Observability and diagnostics in the X-ray band interactions in supernova remnants

S. Orlando¹, F. Bocchino¹, M. Miceli²,¹, X. Zhou³,¹, F. Reale²,¹, and G. Peres²,¹

¹ INAF – Osservatorio Astronomico di Palermo “G.S. Vaiana”, Piazza del Parlamento 1, 90134 Palermo, Italy
² Dip. di Scienze Fisiche & Astronomiche, Univ. di Palermo, Piazza del Parlamento 1, 90134 Palermo, Italy
³ Department of Astronomy, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, PR China

Received 3 December 2009 / Accepted 13 January 2010

ABSTRACT

Context. X-ray emitting features originating from the interaction of supernova shock waves with small interstellar gas clouds are revealed in many X-ray observations of evolved supernova remnants (e.g., Cygnus Loop and Vela), but their interpretation is not straightforward.

Aims. We develop a self-consistent method for the analysis and interpretation of shock-cloud interactions in middle-aged supernova remnants, which can provide the key parameters of the system and the role of relevant physical effects such as thermal conduction, without the need to perform ad-hoc numerical simulations and bother about morphology details.

Methods. We explore all the possible values of the shock speed and cloud density contrast relevant to middle-aged SNRs with a set of hydrodynamic simulations of shock-cloud interaction including the effects of thermal conduction and radiative cooling. From the simulations, we synthesize spatially and spectrally resolved focal-plane data as they would be collected with XMM-Newton/EPIC, an X-ray instrument commonly used in these studies.

Results. We develop and calibrate two diagnostic tools, the first based on the mean photon energy versus count-rate scatter plot and the second on the spectral analysis of the interaction region, that can be used to highlight the effects of thermal conduction and to derive the shock speed in case of efficient conduction at work. These tools can be used to ascertain information from X-ray observations, without the need to develop detailed and ad-hoc numerical models for the interpretation of the data.
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1. Introduction

Supernova remnants (SNRs) are known to be invaluable laboratories for investigating the physical and chemical evolution of the galaxies in the interstellar medium (ISM) and the mass distribution of the plasma in the Galaxy. Multiwavelength observations of evolved SNRs (e.g., Graham et al. 1995; Bocchino et al. 2000; Patnaude et al. 2002; Nichols & Slavin 2004; Miceli et al. 2005) can be a useful tool for investigating the physics of SNRs, for example the interaction of the remnants with inhomogeneities (clouds) in the ISM. However, this interaction involves many non-linear physical processes (e.g., radiative losses and thermal conduction), which complicate the analysis of the observations. An additional limitation comes from the superposition of different emitting regions along the line-of-sight (hereafter LoS) and, in most cases, the data interpretation is not unique.

A powerful approach to the data analysis is based on hydrodynamic and MHD simulations of the shock-cloud interaction, which takes into account the most relevant physical mechanisms (e.g., thermal conduction, radiative cooling), and on the comparison of the model results with observations. Previous studies (Orlando et al. 2005, 2006; Miceli et al. 2006; Orlando et al. 2008) were devoted to investigate, by means of numerical modeling, the interaction of SNR shock fronts with small interstellar gas clouds. In this paper we propose: i) to investigate the role of the different physical processes involved in the dynamics and energetics of the shocked cloud; and ii) to analyze accurately the SNR observations by comparing them with model results.

As part of this project, we previously investigated the role of thermal conduction and radiative cooling on the evolution of the shocked cloud in the unmagnetized limit. We explored two physical regimes in which each of the two physical processes in turn dominates (Orlando et al. 2005, hereafter Paper I) and found that, in general, the thermal conduction determines the evaporation of a fraction of the shocked cloud, forming a hot and tenuous gas phase (the corona) surrounding the cloud core. In the presence of an organized interstellar magnetic field, the thermal conduction is known to be inhibited across the magnetic field lines and the radiative cooling can be enhanced by magnetic plasma confinement. We studied the role played by the magnetic-field-oriented thermal conduction and the radiative cooling during the shock-cloud interaction by considering different configurations of the magnetic field (Orlando et al. 2008). We found that the magnetized cases fall in-between the limit of completely suppressed thermal conduction and the unmagnetized limit with conduction.

