only higher than 70° in two sources. For the whole subsample the absolute

Fig. 5. Diagram of the circular (m_C) and linear (m_L) fractional polarizations for the whole sample. The fractional polarization m_C and m_L are determined for the Stokes V and p peaks, respectively. The sources with a polarized flux density lower than 3σ detection level are assumed to have a fractional polarization equal to zero. The points of $|m_C| > 20\%$ or/and $m_L > 10\%$ are labelled with the names of the sources. The thick dashed line defines the relation between the fractional polarizations of the form $m_C = 2.7m_L + 1.5$.

average and median values of $\Delta\chi_{BR}$ are 19.3 ± 4.0 and 11.6 , respectively. For a few sources observed at several epochs spanning 6–8 years, no systematic variations of $\Delta\chi_{BR}$ higher than 10° were found.

In the standard circumstellar shell model (Reid et al. 1977) the 1612 MHz double peaks come from two very compact regions of opposite sides of the shell. VLBI observations of the archetypal OH/IR object OH127.8+0.0 proved that the 1612 MHz emission of $\sim 0.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ width from the near and far sides of the circumstellar shell is not resolved with a $0''.03$ beam (Norris et al. 1984). Because the diameter of the OH shell is $3''.8$ (Bowers & Johnston 1990), the size of the compact area unresolved with the VLBI is less than 0.8% of the shell size. Therefore it is very likely that the emission from both extreme sides of the shell is not depolarized if observed with the NRT and it reliably probes a local magnetic field. Small differences in the polarization angles at the near and far edges of the OH shells in our subsample suggest a regular magnetic field geometry in the 1612 MHz maser regions. However, it is hard to explain this homogeneous magnetic field over OH shells of large diameters (e.g., Habing 1996). A plausible explanation for the low values of $\Delta\chi_{BR}$ is that the origin of the field is intrinsic and the same field orientation is carried away (frozen in) by the stellar wind flowing towards the front and back of the envelope. Alternatively, the magnetic field could be of galactic origin and be amplified and/or distorted by the stellar wind. High values of $\Delta\chi_{BR}$ in a minority of sources suggest a deviation from globally ordered magnetic field caused by local outflows or outbursts. The latter possibility is likely for OH17.7–2.0 where $\Delta\chi_{BR}$ is

only -3.7 (Table 7) but the polarization angle of the eruptive feature near 73 km s^{-1} (Szymczak & Gérard 2005) is about -15° and differs by about 64° from the mean value for the extreme blue- and red-shifted velocities (Table 7).

Nine sources in the sample have linearly polarized emission at the two frequencies from the same usually red-shifted side of the shell (Table A.1, Fig. B.1). The scatters of χ angles within the OH mainline channels are on average 2.7 times larger than those within 1612 MHz lines. This may suggest that the 1667 MHz masers come from more turbulent regions and/or the magnetic fields are less ordered. For seven sources the velocities of the extreme emission at both frequencies overlap within less than 0.1 km s^{-1} . In the two objects OH127.8–0.0 and OH53.6–0.2 the difference in the average polarization angle for four neighbouring channels of the 1612 and 1667 MHz lines is less than 18° while for the remaining sources it ranges from 36 to 80° . The mean difference for nine sources is $44 \pm 8^\circ$ and the median is 47° . This result suggests that the magnetic fields probed by the two lines are generally not aligned.

3.6. Possible Zeeman pairs

The main line V Stokes spectra of RCnc and WHya contain S-shaped features possibly caused by the Zeeman effect (Figs. 7 and 8). Although this assumption needs to be verified by VLBI observations one, can roughly estimate the strength of the magnetic field assuming that the ratio of the Zeeman splitting to the Doppler linewidth is higher or equal to unity. In this regime the separation between the peaks of V profile is a direct measure

Fig. 6. Examples of the 1612 MHz p spectra in the sources with the emission appeared at the blue- and red-shifted velocities. The polarization position angles (points) are superimposed (right ordinate) for the extreme outside channels. The velocity is measured with regard to the systemic velocity.

Table 7. Averaged polarization position angles χ_B and χ_R for the extreme blue- and red-shifted parts of the 1612 MHz spectrum, respectively.

Name	χ_B (SD)	χ_R (SD)	$\Delta\chi_{BR}$ (SD)
WX Psc	52.7(4.8)	63.4(2.6)	-10.8(5.4)
OH127.8+0.0	-20.5(4.4)	-33.6(3.3)	13.1(5.4)
OH138.0+7.2	-47.3(2.5)	-34.2(2.3)	-13.1(3.4)
IK Tau	15.8(2.1) ³	30.7(5.2) ³	-14.8(5.6)
OH345.0+15.7	-65.0(1.3)	-56.1(0.7)	-8.8(1.5)
IRAS 17168-3736	66.6(1.8)	68.2(1.7)	-1.6(2.5)
IRAS 17177-3627	32.7(1.6)	-9.2(1.7)	41.8(2.3)
IRAS 17271-3425	80.6(3.2)	74.1(2.7)	6.5(4.2)
OH1.2+1.3	79.3(1.0) ³	80.3(2.5)	-1.0(2.7)
IRAS 17411-3154	-13.7(0.7)	-18.7(0.8)	4.9(1.1)
OH1.1-0.8	-59.8(2.3)	-83.9(6.4)	24.1(6.8)
IRAS 17579-3121	-74.2(6.3)	-66.1(8.1)	-8.1(10.3)
OH15.4+1.9	-74.3(4.3)	-89.3(3.9)	15.0(5.8)
OH15.7+0.8	-4.0(1.5)	-3.1(2.0)	-0.8(2.5)
OH12.8-1.9	-19.5(2.3)	-9.4(1.1)	-10.2(2.5)
OH16.1-0.3	76.5(3.5)	90.6(2.4)	-14.1(4.2)
OH17.7-2.0	-76.8(6.0) ³	-80.4(7.8)	-3.7(9.8)
OH25.1-0.3	44.0(4.8)	72.6(6.7)	-28.6(8.2)
IRAS 18445-0238	-54.3(4.0)	-46.2(2.7)	-8.1(4.8)
OH32.8-0.3	15.6(3.2)	-15.4(10.3) ³	31.0(10.8)
OH39.7+1.5	-57.5(3.3)	-73.0(3.4) ³	15.5(4.7)
OH37.1-0.8	-2.3(3.1)	-13.9(19.2) ²	11.6(19.4)
IRAS 19059-2219	-47.3(3.1)	-44.2(7.3)	-3.1(7.9)
OH42.3-0.1	38.8(21.9) ³	-58.3(4.1)	-82.9(22.3)
OH45.5+0.0	-32.4(7.8)	17.4(10.1)	-49.8(12.8)
OH55.0+0.7	24.8(1.4)	20.9(5.4)	3.9(5.6)
H77.9+0.2	-4.0(4.0)	67.5(7.5) ³	-71.4(8.5)
OH75.3-1.8	2.4(2.6)	13.1(1.6)	-10.7(3.0)
OH104.9+2.4	107.7(7.6)	78.0(2.6)	29.7(8.0)

Notes. In most cases the number of averaged channels is four. The $\Delta\chi_{BR}$ is a difference between χ_B and χ_R . The standard deviations (SD) are given in the parentheses. ⁽³⁾ Three; ⁽²⁾ two averaged channels.

of the field strength (Elitzur 1996). We used the Zeeman splitting coefficient of $0.5886 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ mG}^{-1}$ and $0.3524 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ mG}^{-1}$ for the 1665 and 1667 MHz lines, respectively. For the 1665 and 1667 MHz features of RCnc near 15.2 km s^{-1} the field strength estimates along the line of sight are $-1.31 \pm 0.07 \text{ mG}$ and $-2.26 \pm 0.11 \text{ mG}$, respectively. For the strongest feature of WHya near 35.6 km s^{-1} the field strength is $-0.32 \pm 0.03 \text{ mG}$ and $-0.36 \pm 0.04 \text{ mG}$ at the 1665 and 1667 MHz lines, respectively. A negative sign means a field pointed towards the observer. These estimates should be treated with caution because the Zeeman patterns are far from perfect. Nevertheless, in both sources the field estimates are quite similar at both frequencies and moreover they are consistent with the high angular resolution measurements of other AGB and post-AGB stars (e.g., Szymczak et al. 1998; Bains et al. 2003, 2009). The presence of polarized features in lots of our sources suggests that many Zeeman pairs will be found in high angular resolution studies.

