H₂, HD, and D₂ abundances on ice-covered dust grains in dark clouds
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ABSTRACT

Aims. We seek to study the abundances of H₂, HD, and D₂ adsorbed onto ice-covered dust grains in dark molecular clouds in the interstellar medium.

Methods. We use our previously developed detailed model describing temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) experiments of H₂ and its isotopologues on water ice. We here extrapolate these model results from laboratory conditions to conditions similar to those found in dark molecular clouds.

Results. By means of our model we are able to infer three important results. (i) The time scale for H₂ and isotopologues to accrete onto dust grains is less than 10⁷ yrs. (ii) Due to the higher binding energy of D₂ with respect to HD, D₂ becomes the most abundant deuterated species on grains by 50% with respect to HD (a few times 10⁻⁵ with respect to H₂). (iii) The surface coverage of D₂ as a function of temperature shows that at very low temperatures (i.e., less than 10 K), D₂ may be two orders of magnitude more abundant than HD. Possible implications for deuteration of water on grain surfaces are discussed when it forms through reactions between OH and H₂.
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1. Introduction

In cold dark molecular clouds, the main gas components are molecular hydrogen (H₂) and helium, accounting for 90% and 10% of the total number density, respectively. These are the main gas components by ~four orders of magnitude. When it comes to mass, H₂ and He still are the principal components (~74% and ~25%, respectively), but another component is the interstellar dust (~1%). In dark clouds, the temperature is typically ~10–15 K, the density is ~10⁴–10⁵ cm⁻³, and it is expected most heavier species will have frozen out onto the dust grain surfaces where they form a thick layer of molecular ice, the principal ice component being water (Gibb et al. 2004).

Most of the theoretical and experimental work on H₂ ice surface chemistry has so far focused on H₂ formation (through the H + H → H₂ reaction) in terms of the efficiency and the energy budget (e.g. Govers et al. 1980; Congiu et al. 2009), and the role of the substrate in the formation process has also been studied (Homekær et al. 2003, 2005). The main reason for this is that it is assumed H₂ does not freeze out onto dust grains as the sublimation temperature is ~4 K (Sandford et al. 1993; Buch & Devlin 1994; Dissly et al. 1994). However, the amount of gas phase H₂ is so overwhelming that grain-H₂ collisions are inevitable at all temperatures found in a cold dark cloud. Moreover, icy grain mantles are believed to be amorphous in nature, and their corrugated surface might have a large surface area. This means that the presence of molecular hydrogen on the surface of icy grain mantles should be considered in detail in the context of surface chemistry.

If non-negligible amounts of H₂ are present on the grain surface, the chemistry could be affected. In particular, any barrierless reactions involving H₂ could be enhanced on the surface, with the formation of water being one such example. It is suggested by Tielens et al. (1983) that the following reaction may take place on dust grain surfaces:

\[ H₂ + OH → H₂O + H. \]  

This reaction has been studied theoretically alongside several others reaction paths, and is found to be the most efficient path under cold, dark-cloud conditions (Cuppen & Herbst 2007). One of the key questions for this route to be active in such regions is, how much H₂ is available on the surface? As a side question, the deuteration of water may be considered, since the above reaction could also take place with H₂ substituted by HD or D₂, which naturally raises the question of how much HD and D₂ is available on the surface.

In this paper, we aim at estimating the grain surface abundances of H₂, HD, and D₂, by considering molecular hydrogen interactions with the surface of amorphous solid water (ASW). Pure ASW is considered here as a template that mimics the surface of water-dominated icy mantles. To calculate the abundance of H₂ and its isotopologues on such a surface under physical conditions relevant to dark clouds, it is necessary to estimate their
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population distributions over the surface as a function of the surface temperature and gas-phase densities. In the present case, our calculations incorporate the binding energy distributions of H$_2$, HD, and D$_2$ that are fitted to a set of temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) experiments conducted at temperatures of 10–30 K on a porous ASW sample using the experimental set-up FORMOLISM (FORMation of MOlecules in the InterStellar Medium, previously described in, e.g., Amiaud et al. 2006, 2007, 2008). These values are then incorporated into a toy model that allows us to estimate grain surface abundances of H$_2$, HD, and D$_2$.

