First detection of hydrogen isocyanide (HNC) in Titan’s atmosphere
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ABSTRACT

We report on the first identification of hydrogen isocyanide (HNC) in Titan’s atmosphere, from observations using the HIFI instrument on the Herschel⋆ Space Observatory. An emission line from the HNC J = 6 → 5 rotational transition at 543.897 GHz was measured in Titan on June 14 and December 31, 2010. Radiative transfer modeling indicates that the bulk of HNC is located above 400 km, with a column density in the range (0.6–1.5) x 1013 cm−2, but the observations cannot establish its vertical profile. In particular HNC could be restricted to the upper thermosphere (∼1000 km), in which case its local abundance relative to HCN could be as high as ∼0.3. HNC is probably formed mostly at ionospheric levels (950–1150 km) from dissociative recombination of HCNH+ and possibly other heavier nitrile ions. Ionospheric loss of HNC occurs by protonation with XH+ ions. Additional formation (e.g. from N(4S)+CH2) and loss routes (e.g. from isomerization to HCN) in the neutral atmosphere remain to be investigated.
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1. Introduction

Titan is the only satellite of the Solar System to possess a dense atmosphere (1.5 bar), mainly composed of N2 with a few percent of CH4. The detection of hydrogen cyanide (HCN) and of more complex nitriles (HC3N and C2N2) obtained by IR spectrometers onboard spacecrafts (starting with Voyager in 1980) was of considerable interest, as these molecules are key intermediates in the synthesis of organic molecules. Their presence, along with that of several hydrocarbons, implies a complex photochemistry of methane, which is, on the one hand, coupled with that of N2, and on the other hand, enriched by ion-molecule reactions taking place in the upper atmosphere (>800 km). As a matter of fact, and as one of the major discoveries of the Cassini mission at Titan, numerous heavy organic molecules have been detected in Titan’s upper atmosphere by Cassini Ion Neutral Mass Spectrometer (INMS), (e.g. Waite et al. 2005; Vuitton et al. 2007). Despite these impressive results, from which a completely new view of Titan’s ion-neutral chemistry emerged, INMS cannot distinguish between species of identical mass, in particular between isomers. Heterodyne spectroscopy of strong rotational lines provides high sensitivity and frequency discrimination for the detection of minor species with permanent dipole moment – such as HCN, HC3N and CH3CN (Marten et al. 2002; Gurwell 2004), and CO (Gurwell & Muhleman 1995; Hidayat et al. 1998; Rengel et al. 2011) – and allows for full resolution of line profiles, as well as unique absolute wind measurements in Titan’s stratosphere and mesosphere from mapping of the Doppler shift in spatially-resolved data (Moreno et al. 2005).

In this paper, we report the first detection of a new species, hydrogen isocyanide (HNC), in Titan’s atmosphere, using the Heterodyne Instrument for the Far-Infrared (HIFI, de Graauw et al. 2010) onboard the ESA Herschel Space Observatory (Pilbratt et al. 2010).

2. Observations

Observations of Titan were performed on June 14 and December 31, 2010, as part of the Herschel guaranteed time program “Water and related chemistry in the Solar System” (H2O, see Hartogh et al. 2009). We used the HIFI heterodyne receiver in band 1a (covering the 480–560 GHz spectral region), with two orthogonal polarizations (H and V) simultaneously.

The original goal of these observations was to study water vapor in Titan’s atmosphere. The receiver was therefore tuned to the H2O(110–101) rotational transition at 556.936 GHz, in the upper sideband (USB). In June 2010, the local oscillator (LO) frequency of the instrument was tuned to 550.390 GHz. Because HIFI is a double sideband (DSB) receiver, its lower sideband (LSB) simultaneously covered the 542.4–546.4 GHz range. For spectral analysis, we used two different spectrometers at a spectral resolution of 1.1 MHz (Wide Band Spectrometer, WBS) and 0.25 MHz (High Resolution Spectrometer, HRS). The telescope half power beam width (HPBW) at 556 GHz is 39″. To minimize the contribution from Saturn, Titan was observed at eastern elongation (173″ east of Saturn) using a position switch (PSw) observing mode. The reference sky position was chosen to be separated from Titan by +2° in declination (i.e. North of Titan) in order to avoid any contamination from Saturn. Data reduction was carried out using the Herschel data reduction software (HIPEx, Ott 2010), which calibrates the data flux and corrects for spacecraft and planet velocity. A polynomial baseline removal was performed to eliminate the standing waves.