Our numerical models were also used to predict the expected X-ray emission from the shock-cloud interaction. We showed that the X-ray emitting structures do not trace the morphology of the flow structures originating from the shock-cloud interaction and that the shocked clouds are visible more easily during the early phases of their evolution (Orlando et al. 2006, hereafter Paper II).
These significant efforts in modeling the shock-cloud interaction and its X-ray emission have not been superseded by the rigorous methodologies developed to compare X-ray observations of SNR shells and models. The high resolution instruments onboard XMM-Newton and Chandra have provided us with excellent images and spectra of SNRs, which are always much more complicated than the ideal cases treated in numerical simulations. Therefore, a straightforward comparison between models and observations is still difficult, and this tends to hamper our understanding of the details of the physical processes at the base of the X-ray radiation from SNR shells. Miceli et al. (2006) made the first attempt to accurately compare models with observations. They compared the X-ray observations of an isolated knot in the northern rim of the Vela SNR (Vela FilD, Miceli et al. 2005) with an ad-hoc hydrodynamic model; the comparison showed that the bulk of the X-ray emission in the knot originates in the cloud material heated by the transmitted shock front, but significant X-ray emission is also associated with the cloud material that evaporates in the intercloud medium, under the effect of the thermal conduction. While this strategy has proved to be effective, it is quite model-dependent, in the sense that it is based on an accurate yet restrictive morphological and spectral comparison, which may be time and resource consuming.

In contrast, the idea behind this paper is that the exploration of the parameter space of the shock-cloud model performed in Papers I and II, along with the extension presented here toward still unexplored values of the cloud density contrast, may be used to devise a quick and effective methodology for the interpretation of current generation X-ray satellite observations of shock-cloud interactions, without the need to develop ad-hoc numerical models. Our intent is to provide easy-to-use recipes that allow us to extract from the data many of the key parameters governing the evolution of shocked clouds, by comparing with a set of model quantities normalized in such a way as to eliminate the dependence from unnecessary details, e.g., the exact morphology of the hit cloud. In particular, our scope includes devising a diagnostic tool capable of quickly assess whether the spectral results obtained in the interaction regions are dominated by thermal conduction, which is a physical effect whose contribution to the X-ray emission is modulated by the magnetic field and, therefore, remains uncertain.

The paper is organized as follows: Sect. 2 briefly describes the numerical setup, the physical parameters of the problem, and the method to synthesize, from the numerical simulations, X-ray observations as they would be performed by X-ray observatories; Sect. 3 presents the results of the numerical simulations; in Sect. 4, we describe the diagnostic tools devised in this paper and apply the methods, as an example, to X-ray observations reported in the literature; in Sect. 5, we draw our conclusions.

2. Hydrodynamic modeling

We model the three-dimensional interaction of a SNR shock front with an ISM cloud as described in Paper I, to which the reader is referred for more details. We summarize here the main model features. The cloud is assumed to be small compared to the curvature radius of the shock\(^1\) and in pressure equilibrium with the unperturbed isothermal and homogeneous ambient medium; we consider, therefore, a planar shock front and an isobaric cloud that is spherical for simplicity. The shock propagates with a Mach number \(M \gg 1\) in the ambient medium. The post-shock initial conditions are given by the strong shock limit (Zel’dovich & Raizer 1966). The fluid is assumed to be fully ionized, and is regarded to be a perfect gas.

The plasma dynamics are described by solving numerically the time-dependent fluid equations of mass, momentum, and energy conservation (see Eqs. (1)–(5) in Paper I). The model takes into account both thermal conduction (Spitzer 1962) and radiative losses from an optically thin plasma (e.g., Raymond & Smith 1977; Mewe et al. 1985; Kaastra & Mewe 2000). The thermal conduction includes the free-streaming limit (saturation) on the heat flux (Cowie & McKee 1977; Giuliani 1984; Borkowski et al. 1989; Fadeyev et al. 2002, and references therein). Our calculations also include a passive tracer associated with the cloud material that traces its motion during the evolution. A discussion of the assumptions of the model and their influence on the results is presented in Sect. 4.4.

The numerical code is FLASH (Fryxell et al. 2000), a multidimensional hydrodynamics code for simulating astrophysical plasmas that uses the PARAMESH (MacNeice et al. 2000) library for block-structured adaptive mesh refinement (AMR) and has been customized with numerical modules that model thermal conduction and optically thin radiative losses (see Paper I for details). The initial configuration, the boundary conditions, and the AMR setup of the simulations used here are the same as those adopted and discussed in Paper I.

