Lyman-α emitters as tracers of the transitioning Universe
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ABSTRACT

Of all the many ways of detecting high-redshift galaxies, the selection of objects by their redshifted Lyα emission has become one of the most successful. But what types of galaxies are selected in this way? Until recently, Lyα emitters were understood to be small star-forming galaxies, the possible building-blocks of larger galaxies. But with increased number of observations of Lyα emitters at lower redshifts, a new picture emerges. Lyα emitters display strong evolution in their properties from higher to lower redshift. It has previously been shown that the fraction of ultra-luminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs) among the Lyα emitters increases dramatically between redshifts three and two. Here, the fraction of AGN among the LAEs is shown to follow a similar evolutionary path. We argue that Lyα emitters are not a homogeneous class of objects and that the objects selected with this method reflect the general star forming and active galaxy populations at that redshift. Lyα emitters should thus be excellent tracers of galaxy evolution in future simulations and modelling.
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1. Introduction

Several decades of studies of high-redshift galaxies have shown that the star formation rate density of the Universe peaked around redshift \(z \sim 2\) (e.g. Hogg et al. 1998; Hopkins 2004; Hopkins & Beacom 2006). At the peak of the star formation history, nearly ten times more stars were formed than in our local Universe, whereas at higher redshifts, the star formation density was as low as it is now. Similarly, a trend in the volume density of AGN has been found with a peak at \(z = 1.5−2\) (e.g. Miyaji et al. 2000; Wolf et al. 2003; Bongiorno et al. 2007). The coincidence that the two density functions for star formation and numbers of AGN peak at similar redshifts has been proposed as caused by both of these properties being linked to the hierarchical build-up of galaxies and mergers of dark matter haloes (e.g. Kauffmann & Haehnelt 2000; Bower et al. 2006).

As for the high-redshift Universe, one of the strongest observable emission lines is the Lyman-α (Lyα) line. By now, several hundreds of Lyα emitters (LAEs) have been detected through narrow-band imaging at \(z = 0.3−7.7\) (e.g. Møller & Warren 1993; Fynbo et al. 2003; Gronwall et al. 2007; Venemans et al. 2007; Nilsson et al. 2007; Finkelstein et al. 2007; Ouchi et al. 2008; Grove et al. 2009; Hibon et al. 2010). Lyα emission may be generated by three main mechanisms: i) the ionising flux of O and B stars indicative of star formation; ii) the ionising flux of an energetic UV source, e.g. an active galactic nucleus (AGN); or iii) the infall of gas on a massive dark matter halo (cf. Dijkstra et al. 2006a,b; Nilsson et al. 2006). The volume density of sources where the Lyα emission is dominated by the last is expected to be very low compared to those where the Lyα emission comes from star formation or AGN sources; therefore, the volume density of Lyα emitting objects found in the Universe is expected to follow the general evolutionary occurrences of the star formation history and the AGN history, with redshift.

In this Letter we discuss the fractions of ULIRGs and AGN among Lyα emitters at different redshifts. In a previous publication, the ULIRG fraction among the LAEs was shown to exhibit a sharp transition from very few to a larger subsample at a redshift around 2.5 (Nilsson & Møller 2009). Here, the fraction of AGN among LAEs is shown to follow a very similar relation, indicating that the underlying galaxy population is transitioning rapidly from \(z > 3\) to \(z \sim 2\), (see also a similar result in Bongiovanni et al. 2010). We here ask the question how these results relate to the general galaxy evolution in the Universe.

Throughout this paper, we assume a cosmology with \(H_0 = 72\) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\), \(\Omega_m = 0.3\), and \(\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7\).

2. The AGN fraction of LAEs

To determine AGN fractions among LAEs at different redshifts, we started with the dataset of Nilsson et al. (2009, 2011). This sample of LAEs was found by narrow-band imaging with the ESO2.2m/MPG telescope on La Silla, Chile, using the Wide-Field Imager (WFI). A central section of the COSMOS field was searched for Lyα emitters with \(z = 2.206−2.312\). Details of the data reduction and candidate selection can be found in Nilsson et al. (2009), where 187 LAE candidates were found in total. Follow-up spectroscopy of 152 candidates was performed with the VLT/VIMOS instrument in early 2010. The results of this campaign will be published in a forthcoming paper, but we exclude those candidates here that were not confirmed in the spectroscopy. This brings the total sample of LAEs to 171. AGN were selected among the LAEs by use of X-ray data from XMM (Hasinger et al. 2007), Chandra (Elvis et al. 2009), and the VLA (Schinnerer et al. 2007). For details, see Nilsson et al. (2009, 2011). In total, 24 LAEs have been selected as AGN.