3.7. OH 1667 MHz overshoot

There are 63 objects in the sample for which the spectral extent of 1667 MHz emission is higher by at least 0.2 km s^{-1} than that

Fig. 7. Tentative Zeeman splitting in the OH maser lines of RCnc. The left- (LHC) and right-hand (RHC) circular polarization spectra, V Stokes and p spectra are shown for the two frequencies.

of 1612 MHz emission. This overshoot effect is seen either at the blue- or red-shifted or at both edges. A summary of the mean and median expansion velocities and overshoots for the three classes of objects is given in Table 8. Here, the expansion velocity is determined as a half of the peak-to-peak velocity width of the 1612 MHz profile. The class of Mira+SR stars has expansion

Fig. 8. Same as in Fig. 7 but for W Hya.

velocities significantly lower than in the two other classes. The lowest mean and median overshoots of $0.78 \pm 0.16 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and 0.47 km s^{-1} , respectively, belong to the Miras and SR objects. The highest median value of overshoot of 2.08 km s^{-1} is determined for the post-AGB+PN class. The phenomenon is most frequent (63%) in the OH/IR objects.

The overshoot effect was broadly discussed by Sivagnanam & David (1999) for a sample of 21 AGB and post-AGB objects who proposed several mechanisms. Deacon et al. (2004) suggested the existence of some acceleration in the outer parts of the shell where the 1612 and 1667 MHz maser originate and that the 1667 MHz masers are located farther than the 1612 MHz ones. The trend in overshoot found in our sample suggests that the phenomenon can be related to the evolutionary sequence. We did not find any obvious relationships between overshoot and the polarization parameters.

4. Discussion

4.1. Occurrence of polarization

The present full-polarization data for a substantial sample of AGB and post-AGB objects have allowed us to give a comprehensive picture of the polarization properties of OH circumstellar masers. First of all, our high sensitivity and spectral resolution study shows that the polarized OH maser emission is widespread. This finding is at odds with early reports, which demonstrated a paucity of polarized features in the 1612 MHz spectra and only occasional detections of linear polarization at 1667 MHz (Cohen 1989, for review). Thus, spectral resolution appears to be a crucial factor (Cohen et al. 1987; Zell & Fix 1991).

The study allowed us to extract a complete subsample of 1612 MHz emitters, composed of 8 Miras+SR stars, 38 OH/IR objects and 10 post-AGB+PN sources, which is not biased by the sensitivity limit and the minimum believable fractional polarization (see Sect. 3.2 for definition). In this subsample the detection rate of polarized features is 75–90% and there is no intrinsic difference in the occurrence of polarized emission between the three classes of studied objects. A complete subsample of 1667 MHz sources contains only 2 Miras+SRs, 3 OH/IR objects and 4 post-AGB+PN stars. All but one of these targets show polarized emission. It seems that the occurrence of polarized features at 1667 MHz does not differ between the three classes of stars.

4.2. Effect of depolarization

For 1612 MHz sources the mean and median degrees of circular polarization in the post-AGB+PN objects are significantly higher than those in the two other classes of studied stars. We notice that in the sample are at least two bursting post-AGB stars; IRAS 16342-3814 and OH17.7-2.0 with $m_C > 80\%$. These objects sometimes experience OH bursts of highly polarized emission at 1612 MHz usually not associated with bursts at 1665 and 1667 MHz (Szymczak & Gérard 2005). In many post-AGB objects there is a disruption of detached AGB 1612 MHz shells that are no longer spherically symmetric and the appearance of a well-defined bipolar morphology at 1667 MHz is observed (Zijlstra et al. 2001). In these partially-filled 1612-MHz structures, observed at high angular resolution, Zeeman components are much less spatial blended than in dense ($10^{-4} M_\odot$, Habing 1996), more fully filled shells that are observed around OH/IR objects (e.g., Bowers & Johnston 1990). Therefore, the enhancement of the circular polarization degree at 1612 MHz in the post-AGB+PN objects compared to the two other classes of objects could be caused by negligible depolarization in the first class of objects. However, the post-AGB+PN group may be heterogeneous because of the lack of accurate criteria for selection (Sect. 2.1) and significant depolarization cannot be excluded in some objects (Szymczak & Gérard 2004).

The mean degree of circular polarization at 1667 MHz is significantly higher in the Mira+SR stars than in the other classes of objects. The mass loss rates for Mira and SR variables are about $10^{-7} M_\odot$ (Habing 1996) while the 1667 MHz maser originates in the inner parts of the shell at a distance of about 50–150 AU from the central star (Chapman et al. 1994; Szymczak et al. 1998). This implies that regardless of the magnetic field type, its strength in the 1667 MHz maser regions should be higher than in the 1612 MHz OH maser outer shells. High angular resolution images revealed a highly fragmentary distribution of the 1667 MHz emission (Chapman et al. 1994; Szymczak et al. 1998), indicating a low filling factor for the OH molecule. In this shell the spatial overlapping of Zeeman components is weak, if there is any at all. Furthermore, Miras and SR variables often exhibit erratic variability of OH emission, which usually is highly polarized (Etoka & Le Squeren 1997). We conclude that the higher degree of circular polarization in the Mira+SR stars compared to the other classes of objects is because of negligible depolarization in the envelopes that are partially filled by the gas clouds sustaining OH maser emission.

4.3. Zeeman splitting

The interpretation of OH maser polarization data strongly depends on the assumed value of the ratio of Zeeman splitting to Doppler linewidth, x_B (Elitzur 1996). For $x_B > 1$ the separation of the σ components of the Zeeman pair scales directly to the strength of the magnetic field along the line of sight. If $x_B < 1$ only the Doppler width can be estimated from the separation of the two peaks of V Stokes profile. High angular resolution observations of OH lines in some AGB and post-AGB stars (Szymczak et al. 1998; Bains et al. 2003, 2004, 2009; Amiri et al. 2010) revealed a magnetic field strength of 1–3 mG. This value agrees well with our tentative estimates of magnetic fields of 0.3–2.3 mG in two Miras. The kinematic temperature in regions of circumstellar 1612 and 1667 MHz masers is 50–100 K (Goldreich & Scoville 1976; Fong et al. 2002), hence for OH molecule the thermal linewidth is $0.36\text{--}0.51 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. It implies that $x_B > 1$ is fulfilled for most sources in the sample. We

Table 8. Average and median values of expansion velocities and overshoots for the three classes of sources.

Class	Line (MHz)	Number	Expansion velocity (km s ⁻¹)		Number	Overshoot (km s ⁻¹)	
			Mean (SD)	Median		Mean (SD)	Median
Mira+SR	1612	23	10.68(1.08)	12.16	16	0.78(0.16)	0.47
	1667	26	8.36(0.98)	6.12			
OH/IR	1612	56	15.15(0.57)	14.38	37	1.18(0.14)	0.93
	1667	37	15.36(0.54)	15.09			
post-AGB+PN	1612	14	12.08(1.14)	12.36	10	2.25(0.62)	2.08
	1667	8	13.34(0.74)	13.51			

note that the Zeeman pairs are of unequal strength, and in several cases likely only one sense of circularly polarized emission is seen. This can occur when the maser radiation is amplified in the region where the gradients of the velocity match the magnetic field (Cook 1966). A simpler explanation for uneven circular polarization is the overlap of different Zeeman components caused by a velocity gradient within the maser region (Deguchi & Watson 1986).

In the case of $x_B > 1$ the polarization of the σ components is described by the equations $V/I = 2 \cos \theta / (1 + \cos^2 \theta)$ and $Q/I = \sin^2 \theta / (1 + \cos^2 \theta)$ (Goldreich et al. 1973; Elitzur 1996), where θ is the angle between the magnetic field direction and the line of sight. We used these equations to model the $m_C - m_L$ diagram. It is easy to show that the ratio V/I integrated and averaged over the solid angle builds up rapidly between $\theta = 0^\circ$ and 50° while the Q/I has barely started growing. This means that the ratio V/Q is very high until 45° and that may explain the void in Fig. 5 even with a spherically symmetric distribution of the θ angle. Although our study was of insufficient angular resolution to determine polarization properties of individual maser spots and the strongest polarized features were used to construct the $m_C - m_L$ diagram, the appearance of the void (Fig. 5) may be the proof that the polarized features are the σ components and that the condition $x_B > 1$ is fulfilled for unsaturated OH masers.