As a reference case, we consider the \(M = 50\) shock model described in Paper I; we then explore the parameter space by varying, alternatively, either the Mach number, \(M\), or the density contrast cloud/surrounding medium, \(\chi\). In the reference model (RCm50c10), the unperturbed ambient medium is at a temperature \(T_{\text{num}} = 10^4\) K and particle number density \(n_{\text{num}} = 0.1\) cm\(^{-3}\), and the spherical isobaric cloud has a radius \(r_{cl} = 1\) pc and density contrast \(\chi = 10\) (particle number density \(n_{cl} = \chi n_{\text{num}} = 1\) cm\(^{-3}\)). The SNR shock front is planar at a Mach number \(M = 50\) and temperature \(T_{\text{sh}} = 4.7\) MK. In the other simulations, the Mach number varies in the range 40 \(\leq M \leq 60\) (corresponding to shock temperatures in the range 3 MK \(\leq T_{\text{sh}} \leq 7\) MK) and the cloud density contrast is in the range 3 \(\leq \chi \leq 30\) (corresponding to a particle number density in the cloud in the range 0.3 cm\(^{-3}\) \(\leq n_{cl} \leq 3\) cm\(^{-3}\)). We note that in Paper II, we studied the variation induced by a different choice of shock speed (we considered \(M = 30\) and \(50\) at \(\chi = 10\)). Here, we present for the first time the results for different density contrasts. These ranges are representative of most of the shock-cloud interaction regions observed in evolved SNRs (e.g., Vela, Cygnus Loop, and G296.5+10.0).

The effects of thermal conduction on the shocked cloud evolution were fully investigated in Papers I and II. Here, since we wish to develop a diagnostic for use in real X-ray observations, we compare the results of runs with this physical process (hereafter RC runs) with those of other runs without it (hereafter HY runs). As shown in a previous work (Orlando et al. 2008), shock-cloud interactions in an organized interstellar magnetic field are in-between these two limits (i.e., HY and RC cases). A summary of all the simulations discussed in this paper is given in Table 1, while Fig. 1 shows the simulations in the \(\chi - M\) parameter space. As discussed in Paper I (cf. Fig. 2 in Paper I), this plot can be used to evaluate whether radiative cooling has a comparable influence to thermal conduction in a given run. For example, the shock transmitted into the cloud is strongly radiative in runs RCm40c10 and RCm50c30. On the other hand, the thermal conduction dominates over the radiative losses in all the other cases (i.e., RCm50c03, RCm50c10 and RCm60c10): the cloud

---

\(^1\) This assumption is valid for a 1 pc cloud in the middle-aged SNRs Vela and Cygnus Loop, whose shell has a radius >10 pc.
2 The shocked cloud material is expected to be cooler than the surrounding medium.
due to the quick evaporation of the cloud. For instance, in run RCM50c3, there are no pixels with $\overline{E}$ below 90% of the value derived for the background and no lightcurve can be reported in Fig. 2. On the other hand, the conduction produces shocked clouds with $\chi \gtrsim 20$, whose evolution is strongly dominated by the radiative losses, and are partially visible during the very early phases of the evolution (see lower panel in Fig. 2): these clouds would not be detectable in X-rays, in the absence of thermal conduction, because of their temperature $T_{\text{shk}} \approx 2.5 \times 10^5$ K. In these cases, only the thermally conducting corona is detected, the core being much cooler than 1 MK.

3.2. Spectral analysis

The shocked cloud is detectable with EPIC-pn during the early phases of the shock-cloud interaction ($t < 1.5 \tau_{cc}$; see Fig. 2) as a bright knot surrounded by a diffuse region (see right panels in Fig. 3). We focus our spectral analysis on the time interval $0.4 \tau_{cc} \leq t \leq 1.4 \tau_{cc}$ when the shocked cloud reaches its highest luminosity in all the models. For each sampled X-ray image, we select spatial sub-regions in the computational domain and analyze the X-ray spectra extracted from each of them. To select spectrally homogeneous regions, we derive maps of the median energy of X-ray photons, $\overline{E}$, from the EPIC-pn data that allows us to convey at the same time both spatial and spectral information about the emitting plasma (Hong et al. 2004). Since the shocked cloud is cooler than the surrounding medium, the median photon energy, $\overline{E}$, of the bright region is lower than that of the surroundings (see left panels in Fig. 3). Thus we select sub-regions with a median photon energy of either $0.5 \text{ keV} < \overline{E} < 0.6 \text{ keV}$ (to identify the knot) or $0.6 \text{ keV} < \overline{E} < 0.7 \text{ keV}$ (for the diffuse region, DR). The knot corresponds to the brightest portion of the X-ray image in Fig. 3, and the DR selects the intermediate brightness region surrounding the knot (compare the left and right panels of Fig. 3).