The article is structured as follows. In Sect. 2 we provide a very short description of the experimental results. In Sect. 3 we present a toy model that allows us to interpret the experimental results and we discuss the validity of this model. Section 4 provides some direct results of this model, that are discussed in an astrophysical context, before giving a closing summary in Sect. 6.

2. Description of desorption

The primary aim of this paper is to obtain the number of hydrocarbon molecules (and isotopologues) adsorbed onto the interstellar grains. This number is the result of a balance between adsorption and desorption processes.

In the following we present and use results of experiments carried out to study and measure the desorption processes. In the present case, our calculations incorporate the binding energy distributions of H$_2$, HD, and D$_2$ that are fitted to a set of temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) experiments conducted at temperatures of 10–30 K on a porous ASW sample using the experimental set-up FORMOLISM (FORMation of MOlecules in the InterStellar Medium, previously described in, e.g., Amiaud et al. 2006, 2007, 2008). These values are then incorporated into a toy model that allows us to estimate grain surface abundances of H$_2$, HD, and D$_2$.

The article is structured as follows. In Sect. 2 we provide a very short description of the experimental results. In Sect. 3 we present a toy model that allows us to interpret the experimental results and we discuss the validity of this model. Section 4 provides some direct results of this model, that are discussed in an astrophysical context, before giving a closing summary in Sect. 6.

2. Description of desorption

The primary aim of this paper is to obtain the number of hydrocarbon molecules (and isotopologues) adsorbed onto the interstellar grains. This number is the result of a balance between adsorption and desorption processes.

In the following we present and use results of experiments carried out to study and measure the difference in binding energy of H$_2$ and its isotopologues (i.e., HD and D$_2$) on a sample of pure amorphous solid water (ASW) ice. The main experimental results are only briefly reported here. More details in the TPD experiments will be presented in a forthcoming paper. Here we only report on details important for understanding the results.

The experiments were performed in an ultra-high vacuum chamber where a sample of ASW ice was grown on a copper sample. For these experiments we grew 10 mono-layers (1 ML $= 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$) of porous ASW ice. Due to the porosity of the ice, the total ice surface is $\approx 3.6$ times higher than the surface of the copper sample as evaluated in Amiaud et al. (2006). This ice template is believed to be a good template for our purposes (see Sect. 3.2). We performed a set of TPD experiments. It is a technique in which a programmed temperature ramp induces a controlled heating of the surface, while the desorption kinetics of species initially adsorbed on the surface are monitored with a mass spectrometer. These spectra are then analysed in terms of adsorption energy distribution.

A central question arises: Can the time scales used in experiments be translated to astronomical time scales? The answer is yes, because for the description of the experiments we adopt a model, which assumes thermodynamical equilibrium at any moment (see Sect. 3). Therefore, it is not time dependent.

Classically a molecule has a definite adsorption energy $E_{\text{ads}}$, and one can write the desorption flux rate ($\phi_{\text{out}}$) as

$$\phi_{\text{out}} = \theta A e^{-E_{\text{ads}}/kT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $A$ is the number of attempts per second of the molecule to desorb, and $\theta$ the coverage, i.e., the number of molecules adsorbed per cm$^2$. We note that $A$ is inversely proportional to the root of the mass of the species such that $A(H_2) = A(D_2) \sqrt{2}$. In the case of an amorphous surface, the adsorption energy is not unique but has to be replaced by a large distribution of energies depending on the coverage $E_{\text{ads}}(\theta)$ (e.g. Govers et al. 1980; Chang et al. 2005; Hornekaer et al. 2005; Amiaud et al. 2006; Fillion et al. 2009). We emphasise that the wide binding energy range of these distributions (originating from the amorphous nature of the surface) affects the residence time scales of the molecules on the surface dramatically. Therefore, the amorphous nature of the surface together with small differences in binding energy distributions between isotopologues are governing the amount of species that can accumulate on the surface.