⋆ Herschel is an ESA space observatory with science instruments provided by European-led Principal Investigator consortia and with important participation from NASA.
Observations of HNC(6–5) at 543.897 GHz on Titan measured with Herschel/HIFI. Both H and V polarizations are averaged. (a) Upper left panel: measurement with WBS (Δν = 1.1 MHz) on June 14, 2010. (b) Upper right panel: measurement with HRS (Δν = 0.25 MHz) on June 14, 2010. (c) Lower left panel: measurement with WBS on Dec. 31, 2010. (d) Lower right panel: measurement with HRS on Dec. 31, 2010. Due to varying distance of Titan, observations on Dec. 31 are scaled in intensity to the conditions of June 14.

**3. Modeling**

The HNC spectra were analyzed with a line-by-line radiative transfer code accounting for the spherical geometry of Titan’s atmosphere (Marten et al. 2002, and references therein). This model considers HNC molecular opacities from the JPL catalog (Pickett et al. 1998), and also includes collision-induced opacities of N2–N2, N2–CH4, and CH4–CH4 (Borysow & Frommhold 1986, 1987; Borysow & Tang 1993). The pressure broadening coefficient (γ0) of HNC(6–5) was taken to be equal to that of HCN(6–5), γ0 = 0.129 cm⁻¹ bar⁻¹ at a reference temperature of 300 K and with a temperature dependency exponent n = 0.69 (Yang et al. 2008). The thermal profile used for our computation is a combination of (i) the temperatures measured by Huygens/HASI (Fulchignoni et al. 2005) in the troposphere at altitudes between 0–140 km; (ii) the disk-averaged Cassini/CIRS stratospheric temperatures (Vinatier et al. 2010) at altitudes between 140–500 km; (iii) the Cassini/INMS retrieved temperatures (i.e. 155 K in average, De La Haye et al. 2007) at altitudes between 1000–1500 km; (iv) a decreasing temperature from 165 K to 155 K at altitudes between 500 and 1000 km. Our modeling results, presented below, indicate that the HNC(6–5) line is optically thin (opacity τ ≈ 0.12), therefore results are not too sensitive to the precise temperature profile.

The model computes spectra in units of fluxes or Rayleigh-Jeans temperatures (T0). To compare T0 with the measured antenna temperature (TA), we use the usual relationship: TA = T0/Fν × B0 eff/F0 eff, with Fν the geometrical dilution factor, B0 eff the telescope beam efficiency (i.e. 0.75), and F0 eff the telescope forward efficiency (i.e. 0.96). Because the HRS spectra are much noisier (due to their higher spectral resolution) than the WBS data, we focussed on the WBS spectra, averaging the two epochs to further improve the S/N.

### 3.1. Uniform mixing ratio profiles

The HNC narrow linewidth indicates that the line is mostly Doppler-broadened, with little or no contribution from Lorentz linewings. In a first series of models, we considered uniform vertical distributions of HNC, i.e., with a constant mixing ratio q0 above a given altitude z0. In this approach, one can discard HNC profiles with z0 smaller than 200 km, because the associated simulated lines are broader than the observed line (i.e. pressure-broadened, Fig. 2). While a value of z0 equal to 300 km is still acceptable, we favor uniform profiles in which z0 is greater than 400 km. This, in particular, is also consistent with HNC being restricted to the upper thermosphere (e.g. z0 = 1000 km). For such uniform distributions characterized by z0, the tested mixing ratios mainly lie between z0 and z0 + 100 km. Best-fit results of the coupled parameters z0 and q0 are given in Table 2. The retrieved column density depends slightly on z0, and lies in the range (0.6–1.5) × 10¹⁵ cm⁻² for z0 varying between 400 and 1000 km, with higher values of z0 associated with smaller columns.