We emphasize that this definition of the extraction regions for spectral analysis is completely independent of the morphology of the X-ray emission. This has the great advantage that it can be straightforwardly applied to any current X-ray telescope observation for which the mean photon energy map can be computed. Moreover, it makes the spectral analysis independent, in first approximation, of the details of the shape of the ISM clouds, which in reality may be far more complex than the ideal spherical cloud proposed in our model.

The extracted spectra have a total number of photons ranging between $10^4$ and $4 \times 10^5$, which is adequate for performing a detailed spectral analysis. The focal plane spectra were analyzed using the spectral fitting package XSPEC (Arnaud 1996) and applying a multi-temperature fit to each spectrum. All the extracted spectra were closely fitted with two MEKAL components of an optically-thin thermal plasma in collisional ionization equilibrium (Mewe et al. 1985; Kaastra & Mewe 2000), with solar abundances, and filtered through the interstellar absorption (Morrison & McCammon 1983). We applied this procedure to any model listed in Table 1, and in the interval $0.4 \tau_{cc} \leq t \leq 1.4 \tau_{cc}$ in steps of $\delta t = 0.1 \tau_{cc}$. As an example, we present here the results obtained in the reference models HYm50c10 and RCM50c10.

Figure 4 shows the temperature, $T$, and the emission measure per unit area, $\text{em} = \text{EM}/A_{\text{reg}}$ (where $A_{\text{reg}}$ is the area of the selected region), of the isothermal components fitting the EPIC-pn spectra. When the thermal conduction is completely suppressed (run HYm50c10), the spectra of both the knot and the DR at the different epochs are described, in general, by two isothermal components with temperatures $T_{\text{low}} \approx 1 \text{ MK}$ and $T_{\text{high}} \approx 4.5 \text{ MK}$; the emission measure of the hot component is $\text{em}_{\text{high}} \approx 5 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ in all the spectra, whereas $\text{em}_{\text{low}}$ ranges between $3 \times 10^{16}$ and $8 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. We note that the temperature of the hot component is close to the temperature of the shocked ambient plasma $T_{\text{psh}} \approx 4.7 \text{ MK}$, whereas $T_{\text{low}}$ is slightly higher than the temperature of the shock transmitted into the cloud, $T_{\text{sc}} \approx 0.8 \text{ MK}$ (see Paper I).
In run RCm50c10, the spectra are again described by two isothermal components, but with some differences caused by the thermal conduction. In particular, $T_{\text{low}}$ is higher and $T_{\text{high}}$ is lower than the values derived in HYm50c10, the difference being larger for the DR than for the knot. The value of $e_{\text{low}}$ is systematically lower and $e_{\text{high}}$ higher than the values derived in HYm50c10. In general, we find that the cold component is the most sensitive to thermal conduction, showing the largest differences between HYm50c10 and RCm50c10. The reasons for these differences can be understood by comparing the results of the spectral fitting with the distributions of emission measure per unit area $e(T)$, in the region in which the extracted spectra originate.

Figure 5 shows these $e(T)$ distributions and the results of the spectral fitting. In general, the distributions for both the knot and the DR are bimodal. The cold peak around $T \approx 2$ MK is produced by the shocked cloud gas; the hot peak at $T \approx 5$ MK is produced by the shocked ambient plasma surrounding the cloud. The best-fit values are localized around these maxima and can, therefore, be associated with both the shocked cloud gas (cold component) and the shocked ambient plasma (hot component).