Figure 5 shows a distinct group of 1612/1667 Mira+SR sources with only circularly polarized emission (the first six objects in Table 6), indicating that the magnetic field is parallel to the line of sight. Another small group of mainly OH/IR objects (the five last objects in Table 6) with predominant linear polarization at 1612 or 1667 MHz represents the case where the magnetic field is perpendicular to the line of sight. These objects appear to be good candidates for high angular resolution studies to determine their properties better and to examine if significant differences in polarization parameters could be caused by different states of saturation as predicted in the standard model of maser polarization (Goldreich et al. 1973; Vlemmings 2006).

5. Conclusions

We have presented the results of full-polarization observations of the 1612 and 1667 MHz OH maser lines towards a sample of 117 AGB and post-AGB stars. A complete set of full-polarization spectra and the basic polarization parameters was given.

The polarized features occur in more than 75% of the sources in the sample complete to the total flux density higher than 7.5 Jy and the minimum fractional polarization of 2%. There is no intrinsic difference in the occurrence of polarized emission between the three classes of objects. The highest fractional polarization occurs for the post-AGB+PN and the Mira+SR classes at 1612 and 1667 MHz, respectively. Differences in the fractional

polarizations are likely caused by depolarization caused by blending of polarized emission.

The relationship between the circular and linear fractional polarizations for extreme red- and blue-shifted features, which are less likely to be blended, was interpreted within the frame of the standard models of polarization for the regime of the Zeeman splitting higher than the Doppler line width. The strength of the magnetic field derived from the tentative Zeeman pairs for a few Miras of 0.3–2.3 mG is consistent with the results of high angular resolution observations of other AGB stars. The front and back caps show only small differences in polarization angle ($<20^\circ$) at 1612 MHz, which suggests a regular geometry of the magnetic field in the outer parts of the shells. The observed relation between the circular and linear fractional polarizations suggests that the polarized features are the σ components and the Zeeman splitting is higher than the Doppler line width.

The study has provided many targets for future investigations. VLBI + e-MERLIN mapping of polarized OH maser emission will be essential to measure the magnetic field strength and its structure and to refine the present results.

Acknowledgements. P.W. acknowledges support by the UE Ph.D. Scholarship Programme (ZPORR). The Nancay Radio Observatory is the Unité Scientifique de Nancay of the Observatoire de Paris, associated with the CNRS. The Nancay Observatory acknowledges the financial support of the Region Centre in France.

References

- Amiri, N., Vlemmings, W., & van Langevelde, H. J. 2010, A&A, 509, A26
- Bains, I., Gledhill, T. M., Yates, J. A., & Richards, A. M. S. 2003, MNRAS, 338, 287
- Bains, I., Gledhill, T. M., Richards, A. M. S., & Yates, J. A. 2004, MNRAS, 354, 529
- Bains, I., Richards, A. M. S., & Szymczak, M. 2009, ASPC, 404, 368
- Baud, B., Habing, H. J., Matthews, H. E., & Winnberg, A. 1979a, A&AS, 35, 179
- Baud, B., Habing, H. J., Matthews, H. E., & Winnberg, A. 1979b, A&AS, 36, 193
- Benson, J. M., Mutel, R. L., Fix, J. D., & Claussen, M. J. 1979, ApJ, 229, 87
- Benson, P. J., Little-Marenin, I. R., Woods, T. C., et al. 1990, ApJS, 74, 911
- Bedijn, P. 1987, A&A, 186, 136
- Bowers, P. F. 1978, A&AS, 31, 127
- Bowers, P. F., & Johnston, K. J. 1990, ApJ, 354, 676
- Chapman, J. M., & Cohen, R. J. 1986, MNRAS, 220, 513
- Chapman, J. M., Sivagnanam, P., Cohen, R. J., & Le Squeren, A. M. 1994, MNRAS, 268, 475
- Claussen, M. J., & Fix, J. D. 1982, ApJ, 263, 153
- Cohen, R. J. 1989, RPPH, 52, 881
- Cohen, R. J., Downs, G., Emerson, R., et al. 1987, MNRAS, 225, 491
- Cook, A. H. 1996, Nature, 211, 503
- Deguchi, S., & Watson, W. D. 1986, ApJ, 300, L15
- Deacon, R. M., Chapman, J. M., & Green, A. J. 2004, ApJS, 155, 595
- Eder, J., Lewis, B. M., & Terzian, Y. 1988, ApJS, 66, 183
- Elitzur, M. 1996, ApJ, 457, 415
- Engels, D., & Bunzel, F. 2008, Database of Circumstellar Masers v1.2 <http://www.hs.uni-hamburg.de/maserdb>
- Etoka, S., & Le Squeren, A. M. 1997, A&A, 321, 877
- Etoka, S., & Le Squeren, A. M. 2000, A&AS, 146, 179
- Fix, J. D. 1979, ApJ, 232, 39

- Fong, D., Justtanont, K., Meixner, M., & Campbell, M. T. 2002, 396, 581
 Gray, M. D., & Field, D. 1995, A&A, 298, 243
 Goldreich, P., & Scoville, N. Z. 1976, ApJ, 205, 144
 Goldreich, P., Keeley, D., & Kwan, J. Y. 1973, ApJ, 179, 111
 Habing, H. J. 1996, A&ARv, 7, 97
 Jewell, P. R., Webber, J. C., & Snyder, L. E. 1981, ApJ, 249, 118
 Le Squeren, A. M., Sivagnanam, P., Dennefeld, M., & David, P. 1991, A&A, 254, 133
 Likkell, L. 1989, ApJ, 344, 350
 Norris, R. P., Booth, R. S., Diamond, P. J., et al. 1984, MNRAS, 208, 435
 Olmon, F. M., Winnberg, A., Matthews, H. E., & Schultz, G. V. 1980, A&AS, 42, 1190
 Reid, M. J., Muhleman, D. O., Moran, J. M., Johnston, K. J., & Schwartz, P. R. 1977, ApJ, 214, 60
 Sevenster, M. N., Chapman, J. M., Habing, H. J., Killeen, N. E. B., & Lindqvist, M. 1997a, A&AS, 122, 79
 Sevenster, M. N., Chapman, J. M., Habing, H. J., Killeen, N. E. B., & Lindqvist, M. 1997b, A&AS, 124, 509
 Sevenster, M. N., van Langevelde, H. J., Moody, R. A., et al. 2001, A&A, 366, 481
 Sivagnanam, P., & David, P. 1999, MNRAS, 304, 622
 Szymczak, M., & Gérard, E. 2004, A&A, 423, 209
 Szymczak, M., & Gérard, E. 2005, A&A, 433, L29
 Szymczak, M., Cohen, R. J., & Richards, A. M. S. 1998, MNRAS, 297, 1151
 Szymczak, M., Cohen, R. J., & Richards, A. M. S. 2001, A&A, 371, 1012
 te Lintel Hekkert, P., Caswell, J. L., Habing, H. J., Haynes, R. F., & Norris, R. P. 1991, A&AS, 90, 327
 van der Veen, W. E. C. J., & Habing, H. J. 1988, A&A, 194, 125
 van Driel, W., Pezzani, J., & Gérard, E. 1996, in High Sensitivity Radio Astronomy, ed. N. Jackson, & R. J. Davis (Cambridge Univ. Press), 229
 Vlemmings, W. H. T. 2006, A&A, 445, 1031
 Whitelock, P., Menzies, J., Feast, M., et al. 1994, MNRAS, 267, 711
 Wilson, W. J., & Barrett, A. H. 1972, ApJ, 17, 385
 Wilson, W. J., Barrett, A. H., & Moran, J. M. 1970, ApJ, 160, 545
 Wilson, W. J., Schwartz, P. R., Neugebauer, G., Harvey, P. M., & Becklin, E. E. 1972, ApJ, 177, 523
 Zijlstra, A. A., Chapman, J. M., te Lintel Hekkert, P., et al. 2001, MNRAS, 322, 280
 Zell, P. J., & Fix, J. D. 1991, ApJ, 369, 506

Appendix A: List of the sources and their polarization parameters

Table A.1 lists the 117 detected sources and their polarization parameters derived for the strongest feature in the Stokes I , V and p parameters. The first three columns include the source name and J2000 equatorial coordinates. The name of each source observed with $\sim 0.07 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ resolution is marked by superscript a . In Col. 4 we give the class of objects, where class I contains Miras and SRs, class II contains OH/IR objects and class III is formed by post-AGB and PN candidates. Column 5 shows the epoch of observation in $\text{MJD} = \text{JD} - 2\,450\,000$. In Col. 6 we give only the frequency for which a total emission stronger than 0.15 Jy ($\sim 3\sigma$) was detected. We include the results for the 15 stars, especially SRs and Miras, detected at 1665 MHz, but they are not used in the following statistical analysis because not all targets were surveyed. Column 7 contains the flux density I_p at the total intensity peak, together with the noise level (1σ). Columns 8 and 9 show the circularly polarized flux density, V_p , and linearly polarized flux density, p_{I_p} , respectively, measured at the peak of total flux density. The upper limits for polarized flux density are marked in italics and by colon. Columns 10 and 11 give the fractional circular and linear polarizations, respectively, together with their uncertainties. Column 12 gives the velocity of peak flux density, v_p , for which the polarized fluxes and fractional polarization were derived. The velocity is measured relative to the local standard of rest.