### 3.2. A thermospheric case

As illustrated above, our observations do not establish the vertical distribution of HNC, except for the loose constraint that it cannot be present in large amounts below 300 km. Nevertheless, as we discuss below, formation scenarios argue for a large fraction of HNC to be restricted to the thermosphere, with its distribution roughly following that of the electronic density in the lower ionosphere. To mimic this situation, we tested the case in which HNC is restricted to a layer from 900 to 1200 km, with a constant number density (i.e. a mixing ratio (q) increasing with altitude as q = q0 × (p0/p), with p0 the reference pressure corresponding to z0 = 900 km). For altitudes lower than z0, the mixing ratio is taken as zero. Taking into account the high molecular diffusion above the homopause at ~1200 km (D ≈ 0.5 – 1×10⁻¹⁰ cm² s⁻¹, De La Haye et al. 2007; Yelle et al. 2008), we also assumed a constant mixing ratio above the homopause zh = 1200 km. With this model, the best fit is obtained
for \( q_0 (900 \text{ km}) = (2.0^{+0.4}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-6} \). It corresponds to an HNC column density of \( 5.7^{+1.1}_{-1.2} \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), close to the one retrieved for uniform \( A \) or \( B \) cases (Table 2). Extending this approach to other values of \( z_0 \), we also computed models with increasing mixing ratio with altitude as \( q = q_0 \times (p_0/p)^p \). Many possible fits were found for \( z_0 \) between 300–1000 km, further demonstrating that we cannot currently constrain the vertical distribution of HNC. The retrieved column density remains unchanged at \((0.6–1.5) \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}\).

### 4. Discussion

The possible presence of HNC in Titan’s atmosphere was first considered by Petrie (2001). Based on pre-Cassini photochemical models (e.g. Ip 1990; Galand et al. 1999; Banaszkiewicz et al. 2000) which predicted that HCNH\(^+\) is one important or even the dominant ion at the ionospheric peak, Petrie (2001) postulates the dissociative recombination of HCNH\(^+\) (HCNH\(^+\) + e\(^-\) \rightarrow HNC + H) as an important source of HNC. This reaction, which produces HCN and HNC in approximately equal yields, was suggested as the origin of the high abundance of HNC (HCN~HNC) in dense molecular clouds as early as 35 years ago (Watson 1976). Petrie (2001) further considered various loss processes for HNC, including (i) photon-induced isomerization (HCN + hv \rightarrow HCN); (ii) photolysis (HCN + hv \rightarrow H + CN); (iii) H-catalyzed isomerization (HCN + H \rightarrow HCN + H); (iv) protonation with ionic species (XH\(^+_n\) + HNC \rightarrow X + HCNH\(^+_n\)); and (v) reactions with neutral radicals (X + HNC \rightarrow XCN + H or XH + CN). He dismissed (i) and (ii) as being insignificant compared to protonation and retained the other three loss mechanisms, focussing on process (v) with X = CH\(_3\). Using this limited chemistry, HNC would therefore result from the following sets of reactions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HCNH}^+ + e^- & \rightarrow \text{HNC} + \text{H} & (1a) \\
& \rightarrow \text{HCN} + \text{H} & (1b) \\
\text{XH}^+ + \text{HNC} & \rightarrow \text{X} + \text{HCNH}^+ & (2) \\
\text{HCN} + \text{H} & \rightarrow \text{HNC} + \text{H} & (3) \\
\text{CH}_3 + \text{HNC} & \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CN} + \text{H} & (4) \\
& \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + \text{CN} &
\end{align*}
\]