In HYm50c10, the $e(T)$ distributions of both the knot and the DR do not change significantly during the evolution (except at $t \approx 1.2 \tau_{cc}$, when the shocks transmitted from both the front and the rear of the cloud interact; see Paper II), the two bumps being steadily centered on the temperatures expected for the shock transmitted into the cloud ($T_{\text{scl}} \approx 0.8$ MK) and the shocked ambient plasma ($T_{\text{psh}} \approx 4.7$ MK), respectively. By comparing HYm50c10 with RCm50c10, the main effects of the thermal conduction are: i) to smooth the $e(T)$ distributions because of a transition region that forms between the inner part of the cloud and the ambient medium in which the density decreases and the temperature increases smoothly in the radial direction.
As a result of the conduction effects, the amount of plasma above 1 MK increases in RCm50c10, making the shocked cloud brighter in the X-ray band (see Fig. 2). The changes in the $em(T)$ distributions caused by the thermal conduction also produce differences in the results of the spectral fitting for HYm50c10 and RCm50c10 (see Fig. 4); for instance, the shift of the first bump in $em(T)$ to higher temperatures leads to higher $T_{\text{low}}$, and the smoothing of $em(T)$ leads to lower $em_{\text{low}}$ in RCm50c10. We also note that the effects of the thermal conduction are greatest in the $em(T)$ distribution of the DR, which represents the plasma of the corona surrounding the cloud core that is affected by efficient heat conduction. As a consequence, the cold fitting component in the DR is, in general, hotter than that in the knot (see also Fig. 4), whereas the opposite is true in HYm50c10 (i.e., the temperature of the shocked cloud material is never higher than the temperature of the shock transmitted into the cloud).

4. Diagnostics

4.1. Median energy versus count-rate scatter plot

Since the thermal conduction modifies the temperature and density structure of the shocked cloud (see Paper I), its effects should be evident in the comparison of $\bar{E}$ maps (related to the spatial distribution of temperature) with count-rate maps (related to the spatial distribution of mass density). We derive, therefore, $\bar{E}$ versus count-rate scatter plots (see, for instance, Miceli et al. 2005): we first divide the range of count-rate [0.01–0.20] counts s$^{-1}$ into 100 bins (all equal on linear scale); then, from the EPIC-pn count-rate images in the $[0.3–2.0]$ keV band, we derive the median photon energy of all the pixels belonging to the same count-rate bin. Figure 6 shows the scatter plots derived for HY (upper panel) and RC (middle and lower panels) runs at selected epochs in the time interval $0.4 \tau_{\text{cc}} \leq t \leq 1.4 \tau_{\text{cc}}$ (when the shocked clouds are visible; see Fig. 2). All these plots are characterized by a clear descending trend and, then, in most cases, by a much flatter fall (cold plateau): the higher the count-rate, the lower the median energy and, therefore, the lower the average temperature along the LoS. The descending branch and the cold plateau are the signature of the shock-cloud collision: the former roughly corresponds to the DR and the latter to the bright and cold knot defined in Sect. 3.2.

In HY runs, scatter plots derived for $\chi = 10$ and different $M$ show a similar shape, characterized by a very steep descending branch and a well-defined cold plateau (see upper panel in Fig. 6). The descending branch shows an abrupt transition between the intercloud material (highest $\bar{E}$) and the shocked cloud material (lowest $\bar{E}$). In RC runs (see middle panel in Fig. 6), the thermal conduction makes the slope of the descending branch flatter than that of HY runs, the absolute value of the slope being smaller for higher $M$. The flattening of the descending branch reflects a smooth temperature and density structure of the shocked cloud produced by the heat conduction, which causes the gradual growth of a transition region from the inner part of the cloud to the ambient medium (see Paper I). We note that the
scatter plots of runs with different $\chi$ but identical $M = 50$ are virtually indistinguishable (see lower panel in Fig. 6) independently of the role of either radiation or conduction. This is because the contribution of the shocked cloud to X-ray emission originates invariably in regions dominated by thermal conduction. In particular, the X-ray emission in run RCm50c30 originates in the thermally conducting corona, the cloud core being at temperature $T \leq 2 \times 10^5$ K.

In summary, these scatter plots can be very useful for determining the role of thermal conduction through the slope of the descending branch and inferring the speed of the shock when there is efficient conduction. On the other hand, the plots are poorly useful for inferring the true density contrast of the cloud.