In several sources the velocities of the peak flux densities in the Stokes V and p parameters are different from those of the peak flux density in the Stokes I parameter. For these objects at each frequency Cols. 7–12 give in the second and third rows the polarization properties measured for the peak flux in the Stokes V and p parameters, respectively. The second and/or third rows are blank if the velocities of the peak fluxes in the Stokes V and/or p parameters are the same as those of I_p .

Table A.1. Results of polarimetric observations.

Name	RA (J2000)	Dec	Class	Epoch (MJD)	Line (MHz)	$I_p(1\sigma)$	V_{I_p} (Jy)	p_{I_p}	m_C (%)	m_L	v_p (km s ⁻¹)
IRAS00170+6542	00 19 51.5	+65 59 31	II	2696	1612	4.30(0.06) 3.38	0.18: -0.26	0.12: 0.13:	-7.8(2.0)		-63.9 -64.5
V524 Cas ^a	00 46 00.1	+69 10 53	I	2673	1612	2.40(0.10) 2.14	0.51 0.92	0.24: 0.25:	21.3(4.6) 42.9(6.4)		-34.9 -35.1
WX Psc	01 06 26.0	+12 35 53	I	2745	1612	56.48(0.04) 52.57	-2.61 -1.81	1.07 1.27	-4.6(0.1) -3.4(0.1)	1.9(0.0) 2.4(0.0)	-9.6 26.9
					1667	4.87(0.02) 4.80	-0.31 -0.29	0.16 0.17	-6.4(0.4) -6.0(0.5)	3.3(0.2) 3.5(0.4)	26.0 25.9
OH127.8+0.0	01 33 51.2	+62 26 53	II	3142	1612	264.1(0.24) 198.29	-35.10 -18.58	9.03 9.43	-13.3(0.0) -9.4(0.1)	3.4(0.0) 4.0(0.0)	-65.9 -65.8
					3144	25.66(0.09) 18.13	-3.12 -1.32	0.76 1.03	-12.2(0.4) -7.3(0.5)	3.0(0.3) 5.7(0.4)	-43.9 -44.2
OH138.0+7.2 ^a	03 25 08.8	+65 32 07	II	2674	1612	23.43(0.07) 22.51	-1.96 -3.30	1.38 0.78	-8.4(0.4) -14.7(0.4)	5.9(0.2) 3.5(0.3)	-46.9 -47.2
					3011	1.96(0.05) 1.43	-0.40 -0.34	0.09: 0.28	-20.6(3.1) -24.1(4.4)	19.7(3.4)	-46.7 -46.8
OH141.7+3.5	03 33 30.6	+60 20 09	II	2423	1612	11.67(0.05) 9.95	0.42 1.03	0.18 0.16:	3.6(0.4) 10.3(0.5)	1.6(0.4)	-69.5 -69.3
					1667	1.26(0.03)	0.09: 0.09:				-45.2
IK Tau	03 53 28.8	+11 24 23	I	3047	1612	15.52(0.03) 8.73 11.40	0.09: 0.32 0.09:	0.18 0.07: 0.25		1.2(0.1) 3.6(0.4) 2.2(0.2)	17.0 47.6 46.8
					1665	3.36(0.02) 2.29	0.06: 0.06:	0.03: 0.09			17.4 18.9
					3044	17.01(0.02) 11.88 10.71	-0.38 -0.43 -0.34	0.31 0.21 0.53	-2.2(0.1) -3.6(0.2) -3.1(0.2)	1.9(0.1) 1.8(0.2) 4.9(0.2)	50.4 50.0 15.7
IRAS04575+1251	05 00 24.6	+12 56 07	II	2418	1612	1.86(0.03)	0.12	0.09:	6.5(1.7)		12.9
					1667	0.18(0.02)	0.06: 0.06:				-13.2
NV Aur	05 11 19.4	+52 52 33	I	2660	1612	19.44(0.05)	-0.31	0.12:	-1.6(0.3)		19.8
					1667	0.96(0.04)	0.12: 0.09:				-13.7
BW Cam	05 19 52.6	+63 15 56	I	2423	1612	5.13(0.03) 4.53	0.19 0.23	0.09: 0.11:	3.7(0.6) 5.1(0.9)		36.5 36.6
U Ori ^a	05 55 49.2	+20 10 31	I	2371	1667	0.66(0.02) 0.56	0.06: -0.15	0.06: 0.08:	-26.2(5.4)		-41.2 -40.7
IRAS06297+4045	06 33 15.7	+40 42 51	II	2658	1612	10.85(0.04)	-0.23	0.09:	-2.1(0.3)		-28.2
					1667	1.48(0.03)	0.09: 0.06:				-4.3
GX Mon	06 52 46.9	+08 25 19	I	2725	1612	5.65(0.04)	-0.21	0.06:	-3.7(0.6)		6.6
					1667	1.48(0.03)	0.09: 0.06:				6.6
Z Pup ^a	07 32 38.1	-20 39 29	I	2720	1665	1.03(0.04) 0.31	0.12: 0.28	0.09: 0.10:	89.9(24.0)		-2.7 5.3
					1667	0.45(0.05) 0.25	0.15: 0.20	0.09: 0.10:	77.2(25.7)		-3.0 5.3
IRAS07331+0021 ^a	07 35 41.1	+00 14 58	III	2725	1612	10.90(0.05) 10.56	-0.17 -0.35	0.20 0.12:	-1.6(0.5) -3.3(0.5)	1.9(0.4)	32.4 32.5
R Cnc ^a	08 16 33.8	+11 43 34	I	2672	1665	1.71(0.03) 0.76 1.62	0.29 0.64 0.23	0.71 0.10: 0.78	17.2(2.1) 83.8(8.2) 14.2(2.4)	41.3(2.5) 47.8(3.1)	14.7 15.7 14.6
					1667	0.47(0.03)	0.09: 0.09:				15.2

Table A.1. continued.