The detection of HCNH\(^+\) by INMS with a peak abundance of \( \sim 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3} \) near 1100–1150 km (e.g. Waite et al. 2005; Vuitton et al. 2007; Cui et al. 2009) supports the above production scheme and allows one to evaluate it quantitatively, although key reaction rates \( k_i \) are still uncertain. The dissociative recombination rate of HCNH\(^+\) depends on the electron temperature. The

### Table 2. Retrieved HNC mixing ratio and column density assuming uniform profiles above altitude \( z_0 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile ( z_0 (\text{km}) )</th>
<th>Mixing ratio ( q )</th>
<th>Column ( C(q) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A 1000 km</td>
<td>( 6.0^{+1.1}_{-1.0} \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>( 6.3 \times 10^{12} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 900 km</td>
<td>( 1.4^{+0.3}_{-0.1} \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>( 6.9 \times 10^{12} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 800 km</td>
<td>( 3.1^{+0.3}_{-0.2} \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>( 7.8 \times 10^{12} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D 700 km</td>
<td>( 6.7^{+1.1}_{-1.2} \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 8.9 \times 10^{12} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 600 km</td>
<td>( 1.3^{+0.3}_{-0.1} \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 1.0 \times 10^{13} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 500 km</td>
<td>( 2.6^{+0.6}_{-0.5} \times 10^{-8} )</td>
<td>( 1.2 \times 10^{13} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G 400 km</td>
<td>( 4.5^{+1.1}_{-1.2} \times 10^{-9} )</td>
<td>( 1.5 \times 10^{13} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 300 km</td>
<td>( 1.1^{+0.3}_{-0.1} \times 10^{-9} )</td>
<td>( 2.0 \times 10^{13} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I 200 km</td>
<td>( 0.4^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \times 10^{-9} )</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes.**

- Mixing ratio include uncertainties in HIFI calibration (~10%, Roelofsema et al. 2011) and statistical uncertainties. 0. Linewidth is marginally too large. 0. Linewidth is too large.

Cassini Radio and Plasma Wave Science (RPWS/LP) measurements have reported an electronic temperature of 500 K (Agren et al. 2009; Galand et al. 2010) over 950–1100 km. Such a value is surprisingly high, because electronic temperatures closer to the ~150 K gas temperature are expected below ~1050 km (Richards et al. 2011). We therefore considered both \( T_e = 150 \) K and \( T_e = 500 \) K, giving \( k_{1a} = k_{1b} = 1.5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \) and \( 6.9 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \) respectively (Semanjak et al. 2001).

As discussed by Petrie (2001), protonation is likely to involve virtually all hydrogen-bearing ions, so it is reasonable to adopt a total \( X^+ \) concentration equal to the electron density. In particular, the HCNH\(^+\) + HNC reaction has been investigated theoretically, and suggested to be a significant loss channel for HNC in molecular clouds (Pichierri 2002). To our knowledge however, no measurements of the protonation rates for HNC are available. Petrie uses \( k_2 = 3.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \). The Su-Chesnouivosch formulae (see Woon & Herbst 2009) would give \( k_2 = 5.2 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \) at 150 K. As this value seems high compared to typical protonation rates (Vigren, priv. commun.), we here considered \( k_2 = 3.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \). With a peak electron density of ~2000 cm\(^{-3} \) (Wahlund et al. 2009), this gives a loss rate of (2–7)\( \times 10^{-9} \text{ s}^{-1} \).

Following Talbi et al. (1996) we adopt a \( k_3 = 1 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \) reaction rate (at 150 K) for the H-catalyzed isomerization reaction (3). Based on various models (e.g. Lara et al. 1996; De La Haye et al. 2008), the H\(^+\) mixing ratio near 1100 km is in the range (4–10)\( \times 10^{-4} \). Adopting 4\( \times 10^{-4} \) from De La Haye et al. (2008), which gives \([H] \sim 1.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) at 1100 km, implies a loss rate of \( \sim 1.3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}^{-1} \) through reaction (3), much lower than the above losses from ion-neutral reactions. Regarding the \( \text{CH}_3 + \text{HNC} = \text{HCNH}^+ \) reaction rates, Petrie (2001) considered two extreme values \( k_{4a} = 10^{-14} \) and \( k_{4b} = 5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \), but in a more recent study, Petrie & Osamura (2004) indicate a much lower rate (9.2\( \times 10^{-20} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \) at 200 K). With this value and a \( \text{CH}_3 \) concentration of \( \sim 2 \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-3} \) at 1100 km (e.g. Hörst et al. 2008), the associated loss is entirely insignificant, and the HNC abundance is thus controlled by reactions (1a) and (2).