Fig. 7. The figure compares the temperature and the emission measure per unit area of the cold component derived for the knot with those derived for the diffuse region (DR). The blue stars (red crosses) mark the values derived in run HYm50c10 (RCm50c10) and reported in Fig. 4; the black symbol with error bars mark the values derived from the analysis of EPIC data of Vela FilD (regions 4, knot, and 1, diffuse region, in Miceli et al. 2005).

4.2. Temperature and emission measure ratios

The spectral analysis discussed in Sect. 3.2 implies that the cold fitting components describing the knot and the DR are sensitive to thermal conduction; we therefore propose to use them as a diagnostic tool for tracing the efficiency of conduction. Figure 7 compares the temperature and emission measure values derived for the knot with those derived for the DR (and reported in Fig. 4) in runs HYm50c10 and RCm50c10. The runs either with or without thermal conduction can be clearly separated in the plot, the thermal conductive case being localized in the bottom-right quadrant and the pure hydrodynamic case in the top-left quadrant. This result is determined by the development of the thermally conducting corona in RCm50c10 and is therefore expected to be general. In particular, as discussed in Sect. 3.2, the thermal conduction smooths the first bump in the emission measure ratio and shifts it to higher temperatures, this effect being larger for the DR than for the knot. As a result, the cold component fitted for the DR is, in general, hotter than that derived for the knot (i.e., $T_{\text{low}}^{\text{DR}} > T_{\text{low}}^{\text{knot}}$), whereas the opposite is true (i.e., $T_{\text{low}}^{\text{DR}} < T_{\text{low}}^{\text{knot}}$) if the conduction is suppressed. The smoothing of the emission measure ratio due to the conduction is also the largest for the DR (because the corona surrounding the cloud core is subject to efficient heat conduction), leading to the smallest values of em$_{\text{low}}$ (see also lower panel in Fig. 4).

In summary, the temperature and emission measure ratios are an excellent way of determining the role of the thermal conduction in the evolution of the system.

4.3. An example of model versus observation comparison

Our study shows that evidence of thermal conduction at work during the shock-cloud interaction can be found in the spectral analysis of X-ray data. As discussed in the previous sections, the $\tilde{E}$ versus count-rate scatter plot and the temperature and emission measure ratios can be efficient diagnostic tools for deriving the shock speed and the role of thermal conduction, which, in turn, is linked to the magnetic field configuration, as shown by Orlando et al. (2008). In this section, we challenge the above diagnostic tools and show that they can easily be used in the
of Miceli et al. (2005). The diagnostics presented in Fig. 7 can confirm once again that in FilD the thermal conduction is the knot and the DR contained by the FilD region. Thus, we can understand the shape of the cloud), we do not expect a perfect match, but the comparison will nonetheless provide us with much useful information.

Among the runs presented here, the one matching the density contrast of the shock-cloud interaction is RcM50c30 (see Table 1). As already discussed, the shocked cloud with this density contrast ($\chi \sim 30$) would not be detectable in X-rays if the thermal conduction is suppressed, its estimated temperature being $T_{\text{col}} \approx 3.5 \times 10^4$ K. On the other hand, after inspecting Fig. 2, we note that, in run RcM50c30 (dashed line in the lower panel), the software cloud is visible in X-rays for a short time interval ($0.1-0.6 \tau_{\text{cc}}$) and is of the highest surface brightness during the evolutionary stage estimated for FiID ($-0.32 \tau_{\text{cc}}$). We expect to detect brighter emission for higher values of $M$ (see Fig. 2). As shown by our simulations, the detected X-ray emission originates in the cloud material dominated by thermal conduction, confirming the relevance of conduction in the evolution of FiID.

Miceli et al. (2005) analyzed the spectra extracted from the knot and the DR contained by the FiID region. Thus, we can derive the temperature and emission measure ratios of the cold components derived by these authors and plot them in Fig. 7. The observed values lie in the bottom-right quadrant of the figure, confirming once again that in FiID the thermal conduction is efficient, in perfect agreement with the independent conclusion of Miceli et al. (2006). The diagnostics presented in Fig. 7 can be easily implemented and we propose their use as a standard to detect brighter emission for higher values of $M$ (as, for instance, in young SNRs), but not for middle-aged SNRs (on which this paper is focused) for which no non-thermal emission has been detected. Even if particle acceleration were not negligible, the relevant effect on our diagnostics would only be to slightly reduce the efficiency of the thermal conduction because of the lower post-shock temperature. As in the case of magnetized clouds (Orlando et al. 2008), shocked clouds with considerable particle acceleration would fall in-between the limit of completely suppressed thermal conduction (HY runs) and the unmagnetized limit of conduction (RC runs) discussed in this paper.