Following Amiaud et al. (2006) we model the distribution of adsorption sites with a polynomial function:

$$g(E) = a(E_0 - E)^b \left[ \text{cm}^{-2} \text{meV}^{-1} \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The parameters $a$, $E_0$, and $b$ are parameters that are determined from fitting the TPD experiments, where also the pre-exponential factor, $A$, was determined (see Eq. (2)). The two parameters $a$ and $b$ are independent of species, and the best-fit values are $a = 5.86 \times 10^{-4}$ cm$^{-2}$ meV$^{-2}$ and $b = 1.6$ (without dimension). The fitting of our experimental data to the model using the $\chi^2$ square method shows a very low sensitivity to the $A$ value and consequently a slight change in the $E_0$ value in the $10^{12}$–$10^{13}$ range, as discussed in Amiaud et al. (2006). As the S/N for D$_2$ is smaller than for the others isotopes, we have determined $10^{13}$ for D$_2$ and calculated the values for HD and H$_2$ in order to be consistent. The values of $A$ and $E_0$ are given in Table 1. The difference in the value of $E_0$ is equal to the difference in zero-point energy, and is also tabulated in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>$A$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$E_0$ (meV)</th>
<th>$\Delta E_0$ (meV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>$1.414 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>$1.155 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D$_2$</td>
<td>$1.00 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Adsorption energy distributions of H$_2$ on a porous ASW ice with a surface at 10 K for three values of desorbing flux equal to accreting fluxes corresponding to number densities in the gas phase at 10 K of $n_{\text{g}} = 10^2$, 1, $10^4$ cm$^{-3}$ (see text for full description).
is counterbalanced by the desorption rates (or $\phi_{\text{out}}$) and thereafter constraining the population of adsorption sites.

In Fig. 1 we show schematically such a set of energy occupations of various desorbing fluxes of $\text{H}_2$ at 10 K. The desorbing fluxes are expressed in flux equivalent of $n_{\text{H}_2}$ in a dark cloud at 10 K. We see that the denser the cloud is, the more molecules are adsorbed onto the surface, becoming to compensate for the high incoming flux a greater desorption and therefore a greater coverage is required.

At this point a comparison has to be made with Perets et al. (2007). They performed TPD measurements of ASW ice exposed to atomic D or H beams and to molecular HD or $\text{D}_2$ beams. They extract their parameters using a rate-equation-based model that describes desorption of molecular hydrogen when both atomic and molecular hydrogen are adsorbed on the surface. They find single adsorption energies for HD and $\text{D}_2$ (resp. 68.7 and 72.0 meV) because they have deliberately chosen to deal with an energy distribution rather than with unique values of adsorption energies for $\text{H}_2$, HD, and $\text{D}_2$. This is mandatory for the sake of extrapolation to the dense clouds in the interstellar medium (ISM), as in such cases all the species are in competition on the grains ice mantle.

3. Model description and validity

In this section we first describe our model before discussing its validity in an astrochemical context. We have chosen to construct a model based directly on the experimental conditions and results rather than trying to incorporate the present results into a full astrochemical model.

3.1. Model

We have successfully created a model to describe our experimental results (e.g. Amiaud et al. 2006). This model is designed to reproduce our TPD-experiments and we are going here through some of the details.