With the above scenario, the chemical lifetime of HNC is (1.4–5)\( \times 10^3 \text{ s} \), i.e. 3 to 10 times shorter than Titan’s day and comparable to the day-to-night transport time (about 2\( \times 10^3 \text{ s} \) for ~50 m/s thermospheric winds, Müller-Wodarg et al. 2008). HCNH\(^+\) shows strong diurnal variations with maximum (day-time) concentrations of \( \sim 10^0 \text{ cm}^{-3} \) at 1000–1200 km (Cui et al. 2009), and about five times less on the nightside, implying that (unobservable so far) diurnal variations of HNC can be expected. The HNC chemical lifetime is comparable to the
transport timescale (1.5 \times 10^5 s at 1000 km, for a ~3 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1} diffusion coefficient, Yelle et al. 2008) As a result, HNC in the ionosphere is affected by both chemical and transport losses, but its distribution may be reasonably close to photochemical equilibrium. In this framework, and considering only the protonation loss with [XH^+] = [e^-], the HNC concentration can be simply written as [HNC] = \kappa_{\text{prot}} k_e [XH^+], where the equality holds either for concentrations or column densities. With an HCN^+ dayside (relevant for our purpose) column density of 3.5 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^2, and using each of these two values considered for \kappa_{\text{prot}} and for \kappa_e, we obtain an HNC column of 7 \times 10^{11} – 5.2 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}. The upper range is consistent with the measurements, although somewhat marginally.

The above calculations only consider HNC production from HCNH^+, while any other ion that includes the -CNH group may lead to HNC upon recombination. HCNH^+ is the dominant ion measured by INMS, followed by C_2H_5^+, HC_2NH^+, c-C_3H_3^+, C_3H_4^+ (Cui et al. 2009), but RPWS and the Cassini Plasma Spectrometer (CAPS) measurements indicate that heavy ions beyond the mass range of INMS (>100 amu) contribute significantly, constituting ~5% of the ionosphere near 1100 km and becoming even dominant (50-70 %) over 950–1000 km altitude (Crary et al. 2009; Wahlund et al. 2009). Nitrile ions heavier than HCNH^+ have higher recombination rates, e.g. by factors 3 to 5 for HC_3NH^+ and other species measured by Vigren et al. (2011).

Remarkably, “effective” recombination rates for Titan’s ionosphere, based on CAPS and RPWS/LP measurements (Galand et al. 2010), increase below 1200 km, reaching ~5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1} at 1000 km, a behavior attributed to the change of composition below the ionospheric peak and the progressive onset of heavy ions. Since heavy nitrile ions in this altitude range could provide significant additional sources of HNC, we conclude that a purely ionospheric source may be quantitatively viable for HNC, provided the protonation rates are not too high.

Even if a primarily ionospheric production is assumed, HNC will not necessarily be restricted to the ionosphere, as it must be transported downward to some extent by eddy mixing. This will further increase its chemical lifetime, in relation to the decline of transport downward to some extent by eddy mixing. This will not necessarily be restricted to the ionosphere, as it must be provided the protonation rates are not too high.

In the Solar System, HNC has been observed in many comets (Lis et al. 2008), increase below 1200 km, reaching \sim 1000 km, a behavior attributed to the change of composition below the ionospheric peak and the progressive onset of heavy ions. Since heavy nitrile ions in this altitude range could provide significant additional sources of HNC, we conclude that a purely ionospheric source may be quantitatively viable for HNC, provided the protonation rates are not too high.
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