Name	RA (J2000)	Dec	Class	Epoch (MJD)	Line (MHz)	$I_p(1\sigma)$	V_{I_p} (Jy)	p_{I_p}	m_C (%)	m_L	v_p (km s ⁻¹)
						0.25	0.23	0.09:	90.2(25.5)		15.7
IW Hya ^a	09 45 15.1	-22 01 45	I	2436	1612	6.83(0.05)	0.15:	0.17		2.5(1.0)	26.9
						2.61	0.16:	0.29		11.3(2.9)	28.4
					1667	0.50(0.04)	0.12:	0.12:			26.6
R LMi ^a	09 45 34.3	+34 30 43	I	2646	1665	2.14(0.04)	0.54	0.12:	25.3(2.3)		-4.2
						1.90	0.76	0.13:	40.1(3.1)		-4.3
				2748	1667	1.81(0.04)	0.12:	0.09:			5.0
V Ant ^a	10 21 09.1	-34:47:19	I	2689	1612	1.21(0.05)	0.15:	0.12:			-14.1
					1667	1.84(0.04)	0.12:	0.09:			-14.1
R Crt ^a	11 00 33.8	-18 19 30	I	2341	1665	1.28(0.02)	-0.28	0.06:	-22.1(1.9)		1.5
						1.28	-0.35	0.08:	-27.3(2.5)		1.6
						0.96	0.08:	0.27		28.3(3.4)	2.7
					1667	3.39(0.02)	-0.60	0.06:	-17.6(0.7)		1.5
						2.97	-0.71	0.39	-24.0(1.0)	13.1(0.8)	1.7
						2.67	-0.63	0.45	-23.6(1.2)	17.0(0.9)	1.8
T Com ^a	12 58 38.9	+23 08 21	I	2515	1612	1.20(0.03)	0.09:	0.09:			23.1
RT Vir ^a	13 02 38.0	+05 11 08	I	2667	1665	2.16(0.03)	0.22	0.31	10.4(1.5)	14.5(1.6)	23.5
						1.59	0.24	0.27	14.9(2.3)	17.2(2.5)	23.6
					1667	0.65(0.06)	-0.50	0.09:	-76.7(11.7)		11.2
						0.59	-0.54	0.09:	-90.7(11.9)		11.3
V CVn ^a	13 19 27.8	+45 31 38	I	2673	1667	0.25(0.04)	0.24	0.09:	96.4(27.3)		9.2
W Hya ^a	13 49 02.0	-28 22 03	I	2721	1665	8.22(0.07)	0.77	1.58	9.4(0.8)	19.2(0.9)	35.7
						3.98	-1.39	1.62	-35.0(2.3)	40.8(2.3)	35.3
						7.28	-0.48	2.21	-6.6(1.0)	30.4(1.2)	35.5
					1667	15.35(0.06)	-1.63	2.19	-10.6(0.5)	14.3(0.4)	35.5
						11.92	-2.95	2.10	-24.8(0.7)	17.6(0.6)	35.3
						14.90	-2.39	2.24	-16.0(0.5)	15.0(0.4)	35.4
RS Vir ^a	14 27 16.4	+04 40 41	I	3261	1612	8.23(0.05)	0.46	0.17	5.6(0.5)	2.0(0.5)	-10.4
						7.97	0.48	0.28	6.0(0.6)	3.5(0.5)	-10.5
						8.00	0.36	0.32	4.5(0.6)	4.0(0.5)	-10.2
				3585	1665	0.94(0.04)	0.12:	0.12:			-17.8
				3261	1667	2.90(0.04)	0.12:	0.09:			-10.3
S CrB ^a	15 21 23.9	+31 22 03	I	2667	1612	4.89(0.05)	0.15:	0.19		3.9(0.9)	-2.3
						3.39	-0.32	0.14:	-9.4(2.0)		-2.8
					1665	5.34(0.04)	0.12:	0.14		2.7(0.8)	3.6
						4.77	0.45	0.18	9.4(1.1)	3.8(0.9)	2.8
						4.69	0.39	0.33	8.3(1.1)	7.0(1.0)	2.9
					1667	4.67(0.04)	-0.24	0.09:	-5.1(0.9)		3.8
						4.63	-0.39	0.10:	-8.4(1.0)		3.7
WX Ser ^a	15 27 47.0	+19 33 52	I	3681	1612	3.66(0.07)	0.21:	0.18:			13.6
					1667	0.75(0.06)	0.18:	0.12:			13.1
OH345.0+15.7	16 06 08.1	-30 49 37	II	2566	1612	42.46(0.03)	0.48	1.66	1.1(0.1)	3.9(0.1)	-16.1
						35.15	0.61	1.50	1.7(0.1)	4.3(0.1)	-16.2
				2808	1667	0.99(0.03)	0.09:	0.06:			11.0
U Her ^a	16 25 47.5	+18 53 33	I	2770	1612	1.26(0.06)	0.18:	0.09:			-20.3
				2805	1665	5.66(0.04)	-0.14	0.19	-2.4(0.5)	3.4(0.6)	-20.4
						1.58	-0.69	0.11:	-43.6(3.6)		-11.6
						4.55	-0.21	0.34	-4.6(0.9)	7.6(0.8)	-19.0
				2756	1667	6.57(0.04)	-0.35	0.37	-5.3(0.6)	5.6(0.5)	-20.4
						2.31	-0.65	0.09:	-28.3(2.5)		-11.8
						5.45	0.13:	0.50		9.3(0.7)	-19.8

Table A.1. continued.

Name	RA (J2000)	Dec	Class	Epoch (MJD)	Line (MHz)	$I_p(1\sigma)$	V_{I_p} (Jy)	p_{I_p}	m_C (%)	m_L	v_p (km s ⁻¹)
IRAS16342–3814	16 37 39.9	–38 20 17	III	3383	1612	13.63(0.10)	–11.27	0.88	–82.7(1.3)	6.5(0.6)	–7.4
						10.77	–8.58	1.69	–79.7(1.6)	15.7(0.9)	–7.6
					1667	2.31(0.07)	0.21:	0.12:			4.9
IRAS17066–3908	17 10 06.3	–39 12 01	II	2668	1612	16.16(0.08)	0.50	0.36	3.1(0.4)	2.3(0.4)	–175.5
						13.80	0.26:	0.47		3.4(0.5)	–175.4
					1667	2.18(0.06)	–0.28	0.15:	–13.1(3.1)		–175.1
IRAS17087–3230	17 12 02.3	–32 34 26	II	2801	1612	1.22(0.04)	–0.19	0.09:	–15.6(3.8)		–63.1
NGC6302	17 13 44.2	–37 06 16	III	2319	1612	0.95(0.07)	0.21:	0.15:			–41.7
IRAS17115–3322	17 14 51.7	–33 25 55	I	2771	1612	2.47(0.05)	–0.65	0.19	–26.3(2.6)	7.7(1.4)	–64.9
						2.33	–0.71	0.12:	–30.5(3.0)		–65.0
					1667	0.75(0.03)	0.11	0.06:	14.1(4.5)		–73.7
						0.64	0.21	0.08:	33.1(7.8)		–73.5
IRAS17150–3224	17 18 19.8	–32 27 22	III	2757	1612	3.97(0.05)	–0.25	0.18	–6.2(1.3)	4.4(0.8)	24.8
						3.57	–0.44	0.11:	–12.2(1.6)		24.9
						3.16	–0.26	0.25	–8.1(1.7)	7.8(1.3)	24.5
					1667	14.42(0.11)	0.33:	0.06:			25.7
						11.75	1.29	0.22	11.0(0.3)	1.9(0.3)	25.8
						8.48	–1.13	0.31	–13.3(0.4)	3.6(0.4)	26.6
IRAS17150–3754	17 18 29.0	–37 58 02	III	2769	1612	0.85(0.07)	0.21:	0.12:			–126.6
						0.34	–0.31	0.15:	–89.8(41.1)		–125.1
					1667	0.93(0.05)	0.15:	0.12:			–132.7
IRAS17168–3736	17 20 15.0	–37 39 32	II	2658	1612	48.02(0.06)	0.40	3.50	0.8(0.1)	7.3(0.1)	–18.3
						37.42	0.47	2.77	1.2(0.2)	7.4(0.2)	–18.2
					1667	6.14(0.05)	–0.27	0.33	–4.4(0.8)	5.3(0.7)	7.1
						3.40	–0.28	0.12:	–8.1(1.7)		7.3
						4.10	0.17:	0.34		8.2(1.1)	6.9
IRAS17177–3627	17 21 09.6	–36 30 51	II	2659	1612	16.80(0.07)	0.21:	0.97		5.8(0.3)	–73.2
						8.63	–0.41	0.39	–4.7(0.9)	4.6(0.7)	–72.9
IRAS17233–2602	17 26 28.7	–26 04 58	II	2495	1612	5.16(0.03)	0.09:	0.12:			–151.6
IRAS17253–2831	17 28 33.3	–28 33 28	III	2370	1612	9.19(0.04)	–0.15	0.12:	–1.6(0.3)		–71.7
						6.68	–0.26	0.12:	–3.8(0.6)		–71.9
						8.34	–0.17	0.19	–2.0(0.5)	2.2(0.5)	–71.5
IRAS17271–3425	17 30 27.4	–34 27 35	II	2668	1612	22.80(0.05)	–0.29	0.45	–1.3(0.3)	2.0(0.2)	–101.6
						21.64	–0.50	0.42	–2.3(0.3)	1.9(0.2)	–101.7
						20.79	–0.22	0.61	–1.1(0.3)	2.9(0.2)	–101.4
					1667	1.28(0.05)	0.15:	0.12:			–64.5
IRAS17371–2747	17 40 20.5	–27 49 11	III	2673	1612	1.70(0.04)	0.12:	0.12:			115.1
IRAS17375–2759	17 40 40.8	–28 01 06	III	2818	1612	1.22(0.04)	–0.19	0.09:	–15.5(3.8)		23.8
					1667	0.29(0.03)	0.09:	0.06:			18.6
IRAS17375–3000	17 40 44.4	–30 02 07	III	2906	1612	2.98(0.04)	0.12:	0.09:			–16.4
						1.99	–0.31	0.11:	–15.6(2.6)		–36.0
IRAS17385–3332	17 41 52.3	–33 33 41	II	2683	1612	10.71(0.06)	–0.22	0.20	–2.1(0.6)	1.8(0.4)	–224.1
						4.99	0.47	0.14:	9.5(1.3)		–245.6
						6.61	0.20:	0.23		3.5(0.7)	–245.5
					1667	0.41(0.05)	0.15:	0.09:			–223.6
OH0.9 + 1.3 ^a	17 42 32.2	–27 28 28	III	3583	1612	71.81(0.11)	–2.82	4.44	–3.9(0.1)	6.2(0.1)	–123.4
						34.55	6.09	1.80	17.6(0.4)	5.2(0.2)	–120.6
					3586	1667	1.31(0.08)	0.24:	0.15:		–124.5

Table A.1. continued.