We start by noting that the change in number of adsorbed molecules, $N$, on the surface is

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \phi_{\text{in}} - \phi_{\text{out}}$$  (4)

where $\phi_{\text{in}}$ is the rate of adsorption and $\phi_{\text{out}}$ is the rate of desorption. $\phi_{\text{in}}$ may be written as

$$\phi_{\text{in}} = \frac{1}{4} n \tau S$$  (5)

where $n$ is the (gas) density, $\tau = \sqrt{8kT/\pi m}$ is the mean velocity at a given temperature, $T$, and $S$ is the sticking coefficient. We implicitly assume that the gas and surface temperatures are identical and for this reason we set the sticking coefficient equal to unity. The rate of desorption is given by

$$\phi_{\text{out}} = \int_{E_{\text{ads}}} P(E_{\text{ads}}, T, \mu) r(E_{\text{ads}}, T) dE_{\text{ads}}$$

$$= \int_{E_{\text{ads}}} P(E_{\text{ads}}, T, \mu) A \exp \left( -\frac{E_{\text{ads}}}{kT} \right) dE_{\text{ads}}.$$  (6)

Here $r(E_{\text{ads}}, T)$ is the desorption rate at a single adsorption site, $E_{\text{ads}}$ the adsorption energy and $P$ the population. Because only one molecule is allowed per adsorption site, the population distribution is given in the Fermi-Dirac formalism (Amiaud et al. 2006) by

$$P(E_{\text{ads}}, T, \mu) = g(E_{\text{ads}}) \left( 1 + \exp \left( -\frac{E - \mu}{kT} \right) \right)^{-1}$$  (7)

with $\mu$ the Fermi energy or chemical potential.

With respect to describing the population we closely follow the results of Amiaud (2006) and Amiaud et al. (in prep.). They show that the coverage of $\text{H}_2$ on the ice is

$$P(E_{\text{H}_2}, T, \mu_{\text{H}_2}) = a (E_0 - E_{\text{H}_2})^b \times \left( 1 + \exp \left( -\frac{E_{\text{H}_2} - \mu_{\text{H}_2}}{kT} \right) \right)^{-1}$$

and similarly for HD and $\text{D}_2$. Here, $E_x$ is the energy of species $X, a = 5.86 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ eV}^{-2}, b = 1.6$ and values for $E_0$ are given in Table I. We do not make any assumptions regarding the chemical potential, $\mu$, but calculate it explicitly for each species using the closing relation $\phi_{\text{in}} = \phi_{\text{out}}$ (see below). We now only consider the steady-state solution to Eq. (4), that is $dN/dt = 0$ or $\phi_{\text{in}} = \phi_{\text{out}}$. This allows us to determine the number of adsorbed $\text{H}_2$, HD, and $\text{D}_2$ molecules, $N$:

$$N = \int_E P(E, T, \mu) dE.$$  (9)

3.2. Validity

To use this model in an astrochemical context it is necessary to make a number of assumptions. We go through them here and discuss their validity in detail.

To estimate the gas phase abundance of $\text{H}_2$ and its isotopologues we use the model results of Flower et al. (2004). They modeled the gas phase abundance of compounds containing H and their isotopologues in a time-dependent chemical model. Grains were also included in their model, but only play a role in terms of the total charge distribution. It is important to note that the detailed model results of Flower et al. (2004) only are valid in the absence of heavy elements (see Walmsley et al. 2004, for a full discussion). In our model we do not consider others species than $\text{H}_2$ and its isotopologues, which is in contrast to the real ISM where more than 150 species have been detected so far.

Also, it is necessary to assume that the ice covering dust grains consists of water only, since all experiments are performed on pure water ice. This is again in contrast to real interstellar icles where it is known that ices are composed of several species, e.g., $\text{H}_2\text{O}$, CO$_2$, CO and more complex organic species like CH$_3$OH (e.g., Gibb et al. 2004). The primary ice component besides $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ is CO$_2$ with an abundance of $\sim$10–50% with respect to $\text{H}_2\text{O}$. For our purposes the underlying structure of the ice is not so important as long as the top layer is pure water ice.