Pittard et al. (2009) demonstrated that turbulence plays an important role in shock-cloud interactions, and that environmental turbulence adds a new dimension to the parameter space. In particular, these authors showed that turbulence is generated mainly around the cloud boundary and in the cloud wake after $\sim \tau_{\text{cc}}$, the main effect being that clouds subject to a highly turbulent post-shock environment are destroyed significantly more rapidly than those within a smooth flow: the greater the cloud density contrast $\chi$, the higher the effect of turbulence (for instance, for $\chi \approx 100$, the effect of the post-shock turbulence dominates the shock-cloud interaction). On the other hand, an
efficient thermal conduction smooths the cloud boundary very quickly (see Paper I), and turbulence grows more slowly around clouds with a smooth density profile (Pittard et al. 2009). Thus we are confident that our results are valid and the diagnostics proposed here can be applied to clouds of moderate density contrast (i.e., the effects of turbulence poorly influence the shock-cloud interaction at early evolutionary stages for t < τcc) and smooth density profiles (i.e., the growth of turbulence around clouds is slow), which we have considered here.

Finally, our model does not account for the incomplete electron-ion temperature equilibration in the post-shock region. Equilibrium may not be completed early during the shock-cloud interaction (t ≈ 0.1 τcc). We should apply emission models including non-equilibrium in that phase and the initial part of the lightcurves presented in Fig. 2 may be subject to change. On the other hand, the diagnostics discussed in this section refer to the shock-cloud interaction at 0.4 τcc ≤ t ≤ 1.4 τcc, when the hypothesis of temperature equilibration can be considered realistic for the shock velocities explored here (Rakowski et al. 2003).

5. Summary and conclusion

In a series of previous papers (Papers I, II, Orlando et al. 2008), we have investigated the X-ray emission originating from the interaction of SNR shock waves with isolated gas clouds with the aim of identifying the plasma structures that contribute primarily to X-ray emission detectable with current X-ray instruments. In this work, we have extended the parameter space previously studied considering clouds with different values of density contrast and developing diagnostics in the X-ray band to derive the shock speed, which is one of the fundamental parameter governing the shock-cloud interactions, and the cloud evaporation under the effect of the thermal conduction.

In particular, by performing a series of spectral fits to the simulated data of the shock-cloud interaction region, we have proven that there are at least two interesting diagnostic diagrams that can be used:

- the median energy versus count-rate scatter plot (Fig. 6), which provides a direct estimate of the shock speed and insight into the effects of the thermal conduction;
- the temperature and emission measure ratios of the knot to the diffuse region of the cloud (Fig. 7), which provide direct estimates of the role of the thermal conduction in the evolution of the system.

We emphasize that the regions (the knot and the DR) that must be selected to derive the diagnostic diagrams are defined entirely on the basis of mean photon energy maps, and not on the shape of the X-ray emission. Therefore, the method is very well-posed and independent, to a first approximation, of the true shape of the ISM clouds, which could be more complex than the ideal spherical cases considered in our hydrodynamic simulations. While this method cannot be considered a substitution for a detailed approach to the study of shock-cloud interactions based on the development of ad-hoc (and time-consuming) numerical models, we have nevertheless demonstrated that the diagnostic diagrams that we have presented can be very useful in determining some of the parameters of the system and the role of thermal conduction in a very quick and straightforward way. These pieces of information can in turn be used for a more detailed model, if necessary.

The method can be applied to imaging X-ray observations of middle-aged thermal SNR shells (like Vela or Cygns Loop), such as those obtained by the XMM-Newton and Chandra X-ray satellites. We used as a benchmark the XMM-Newton/EPIC observations of the Vela Fld region of Miceli et al. (2005), from which, independently, Miceli et al. (2006) found strong evidence of thermal conduction at work during the shock–cloud interaction, using a detailed ad-hoc numerical model. We found that our method is quite effective in quickly recovering the shock speed and the effects of the thermal conduction.
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