Name	RA (J2000)	Dec	Class	Epoch (MJD)	Line (MHz)	$I_p(1\sigma)$	V_{I_p} (Jy)	p_{I_p}	m_C (%)	m_L	v_p (km s ⁻¹)
						1.29	-0.32	0.16:	-24.7(6.9)		-124.6
OH1.2+1.3	17 43 39.5	-27 14 18	II	2672	1612	10.02(0.06)	-0.36	0.26	-3.6(0.5)	2.6(0.4)	57.5
						8.76	-0.60	0.34	-6.8(0.7)	3.9(0.5)	30.4
						3.73	-0.40	0.56	-10.6(1.7)	15.0(1.4)	31.6
OH1.2+1.3					1667	1.60(0.04)	-0.29	0.09:	-18.1(3.0)		54.7
						1.39	-0.49	0.10:	-35.4(4.1)		54.5
IRAS17411-3154	17 44 22.6	-31 55 39	II	2350	1612	597.28(0.12)	44.36	31.23	7.4(0.0)	5.2(0.0)	-2.4
						390.75	25.54	35.65	6.5(0.0)	9.1(0.0)	-40.0
					1667	24.31(0.04)	1.13	2.13	4.7(0.1)	8.8(0.1)	-40.9
						22.78	1.66	0.85	7.3(0.1)	3.7(0.1)	-4.3
IRAS17418-2713	17 44 58.7	-27 14 45	II	2697	1612	12.23(0.04)	0.12:	0.49		4.0(0.3)	-27.7
					1667	4.74(0.04)	-0.20	0.23	-4.2(0.7)	4.8(0.7)	-29.6
IRAS17423-1755	17 45 14.2	-17 56 47	III	2815	1612	0.19(0.04)	0.12:	0.09:			46.8
					1667	0.55(0.05)	0.15:	0.06:			45.6
IRAS17433-1750	17 46 15.8	-17 51 46	III	2682	1612	1.50(0.08)	0.24:	0.09:			135.3
IRAS17443-2949	17 47 35.3	-29 50 56	III	2820	1612	2.64(0.05)	-0.42	0.09:	-16.0(1.8)		-15.4
					1667	1.40(0.08)	0.24:	0.06:			-17.8
OH1.1-0.8	17 51 26.8	-28 25 37	II	3583	1612	93.39(0.08)	0.89	1.32	1.0(0.1)	1.4(0.1)	29.9
						65.67	-1.10	1.08	-1.7(0.1)	1.6(0.1)	-9.4
						80.23	-0.96	1.89	-1.2(0.1)	2.4(0.1)	-9.1
				3081	1667	1.22(0.05)	0.15:	0.09:			31.7
OH10.5+4.5	17 51 48.9	-17 42 30	II	4692	1612	4.34(0.05)	0.15:	0.09:			-43.4
IRAS17516-2525	17 54 43.3	-25 26 28	III	2432	1612	1.67(0.04)	0.12:	0.12:			-31.3
IRAS17579-3121	18 01 13.4	-31 21 56	III	2436	1612	13.07(0.04)	0.23	0.42	1.7(0.2)	3.2(0.3)	22.6
						6.29	0.44	0.13	7.0(0.6)	2.0(0.7)	1.3
						10.77	0.18	0.48	1.7(0.3)	4.4(0.4)	22.2
OH10.9+1.5	18 03 38.9	-18 41 09	II	4773	1612	3.83(0.04)	0.12:	0.17		4.3(0.8)	112.3
						2.45	0.14:	0.20		8.4(1.7)	112.4
					1667	1.18(0.04)	0.12:	0.12:			145.3
IRAS18052-2016	18 08 16.4	-20 16 11	II	2434	1612	2.85(0.04)	0.18	0.12:	6.2(1.5)		79.4
IRAS18071-1727 ^a	18 10 06.1	-17 26 34	III	2421	1612	2.26(0.04)	0.33	0.18	14.8(2.0)	7.8(1.9)	17.2
						2.25	0.45	0.13:	19.8(2.2)		17.5
					1667	0.37(0.03)	0.09:	0.09:			37.2
OH11.5+0.1	18 10 38.4	-18 52 59	II	4765	1612	38.20(0.04)	0.86	0.94	2.3(0.1)	2.5(0.1)	64.1
						36.71	1.09	0.78	3.0(0.1)	2.1(0.1)	64.3
						33.78	0.70	0.98	2.1(0.1)	2.9(0.2)	63.3
IRAS18083-2630	18 11 25.9	-26 29 31	II	2603	1612	1.87(0.04)	0.12:	0.12:			43.6
					1667	0.30(0.03)	0.09:	0.09:			44.5
IRAS18095+2704	18 11 30.7	+27 05 15	III	2440	1612	0.24(0.03)	0.09:	0.12:			-12.7
OH15.4+1.9	18 11 34.2	-14 39 59	II	4638	1612	12.48(0.04)	0.14	0.47	1.1(0.2)	3.8(0.3)	26.7
						10.30	0.20	0.44	2.0(0.3)	4.2(0.3)	-2.3
						10.66	0.19	0.48	1.8(0.3)	4.5(0.3)	-2.5
					1667	0.57(0.04)	0.12:	0.09:			-2.9
IRAS18105-1935	18 13 32.2	-19 35 03	II	2441	1612	2.59(0.03)	-0.21	0.12:	-8.0(1.3)		8.5
					1667	0.39(0.03)	0.09:	0.09:			31.1

Table A.1. continued.