Ice morphology and ice template used in the present study are also subject to discussion. The solid icy mantle that covers the grain has an amorphous signature in dense regions (see e.g., Dartois et al. 2002, 2003), but so far the degree of porosity and the thickness is not a direct observational result. The porosity can be very high for an ice grown at 10 K from gas phase (as it is the case in our experiments), but it can easily be reduced...
by cosmic rays, UV radiation, thermal processing and possibly chemical processing (Palumbo 2006). We have demonstrated that there are two types of binding energy distributions, one for the compact amorphous ice and one for the porous amorphous ice. But, as we have shown, the energy distribution of adsorption sites is changing dramatically toward porous distribution as soon as a fraction of a layer of porous ice is deposited on top of a compact amorphous ice (Fillion et al. 2009). Therefore it is highly probable that the correct binding energy distribution of H$_2$ on icy dust grains is closer to the porous distribution than the compact one. It is classically assumed that the thickness of water ice on grains is about 100 ML in dense clouds (Schutte 1998). Due to processing, the porosity is certainly reduced in comparison to what can be formed in the laboratory. Therefore the 10 ML porous ice sample used in the laboratory would be equivalent to a thicker ice mantle (<100 ML) under astrophysical conditions. We have studied the effect of the change of the porosity in detail, and it does not affect the present study by much. We believe that the ice used in the experiment is a reasonable mean template for the icy, partly processed mantle.

4. Astrophysical implications

By using the above mentioned model, it is possible to extrapolate from laboratory conditions to conditions in the ISM. The main difference in the two scenarios is the much lower molecular flux which is made up for by the much longer time-scales relevant in dark clouds. We therefore adopt the experimental model as a simple toy model of the adsorption and desorption of H$_2$, HD, and D$_2$ from an ice-covered grain surface. In doing this we are able to deduce three important results. These are:

- accretion time scales under same assumptions;
- differences in species abundances on the surface of the grain as a function of density assuming initial gas phase conditions as in Flower et al. (2004);
- surface coverage as a function of grain temperature.

Furthermore, we are able to make certain inductions regarding the formation of water, however, this does not arise from an extrapolation of experimental results. In the following we present these results in more detail.

4.1. Accretion time

We first of all want to examine whether our assumption regarding steady-state is realistic compared to the total life-time of a molecular cloud. Statistical equilibrium is reached when a single molecule has sufficient time to explore several adsorption sites on a grain surface through diffusion. Amiaud et al. (2006) already estimated that the average number of sites a molecule can explore is of the order of $10^9$.

We define the steady-state accretion time-scale as

$$\tau_{\text{acc}} = \frac{\theta}{\phi_{\text{in}}}.$$  

We show the variation in Fig. 2 as a function of H$_2$ density at a temperature of 10 K. As expected, the accretion time drops with increasing density. For number densities of $10^4$ cm$^{-3}$ the accretion time scale is $\sim$10$^3$ years in the case of H$_2$ and HD whereas it is a few times $10^3$ years for D$_2$. At very high densities of $10^6$ cm$^{-3}$ the accretion time scale is from a few tens of years to $\sim$10$^2$ years. The ratio of accretion time-scales $\tau_{\text{H}_2}:\tau_{\text{HD}}:\tau_{\text{D}_2}$ is $\sim$1:1.5:37.5 independent of the gas phase density of H$_2$. The reason for this is that both HD and D$_2$ are more strongly bound to the surface than H$_2$ and once they are on the surface, they stay there.

In the case of cold dark clouds on their way to undergo star formation, a relevant time-scale and measure for the life-time is the free-fall time, $\tau_{\text{ff}}$. For a cloud with a density of $10^4$ cm$^{-3}$ $\tau_{\text{ff}}$ is of the order of $10^5$ years. As the density increases to $10^7$ cm$^{-3}$ $\tau_{\text{ff}}$ decreases to $\sim$10$^3$ years. In both cases the actual lifetime of the cloud is probably longer due to the effects of both ambipolar diffusion and turbulence, two mechanisms that can support a cloud against collapse (e.g., Ballesteros-Paredes et al. 2007), leading to typical cloud lifetimes of $\sim$10$^6$ years. Thus, we conclude that our assumption regarding steady-state is valid compared to the total life-time of dark clouds, $\sim$10$^5$–10$^6$ years. We also note that this result is in agreement with Flower et al. (2004) who find a steady-state time-scale of the order of $10^5$ years, almost independent of number density.