It has already been shown in Nilsson et al. (2009) that the AGN fraction among these lower redshift galaxies is greater than...
in samples of LAEs at higher redshifts. With the further Chandra
detections presented in Nilsson et al. (2011), this surplus of AGN
is even larger. However, since comparing AGN fractions from
different surveys has a bias due to the Ly$\alpha$ luminosity reached, a
more careful investigation requires analysis that is independent
of this bias. In Fig. 1 the AGN fraction is thus presented as a
function of Ly$\alpha$ luminosity. It is clear that all Ly$\alpha$ emitting
objects are quasars above some flux limit. Similarly, the function
tends towards one at fainter fluxes, where the non-AGN LAEs will
outnumber the AGN LAEs.

In Fig. 1 the results of several other narrow-band surveys for
LAEs are also shown. As we have no access to the exact Ly$\alpha$
fluxes of the AGN in most samples, they are shown as single,
cumulative points at the Ly$\alpha$ flux limit of each survey. The
results include AGN fractions from redshifts $z = 2.1$ (Guaita et al.
2010), $z \sim 3$ (Gronwall et al. 2007; Ouchi et al. 2008), $z = 4-5$
(Wang et al. 2004; Ouchi et al. 2008), and at low redshift, $z \sim 0.3$

The datapoints at $z \sim 2.2$ (the data from the $z = 2.3$ sample,
and from Guaita et al. 2010) were found to be well fitted with an
exponential AGN function of the form

$$X_{\text{AGN}}(\log L_{\text{Ly} \alpha}) = \exp \left( \frac{\log(L_{\text{Ly} \alpha}) - \log(L_{\text{LAE},\text{AGN}})}{X_{\text{AGN},0}} \right). \quad (1)$$

In this equation, the two free parameters are the $L_{\text{LAE},\text{AGN}}$ which
defines the Ly$\alpha$ luminosity where the AGN fraction goes to one, or
the other way around that the non-AGN LAE fraction among the
narrow-band selected sample goes to zero, and the normalisation
constant $X_{\text{AGN},0}$, which determines how quickly the AGN function
goes to zero. Another criterion was that the AGN fraction is unity
if $\log(L_{\text{Ly} \alpha}) > \log(L_{\text{LAE},\text{AGN}})$, i.e., that all Ly$\alpha$ emitting objects
above a certain Ly$\alpha$ luminosity are AGN-powered. The fits to the
$z \sim 2.2$ sample are shown in Fig. 1, with best-fit parameters
$\log(L_{\text{LAE},\text{AGN}}) = 43.61^{+0.61}_{-0.57}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $X_{\text{AGN},0} = 0.59^{+0.27}_{-0.23}$.
For the other redshifts, the $L_{\text{AGN}}$ was kept constant and only
the normalisation constant was determined using all datapoints
at a particular redshift. This is based on the two assumptions
that: i) the functional form of the AGN fraction is similar at all
redshifts; and ii) that the Ly$\alpha$ luminosity above which all emitters
are AGN does not change with redshift. These fits are also
shown in the figure. A clear evolution from higher to lower red-
shift appears to be present.

## 3. Evolution in the AGN fraction with time

To illustrate the evolution of the LAE AGN fraction with time,
the fraction of AGN LAEs in the sample was extracted from the
fits at three different Ly$\alpha$ luminosities, i.e. the AGN fraction that
a given exponential fit in Fig. 1 gives for a certain Ly$\alpha$
luminosity, and plotted as a function of redshift in Fig. 2. Worst case
uncertainties on these values were found by varying the exponen-
tial fits within their 1$\sigma$ uncertainties and finding the mini-
mum/maximum AGN fraction expected. In this figure, a clear
trend towards higher AGN fractions at lower redshifts is seen,
and in particular a rather sudden transition from very low frac-
tions at $z \gtrsim 3$ to significant fractions at $z \lesssim 2$. This trend mimics
the trend toward increasing ULIRG fraction among the LAEs with
decreasing redshift, as found in Nilsson & Møller (2009, also plotted in Fig. 2). The AGN data were fitted, minimising the $\chi^2$
function, with the same transitional equation as in Nilsson & Møller (2009):

$$AF(z) = \frac{AF_0}{2}(1 - \tanh(\theta(z - z_T))). \quad (2)$$

In this equation, $AF$ is the AGN fraction, $\theta$ represents the steep-
ness of the transition, and $z_T$ is the transition redshift. The best-
fit values for the different Ly$\alpha$ luminosity limits can be found in
Table 1. The most interesting parameter is the transition red-
shift. It appears that the brightest AGN transition from lower to
higher fractions first, while fainter AGN remain less numerous
until later times. This is consistent with a down-sizing scenario,
where the larger galaxies/AGN form first (Juneau et al. 2005).
Comparing the results with those for the LAE ULIRGs shows
the transition for the ULIRGs to be sharper than those for the
AGN, with a transition redshift nearer to those of the brighter
LAE AGN.
4.1. AGN and LAE volume density evolution