Name	RA (J2000)	Dec	Class	Epoch (MJD)	Line (MHz)	$I_p(1\sigma)$	V_{I_p} (Jy)	p_{I_p}	m_C (%)	m_L	v_p (km s ⁻¹)
OH15.7+0.8	18 16 25.4	-14 55 16	III	3082	1612	72.95(0.07)	0.62	2.61	0.8(0.1)	3.6(0.1)	-15.2
						48.85	0.99	1.95	2.0(0.1)	4.0(0.1)	-15.5
						66.88	0.78	2.98	1.2(0.1)	4.5(0.1)	-15.3
					1667	13.41(0.04)	-0.21	0.31	-1.6(0.4)	2.3(0.2)	15.2
OH18.5+1.4	18 19 35.4	-12 08 08	II	4773	1612	7.89(0.04)	-0.13	0.09:	-1.7(0.5)		165.9
						6.74	0.14:	0.23		3.4(0.6)	166.1
OH12.8-1.9	18 20 31.5	-18 44 23	II	2326	1612	24.53(0.03)	1.31	2.08	5.3(0.1)	8.5(0.1)	-6.9
						18.00	-3.93	0.73	-21.9(0.2)	4.1(0.2)	-8.6
OH16.1-0.3	18 21 06.4	-15 03 30	II	2801	1612	18.62(0.05)	0.75	0.88	4.0(0.3)	4.7(0.2)	42.9
					1667	3.01(0.03)	0.09	0.09:	3.0(1.0)		44.7
IRAS18246-1032	18 27 24.0	-10 30 24	II	2434	1612	11.94(0.04)	1.01	0.13	8.5(0.3)	1.1(0.3)	60.7
						9.93	0.40	0.45	4.0(0.3)	4.5(0.4)	60.8
OH20.7+0.1	18 28 27.7	-10 50 19	II	2383	1612	11.51(0.03)	0.82	0.13	7.1(0.3)	1.2(0.4)	118.0
						9.89	0.78	0.23	7.9(0.4)	2.4(0.4)	118.1
					1667	2.36(0.02)	0.07	0.09:	3.0(0.9)		117.0
						1.98	0.14	0.09:	6.9(1.4)		155.5
OH19.2-1.0	18 29 28.7	-12 37 56	III	3779	1612	25.74(0.05)	-0.62	0.79	-2.4(0.2)	3.1(0.2)	57.5
						21.53	-1.40	0.82	-6.5(0.3)	3.8(0.2)	57.4
						24.12	-0.54	1.14	-2.2(0.2)	4.7 (0.2)	57.6
					1667	0.79(0.05)	0.15:	0.09:			59.3
OH17.7-2.0	18 30 30.6	-14 28 56	III	3515	1612	195.60(0.07)	-159.48	26.73	-81.5(0.1)	13.7(0.0)	73.2
				3028	1665	5.49(0.03)	-3.69	0.63	-67.2(0.9)	11.5(0.4)	47.8
				4647	1667	18.44(0.06)	-0.40	1.64	-2.1(0.2)	8.9(0.2)	74.4
						2.22	-0.88	1.06	-39.8(2.9)	47.7(3.2)	72.4
OH26.5+0.6 ^a	18 37 32.5	-05 23 59	II	3084	1612	516.18(0.10)	30.11	43.22	5.8(0.0)	8.4(0.0)	41.0
						507.80	30.91	42.01	6.1(0.0)	8.3(0.0)	41.0
					1667	7.97(0.06)	0.18:	0.22		2.8(0.6)	12.8
						5.45	0.19:	0.89		16.3(1.2)	39.9
OH25.1-0.3	18 38 15.4	-07 09 54	II	4589	1612	8.00(0.05)	0.15:	0.83		10.4(0.7)	130.2
					1667	1.14(0.05)	0.15:	0.12:			155.3
OH27.3+0.2	18 40 21.5	-04 57 46	II	2501	1612	17.15(0.06)	0.87	0.82	5.1(0.3)	4.8(0.4)	38.0
						12.68	1.00	0.61	7.9(0.4)	4.8(0.5)	37.5
						16.05	0.75	0.93	4.6(0.3)	5.8(0.4)	38.2
					1667	0.52(0.05)	0.15:	0.15:			36.8
IRAS18445-0238	18 47 09.8	-02 35 36	I	2639	1612	12.03(0.05)	0.15:	0.34		2.8(0.3)	67.9
						9.83	0.16:	0.39		4.0(0.5)	32.7
OH31.0+0.0	18 47 40.8	-01 44 57	III	4768	1612	32.41(0.09)	4.38	1.46	13.5(0.3)	4.5(0.2)	40.4
					1667	4.85(0.10)	1.35	0.21:	27.9(2.2)		40.6
OH31.0-0.2	18 48 42.8	-01 48 40	II	4773	1612	86.41(0.06)	22.52	1.83	26.1(0.1)	2.1(0.0)	111.0
						83.98	6.80	10.62	8.1(0.1)	12.6(0.1)	111.2
					1667	0.72(0.04)	0.12:	0.12:			139.9
OH32.8-0.3	18 52 22.2	-00 14 14	II	3184	1612	50.75(0.05)	-1.02	0.56	-2.0(0.1)	1.1(0.1)	45.5
						46.15	-1.11	0.87	-2.4(0.1)	1.9(0.1)	45.8
					1667	2.75(0.04)	-0.13	0.16	-4.6(1.2)	5.9(1.2)	77.4
						2.30	-0.21	0.10:	-9.3(1.9)		75.8
OH39.7+1.5	18 58 30.0	+06 42 58	II	3358	1612	159.50(0.07)	6.83	0.12:	4.3(0.0)		35.9
						83.08	1.98	1.60	2.4(0.1)	1.9(0.1)	3.4
				2664	1667	0.43(0.05)	0.15:	0.06:			38.0

Table A.1. continued.

Name	RA (J2000)	Dec	Class	Epoch (MJD)	Line (MHz)	$I_p(1\sigma)$	V_{I_p} (Jy)	p_{I_p}	m_C (%)	m_L	v_p (km s ⁻¹)
OH37.1–0.8	19 02 06.3	+03 20 16	II	4765	1612	7.38(0.04)	0.12:	0.26		3.5(0.6)	76.8
						2.26	–0.38	0.12:	–16.7(2.4)		77.6
						4.68	–0.20	0.33	–4.3(1.0)	7.1(1.0)	76.4
					4712	1667	0.83(0.03)	0.09:	0.09:		103.6
OH39.9–0.0	19 04 09.7	+06 13 16	II	4723	1612	10.12(0.04)	0.25	0.32	2.5(0.5)	3.1(0.4)	163.2
R Aql ^a	19 06 22.2	+08 13 48	I	3501	1612	80.30(0.05)	3.00	3.15	3.7(0.1)	3.9(0.1)	53.9
						77.40	3.09	3.01	4.0(0.1)	3.9(0.1)	53.9
						77.67	2.97	3.27	3.8(0.1)	4.2(0.1)	54.0
					3171	1667	3.73(0.05)	–0.21	0.09:	–5.5(1.4)	41.7
						3.60	–0.24	0.12:	–6.6(1.6)		42.0
IRAS19059–2219	19 08 54.6	–22 14 19	I	2499	1612	7.32(0.03)	0.13	0.28	1.8(0.4)	3.8(0.4)	8.4
						3.45	0.17	0.15	4.9(1.0)	4.3(1.1)	34.0
					1667	0.20(0.02)	0.06:	0.18:			35.8
OH42.3–0.1	19 09 08.3	+08 16 32	II	3530	1612	42.39(0.05)	0.23	0.34	0.6(0.1)	0.8(0.1)	75.6
						16.17	–1.34	0.70	–8.3(0.3)	4.3(0.3)	42.8
						16.26	–1.15	0.93	–7.0(0.3)	5.7(0.3)	43.0
					1667	0.26(0.03)	0.09:	0.06:			75.2
IRAS19114+0002	19 13 58.6	+00 07 32	III	2777	1612	60.30(0.07)	0.36	3.13	0.6(0.1)	5.2(0.1)	123.7
						12.56	1.31	0.57	10.4(0.4)	4.5(0.3)	118.4
					1667	45.52(0.11)	–1.76	0.66	–3.9(0.1)	1.5(0.1)	124.8
						44.15	–1.95	0.75	–4.4(0.1)	1.7(0.1)	124.6
						42.49	–1.71	0.89	–4.0(0.1)	2.1(0.1)	125.1
OH45.5+0.0	19 14 24.0	+11 09 24	II	2907	1612	29.29(0.07)	–1.35	0.31	–4.6(0.1)	1.1(0.1)	52.2
						27.90	–1.36	0.29	–4.9(0.2)	1.0(0.1)	52.3
						14.51	–0.84	0.46	–5.8(0.4)	3.2(0.3)	18.1
IRAS19192+0922	19 21 36.5	+09 27 56	II	2815	1612	20.08(0.04)	–1.05	0.09:	–5.2(0.2)		–55.8
						16.81	–1.02	0.24	–6.1(0.3)	1.4(0.2)	–56.1
					1667	0.32(0.03)	0.09:	0.06:			–55.0
IRAS19219+0947 ^a	19 24 22.2	+09 53 56	III	2775	1612	5.43(0.04)	0.57	0.13	10.4(0.8)	2.4(0.6)	–61.9
						4.14	0.21	0.24	5.1(1.1)	5.8(0.9)	–61.4
OH51.8–0.2	19 27 42.0	+16 37 24	II	4469	1612	7.26(0.04)	–0.22	0.09:	–3.0(0.4)		–17.4
						6.79	–0.31	0.20	–4.6(0.5)	2.9(0.6)	–17.6
					1667	1.28(0.03)	0.09:	0.06:			20.0
OH55.0+0.7	19 30 29.5	+19 50 41	II	4768	1612	14.16(0.03)	0.09:	0.77		5.5(0.2)	13.4
					1667	4.63(0.03)	0.09:	0.15		3.3(0.5)	43.4
						0.92	0.11:	0.18		19.4(3.8)	11.9
OH53.6–0.2	19 31 22.5	+18 13 20	II	3030	1612	44.57(0.05)	1.01	0.69	2.3(0.1)	1.6(0.1)	24.2
						30.33	0.24	1.03	0.8(0.1)	3.4(0.1)	23.6
					3669	1667	6.33(0.05)	0.15:	0.75	11.8(0.7)	24.1
						5.79	–0.30	0.32	–5.2(0.8)	5.6(0.6)	24.7
						6.38	0.12:	0.83		13.1(0.6)	24.3
IRAS19343+2926	19 36 18.9	+29 32 50	III	2421	1612	0.34(0.02)	0.06:	0.06:			–9.1
					1667	0.54(0.02)	0.06:	0.06:			–9.2
GY Aql ^a	19 50 06.3	–07 36 52	I	2292	1665	1.60(0.03)	0.20	0.10	12.5(2.1)	6.2(2.0)	34.4
						1.47	0.37	0.18	25.5(2.8)	12.2(2.5)	34.6
					1667	1.35(0.03)	0.09:	0.22		16.2(1.8)	34.4
						0.36	–0.18	0.08:	–50.4(13.1)		32.9
						1.20	0.10:	0.34		28.4(3.0)	34.6
IRAS19493+2905	19 51 21.2	+29 13 01	II	2455	1612	3.76(0.02)	0.06:	0.08		2.0(0.8)	–5.3
						2.78	0.09:	0.19		6.9(1.2)	–5.5

Table A.1. continued.