4.2. Surface vs. gas phase abundance

We have calculated the abundance of H$_2$ and its isotopologues on the surface of dust grain as a function of H$_2$ gas phase density at a grain and gas temperature of 10 K. Results are shown in Fig. 3.

Because of the higher zero-point energy (2.6 meV higher than HD) D$_2$ is more tightly bound to the surface and as a result, the abundance of D$_2$ on the grain surface is $\sim$30 times higher than in the gas phase, when compared to solid and gas phase H$_2$ respectively. On the other hand, the HD abundance in the gas phase and on the surface are comparable, with a surface abundance that is a few times lower than the D$_2$ surface abundance. The absolute abundance of D$_2$ on the surface is $\sim$10$^{-4}$ with respect to solid phase H$_2$. The abundance increases slightly with increasing H$_2$ number density, when this is in the range of $10^5$–$10^6$ cm$^{-3}$. The absolute abundance of HD both on the surface and in the gas phase is a few times $10^{-5}$ with respect to solid and gas phase H$_2$ respectively. When examining the absolute abundance of H$_2$ on the surface we find that it is $\sim$2 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ with respect to the gas phase abundance. To derive this result, we first calculated the number of grains by assuming a grain/gas
mass ratio of 0.01, an average grain mass density of 3 g cm\(^{-3}\) and that the radius of all grains is 0.1 μm:

\[
  n_{\text{grain}} = 1.33 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-2} \left( \frac{N_H}{10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}} \right) \left( \frac{3 \text{ g cm}^{-3}}{\rho} \right) \left( \frac{0.1 \mu m}{r} \right)^3.
\]

We then scaled our experimental fluxes to those of the ISM and from that derived the number of H\(_2\) molecules per cm\(^2\), which was then converted to total number of H\(_2\) molecules per grain. This implies a density on the surface of ~0.18 compared to H\(_2\)O. This is consistent with previous results, where, e.g., Dissly et al. (1994) and Buch & Devlin (1994) find that the surface abundance is ~0.05–0.3 for water ice mixed with H\(_2\). Thus our results show, that in cold, dark molecular clouds, the dominant deuterated species on grains is D\(_2\) and not HD even though HD may be more abundant in the gas phase by a factor ~5 (Flower et al. 2004). We also find that the total amount of D on the grain surface (again, at 10 K and 10\(^5\) cm\(^{-3}\)) is of the order of 10\(^{-4}\) with respect to solid state H\(_2\).

This result is not trivial and deserves a little more attention. The segregation mechanism on the ice is a competition between the three isotopes. For a given site exposed to each of the three isotopes, D\(_2\) has the highest probability to stay, followed by HD and H\(_2\), as can be inferred from the values of adsorption energies. But the probability for an H\(_2\) molecule to stay (meaning that HD and D\(_2\) evaporate) is not zero even if it is low. Therefore, as the number of incoming H\(_2\) molecules is four orders of magnitude greater than for others isotopes, H\(_2\) will succeed in “kicking out” HD quite efficiently because the adsorption energy difference between H\(_2\) and HD is very low (see Table 1). On the other hand, D\(_2\), even if it is the less abundant, has a higher adsorption energy and therefore can compete efficiently with the higher abundance of H\(_2\).

Our model predicts that the abundances of HD and D\(_2\) will be higher with respect to H\(_2\) in the solid phase than with their counterparts in gas phase. However, the absolute H\(_2\) abundance on the grain is so small (~10\(^{-6}\)) that the absolute HD and D\(_2\) solid state abundances with respect to gas phase H\(_2\) is of the order of 10\(^{-10}\), that is, \(n_{\text{HD}}^{\text{grain}} \sim n_{\text{D2}}^{\text{grain}} \sim 10^{-10} n_{\text{H2}}^{\text{gas}}\).