Having determined the AGN and ULIRG fractions among LAEs, one may ask the question of how these fractions relate to the general evolution of galaxy properties with redshift. An independent test of the determined AGN fraction is to calculate the LAE volume density by dividing a known AGN volume density by the LAE AGN fraction. For this test, we used the AGN comoving volume densities as determined observationally by Bongiorno et al. (2007) and theoretically by Hopkins et al. (2007). We compared them with comoving LAE volume densities from eighteen different publications (Steidel et al. 2000; Stiavelli et al. 2001; Dawson et al. 2004; Hayashino et al. 2004; Shimasaku et al. 2006; Nilsson et al. 2007; Gronwall et al. 2007; Venemans et al. 2007; Murayama et al. 2007; Prescott et al. 2008; Deharveng et al. 2008; Ouchi et al. 2008; Nilsson et al. 2009; Grove et al. 2009; Shioya et al. 2009; Guaita et al. 2010; Bongiovanni et al. 2010; Yuma et al. 2010). In Fig. 3 these LAE volume densities are shown, together with the predictions. In the following, all type I AGN are assumed to have strong enough Lyα emission lines to be detected as LAEs. The predictions in the left panel are done for AGN brighter than $M_B < -22$, as the $z = 2.25$ LAE AGN from Nilsson et al. (2009) are all brighter than this magnitude. Beyond $z \sim 4.5$, both models and measurements become uncertain. At $z = 2-3$ the measurements agree reasonably well with the expectations. The volume density at $z = 0.3$ is significantly too high, compared to the models. The scatter in the datapoints is large, probably owing to different selection criteria for the different surveys, but the overall trends are clear; the LAE volume density remains relatively flat over the redshift range $z = 2-6$, but falls at low redshift. The volume density of LAEs predicted by the AGN fraction, in turn, steeply increases towards higher redshift. In the range $z = 2-3$, the predictions and the measurements agree well, but there is an apparent lack/surplus of LAEs at high/low redshift. One explanation to this trend may be that the LAE AGN have different luminosities at different redshifts. In the right panel of Fig. 3 the effect of varying the AGN luminosity is shown. The volume density at $z = 0.3$ agrees better now, if all AGN at this redshift are very faint in the continuum. It becomes clear that the lack of LAEs at very high redshift ($z > 4$) can be explained if the AGN are all very luminous, and similarly that the surplus of AGN at $z = 0.3$ can be explained if all AGN are faint. The agreement between the LAE volume density and the predictions is an independent confirmation of the derived AGN fraction evolution, as well as an indication that the LAE AGN follow the general AGN evolutionary trends in the Universe.

4.2. Lyα emitters as tracers of galaxy evolution

The apparent trends displayed by LAEs as a function of redshift are easily explained with a simple, phenomenological model when considering which objects in the Universe emit Lyα photons. At high redshift ($z > 3$), most galaxies have low stellar masses and are in their first star forming episode. AGN and ULIRG number densities are low. Most Lyα photons at this time will thus trace this; young, small star-forming galaxies in their first starburst, with little dust content. Very few AGN or ULIRG LAEs will be found. A Gyr later, at $z \sim 2$, both the star formation rate density and the AGN number density are peaking. Some galaxies are already in their second, or even a later, starburst. Now the sample of Lyα-selected galaxies will reflect this diversity, with more AGN and ULIRG LAEs detected and a wider
range of stellar properties (cf. Pentericci et al. 2009; Nilsson et al. 2011). Whereas there will be some galaxies in the same stage of evolution as at higher redshift, there will also be some galaxies with evolved stellar populations that are experiencing more recent star formation. These galaxies can be more massive and/or more dusty. The study of the redshift evolution of LAEs can thus be seen as an evolution in Ly$\alpha$ emission in the Universe, and could as such be an interesting test of galaxy evolution models including star formation, dust effects, and AGN number densities. In this model, no assumption about the evolution of individual LAEs is made. It is unlikely that LAEs at higher redshift will appear as such at lower redshift. The argument here is rather that LAEs are a random subset of all star-forming or active galaxies at a certain time, which happen to be in a Ly$\alpha$ emitting phase. Also, from this data it is not possible to draw any conclusions about the evolution of passive (i.e. non-star-forming or inactive) galaxies.

5. Conclusion

Based on the apparent evolution of the AGN and ULIRG fractions of LAEs, which are fractions that are expected to follow the evolution of star-forming and active galaxy populations throughout the Universe, some conclusions can be drawn regarding the general galaxy evolution scenario. From the evolutions of the fractions and volume densities in Figs. 2 and 3 it is seen that at first, in the very young ($z > 4$) Universe, only stars formed, regardless of AGN or ULIRGs. Later, at an age of approximately 3 Gyrs or redshift $\sim 2.5$, a secondary process started, suddenly increasing the number of both AGN and ULIRGs in the galaxy population. After this abrupt increase in dusty and active galaxies, an equilibrium was reached that has lasted to this day. A possible explanation for this very stable equilibrium may be the feed-back effects controlling the SFR in the galaxies. It is clear that this remains speculation until further data is collected, but proves the importance of studying objects emitting Ly$\alpha$ emission in the Universe.
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