Name	RA (J2000)	Dec	Class	Epoch (MJD)	Line (MHz)	$I_p(1\sigma)$	V_{I_p} (Jy)	p_{I_p}	m_C (%)	m_L	v_p (km s ⁻¹)
IRAS19508+2659	19 52 57.8	+27 07 45	II	2451	1612	2.25(0.02)	0.06:	0.06:			20.3
IRAS19534+2802	19 55 30.1	+28 10 48	II	2564	1612	2.22(0.04)	0.12:	0.12:			19.1
RR Aql ^a	19 57 36.1	-01 53 11	I	2511	1612	14.30(0.03)	0.89	0.60	6.2(0.2)	4.2(0.3)	32.7
					2513	4.04(0.02)	-0.39	0.09	-9.7(0.5)	2.1(0.8)	24.3
						2.79	-0.60	0.09:	-21.6(1.3)		25.1
						2.28	-0.37	0.18	-16.3(1.5)	7.9(1.4)	25.2
OH65.7-0.8	19 59 39.1	+28 23 07	II	4723	1612	6.69(0.03)	-0.58	0.09:	-8.6(0.5)		-47.8
						6.66	-0.63	0.20	-9.5(0.6)	3.1(0.6)	-47.9
Z Cyg ^a	20 01 27.6	+50 02 31	I	2322	1612	6.54(0.07)	0.21:	0.15:			-150.4
					1667	0.75(0.06)	0.18:	0.12:			-146.6
OH66.8-1.3	20 04 20.7	+29 04 06	II	4723	1612	2.10(0.03)	0.09:	0.09:			-77.3
					4716	0.50(0.03)	0.09:	0.09:			-51.7
V1300 Aql	20 10 27.9	-06 16 14	I	2292	1612	33.74(0.03)	-0.64	0.06:	-1.9(0.1)		-31.5
						32.21	-0.69	0.13	-2.1(0.1)	0.4(0.1)	-31.6
						5.26	-0.12	0.20	-2.2(0.7)	3.8(0.5)	-7.6
					1667	4.18(0.02)	-1.12	0.20	-26.9(0.6)	4.7(0.3)	-31.0
OH77.9+0.2	20 28 30.0	+39 06 57	II	4719	1612	13.18(0.06)	0.33	0.74	2.5(0.4)	5.6(0.5)	-49.4
						6.37	-0.51	0.46	-8.1(1.0)	7.3(1.0)	-49.1
					1667	1.17(0.05)	0.15:	0.15:			-28.0
OH63.3-10.2	20 28 57.1	+21 15 37	II	2556	1612	3.50(0.04)	0.12:	0.12:			-56.5
					1667	0.18(0.03)	0.09:	0.09:			-57.6
OH75.3-1.8	20 29 08.4	+35 45 44	II	2706	1612	18.79(0.03)	-0.56	0.62	-3.0(0.2)	3.3(0.1)	-16.3
					1667	2.85(0.03)	-0.09	0.06:	-3.3(0.7)		9.4
						2.16	-0.12	0.07:	-5.3(1.3)		9.3
V778 Cyg ^a	20 36 07.4	+60 05 26	I	4097	1665	0.63(0.07)	0.36	0.22	58.1(16.1)	34.9(13.5)	-16.3
						0.49	0.23	0.24	46.4(20.5)	49.1(20.8)	-16.4
				2789	1667	0.48(0.06)	0.31	0.15:	63.8(18.2)		-16.2
						0.43	0.36	0.16:	83.6(25.2)		-16.2
IRAS20491+4236	20 50 57.7	+42 48 04	II	2436	1612	14.98(0.03)	0.32	0.71	2.1(0.1)	4.8(0.2)	-56.7
						14.03	0.54	0.67	3.8(0.2)	4.8(0.2)	-56.6
					1667	0.16(0.03)	0.09:	0.06:			-20.2
OH85.4+0.1	20 53 35.0	+44 57 24	II	2703	1612	5.89(0.04)	0.24	0.09:	4.1(0.9)		-36.5
						4.29	0.25	0.11:	5.9(1.3)		-9.4
					1667	0.27(0.04)	0.12:	0.09:			-36.9
UX Cyg ^a	20 55 05.5	+30 24 52	I	2335	1612	1.63(0.04)	0.12:	0.09:			-11.8
						1.17	0.52	0.10:	44.9(6.6)		-2.3
						1.01	0.31	0.32	31.1(7.0)	31.7(4.7)	-1.6
					1667	0.50(0.03)	0.09:	0.06:			-11.9
IRC+40483	21 27 27.9	+36 41 59	I	2434	1612	2.05(0.02)	0.06	0.09	3.1(1.0)	4.3(1.0)	58.8
						1.64	0.14	0.08	8.6(1.6)	5.1(1.8)	29.7
						1.69	0.07:	0.11		6.3(1.8)	58.6
					1667	0.15(0.02)	0.06:	0.06:			29.2
UU Peg ^a	21 31 04.1	+11 09 13	I	3252	1665	0.91(0.04)	0.12:	0.09:			31.2
					1667	2.07(0.04)	0.19	0.09:	9.3(1.6)		31.1
IRAS21305+2118	21 32 53.7	+21 32 00	II	2451	1612	0.27(0.02)	0.06:	0.09:			-58.5
IRAS21554+6204 ^a	21 56 58.2	+62 18 44	II	2748	1612	8.32(0.07)	0.21:	0.15:			-32.6
					1667	2.57(0.08)	0.24:	0.12:			-8.2

Table A.1. continued.

Name	RA (J2000)	Dec	Class	Epoch (MJD)	Line (MHz)	$I_p(1\sigma)$	V_{I_p} (Jy)	p_{I_p}	m_C (%)	m_L	v_p (km s ⁻¹)
OH104.9+2.4	22 19 27.4	+59 51 23	II	2654	1612	75.52(0.07)	2.58	1.50	3.4(0.1)	2.0(0.1)	-40.5
						64.32	0.46	1.80	0.7(0.2)	2.8(0.1)	-10.5
				3595	1667	0.96(0.04)	0.12:	0.09:			-11.3
IRAS22402+1045 ^a	22 42 47.1	+11 00 54	I	2609	1612	0.61(0.04)	0.12:	0.12:			-106.8
IRC+10523 ^a	22 54 11.4	+08 54 07	I	2428	1612	0.45(0.03)	0.09:	0.09:			-7.9
					1667	0.36(0.05)	0.15:	0.06:			11.4
R Cas ^a	23 58 24.9	+51 23 20	I	2696	1665	1.96(0.05)	0.77	0.12:	39.2(3.0)		30.4
						1.82	0.80	0.14:	43.9(3.9)		30.3
					1667	0.41(0.05)	0.15:	0.12:			28.5

Notes. ^(a) Spectral resolution of ~ 0.07 km s⁻¹.

Appendix B: Full polarization spectra

OH spectra for all targets are displayed in Fig. B.1. In separate panels we show the Stokes I , left (LHC) and right (RHC) hand circular polarization, Stokes V , fractional circular polarization, Stokes Q and U , total linear polarization p , fractional linear polarization and polarization position angle at each frequency observed. The spectra of m_C , m_L and χ are omitted if no polarized features were detected at a $\sim 3\sigma$ level of about 0.15 Jy.

Fig. B.1. Full polarization spectra.