Lipshtat et al. (2004) have made a theoretical study on the formation of H\(_2\), HD, and D\(_2\) on grains. They find an enhanced production of HD and D\(_2\) versus H\(_2\) in the 16–20 K range. This is obtained by considering different adsorption energies for H and D atoms. In this range, we find a very low abundance of deuterated molecular hydrogen adsorbed on grain, but a significant amount of H\(_2\) on the grain. This again emphasises the fact that a complete model for molecular hydrogen formation on grains should include the possibility of competition between not only hydrogen atoms and hydrogen molecules but between all the five species (H, D, H\(_2\), HD, and D\(_2\)) together on the grain.

**4.3. Surface abundance vs. temperature**

We show here the results of a calculation of the abundance as a function of temperature for an initial H\(_2\) density of 10\(^5\) cm\(^{-3}\). This is displayed in Fig. 4 both in units of ML (1 ML = 10\(^{15}\) cm\(^{-2}\)) and in units of molecules per grain, where we have calculated this for a “standard” grain with radius 0.1 μm. We see that D\(_2\) is more abundant than HD on the surface except at higher temperatures (i.e., >20 K). We also note, that at low temperatures (<6 K) the D\(_2\) abundance comes within 3 orders of magnitude to that of H\(_2\).

We compare our results with the experimental results presented in Govers et al. (1980), noting that these results were
obtained on a non-porous surface. To make a direct comparison we multiplied the coverage values presented in Govers et al. by 3.6. This is shown in Fig. 4. This method does not take competition into account, that is, using this method, it is possible to have one full layer of H2 adsorbed on the grain as well as one full layer of HD at the same time. This lack of competition is what leads to the break at 9–10 K. Also, we predict a higher abundance at higher temperatures compared to Govers et al. This is a direct result of the higher binding energy.

If we assume a column density towards a dark cloud of \( N_H = 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), for example, it is possible to convert the local surface density on one grain to a total column density of adsorbed H2, HD, and D2 by estimating the total number of grains (see Eq. (11)). This may be compared directly with Fig. 4 to derive a column density of adsorbed H2 in the case where the local density is \( 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-2} \). At a temperature of 10 K, we find that it is \( N_{\text{ad}}^{\text{H2}} = 2 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), \( N_{\text{ad}}^{\text{HD}} = 2 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) and \( N_{\text{ad}}^{\text{D2}} = 5 \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-2} \).5 Thus, both adsorbed HD and D2 are present not directly detectable in absorption. A detection of solid H2 is claimed towards the W5 region (Sandford et al. 1993), however this detection still needs confirmation. If true, it would imply an H2 column density of \( \sim 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), but this should only be seen as a strict upper limit at present.

5. Case study: water formation

Our model results have so far demonstrated the importance of including the binding energy difference between H2 and its isotopologues. We emphasise that the results shown here should only give an indication of what can be done with elaborate chemical models that take both gas phase and surface reactions into account.

As an example of application of this result is the deuteration of water. The observed abundance of gas phase deuterated water (HDO) ranges from \( 10^{-4} \) to \( 10^{-2} \) (Parise et al. 2005). This corroborates a scenario in which water forms on the surface of dust grains through the following surface reaction:

\[
\text{H}_2 + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{H}_3\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}. \tag{12}
\]

This reaction was originally proposed by Tielens et al. (1983), where it is one among three routes of water formation on dust grains. We only consider this route as it is the only one involving H2 explicitly and it shows no barrier.

In Fig. 5 we show the possible reactions between H2, HD or D2, and OH along with their branching ratios. The branching ratios are determined by assuming that the probability for forming an O–H bond is equal to that of forming an O–D bond. Furthermore we consider both cases with and without complete scrambling of the intermediate H3O molecule. As an example, we consider the case of OH + HD and assume complete scrambling. The intermediate molecule is H2DO, i.e., \( 2/3 \) of the bonds are with H and \( 1/3 \) with D. As a result, the probability of forming H2O is \( 2/3 \) and it is \( 1/3 \) for forming HDO.

Since the reaction is without barrier (Tielens et al. 1983), the likelihood that OH will react with H2, HD, or D2 depends only on their respective surface coverages (illustrated for the case of complete scrambling only):

\[
P(\text{H}_2\text{O}) = k_0 \frac{\theta_{\text{H}_2} + 2\theta_{\text{HD}}}{\theta_{\text{H}_2} + \theta_{\text{HD}} + \theta_{\text{D}_2}} \tag{13}
\]

\[
P(\text{HDO}) = k_0 \frac{4\theta_{\text{HD}} + 3\theta_{\text{D}_2}}{\theta_{\text{H}_2} + \theta_{\text{HD}} + \theta_{\text{D}_2}} \tag{14}
\]

\[
P(\text{D}_2\text{O}) = k_0 \frac{\theta_{\text{H}_2} + \theta_{\text{HD}} + \theta_{\text{D}_2}}{\theta_{\text{H}_2} + \theta_{\text{HD}} + \theta_{\text{D}_2}} \tag{15}
\]

where \( P(X) \) is the probability of forming \( X \) and \( \theta_Y \) is the surface coverage of species \( Y \). The proportionality constant, \( k_0 \), is identical for all three probabilities (Charnley et al. 1997), again the reason being that the reactions are barrier-less.

If we use the coverages in Fig. 4 it is possible to plot the relative abundance of HDO and D2O with respect to H2O as a function of temperature. This is shown in Fig. 6 both in the case of complete and no scrambling. If there is no scrambling, D2O is not produced unless OH is deuterated, which we do not take into account. We find that typical values of the fractionation are from \( 10^{-5}–10^{-4} \), implying that this water formation route should contribute with this value to the overall water deuteration. If there is complete scrambling, we find that the abundances of HDO and D2O are within a factor of 2–3 of each other. We emphasise here, that this is a limiting case and we also stress that the fractionation predicted here is only valid for one route of water formation.

Another way of considering water deuteration is the following. At temperatures lower than \( \sim 15 \text{ K} \), there will on average always be at least one HD or D2 molecule present on the grain surface. In the interval of \( \sim 15–19 \text{ K} \), there will be less than one deuterated molecule present per grain, but more than one H2 molecule. Therefore, at temperatures greater than \( \sim 15 \text{ K} \),
deuteration of water should not (on average) occur following the above reaction.

Observations of solid phase deuterated water in cold dark clouds places an upper limit of $10^{-3}$ with respect to H$_2$ (Parise et al. 2003; Dartois et al. 2003), which is consistent with our results, as long as the water is formed at temperatures greater than $\sim 6$ K. It should also be noted, that the above route is not the only one to form water on dust grains (Tielens et al. 1983; Miyauchi et al. 2008; Ioppolo et al. 2008; Dulieu et al. 2010).

6. Summary

In this study, we have used the binding energy distributions valid for H$_2$, HD, and D$_2$ adsorbed on a cold porous ASW in order to estimate their abundances on the surface of icy grains mantles under typical dark cloud conditions. The parameters describing the binding energy distributions were obtained from the simulation of a set of TPDs performed between 10 K and 30 K with H$_2$, HD, and D$_2$ on a porous 10 ML ASW sample. Our calculation is based upon a model describing the simultaneous interaction of the three species in thermal equilibrium with the surface. The model enables us to extrapolate the experimental results to the astrophysical context, assuming a steady state regime between gas phase accretion and thermal desorption. Our calculation confirms the important role played by the wide range of binding energies and by the small differences in zero-point energy found between the isotopologues. In particular, we have demonstrated that the most abundant deuterated species on a grain surface at 10 K typically is D$_2$ and not HD, even though the latter is the more abundant gas phase isotopologue.

We have used this to derive certain “order of magnitude” estimates regarding the accretion time-scales and also the surface abundance of these species as functions of both density and temperature. This we have used to estimate water fractionation on grains, as long as it proceeds following one specific formation route.

The results presented here show that a deep understanding of experiments can provide new insights when modeling the interstellar medium. As an example, the difference in binding energy will be one of the main mechanisms responsible for the abundances of deuterated water molecules observed.
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